

1 **Claw shape variation in oribatid mites of the genera**
2 ***Carabodes* and *Caleremaeus*: Exploring the interplay of**
3 **habitat, ecology, and phylogenetics**

4
5 Michaela Kerschbaumer¹, Sylvia Schäffer¹ & Tobias Pfingstl¹
6
7

8 ¹Department for Biodiversity and Evolution, Institute of Biology, University of Graz,
9 Universitätsplatz 2, 8010 Graz, Austria.
10
11
12

13 Corresponding author:

14 Michaela Kerschbaumer¹

15 Universitätsplatz 2, Graz, 8010, Austria

16 Email address: michaela.kerschbaumer@uni-graz.at
17
18

Field Code Changed

Abstract

Background. Claws are a commonly observed biological adaptation across a wide range of animal groups. They serve different functions and their link to evolution is challenging to analyze. While there are many studies on the comparative anatomy and morphology of claws in reptiles ~~and~~, birds, ~~and several arthropods~~, knowledge about ~~arthropod~~ claws, ~~particularly of soil-living~~ oribatid mites, is still limited. Recent research on intertidal oribatid mites has shown that claw shape is strongly correlated with microhabitat and is subject to ecological selective pressures. However, the selective constraints shaping claws in terrestrial oribatid mites are still unknown.

Methods. In this study, 300 specimens from 12 different species and two genera were examined. Geometric morphometrics were used to quantify claw length and curvature, and to analyze two-dimensional claw shape. In combination with molecular phylogenetic analyses of investigated populations phylogenetic signal was quantified within genera using Blomberg's K and random replicates. Additionally, ecological information on the investigated species was gathered from previous studies and compiled into tables.

Results. The claw shapes of *Carabodes* species vary moderately, with the three species *C. reticulatus*, *C. rugosior* and *C. tenuis* deviating the most from the others. These three species are only found in a small number of habitats, which may require a more specialized claw shape. Our results show that there is a phylogenetic influence on claw shape in *Carabodes* but not in *Caleremaeus*. Additionally, habitat specificity and lifestyle were found to have ecological impact on claw shape in both genera. The present results demonstrate that characteristics of the claws of terrestrial oribatid mites are correlated with ecology, but this correlation is apparently weaker than in intertidal oribatid mites that are prone to strong external forces.

Keywords – terrestrial; geometric morphometrics; phylogenetic signal; barcodes, euryoecious lifestyle

47 Introduction

48 Claws are prevalent biological adaptations found in a diverse range of animal groups, including
49 arthropods, birds, reptiles, and large mammals. Those structures can serve various functions
50 (Tinius & Russell, 2017). The link between claw morphology, its function and evolution are
51 difficult to quantify, analyze, and interpret. Because claws are the most common grip
52 mechanism in vertebrates (Zani, 2000), there are many studies about the comparative anatomy
53 and morphology of these structures, mainly in reptiles (Zani, 2000; Tulli et al., 2009; D'Amore
54 et al., 2019; Alibardi, 2020; Mann et al., 2021, Tulli, ~~Manzano & Abdala, et al.~~ 2022) and birds
55 (Feduccia, 1993; Hahn et al., 2014).

56 In view of their huge diversity, studies on arthropod claws used for attachment are not as
57 numerous as in vertebrates, but the existing amount of literature is **though** substantial and
58 demonstrates important facts about these morphological structures. The attachment ability of
59 small arthropods is determined by surface roughness and claw tip diameter (e.g. Heethoff &
60 Körner, 2007, Patrick, Labonte & Federle, 2018), i.e. sharper claw tips will have more
61 asperities to interlock with, but with heavier loads they are more likely to break. That means
62 larger animals will have blunter claws and thus poorer attachment abilities than smaller animals
63 (Patrick, Labonte & Federle, 2018). However, insects possess not only claws but also adhesive
64 pads and sometimes other additional structures to maintain proper attachment on different
65 surfaces (e.g. Friedemann, Schneeberg & Beutel, 2014). Claws are basically used on rougher
66 surfaces while pads allow to cling to smooth surfaces (e.g. Gorb, 2001). The complex interplay
67 of these structures allows the insects to walk safely on a huge variety of different surfaces.
68 Other insects are specialized to adhere to specific substrates and in these cases, attachment
69 devices may show remarkable adaptations. For example, parasitic dipteran flies need to stay on
70 the body of the host and therefore have evolved dentate or comb-like claws as well as
71 dichotomously shaped setae on their pads, allowing extremely strong attachments (Petersen et
72 al., 2018; Büscher et al. 2022).

73 There are numerous other studies on the diversity and function of arthropod claws, but when it
74 comes to the **smallest** arthropods like mites, research is relatively scarce. Mites are known to
75 exhibit the largest number of claw characteristics among Chelicerata (Dunlop 2002), and it was
76 shown that a tiny soil-living moss mite species produces exceptionally high relative claw forces,
77 presumably **toppingtrumping** all other organisms (Heethoff & Körner, 2007). Mites also often
78 show additional adhesive pads for attachment (e.g. Dunlop 2002) but the majority of soil-
79 dwelling oribatid mites lack these structures (Pfingstl, 2023). There are presently ca. 11 000
80 known oribatid mite species (Subías 2022) occupying a wide range of ecological niches, which

Commented [SB1]: ?

Commented [SB2]: I recommend using the term microarthropods, as the meaning of this term is pretty clear

means the landscape structure is highly variable for the different taxa (Heethoff & Körner, 2007). This microhabitat variety and the fact that most Oribatida only possess claws for attachment, makes them ideal candidates to investigate the direct correlation between claw characteristics and used substrate.

~~The study of arthropod claws, on the other hand, is still at the very beginning and relatively few little is known about these important attachment devices. Particularly, knowledge about claws of oribatid mites, presents many unanswered questions regarding their function as attachment devices.~~ Pfingstl, Kerschbaumer & Shimano (2020), investigated the claw shapes of numerous

intertidal oribatid mites from various habitats by means of geometric morphometrics and ~~their~~ results demonstrated that claw shape strongly correlates with the microhabitat. Species living on rocky shores have remarkably high and strongly curved claws, whereas species from mangrove habitats have significantly lower and less curved claws. Euryoecious species can dwell in a wide range of habitats and show an intermediate claw type. An additional molecular genetic investigation of intertidal species showed that there is no phylogenetic signal in claw shape, which indicates that ecology has acted as one of the primary selective forces in the diversification of claw shapes in intertidal oribatid mites (Kerschbaumer & Pfingstl, 2021).

Juveniles of ~~these~~ arthropods exhibit habitat-specific claws. While claw length grows in direct proportion to increasing body size, claw curvature is almost static during development (Pfingstl & Kerschbaumer, 2022). However, these littoral oribatid mites are monodactyl, which means they only possess a single claw on each tarsus, and they are subject to intense wave action and surf, therefore, a strong evolutionary selection for specific claw shapes is assumed (Pfingstl, Kerschbaumer & Shimano, 2020).

In terrestrial oribatid mites there are, next to monodactyl species, also species with two or three claws on each leg, and nothing is known about the selective constraints shaping these claws. Most oribatid species associated with above-ground habitats in forests are considered to have evolved from lineages associated with the forest-floor soil and litter, and thus may have evolved modifications in their morphology in relation to habitat structure and other modifications in life-history traits (e.g., Behan-Pelletier & Walter, 2000). A recent review article (Pfingstl, 2023 ~~submitted~~) highlighted a huge variety of claw expressions in oribatid mites and demonstrated that almost nothing is known about the interaction of these claws with ~~the~~ specific environments. Despite ongoing research, little is currently known about the precise reasons behind the development of specific claw formations or the existence of varying numbers of claws.

In this work, we performed qualitative and quantitative analyses to explore possible links

between morphological variation and both ecological factors and phylogenetic constraints that could have driven the evolution of claws of monodactyl oribatid mite species in terrestrial habitats. We examined ~~12~~several species from Austria belonging to the two oribatid mite genera *Carabodes* and *Caleremaeus*.

Carabodes (Acari, Oribatida Carabodidae), a morphologically characteristic oribatid mite genus was originally proposed by Koch in 1835. The type species for this genus is *Carabodes coriaceus* (Koch, 1835). Currently, the genus includes four subgenera and 135 species that are distributed worldwide (Subías 2022). These mites can be found in various habitats, including soil, litter, mosses, lichens, fungi, and on the bark of twigs, branches, and tree trunks. They can also occur on rock surfaces and in rotten wood (Reeves, 1987; Reeves & Behan-Pelletier 1998). As panphytophages, they are not specialized feeders, which accounts for their adaptability to such a wide range of habitats (Reeves, 1987). In Weigmann's (2015) work on the distribution and ecology of oribatid mites in Germany, one can discover the specific habitats and lifestyles of each *Carabodes* species. Presently, there are 14 species of *Carabodes* known to occur in Austria (Krisper et al., 2017).

The genus *Caleremaeus* has recently been reexamined by Norton & Behan-Pelletier (2020), who listed four valid species: *Caleremaeus monilipes* (Michael, 1882) from the Palearctic region, as well as *C. retractus* (Banks, 1947), *C. arboricolus* (Norton & Behan-Pelletier, 2020) and *C. nasutus* (Norton & Behan-Pelletier, 2020) all found in North America. The palearctic species *Caleremaeus monilipes* is highly adaptable and can live in a wide range of environments. It has been observed in various habitats across Europe, including alluvial forests, alpine meadows, spruce forests, deciduous forests, dry grasslands, and scree slopes (Ayyildiz et al., 2011, Schatz, 1983). The species is known to colonize a diverse array of substrates, such as soil, litter, mosses, lichens, decaying wood, and algae. In addition to its ability to live in different habitats and substrates, *C. monilipes* has also demonstrated a remarkable vertical distribution, ranging from colline to alpine regions. In Austria, it has been recorded at elevations exceeding 2600 meters above sea level (Schatz, 1979). In 2021, however, Lienhard & Krisper found out that *C. monilipes* in central and southern Europe indeed comprises six different species, with five species new to science: *Caleremaeus mentobellus*, *C. lignophilus*, *C. alpinus*, *C. elevatus*, and *C. hispanicus*, and all these species differ by their ecological preferences and needs.

We chose members of these two oribatid mite genera for our study because they all show a single tarsal claw, have similar lifestyles, and species of the two different genera could sometimes even be found in the same sample. Both genera might be classified as euryoecious,

149 but certain individual species within these genera inhabit different microhabitats. We want to
150 investigate which claw shapes exist in all these species and find out if they differ between the
151 taxa. If differences are present, are these correlated with diverging ecologies or are they results
152 of phylogenetic relatedness. Basically, this study should give us first insights into the interplay
153 of claw shapes and environment in purely terrestrial oribatid mite species. What shapes claws
154 of terrestrial species and what is the role of ecology?

155
156

Material and methods

Sample and data collection

We examined 300 specimens from 12 different species throughout the investigation (Table 1). We collected data on the genus *Caleremaeus* (Eremaoidea), including samples from three distinct species: *Caleremaeus alpinus*, *Caleremaeus mentobellus*, and *Caleremaeus lignophilus*; originating from nine different populations. We also gathered data on mites from the genus *Carabodes* (Carabodoidea), which comprised samples from 18 populations belonging to eight different species, namely *Carabodes areolatus*, *Carabodes coriaceus*, *Carabodes labyrinthicus*, *Carabodes marginatus*, *Carabodes ornatus*, *Carabodes reticulatus*, *Carabodes rugosior* and *Carabodes tenuis*. We included two populations of the species *Odontocephus elongatus* (Carabodoidea) as a closely related outgroup [for morphological investigation of the claw. They were not integrated in the phylogenetic signal analyses in our study.](#)

Geometric morphometrics

To perform claw morphometrics, we embedded each specimen in a microscopic slide using lactic acid and then photographed them in dorsal view with a digital microscope (Keyence VHX-5000). Subsequently, we applied pressure to crush the specimen so that the remaining legs with the claws were caught in a lateral position between the object carrier and object slide. To standardize the process, we only photographed and analyzed the claw of the first leg. Using VHX-5000_900F Datenkommunikationssoftware Version 1.6.0.0, we measured the body length and claw length from these photographs [\(Figure S4\)](#). We recorded the x,y coordinates of three landmarks (LM) and 32 semilandmarks using TpsDig2 (Vers.2.31, Rohlf, 2017). We placed 16 semilandmarks equidistantly along the claw edges dorsally between landmarks 2 and 3, and ventrally between landmarks 1 and 3. [Therefore we used the TPSdig function “Resample curve - by length”. The new 16 points were computed by linear interpolation along the curve.](#) We provide a scheme for the positioning of landmarks in Pfingstl, Kerschbaumer & Shimano (2020). To enhance the analysis, we eliminated four semilandmarks that reflected positions like LM 1-3, resulting in three landmarks and 28 semilandmarks. The claw curvature was calculated from raw landmark coordinates as the angle between the three landmarks on the inner curvature of the claw (gamma). We analyzed two-dimensional claw shape in R with the package ‘geomorph’ (Baken et al., 2021). We did generalized procrustes analysis (GPA) on our landmarks and semi-landmarks (using function gpgen) and performed principal component analyses (PCA) of shape variation on aligned shapes (gm.prcomp). We tested for differences in shape disparity between populations across all species and both genera using the function

190 morphol.disparity (in 'geomorph').

191

192 **Phylogenetic signal**

193 Extraction of total genomic DNA from single individuals followed the Chelex method given in
194 Schaffer et al. (2018). Standardized protocols were applied for PCR amplification, purification
195 and sequencing (Schaffer et al., 2010, 2018). We sequenced the standard COI barcoding region
196 (658 bp) for one specimen of each studied population and verified all sequences by comparisons
197 with known ones from GenBank. [We used the standard barcoding primers C_LepFolF and](#)
198 [C_LepFolR \(Hernández-Triana et al. 2014\).](#) The two final datasets included eighteen
199 individuals for *Carabodes* respectively, nine for *Caleremaeus*. [Sequences were aligned by eye](#)
200 [in MEGA v6. \(Tamura et al. 2013\).](#) Maximum likelihood phylogenies were obtained using IQ-
201 TREE (Nguyen et al., 2015) on the platform PhyloSuite v.1.2.2 (Zhang et al., 2020) under Edge-
202 linked partition model for 5000 ultrafast bootstraps (Minh, [Nguyen & von Haeseler et al.](#), 2013).
203 PartitionFinder2 (Lanfear et al., 2017) was used to select the best partitioning scheme and
204 evolutionary models for 3 pre-defined partitions (partitioning by codons) under greedy
205 algorithm. All calculated trees are unrooted. All alignments are available in the Supplemental
206 Material. All sequences used in these reconstructions are available from GenBank under the
207 accession numbers OQ970666 to OQ970692.

208 Based on the phylogenies generated by IQ-TREE we quantified the phylogenetic signal of claw
209 shape within the two mite genera using Blomberg's K (Blomberg ~~et al.~~, [Garland & Ives.](#) 2003)
210 with 9999 random replicates using the R package geomorph (Adams & Otárola-Castillo, 2013)
211 and the physignal  function.

212 Our raw data and R code files are available in the Supplemental Materials.

213 **Ecological information**

214 To get insights into [the](#) ecology of the genus *Carabodes*, we created a table (Table [2ab](#)) using
215 data of Weigmann et al. (2015), where we list the number of habitats and possible living styles
216 for each investigated *Carabodes* species. We did the same for the genus *Caleremaeus* based on
217 data from Lienhard & Krisper, (2021) (Table [2ba](#)).

218

219

Formatted: English (United States)

220 Results

221 The body size of *Carabodes* species ranges from approximately 400-800 μm . ~~The smallest~~
222 ~~species examined is *C. tenuis*, measuring around 400 μm , while the largest is *C. coriaceus*,~~
223 ~~reaching up to 800 μm~~ (Figure 1a). Populations within each species vary slightly in size but are
224 not significantly different. Claw size correlates well with body size in most species. The ratio
225 of claw length to body length (cl/bl) is conspicuously higher ~~in only two species, namely only~~
226 ~~for *C. coriaceus*, and *C. reticulatus*~~. A regression plot of the two sizes (Figure S2) shows that
227 there is an apparent jump in claw size at a certain body size. Regarding the angle gamma,
228 measurements range from 75 to 105 degrees. It can be observed that *C. reticulatus* and *C.*
229 *marginatus* have the most widely open claws. On average, *C. rugosior* has the most curved
230 claw with a curvature angle of around 85°. The results of the principal component analysis
231 (PCA) conducted on the *Carabodes* dataset indicate that the first two principal components
232 (PC1 = 30.6% and PC2 = 22.8%) account for approximately 52% of the total variation. While
233 there is a considerable overlap between individuals of different species, species means are
234 positioned differently in morphospace, with most species clustering around the intersection of
235 PC1 and PC2 (Figure 1a). ~~Along PC1, there is only minimal separation. Only *C. rugosior* and~~
236 ~~*C. areolatus* are slightly further in the negative range of PC1 and can thus be distinguished~~
237 ~~from, for example, *C. coriaceus*. Notably, the meanshape of the outgroup species *O. elongatus*~~
238 ~~is located at the highest positive position on PC2, indicating a claw that is less elongated~~
239 ~~compared to the seven *Carabodes* species. Notably, the meanshape of the outgroup species *O.*~~
240 ~~*elongatus* is located at the highest positive position on PC2, exhibiting a slightly different claw~~
241 ~~shape than the seven *Carabodes* species.~~ The ordination of the specimens along the first two
242 principal components shows that variation along PC2 is mainly related to species affiliation.
243 The corresponding shape changes in the positive or negative direction of PC axis 2 show us a
244 more compact and hunchbacked form of the species that are positioned in the positive range of
245 PC axis two, and a slightly more elongated, drawn-out claw of the species that appear in the
246 negative range.

247 The body size of *Caleremaeus* species ranges from approximately 315-400 μm , with the
248 smallest species examined being *C. lignophilus* ~~at around (340 μm)~~, and the largest being *C.*
249 *alpinus* (380 μm) and *C. mentobellus* ~~with a body size of about (360 μm)~~ (Figure 2a). While
250 populations within each species vary slightly in ~~body~~ size, they are not significantly different.
251 Notably, the ratio of claw length to body length (cl/bl) is lower in *C. alpinus*. In terms of the
252 angle gamma, measurements range from 80 to 100 degrees, with no marked differences
253 observed among the three species, only *C. alpinus* shows a slightly lower gamma in all three

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

populations. Results of the principal component analysis (PCA) conducted on the *Caleremaeus* dataset indicate that the first two principal components (PC1 = 32.34%, PC2 = 21.38%) account for approximately 54% of the total variation. While there is considerable overlap between individuals of different species, species means are positioned differently in morphospace. *C. lignophilus* and *C. mentobellus* cluster closely together, while *C. alpinus* exhibits a different claw mean shape (Figure 2b). The corresponding shape changes in the positive or negative direction of PC axis 2 indicate a more curved form of the species that are positioned in the positive range of PC axis two, and a slightly more elongated claw of the species that appear in the negative range. There are no marked differences in claw disparity among species in both genera (Figure S1.)

264

Phylogenetic influence

By examining the scatterplot in Figure 3, which shows the average shapes of different populations of *Carabodes*, we can see that there are distinct groupings. When compared to the phylogenetic tree of the same populations, similarities can be seen. The populations of the species *C. marginatus*, *C. reticulatus*, *C. coriaceus*, and *C. ornatus* cluster together, while the remaining species form distinct groups. Furthermore, running the *Kmult* method in R confirms presence of a phylogenetic signal in claw shape for *Carabodes* ($K = 2 \times 10^{-5}$, $P = 0.0036$). Despite this phylogenetic influence in claw shape it is possible to observe that there are species standing out in terms of their claw shape (Figure 1a, Figure 3). *C. rugosior*, *C. reticulatus* and *C. tenuis* exhibit claw shapes that deviate from the mean.

For *Caleremaeus* we get another picture, regarding phylogenetic influence. The phylogenetic tree of *Caleremaeus* populations demonstrates that we have distinct species, with populations of each clustering together (Figure 4). But in terms of their claw shapes, populations of the same species are not more similar to each other than they are to populations of other species, indicating the absence of a phylogenetic signal. Confirming these findings by using Blomberg's K , we find no phylogenetic signal in claw shape in the genus *Caleremaeus* ($K = 6 \times 10^{-5}$, $P = 0.666$).

Habitat specificity/ecological impact on claw shape

For our eight investigated *Carabodes* species we found 23 different habitat types and five types of lifestyles in the above-mentioned literature (Table 2). All species could be assigned to the lifestyle of "soil dwellers". All but one could be denoted as arboricolous, as bark dwellers.

286 While *Carabodes labyrinthicus* was found in a high number of 22 different habitats, *C. tenuis*,
287 *C. reticulatus* and *C. rugosior*, with two to four different environments seem to be more specific
288 in their choice of habitat. The investigated *Caleremaeus* species could be assigned to three
289 different lifestyles, namely arboricolous - as bark dweller, soil dweller and epilithic – on rocks,
290 stones and walls. *C. alpinus* was found in seven different habitats while *C. lignophilus* was
291 exclusively found in deadwood.

292 When we examine all species of both genera in a principal component analysis (PCA), we see
293 that the claw shapes of individual species and genera are not very different. (Figure 5). Although
294 species of *Caleremaeus* are located more ~~at the edge~~negatively along both PC1 and PC2, they
295 are still within the morphospace of *Carabodes*. Based on the claw shapes of the respective
296 species, we can see that they are very similar. Only the species *C. rugosior*, *C. reticulatus* and
297 *C. tenuis* exhibit somehow exceptional forms. *C. reticulatus* exhibits a long and less curved
298 claw. In contrast, *C. tenuis* possesses a more curved claw, similar to *C. rugosior*. However, *C.*
299 *rugosior* stands out with not only a stronger curvature but also a higher-arched claw. When
300 considering habitat specificity (Table 2) a correlation between "specialized claw" and "stronger
301 habitat specificity" can be identified for *C. rugosior*, *C. reticulatus* and *C. tenuis*.

302

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Discussion

The two mite genera, *Caleremaeus* and *Carabodes*, can both be classified as euryoecious, but within the genus, there is some habitat specificity. In terms of habitus, all investigated *Carabodes* species and the examined closely related *Odontocepheus elongatus* are easily distinguishable based on their overall morphology. The claw shape varies moderately, with *Odontocepheus elongatus* standing out due to its much more curved and compact claw than *Carabodes* species. The claw shapes of the three *Carabodes* species *C. reticulatus*, *C. rugosior* and *C. tenuis* deviate the most from the others, and interestingly these three species are only found in a small number of habitats (see table 2). This higher habitat specificity might require a more specialized claw shape but how this “specificity” looks like is not easy to define. After Weigmann et al. (2015), *C. tenuis* is restricted to bark and soil in coniferous (mixed) forests and our study samples are exclusively from deadwood taken in coniferous (mixed) forests. It is possible that this narrow niche has resulted in the strongly deviating claw shape of this species, their claws are relatively large for the small body size and show a moderate curvature. We observed a similar phenomenon for *Lamellovertex caelatus* in a former study (Kerschbaumer & Pfingstl, 2023), where the claw shape of this saxicolous species living only in dry mosses and lichens is significantly less curved than in more euryoecious species. In birds, lesser curved claws are significant for ground dwelling species (Birn-Jeffery et al., 2012) and in lizards, species occurring on sandy soils usually show lower curved claws (Tulli et al., 2009). Greater degrees of curvatures, on the other hand, are supposed to be characteristic for tree climbing species, at least in birds and reptiles (e.g. Feduccia, 1993; Tulli et al. 2009). It is not yet known if a similar correlation applies to the *Carabodes* species cannot be answered yet.

Carabodes reticulatus and *C. rugosior* were sampled on bracket fungi in this study. Hågvar, Amundsen & Økland (2014) found *C. reticulatus* only in dead sporocarps in a spruce forest. They suggest that *Carabodes* species found in fruiting bodies of wood-decay fungi are primarily living in decomposing wood where fungal food is limited, but they use the opportunity to multiply efficiently in energy-rich sporocarps if these are available. On the other hand, *C. rugosior* was never found in sporocarps within the study of Hågvar, Amundsen & Økland (2014) and is described as an inhabitant of soil near tree bases (Sellnick & Forsslund, 1953; Weigmann, 2006). Their controversial claw shapes, with *C. rugosior* having a more curved claw (gamma difference $\sim 10^\circ$) than *C. reticulatus*, may reflect this microhabitat difference. Interestingly, Weigmann et al. (2015) did not describe any *Carabodes* species as fungicol. The other *Carabodes* species which can be found in a noticeably wide range of different habitats (see table 2), like *C. labyrinthicus*, show claw shapes that are

Formatted: Font: Italic

placed more in the center of the morphospace, i.e. they are neither strongly curved, nor extremely weakly curved, they are intermediate so to speak. The same phenomenon was observed in intertidal oribatid mites, where species with wider ecological ranges show also intermediate claw shapes (Pfingstl, Kerschbaumer & Shimano, 2020). Apparently, extreme claw shapes are not selected for if species should be able to dwell in a wider array of microhabitats. Apart from these results, we could also observe that the claws of the first leg of *Carabodes* species are basically distinctly smaller than the claws of the remaining legs. The reason for this apparent size difference is unknown and needs further detailed investigation.

However, it seems that phylogenetic relationship between species has a stronger influence on claw shape in *Carabodes* than the habitat. Species of the same genetic cluster are more similar in terms of their claw shape. We could quantify a phylogenetic signal, indicating that ecology does not play the biggest role in shaping *Carabodes* claws, as was shown for example, in oribatids of the littoral zone (Kerschbaumer & Pfingstl, 2021).

Our results concerning the genus *Caleremaeus* support the hypothesis of Lienhard & Krisper (2021), who postulate a strong association of the different *Caleremaeus* species to specific microhabitats. They found a clear genetic differentiation between species of neighboring microhabitats, but not between distant microhabitats of the same type, thus a high degree of habitat specialization is assumed. In respect of claw shapes, no apparent pattern can be found correlating this morphological structure with habitat. *Caleremaeus alpinus*, which is restricted to subalpine and alpine habitats, differs the most from *C. lignophilus* and *C. mentobellus* in terms of claw shape. Their claws are noticeably more curved and smaller in size, which results in a lower ratio of claw length to body length when compared to the other two species, *C. lignophilus* and *C. mentobellus* (see Figure S3). *Caleremaeus alpinus* can be found in a variety of microhabitats and therefore it is surprising that their claws are not intermediate like the claws of euryoecious *Carabodes*. ~~But *C. alpinus* is restricted to higher altitudes and thus could be adapted to low temperatures, and to a short active period and therefore claws might be shaped for faster and more effective movement.~~ However, we have no evidence for such a correlation and need much more data in this respect. *Caleremaeus lignophilus*, on the other hand, is a clear specialist, as it can only be found in deadwood. Their claws are the least curved in comparison to the other species (*Carabodes* included) and a weaker curvature is supposed to be a feature of claws mainly used on soft substrates, at least in intertidal oribatid mites (Pfingstl, Kerschbaumer & Shimano, 2020) but also in lizard species occurring on sandy soils (Tulli et al., 2009). Dead and rotten wood is clearly a soft substrate and consequently the weaker curvature of *C. lignophilus* claws may be an adaptation to walking on this underground. Nevertheless, the

comparison of only three species with partly overlapping ecologies does not allow [us](#) to infer any distinct patterns of correlation between claw shape and habitat. In contrast to *Carabodes*, mapping the claw shapes onto the molecular phylogeny of populations of these *Caleremaeus* species results in a lack of a phylogenetic signal. These findings would suggest that the claw morphology of *Caleremaeus* is likely an adaptation of single species to their unique habitat and lifestyle but given the very low number of investigated species of this genus, the present lack of a phylogenetic signal must be regarded with caution.

Comparing the claw shapes of *Caleremaeus* and *Carabodes*, results in surprisingly similar shapes with relatively few divergences between these ~~far-very~~ distantly related genera (see Figure 5). Even though single species may show large ecological variances, most of the species occupy similar habitats, and *Caleremaeus* and *Carabodes* were often found together in the exact same sample. This indicates that the overlapping habitat preferences result in similar claw shapes in these taxa originating from different superfamilies.

Methodological aspects

In our study, we use 2D instead of 3D morphometrics because capturing the miniature claw in 3D was not feasible with our current resources, given its size of less than 20 μm . However, analyzing claw curvature, claw length, and overall shape from 2D images still provides valuable information for comparing claw shapes among mite species. Although there are limitations to the resolution of the light microscope, we can enhance the photos optically, such as by increasing contrast, to ensure the accurate identification of all crucial points during digitization. We also want to acknowledge the limitations of our approach and emphasize the importance of future studies incorporating 3D morphometrics and higher-resolution imaging techniques. These advancements will undoubtedly contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of claw shape and curvature in mites. A study conducted by Dai, Gorb & Schwarz (2002) examined the relationship between the dimension of the claw tip and the substrate texture in generating friction force in the beetle *Pachnoda marginata*. Their findings concluded that the friction force is influenced by both surface roughness and claw tip diameter. Additionally, another study by Patrick et al. (2018) explored the effect of claw tip diameter on attachment performance in insects on rough surfaces. They proposed that attachment performance decreases with increasing body size due to mechanical constraints on claw design. Applying such investigations to oribatid mites would be highly intriguing. However, the current challenge lies in conducting such studies on mites due to their small size and the absence of 3D claw images but also the diversity of their microhabitats and substrates.

Formatted: Font: Bold

404 As another methodological aspect, we want to mention that claw curvature varies in its
405 definition across studies, posing challenges for result comparisons. In our study, we adopted a
406 similar approach to Zani, 2000, measuring angles between specific points to quantify the inner
407 curvature of the claw. For us this method is straightforward as it utilizes landmark coordinates
408 obtained from shape analysis. For instance, Birn-Jeffery et al., 2012, considered both inner and
409 outer claw curvature angles, while Feduccia, 1993, quantified curvature as the central angle
410 formed by radii from claw ends, representing the arc's portion within the claw. It's important to
411 note that the specific method used to measure claw curvature may vary depending on the study
412 and the organisms being investigated and therefore could not be compared.

414 **Conclusions**

415 The present results demonstrate that characteristics of the claws of terrestrial oribatid mites are
416 correlated with ecology, but this correlation is apparently weaker than in intertidal oribatid
417 mites that are prone to strong external forces. Terrestrial habitats are less exposed to wind and
418 water than coastal environments and the falling of a ~~leave~~-leaf is not as dramatic for the mites
419 as being washed away into the open ocean. Therefore, selection on claw shape may work to a
420 lesser extent in terrestrial mites. The nature of the correlation of claws with other factors
421 remains largely unclear due to the complex microhabitat features of terrestrial habitats. Further
422 detailed studies on terrestrial species being specialized to certain microhabitats may reveal
423 which claw shapes may be preferable for specific environments.

424 **Acknowledgments**

425 We would like to thank M. Bodner and D. Fröhlich for providing samples for this study.

427 **References**

428 Adams, D. C., & Otárola-Castillo, E. (2013). geomorph: an R package for the collection and
429 analysis of geometric morphometric shape data. *Methods in ecology and*
430 *evolution*, 4(4), 393-399.

431 Alibardi, L. (2020). Immunolocalization of corneous beta proteins of the Epidermal
432 Differentiation Complex in the developing claw of the alligator. *Annals of Anatomy-*
433 *Anatomischer Anzeiger*, 231, 151513.

434 [Ayyildiz, N., Toluk, A., Taskiran, M., & Tasmedir, A. \(2011\). Two new records of the genera](#)
435 [Cepheus](#) CL Koch, 1835 and [Caleremaeus](#) Berlese, 1910 (Acari: Oribatida) from
436 [Turkey, with notes on their distribution and ecology. *Türkiye Entomoloji Bülteni*, 1\(3\),](#)
437 [145-150.](#)

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

438 Baken, E. K., Collyer, M. L., Kaliontzopoulou, A., & Adams, D. C. (2021). geomorph v4. 0
439 and gmShiny: Enhanced analytics and a new graphical interface for a comprehensive
440 morphometric experience. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 12(12), 2355-2363.

441 Behan-Pelletier, V., & Walter, D. E. (2000). Biodiversity of oribatid mites (Acari: Oribatida)
442 in tree canopies and litter. *Invertebrates as webmasters in ecosystems*, 187-202.

443 [Birn-Jeffery AV, Miller CE, Naish D, Rayfield EJ, Hone DW. 2012. Pedal claw curvature in](#)
444 [birds, lizards and Mesozoic dinosaurs-complicated categories and compensating for](#)
445 [mass-specific and phylogenetic control. PLOS ONE 7\(12\):e50555 DOI](#)
446 [10.1371/journal.pone.0050555.](#)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: German (Austria)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Italic, Swedish (Sweden)

Formatted: Font: Italic

447 [Blomberg, S. P., Garland Jr, T., & Ives, A. R. \(2003\). Testing for phylogenetic signal in](#)
448 [comparative data: behavioral traits are more labile. *Evolution*, 57\(4\), 717-745.](#)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt,
English (United States)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt,
Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt,
English (United States)

Formatted: Font: 16 pt, Swedish (Sweden)

Formatted: Swedish (Sweden)

449 [Büscher, T. H., Petersen, D. S., Bijma, N. N., Bäuml, F., Pirk C. W. W., Büsse, S., Heepe,](#)
450 [L. & Gorb, S. N. \(2022\) The exceptional attachment ability of the ectoparasitic bee](#)
451 [louse *Braula coeca* \(Diptera, Braulidae\) on the honeybee. *Physiological Entomology*,](#)
452 [47, 83-95.](#)

453 [Dai, Z., Gorb, S. N., & Schwarz, U. \(2002\). Roughness-dependent friction force of the tarsal](#)
454 [claw system in the beetle *Pachnoda marginata* \(Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae\). *Journal of*](#)
455 [experimental biology, 205\(16\), 2479-2488.](#)

456 [D'Amore, D. C., Harmon, M., Drumheller, S. K., & Testin, J. J. \(2019\). Quantitative](#)

457 heterodonty in Crocodylia: assessing size and shape across modern and extinct
458 taxa. *PeerJ*, 7, e6485.

459 [Dunlop J. A. 2002. Character states and evolution of the chelicerate claws. In: Toft S., Scharff
460 N., editors. *European Arachnology*. Aarhus: Aarhus University Press; p. 345–354.](#)

461 Feduccia, A. (1993). Evidence from claw geometry indicating arboreal habits of
462 Archaeopteryx. *Science*, 259(5096), 790-793.

463 [Friedemann, K., Schneeberg, K. & Beutel, R.G. \(2014\) Fly on the wall–attachment structures
464 in lower Diptera. *Systematic Entomology*, 39, 460–473.](#)

465 [Gorb, S.N. \(2001\) Attachment devices of insect cuticle. Dordrecht: Springer.](#)

466 [Hågvar, S., Amundsen, T., & Økland, B. \(2014\). Mites of the genus Carabodes \(Acari,
467 Oribatida\) in Norwegian coniferous forests: occurrence in different soils, vegetation
468 types and polypore hosts. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, 29\(7\), 629-638.](#)

469 Hahn, S., Dimitrov, D., Rehse, S., Yohannes, E., & Jenni, L. (2014). Avian claw morphometry
470 and growth determine the temporal pattern of archived stable isotopes. *Journal of Avian
471 Biology*, 45(2), 202-207.

472 [Heethoff M., Koerner L. 2007. Small but powerful: the oribatid mite *Archegozetes longisetosus*
473 \(Acari, Oribatida\) produces disproportionately high forces. *The Journal of
474 Experimental Biology*, 210:3036–3042 DOI 10.1242/jeb.008276.](#)

475 [Hernández-Triana, L. M., Prosser, S. W., Rodríguez-Perez, M. A., Chaverri, L. G., Hebert, P.
476 D. N., & Ryan Gregory, T. \(2014\). Recovery of DNA barcodes from blackfly museum
477 specimens \(Diptera: Simuliidae\) using primer sets that target a variety of sequence
478 lengths. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 14\(3\), 508-518.](#)

479 Karasawa, S., & Hijii, N. (2004). Effects of microhabitat diversity and geographical isolation
480 on oribatid mite (Acari: Oribatida) communities in mangrove
481 forests. *Pedobiologia*, 48(3), 245-255.

482 Kerschbaumer, M., & Pfingstl, T. (2021). Testing for phylogenetic signal in claws suggests
483 great influence of ecology on Caribbean intertidal arthropods (Acari,
484 Oribatida). *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 4398.

485 Kerschbaumer, M., & Pfingstl, T. (2023). First insights into the morphological development of
486 tarsal claws in terrestrial oribatid mites. *Acarologia*, 63(2), 419-427.

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: German (Austria)

Formatted: Norwegian (Bokmål)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: German (Austria)

Krisper, G., Schatz, H., & Schuster, R. (2017). Oribatida (Arachnida: Acari). *Biosystematics and Ecology Series*, 33, 25-90.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Lanfear, R., Frandsen, P. B., Wright, A.M., Senfeld, T. & Calcott, B. (2016). PartitionFinder 2: new methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological phylogenetic analyses. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 34(3), 772-773.

Lienhard, A., & Krisper, G. (2021). Hidden biodiversity in microarthropods (Acari, Oribatida, Eremaeioidea, Caleremaeus). *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 1-14.

Mann, A., Dudgeon, T. W., Henrici, A. C., Berman, D. S., & Pierce, S. E. (2021). Digit and ungual morphology suggest adaptations for scansoriality in the late Carboniferous eureptile *Anthracodromeus longipes*. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 440.

Minh, B.Q., Nguyen, M.A. & von Haeseler, A. (2013). Ultrafast approximation for phylogenetic bootstrap. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 30, 1188–1195.

Nguyen, L.T., Schmidt, H.A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B.Q. (2015). IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 32, 268–274.

Norton, R. A., & Behan-Pelletier, V. M. (2020). Two unusual new species of *Caleremaeus* (Acari: Oribatida) from eastern North America, with redescription of *C. retractus* and reevaluation of the genus. *Acarologia*, 60(2), 398-448.

[Pattrick J.G., Labonte D., Federle W. 2018. Scaling of claw sharpness: mechanical constraints reduce attachment performance in larger insects. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 221\(24\): jeb188391 DOI 10.1242/jeb.188391.](#)

[Petersen, D.S., Kreuter, N., Heepe, L., Büsse, S., Wellbrock, A.H., Witte, K. et al. \(2018\) Holding tight to feathers—structural specializations and attachment properties of the avian ectoparasite *Crataerina pallida* \(Diptera, Hippoboscidae\). *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 221, jeb179242.](#)

Pfingstl, T. (2023). Sharp claws beneath our feet—the diversity of tarsal attachment devices of oribatid mites (Acari, Chelicerata, excluding Astigmata)—a review. *International Journal of Acarology*, 4–31. DOI: 10.1080/01647954.2023.2223214

Pfingstl, T., & Kerschbaumer, M. (2022). Like parent, like child—Ontogenetic development of claws of intertidal arthropods (Acari, Oribatida) from different ecological

Formatted: Italian (Italy)

Formatted: Italian (Italy)

Formatted: Italian (Italy)

Formatted: Italian (Italy)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Italic, English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Italic

Commented [TP3]: nachdem der Artikel erst online erschienen ist sind die Seitenzahlen auch nicht die finalen.

Formatted: English (United States)

517 niches. *Arthropod Structure & Development*, 67, 101143.

518 Pfingstl, T., Kerschbaumer, M., & Shimano, S. (2020). Get a grip—evolution of claw shape in
519 relation to microhabitat use in intertidal arthropods (Acari, Oribatida). *PeerJ*, 8, e8488.

520 Reeves, R. M. (1987). A new arboreal *Carabodes* from eastern North America (Acari:
521 Oribatida: Carabodidae). *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington*
522 (USA).

523 Reeves, R. M., & Behan-Pelletier, V. (1998). The genus *Carabodes* (Acari: Oribatida:
524 *Carabodidae*) of North America, with descriptions of new western species. *Canadian*
525 *Journal of Zoology*, 76(10), 1898-1921.

526 Rohlf FJ (2017) TpsDig, Version 2.31. Stony Brook: Department of Ecology and Evolution.
527 State University of New York Available at: <http://life.bio.sunysb.edu/morph/>

528 Schatz, H. (1979). *Ökologische Untersuchungen an Wirbellosen des zentralalpinen*
529 *Hochgebirges (Obergurgl, Tirol): Phänologie und Zönotik von Oribatiden (Acari).*
530 Österr. Kommissionsbuchhandlung.

531 Schatz, H. (1983). *Catalogus faunae Austriae. Teil IXi. U.-Ordn.: Oribatei,*
532 *Hormmilben. Österr. Akad. Wiss. Wien.*

533 Schäffer, S., Pfingstl, T., Koblmüller, S., Winkler, K.A., Sturmbauer, C. & Krisper, G., (2010).
534 Phylogenetic analysis of European *Scutovertex* mites (Acari, Oribatida,
535 Scutoverticidae) reveals paraphyly and cryptic diversity: a molecular genetic and
536 morphological approach. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.*, 55(2), 677-688.

537 Schäffer, S., Stabentheiner, E., Shimano, S. & Pfingstl, T., (2018). Leaving the tropics: the
538 successful colonization of cold temperate regions by *Dolicheremaeus dorni* (Acari,
539 Oribatida). *J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res.*, 56, 505–518.

540 Sellnick, M., & Forsslund, K. H. (1953). Die Gattung *Carabodes* CL Koch 1836 in der
541 *schwedischen Bodenfauna (Acar. Oribat.). Arkiv för Zoologi*, 4(22), 367-390. ~~Sellnick~~
542 ~~M. & Forsslund K.L. (1952) Die Gattung *Carabodes* C.L.KOCH 1836 in der~~
543 ~~*Schwedischen Bodenfauna (Acar. Oribat.). Arkiv Zoologie* 4: 367-390.~~

544 Subías, L.S. (2022) Listado sistemático, sinónimo y biogeográfico de los ácaros oribátidos
545 (Acariformes, Oribatida) del mundo (excepto fósiles). *Monografías electrónicas*, 12, 1-
546 538.

Formatted: Norwegian (Bokmål)

Formatted: Font: Italic

Field Code Changed

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain)

Formatted: Font: Italic, Spanish (Spain)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain)

Formatted: Spanish (Spain)

547 [Tamura K., Stecher G., Peterson D., Filipski A. & Kumar S. \(2013\) MEGA6: molecular](#)
548 [evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30:2725–2729.](#)

549 Tinius, A., & Patrick Russell, A. (2017). Points on the curve: an analysis of methods for
550 assessing the shape of vertebrate claws. *Journal of Morphology*, 278(2), 150-169.

551 Toluk, A., Ayyıldız, N., Taşkıran, M., & Taşdemir, A. (2011). Two New Records Of The
552 Genera *Cepheus* CL Koch, 1835 And *Caleremaeus* Berlese, 1910 (Acari: Oribatida)
553 From Turkey. *With Notes on Their Distribution and Ecology*, *Türk Entomoloji*
554 *Bülteni*, 1, 145-150.

555 Tulli, M. J., Cruz, F. B., Herrel, A., Vanhooydonck, B., & Abdala, V. (2009). The interplay
556 between claw morphology and microhabitat use in neotropical iguanian
557 lizards. *Zoology*, 112(5), 379-392.

558 Tulli, M.J., Manzano, A. & Abdala, V. (2022) Is the Shape of Turtle Claws Driven by
559 Locomotor Modes? *Evol. Biol.* 49, 424–432. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11692-022-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11692-022-09580-2)
560 [09580-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11692-022-09580-2)

561 Weigmann G. (2006) Hornmilben (Oribatida). Die Tierwelt Deutschlands. Goecke & Evers,
562 Keltern: 520 pp.

563 Weigmann, G., Horak, F., Franke, K., & Christian, A. (2015). Verbreitung und Ökologie der
564 Hornmilben (Oribatida) in Deutschland. *Peckiana*, 10, 1-171.

565 Zani, P. A. (2000). The comparative evolution of lizard claw and toe morphology and clinging
566 performance. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 13(2), 316-325.

567 Zhang, D., Gao, F., Jakovlić, I., Zou, H., Zhang, J, Li, W.X. & Wang, G.T. (2020). PhyloSuite:
568 An integrated and scalable desktop platform for streamlined molecular sequence data
569 management and evolutionary phylogenetics studies. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*, 20(1): 348–
570 355.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Field Code Changed