Investigation of euthanasia techniques in four species of cockroaches (#86152)

First submission

Guidance from your Editor

Please submit by 8 Jun 2023 for the benefit of the authors (and your token reward) .



Structure and Criteria

Please read the 'Structure and Criteria' page for general guidance.



Raw data check

Review the raw data.



Image check

Check that figures and images have not been inappropriately manipulated.

If this article is published your review will be made public. You can choose whether to sign your review. If uploading a PDF please remove any identifiable information (if you want to remain anonymous).

Files

Download and review all files from the <u>materials page</u>.

2 Table file(s)

1 Raw data file(s)

Structure and Criteria



Structure your review

The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

- 1. BASIC REPORTING
- 2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
- 3. VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS
- 4. General comments
- 5. Confidential notes to the editor
- You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

When ready submit online.

Editorial Criteria

Use these criteria points to structure your review. The full detailed editorial criteria is on your guidance page.

BASIC REPORTING

- Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
- Intro & background to show context.
 Literature well referenced & relevant.
- Structure conforms to <u>PeerJ standards</u>, discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
- Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
- Raw data supplied (see <u>PeerJ policy</u>).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

- Original primary research within Scope of the journal.
- Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
- Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
- Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.

VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

- Impact and novelty not assessed.

 Meaningful replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
- All underlying data have been provided; they are robust, statistically sound, & controlled.



Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.



Standout reviewing tips



The best reviewers use these techniques

Τ	p

Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources

Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript

Comment on language and grammar issues

Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points

Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions

Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript

Example

Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.

Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57-86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).

The English language should be improved to ensure that an international audience can clearly understand your text. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 – the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult. I suggest you have a colleague who is proficient in English and familiar with the subject matter review your manuscript, or contact a professional editing service.

- 1. Your most important issue
- 2. The next most important item
- 3. ...
- 4. The least important points

I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC

I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be improved upon before Acceptance.



Investigation of euthanasia techniques in four species of cockroaches

Samuel M. Tucker 1, 2, Julie A Balko 1, Dustin C Smith 3, Larry J. Minter 1, 3, Emma L. Houck Corresp. 1, 4

Corresponding Author: Emma L. Houck Email address: ehouck@cornell.edu

While cockroaches are commonly housed and encountered in zoos, laboratories, and households, objective data regarding invertebrate euthanasia techniques, including for cockroaches, are scarce. This study assessed euthanasia techniques in four species of cockroaches (Dubia [Blaptica dubia], red runner [Shelfordella lateralis], Madagascar hissing [Gromphadorhina portentosa], and giant cave [Blaberus giganteus]). Two hundred fifty adult Dubia cockroaches were group exposed (n = 10/group) to a cotton ball soaked with 2 mL isoflurane in a 1 L air-tight chamber. Thirty minutes beyond loss of any individual movement, groups were exposed to a secondary treatment: freezing at -18 °C for 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, or 24 hours or -80 °C for 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, or 24 hours; immersion in 10% neutral buffered formalin, 70% isopropyl alcohol, or reverse osmosis water for 0.25 or 0.5 hours; intracoelomic injection of potassium chloride (456 mEg/kg) or pentobarbital-based euthanasia solution (3.9 g/kg); or no treatment (control). Ten naive adult Dubia cockroaches were also group exposed to a cotton ball soaked with 2 mL isoflurane in a 1 L air-tight chamber for 24 hours beyond loss of any individual movement. Following all treatments, cockroaches were monitored for an additional 24 hours for spontaneous movement. Irreversible loss of movement was considered synonymous with irreversible loss of consciousness (death). Methods with 100% success were then tested in three additional cockroach species. Across all species, isoflurane anesthesia followed by either 70% isopropyl alcohol immersion for 0.25 or 0.5 hours or isoflurane exposure for 24 hours resulted in euthanasia in 100% of cockroaches. This study is the first evaluation of American Veterinary Medical Association-recommended euthanasia protocols in cockroaches.

¹ College of Veterinary Medicine, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina, United States of America

² Veterinary Corps, U.S. Army, APO, AE, United States of America

³ North Carolina Zoo, Asheboro, North Carolina, United States of America

⁴ College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, United States of America



1 INVESTIGATION OF EUTHANASIA TECHNIQUES IN FOUR SPECIES OF

2	COCKROACHES
3	Samuel M. Tucker, DVM; ¹⁻² Julie A. Balko, VMD, DACVAA; ¹ Dustin Smith; ³ Larry J. Minter,
4	MS. DVM, DACZM ^{1,3} ; and Emma L. Houck, DVM, DACZM ¹
5	¹ North Carolina State University, College of Veterinary Medicine, Raleigh, NC 27606 USA
6	² U.S. Army Veterinary Corps, APO, AE 09112 USA
7	³ North Carolina Zoo, Asheboro, NC 27205 USA
8	
9	Corresponding Author:
10	Emma Houck ¹
11	Cornell University, College of Veterinary Medicine, 602 Tower Rd, Ithaca, NY 14853.
12	
13	Email address: ehouck@cornell.edu
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

\sim	4
•	71

	L		4.		_	4
Α	n	S	П	าล	C	Γ.

26	While cockroaches are commonly housed and encountered in zoos, laboratories, and households,
27	objective data regarding invertebrate euthanasia techniques, including for cockroaches, are
28	scarce. This study assessed euthanasia techniques in four species of cockroaches (Dubia
29	[Blaptica dubia], red runner [Shelfordella lateralis], Madagascar hissing [Gromphadorhina
30	portentosa], and giant cave [Blaberus giganteus]). Two hundred fifty adult Dubia cockroaches
31	were group exposed (n = 10/group) to a cotton ball soaked with 2 mL isoflurane in a 1 L air-tight
32	chamber. Thirty minutes beyond loss of any individual movement, groups were exposed to a
33	secondary treatment: freezing at -18 °C for 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, or 24 hours or -80 °C for
34	0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, or 24 hours; immersion in 10% neutral buffered formalin, 70% isopropyl
35	alcohol, or reverse osmosis water for 0.25 or 0.5 hours; intracoelomic injection of potassium
36	chloride (456 mEq/kg) or pentobarbital-based euthanasia solution (3.9 g/kg); or no treatment
37	(control). Ten naive adult Dubia cockroaches were also group exposed to a cotton ball soaked
38	with 2 mL isoflurane in a 1 L air-tight chamber for 24 hours beyond loss of any individual
39	movement. Following all treatments, cockroaches were monitored for an additional 24 hours for
40	spontaneous movement. Irreversible loss of movement was considered synonymous with
41	irreversible loss of consciousness (death). Methods with 100% success were then tested in three
42	additional cockroach species. Across all species, isoflurane anesthesia followed by either 70%
43	isopropyl alcohol immersion for 0.25 or 0.5 hours or isoflurane exposure for 24 hours resulted in
44	euthanasia in 100% of cockroaches. This study is the first evaluation of American Veterinary
45	Medical Association-recommended euthanasia protocols in cockroaches.

46



Introduction

Cockroaches are commonly encountered in zoological institutions and natural history museums as collection animals, food sources, and research subjects, along with being found in households as pets or pests. With the increasing popularity of cockroaches and other terrestrial invertebrates in managed care, along with growing literature concerning pain perception in these species, there has been heightened interest in their welfare, including their veterinary care (Copper, 2012; Drinkwater, Robinson & Hart, 2019; Elwood, 2011; Murray, 2011). Relatedly, euthanasia is a common procedure for this taxon (e.g. research endpoint, quality of life decision, depopulation) and ensuring a humane death, free of suffering, is of utmost importance and is an integral component of both animal welfare and veterinary medicine. Currently, there is limited prospective research investigating euthanasia methods in invertebrates, including cockroach species.

The 2020 American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA) Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals recommends several methods for invertebrate euthanasia including both one-step and two-step techniques (Leary et al., 2020). One-step techniques include anesthetic overdose using either injectable or inhaled drugs, while two-step techniques include inhalant anesthesia followed by a secondary chemical or physical method, such as immersion in alcohol or formalin, boiling, freezing, or pithing. Of importance, as the latter techniques (eg, immersion in solution, exposure to freezing temperatures) could impart prolonged distress or suffering, they are only recommended for use as part of a two-step technique in animals that have already been rendered unconscious as the first step (Gilbertson & Wyatt, 2016; Pizzi, 2006). Despite the existence of general terrestrial invertebrate euthanasia recommendations by the AVMA, minimal species-specific objective data truly supports these recommendations.



70	A single prospective investigation of cockroach euthanasia exists (Bennie et al., 2012). In
71	that study, giant cockroach (Blaberus giganteus) nymphs were anesthetized with carbon dioxide
72	(CO_2) and then injected with potassium chloride (KCl) at concentrations of 1% (40 mEq/kg), 5%
73	(201 mEq/kg), or 10% (402 mEq/kg) volume per weight. The highest dose was 100% effective
74	for euthanasia and, while adults were not included in the study, the positive result supports
75	further investigation of this method in other cockroach species and life stages.
76	Inhalant anesthetics are both anecdotally used and recommended in both one-step and
77	two-step invertebrate euthanasia techniques, despite minimal to no prospective investigation for
78	this purpose. Studies have described inhalant anesthetic safety and efficacy in certain
79	invertebrate taxa, including a study in the Madagascar hissing cockroach, but overdose exposure
80	has not been investigated (d'Ovidio, Monticelli & Adami, 2021; MacMillian et al., 2017;
81	McCallion et al., 2021; Zachariah et al., 2009).
82	Freezing has also been anecdotally used as a method of achieving unconsciousness or as
83	a one-step euthanasia method. As such, research has evaluated the physiologic response of
84	invertebrates to colder temperatures (Bradt, Hoback & Kard, 2018; Hamilton, 1985; Lubawy et
85	al., 2018; Lubawy & Słocińska, 2020; Sinclair, 1997; Toxopeus & Sinclair, 2018; Worland,
86	Wharton & Byars, 2004). While there is support for its use in immobilizing invertebrates for
87	non-invasive procedures, including photography and radiograph acquisition, the present study
88	included freezing as part of a two-step method of euthanasia following induction of anesthesia
89	(Cooper, 2011, Cooper, 2012).
90	Immersion in various solutions has also been investigated and is a current method
91	recommended for euthanasia of invertebrate species (Dombrowski & De Voe, 2007; Gilbertson
92	& Wyatt, 2016; Murray, 2011). Recommended solutions include 70% ethanol or 10% formalin



(Dombrowski & De Voe, 2007; Murray, 2011), with the former used in situations of desired postmortem analysis as tissues are preserved (Dombrowski & De Voe, 2007). Currently, there is limited literature on the use of these techniques in cockroach species.

The objective of this study was to evaluate euthanasia techniques in four species of cockroaches (Dubia [Blaptica dubia], red runner [Shelfordella lateralis], Madagascar hissing [Gromphadorhina portentosa], and giant cave [Blaberus giganteus]), with inclusion of techniques recommended for invertebrates by the AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals. It was hypothesized that effective techniques would include: prolonged exposure (\geq 24 hours) to isoflurane inhalant, anesthesia with isoflurane followed by injection with potassium chloride or pentobarbital-based euthanasia solution, and anesthesia with isoflurane followed by immersion in isopropyl alcohol, neutral buffered formalin, or reverse osmosis water for \leq 30 minutes.

Materials & Methods

Animals

To minimize extraneous testing, all techniques were first assessed in only a single cockroach species (Dubia), which included 260 individuals. Effective techniques were then tested on three additional cockroach species, which included 70 red runner, 70 Madagascar hissing, and 60 giant cave cockroaches. These species were selected based upon their commonality as pets or presence in zoological institutions as education or display animals (*G. portentosa, B. giganteus*) or as feeder colonies (*B. dubia, S. lateralis*). Age was not controlled but animals in all groups were identified as sexually mature (i.e. adult) based on external morphology. Cockroaches were group housed by species in plastic containers with newspaper



substrate and a hide in a climate-controlled environment (humidity 65-75%, temperature 75-80 °F). Animals were fed a variety of fruits, vegetables, Natural Balance Limited Ingredient Salmon & Sweet Potato formula dog food (Natural Balance Pet Foods, Inc, Upland, CA 91785-9998, USA), and Soil Moist (JRM Chemical, Inc,. Cleveland, OH 44128) daily. Prior to testing, each cockroach received a brief visual examination to ensure normal response to environment and mobility. All testing was performed in a climate-controlled room (75-80 °F) unless otherwise noted. This study was approved by the North Carolina Zoo Research Committee and performed in agreement with recommended invertebrate medicine guidelines to ensure appropriate animal welfare (Bennie et al., 2012; Leary et al., 2020).

Experimental Protocol

Pilot Study

As isoflurane anesthesia in Dubia cockroaches had not been characterized at the time of this study, a pilot study was undertaken to guide its use as the first step in subsequent two-step techniques; the specific objective was identification of an anesthetic protocol that provided the longest duration of anesthesia with no mortality. Ten Dubia cockroaches were placed in a 1 L air-tight plastic container (LockNLock, Seocho, Seoul, South Korea) modified to connect to the fresh gas outlet of an isoflurane vaporizer (Tec 3) and passive waste gas scavenger system (F/air canister, A.M. Bickford, Inc., Wales Center, NY, 14169). Cockroaches were exposed to 5% isoflurane (Isoflurane USP, Piramal Critical Care, Telangana, India) delivered in 100% oxygen (O₂) at 1 L/min and monitored for loss of spontaneous movement of all individuals. After 15 seconds of absent spontaneous movement, the container was gently rocked at an approximately 30° angle along its short axis in both a left and right direction and cockroaches were monitored for spontaneous movement of any individual. If movement was present, cockroaches were left



140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

unstimulated and this process was repeated 1-2 minutes following cessation of movement. If movement was absent, the time was recorded and considered synonymous with group anesthetic induction. Cockroaches were left undisturbed in the container for an additional 5 minutes to allow for peak anesthetic effects, after which, isoflurane and oxygen delivery were discontinued. Cockroaches were then removed from the container and monitored for return of spontaneous movement of the first individual cockroach which was designated as group recovery. To allow flexibility for use in clinical settings and assess for response to a higher concentration of inhalant anesthetic, an additional method of isoflurane delivery was also assessed. Twenty naive Dubia cockroaches (n = 10/group) were placed in a 1 L air-tight plastic container with a cotton ball soaked with 2 mL of liquid isoflurane as previously described in invertebrate anesthesia guidelines (Braun et al). As 1 mL of liquid isoflurane can produce 195 mL of vapor (Biro, 2014), this results in a maximum isoflurane concentration of 32% (saturated vapor pressure) within the container. Monitoring and assessment proceeded as previously described. Following group anesthetic induction, cockroaches were left unstimulated in the container for an additional either 5 or 30 minutes. Cockroaches were then removed from the container and monitored for group recovery, as defined above. Of the three pilot test groups, exposure to liquid isoflurane via cotton ball for 30 minutes beyond anesthetic induction provided the longest duration of anesthesia with complete recovery of all individuals. Thus, this was selected as the first step technique for use in the principal study. Exposure to carbon dioxide was also assessed as an alternative first step technique, but proved unsuccessful. Cockroaches displayed unwanted behaviors during the induction period

(rapid and erratic antennae movement, appendage tremoring, body curling or tucking,



161	exaggerated, repeated coelomic expansions) and recovered within 5 minutes of induction,
162	regardless of exposure time.
163	Test Groups
164	Four hundred sixty naive cockroaches of four species were enrolled in a controlled study
165	assessing isoflurane exposure for 24 hours (one step) and isoflurane anesthesia (first step)
166	followed by one of the following second steps: freezing at -18 $^{\circ}$ C or -80 $^{\circ}$ C, both for 0.25, 0.5, 1,
167	2, 4, 8, 16, or 24 hours; immersion in 10% buffered formalin, 70% isopropyl alcohol, or reverse
168	osmosis water for 0.25 or 0.5 hours; or intracoelomic injection of potassium chloride or
169	pentobarbital-based euthanasia solution.
170	Two Step Techniques
171	First Step (Anesthesia)
172	Twenty-four cohorts of naive Dubia cockroaches (n = 10/group) were group exposed to a
173	cotton ball soaked with 2 mL of liquid isoflurane in a 1 L air-tight plastic container. The
174	container was modified with two air-tight ports each created using a 20-gauge x 2.9 cm catheter
175	(BD Insyte, Covetrus, Columbus, OH 43204, USA) and attached injection cap (ICU Medical,
176	Inc., San Clemente, CA, 92673, USA). During exposure, cockroaches were monitored for
177	movement as described in the pilot study. An additional cotton ball soaked with 2 mL of liquid
178	isoflurane was added to the container for any groups that exhibited spontaneous movement at 1
179	hour beyond initial exposure. At induction, cockroaches were left unstimulated for an additional
180	30 minutes to allow peak anesthetic effects. A multi-gas analyzer (Mindray, Passport 12,
181	Mindray, Mahwah, NJ, USA) sampling and waste line was briefly and simultaneously attached
182	via a 22-gauge x 2.5 cm needle (Monoject TM , Cardinal Health, Dublin, OH 43017, USA) to each
183	container port at 5-minutes of exposure, anesthetic induction, and end-exposure for collection of



185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

O₂, CO₂, and isoflurane concentrations. At end-exposure, cockroaches were removed from the container and individually weighed, sexed, and assessed for spontaneous movement or response to manual manipulation. If absent for all individuals, the group randomly received a second step technique as described below.

Second Step (Freezing, Immersion, or Injection)

Following exposure to isoflurane for 30 minutes beyond anesthetic induction as described above, each group was exposed to one of three techniques. For freezing (n = 16 groups), cockroaches were placed in dorsal recumbency in individual compartments (4.2 x 3.0 x 4.3 cm or 4.4 x 4.9 x 5.0 cm) within clear, plastic, vented containers (Compartment Box, The Container Store, Coppell, TX 75019, USA) in one of two commercial-grade freezers (-18 °C or -80 °C) for a total of 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, or 24 hours. For immersion (n = 6 groups), cockroaches were group-immersed in 100 mL of 10% neutral buffered formalin (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA 02451 USA), 70% isopropyl alcohol (First Priority, Inc., Elgin, IL 60123, USA), or reverse-osmosis water in a 500 mL sealed, cylindrical plastic container and for 0.25 or 0.5 hours. Volume of solution was chosen to ensure coverage of spiracles and containers were gently agitated following addition of cockroaches. For injection (n = 2 groups), cockroaches were individually, manually restrained in sternal recumbency and injected intracoelomically along midline with potassium chloride (456 mEq/kg) (340 mg/mL; ACS Reagent 99.0-100.5%, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO 63118, USA) or pentobarbital-based euthanasia solution (3.9 g/kg) (390 mg/mL pentobarbital sodium, 50 mg/mL phenytoin sodium; Euthanasia Solution, Vedco Inc., Saint Joseph, MO 64507, USA) using a 25-gauge x 1.56 cm needle and 1 mL tuberculin syringe (MonojectTM, Cardinal Health, Dublin, OH 43017, USA). Injection targeted the thoracic ganglia



as described by Bennie *et al.* and doses were extrapolated from previous invertebrate literature and rounded to the nearest 0.01 mL (Bennie et al, Leary et al., 2020).

Following treatment in all groups, cockroaches were individually assessed for spontaneous movement or response to manual manipulation. If absent, cockroaches were placed in dorsal recumbency in individual compartments (4.2 x 3.0 x 4.3 cm or 4.4 x 4.9 x 5.0 cm) within clear, plastic, vented containers. Cockroaches were left unstimulated for 24 hours and then individually reassessed for spontaneous movement, response to manual manipulation, and/or ability to right themselves. If all were absent, euthanasia was considered successful. Any cockroach that demonstrated spontaneous movement, response to manual manipulation, or any other indicator of resumption of consciousness during the second step or recovery period was anesthetized via isoflurane exposure as described above and macerated via a blender (Ninja Professional PlusTM, SharkNinja Operating LLC, Needham, MA 02494, USA) to ensure complete disruption of the invertebrate ganglia (Dailey & Graves, 1976; Gullan & Cranston, 1994).

One Step Technique

221 Isoflurane Exposure for 24 hours

Ten naive Dubia cockroaches were group exposed to a cotton ball soaked with 2 mL of liquid isoflurane in a 1 L air-tight plastic container modified with two air-tight ports. Monitoring and assessment were performed as described above. Following group induction, cockroaches were left unstimulated for 24-hours. Collection of intra-container O₂, CO₂, and isoflurane concentrations was performed as previously described at induction and 1, 17, and 24 hours beyond induction. At end-exposure, cockroaches were individually weighed, sexed, and assessed for spontaneous movement or response to manual manipulation. If the latter were absent,



cockroaches were placed in dorsal recumbency in individual containers and monitored for recovery, as previously described.

Control

In each two-step technique, isoflurane exposure was continued for 30 minutes beyond anesthetic induction, however, this time point and subsequently total isoflurane exposure time was variable between groups. To assess this potential confounding factor, ten cockroaches of each species were group exposed to isoflurane for the longest exposure duration documented for each species in the previous trials. Exposure, monitoring and assessment, including collection of intra-container gas concentrations at end-exposure and monitoring for movement and response to manipulation following exposure, were performed as previously described. Time to group recovery was recorded.

Method Expansion

Techniques that were ≥90% (injectable) or 100% (all other techniques) successful in Dubia cockroaches were repeated in red runner, Madagascar hissing, and giant cave cockroaches. A 90% cutoff was chosen for injectable methods as these techniques have subjectively greater potential for inter-individual variability in response (e.g., technique failure, leakage from injection site). To minimize animal usage, only the minimum effective exposure duration for freezing or immersion was tested. Sample size limitations precluded isoflurane exposure for 24 hours in giant cave cockroaches. Methodology for each trial was performed as described above for Dubia cockroaches.

Statistical Analysis

Data was tested for normality using a Shapiro Wilk normality test and then analyzed via one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or Kruskal-Wallis test with either Tukey's or Dunn's



post-hoc test used for multiple comparisons, respectively. Data analyses were performed with a commercially available statistical software (Prism 7.0, GraphPad Software Inc, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA) and significance was set at P < 0.05.

255

256

252

253

254

Results

257 Overall median (range) body weight amongst test groups was 2.15 (1.1-3.6), 0.4 (0.1-1.5), 5.35 (1.8-11.1), and 6.1 (3.7-7.4) grams for Dubia (p = 0.1565), red runner (p = 0.8482), 258 Madagascar hissing (p = 0.5338), and giant cave (p = 0.0643) cockroaches, respectively, and was 259 260 not significantly different within each species. Overall, the male: female ratio for all principal test groups was 0.73:1 0.4:1, 0.84:1, and 0.41:1 for Dubia, red runner, Madagascar hissing, and giant 261 262 cave cockroaches, respectively. 263 Median (range) time to an esthetic induction amongst all groups in the principal study was 25.5 (12.8-35.7), 15.8 (8.0-22.5), 43.3 (26.8-50.0), and 66.7 (45.3-80.8) minutes for Dubia, red 264 runner, Madagascar hissing, and giant cave cockroaches, respectively; this was significantly 265 266 different between all groups (p < 0.0001). An additional isoflurane-soaked cotton ball was needed for two giant cave cockroach exposure groups (70% alcohol immersion for 0.25 hours 267 268 and isoflurane exposure for 24 hours). Six giant cave cockroaches responded to manipulation prior to administration of their target second step technique, thus, they were removed from the 269 study and euthanized as previously described. Median (range) isoflurane concentration at 270 271 induction was 19.7 (12.8-30.0), 13.3 (11.9-30.0), 18.9 (16.0-22.8), and 18.7 (15.3-30.0) % for the Dubia, red runner, Madagascar hissing, and giant cave cockroaches, respectively; this was not 272 significantly different between groups (p = 0.0891). Isoflurane concentration at end-exposure (ie, 273 274 30-minutes post-induction) was 30% (the limits of monitor detection) for 23/34, 6/7, 2/7, and 3/6





groups amongst Dubia, red runner, Madagascar hissing, and giant cave cockroaches, respectively. Median (range) CO₂ concentration at end-exposure was 4 (0-6), 2 (0-2), 7 (2-8), and 8 (6-9) mmHg for Dubia, red runner, Madagascar hissing, and giant cave cockroaches, respectively. For the 24-hour isoflurane exposure, isoflurane concentrations remained at 30% (the limits of monitor detection) until end-exposure for Dubia and red runner cockroaches, but, in Madagascar hissing cockroaches, concentrations fell to 16.14% in 17 hours and 11.22% in 24 hours, a 46.2% and 62.6% decrease, respectively.

Median (range) potassium chloride injection volume for Dubia, red runner, Madagascar hissing, and giant cave cockroaches was 0.17 (0.12-0.26), 0.03 (0.02-0.06), 0.63 (0.19-1.09), and 0.64 (0.56-0.74) mL, respectively. Median (range) pentobarbital-based euthanasia solution injection volume for Dubia, red runner, Madagascar hissing, and giant cave cockroaches was 0.01 (0.01-0.03), 0.01 (0.01), 0.08 (0.03-0.10), and 0.07 (0.05-0.07) mL, respectively.

Euthanasia success rates are reported in **Table 1**. In the control groups, all exposed cockroaches amongst all four species recovered. Aside from euthanasia, no other clinically apparent adverse effects were noted in any subject in any group. Of note, several females across all species expelled oothecas during the study.

Discussion

Across all species, isoflurane anesthesia followed by either 70% alcohol immersion for 0.25 or 0.5 hours (Dubia only) or isoflurane exposure for 24 hours resulted in euthanasia in 100% of cockroaches. Isoflurane anesthesia followed by freezing or injection with either pentobarbital-based euthanasia solution or potassium chloride were 100% effective for some



groups, but this varied by species and/or exposure duration. Cockroach-specific recommended euthanasia techniques based on the results of this study are presented in **Table 2**.

Euthanasia via isoflurane anesthesia followed by short-duration immersion in 70% isopropyl alcohol is consistent with previous recommendations for terrestrial invertebrate immersion euthanasia (Dombrowski & De Voe, 2007; Gilbertson & Wyatt, 2016; Pizzi, 2006). This technique is technically simple and requires minimal supplies, which are readily and widely accessible. In contrast to the other effective technique in this study (prolonged isoflurane exposure), 70% isopropyl alcohol immersion of anesthetized cockroaches necessitates a significantly shorter time commitment and, thus, may be more practical in a clinical setting. It is unknown if exposure times <0.25 hour would result in euthanasia.

It was hypothesized that immersion of anesthetized cockroaches in test solutions would inundate the respiratory system, impede oxygen uptake, and result in hypoxia and death. This was documented in the head louse (*Pediculosis humanus capitis*) whereby exposure to benzyl alcohol lotion prevented closing of the spiracle and the above-described pathogenesis (Meinking et al., 2010). However, if this hypothesis were true, all three tested solutions should have demonstrated equal efficacy, which was not the case in the current study. Alternatively, it is plausible that the chemical makeup of 70% isopropyl alcohol was responsible for irreversible unconsciousness, but the ultimate mechanism is unknown. To the authors' knowledge, no research has investigated the efficacy of immersion in reverse osmosis water and this technique was not effective in Dubia cockroaches. Similarly, while a single study demonstrated effectiveness of immersion in 10% neutral buffered formalin for the euthanasia of ethanolanesthetized land snails (*Succinea putris*) (Braun, Heatly & Chitty, 2006), this technique was not effective in the current study.



321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

Prolonged (>24 hour) exposure to isoflurane was also effective for euthanasia of Dubia, red runner, and Madagascar hissing cockroaches in the current study; recall that giant cave cockroaches were not tested due to sample size limitations. This technique was subjectively easy to implement, required minimal supplies, and necessitated only a single step. Interestingly, invertebrate guidelines widely recommend inhalant anesthesia (isoflurane, sevoflurane) for immobilization of terrestrial arthropods, however, prior to the current study, no investigation into the effects of prolonged exposure had been performed. Anecdotally, mortality is low following inhalant exposure (Cooper, 2001; Cooper, 2011; Dombrowski & De Voe, 2007). Target isoflurane exposure concentration (30%) was equivocally reached in all groups but there was a decrease in concentrations observed in the Madagascar hissing cockroaches in the prolonged (>24 hour) isoflurane exposure. This may have been the result of increased inhalant uptake in a larger cockroach species or due to a leak in the container. A repeat trial with the same species or one of similar size could help elucidate this etiology. The effectiveness of prolonged exposure of isoflurane-anesthetized cockroaches to freezing temperatures in the current study was both species and exposure duration dependent. Exposure to -18 °C or -80 °C for > 1 hour or > 30 minutes, respectively, was 100% effective for euthanasia of Dubia cockroaches in the current study. Of the remaining three species, red runner cockroaches were the only other species with 100% effectiveness at both temperatures. However, as only a single exposure duration was tested in these species, results should be interpreted as preliminary and caution practiced accordingly. There is extensive literature regarding and anecdotal usage of freezing for immobilization and euthanasia of cockroaches and other

invertebrates (Bradt, Hoback & Kard, 2018; Braun, Heatly & Chitty, 2006; Copper, 2012;

Lubawy et al., 2019; Lubawy & Słocińska, 2020).



344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

been further investigation into possible freeze tolerance in invertebrate species. It has been suggested that freeze-tolerant insects can control the quantity and quality of ice formation within their exoskeletons by disrupting the cellular dehydration and mechanical destruction that freezing temperatures can induce (Toxopeus & Sinclair, 2018). One study demonstrated the physiological durability of Madagascar cockroaches (Gromphadorinha coquereliana) to tolerate colder temperatures (-4.76 °C), which was consistent with findings observed in this study (Lubawy et al., 2019). Other documented freeze tolerant cockroach species include the New Zealand alpine cockroach (Celatoblatta quinquemaculata) and the brown-hooded cockroach (Cryptocercus punctulatus) (Sinclair, 1997; Hamilton, 1985; Worland, Wharton & Byars, 2004). In contrast, the American cockroach (*Periplaneta americana*) was unable to survive temperature extremes (Bradt, Hoback & Kard, 2018). Based upon the previously described evidence of freeze tolerance across different species and the different efficacy in treatment groups observed in this study, freezing may be an effective method of euthanasia in some species of cockroach but not in others. Efficacy of pentobarbital-based euthanasia solution and potassium chloride in the current study were 90% or greater for all tested species. As each test group only had 10 individuals, this translates to technique failure in < 1 cockroach amongst each group. While the etiology of failure is unknown, it is possible that technical error (drug leakage from injection site, injection failure)

may have played a role. These findings are consistent with previous evidence-based studies

investigating injectable euthanasia techniques in invertebrate species (Battison et al., 2000;

Given the variety of temperature extremes that cockroaches naturally inhabit, there has

364 Bennie et al., 2012; Heniff et al., 2023).



While an injectable method of euthanasia may be appropriate for individual animals, there are potential downsides to this technique. First, injection may not be efficient or clinically practical for a large cohort of cockroaches which often present as part of research or feeder colonies. Additionally, injectable drugs may leave tissue residues that limit subsequent use of the tissue as a foodstuff. Finally, if postmortem analysis is desired, an injectable method may negatively affect histopathology results. Histopathologic analysis was outside the scope of the current study, but in a study of American cockroaches (*Periplaneta americana*), organic solvents including acetone, hexane, and chloroform affected gross pathology results (Patton & Sakaria, 1958).

Body weight can positively or negatively influence induction time of topical anesthetics in fish, amphibians, and aquatic invertebrates, but this has not been documented in terrestrial invertebrates (Li et al., 2020; Popovic et al., 2012; Zec et al., 2014). In the current study, median time to anesthetic induction was over four times faster in red runner cockroaches (species of a smaller body weight) compared to giant cave cockroaches (species of larger body weight). In contrast, American green tree frogs (*Dryophytes cinereus*) of a larger body weight had a faster induction time than those of a smaller body when exposed to topical isoflurane (Zec et al., 2014). The significance of this finding in the current study is unknown, but explanations may include differences in anatomy or physiology, dosing requirements, or true effects of body weight.

Aside from the expulsion of ootheca in several females across different treatment cohorts, no significant adverse effects were observed in this study. Possible explanations for ootheca protrusion include behavioral stress, muscle relaxation, or a combination. Given the lack of other





signs characteristic of distress (muscle tremors, hyperexcitability, spiracle contraction), this is likely a result of muscle relaxation.

Several limitations were encountered in the current study. Namely, there was a lack of sufficient number of clinically applicable indicators of invertebrate unconsciousness and death, small sample sizes, and the use of only four cockroach species despite the diversity of this taxon. Measurement of heart rates is commonly used to confirm successful euthanasia, however, while cardiac monitoring has been described, it has been determined to be unfeasible in the majority of invertebrate species, including the species in this study (Battison et al., 2000; Coelho & Amaya, 2000; Copper, 2012). Additionally, there are no standardized assessments for cockroach consciousness or pain.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this study provides a comprehensive and evidence-based assessment of euthanasia techniques in Dubia, red runner, Madagascar hissing, and giant cave cockroaches. As such, prolonged (24 hour) exposure to isoflurane or isoflurane anesthesia followed by short duration (≥0.25 hour) immersion in 70% isopropyl alcohol may be considered for euthanasia of cockroach species. This study provides the first evidence-based assessment of euthanasia techniques across several different species of cockroach.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the streamside keepers and ambassador animal staff of the North Carolina Zoo for their assistance in the care of these cockroaches.



410 References

- 1. Battison A, MacMillan R, MacKenzie A, Rose P. Cawthorn R, Horney B. 2000. Use of
- 412 Injectable Potassium Chloride for Euthansia of American Lobsters (*Homarus*
- 413 *americanus*). *Comparative Medicine*, 50(5):545-550.
- 2. Bennie NAC, Loaring CD, Bennie MMG, Trim SA. 2012. An effective method for
- 415 terrestrial arthropod euthanasia. *Journal of Exploratory Biology*, 215:4237-4241. DOI:
- 416 10.1242/jeb.074997.
- 3. Biro, P. 2014. Calculation of volatile anaesthetics consumption from agent concentration
- and fresh gas flow. *Acta Anaesthesiolgica Scandinavica*, 58(8):968-972. DOI:
- 419 10.1111/aas.12374.
- 4. Bradt DL, Hoback WW, Kard BM. 2018. American Cockroach Response to Cold
- 421 Temperatures. *Southwestern Entomologist*, 43(2):335-342. DOI: 10.3958/059.043.0205.
- 5. Braun ME, Heatley JH, Chitty J. 2006. Clinical Techniques of Invertebrates. *Veterinary*
- 423 *Clinics of North America: Exotic Animal Practice*, 9:205-221. DOI:
- 424 10.1016/j.cvex.2006.02.001.
- 425 6. Coelho FC, Amaya CC. 2000. Measuring the heart rate of the spider, Aphonopelma
- 426 hentzi: a non-invasive technique. *Physiological Entomology*, 25:167-171. DOI:
- 427 10.1046/j.1365-3032.2000.00182.x.
- 7. Cooper JE. 2001. Invertebrate anesthesia. *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Exotic*
- 429 Animal Practice, 4:57-67. DOI: 10.1016/s1094-9194(17)30051-8.
- 430 8. Cooper JE. 2011. Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Euthanasia of Invertebrates. *Institute for*
- 431 Laboratory Animal Research Journal, 52(2):196-204. DOI: 10.1093/ilar.52.2.196.



- 9. Cooper JE. 2012. Insects. In: Lewbart GA. ed. *Invertebrate Medicine*. 2nd ed. Ames IA:
- 433 Blackwell Publishing; 267-283.
- 10. Dailey PJ, Graves RC. Gross Internal Anatomy of the Madagascar Hissing Cockroach
- 435 Gromphadorhina portentosa. Annals Entomological Society of America, 1976;69(4):609-
- 436 616.
- 437 11. Dombrowski D, De Voe R. 2007. Emergency Care of Invertebrates. *Veterinary Clinics of*
- 438 *North America: Exotic Animal Practice*, 10:621-645. DOI: 10.1016/j.cvex.2007.01.005.
- 439 12. D'Ovidio D, Monticelli P, Adami C. 2021. Isoflurane anesthesia in budwing praying
- mantises (*Parasphendale agrionia*). Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine, 52(3):1013-
- 441 1017. DOI: 10.1638/2020-0195.
- 13. Drinkwater E, Robinson EJH, Hart AG. 2019. Keeping invertebrate research ethical in a
- landscape of shifting public opinion. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 10:1265-1273.
- 444 DOI: 10.1111/2041-210X.13208.
- 14. Elwood RW. 2011. Pain and suffering in invertebrates? *Institute of Laboratory Animal*
- 446 *Research Journal*, 52: 175-184. DOI: 10.1093/ilar.52.2.175.
- 15. Gilbertson CR, Wyatt JD. 2016. Evaluation of Euthanasia Techniques for an Invertebrate
- Species, Land Snail (Succinea putris). Journal of the American Association for
- 449 *Laboratory Animal Science*, 55(5):577-581.
- 450 16. Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. 1994. The nervous system and coordination. *In*: The Insects-An
- Outline of Entomology. London: Chapman and Hall. 61-65.
- 452 17. Hamilton, RL. 1985. Cold-acclimation of the wood cockroach *Cryptocercus punctulatus*
- 453 (Scudder) (Dictyoptera: Cryptocercidae). Virginia Tech University, Blacksburg, VA.



of Ivermectin or Potassium Chloride for Euthanasia of Anesthetized Thorny Devil Stick 455 Insects (Eurycantha calcarata). Journal of Zoological and Botanical Gardens, 4(1): 231-456 239. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/jzbg4010020. 457 19. Lubawy J, Daburon V, Chowański S, Słocińska M, Colinet H. 2019. Thermal stress 458 459 causes DNA damage and mortality in a tropical insect. Journal of Experimental Biology, 222. DOI: 10.1242/jeb.213744. 460 20. Lubawy J, Słocińska M. 2020. Characterization of Gromphadorhina coquereliana 461 462 hemolymph under cold stress. Scientific Reports, 10:12076. 21. Li Y, She Q, Han Z, Liu X, Li X. 2020. Anaesthetic Effects of Eugenol on Grass Shrimp 463 464 (*Palaemonetes sinesis*) of Different Sizes at Different Concentrations and Temperatures. 465 Scientific Reports, 8(1): 11007. 22. MacMillian HA, Nørgård M, MacLean HJ, Overgaard J, Williams CJA. 2017. A critical 466 test of Drosophila anaesthetics: Isoflurane and sevoflurane are benign alternatives to cold 467 and CO₂. Journal of Insect Physiology, 101:97-106. DOI: 468 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2017.07.005. 469 23. McCallion K, Petersen K, Dombrowski DS, Shane L, Lewbart GA, Dillard J. 2021. 470 Isoflurane Anesthesia in the Madagascar Hissing Cockroach (Gromphadorhina 471 472 portentosa). Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine, 2021;52(2):710-714. DOI: 473 10.1638/2020-0153. 24. Meinking TL, Villar ME, Vicaria M, Eyerdam DH, Paquet D, Mertz-Rivera K, Rivera 474 475 HF, Hiriart J, Reyna S. 2010. The Clinical Trials Supporting Benzyl Alcohol Lotion 5%

18. Heniff AC, Gorges MA, Lewbart GA, Balko JA, 2023. Evaluation of Targeted Injections



476 (UlefsiaTM): A Safe and Effective Topical Treatment for Head Lice (pediculosis humanus capitus). Pediatr Dermatol. 27(1):19-24. DOI: 10.1111/j.1525-1470.2009.01059.x. 477 25. Murray MJ. 2012. Euthanasia. In: Lewbart GA, ed. Invertebrate Medicine. 2nd ed. Ames 478 IA: Blackwell Publishing, 441-443. 479 26. Patton RL, Sarkaria DS. 1958. The Gross Pathology of the American Cockroach 480 481 Following Injection with Organic Solvents. Journal of Economic Entomology, 51(5):663-665. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/51.5.663. 482 27. Pizzi R. 2006. Spiders. In: Lewbart GA, ed. *Invertebrate Medicine*. 1st ed. Ames IA: 483 484 Blackwell Publishing; 143-168. 28. Popovic NT, Strunjak-Perovic I, Coz-Rakovac R, Barisic J, Jadan M, Berakovic AP, 485 Klobucar RS. 2012. Tricaine methane-sulfonate (MS-222) application in fish anaesthesia. 486 487 Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 28(4):553–564. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2012.01950.x. 488 29. Sinclair B. 1997. Seasonal variation in freezing tolerance of the New Zealand alpine 489 cockroach Celatoblatta quinquemaculata. Ecological Entomology, 22(4):462-467. DOI: 490 10.1046/j.1365-2311.1997.00087.x. 491 492 30. Toxopeus J, Sinclair BJ. 2018. Mechanisms underlying insect freeze tolerance. *Biological* Reviews, 93:1891-1914. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12425. 493 31. Leary S, Underwood W, Anthony R, Cartner S, Grandin T, Greenacre C, Gwaltney-Brant 494 495 S, McCrackin MA, Meyer R, Miller D, Shearer J, Turner T, Yanong R. 2020. AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals: 2020 Edition. American Veterinary Medical 496 497 Association.





498	32. Worland MR, Wharton DA, Byars SG. 2004. Intracellular freezing and survival in the
499	freeze tolerant alpine Cockroach Celatoblatta quinquemaculata. Journal of Insect
500	Physiology, 50(2-3):225-232. DOI: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2003.12.001.
501	33. Zachariah TT, Mitchell MA, Guichard CM, Sing RS. 2009. Isoflurane anesthesia of wild
502	caught goliath birdeater spiders (Theraphosa blondi) and Chilean rose spiders
503	(Grammostola rosea). Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine, 40(2):347–49. DOI:
504	<u>10.1638/2007-0060.1</u> .
505	34. Zec S, Clark-Price SC, Coleman DA, Mitchell MA. 2014. Loss and Return of Righting
506	Reflex in American Green Tree Frogs (Hyla cinerea) after Topical Application of
507	Compounded Sevoflurane or Isoflurane Jelly: A Pilot Study. Journal of Herpetological
508	<i>Medicine and Surgery</i> , 24(3-4): 72-76. DOI: <u>10.5818/1529-9651-24.3.72</u> .
509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529	





530	FIGURES AND TABLES
531	Table 1: Euthanasia techniques with duration periods/dosages explored across all four species of
532	cockroaches with mortality (%) calculated from number per group. Boxes are colored-coded
533	according to the efficacy of the techniques. Red=0-69%, Yellow=70-99%, Green=100%,
534	Gray=technique not performed.
535	Table 2: The recommended and not recommended euthanasia techniques for cockroach species
536	based on the results of this study.



Table 1(on next page)

Results of Investigated Euthanasia Techniques in Four Species of Cockroaches

Euthanasia techniques with duration periods/dosages explored across all four species of cockroaches with mortality (%) calculated from number per group. Boxes are colored-coded according to the efficacy of the techniques. Red=0-69%, Yellow=70-99%, Green=100%, Gray=technique not performed.



	Mortality (%) by Species				
Treatment		B. dubia	S. lateralis	G. portentosa	B. giganteus
Freezing	Duration (h)				
-18C	0.25	10			
	0.5	10			
	1	100	100	20	90
	2	100			
	4	100			
	8	100			
	16	100			
	24	100			
-80C	0.25	70			
	0.5	100	100	90	100
	1	100			
	2	100			
	4	100			
	8	100			
	16	100			
	24	100			
Immersion	Duration (h)				
Water	0.25	20			
	0.5	10			
Formalin	0.25	0			
	0.5	40			
Alcohol	0.25	100	100	100	100
	0.5	100			
Injection	Dosage				
Potassium Chloride (mEq/kg)	456	90	100	100	100
Pentobarbital (g/kg)	3.9	90	100	90	100
Inhalant Overdose	Duration (h)				
Isoflurane	24	100	100	100	



Table 2(on next page)

Recommended and Not Recommended Euthanasia Techniques

The recommended and not recommended euthanasia techniques for cockroach species based on the results of this study.



PeerJ

1

	Recommended Duration/Dosage by Species					
Treatments	B. dubia	S. lateralis	G. portentosa	B. giganteus		
Freezing at -18C (h)	>1	>1	NR	NR		
Freezing at -80C (h)	>0.5	>0.5	NR	>0.5		
Alcohol Immersion (h)	>0.25	>0.25	>0.25	>0.25		
Potassium Chloride (mEq/kg)	NR	456	456	456		
Pentobarbital (g/kg)	NR	3.9	NR	3.9		
Inhalant Overdose (h)	>24	>24	>24	NE		

NR = Not Recommended; NE = Not Evaluated

2