PeerJ

Effect of apatinib on the pharmacokinetics of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol in rats

Su-su Bao^{1,*}, Peng-fei Tang^{1,*}, Nan-yong Gao², Zhong-xiang Xiao¹, Jian-chang Qian², Long Zheng¹, Guo-xin Hu² and Huan-hai Xu¹

¹ Affiliated Yueqing Hospital, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China

² School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

ABSTRACT

Since the combination of anticancer drugs and opioids is very common, apatinib and tramadol are likely to be used in combination clinically. This study evaluated the effects of apatinib on the pharmacokinetics of tramadol and its main metabolite O-desmethyltramadol in Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats and the inhibitory effects of apatinib on tramadol in rat liver microsomes (RLMs), human liver microsomes (HLMs) and recombinant human CYP2D6.1. The samples were determined by ultra-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS). The *in vivo* results showed that compared with the control group, apatinib increased the AUC_(0-t), AUC_(0-∞) and C_{max} values of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol, and decreased the values of V_Z/F and CLz/F. In addition, the MRT_(0-t), MRT_(0-∞) values of O-desmethyltramadol were increased. *In vitro*, apatinib inhibited the metabolism of tramadol by a mixed way with IC₅₀ of 1.927 μ M in RLMs, 2.039 μ M in HLMs and 15.32 μ M in CYP2D6.1. In summary, according to our findings, apatinib has a strong *in vitro* inhibitory effect on tramadol, and apatinib can increase the analgesic effect of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol in rats.

Subjects Biochemistry, Molecular Biology, PharmacologyKeywords Apatinib, Tramadol, O-desmethyltramadol, Drug inhibition, Pharmacokinetics, Metabolism

INTRODUCTION

Tramadol is a centrally acting, fully synthetic opioid with an atypical mechanism of action, it is not only a μ-opioid receptor agonist, but also a serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (*Barbosa et al., 2016*). It was first synthesized in 1962 and it was made available to the foreign markets under the brand name Tramal for pain treatment in 1977 (*Grond & Sablotzki, 2004*). Until 1995, it was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of moderate to moderately severe pain in adults (*Hassamal et al., 2018*). In 2013, tramadol ranked second in total U.S. opioid market sales, accounting for 14.7% (*Miotto et al., 2017*). Typical side effects caused by opioids include gastrointestinal reactions (nausea, vomiting, constipation, *etc.*), itching, dizziness, hypogonadism, sleep disturbance, inattention, respiratory depression, *etc.* In addition to this, there are other effects related to tolerance, dependence, and addiction (*Burgess & Williams, 2010; Gunther*)

Submitted 5 April 2023 Accepted 16 August 2023 Published 11 September 2023

Corresponding authors Guo-xin Hu, hgx@wmu.edu.cn Huan-hai Xu, xhh19992000@163.com

Academic editor Lasse Folkersen

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 11

DOI 10.7717/peerj.16051

Copyright 2023 Bao et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

et al., 2018; Manchikanti et al., 2010; Ventura, Carvalho & Dinis-Oliveira, 2018). Tramadol is generally considered to be a "weak opioid" (its agonistic effect on μ -opioid receptors is only one-fourth to one-tenth of that of morphine), which leads to an arguable perception of higher safety (*Hassamal et al., 2018*). However, due to the inhibition of serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake, tramadol has additional risks in addition to the side effects of opioids, including: epilepsy, tachycardia, serotonin syndrome, hypertension, and reports of mania (*Beakley, Kaye & Kaye, 2015; Reimann & Schneider, 1998*).

The degree of oral absorption of tramadol is almost 100%, after a single oral administration of tramadol 100 mg, the bioavailability is 68% because of the 20–30% first-pass metabolism. After multiple administrations (100 mg × 4), the bioavailability of tramadol increases to 90–100% (*Grond & Sablotzki, 2004*). The metabolism of tramadol is very complex. More than 80% of tramadol is metabolized by the liver (*Desmeules, 2000*). At present, 23 different metabolites (active and inactive) of tramadol have been identified in humans (*Grond & Sablotzki, 2004*; *Wu, McKown & Liao, 2002*). Among them, O-desmethyltramadol is the main active metabolite. The affinity of O-desmethyltramadol is 700 times higher than its parent compound tramadol and 5.5 times lower than morphine (*Gillen et al., 2000*). Tramadol is metabolized to O-desmethyltramadol by CYP2D6, and to N-desmethyltramadol by CYP 3A4 and 2B6. N-desmethyltramadol is pharmacologically inactive (*Gong et al., 2014*; *Grond & Sablotzki, 2004*). Therefore, changes in CYP450 will affect the metabolism of tramadol. Tramadol is excreted mainly through the kidneys (about 90%), about 30% of the dose is excreted in the urine as a prototype (*Grond & Sablotzki, 2004*; *Lassen, Damkier & Brosen, 2015*).

Apatinib (Aitan, brand name in China) is a small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor, independently developed by China and approved for the subsequent-line treatment of advanced gastric or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma (Hu et al., 2014). As one of the latest oral anti-angiogenic drugs, apatinib has been applied to various types of malignant tumors, such as breast cancer, non-small cell carcinoma and epithelial ovarian cancer etc., and has obvious survival benefits and tolerable toxicity (Hu et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2016; Langer, Mok & Postmus, 2013; Lu et al., 2017; Miao et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2021). The bioavailability of oral apatinib ranges from 10% to 20% (Geng & Li, 2015). Apatinib is mainly metabolized by CYP3A4/5 and, to a lesser extent, CYP2D6, CYP2C9, and CYP2E1. After 96 h of oral administration of apatinib, 78% of the drug is excreted, 69.8% of the amount is found in feces and only 7.02% in urine (*Ding et al., 2013*). Cancer and pain are inseparable. Moderate to severe pain is common in cancer patients, affecting 70-80% of patients with advanced cancer (Caraceni et al., 2012). Opioids are still an essential tool for controlling cancer-related pain and are being promoted in international guidelines (*Edler-Buggy et al., 2020*). It seems that the combination of anticancer drugs and opioids is very common. Therefore, it is very likely that apatinib and tramadol can be combined clinically. In addition, a previous study found that apatinib can inhibit CYP2D6, CYP2C9, CYP3A4 and CYP2B6 (*Bao et al., 2018b*), and tramadol is mainly metabolized by CYP2D6. This suggests there may be an interaction between apatinib and tramadol.

In this study, *in vivo*, we determined the effect of apatinib on the pharmacokinetics of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol in rats. *In vitro*, we identified the effect and

mechanism of apatinib on tramadol in human and rat liver microsomes (RLM and HLM) as well as recombinant human CYP2D6.1.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemical and reagents

Tramadol (CAS:27203-92-5), O-desmethyltramadol (CAS:144830-15-9), apatinib (CAS: 811803-05-1) and midazolam (CAS:59467-70-8) (used as internal standard, IS) were bought from Shanghai Canspec Scientific & Technology Co., Ltd. LC–MS grade acetonitrile (ACN) and methanol were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Formic acid of HPLC grade (FA, purity 99.9%) was obtained from J&K scientific Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH, coenzymes for *in vitro* incubation systems) was purchased from Roche Pharmaceutical Ltd. (Basel, Switzerland). Carboxymethylcellulose sodium salt (CMC-Na) was from Sigma-Aldrich Company (Shanghai, China). Pooled RLM and HLM (enzymes for simulating metabolism *in vitro*) were bought from Corning Life Sciences Co., Ltd. Recombinant human CYP2D6.1 and cytochrome b5 (coenzymes for *in vitro* incubation systems) were kind gifts from Beijing Hospital (Beijing, China).

Equipment and operation conditions

Data were collected as previously described in *Bao et al. (2018a)*. Concentrations of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol were determined by ultra-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS). Mass spectrometer contained an Acquity UPLC XEVO TQD triple quadrupole (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) and an electrospray ionization source. The chromatographic separation was performed on a Waters ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 column ($2.1 \times 50 \text{ mm}$, 1.7 µm; Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) at 40 °C. The transitions were m/z 264.2 \rightarrow 58.0 for tramadol, m/z 250.2 \rightarrow 58.2 for O-desmethyltramadol, m/z 326.1 \rightarrow 291.1 for IS. The mobile phase consisted of solvent A (0.1% formic acid) and solvent B (ACN) with gradient elution at a flow rate of 0.4 ml/min. After many attempts, we finalized a gradient elution program as follows: 0–1.4 min, 60–10% A, 1.4–2.6 min, 10–60% A. Under this procedure, the peak shape of midazolam, tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol can basically remain sharp and symmetrical, and the retention time is also very short, so that our final running time is only 2.6 min, saving time cost.

In vitro experiments

The concentrations of the stock solutions of apatinib and tramadol (both diluted by methanol) were 2 and 4 mg/ml respectively. The 200 μ l incubation system contained 1.6 μ l apatinib, 3.0 μ l tramadol, 5 μ l rat liver microsomes (RLM, 0.70 mg/ml) or human liver microsomes (HLM, 0.31 mg/ml) or 5 μ l CYP2D6.1 (1 pM) along with 3.7 μ l b5 (5.25 μ g/ml), and 10 μ l NADPH (1 mM), and 180.4 μ l 1M potassium phosphate buffer (PBS) for HLM and RLM, 176.7 μ l PBS for CYP2D6.1. In the experiment of IC₅₀ determination, the concentration of apatinib was designed at 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 50 and 100 μ M, while that of tramadol was 80 μ M for RLM, 50 μ M for HLM, 40 μ M for

CYP2D6.1, which were close to their Km value correspondingly. In the experiment of the inhibitory effect of apatinib on tramadol, the concentration gradient of apatinib (0–32 μ M) and tramadol (10–160 μ M) was set according to the IC₅₀ value and the Km value. The incubation system was carried out at 37 °C, and after incubating for 30 min, it was cooled to -80 °C. Then 400 μ l ACN and 20 μ l IS (20 ng/ml) were added to the mixture. After vortex mixing for 2 min and high-speed centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 10 min, the supernatant that maintained at cooled was taken for testing the concentration of tramadol.

In vivo experiments

A total of 12 male Sprague–Dawley (SD) rats were purchased from the Shanghai Animal Experimental Center. All procedures conformed to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were conducted with the approval of the Animal Care and Use Committee of Wenzhou Medical University (wydw2018-0002). The animals were randomly divided into two groups (n = 6): group A was apatinib group (taking apatinib with tramadol), group B was the control group (taking tramadol alone). The SD rats were kept in the specific-pathogen-free (SPF) laboratory, and fed normally. Before the formal experiment, the 12 rats were fasted for 12 h but not banned from drinking water. At the beginning of the experiment, the group A was given 40 mg/kg apatinib orally (apatinib was dissolved in 0.5% CMC-Na), and the group B was given the same amount of 0.5% CMC-Na as the group A. After 30 min, both groups were given 20 mg/kg tramadol orally. In order to ensure the best sampling points, we conducted pre-tests before the formal test, and finally determined that the time points of blood collection from the tail vein of rats were 0.083, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 24 h after administration of tramadol. After the end of the experiment, the rats were euthanized with anesthesia (Pentobarbital sodium 100-200 mg/kg), then they were packaged and finally incinerated per biological waste disposal protocol.

The above blood was centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min to obtain the required plasma. A total of 100 μ l of the collected plasma was taken and mixed with 20 μ l IS (300 ng/ml) and 200 μ l ACN in a 1.5 ml centrifuge tube. After being vortexed for 2 min and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min, the supernatant was diluted with pure water (1:1) for UPLC-MS/MS analysis.

Statistical analysis

 IC_{50} and Lineweaver-Burk Plot are calculated by GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). The average concentration-time curve is drawn by Origin 8.0. The pharmacokinetic parameters were evaluated by DAS software (version 3.0), using non-compartmental analysis. The statistical analysis of all data is expressed as mean \pm standard deviation and analyzed by SPSS 19.0. using independent samples t-test. *P* < 0.05 represents statistical significance.

RESULTS

UPLC-MS/MS

The correlation coefficients of the calibration curves of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol were both greater than 0.99. Tramadol is used in a concentration range of 1 to 2,000 ng/ml, and O-desmethyltramadol is used in a concentration range of 0.25 to 500 ng/ml. The chromatograms of tramadol, O-desmethyltramadol and IS under different conditions are shown in Fig. 1. The methodological validation data are presented in the Tables 1–3.

Effects of apatinib on the metabolism of tramadol in vitro

The IC₅₀ curve and the Lineweaver-Burk plot of apatinib on tramadol in HLM, RLM and CYP2D6.1 are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively, and the corresponding values are shown in Table 4. According to Table 4, The IC₅₀ values of RLM and HLM are very close. The inhibitory strength of apatinib on tramadol is greater in RLM and HLM than in CYP2D6.1. The results indicate that apatinib inhibits tramadol in a mixed way.

Effects of apatinib on the metabolism of tramadol in vivo

The average plasma concentration-time curves of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol and their corresponding pharmacokinetic parameters are shown in Fig. 4, Tables 5 and 6. Apatinib inhibited the metabolism of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol in rats and changed the pharmacokinetic parameters of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol. Compared with group B (control group), the AUC_(0-t), AUC_(0-∞) and C_{max} values of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol were increased in apatinib group, but Vz/F and CLz/F values of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol were decreased. In addition, the MRT_(0-t), MRT_(0-∞) values of O-desmethyltramadol were increased in apatinib group. Other parameters have no significant difference.

DISCUSSION

Tramadol is mainly metabolized by CYP2D6, CYP3A4 and CYP2B6. Since the phase I metabolic reaction mediated by CYP450 is slower than the phase II binding reaction, they become the rate-limiter for the overall metabolic disposal of CYP substrate drugs (*Miotto et al., 2017*). CYP enzymes are easily induced and inhibited by other substrates, which means that the plasma concentration of tramadol and its main metabolite may be affected by drugs that affect the activities of CYP2D6, CYP3A4 and CYP2B6. Since apatinib is metabolized by CYP3A4/5, CYP2D6, CYP2C9 and CYP2E1 (*Ding et al., 2013*), sharing two CYP metabolic pathways with tramadol, and the fact that tramadol and apatinib are likely to be combined clinically, whether apatinib affects the metabolism of tramadol is worth studying.

The results of *in vivo* experiments show that apatinib does influence the metabolism of tramadol. Compared with the control group, the AUC $_{(0-t)}$, AUC $_{(0-\infty)}$ and C_{max} of tramadol increased, Vz/F and CLz/F decreased, which proves that apatinib inhibits the metabolism of tramadol in rats, increasing the side effects of tramadol. The inhibitory effect of tramadol on the neuronal reuptake of norepinephrine and 5-HT increases its side



Figure 1 UPLC-MS/MS chromatograms of tramadol, O-desmethyltramadol and IS (midazolam). (A) Blank plasma sample. (B) Blank plasma spiked with 100 ng/mL tramadol, 25 ng/mL O-desmethyl-tramadol and 300 ng/mL IS. (C) Apatinib-treated rat plasma sample at 4 h after tramadol. TIC, total ionic chromatogram. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16051/fig-1

(n = 6).					
Analytes	Level (ng/ml)	Intra-day precision		Inter-day precision	
		RE (%)	RSD (%)	RE (%)	RSD (%)
Tramadol	1	8.75	8.89	9.52	3.45
	3	5.70	3.33	6.18	4.12
	150	8.42	2.98	6.39	4.44
	1,500	2.03	3.38	1.71	1.14
O-desmethyltramadol	0.25	-9.28	5.57	-6.52	3.01
	0.75	3.75	2.01	-3.41	5.53
	50	9.43	2.05	8.34	5.60
	400	3.14	4.03	1.34	2.48

Table 1 Precision and accuracy for tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol of QC sample in rat plasma (n = 6).

Table 2 Recovery and matrix effect for tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol of QC sample in rat plasma (n = 6).

Analytes	Level (ng/ml)	Recovery (%)	Matrix effect (%)
Tramadol	3	100.48 ± 6.89	98.06 ± 8.83
	150	102.65 ± 1.65	92.74 ± 2.02
	1,500	97.61 ± 7.30	104.20 ± 8.34
O-desmethyltramadol	0.75	96.93 ± 4.96	98.14 ± 5.70
	50	102.15 ± 7.17	106.31 ± 7.71
	400	102.81 ± 6.62	98.75 ± 5.20

Table 3 Summary of stability of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol in rat plasma under different storage conditions (n = 6).

Analytes	Level (ng/ml)	Room ten	perature	4 °C		Three free	eze-thaw	-80 °C	
		RE (%)	RSD (%)	RE (%)	RSD (%)	RE (%)	RSD (%)	RE (%)	RSD (%)
Tramadol	3	4.98	5.03	2.05	7.95	-3.25	9.76	5.17	7.74
	150	2.19	6.36	2.56	5.32	-8.91	1.42	5.21	6.06
	1,500	6.12	8.44	6.39	7.56	3.27	9.64	4.85	7.73
O-desmethyltramadol	0.75	-3.13	8.37	-3.83	6.01	-2.04	5.29	1.71	5.59
	50	5.31	7.55	3.48	6.51	6.04	7.38	5.86	10.09
	400	10.71	8.07	8.05	8.19	4.58	4.38	2.26	8.40

effect compared with other opioids (*Minami, Uezono & Ueta, 2007*). This *in vivo* result corresponds to the subsequent *in vitro* result. Apatinib strongly inhibited the metabolism of tramadol by a mixed way with $IC_{50} < 5 \mu M$ in RLMs.

As we all know, because of the differences in species, animal experiments cannot be fully extrapolated to clinical applications, but they can provide some basic data for human research. In addition, although there are differences between species, there are similarities.



Figure 2 Apatinib with various concentrations for half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) in the activity of (A) RLM, (B) HLM and (C)CYP2D6.1. Values are the mean \pm SD, N = 3.Full-size \square DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16051/fig-2



Figure 3 Primary Lineweaver-Burk plot, the secondary plot for Ki and the secondary plot for α Ki in the inhibition of the metabolism of tramadol by various concentrations of apatinib in (A) RLM, (B) HLM and (C) CYP2D6.1. Values are mean ± SD, N = 3. Full-size \square DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16051/fig-3

Martignoni et al. concluded that CYP2E1 shows no large differences between species, and extrapolation between species appears to hold quite well, and the rat and human CYP2D isoforms share a high sequence identity (>70%) In our IC₅₀ experiment, the results showed that the values of IC₅₀ in HLMs (2.039 μ M) are extremely close to those in RLMs (1.927 μ M), which also increased the possibility that *in vivo* experiments in rats could be

Table 4 The IC50 values and inhibitory effects of apatinib on tramadol in HLMs, RLMs and CYP2D6.1.						
	IC ₅₀ values (μM)	Inhibition type	Ki (μM)	α Ki (μM)	α	
RLMs	1.927	Mixed	0.801	3.952	4.934	
HLMs	2.039	Mixed	2.672	13.45	5.034	
CYP2D6.1	15.32	Mixed	28.38	64.25	2.264	



Figure 4 Mean concentration-time curve of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol in the control group and the apatinib group (N = 6). Control Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16051/fig-4 group (tramadol alone) and the apatinib group (tramadol with apatinib) (N = 6).

Table 5 The main pharmacokinetic parameters of tramadol in two groups $(n = 6)$.						
Parameters	Group A	Group B				
AUC(0-t) (ug/L * h)	7,273.187 ± 2,680.883**	710.148 ± 127.326				
AUC(0– ∞) (ug/L * h)	7,278.701 ± 2,683.291**	724.840 ± 121.467				
MRT(0-t) (h)	3.600 ± 0.377	3.389 ± 0.853				
$MRT(0-\infty)$ (h)	3.617 ± 0.367	4.293 ± 1.619				
t1/2z (h)	2.320 ± 1.072	7.554 ± 7.124				
Tmax (h)	1.500 ± 0.548	1.792 ± 1.346				
Vz/F (L/kg)	$9.824 \pm 4.610^*$	324.580 ± 313.568				
CLz/F (L/h/kg)	$3.076 \pm 1.151^{**}$	28.327 ± 5.318				
Cmax (ug/L)	$1,555.169 \pm 450.828^{**}$	162.063 ± 50.829				

Notes:

* P < 0.05 in comparison with group B.

** P < 0.005 in comparison with group B.

Group A (tramadol with apatinib), Group B (control group).

extrapolated to humans. A pharmacokinetic study of apatinib (after oral administration of 750 mg once daily) shows that the mean values of C_{max} of apatinib is 3.8 uM (*Ding et al.*, 2013), which is greater than its IC_{50} value in HLMs. This indicates that at the usual clinical

Table 0 The main pharmacoknetic parameters of 0-desinethylitamador in two groups $(n - 0)$.						
Parameters	Group A	Group B				
AUC(0-t) (ug/L * h)	755.152 ± 208.119**	246.079 ± 56.316				
AUC(0– ∞) (ug/L * h)	790.146 ± 187.052**	281.735 ± 72.841				
MRT(0-t) (h)	7.172 ± 0.693**	5.289 ± 0.461				
$MRT(0-\infty)$ (h)	$7.776 \pm 0.409^*$	6.603 ± 0.896				
t1/2z (h)	3.159 ± 0.768	2.925 ± 0.593				
Tmax (h)	6.333 ± 0.816	4.125 ± 2.906				
Vz/F (L/kg)	117.125 ± 23.395**	309.179 ± 58.566				
CLz/F (L/h/kg)	26.373 ± 5.473**	77.049 ± 28.893				
Cmax (ug/L)	97.216 ± 23.528**	34.456 ± 8.107				
τ						

able 6 T	The main p	pharmacokinetic	parameters of	O-desmethyltramadol	in two groups	(n = 6).
----------	------------	-----------------	---------------	---------------------	---------------	----------

Notes:

* P < 0.05 in comparison with group B.

** P < 0.005 in comparison with group B. Group A (tramadol with apatinib), Group B (control group).

dose, the plasma concentration of apatinib in humans is sufficient to cause its inhibition of the metabolism of tramadol. Studies have pointed out that tramadol is metabolized much faster in animals than in humans: 1% and 25–30% of the oral dose are excreted in urine as prototypes respectively (*Grond & Sablotzki, 2004; Lintz et al., 1981*). This also implies that when apatinib and tramadol are used in combination in humans, the accumulation of tramadol in humans is more serious than in rats.

Although CYP2D6 only accounts for ~2–4% of all liver CYP enzymes, it metabolizes about 25% of clinically used drugs, and about 80% of tramadol is metabolized by CYP2D6 (*Jin et al., 2013*; *Miotto et al., 2017*; *Zhou, 2009a, 2009b*). Based on this, we studied the potential inhibitory effects of apatinib on tramadol in CYP2D6.1. Apatinib inhibits tramadol by a mixed way in CYP2D6.1, suggesting the complexity of the inhibition way. The inhibitory intensity of apatinib in 2D6.1 is much smaller than that of RLM and HLM, the reason may be that the inhibition of tramadol by apatinib *in vitro* is not only through CYP2D6. Studies have shown that apatinib can strongly inhibit CYP3A4 and CYP2B6 (*Bao et al., 2018b*) while the N-demethylation process of tramadol is through these two enzymes (*Kirchheiner et al., 2008*). Therefore, apatinib may also inhibit the metabolism of tramadol through CYP3A4 and CYP2B6.

In addition, compared with the control group, the exposure of O-desmethyltramadol to AUC $_{(0-t)}$, AUC $_{(0-\infty)}$, MRT $_{(0-t)}$, MRT $_{(0-\infty)}$ and C_{max} was increased significantly, This may be because apatinib significantly reduced tramadol's first pass elimination, which greatly increased the amount of absorption. Besides, O-desmethyltramadol will subsequently be metabolized into N,O-didesmethyl-tramadol through CYP3A4 and CYP2B6 (*Subrahmanyam et al., 2001*), and this process may be hindered by apatinib (*Bao et al., 2018b*). Since O-desmethyltramadol is the main active metabolite, and its affinity is 700 times higher than that of its parent compound, exaggerated effects or even opioid intoxication may occur.

Patients often take more than one drug at a time. Accidental, unrecognized, or poorly managed drug-drug interaction (DDI) is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality

associated with prescription drug use (*Food and Drug Administration, 2017*). We chose apatinib and tramadol as the study subjects because these two drugs are likely to be taken together by patients with cancer pain. Useful information on potential DDI can be provided by studying the effects of apatinib on the metabolism of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol. In addition, our results of *in vitro* experiments, along with pharmacokinetic data, provide mechanistic information that can inform the necessity and proper design of potential future clinical studies (*Food and Drug Administration, 2020*).

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, based on our research results, apatinib can enhance the analgesic effect of O-desmethyltramadol, but also greatly increase the toxic and side effects caused by the accumulation of tramadol and O-desmethyltramadol. In order to avoid the risk of greatly increased adverse reactions, we do not recommend simultaneous administration of apatinib and tramadol clinically. Due to the high probability of combined application of apatinib and tramadol in cancer patients, our study provides a contribution to the rational use of drugs in this regard.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Su-su Bao would like to thank Zhen Xu for his care and support in the process of writing and living.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This work was supported by the Wenzhou Municipal Science and Technology Bureau (Y2022089), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2020YFC2008301), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC 81973397), the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (LBY21H070001) and the Wenzhou Municipal Science and Technology Bureau (Y20180594). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Wenzhou Municipal Science and Technology Bureau: Y2022089. National Key Research and Development Program of China: 2020YFC2008301. National Natural Science Foundation of China: NSFC 81973397. Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province: LBY21H070001. Wenzhou Municipal Science and Technology Bureau: Y20180594.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Su-su Bao conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Peng-fei Tang conceived and designed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Nan-yong Gao performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Zhong-xiang Xiao performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Jian-chang Qian performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Long Zheng analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Guo-xin Hu conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Huan-hai Xu conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.

Animal Ethics

The following information was supplied relating to ethical approvals (*i.e.*, approving body and any reference numbers):

All procedures conformed to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were conducted with the approval of the Animal Care and Use Committee of Wenzhou Medical University (wydw2018-0002).

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw measurements are available in the Supplemental File.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.16051#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

- Bao SS, Wen J, Lin QM, Li YH, Huang YG, Zhou HY, Hu GX. 2018a. Evaluation of the effects of apatinib on the pharmacokinetics of venlafaxine and O-desmethylvenlafaxine in SD male rats by UPLC-MS/MS. Basic & Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology 123(6):721–726 DOI 10.1111/bcpt.13081.
- Bao SS, Wen J, Zheng X, Zhou Q, Qu GE, Chen MJ, Hu GX. 2018b. Evaluation of the inhibition effects of apatinib on human and rat cytochrome P450. *Toxicology Letters* 297(November (1)):1–7 DOI 10.1016/j.toxlet.2018.08.010.
- Barbosa J, Faria J, Queiros O, Moreira R, Carvalho F, Dinis-Oliveira RJ. 2016. Comparative metabolism of tramadol and tapentadol: a toxicological perspective. *Drug Metabolism Reviews* 48(4):577–592 DOI 10.1080/03602532.2016.1229788.

- Beakley BD, Kaye AM, Kaye AD. 2015. Tramadol, Pharmacology, side effects, and serotonin syndrome: a review. *Pain Physician* 18:395–400 DOI 10.7759/cureus.26000.
- Burgess G, Williams D. 2010. The discovery and development of analgesics: new mechanisms, new modalities. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 120(11):3753–3759 DOI 10.1172/JCI43195.
- Caraceni A, Hanks G, Kaasa S, Bennett MI, Brunelli C, Cherny N, Dale O, De Conno F, Fallon M, Hanna M, Haugen DF, Juhl G, King S, Klepstad P, Laugsand EA, Maltoni M, Mercadante S, Nabal M, Pigni A, Radbruch L, Reid C, Sjogren P, Stone PC, Tassinari D, Zeppetella G, European Palliative Care Research Collaborative (EPCRC), European Association for Palliative Care (EAPC). 2012. Use of opioid analgesics in the treatment of cancer pain: evidence-based recommendations from the EAPC. *The Lancet Oncology* 13:e5868 DOI 10.1016/S1470-2045(12)70040-2.
- **Desmeules JA. 2000.** The tramadol option. *European Journal of Pain* **4(Suppl A)**:15–21 DOI 10.1053/eujp.1999.0163.
- Ding J, Chen X, Gao Z, Dai X, Li L, Xie C, Jiang H, Zhang L, Zhong D. 2013. Metabolism and pharmacokinetics of novel selective vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 inhibitor apatinib in humans. *Drug Metabolism and Disposition* **41(6)**:1195–1210 DOI 10.1124/dmd.112.050310.
- Edler-Buggy S, Birtwistle J, ElMokhallalati Y, Kindl K, Good P, Bennett MI. 2020. Regular dosing compared with as-needed dosing of opioids for management of chronic cancer pain: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain* 161(4):703–712 DOI 10.1097/j.pain.00000000001755.
- **Food and Drug Administration. 2017.** Clinical drug interaction studies-study design, data analysis, and clinical implications guidance for industry. *Available at https://www.regulations.gov/document/FDA-2017-D-5961-0002* (accessed 16 March 2022).
- Food and Drug Administration. 2020. In vitro drug interaction studies-cytochrome p450 enzyme and transporter-mediated drug interactions guidance for industry. *Available at https://www.regulations.gov/document/FDA-2017-D-5961-0024* (accessed 16 March 2022).
- Geng R, Li J. 2015. Apatinib for the treatment of gastric cancer. *Expert Opinion on Pharmacotherapy* 16(1):117–122 DOI 10.1517/14656566.2015.981526.
- Gillen C, Haurand M, Kobelt DJ, Wnendt S. 2000. Affinity, potency and efficacy of tramadol and its metabolites at the cloned human mu-opioid receptor. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Archives of Pharmacology* 362(2):116–121 DOI 10.1007/s002100000266.
- Gong L, Stamer UM, Tzvetkov MV, Altman RB, Klein TE. 2014. PharmGKB summary: tramadol pathway. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 24(7):374–380 DOI 10.1097/FPC.00000000000057.
- Grond S, Sablotzki A. 2004. Clinical pharmacology of tramadol. *Clinical Pharmacokinetics* 43(13):879–923 DOI 10.2165/00003088-200443130-00004.
- Gunther T, Dasgupta P, Mann A, Miess E, Kliewer A, Fritzwanker S, Steinborn R, Schulz S. 2018. Targeting multiple opioid receptors-improved analgesics with reduced side effects? *British Journal of Pharmacology* 175(14):2857–2868 DOI 10.1111/bph.13809.
- Hassamal S, Miotto K, Dale W, Danovitch I. 2018. Tramadol: understanding the risk of serotonin syndrome and seizures. *The American Journal of Medicine* 131(11):1382.e1–1382.e6 DOI 10.1016/j.amjmed.2018.04.025.
- Hu X, Cao J, Hu W, Wu C, Pan Y, Cai L, Tong Z, Wang S, Li J, Wang Z, Wang B, Chen X, Yu H.
 2014. Multicenter phase II study of apatinib in non-triple-negative metastatic breast cancer. *BMC Cancer* 14(1):820 DOI 10.1186/1471-2407-14-820.
- Huang J, Fan Q, Lu P, Ying J, Ma C, Liu W, Liu Y, Tan F, Sun Y. 2016. Icotinib in patients with pretreated advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma with EGFR overexpression or EGFR

gene amplification: a single-arm, multicenter phase 2 study. *Journal of Thoracic Oncology* **11(6)**:910–917 DOI 10.1016/j.jtho.2016.02.020.

- Jin TB, Ma LF, Zhang JY, Yuan DY, Sun Q, Zong TY, Geng TT, Cui YL, Kang LL, Chen C. 2013. Polymorphisms and phenotypic analysis of cytochrome P450 2D6 in the tibetan population. *Gene* 527(1):360–365 DOI 10.1016/j.gene.2013.03.110.
- Kirchheiner J, Keulen JT, Bauer S, Roots I, Brockmoller J. 2008. Effects of the CYP2D6 gene duplication on the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of tramadol. *Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology* 28(1):78–83 DOI 10.1097/JCP.0b013e318160f827.
- Langer CJ, Mok T, Postmus PE. 2013. Targeted agents in the third-/fourth-line treatment of patients with advanced (stage III/IV) non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Cancer Treatment Reviews* 39(3):252–260 DOI 10.1016/j.ctrv.2012.05.003.
- Lassen D, Damkier P, Brosen K. 2015. The pharmacogenetics of tramadol. *Clinical Pharmacokinetics* 54(8):825–836 DOI 10.1007/s40262-015-0268-0.
- Lintz W, Erlacin S, Frankus E, Uragg H. 1981. Biotransformation of tramadol in man and animal (author's transl). *Arzneimittelforschung* **31**:1932–1943.
- Lu W, Jin XL, Yang C, Du P, Jiang FQ, Ma JP, Yang J, Xie P, Zhang Z. 2017. Comparison of efficacy between TACE combined with apatinib and TACE alone in the treatment of intermediate and advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: a single-center randomized controlled trial. *Cancer Biology & Therapy* **18(6)**:433–438 DOI 10.1080/15384047.2017.1323589.
- Manchikanti L, Fellows B, Ailinani H, Pampati V. 2010. Therapeutic use, abuse, and nonmedical use of opioids: a ten-year perspective. *Pain Physician* 13:401–435 DOI 10.36076/ppj.2010/13/401.
- Miao M, Deng G, Luo S, Zhou J, Chen L, Yang J, He J, Li J, Yao J, Tan S, Tang J. 2018. A phase II study of apatinib in patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer. *Gynecologic Oncology* 148(2):286–290 DOI 10.1016/j.ygyno.2017.12.013.
- Minami K, Uezono Y, Ueta Y. 2007. Pharmacological aspects of the effects of tramadol on G-protein coupled receptors. *Journal of Pharmacological Sciences* **103(3)**:253–260 DOI 10.1254/jphs.CR0060032.
- Miotto K, Cho AK, Khalil MA, Blanco K, Sasaki JD, Rawson R. 2017. Trends in tramadol: pharmacology, metabolism, and misuse. *Anesthesia and Analgesia* 124(1):44–51 DOI 10.1213/ANE.00000000001683.
- Reimann W, Schneider F. 1998. Induction of 5-hydroxytryptamine release by tramadol, fenfluramine and reserpine. *European Journal of Pharmacology* **349(2–3)**:199–203 DOI 10.1016/S0014-2999(98)00195-2.
- Subrahmanyam V, Renwick AB, Walters DG, Young PJ, Price RJ, Tonelli AP, Lake BG. 2001. Identification of cytochrome P-450 isoforms responsible for cis-tramadol metabolism in human liver microsomes. *Drug Metabolism and Disposition* **29**:1146–1155.
- Ventura L, Carvalho F, Dinis-Oliveira RJ. 2018. Opioids in the frame of new psychoactive substances network: a complex pharmacological and toxicological issue. *Current Molecular Pharmacology* **11**(2):97–108 DOI 10.2174/1874467210666170704110146.
- Wu WN, McKown LA, Liao S. 2002. Metabolism of the analgesic drug ULTRAM (tramadol hydrochloride) in humans: API-MS and MS/MS characterization of metabolites. *Xenobiotica* 32(5):411–425 DOI 10.1080/00498250110113230.

- Zhang G, Gong S, Pang L, Hou L, He W. 2021. Efficacy and safety of apatinib treatment for advanced cholangiocarcinoma after failed gemcitabine-based chemotherapy: an open-label phase II prospective study. *Frontiers in Oncology* 11:659217 DOI 10.3389/fonc.2021.659217.
- **Zhou SF. 2009a.** Polymorphism of human cytochrome P450 2D6 and its clinical significance: part I. *Clinical Pharmacokinetics* **48(11)**:689–723 DOI 10.2165/11318030-00000000-00000.
- Zhou SF. 2009b. Polymorphism of human cytochrome P450 2D6 and its clinical significance: part II. *Clinical Pharmacokinetics* **48(12)**:761–804 DOI 10.2165/11318070-00000000-00000.