NO	SECTION	LINE	COMMENTS
1	Abstract		In Background , the authors wrote: This study aimed to evaluate the load capacity of maxillary central incisors
			In Methods : the authors only wrote about load capacity
			In Results : the authors only wrote about load capacity and mode of fracture
			Note:
			There are actually 3 points in this research:
			1. the difference in load capacity with different FRC
			2. differences in the model of fracture
			3. the effect of different cement (self-adhesive or self-etch resin cement) used
			In conclusion : the authors should should cover all the three points.
2	Introduction		The author states that there are 3 null hypotheses, but the author does not explain what the background/reason for the hypothesis is. The author should write it in the Introduction. The aim of the study should cover all the points in null hypotheses.

3	Methods	How to ensure that the composite cores have the same shape and size for all samples?		
4	Discussion	The authors states that: the types of post which revealed a statistical difference between group 1 (522.9N) and group 3 (421.1N). In Discussion, the authors should discuss/analyze tthat statement.		
5	Conclusion	Please state your conclusion clearly/briefly base on your data/findings. Note: There are actually 3 points in this research: 1. the difference in load capacity with different FRC 2. differences in the model of fracture 3. the effect of different cement (self-adhesive or self-etch resin cement) used		