

1 **Glomeromycota Associations with Bamboos** 2 **(Bambusoideae) Worldwide: A Qualitative Systematic** 3 **Review of a Promising Symbiosis**

4
5
6 Juan José Sánchez-Matiz¹, Lucía Ana Díaz-Ariza¹

7
8 ¹ Laboratorio de Asociaciones Suelo-Planta-Microorganismo, Facultad de Ciencias,
9 Departamento de Biología, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá D.C., Colombia.

10
11 Corresponding Author:

12 Lucía Ana Díaz-Ariza¹

13 Cra. 7 #40-62, Bogotá D.C., Zip code: 110231, Colombia

14 Email address: luciaana@javeriana.edu.co

15 16 **Abstract**

17 **Background.** Around the world, bamboos are ecologically, economically, and culturally important
18 plants, particularly in tropical regions of Asia, America, and Africa. The association of this plant group
19 with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi belonging to the phylum Glomeromycota is still a poorly studied field,
20 which limits understanding of the reported ecological and physiological benefits for the plant, fungus,
21 soil, and ecosystems under this symbiosis relationship.

22
23 **Methods.** Through a qualitative systematic review following the PRISMA framework for the collection,
24 synthesis, and reporting of evidence, this paper presents a compilation of the research conducted on the
25 biology and ecology of the symbiotic relationship between Glomeromycota and Bambusoideae from
26 around the world. This review is based on academic databases enriched with documents retrieved using
27 different online databases and the Google Scholar search engine.

28
29 **Results.** The literature search yielded over 6000 publications, from which 18 studies were included in the
30 present review after a process of selection and validation. The information gathered from the publications
31 included over 25 bamboo species and nine Glomeromycota genera from eight families, distributed across
32 five countries on two continents.

33
34 **Conclusion.** This review presents the current state of knowledge regarding the symbiosis between
35 Glomeromycota and Bambusoideae, while reflecting on the challenges and scarcity of research on this
36 promising association found across the world.

37
38 **Subjects.** Mycology, Plant Science

39
40 **Keywords.** Glomeromycota, Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi, Bambusoideae, Bamboo, Symbiosis

41 Introduction

42 Bamboos belong to the subfamily Bambusoideae within the Poaceae family (commonly known
43 as grasses) (Clark et al., 2015) and tend to be evergreen plants that flower at prolonged intervals,
44 after which some species die (Banik, 2015). They are a highly versatile group of fast-growing
45 plants for which more than 4000 traditional uses and 1500 commercial applications have been
46 reported (Hsiung, 1988) and are currently used as fuel, building material, raw material for the
47 paper industry, and even as a resource for creating artisanal crafts (Singh et al., 2020). These
48 reasons, along with the resistance properties of their fibers, have earned them the nickname
49 "vegetable steel" (Amada et al., 1997).

50 Furthermore, due to their phenotypic plasticity, bamboo plants are extensively cultivated
51 in numerous regions around the world — in 2007, 36.8 million hectares were counted across
52 temperate, tropical, and subtropical regions (Lobovikov et al., 2007). Most cultivation areas are
53 concentrated in Asia, America, and Africa (Bystriakova et al., 2004), coinciding with the areas of
54 the world that most utilize these plants (de Moura et al., 2019), as well as where their centers of
55 diversity are found. According to Soreng et al. (2022), there are around 136 genera and 1698
56 species of bamboo distributed in the aforementioned zones, with tropical Asia considered the
57 center of bamboo diversity, harboring around 53 genera and 550 species (Bystriakova et al.,
58 2003).

59 Plants do not exist as isolated entities but as complex communities where their organs
60 and tissues constitute diverse niches for microorganisms (Kothe & Turnau, 2018). One of the
61 central themes surrounding the organisms associated with plants ~~are-is~~ the interactions (not
62 always beneficial) generated with fungi, for which those established with the phylum
63 Glomeromycota stand out (Gehring & Johnson, 2017). This phylum comprises a monophyletic
64 clade of fungi whose members (~~with the exception of except for~~ the species *Geosiphon pyriformis*
65 (Kütz.) F. West.) are all obligate mutualistic symbionts of plants, better known as arbuscular
66 mycorrhizal fungi or AMF (Smith & Read, 2008).

67 These fungal symbionts, which include over 350 described and accepted morphological
68 species, establish associations with the roots of the vast majority of terrestrial plants around the
69 world. According to recent estimates, this value surpasses 60% or even 80% of plant species on
70 the planet (Heijden et al., 2015; Smith & Read, 2008; Prasad et al., 2017; Brundrett & Tedersoo,
71 2018), with the exceptions being a few plant families that do not exhibit any type of association,
72 such as Amaranthaceae, Brassicaceae, Chenopodiaceae, Caryophyllaceae, Juncaceae,
73 Cyperaceae, and Polygonaceae (Brundrett, 2009).

74 Once they colonize the roots of the host plant, AMF ~~have the ability to can~~ develop
75 extensive extraradical networks of mycelium that grow three-dimensionally in the soil matrix
76 and specialize in capturing mineral nutrients and water. These nutrients and water are
77 subsequently transported and translocated to the interior of the plant symbiont in exchange for an
78 energy reward for the AMF in the form of carbohydrates, which are produced through
79 photosynthesis (Smith & Read, 2008).

80 Of all the microorganisms present in soils, Glomeromycota fungi are fundamental to the
81 maintenance and functionality of numerous ecosystem processes. Their symbiotic establishment
82 is related to the development and growth of the plants with which they associate, the
83 maintenance of plant diversity, nutrient cycling, phosphorus solubilization, the facilitation of
84 water and nutrient capture, and soil aggregation, among other ecosystem contributions
85 (Marulanda et al., 2003; Silva-Flores et al., 2019; Heijden et al., 2015).

86 The objective of this systematic review is to provide a summary of the current state of
87 qualitative knowledge reported in the global scientific literature regarding the symbiosis between
88 Glomeromycota fungi and bamboo plants of the Bambusoideae subfamily with which they
89 associate worldwide. This group of plants, once considered the “wood of the poor,” is now
90 recognized as “green gold” and presents particularly promising perspectives for environmental
91 issues and a rapidly growing market (Sandhu et al., 2017). Thus, requires the development of
92 strategies to propagate bamboo species quickly and economically, and the stimulation of their
93 growth and development can be achieved through the use of beneficial microorganisms such as
94 AMF (Zamora-Chacón et al., 2019; de Moura et al., 2019).

95

96 **Materials & Methods**

97 The present study utilizes a literature search and review process that is summarized in the
98 PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) flowchart,
99 adapted from Page et al. (2021) and Moher et al. (2009). This flowchart follows a clear
100 separation of the stages of identification, screening, eligibility, and inclusion (Fig. 1). An updated
101 PRISMA checklist (Page et al., 2021) with a guide specific to this review is presented in the
102 supplementary material (Table S1).

103 **Search strategies and inclusion criteria**

104 To gather relevant literature on the symbiotic association between Glomeromycota and
105 bamboo plants (Bambusoideae) worldwide, academic and bibliographic databases including
106 Scopus, Web of Science, Wiley Online Library, Taylor and Francis Online, Elsevier Science
107 Direct, and Springer Link, as well as the search engine Google Scholar, were utilized.
108 Documents published up until the search date of February 2023 were recovered using the
109 following search equation with keywords and Boolean operators: (Glomeromycota OR
110 “arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi” OR arbuscular OR mycorrhiza OR AMF) AND (Bambusoideae
111 OR Bamboo) AND (Association OR symbiosis).

112 The search was conducted and assessed by both authors using both English and Spanish
113 terms, without imposing language restrictions or applying time restrictions in terms of the date of
114 publication to avoid potential bias. This initial search resulted in over 6300 publications, from
115 which scientific articles published in peer-reviewed and indexed journals were selected, while
116 books, book chapters, and reviews were excluded. The reference lists of the selected literature
117 and articles were used to find additional articles, resulting in a total of 18 scientific articles
118 included in the final review (Fig. 1).

119 **Data extraction, compilation, and exclusion criteria**

120 The following information related to the focal association was extracted from the
121 compiled selected articles: (1) the country where the study was conducted, (2) the compartment
122 used to perform the taxonomic identification of Glomeromycota specimens, whether it was the
123 soil associated with bamboo plants, bamboo roots, or both; (3) the taxonomic genus of the
124 bamboo host of the AMF, (4) the species (and, if known, variety) of bamboo hosting the AMF;
125 (5) the family, (6) genus, and (7) species (if identified) of the Glomeromycota fungi associated
126 with bamboo, as well as (8) the type of identification used to determine the taxonomic categories
127 of the AMF found, whether it was morphological or molecular (i.e. based on phylogenetic
128 markers).

129 From these documents, secondary information related to the symbiosis established
130 between Glomeromycota and Bambusoideae was also extracted and discussed, particularly
131 information that referred to the effects of the establishment of symbiosis between these groups of
132 fungi and plants. As such, out of the total number of publications found and selected, those that
133 did not explicitly state the taxonomic identity (at any level) of the plant and its associated
134 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus, beyond the generic classifications of "bamboos" and
135 "Glomeromycota," were excluded from the meta-synthesis, as well as publications classified as
136 "grey literature".

137 Lastly, while the taxonomic information extracted from the mentioned documents was
138 not modified, it was verified according to the latest updates in the mycological databases Index
139 Fungorum (<http://www.indexfungorum.org/>) and MycoBank (<http://www.mycobank.org/>) for the
140 Glomeromycota fungi, and according to the freely accessible book "World Checklist of Bamboos
141 and Rattans" for the bamboo plants (Vorontsova et al., 2016).

142

143 **Figure 1. Workflow displaying the search, selection, and eligibility criteria applied to the**
144 **literature, adapted from the PRISMA 2020 guidelines for reporting new systematic reviews**
145 **(Page et al., 2021).**

146

147 RESULTS

148 Worldwide studies on AMF-bamboo association

149 In the field of mycorrhizal symbiosis established between Glomeromycota and
150 Bambusoideae, most studies have been carried out in Asia, particularly in India (Bhattacharya et
151 al., 2002; Debnath et al., 2015; Jamaluddin & Turvedi, 1997; S. Das et al., 2021; P. Das &
152 Kayang, 2010; Jha et al., 2011; Parkash et al., 2019; Babu & Reddy, 2010; Ravikumar et al.,
153 1997; Verma & Arya, 1998; Muthukumar & Udaiyan, 2006; Singh et al., 2020) and China (Guo
154 et al., 2023; Xing et al., 2021; Qin, et al., 2017a; Qin, et al., 2017b; Jin et al., 2022; M. Zhang et
155 al., 2022; X. Zhang et al., 2019; Weixin et al., 2013). There are also some studies from Indonesia
156 (Mansir et al., 2021; Kramadibrata et al., 2007; Kramadibrata, 2011) and one each from Sri
157 Lanka and Japan (Mafaziya et al., 2019; Fukuchi et al., 2011). However, from the Americas,
158 only one report has been published by an indexed journal, a study carried out in Brazil (de Moura
159 et al., 2019), while no studies were reported from other continents or areas where bamboos may

160 establish and develop. Nevertheless, not all the studies mentioned were included in the review
161 for the reasons previously described, leading to a reduced number of publications presented in
162 *Fig. 2*, which summarizes how many publications were carried out in each country. The
163 Bambusoideae taxa reported from each country and where the presence of the association with
164 Glomeromycota has been described are presented in *Table 1*, and the taxonomic groups of
165 Glomeromycota reported in the selected studies from each country are presented in *Table 2*.
166

167 **Figure 2. Map showing the number of studies from each country that include symbiotic**
168 **associations between Glomeromycota and bamboos and identify both symbionts at least to**
169 **the taxonomic level of the family.**

170
171 **Table 1. Countries from which bamboo genera and/or species associated with**
172 **Glomeromycota have been reported.** 1 (Jamaluddin & Turvedi, 1997), 2 (Jha et al., 2011), 3
173 (Mafaziya et al., 2019), 4 (Parkash et al., 2019), 5 (Kramadibrata, 2011), 6 (Weixin et al., 2013),
174 7 (P. Das & Kayang, 2010), 8 (deMoura et al., 2019), 9 (Kramadibrata et al., 2007), 10 (Husein
175 et al., 2022), 11 (Verma & Arya, 1998), 12 (Ravikumar et al., 1997), 13 (Muthukumar &
176 Udaiyan, 2006), 14 (Bhattacharya et al., 2002), 15 (Babu & Reddy, 2010), 16 (Mansir et al.,
177 2021), 17 (Qin, et al., 2017a), 18 (Qin, et al., 2017b).
178

179 **Table 2. Countries from which Glomeromycota families and/or genera associated with**
180 **bamboo (Bambusoideae) have been reported, along with the specific reference of the report**
181 **of each fungal taxa. Ni (Not identified)**
182

183 **Categorization and description of the general framework of the studies**

184 In the studies, some of the most common approaches involve analyzing rhizospheric and
185 non-rhizospheric soils in search of AMF spores, from which a morphological evaluation is
186 carried out that in most cases results in genus-level resolution, with some being able to identify
187 further to species level. A considerably smaller percentage of studies were found to apply
188 molecular approaches. These use BLAST and specific fungal sequence databases to search for
189 genetic resemblances with previously described and reported species. Other studies that include
190 an analysis of the host bamboo roots often calculate the percentage of mycorrhization or root
191 colonization by AMF and relate it to edaphic or biotic variables of the bamboo. For example,
192 through an analysis of rhizospheric soils from several species of bamboo and their microbial
193 communities, Xing et al. (2021) found that at the phylum level, the relative abundance of
194 Glomeromycota was higher in *Phyllostachys edulis* than in other species of bamboo such as
195 *Phyllostachys sulphurea*, *Phyllostachys bambusoides*, *Sinobambusa tootsik* and *Sasa auricoma*.
196 Meanwhile, Jamaluddin & Turvedi (1997) planted a bambusetum with thirteen different bamboo
197 species corresponding to *Bambusa vulgaris* var. *vittata* and var. *striata*, *Bambusa nutans*,
198 *Bambusa nana*, *Bambusa bambos*, *Bambusa arundinacea*, *Bambusa burmanica*, *Bambusa*
199 *polymorpha*, *Cephalostachyum pergracile*, *Dendrocalamus asper*, *Dendrocalamus strictus*,

200 *Dendrocalamus membranaceus* and *Melocanna baccifera*, and after a subsequent evaluation of
201 their roots, reported that all of them established associations with AMF. Another study included
202 *Bambusa bambos* from three different sampling sites, and all presented the presence of AMF in
203 their rhizospheric soils (Mafaziya et al., 2019). Kramadibrata et al. (2007) studied soil samples
204 from several species of bamboo in Java, Indonesia, and Kramadibrata (2011) described AMF
205 from soils associated with eight species of bamboo on the island of Sumba, Indonesia. More
206 recently, de Moura et al. (2019) characterized the AMF community associated with the bamboo
207 species *Actinocladum verticillatum* and *Bambusa vulgaris* var. *vittata* in Brazil, which showed
208 no significant differences in colonization rates, and Das & Kayang (2010) described that
209 *Bambusa tulda* exhibits an Arum-type AMF colonization, while other bamboo species
210 (*Dendrocalamus hookeri*, *Dendrocalamus hamiltonii*, and *Phyllostachys mannii*) present a Paris-
211 type colonization.

212 Other cases include Fukuchi et al. (2011), who described how the Japanese bamboo
213 species *Sasa senanensis* establishes arbuscular mycorrhizal associations with Glomeromycota;
214 however, they did not report the fungal taxonomy. Debnath et al. (2015) evaluated the presence
215 of AMF in the roots of several bamboo species from two sampling sites in India. The first
216 sampling site included the species *Bambusa balcooa*, *B. tulda*, *B. bambos*, *B. cacherensis*, *B.*
217 *tuldoides*, *Dendrocalamus hamiltonii*, *D. asper*, and *Oxytenanthera nigrociliata*, while the
218 second contained only two species, *Bambusa vulgaris* and *Bambusa polymorpha*. All species
219 from both sites presented roots colonized by AMF. It has also been noted that the bamboo
220 species *Dendrocalamus strictus* is moderately sensitive to AMF colonization, with the presence
221 of such association being restricted to lateral roots, particularly those of the third and second
222 order, respectively, but rarely in the first order roots (Bhattacharya et al., 2002).

223 Based on the aforementioned considerations and information available from each study,
224 the primary factor in categorizing these studies was the type of tools used for the identification of
225 Glomeromycota species or taxonomic groups associated with each bamboo species or group,
226 whether through molecular tools, morphological tools, or both (an event that was not observed).
227 The secondary categorization of these studies involved the compartment type used for such
228 taxonomic identification, which could vary depending on the identification technique, since
229 molecular techniques are not restricted by the type of compartment (roots or soil), while
230 morphological techniques can only explore spores extracted from the soil given that exact
231 identification within the roots is practically impossible (Smith & Read, 2008). This
232 categorization allows for an assessment of tools used and compartments analyzed per
233 Bambusoideae species or groups, as shown in *Table 3*, where it is evident that the only
234 compartment studied in all studies, regardless of the AMF identification technique, was soil. It is
235 also apparent that the molecular identification of groups within Glomeromycota was only
236 performed in two studies, both on the same bamboo species, *Phyllostachys edulis*.

237 **AMF composition associated with bamboos**

238 In terms of the Glomeromycota diversity associated with bamboo species, only richness
239 approximations, such as the number of reported species, and composition, such as the assignment

240 of taxonomic identity to the group, were considered in the selected studies. Most studies
 241 taxonomically resolved the isolated morphological species from the soils associated with
 242 different bamboo species to the genus level, with some cases reaching a finer resolution to the
 243 species level. In some studies, although the presence of several morphological species within a
 244 given genera-genus was recognized, the species was not reported, as in Mafaziya et al. (2019).
 245 *Table 3* summarizes the genera and families of Glomeromycota fungi identified in soils
 246 associated with every bamboo species reported (grouped by genus), as well as the type of
 247 identification and the compartment from which the specimens were obtained. *Figure 3* presents
 248 the bamboo genera and species associated with the Glomeromycota genera described in the
 249 selected documents, showing that *Glomus* and *Acaulospora* are the AMF genera associated with
 250 the most bamboo genera (eight each) and species (25 and 23, respectively). *Gigaspora* followed
 251 with associations with six genera and 14 bamboo species, while *Sclerocystis* and *Scutellospora*
 252 recorded five genera and 12 bamboo species each, and *Ambispora*, *Claroideoglomus*, and
 253 *Diversispora* associated with two genera and two bamboo species each. *Entrophospora* and an
 254 unidentified Glomeromycota genus were reported to associate with only one bamboo species
 255 within a single bamboo genus-each.

257 **Table 3. Identification method and compartment type from which Glomeromycota have**
 258 **been reported in different bamboo species, as well as the fungal groups described for each**
 259 **bamboo at the genus and family levels.** Ac (Acaulosporaceae), Am (Ambisporaceae), Ar
 260 (Archaeosporaceae), Cl (Claroideoglomeraceae), Di (Diversisporaceae), Gi (Gigasporaceae), Gl
 261 (Glomeraceae), Pa (Paraglomeraceae), *Aca* (*Acaulospora*), *Ent* (*Entrophospora*), Ni (Not
 262 identified), *Amb* (*Ambispora*), *Cla* (*Claroideoglomus*), *Div* (*Diversispora*), *Gig* (*Gigaspora*), *Scu*
 263 (*Scutellospora*), *Glo* (*Glomus*) and *Scl* (*Sclerocystis*); 1 (Jamaluddin & Turvedi, 1997), 2 (Jha et
 264 al., 2011), 3 (Mafaziya et al., 2019), 4 (Parkash et al., 2019), 5 (Kramadibrata, 2011), 6 (Weixin
 265 et al., 2013), 7 (P. Das & Kayang, 2010), 8 (deMoura et al., 2019), 9 (Kramadibrata et al., 2007),
 266 10 (Husein et al., 2022), 11 (Verma & Arya, 1998), 12 (Ravikumar et al., 1997), 13
 267 (Muthukumar & Udaiyan, 2006), 14 (Bhattacharya et al., 2002), 15 (Babu & Reddy, 2010), 16
 268 (Mansir et al., 2021), 17 (Qin, et al., 2017a), 18 (Qin, et al., 2017b).

270 **Figure 3. ~~Chord~~ The chord diagram of the bamboos was reported to associate with**
 271 **Glomeromycota.** On the left, the genera of Bambusoideae (on top) and the Glomeromycota
 272 genera with which they have been reported to associate. On the right, the genera of
 273 Glomeromycota (bottom) and the species of bamboo with which they have been reported to
 274 associate (top). *Aca* (*Acaulospora*), *Ent* (*Entrophospora*), Ni (Not identified), *Amb* (*Ambispora*),
 275 *Cla* (*Claroideoglomus*), *Div* (*Diversispora*), *Gig* (*Gigaspora*), *Scu* (*Scutellospora*), *Glo*
 276 (*Glomus*) and *Scl* (*Sclerocystis*). Bamboo genera: *Phyll* (*Phyllostachys*), *Acti* (*Actinocladum*),
 277 *Bamb* (*Bambusa*), *Ceph* (*Cephalostachyum*), *Dend* (*Dendrocalamus*), *Dino* (*Dinochloa*), *Giga*
 278 (*Gigantochloa*), *Melo* (*Melocanna*), *Nast* (*Nastus*), *Schy* (*Schizostachyum*). Bamboo species:
 279 *A_ve* (*Actinocladum verticillatum*), *B_ar* (*Bambusa arundinacea*), *B_ba* (*Bambusa bambos*),

280 B_bl (*Bambusa blumeana*), B_bu (*Bambusa burmanica*), B_gr (*Bambusa grandis*), B_na
281 (*Bambusa nana*), B_nu (*Bambusa nutans*), B_pa (*Bambusa pallida*), B_pe (*Bambusa*
282 *pervariabilis*), B_po (*Bambusa polymorpha*), B_sp (*Bambusa sp.*), B_tu (*Bambusa tulda*), B_vu
283 (*Bambusa vulgaris*), C_pe (*Cephalostachyum pergracile*), D_as (*Dendrocalamus asper*), D_ha
284 (*Dendrocalamus hamiltonii*), D_ho (*Dendrocalamus hookeri*), D_me (*Dendrocalamus*
285 *membranaceus*), D_st (*Dendrocalamus strictus*), D_sp (*Dinochloa sp.*), G_ap (*Gigantochloa*
286 *apus*), G_at (*Gigantochloa atter*), G_ma (*Gigantochloa manggong*), M_ba (*Melocanna*
287 *baccifera*), N_re (*Nastus reholttumianus*), P_ed (*Phyllostachys edulis*), P_ma (*Phyllostachys*
288 *mannii*), S_br (*Schizostachyum brachycladum*), S_li (*Schizostachyum lima*), S_zo
289 (*Schizostachyum zollingeri*).

290

291 Discussion

292 In addition to the collection and extraction of information related to the symbiotic
293 association between Glomeromycota fungal taxa and Bambusoideae plants (which are described
294 and gathered in the results), discoveries and descriptions of the relationships between symbionts
295 in this association were also identified within the framework of this review from both the
296 selected articles and those that were not necessarily included in the first part of this document.
297 The central results of this review are summarized and discussed below, as well as the reported
298 effects derived from the establishment of such arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis between fungi
299 and bamboos from around the world.

300 AMF assemblages in soils associated with bamboos

301 Based on the information compiled in *Table 3*, there are specific systems, such as those
302 subject to high-impact management, where the composition of the AMF assemblage associated
303 with *Phyllostachys edulis* was dominated by the Glomeraceae family in terms of abundance in
304 sequence numbers (Qin et al., 2017a). However, the same study found that such management did
305 not significantly affect the fungal family, which is consistent with the assertion that Glomeraceae
306 is a disturbance-tolerant group. Acaulosporaceae, on the other hand, is not considered as such,
307 and therefore it can be concluded that under these conditions, *P. edulis* is not an ideal host for
308 Acaulosporaceae (Chagnon et al., 2013; Qin et al., 2017a).

309 Regarding systems derived from plantations, Jamaluddin & Turvedi (1997) reported the
310 presence of five AMF genera (*Glomus*, *Gigaspora*, *Acaulospora*, *Scutellospora*, and
311 *Sclerocystis*) in a bambusetum containing 13 bamboo species; however, despite mentioning some
312 particular morphological species, they did not distinguish which bamboo sample was isolated.
313 They also found that *Bambusa nana* presented the highest AMF colonization, followed by
314 *Bambusa vulgaris* var. *vittata*, while the lowest colonization was obtained by *Bambusa bambos*,
315 generating a gradient ranging from 80% to 33% in terms of mycorrhizal percentage (Jamaluddin
316 & Turvedi, 1997).

317 Similarly, studies such as Parkash et al. (2019) confirmed that *Bambusa tulda*, *Bambusa*
318 *pallida*, *Bambusa nutans*, and *Bambusa bambos* form mycorrhizal associations with AMF after
319 quantifying the percentage of root colonization by these fungal symbionts, with values in all

320 cases exceeding 70%. In specific, within the rhizosphere of *Bambusa bambos*, they identified
321 five morphological species of the genus *Acaulospora* (*A. laevis*, *A. scrobiculata*, *A. lacunosa*, *A.*
322 *mellea*, and *Acaulospora* sp.), seven belonging to *Glomus* (*G. clavisporum*, *G. reticulatum*, *G.*
323 *macrocarpum*, *G. claroideum*, *G. pansihalos*, *G. geosporum*), two in the genus *Gigaspora* (*G.*
324 *gigantea* and *Gigaspora* sp.), and one *Entrophospora* sp. In the rhizosphere of *B. tulda*, they
325 identified a total of four morphological species: *Acaulospora foveata*, *Glomus clavisporum*, *G.*
326 *albidum*, and *Scutellospora* sp. Meanwhile, in *Bambusa pallida*, they reported eight
327 morphological species, two *Acaulospora* (*A. laevis* and *Acaulospora* sp.) and six *Glomus* (*G.*
328 *macrocarpum*, *G. monosporum*, *G. geosporum*, *G. epigaeum*, *G. fasciculatum*, and *Glomus* sp.);
329 and in *Bambusa nutans*, they only recorded one species: *Glomus epigaeum*. Jha et al. (2011)
330 found six species of Glomeromycota (*Acaulospora scrobiculata*, *Glomus aggregatum*, *G.*
331 *arborensis*, *G. diaphanum*, *G. intraradices*, and *G. invermayanum*) in the rhizospheric soil of
332 *Dendrocalamus strictus*. Mansir et al. (2021) described the presence of three genera inside the
333 roots of *Gigantochloa atter*, specifically *Glomus*, *Gigaspora*, and *Acaulospora*. In the
334 rhizosphere of *Bambusa* sp., Husein et al. (2022) identified the presence of spores pertaining to of
335 the genera *Glomus*, *Gigasporaceae*, and *Acaulospora*, with several morphological species each,
336 but their specific identities were not able to be determined. Additionally, in a study on
337 populations of *Bambusa bambos*, Mafaziya et al. (2019) found 14 AMF morphotypes associated
338 with the rhizospheric soil of the genera *Glomus*, *Scutellospora*, *Gigaspora*, and *Acaulospora*,
339 with *Glomus* being the dominant genus and *Acaulospora* the least represented in terms of
340 abundance.

341 Kramadibrata et al. (2007) found that *Acaulospora foveata* and *A. scrobiculata* associated
342 with *Dendrocalamus asper* and *Gigantochloa apus*, while *Acaulospora tuberculata* established
343 associations with *Bambusa vulgaris*, *Dendrocalamus asper*, *Schizostachyum zollingeri*,
344 *Gigantochloa manggong*, and *Gigantochloa apus*. In addition, *Glomus etunicatum* was
345 associated with *Bambusa vulgaris*, *Dendrocalamus asper*, *Gigantochloa manggong*, and
346 *Gigantochloa apus*; while *Glomus fuegianum* associated with *B. vulgaris*, *D. asper*, and
347 *Schizostachyum zollingeri*. *Glomus cf. formosanum* and *Glomus geosporum* were associated with
348 *D. asper* and *G. apus*. *Glomus mosseae* established associations with *S. zollingeri* and *G. apus*,
349 and finally, *Scutellospora calospora* was associated with *G. manggong*. From the island of
350 Sumba, Indonesia, Kramadibrata (2011) reported that *Acaulospora foveata* associated with
351 *Bambusa blumeana*, *Dinochloa* sp., and *Nastus reholttumianus*, while *Acaulospora scrobiculata*
352 associated with *Bambusa blumeana*, *Schizostachyum brachycladum* (green variety), and *Nastus*
353 *reholttumianus*. *Acaulospora tuberculata* was found with *Gigantochloa atter*, *Schizostachyum*
354 *brachycladum* (yellow variety), and *Schizostachyum lima*. Furthermore, *Glomus etunicatum* was
355 associated with *Gigantochloa atter*, and finally, *Glomus rubiforme* with *Gigantochloa atter*.

356 In other studies, inoculants were prepared with isolated AMF identified as *Glomus rosea*,
357 *G. magnicaule*, *G. etunicatum*, *G. heterogama*, *G. maculosum*, *G. multicaule*, *Scutellospora*
358 *nigra*, and *S. heterogama* for application to bamboo. Babu and Reddy (2010) applied the
359 inoculants to *Dendrocalamus strictus* and confirmed fungal colonization. Ravikumar et al.

360 (1997) worked with independent inoculations and all possible combinations of *Glomus*
361 *aggregatum*, *G. fasciculatum*, and *G. mosseae* on *Dendrocalamus strictus*. Under all
362 circumstances, their roots were colonized with a colonization percentage ranging from 30% to
363 60%. This finding is similar to that of Verma and Arya (1998), in which five morphological
364 species were isolated, including *Acaulospora scrobiculata*, *Glomus intraradices*, *G. aggregatum*,
365 *G. mosseae*, and *Scutellospora heterogama*, from the rhizosphere of *Dendrocalamus asper* ~~in~~
366 ~~order~~ to develop two inoculants: one with the first species (I1) and the other with the remaining
367 four (I2). Furthermore, they tested another inoculant (I3) from isolated teak spores, which
368 contained *Acaulospora scrobiculata*, *A. delicata*, *Gigaspora* sp., *G. ramisporophora*, *Glomus*
369 *intraradices*, *G. geosporum*, *G. mosseae*, *G. etunicatum*, and *Scutellospora pellucida*. These
370 fungi established symbiotic associations with the bamboo species, which was confirmed by
371 quantifying the percentage of colonization within the roots of *D. asper*. The statistical analyses
372 showed that the maximum percentage of colonization was found in I3, followed by I1 and I2,
373 respectively (Verma & Arya, 1998). In 2002, *Dendrocalamus strictus* was inoculated with three
374 species of AMF, namely *Glomus mosseae*, *G. fasciculatum*, and *Gigaspora margarita*
375 (Bhattacharya et al., 2002). Later, in 2011, Jha et al. (2011) inoculated *Bambusa bambos* and
376 *Dendrocalamus strictus* with *Acaulospora scrobiculata*, *A. mellea*, *Glomus aggregatum*, *G.*
377 *cerebriforme*, *G. arboreense*, *G. diaphanum*, *G. intraradices*, *G. etunicatum*, *G. fasciculatum*, *G.*
378 *hoi*, *G. occultum*, and *Glomus* sp., and all the fungi established an association with the roots of
379 both bamboo species. Considering that the current veracity of the fungal identity of spores
380 present in commercial bio-inoculants is compromised (Vahter et al., 2023), the fact that most of
381 the studies mentioned resorted to the use of trap cultures or direct isolation of Glomeromycota
382 species to be inoculated provides some certainty regarding the accuracy of their results. It was
383 frequently observed that *Acaulospora* and *Glomus* were among the most commonly isolated
384 strains or fungal genera inoculated to different bamboo species, as these AMF genera are
385 commonly found worldwide in a wide range of natural ecosystems including those altered by
386 humans, such as agricultural systems (Davison et al., 2015; Oehl et al., 2017).

387

388 **Effects of Glomeromycota on host bamboo plants**

389 **On bamboo physiology and morphology**

390 While the effects of symbiosis were not reported for all the bamboo species mentioned,
391 some of them such as *Dendrocalamus strictus* were reported to significantly stabilize the upper
392 layer of the soil due to the nature of their root system and enhance the leaf litter accumulation in
393 response to associations with AMF (Ben-zhi et al., 2005). Furthermore, seedlings of
394 *Dendrocalamus strictus* that were inoculated with *Glomus fasciculatum* and *G. mosseae*
395 (simultaneously) reached the greatest internodal distance of all treatments, followed by bamboo
396 seedlings inoculated only with *G. aggregatum* (Ravikumar et al., 1997). Another study found
397 that *D. strictus* rhizomes reached their maximum length when the inoculation contained only the
398 *G. aggregatum* isolate, followed by the combination of *G. aggregatum* and *G. mosseae*
399 (Ravikumar et al., 1997). Total biomass production in *D. strictus* was also favored by

400 mycorrhizal associations with Glomeromycota fungi, reaching maximum dry matter production
401 when seedlings were inoculated with *G. aggregatum* and *G. fasciculatum* (Ravikumar et al.,
402 1997).

403 In *Bambusa bambos* and *Dendrocalamus strictus*, phosphorus uptake, and shoot length
404 increased significantly with all inoculated AMF (8 commercial formulations), except for *Glomus*
405 sp. in *D. strictus* (Jha et al., 2011), indicating that effective AMF utilization can enhance
406 productivity of these bamboo species in the region (Jha et al., 2011). Similarly, Bhattacharya et
407 al. (2002) found that inoculating some AMF in *D. strictus* seedlings significantly promoted
408 lateral branching (in number and length) of roots in this species, although no clarifications were
409 made regarding the status of such fungi in the soil or even the percentage of mycorrhization, only
410 reports of the morphological response of the seedling root system.

411 In terms of morphology, as mentioned above for species such as *D. strictus*, AMF
412 inoculation causes differentiating effects on the structures of inoculated plants. For instance,
413 inoculating *G. mosseae* and *G. intraradices* in Chenglu bamboo seedlings, a hybrid bamboo
414 between *Bambusa pervariabilis* (as the female parent) and *B. grandis* (as the male parent),
415 resulted in a significant increase in the number of shoots, diameter, and total leaf area of the
416 inoculated plants compared to those not inoculated with AMF (Weixin et al. 2013).

417 **On growth and nutrient uptake**

418 In general, bamboo plants favor the establishment of mycorrhizal symbiosis given their
419 fast growth which requires high nutrient requirements during the initial growth stages
420 (Ravikumar et al., 1997). This explains the increase in the rhizosphere of several bamboo species
421 after establishing a mycorrhizal symbiosis, a phenomenon that has specifically been described in
422 *Bambusa balcooa*, *Bambusa vulgaris* var. *vittata* (known as green bamboo) and var. *striata*
423 (known as yellow bamboo), *Bambusa nutans* and *Dendrocalamus asper* (Singh et al., 2020).

424 In *Dendrocalamus strictus* (a bamboo species with an extensive root and rhizome
425 system), inoculation of AMF along with *Aspergillus tubingensis* (an Ascomycota fungus)
426 showed a synergistic effect on bamboo growth, nutrient uptake (such as P, K, Ca, and Mg), and
427 reduction in heavy metal translocation to the plant (Babu & Reddy, 2010). Similar effects have
428 also been observed in other plants that establish associations with AMF (Chen et al., 2007; Chen
429 et al., 2001). This is consistent with what Muthukumar & Udaiyan (2006) described, who
430 performed a nursery experiment evaluating the effects of applying a *Glomus aggregatum*
431 inoculum on promoting the growth of *D. strictus* plants in two different soil types (alfisol and
432 vertisol). They found that root colonization percentages by *G. aggregatum* (reaching 55% and
433 confirming the establishment of the association with this AMF species) were positively and
434 significantly related to the dry weight of bamboo seedlings, and thus to the concentrations of N,
435 P, and K in their tissues (roots, rhizome, and shoots) (Muthukumar & Udaiyan, 2006). In
436 addition, Verma & Arya (1998) found that *Dendrocalamus asper* seedlings treated with AMF
437 inocula extracted from their rhizospheric soil (2) and one associated with Teak were significantly
438 taller than those that did not receive treatments, and they also presented higher concentrations of
439 P in their shoots, which they describe as an effect resulting from AMF-mediated improvements

440 in the efficiency of capturing available P in the soil. Even earlier, Ravikumar et al. (1997) also
441 reported that AMF association with *Dendrocalamus strictus* seedlings improves their growth
442 compared to a control not inoculated with AMF, emphasizing that inocula with *G. fasciculatum*
443 and *G. aggregatum* (alone or in combination) are the best option (among those evaluated) for
444 achieving the highest rates of growth and total dry matter production.

445 Another study also described how in *Bambusa bambos* and *Dendrocalamus strictus*, total
446 dry weight and P uptake (an essential nutrient for plant growth) increased significantly in seven
447 of the eight AMF inoculated plants (Jha et al., 2011). Additionally, the confirmed establishment
448 of symbiotic associations between Chengdu bamboo (a hybrid) and AMF, specifically an
449 inoculum of *Glomus intraradices* and *G. mosseae*, improved the uptake of P and K in inoculated
450 plants, as well as their growth and biomass accumulation, making these AMF good candidates in
451 efforts to increase the production of this hybrid bamboo (Weixin et al., 2013).

452 **Effects of bamboos on AMF assemblages**

453 Host plants are not passive actors during symbiosis, and AMF assemblages are not
454 randomly distributed in patches of host plants but rather tend to associate with particular
455 ecological groups (Davison et al., 2011). Considering this, Guo et al. (2023) described that the
456 rhizospheric soil of bamboo, particularly *Phyllostachys edulis* and four of its forms (*P. edulis* f.
457 *tao kiang*, *P. edulis* f. *luteosulcata*, *P. edulis* f. *pachyloen* and *P. edulis* f. *gracilis*) has more
458 complex, longer, and interconnected fungal networks than those in non-rhizospheric soil, in
459 addition to significant differences in diversity. However, at least for Glomeromycota, abundance
460 appears to be higher in non-rhizospheric soil, suggesting that this is the original pool of species
461 from which the plant root will establish associations with only some of the AMF available in the
462 rhizosphere (Bledsoe et al., 2020, Fiore-Donno et al., 2022). This contrasts with the results of
463 Husein et al. (2022), who showed that the rhizosphere of *Bambusa* sp. has more abundance and
464 diversity of Glomeromycota spores than those of other plants, such as *Cichorium intybus* and
465 *Pinus merkusii*, which, although not compared to the non-rhizospheric soil of these species, gives
466 indications of a possible affinity of *Bambusa* for selecting AMF, or the preference of AMF to
467 associate with this bamboo species.

468 On the other hand, bamboos classified as runners (with leptomorph rhizomes), such as
469 those of the genus *Phyllostachys* that are known for invading and quickly replacing neighboring
470 forest cover, tend to increase the biomass of their associated AMF. This significantly changes the
471 fungal assemblages in the soil, contributing to the formation of aggregates and carbon storage in
472 the system (Xu et al., 2020; Qin et al., 2017). Likewise, when comparing a forest of
473 *Phyllostachys pubescens* with surrounding forests, Quin et al. (2017) found that in the former,
474 both AMF spore density and root colonization rate were significantly higher than in the latter,
475 and that the former favored the presence of the Glomeraceae family but reduced that of the
476 Acaulosporaceae and Archaeosporaceae families.

477 In considering the dominance of some plant species over others, Mafaziya et al. (2019)
478 did not find perceptible changes in the AMF community structure in forest ecosystems after an
479 increase in the dominance of *Bambusa bambos* in these systems. However, when they compared

480 the soil of the forest patches adjacent to the populations of *Bambusa bambos*, these recorded a
481 higher abundance of AMF spores. They ~~therefore, therefore~~, conclude that, at least under the
482 conditions of the study, the fungal community shows high resistance to changes in soil surface
483 coverage, as well as resilience to the influence generated by the dominance of a single plant
484 species.

485 Additionally, Jin et al. (2022) found that when extensive management is carried out in
486 bamboo forests, particularly those of *P. edulis* (forests regularly harvested without any
487 management), the abundance of AMF increases substantially leading to an increase in AMF
488 respiration rates and a significant change in carbon cycling within bamboo forest ecosystems
489 produced by AMF.

490 **Challenges ahead**

491 Qin et al. (2017 a, b) and Jin et al. (2022) report molecular marker-based approaches to
492 elucidate the composition of AMF assemblages in bamboo forest soils using primers described
493 by Sato et al. (2005) that amplify part of the 18S region (AMV4.5NF and AMDGR). These
494 sequences can then be compared to the MaarjAM database using BLAST (Öpik et al., 2010) to
495 assign taxonomic identities to the Glomeromycota groups in the sample. With similar
496 approaches, Zhang et al. (2022) amplified the ITS region with the ITS1F and ITS2R primers to
497 evaluate the fungal community in the roots, soil, and aerial structures of *Phyllostachys edulis*,
498 and Zhang et al. (2019) amplified the ITS2 region with the ITS3 and ITS4 primers to investigate
499 the rhizospheric community structure of *P. edulis* at the phylum level, identifying sequences
500 using BLAST in the UNITE database. In these studies, they were able to identify groups within
501 the Glomeromycota phylum, but the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) was not proven to be an
502 optimal candidate for barcoding arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) because the region alone is
503 exceptionally variable and does not adequately resolve species, especially among closely related
504 taxa. Other primers targeting regions of the small subunit (18S) or large subunit (28S) tend to be
505 biased as the primers only are effective in some taxonomic groups within the Glomeromycota
506 community (Stockinger et al., 2010). In addition, although the specific primers proposed by Sato
507 et al. (2005) are promising in terms of coverage and specificity, Van Geel et al. (2014) highlights
508 that they are biased towards groups within the Glomeraceae family within Glomeromycota, even
509 detecting groups in Basidiomycota and Chytridiomycota, but not detecting any members of the
510 Ambisporaceae family and only a few of the Claroideoglomeraceae and Paraglomeraceae
511 families in Glomeromycota. This situation generates difficulties when comparing the results of
512 studies on AMF diversity and richness or composition, as there is little consistency regarding the
513 target genes and primers used (Van Geel et al., 2014). While there is still no consensus region for
514 AMF barcoding (Kolaříková et al., 2021), recent studies suggest that the ideal approach is to
515 perform nested PCR approaches on different regions of the rRNA gene (Kolaříková et al., 2021).
516 Tedersoo et al. (2022) also recommend that when performing taxonomic identification of a
517 sequence using BLAST that may belong to Glomeromycota (if the selected primers allow it), the
518 searches should preferably be made against the MaarjAM database, not UNITE, as the latter uses
519 reads based on the ITS region, whose disadvantages have been previously mentioned.

520 Bamboo species such as *Phyllostachys edulis* have been described as promising in their
521 roles of carbon sequestration through ~~its~~their mycorrhizal associations. This could lead to
522 increases in planted areas or facilitate their expansion in ecosystems through the application of
523 Glomeromycota bio-inoculants. However, it is imperative to pay attention to the ecological risks
524 this may also entail in terms of negative effects on plant diversity and other soil microorganisms
525 in the colonized areas (Qin et al., 2017). This type of management reduces soil pH, facilitates the
526 hyper-accumulation of available N, P, and K, and promotes soil aggregation loss and erosion,
527 which leads to a significant reduction in AMF biomass as well as alterations in soil assemblage
528 diversity (Xu et al., 2008; Shinohara & Otsuki, 2015; Qin et al., 2017a; Liu et al., 2011). This
529 situation can be avoided by promoting responsible and sustainable use and management of the
530 resource such as the intensive management strategies traditionally applied to this bamboo
531 species.

532 As for publications addressing the establishment of these symbiotic associations,
533 although some report evidence of AMF colonization in roots of species such as *Dendrocalamus*
534 *strictus* (Das et al., 2021), they do not include any other evidence in terms of spore identification
535 or genetic material extraction from any of the different compartments where they are found (soil
536 and/or the root of the host plant). As a result, the information conveyed is poor or incomplete, at
537 least within the framework of the objectives of this work.

538 In addition, in some cases, the term ‘bamboo’ is used in a very generic way or even as if
539 constituting a taxonomic rank by itself. This has led to several studies maintaining an ambiguous
540 discourse when discussing the potential of bamboo (in ecological or economic terms) or even of
541 their associated AMF species (i.e. Toh et al., 2018; Patra et al., 2021; Priya et al., 2014;
542 Mafaziya et al., 2019), resulting in a loss of informative value. Additionally, as reported ~~in~~by
543 Debnath et al. (2015), several AMF genera were isolated from different sampling sites
544 (*Acaulospora*, *Ambispora*, *Diversispora*, *Funneliformis*, *Glomus*, *Paraglomus*, *Rhizophagus*, and
545 *Sclerocystis*), but the associated bamboo species was not clarified, nor is it considered that they
546 may be working with other species, which generates noise in the analyses. Rather, studies must
547 clarify which species of bamboo is being referred to, since as evidenced throughout this
548 document, the nature of the symbiosis, its effects, and the potentials of each species of bamboo
549 are, to some degree, specific.

550 This review highlights the need to compile information and build knowledge around a
551 wider range of bamboo species and the symbiotic interactions they form or can potentially form
552 with AMF. It is surprising that in tropical America, a continent where this group of plants is so
553 diverse and where such ecologically, economically, and culturally important groups exist such as
554 the *Guadua* sub-tribe (specifically *Guadua angustifolia* Kunth in terms of their uses,
555 applications, and distribution range) (Cruz-Armendáriz et al., 2023; Akinlabi et al., 2017; Clark
556 et al., 2015), associations with AMF are so absent in the scientific literature, at least in
557 publications from indexed and/or peer-reviewed journals. This phenomenon may be the result of
558 the ease of producing "gray literature" in terms of speed and low cost, or even ~~to~~ the difficulties
559 involved in submitting and publishing a scientific document, as research often remains in

560 undergraduate and graduate theses, conference proceedings, research reports, memoranda,
561 scientific society documents, bulletins, or websites (Corlett, 2010). This greatly hinders access to
562 information and the construction of knowledge on environmental and social issues such as those
563 exposed in this document. Therefore, the publication of studies focused on this association ~~are~~
564 the first steps to supporting efforts aimed to propagate bamboos using AMF in various regions of
565 the world, as proposed by (de Moura et al., 2019). With adequate knowledge of mycorrhizal
566 fungal diversity in the rhizosphere of bamboo species and molecular approaches for analyzing
567 roots and soils, the development of mycorrhizal inoculation programs is guaranteed to make
568 bamboo available as a sustainable resource (Das & Kayang, 2010).

569 Finally, it is important to note that although this document did not modify the names of
570 the taxa assigned to Glomeromycota and Bambusoideae reported in the reviewed documents,
571 taxonomic reorganizations and changes in names or categories have occurred in several cases
572 (i.e. Błaszczowski et al., 2022), with some being assigned as synonyms of a more accepted name,
573 for example. In some cases, even the reported names were fundamentally incorrect, not
574 stemming from any synonymy confusion. Therefore, it is relevant to keep up to date with
575 nomenclatural changes associated with the study groups being investigated to facilitate research
576 and communication both within and outside the academic community. This can be done through
577 specialized databases such as Index Fungorum (<http://www.indexfungorum.org/>), Species
578 Fungorum (<https://www.speciesfungorum.org/>), and MycoBank (<http://www.mycobank.org/>) for
579 Glomeromycota fungi, and literature such as the freely accessible book "World Checklist of
580 Bamboos and Rattans" by (Vorontsova et al., 2016) for bamboos. The current status of the names
581 of Glomeromycota fungi and Bambusoideae bamboos are summarized in *Tables 4* and *5*,
582 respectively.

583

584 **Table 4. Names of the Glomeromycota taxa mentioned in the text, and the current status of**
585 **these names according to Index Fungorum, Species Fungorum, and MycoBank.**

586

587 **Table 5. Names of the Bambusoideae taxa mentioned in the text, and the current status of**
588 **these names according to Vorontsova et al. (2016).**

589

590 **Conclusions**

591 This study presents the first systematic review of the current state of knowledge
592 surrounding arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis established between plants and fungal taxa within
593 Glomeromycota and Bambusoideae (respectively) worldwide. Asia, specifically India, and
594 China, stood out as the continent and countries with the most (and almost all) studies on the topic
595 of review, while no other studies were reported from other continents, except for Brazil with a
596 single publication. This review only considered currently published scientific literature, as the
597 filtering and selection process filtered documents classified as gray literature, a particularly
598 common publication trend in Latin America. As such, although there may be significant interests

599 or efforts to study the symbiosis between AMF groups and bamboo species in Latin America,
600 access to such information is limited.

601 The bamboo species that have been evaluated, described, or evidenced to establish
602 mutualistic associations with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (31 species within 10 botanical
603 families) associate with a considerable diversity of Glomeromycota genera and families (17 and
604 eight, respectively, including groups marked as "Ni"). The identification of these groups ~~to~~at the
605 species level through the application of molecular markers using samples from the root
606 compartment would contribute to a better understanding of and future perspectives ~~for~~on this
607 symbiotic association.

608 Based on the information compiled here, it is evident that the study of arbuscular
609 mycorrhizal fungi in bamboo species around the world remains incipient and poorly explored,
610 particularly ~~with respect to~~for the biology and ecology of mycorrhizal symbiosis in
611 Bambusoideae. This is surprising considering the growing and robust evidence supporting the
612 role of AMF in ~~the~~-mineral nutrition, water absorption or capture, and protection against biotic
613 and abiotic stress factors in the majority of plants (Fall et al., 2022). As described by de Moura et
614 al. (2019), understanding the dynamics of symbiosis between bamboo and its associated AMF is
615 fundamental for developing management practices aimed at improving plant productivity and
616 reducing production costs for bamboo species of interest. Considering the high ecological,
617 ecosystemic, and cultural value of this plant group, this would expand the spectrum of
618 possibilities in terms of the applicability of these species for ecological restoration and the fight
619 against socio-environmental problems.

620

621 **Acknowledgements**

622

623 The authors wish to thank Eduardo Ruíz-Sánchez for his valuable contribution.

624

625 **Funding**

626 Financial support for this study was provided by a grant from Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología
627 e Innovación, Ministerio de Educación Nacional, Ministerio de Industria, Comercio y Turismo e
628 ICETEX (792–2017) 2a Convocatoria Ecosistema Científico - Colombia Científica para la
629 Financiación de Proyectos de I + D + i), Banco Mundial y Vicerrectoría de Investigaciones,
630 Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá, Colombia (Contrat number FP44842-221-2018).

631

632 **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

633 **Competing Interests**

634 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

635

636 **Author Contributions**

- 637 • Juan José Sánchez-Matiz performed the search strategy, conceived and designed the
638 experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared the figures, authored or
639 reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- 640 • Lucía Ana Díaz-Ariza performed the search strategy, conceived and designed the
641 experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared the figures, authored or
642 reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

643

644 Data Availability

645 The following information is supplied regarding data availability:

646 The PRISMA 2020 Checklist for systematic reviews is available in the Supplemental Files.

647

648 References

- 649 **Akinlabi, E. T., Anane-Fenin, K., & Akwada, D. R. 2017.** *Bamboo: The multipurpose plant.*
650 Springer.
- 651 **Amada, S., Ichikawa, Y., Munekata, T., Nagase, Y., & Shimizu, H. 1997.** Fiber texture and
652 mechanical graded structure of bamboo. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 28(1–2), 13–
653 20. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1359-8368\(96\)00020-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1359-8368(96)00020-0)
- 654 **Babu, A. G., & Reddy, M. S. 2010.** Dual inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhizal and phosphate
655 solubilizing fungi contributes in sustainable maintenance of plant health in fly ash ponds.
656 *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 219(1–4), 3–10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-010-0679-3>
- 657 **Banik, R. L. 2015.** Bamboo silviculture. In W. Liese & M. Köhl (Eds.), *Tropical Forestry* (pp.
658 113–174). Springer International Publishing. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14133-6_5
- 659
- 660 **Ben-zhi, Z., Mao-yi, F., Jin-zhong, X., Xiao-sheng, Y., & Zheng-cai, L. 2005.** Ecological
661 functions of bamboo forest: Research and Application. *Journal of Forestry Research*,
662 16(2), 143–147. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02857909>
- 663 **Bhattacharya, P. M., Paul, A. K., Saha, J., & Chaudhuri, S. 2002.** Changes in the root
664 development pattern of bamboo and sweet orange plants upon arbuscular mycorrhization.
665 *N Current Trends in Mycorrhiza Research.*
- 666 **Błaszowski, J., Sánchez-García, M., Niezgoda, P., Zubek, S., Fernández, F., Vila, A., Al-
667 Yahya’ei, M. N., Symanczik, S., Milczarski, P., Malinowski, R., Cabello, M., Goto,
668 B. T., Casieri, L., Malicka, M., Bierza, W., & Magurno, F. 2022.** A new order,
669 Entrophosporales, and three new Entrophospora species in Glomeromycota. *Frontiers in*
670 *Microbiology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.962856>
- 671 **Bledsoe, R. B., Goodwillie, C., & Peralta, A. L. 2020.** Long-Term nutrient enrichment of an
672 oligotroph-dominated wetland increases bacterial diversity in bulk soils and plant
673 rhizospheres. *MSphere*, 5(3). <https://doi.org/10.1128/msphere.00035-20>
- 674 **Brundrett, M. C. 2009.** Mycorrhizal associations and other means of nutrition of vascular
675 plants: Understanding the global diversity of host plants by resolving conflicting
676 information and developing reliable means of diagnosis. *Plant and Soil*, 320(1–2), 37–77.
677 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-008-9877-9>
- 678 **Brundrett, M. C., & Tedersoo, L. 2018.** Evolutionary history of mycorrhizal symbioses and
679 global host plant diversity. *New Phytologist*, 220(4), 1108–1115.
680 <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14976>

- 681 **Bystriakova, Kapos, Lysenko, & Stapleton. 2003.** Distribution and conservation status of
682 forest bamboo biodiversity in the Asia-Pacific Region. *Biodiversity & Conservation*,
683 12(9), 1833–1841. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024139813651>
- 684 **Bystriakova, N., Kapos, V., & Lysenko, I. 2004.** Bamboo biodiversity: Africa, Madagascar
685 and the Americas. *United Nations Environmental Program, Nairobi, 19.*
- 686 **Chagnon, P.-L., Bradley, R. L., Maherali, H., & Klironomos, J. N. 2013.** A trait-based
687 framework to understand life history of mycorrhizal fungi. *Trends in Plant Science*,
688 18(9), 484–491. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2013.05.001>
- 689 **Chen, B., Christie, P., & Li, X. 2001.** A modified glass bead compartment cultivation system
690 for studies on nutrient and trace metal uptake by arbuscular mycorrhiza. *Chemosphere*,
691 42(2), 185–192. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0045-6535\(00\)00124-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0045-6535(00)00124-7)
- 692 **Chen, B. D., Zhu, Y.-G., Duan, J., Xiao, X. Y., & Smith, S. E. 2007.** Effects of the arbuscular
693 mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus mosseae* on growth and metal uptake by four plant species in
694 copper mine tailings. *Environmental Pollution*, 147(2), 374–380.
695 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2006.04.027>
- 696 **Clark, L. G., Londoño, X., & Ruiz-Sanchez, E. 2015.** Bamboo taxonomy and habitat. In W.
697 Liese & M. Köhl (Eds.), *Tropical Forestry* (pp. 1–30). Springer International Publishing.
698 http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14133-6_1
- 699 **Corlett, R. T. 2010.** Trouble with the gray literature. *Biotropica*, 43(1), 3–5.
700 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2010.00714.x>
- 701 **Cruz-Armendáriz, N. M., Ruiz-Sanchez, E. & Reyes-Agüero, J. A., 2023.** Servicios
702 ecosistémicos de las especies nativas e introducidas de bambú en la Huasteca Potosina,
703 México: Usos del bambú. *Acta Botanica Mexicana*, 130.
704 <https://doi.org/10.21829/abm130.2023.2132>
- 705 **Das, P., & Kayang, H. 2010.** Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and dark septate endophyte
706 colonization in bamboo from Northeast India. *Frontiers of Agriculture in China*, 4(3),
707 375–382. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11703-010-1013-y>
- 708 **Das, S., Singh, Y. P., Negi, Y. K., & Shrivastav, P. C. 2021.** *Effect of growth forms of bamboo*
709 *on the mycorrhizal and fluorescent pseudomonas population.* Cold Spring Harbor
710 Laboratory. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.08.471877>
- 711 **Davison, J., Moora, M., Öpik, M., Adholeya, A., Ainsaar, L., Bâ, A., Burla, S., Diedhiou, A.**
712 **G., Hiiesalu, I., Jairus, T., Johnson, N. C., Kane, A., Koorem, K., Kochar, M.,**
713 **Ndiaye, C., Pärtel, M., Reier, Ü., Saks, Ü., Singh, R., Vasar, M., & Zobel, M. 2015.**
714 Global assessment of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus diversity reveals very low
715 endemism. *Science*, 349(6251), 970–973. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aab1161>
- 716 **Davison, J., Öpik, M., Daniell, T. J., Moora, M., & Zobel, M. 2011.** Arbuscular mycorrhizal
717 fungal communities in plant roots are not random assemblages. *FEMS Microbiology*
718 *Ecology*, 78(1), 103–115. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01103.x>
- 719 **de Moura, J. B., de Souza, R. F., Junior, W. G. V., Lima, I. R., Brito, G. H. M., & Marín, C.**
720 **2019.** Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with bamboo under Cerrado Brazilian
721 vegetation. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 19(4), 954–962.
722 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-019-00093-0>
- 723 **Debnath, A., Karmakar, P., Debnath, S., Roy Das, A., Saha, A. K., & Das, P. 2015.**
724 Arbuscular mycorrhizal and dark septate endophyte fungal association in some plants of
725 Tripura, North-East India. *Current Research in Environmental & Applied Mycology*,
726 5(4), 398–407. <https://doi.org/10.5943/cream/5/4/12>

- 727 **Fall, A. F., Nakabonge, G., Ssekandi, J., Founoune-Mboup, H., Apori, S. O., Ndiaye, A.,**
728 **Badji, A., & Ngom, K. 2022.** Roles of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on soil fertility:
729 Contribution in the improvement of physical, chemical, and biological properties of the
730 soil. *Frontiers in Fungal Biology*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.3389/ffunb.2022.723892>
- 731 **Fiore-Donno, A. M., Human, Z. R., Štursová, M., Mundra, S., Morgado, L., Kauserud, H.,**
732 **Baldrian, P., & Bonkowski, M. 2022.** Soil compartments (bulk soil, litter, root and
733 rhizosphere) as main drivers of soil protistan communities distribution in forests with
734 different nitrogen deposition. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 168, 108628.
735 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2022.108628>
- 736 **Fukuchi, Obase, Tamai, Yajima, & Miyamoto. 2011.** Vegetation and colonization status of
737 mycorrhizal and endophytic fungi in plant species on acidic barren at Crater Basin of
738 Volcano Esan in Hokkaido, Japan. *Eurasian Journal of Forest Research*, 14(1), 1–11.
- 739 **Gehring, C. A., & Johnson, N. C. 2017.** Beyond ICOM8: Perspectives on advances in
740 mycorrhizal research from 2015 to 2017. *Mycorrhiza*, 28(2), 197–201.
741 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-017-0818-4>
- 742 **Guo, W., Zhang, J., Li, M.-H., & Qi, L. 2023.** Soil fungal community characteristics vary with
743 bamboo varieties and soil compartments. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 14.
744 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1120679>
- 745 **Heijden, M. G. A., Martin, F. M., Selosse, M., & Sanders, I. R. 2015.** Mycorrhizal ecology
746 and evolution: The past, the present, and the future. *New Phytologist*, 205(4), 1406–1423.
747 <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13288>
- 748 **Hsiung. 1988.** Prospects for bamboo development in the world. *Journal of The American*
749 *Bamboo Society*, 8(1–2), 168.
- 750 **Husein, M., Umami, N., Pertiwinigrum, A., Rahman, M. M., & Ananta, D. 2022.** The role
751 of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi density and diversity on the growth and biomass of corn
752 and sorghum forage in trapping culture. *Tropical Animal Science Journal*, 45(1), 37–43.
753 <https://doi.org/10.5398/tasj.2022.45.1.37>
- 754 **Jamaluddin, K. C., & Turvedi, P. C. 1997.** Development of VA-mycorrhizal fungi in different
755 bamboos in bambusetum. *Indian Phytopathology*, 50(4), 552–556.
- 756 **Jha, A., Kumar, A., Saxena, R. K., Kamalvanshi, M., & Chakravarty, N. 2011.** Effect of
757 arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculations on seedling growth and biomass productivity of two
758 bamboo species. *Indian Journal of Microbiology*, 52(2), 281–285.
759 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12088-011-0213-3>
- 760 **Jin, W., Ge, J., Shao, S., Peng, L., Xing, J., Liang, C., Chen, J., Xu, Q., & Qin, H. 2022.**
761 Intensive management enhances mycorrhizal respiration but decreases free-living
762 microbial respiration through its effect on microbial abundance and community in Moso
763 bamboo forests. *Pedosphere*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedsph.2022.10.002>
- 764 **Kolaříková, Z., Slavíková, R., Krüger, C., Krüger, M., & Kohout, P. 2021.** PacBio
765 sequencing of Glomeromycota rDNA: A novel amplicon covering all widely used
766 ribosomal barcoding regions and its applicability in taxonomy and ecology of arbuscular
767 mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytologist*, 231(1), 490–499. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17372>
- 768 **Kothe, E., & Turnau, K. 2018.** Editorial: Mycorrhizosphere communication: Mycorrhizal fungi
769 and endophytic fungus-plant interactions. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9(3015).
770 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.03015>
- 771 **Kramadibrata, K. 2011.** Keanekaragaman ja bambu di pulau sumba. *Berita Biologi*, 10(5).
- 772 **Kramadibrata, K., Prastyo, H., & Gunawan, A. W. 2007.** Jamur arbuskula pada bambu

773 dijawa. *Berita Biologi*, 8(6).

774 **Liu, J., Jiang, P., Wang, H., Zhou, G., Wu, J., Yang, F., & Qian, X. 2011.** Seasonal soil CO₂
775 efflux dynamics after land use change from a natural forest to Moso bamboo plantations
776 in subtropical China. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 262(6), 1131–1137.
777 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2011.06.015>

778 **Lobovikov, M., Paudel, S., Ball, L., Piazza, M., Guardia, M., Nations, F. and A. O. of the**
779 **U., Wu, J., & Ren, H. 2007.** World bamboo resources: A thematic study prepared in the
780 framework of the global forest resources assessment 2005. *Food & Agriculture Org.*
781 Mafaziya, F., Wijewickrama, T., & Madawala, H. M. S. P. (2019). Does over-dominance
782 of *Bambusa bambos* (L.) Voss. alter abundance and richness of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal
783 fungal community in forests? *Ceylon Journal of Science*, 48(1), 51.
784 <https://doi.org/10.4038/cjs.v48i1.7588>

785 **Mansir, F., Hafsan, H., Sukmawaty, E., & Masriany, M. 2021.** Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
786 (AMF) characterization in rhizosphere of *Gigantochloa atter*. *Jurnal Biotek*, 9(1), 22.
787 <https://doi.org/10.24252/jb.v9i1.20984>

788 **Marulanda, A., Azcon, R., & Ruiz-Lozano, J. M. 2003.** Contribution of six arbuscular
789 mycorrhizal fungal isolates to water uptake by *Lactuca sativa* plants under drought stress.
790 *Physiologia Plantarum*, 119(4), 526–533. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1399-](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1399-3054.2003.00196.x)
791 [3054.2003.00196.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1399-3054.2003.00196.x)

792 **Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. 2009.** Preferred reporting items for
793 systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLoS Medicine*, 6(7),
794 e1000097. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097>

795 **Muthukumar, T., & Udaiyan, K. 2006.** Growth of Nursery-grown Bamboo Inoculated with
796 Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria in two
797 Tropical Soil Types with and without Fertilizer Application. *New Forests*, 31(3), 469–
798 485. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-005-1380-z>

799 **Oehl, F., Laczko, E., Oberholzer, H.-R., Jansa, J., & Egli, S. 2017.** Diversity and
800 biogeography of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in agricultural soils. *Biology and Fertility*
801 *of Soils*, 53(7), 777–797. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-017-1217-x>

802 **Öpik, M., Vanatoa, A., Vanatoa, E., Moora, M., Davison, J., Kalwij, J. M., Reier, Ü., &**
803 **Zobel, M. 2010.** The online database MaarjAM reveals global and ecosystemic
804 distribution patterns in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Glomeromycota). *New Phytologist*,
805 188(1), 223–241. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03334.x>

806 **Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D.,**
807 **Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J.,**
808 **Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson,**
809 **E., McDonald, S., ... Moher, D. 2021.** The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated
810 guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *International Journal of Surgery*, 88(88),
811 105906. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2021.105906>

812 **Parkash, V., Handique, L., & Dhungana, P. 2019.** Diversity and Distribution of
813 Endomycorrhizae and Dark Septate Endophytes of some Economically Important
814 Bamboos of Assam, India. *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*, 11(3), 447–454.
815 <https://doi.org/10.15835/nsb11310343>

816 **Patra, D. K., Acharya, S., Pradhan, C., & Patra, H. K. 2021.** Poaceae plants as potential
817 phytoremediators of heavy metals and eco-restoration in contaminated mining sites.
818 *Environmental Technology & Innovation*, 21, 101293.

- 819 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2020.101293>
- 820 **Prasad, R., Bhola, D., Akdi, K., Cruz, C., Kvss, S., Tuteja, N., & Varma, A. 2017.**
821 Introduction to mycorrhiza: Historical development. In A. Varma, R. Prasad, & N. Tuteja
822 (Eds.), *Mycorrhiza - Function, Diversity, State of the Art* (pp. 1–7). Springer International
823 Publishing. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-53064-2_1
- 824 **Priya, S., Kumutha, K., Arthee, R., & Pandiyarajan, P. 2014.** Studies on arbuscular
825 mycorrhizal assortment in the rhizosphere of Neem and Bamboo. *Research Journal of*
826 *Biotechnology*, 9(5).
- 827 **Qin, H., Chen, J., Wu, Q., Niu, L., Li, Y., Liang, C., Shen, Y., & Xu, Q. 2017a.** Intensive
828 management decreases soil aggregation and changes the abundance and community
829 compositions of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in Moso bamboo (*Phyllostachys*
830 *pubescens*) forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 400, 246–255.
831 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.06.003>
- 832 **Qin, H., Niu, L., Wu, Q., Chen, J., Li, Y., Liang, C., Xu, Q., Fuhrmann, J. J., & Shen, Y.**
833 **2017b.** Bamboo forest expansion increases soil organic carbon through its effect on soil
834 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal community and abundance. *Plant and Soil*, 420(1–2), 407–
835 421. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3415-6>
- 836 **Ravikumar, R., Ananthakrishnan, G., Appasamy, T., & Ganapathi, A. 1997.** Effect of
837 endomycorrhizae (VAM) on bamboo seedling growth and biomass productivity. *Forest*
838 *Ecology and Management*, 98(3), 205–208. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-1127(97)00107-2)
839 [1127\(97\)00107-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-1127(97)00107-2)
- 840 **Sandhu, M., Wani, S. H., & Jiménez, V. M. 2017.** In vitro propagation of bamboo species
841 through axillary shoot proliferation: A review. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture*
842 (*PCTOC*), 132(1), 27–53. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-017-1325-1>
- 843 **Sato, K., Suyama, Y., Saito, M., & Sugawara, K. 2005.** A new primer for discrimination of
844 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi with polymerase chain reaction-denature gradient gel
845 electrophoresis. *Grassland Science*, 51(2), 179–181. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-697x.2005.00023.x)
846 [697x.2005.00023.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-697x.2005.00023.x)
- 847 **Shinohara, Y., & Otsuki, K. 2015.** Comparisons of soil-water content between a Moso bamboo
848 (*Phyllostachys pubescens*) forest and an evergreen broadleaved forest in western Japan.
849 *Plant Species Biology*, 30(2), 96–103. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1442-1984.12076>
- 850 **Silva-Flores, P., Bueno, C. G., Neira, J., & Palfner, G. 2019.** Factors affecting arbuscular
851 mycorrhizal fungi spore density in the Chilean Mediterranean-Type ecosystem. *Journal*
852 *of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 19(1), 42–50. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-018-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-018-0004-6)
853 [0004-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-018-0004-6)
- 854 **Singh, L., Sridharan, S., Thul, S. T., Kokate, P., Kumar, P., Kumar, S., & Kumar, R. 2020.**
855 Eco-rejuvenation of degraded land by microbe assisted bamboo plantation. *Industrial*
856 *Crops and Products*, 155, 112795. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.112795>
- 857 **Smith, S. E., & Read, D. J. 2008.** *Mycorrhizal symbiosis* (3rd ed.). Academic Press.
- 858 **Soreng, R. J., Peterson, P. M., Zuloaga, F. O., Romaschenko, K., Clark, L. G., Teisher, J.**
859 **K., Gillespie, L. J., Barberá, P., Welker, C. A. D., Kellog, E. A., Li, D. & Davidse, G.**
860 **2022.** A worldwide phylogenetic classification of the Poaceae (Gramineae) III: An
861 update. *Journal of Systematics and Evolution*, 60(3), 476–521.
862 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jse.12847>
- 863 **Stockinger, H., Krüger, M., & Schüßler, A. 2010.** DNA barcoding of arbuscular mycorrhizal
864 fungi. *New Phytologist*, 187(2), 461–474. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469->

- 8137.2010.03262.x
- 865
866 **Tedersoo, L., Bahram, M., Zinger, L., Nilsson, R. H., Kennedy, P. G., Yang, T., Anslan, S.,**
867 **& Mikryukov, V. 2022.** Best practices in metabarcoding of fungi: From experimental
868 design to results. *Molecular Ecology*, 31(10), 2769–2795.
869 <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16460>
- 870 **Toh, S. C., Yong, B. C. W., Abdullahi, R., Lihan, S., & Edward, R. 2018.** Isolation and
871 characterisation of Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi spores from selected plant roots
872 and their rhizosphere soil environment. *Malaysian Journal of Microbiology*.
873 <https://doi.org/10.21161/mjm.144187>
- 874 **Vahter, T., Lillipuu, E. M., Oja, J., Öpik, M., Vasar, M., & Hiiesalu, I. 2023.** Do commercial
875 arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculants contain the species that they claim? *Mycorrhiza*.
876 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-023-01105-9>
- 877 **Van Geel, M., Busschaert, P., Honnay, O., & Lievens, B. 2014.** Evaluation of six primer pairs
878 targeting the nuclear rRNA operon for characterization of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal
879 (AMF) communities using 454 pyrosequencing. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*,
880 106, 93–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2014.08.006>
- 881 **Verma, R. K., & Arya, I. D. 1998.** Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal isolates and organic
882 manure on growth and mycorrhization of micropropagated *Dendrocalamus asper*
883 plantlets and on spore production in their rhizosphere. *Mycorrhiza*, 8(2), 113–116.
884 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s005720050221>
- 885 **Vorontsova, M. S., Clark, L. G., Dransfield, J., Govaerts, R., & Baker, W. J. 2016.** *World*
886 *checklist of bamboos and rattans*. INBAR.
- 887 **Weixin, J., Guangqian, G., & Yulong, D. 2013.** Influences of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on
888 growth and mineral element absorption of Chenglu hybrid bamboo seedlings. *Pakistan*
889 *Journal of Botany*, 45, 303–310.
- 890 **Xing, Y., Shi, W., Zhu, Y., Wang, F., Wu, H., & Ying, Y. 2021.** Screening and activity
891 assessing of phosphorus availability improving microorganisms associated with bamboo
892 rhizosphere in subtropical China. *Environmental Microbiology*, 23(10), 6074–6088.
893 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.15633>
- 894 **Xu, Q., Jiang, P., & Xu, Z. 2008.** Soil microbial functional diversity under intensively managed
895 bamboo plantations in southern China. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 8(3), 177–183.
896 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-008-0007-3>
- 897 **Xu, Q.-F., Liang, C.-F., Chen, J.-H., Li, Y.-C., Qin, H., & Fuhrmann, J. J. 2020.** Rapid
898 bamboo invasion (expansion) and its effects on biodiversity and soil processes +. *Global*
899 *Ecology and Conservation*, 21, e00787. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00787>
- 900 **Zamora-Chacón, A., Martínez-Hernández, M. D. J., Torres-Pelayo, V. del R., Pérez, A. L.**
901 **del A., & Adame-García, J. 2019.** Species of Fungi and Bacteria Associated with the
902 Genus *Bambusa*: A Review. - *International Journal of Innovative Science, Engineering*
903 *& Technology*, 6(5).
- 904 **Zhang, M., Wang, W., Bai, S. H., Xu, Z., Yun, Z., & Zhang, W. 2022.** Linking *Phyllostachys*
905 *edulis* (moso bamboo) growth with soil nitrogen cycling and microbial community of
906 plant-soil system: Effects of plant age and niche differentiation. *Industrial Crops and*
907 *Products*, 177, 114520. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2022.114520>
- 908 **Zhang, X., Gao, G., Wu, Z., Wen, X., Zhong, H., Zhong, Z., Bian, F., & Gai, X. 2019.**
909 Agroforestry alters the rhizosphere soil bacterial and fungal communities of moso
910 bamboo plantations in subtropical China. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 143, 192–200.

