



Claw shape variation in oribatid mites of the genera *Carabodes* and *Caleremaeus*: exploring the interplay of habitat, ecology and phylogenetics

Michaela Kerschbaumer, Sylvia Schäffer and Tobias Pfingstl

Institute of Biology, University of Graz, Graz, Austria

ABSTRACT

Background. Claws are a commonly observed biological adaptation across a wide range of animal groups. They serve different functions and their link to evolution is challenging to analyze. While there are many studies on the comparative anatomy and morphology of claws in reptiles, birds and several arthropods, knowledge about claws of soil-living oribatid mites, is still limited. Recent research on intertidal oribatid mites has shown that claw shape is strongly correlated with microhabitat and is subject to ecological selective pressures. However, the selective constraints shaping claws in terrestrial oribatid mites are still unknown.

Methods. In this study, 300 specimens from 12 different species and two genera were examined. Geometric morphometrics were used to quantify claw length and curvature, and to analyze two-dimensional claw shape. In combination with molecular phylogenetic analyses of investigated populations phylogenetic signal was quantified within genera using Blomberg's K and random replicates. Additionally, ecological information on the investigated species was gathered from previous studies and compiled into tables.

Results. The claw shapes of *Carabodes* species vary moderately, with the three species *C. reticulatus*, *C. rugosior* and *C. tenuis* deviating the most from the others. These three species are only found in a small number of habitats, which may require a more specialized claw shape. Our results show that there is a phylogenetic influence on claw shape in *Carabodes* but not in *Caleremaeus*. Additionally, habitat specificity and lifestyle were found to have ecological impact on claw shape in both genera. The present results demonstrate that characteristics of the claws of terrestrial oribatid mites are correlated with ecology, but this correlation is apparently weaker than in intertidal oribatid mites that are prone to strong external forces.

Subjects Biodiversity, Ecology, Entomology, Soil Science, Zoology

Keywords Terrestrial, Geometric morphometrics, Phylogenetic signal, Barcodes, Euryoecious lifestyle

INTRODUCTION

Claws are prevalent biological adaptations found in a diverse range of animal groups, including arthropods, birds, reptiles, and large mammals. Those structures can serve various functions (*Tinius & Patrick Russell, 2017*). The link between claw morphology, its

Submitted 17 May 2023
Accepted 11 August 2023
Published 25 September 2023

Corresponding author
Michaela Kerschbaumer,
michaela.kerschbaumer@uni-graz.at

Academic editor
Stanislav Gorb

Additional Information and
Declarations can be found on
page 15

DOI 10.7717/peerj.16021

© Copyright
2023 Kerschbaumer et al.

Distributed under
Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

function and evolution are difficult to quantify, analyze, and interpret. Because claws are the most common grip mechanism in vertebrates (Zani, 2000), there are many studies about the comparative anatomy and morphology of these structures, mainly in reptiles (Zani, 2000; Tulli et al., 2009; D'Amore et al., 2019; Alibardi, 2020; Mann et al., 2021; Tulli, Manzano & Abdala, 2022) and birds (Feduccia, 1993; Hahn et al., 2014).

In view of their huge diversity, studies on arthropod claws used for attachment are not as numerous as in vertebrates, but the existing amount of literature is substantial and demonstrates important facts about these morphological structures. The attachment ability of small arthropods is determined by surface roughness and claw tip diameter (e.g., Heethoff & Koerner, 2007; Patrick, Labonte & Federle, 2018), i.e., sharper claw tips will have more asperities to interlock with, but with heavier loads they are more likely to break. That means larger animals will have blunter claws and thus poorer attachment abilities than smaller animals (Patrick, Labonte & Federle, 2018). However, insects possess not only claws but also adhesive pads and sometimes other additional structures to maintain proper attachment on different surfaces (e.g., Friedemann, Schneeberg & Beutel, 2014). Claws are basically used on rougher surfaces while pads allow to cling to smooth surfaces (e.g., Gorb, 2001). The complex interplay of these structures allows the insects to walk safely on a huge variety of different surfaces. Other insects are specialized to adhere to specific substrates and in these cases, attachment devices may show remarkable adaptations. For example, parasitic dipteran flies need to stay on the body of the host and therefore have evolved dentate or comb-like claws as well as dichotomously shaped setae on their pads allowing extreme strong attachment (Petersen et al., 2018; Büscher et al., 2022).

There are numerous other studies on the diversity and function of arthropod claws but when it comes to microarthropods like mites, research is relatively scarce. Mites are known to exhibit the largest number of claw characteristics among Chelicerata (Dunlop, 2002) and it was shown that a tiny soil-living moss mite species produces exceptionally high relative claw forces presumably trumping all other organisms (Heethoff & Koerner, 2007). Mites also often show additional adhesive pads for attachment (e.g., Dunlop, 2002) but the majority of soil-dwelling oribatid mites lack these structures (Pfingstl, 2023). There are presently ca. 11,000 known oribatid mite species (Subías, 2022) occupying a wide range of ecological niches, which means the landscape structure is highly variable for the different taxa (Heethoff & Koerner, 2007). This microhabitat variety and the fact that most Oribatida only possess claws for attachment, makes them ideal candidates to investigate the direct correlation between claw characteristics and used substrate.

Pfingstl, Kerschbaumer & Shimano (2020) investigated the claw shapes of numerous intertidal oribatid mites from various habitats by means of geometric morphometrics and their results demonstrated that claw shape strongly correlates with the microhabitat. Species living on rocky shores have remarkably high and strongly curved claws, whereas species from mangrove habitats have significantly lower and less curved claws. Euryoecious species can dwell in a wide range of habitats and show an intermediate claw type. An additional molecular genetic investigation of intertidal species showed that there is no phylogenetic signal in claw shape, which indicates that ecology has acted as one of the primary selective forces in the diversification of claw shapes in intertidal oribatid mites (Kerschbaumer &

Pfingstl, 2021). Juveniles of these arthropods exhibit habitat-specific claws. While claw length grows in direct proportion to increasing body size, claw curvature is almost static during development (*Pfingstl & Kerschbaumer, 2022*). However, these littoral oribatid mites are monodactyl, which means they only possess a single claw on each tarsus, and they are subject to intense wave action and surf, therefore, a strong evolutionary selection for specific claw shapes is assumed (*Pfingstl, Kerschbaumer & Shimano, 2020*).

In terrestrial oribatid mites there are, next to monodactyl species, also species with two or three claws on each leg, and nothing is known about the selective constraints shaping these claws. Most oribatid species associated with above-ground habitats in forests are considered to have evolved from lineages associated with the forest-floor soil and litter, and thus may have evolved modifications in their morphology in relation to habitat structure and other modifications in life-history traits (e.g., *Behan-Pelletier & Walter, 2000*). A recent review article (*Pfingstl, 2023*) highlighted a huge variety of claw expressions in oribatid mites and demonstrated that almost nothing is known about the interaction of these claws with specific environments. Despite ongoing research, little is currently known about the precise reasons behind the development of specific claw formations or the existence of varying numbers of claws.

In this work, we performed qualitative and quantitative analyses to explore possible links between morphological variation and both ecological factors and phylogenetic constraints that could have driven the evolution of claws of monodactyl oribatid mite species in terrestrial habitats. We examined 12 species from Austria belonging to the two oribatid mite genera *Carabodes* and *Caleremaeus*.

Carabodes (Acari, Oribatida Carabodidae), a morphologically characteristic oribatid mite genus was originally proposed by Koch in 1835. The type species for this genus is *Carabodes coriaceus* (Koch, 1835). Currently, the genus includes four subgenera and 135 species that are distributed worldwide (*Subías, 2022*). These mites can be found in various habitats, including soil, litter, mosses, lichens, fungi, and on the bark of twigs, branches, and tree trunks. They can also occur on rock surfaces and in rotten wood (*Reeves, 1987*; *Reeves & Behan-Pelletier, 1998*). As panphytophages, they are not specialized feeders, which accounts for their adaptability to such a wide range of habitats (*Reeves, 1987*). In *Weigmann et al.*'s (2015) work on the distribution and ecology of oribatid mites in Germany, one can discover the specific habitats and lifestyles of each *Carabodes* species. Presently, there are 14 species of *Carabodes* known to occur in Austria (*Krisper, Schatz & Schuster, 2017*).

The genus *Caleremaeus* has recently been reexamined by *Norton & Behan-Pelletier (2020)*, who listed four valid species: *Caleremaeus monilipes* (Michael, 1882) from the Palearctic region, as well as *C. retractus* (Banks, 1947), *C. arboricolus* (*Norton & Behan-Pelletier, 2020*) and *C. nasutus* (*Norton & Behan-Pelletier, 2020*) all found in North America. The palearctic species *Caleremaeus monilipes* is highly adaptable and can live in a wide range of environments. It has been observed in various habitats across Europe, including alluvial forests, alpine meadows, spruce forests, deciduous forests, dry grasslands, and scree slopes (*Ayyildiz et al., 2011*; *Schatz, 1983*). The species is known to colonize a diverse array of substrates, such as soil, litter, mosses, lichens, decaying wood, and algae. In addition to its ability to live in different habitats and substrates, *C. monilipes* has also demonstrated

a remarkable vertical distribution, ranging from colline to alpine regions. In Austria, it has been recorded at elevations exceeding 2600 m above sea level ([Schatz, 1979](#)). In 2021, however, Lienhard & Krisper found out that *C. monilipes* in central and southern Europe indeed comprises six different species, with five species new to science: *Caleremaeus mentobellus*, *C. lignophilus*, *C. alpinus*, *C. elevatus*, and *C. hispanicus*, and all these species differ by their ecological preferences and needs.

We chose members of these two oribatid mite genera for our study because they all show a single tarsal claw, have similar lifestyles, and species of the two different genera could sometimes even be found in the same sample. Both genera might be classified as euryoecious, but certain individual species within these genera inhabit different microhabitats. We want to investigate which claw shapes exist in all these species and find out if they differ between the taxa. If differences are present, are these correlated with diverging ecologies or are they results of phylogenetic relatedness. Basically, this study should give us first insights into the interplay of claw shapes and environment in purely terrestrial oribatid mite species. What shapes claws of terrestrial species and what is the role of ecology?

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sample and data collection

We examined 300 specimens from 12 different species throughout the investigation ([Table 1](#)). We collected data on the genus *Caleremaeus* (Eremaoidea), including samples from three distinct species: *Caleremaeus alpinus*, *Caleremaeus mentobellus*, and *Caleremaeus lignophilus*; originating from nine different populations. We also gathered data on mites from the genus *Carabodes* (Carabodoidea), which comprised samples from 18 populations belonging to eight different species, namely *Carabodes areolatus*, *Carabodes coriaceus*, *Carabodes labyrinthicus*, *Carabodes marginatus*, *Carabodes ornatus*, *Carabodes reticulatus*, *Carabodes rugosior* and *Carabodes tenuis*. We included two populations of the species *Odontocephus elongatus* (Carabodoidea) as a closely related outgroup for morphological investigation of the claw. They were not integrated in the phylogenetic signal analyses.

Geometric morphometrics

To perform claw morphometrics, we embedded each specimen in a microscopic slide using lactic acid and then photographed them in dorsal view with a digital microscope (Keyence VHX-5000). Subsequently, we applied pressure to crush the specimen so that the remaining legs with the claws were caught in a lateral position between the object carrier and object slide. To standardize the process, we only photographed and analyzed the claw of the first leg. Using VHX-5000_900F Datenkommunikationssoftware Version 1.6.0.0, we measured the body length and claw length from these photographs ([Fig. S4](#)). We recorded the x,y coordinates of three landmarks (LM) and 32 semilandmarks using TpsDig2 (Vers.2.31, [Rohlf, 2017](#)). We placed 16 semilandmarks equidistantly along the claw edges dorsally between landmarks 2 and 3, and ventrally between landmarks 1 and 3. Therefore we used the TPSdig function “resample curve—by length”. The new 16 points were computed by linear interpolation along the curve. We provide a scheme for

Table 1 Sample information.

<i>Caleremaeus</i>	Pop ID	Location		Habitat	Date	n	Leg.
<i>Caleremaeus alpinus</i>	CIA22	Festenburg	Seebach, forest, 1150 m	moss on rock	Oct.20	6	Kerschbaumer
<i>Caleremaeus alpinus</i>	CIA40	Weinebene	1800m	moss on rock	Aug.20	8	Bodner
<i>Caleremaeus alpinus</i>	CIA76	Moschkogel	Weinebene, 1750 m	alpin meadow	Jul.22	10	Fröhlich
<i>Caleremaeus lignophilus</i>	CIA58	Festenburg	Dorfstatt, forest ,900 m	deadwood	Sep.21	10	Kerschbaumer
<i>Caleremaeus lignophilus</i>	CIA69	Weizklamm	Jägersteig, 600 m	deadwood	May.22	9	Kerschbaumer/Pfingstl
<i>Caleremaeus lignophilus</i>	CIA74	Weizklamm	Jägersteig, 600 m	deadwood	May.22	10	Kerschbaumer/Pfingstl
<i>Caleremaeus mentobellus</i>	CIA71	Weizklamm	Jägersteig, 600 m	moss on rock	May.22	9	Kerschbaumer/Pfingstl
<i>Caleremaeus mentobellus</i>	CIA72	Weizklamm	Jägersteig, 600 m	moss on rock	May.22	7	Kerschbaumer/Pfingstl
<i>Caleremaeus mentobellus</i>	CIA73	Weizklamm	Jägersteig, 600 m	moss on rock	May.22	6	Kerschbaumer/Pfingstl
<i>Carabodes</i>	Pop ID	Location		Habitat	Date	n	Leg.
<i>Carabodes areolatus</i>	CIA03	Graz	Lechwald, forest	deadwood	Dec.20	18	Kerschbaumer/Pfingstl
<i>Carabodes areolatus</i>	CIA55	Festenburg	Dorfstatt, forest	deadwood	Jun.21	9	Kerschbaumer
<i>Carabodes areolatus</i>	CIA56	Festenburg	Dorfstatt, forest	deadwood	Jun.21	9	Kerschbaumer
<i>Carabodes areolatus</i>	CIA74	Weizklamm	Jägersteig, 600 m	deadwood	May.22	10	Kerschbaumer/Pfingstl
<i>Carabodes coriaceus</i>	CIA09	Vorauer Schwaig	alp, 1500 m	lichen on tree	Aug.20	15	Kerschbaumer
<i>Carabodes labyrinthicus</i>	CIA09	Vorauer Schwaig	alp, 1500 m	lichen on tree	Aug.20	10	Kerschbaumer
<i>Carabodes labyrinthicus</i>	CIA21	Vorauer Schwaig	alp, 1500 m	lichen on tree	Oct.20	9	Kerschbaumer
<i>Carabodes labyrinthicus</i>	CIA45	Festenburg	Seebach, 1000 m	lichen on tree	Apr.21	10	Kerschbaumer
<i>Carabodes labyrinthicus</i>	CIA47	Festenburg	Seebach, 1000 m	lichen on tree	Apr.21	11	Kerschbaumer
<i>Carabodes marginatus</i>	CIA12	Vorauer Schwaig	alp, 1500 m	moss, alpin	Aug.20	16	Kerschbaumer
<i>Carabodes ornatus</i>	CIA42	Weizklamm	Vogelhütte	litter	Mär.21	20	Bodner
<i>Carabodes ornatus</i>	CIA74	Weizklamm	Jägersteig, 600 m	deadwood	May.22	9	Kerschbaumer/Pfingstl
<i>Carabodes reticulatus</i>	BF003	Puch/Paldau	Paldau	bracket fungi	Sep.22	7	Schäffer
<i>Carabodes rugosior</i>	CIA67	Festenburg	Dorfstatt, forest	bracket fungi	May.22	15	Kerschbaumer
<i>Carabodes rugosior</i>	CIA77	Festenburg	Dorfstatt, forest	bracket fungi	Aug.22	11	Kerschbaumer
<i>Carabodes tenuis</i>	CIA58	Festenburg	Dreibach,forest	deadwood	Jun.21	15	Kerschbaumer
<i>Carabodes tenuis</i>	CIA59	Festenburg	Greith, forest	deadwood	Sep.21	4	Kerschbaumer
<i>Carabodes tenuis</i>	CIA74	Weizklamm	Jägersteig, 600 m	deadwood	May.22	11	Kerschbaumer/Pfingstl
<i>Odontocephus elongatus</i>	CIA69	Weizklamm	Jägersteig, 600 m	deadwood	May.22	8	Kerschbaumer/Pfingstl
<i>Odontocephus elongatus</i>	CIA74	Weizklamm	Jägersteig, 600 m	deadwood	May.22	8	Kerschbaumer/Pfingstl

the positioning of landmarks in *Pfingstl, Kerschbaumer & Shimano (2020)*. To enhance the analysis, we eliminated four semilandmarks that reflected positions like LM 1-3, resulting in three landmarks and 28 semilandmarks. The claw curvature was calculated from raw landmark coordinates as the angle between the three landmarks on the inner curvature of the claw (gamma). We analyzed two-dimensional claw shape in R with the package ‘geomorph’ (*Baken et al., 2021*). We did generalized procrustes analysis (GPA) on our landmarks and semi-landmarks (using function *gpgen*) and performed principal component analyses (PCA) of shape variation on aligned shapes(*gm.prcomp*). We tested for differences in shape disparity between populations across all species and both genera using the function *morphol.disparity* (in ‘geomorph’).

Phylogenetic signal

Extraction of total genomic DNA from single individuals followed the Chelex method given in [Schäffer et al. \(2018\)](#). Standardized protocols were applied for PCR amplification, purification and sequencing ([Schäffer et al., 2010](#); [Schäffer et al., 2018](#)). We sequenced the standard COI barcoding region (658 bp) for one specimen of each studied population and verified all sequences by comparisons with known ones from GenBank. We used the standard barcoding primers C_LepFolF and C_LepFolR ([Hernández-Triana et al., 2014](#)). The two final datasets included eighteen individuals for *Carabodes* respectively, nine for *Caleremaeus*. Sequences were aligned by eye in MEGA v6. ([Tamura et al., 2013](#)). Maximum likelihood phylogenies were obtained using IQ-TREE ([Nguyen et al., 2015](#)) on the platform PhyloSuite v.1.2.2 ([Zhang et al., 2020](#)) under Edge-linked partition model for 5000 ultrafast bootstraps ([Minh, Nguyen & Von Haeseler, 2013](#)). PartitionFinder2 ([Lanfear et al., 2016](#)) was used to select the best partitioning scheme and evolutionary models for three pre-defined partitions (partitioning by codons) under greedy algorithm. All calculated trees are unrooted. All alignments are available in the [Supplemental Material](#). All sequences used in these reconstructions are available from GenBank under the accession numbers OQ970666 to OQ970692.

Based on the phylogenies generated by IQ-TREE we quantified the phylogenetic signal of claw shape within the two mite genera using Blomberg's K ([Blomberg, Garland Jr & Ives, 2003](#)) with 9,999 random replicates using the R package geomorph ([Adams & Otárola-Castillo, 2013](#)) and the physignal function.

Our raw data and R code files are available in the [Supplemental Material](#).

Ecological information

To get insights into the ecology of the genus *Carabodes*, we created a table ([Table 2A](#)) using data of [Weigmann et al. \(2015\)](#), where we list the number of habitats and possible living styles for each investigated *Carabodes* species. We did the same for the genus *Caleremaeus* based on data from [Lienhard & Krisper \(2021\)](#) ([Table 2B](#)).

RESULTS

The body size of *Carabodes* species ranges from approximately 400–800 μm ([Fig. 1A](#)). Populations within each species vary slightly in size but are not significantly different. Claw size correlates well with body size in most species. The ratio of claw length to body length (cl/bl) is conspicuously higher only for *C. coriaceus*, and *C. reticulatus*. A regression plot of the two sizes ([Fig. S2](#)) shows that there is an apparent jump in claw size at a certain body size. Regarding the angle gamma, measurements range from 75 to 105 degrees. It can be observed that *C. reticulatus* and *C. marginatus* have the most widely open claws. On average, *C. rugosior* has the most curved claw with a curvature angle of around 85°. The results of the principal component analysis (PCA) conducted on the *Carabodes* dataset indicate that the first two principal components (PC1 = 30.6% and PC2 = 22.8%) account for approximately 52% of the total variation. While there is a considerable overlap between individuals of different species, species means are positioned differently in morphospace, with most species clustering around the intersection of PC1 and PC2 ([Fig. 1A](#)). Along

Table 2 Habitat specificity. (A) *Carabodes* species (B) *Caleremaeus* species and their habitats and lifestyles in literature.

(A) <i>Carabodes</i>	Habitats (<i>Weigmann et al., 2015</i>)																	<i>n</i>	Lifestyle					<i>n</i>						
	AR	AW	AZ	E	GM	GQ	HH	LF	LR	LS	LT	MD	MH	SD	SG	UG	US		WF	WL	WM	WN	WT		WZ	ar	bo	el	ep	li
<i>Carabodes areolatus</i>						x		x			x		x						x		x			7	x	x	x			3
<i>Carabodes coriaceus</i>									x	x			x	x				x	x		x	x		9	x	x	x	x		4
<i>Carabodes labyrinthicus</i>	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	22	x	x	x	x	x	5
<i>Carabodes marginatus</i>	x			x	x			x	x		x	x	x	x				x	x	x	x	x	x	16	x	x	x			3
<i>Carabodes ornatus</i>	x							x	x		x		x		x				x		x	x		10	x	x		x		3
<i>Carabodes rugosior</i>								x											x		x			4	x	x	x			3
<i>Carabodes tenuis</i>																					x			2	x	x				2
<i>Carabodes reticulatus</i>		x																	x		x			3		x				1
<i>Odontocepheus elongatus</i>	x							x	x										x		x	x		7	x	x				2
(B) <i>Caleremaeus</i>	Habitats (sample information in <i>Lienhard & Krisper, 2021</i>)																	<i>n</i>	Lifestyle					<i>n</i>						
	Alpine meadows and mats	Deadwood	Grass with soil	Lichen	Moss on stone/rock	Moss	Soil and litter	ar	bo	el	ep	li																		
<i>Caleremaeus alpinus</i>	x		x			x		x		x		x						x		x			7	x	x	x			3	
<i>Caleremaeus lignophilus</i>					x																		1	x					1	
<i>Caleremaeus mentobellus</i>												x		x		x		x		x			4		x	x			2	

Notes.

AR, alpine meadows and mats; AW, subalpine forests; AZ, dwarf-shrubs heathlands and tall forb stands in alpine zones; E, eurytopic (relevant occurrence in more than 3 habitat types (as S [seashore habitats]; L, habitats of open non-forest landscape]; W, forests and related habitats],...); GM, bogs and swamp waters; GQ, springs, spring runoff; HH, caves; LF, moist and wet grassland; LR, inland salt marshes; LS, reed beds, marshes; LT, dry grassland and scrub; MD, degraded bogs and mires; MH, raised bogs, transition mires; SD, coastal dunes; SG, salt meadows, brackish reeds; UG, constructions: buildings, walls; US, traffic areas: Railway tracks, roads, pavements, urban squares; WF, swamps forests, floodplain forests; WL, deciduous(mixed) forests on fresh soils (deciduous trees >50%); WM, bog forests; WN, coniferous(mixed) forests (coniferous trees <50%); WT, deciduous (mixed) forests on dry soils (deciduous trees >50%); WZ, dwarf-shrub heathland; ar, arboricolous-bark dweller; bo, soil dweller; el, epilithic—on rocks, stones or walls; ep, epiphytic—on plants; li, limnic—in freshwater.




Figure 1 Clawshape in *Carabodes*. (A) Body length, claw length in relation to body length and claw curvature (gamma) for the different species (given in different colors, see legend 1b) and populations (different bars) of *Carabodes* and the outgroup *Odontocepeus elongatus*. (B) Scatterplot of principal component analysis showing the first two components (PC1 = 30.6% and PC2 = 22.8%). On the left-hand side, a representative photograph of *C. rugosior*, one of the *Carabodes* species, is presented, while at the right-hand side of the scatterplot shape changes associated with PC2 are shown.

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16021/fig-1](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.16021/fig-1)

PC1, there is only minimal separation. Only *C. rugosior* and *C. areolatus* are slightly further in the negative range of PC1 and can thus be distinguished from, for example, *C. coriaceus*. Notably, the meanshape of the outgroup species *O. elongatus* is located at the highest positive position on PC2, indicating a claw that is less elongated compared to the seven *Carabodes* species. The ordination of the specimens along the first two principal components shows that variation along PC2 is mainly related to species affiliation. The corresponding shape changes in the positive or negative direction of PC axis 2 show us a more compact and hunchbacked form of the species that are positioned in the positive range of PC axis two, and a slightly more elongated, drawn-out claw of the species that appear in the negative range.

The body size of *Caleremaeus* species ranges from approximately 315–400 μm , with the smallest species examined being *C. lignophilus* (340 μm), and the largest being *C. alpinus* (380 μm) and *C. mentobellus* (360 μm) (Fig. 2A). While populations within each species vary slightly in body size, they are not significantly different. Notably, the ratio of claw length to body length (cl/bl) is lower in *C. alpinus*. In terms of the angle gamma, measurements range from 80 to 100 degrees, with no marked differences observed among the three species, only *C. alpinus* shows a slightly lower gamma in all three populations.




Figure 2 Clawshape in *Caleremaeus*. (A) Body length, claw length in relation to body length and claw curvature (gamma) in different species and populations of *Caleremaeus*. (B) Scatterplot of principal component analysis showing the first two components (PC1 = 32.34%, PC2 = 21.38%). On the left-hand side, a representative photograph of *C. lignophilus*, one of the *Caleremaeus* species, is presented, while at the right-hand side of the scatterplot shape changes associated with PC2 are shown.

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16021/fig-2](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.16021/fig-2)

Results of the principal component analysis (PCA) conducted on the *Caleremaeus* dataset indicate that the first two principal components (PC1 = 32.34%, PC2 = 21.38%) account for approximately 54% of the total variation. While there is considerable overlap between individuals of different species, species means are positioned differently in morphospace. *C. lignophilus* and *C. mentobellus* cluster closely together, while *C. alpinus* exhibits a different claw mean shape (Fig. 2B). The corresponding shape changes in the positive or negative direction of PC axis 2 indicate a more curved form of the species that are positioned in the positive range, and a slightly more elongated claw of the species that appear in the negative range. There are no marked differences in claw disparity among species in both genera (Fig. S1).

Phylogenetic influence

By examining the scatterplot in Fig. 3, which shows the average shapes of different populations of *Carabodes*, we can see that there are distinct groupings. When compared to the phylogenetic tree of the same populations, similarities can be seen. The populations of the species *C. marginatus*, *C. reticulatus*, *C. coriaceus*, and *C. ornatus* cluster together, while the remaining species form distinct groups. Furthermore, running the *Kmult* method in R confirms presence of a phylogenetic signal in claw shape for *Carabodes* ($K = 2 \times e^{-5}$, $P = 0.0036$). Despite this phylogenetic influence in claw shape, it is possible to observe that




Figure 3 Clawshape and phylogeny in *Carabodes*. (A) PCA with mean shapes of all investigated *Carabodes* species and populations; (B) phylogenetic tree based on COI sequences of investigated *Carabodes* populations (colors refer to phylogenetic clades).

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16021/fig-3](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.16021/fig-3)

there are species standing out in terms of their claw shape (Figs. 1A and 3). *C. rugosior*, *C. reticulatus* and *C. tenuis* exhibit claw shapes that deviate from the mean.

For *Caleremaeus* we get another picture, regarding phylogenetic influence. The phylogenetic tree of *Caleremaeus* populations demonstrates that we have distinct species, with populations of each clustering together (Fig. 4). But in terms of their claw shapes, populations of the same species are not more similar to each other than they are to populations of other species, indicating the absence of a phylogenetic signal. Confirming these findings by using Blomberg's K, we find no phylogenetic signal in claw shape in the genus *Caleremaeus* ($K = 6 \times e^{-5}$, $P = 0.666$).

Habitat specificity/ecological impact on claw shape

For our eight investigated *Carabodes* species we found 23 different habitat types and five types of lifestyles in the above-mentioned literature (Table 2). All species could be assigned to the lifestyle of “soil dwellers”. All but one could be denoted as arboricolous, as bark dwellers. While *Carabodes labyrinthicus* was found in a high number of 22 different habitats, *C. tenuis*, *C. reticulatus* and *C. rugosior*, with two to four different environments seem to be more specific in their choice of habitat. The investigated *Caleremaeus* species could be assigned to three different lifestyles, namely arboricolous - as bark dweller, soil dweller and epilithic—on rocks, stones and walls. *C. alpinus* was found in seven different habitats while *C. lignophilus* was exclusively found in deadwood.

When we examine all species of both genera in a principal component analysis (PCA), we see that the claw shapes of individual species and genera are not very different. (Fig. 5). Although species of *Caleremaeus* are located more negatively along both PC1 and PC2, they are still within the morphospace of *Carabodes*. Based on the claw shapes of the respective species, we can see that they are very similar. Only the species *C. rugosior*, *C. reticulatus* and




Figure 4 Clawshape and phylogeny in *Caleremaeus*. (A) PCA with mean shapes of all investigated *Caleremaeus* species and populations; (B) phylogenetic tree based on COI sequences of investigated *Caleremaeus* populations (colors refer to phylogenetic clades).

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16021/fig-4](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.16021/fig-4)

C. tenuis exhibit somehow exceptional forms. *C. reticulatus* exhibits a long and less curved claw. In contrast, *C. tenuis* possesses a more curved claw, similar to *C. rugosior*. However, *C. rugosior* stands out with not only a stronger curvature but also a higher-arched claw. When considering habitat specificity (Table 2) a correlation between “specialized claw” and “stronger habitat specificity” can be identified for *C. rugosior*, *C. reticulatus* and *C. tenuis*.

DISCUSSION

The two mite genera, *Caleremaeus* and *Carabodes*, can both be classified as euryoecious, but within the genus, there is some habitat specificity. In terms of habitus, all investigated *Carabodes* species and the examined closely related *Odontocephus elongatus* are easily distinguishable based on their overall morphology. The claw shape varies moderately, with *Odontocephus elongatus* standing out due to its much more curved and compact claw than *Carabodes* species. The claw shapes of the three *Carabodes* species *C. reticulatus*, *C. rugosior* and *C. tenuis* deviate the most from the others, and interestingly these three species are only found in a small number of habitats (see Table 2). This higher habitat specificity might require a more specialized claw shape but how this “specificity” looks like is not easy to define. After Weigmann et al. (2015), *C. tenuis* is restricted to bark and soil in coniferous (mixed) forests and our study samples are exclusively from deadwood taken in coniferous (mixed) forests. It is possible that this narrow niche has resulted in the strongly deviating claw shape of this species, their claws are relatively large for the small body size and show a moderate curvature. We observed a similar phenomenon for *Lamellovertex caelatus* in a former study (Kerschbaumer & Pfingstl, 2023), where the claw shape of this saxicolous species living only in dry mosses and lichens is significantly less curved than in more euryoecious species. In birds, lesser curved claws are significant for ground dwelling species (Birn-Jeffery et al., 2012) and in lizards, species occurring on sandy soils usually show lower curved claws (Tulli et al., 2009). Greater degrees of curvatures, on the other




Figure 5 Principal component analysis and meanshapes of claws. Cumulative PCA on mean shapes of all investigated species from both genera *Carabodes* and *Caleremaeus*. Numbers within the circles representing the individual species, indicate the number of populated habitats from Table 2.

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.16021/fig-5](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.16021/fig-5)

hand, are supposed to be characteristic for tree climbing species, at least in birds and reptiles (e.g., Feduccia, 1993; Tulli et al., 2009). It is not yet known if a similar correlation applies to the *Carabodes* species. *Carabodes reticulatus* and *C. rugosior* were sampled on bracket fungi in this study. Havar, Amundsen & Okland (2014) found *C. reticulatus* only in dead sporocarps in a spruce forest. They suggest that *Carabodes* species found in fruiting bodies of wood-decay fungi are primarily living in decomposing wood where fungal food is limited, but they use the opportunity to multiply efficiently in energy-rich sporocarps if these are available. On the other hand, *C. rugosior* was never found in sporocarps within the study of Havar, Amundsen & Okland (2014) and is described as an inhabitant of soil near tree bases (Sellnick & Forsslund, 1953; Weigmann, 2006). Their incongruent claw shapes, with *C. rugosior* having a more curved claw (gamma difference $\sim 10^\circ$) than *C. reticulatus*, may reflect this microhabitat difference. Interestingly, Weigmann et al. (2015) did not describe any *Carabodes* species as fungicol. The other *Carabodes* species which can be found in a noticeably wide range of different habitats (see Table 2), like *C. labyrinthicus*, show claw shapes that are placed more in the center of the morphospace, i.e., they are neither strongly curved, nor extremely weakly curved, they are intermediate so to speak. The same phenomenon was observed in intertidal oribatid mites, where species with wider ecological ranges show also intermediate claw shapes (Pfingstl, Kerschbaumer & Shimano, 2020). Apparently, extreme claw shapes are not selected for if species should be able to dwell in a wider array of microhabitats. Apart from these results, we could also observe that the claws of the first leg of *Carabodes* species are basically distinctly smaller than the

claws of the remaining legs. The reason for this apparent size difference is unknown and needs further detailed investigation.

However, it seems that phylogenetic relationship between species has a stronger influence on claw shape in *Carabodes* than the habitat. Species of the same genetic cluster are more similar in terms of their claw shape. We could quantify a phylogenetic signal, indicating that ecology does not play the biggest role in shaping *Carabodes* claws, as was shown for example, in oribatids of the littoral zone (Kerschbaumer & Pfingstl, 2021).

Our results concerning the genus *Caleremaeus* support the hypothesis of Lienhard & Krisper (2021), who postulate a strong association of the different *Caleremaeus* species to specific microhabitats. They found a clear genetic differentiation between species of neighboring microhabitats, but not between distant microhabitats of the same type, thus a high degree of habitat specialization is assumed. In respect of claw shapes, no apparent pattern can be found correlating this morphological structure with habitat. *Caleremaeus alpinus*, which is restricted to subalpine and alpine habitats, differs the most from *C. lignophilus* and *C. mentobellus* in terms of claw shape. Their claws are noticeably more curved and smaller in size, which results in a lower ratio of claw length to body length when compared to the other two species, *C. lignophilus* and *C. mentobellus* (see Fig. S3). *Caleremaeus alpinus* can be found in a variety of microhabitats and therefore it is surprising that their claws are not intermediate like the claws of euryoecious *Carabodes*. *C. alpinus* is restricted to higher altitudes and thus could be adapted to low temperatures. However, we have no evidence for such a correlation and need much more data in this respect. *Caleremaeus lignophilus*, on the other hand, is a clear specialist, as it can only be found in deadwood. Their claws are the least curved in comparison to the other species (*Carabodes* included) and a weaker curvature is supposed to be a feature of claws mainly used on soft substrates, at least in intertidal oribatid mites (Pfingstl, Kerschbaumer & Shimano, 2020) but also in lizard species occurring on sandy soils (Tulli et al., 2009). Dead and rotten wood is clearly a soft substrate and consequently the weaker curvature of *C. lignophilus* claws may be an adaptation to walking on this underground. Nevertheless, the comparison of only three species with partly overlapping ecologies does not allow us to infer any distinct patterns of correlation between claw shape and habitat. In contrast to *Carabodes*, mapping the claw shapes onto the molecular phylogeny of populations of these *Caleremaeus* species results in a lack of a phylogenetic signal. These findings would suggest that the claw morphology of *Caleremaeus* is likely an adaptation of single species to their unique habitat and lifestyle but given the very low number of investigated species of this genus, the present lack of a phylogenetic signal must be regarded with caution.

Comparing the claw shapes of *Caleremaeus* and *Carabodes*, results in surprisingly similar shapes with relatively few divergences between these very distantly related genera (see Fig. 5). Even though single species may show large ecological variances, most of the species occupy similar habitats, and *Caleremaeus* and *Carabodes* were often found together in the exact same sample. This indicates that the overlapping habitat preferences result in similar claw shapes in these taxa originating from different superfamilies.

Methodological aspects

In our study, we use 2D instead of 3D morphometrics because capturing the miniature claw in 3D was not feasible with our current resources, given its size of less than 20 μm . However, analyzing claw curvature, claw length, and overall shape from 2D images still provides valuable information for comparing claw shapes among mite species. Although there are limitations to the resolution of the light microscope, we can enhance the photos optically, such as by increasing contrast, to ensure the accurate identification of all crucial points during digitization. 3D morphometrics and higher-resolution imaging techniques would undoubtedly contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of claw shape and curvature in mites. A study conducted by [Dai, Gorb & Schwarz \(2002\)](#) examined the relationship between the dimension of the claw tip and the substrate texture in generating friction force in the beetle *Pachnoda marginata*. Their findings concluded that the friction force is influenced by both surface roughness and claw tip diameter. Additionally, another study by [Pattrick, Labonte & Federle \(2018\)](#) explored the effect of claw tip diameter on attachment performance in insects on rough surfaces. They proposed that attachment performance decreases with increasing body size due to mechanical constraints on claw design. Applying such investigations to oribatid mites would be highly intriguing. As another methodological aspect, we want to mention that claw curvature varies in its definition across literature, posing challenges for result comparisons. In our study, we adopted a similar approach to [Zani \(2000\)](#), measuring angles between specific points to quantify the inner curvature of the claw. For us this method is straightforward as it utilizes landmark coordinates obtained from shape analysis. For instance, [Birn-Jeffery et al. \(2012\)](#) considered both inner and outer claw curvature angles, while [Feduccia \(1993\)](#) quantified curvature as the central angle formed by radii from claw ends, representing the arc's portion within the claw. It is important to note that the specific method used to measure claw curvature may vary depending on the study and the organisms being investigated and therefore could not be compared.

CONCLUSIONS

The present results demonstrate that characteristics of the claws of terrestrial oribatid mites are correlated with ecology, but this correlation is apparently weaker than in intertidal oribatid mites that are prone to strong external forces. Terrestrial habitats are less exposed to wind and water than coastal environments and the falling of a leaf is not as dramatic for the mites as being washed away into the open ocean. Therefore, selection on claw shape may work to a lesser extent in terrestrial mites. The nature of the correlation of claws with other factors remains largely unclear due to the complex microhabitat features of terrestrial habitats. Further detailed studies on terrestrial species being specialized to certain microhabitats may reveal which claw shapes may be preferable for specific environments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank M. Bodner and D. Fröhlich for providing samples for this study.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This study was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under Grant [P33869]. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:
Austrian Science Fund (FWF): P33869.

Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Michaela Kerschbaumer conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Sylvia Schäffer performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Tobias Pfingstl conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.

DNA Deposition

The following information was supplied regarding the deposition of DNA sequences:

The DNA sequences are available in the [Supplemental File](#). The DNA sequences are provided at GenBank: [OQ970666–OQ970692](#).

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw data (measurement, landmark coordinates, COI sequences) and code are available in the [Supplemental Files](#).

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.16021#supplemental-information>.

REFERENCES

- Adams DC, Otárola-Castillo E. 2013. geomorph: an R package for the collection and analysis of geometric morphometric shape data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 4(4):393–399 DOI [10.1111/2041-210X.12035](#).
- Alibardi L. 2020. Immunolocalization of corneous beta proteins of the Epidermal Differentiation Complex in the developing claw of the alligator. *Annals of Anatomy- Anatomischer Anzeiger* 231:151513 DOI [10.1016/j.aanat.2020.151513](#).

- Ayyildiz N, Toluk A, Taskiran M, Tasmedir A. 2011. Two new records of the genera *Cepheus* CL Koch, 1835 and *Caleremaeus* Berlese, 1910 (Acari: Oribatida) from Turkey, with notes on their distribution and ecology. *Türkiye Entomoloji Bülteni* 1(3):145–150.
- Baken EK, Collyer ML, Kaliontzopoulou A, Adams DC. 2021. geomorph v4.0 and gmShiny: Enhanced analytics and a new graphical interface for a comprehensive morphometric experience. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 12(12):2355–2363 DOI 10.1111/2041-210X.13723.
- Behan-Pelletier V, Walter DE. 2000. Biodiversity of oribatid mites (Acari: Oribatida) in tree canopies and litter. In: *Invertebrates as webmasters in ecosystems*. Wallingford: CABI, 187–202.
- Birn-Jeffery AV, Miller CE, Naish D, Rayfield EJ, Hone DW. 2012. Pedal claw curvature in birds, lizards and Mesozoic dinosaurs-complicated categories and compensating for mass-specific and phylogenetic control. *PLOS ONE* 7(12):e50555 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0050555.
- Blomberg SP, Garland Jr T, Ives AR. 2003. Testing for phylogenetic signal in comparative data: behavioral traits are more labile. *Evolution* 57(4):717–745.
- Büscher TH, Petersen DS, Bijma NN, Bäumler F, Pirk CWW, Büsse S, Heepe L, Gorb SN. 2022. The exceptional attachment ability of the ectoparasitic bee louse *Braula coeca* (Diptera, Braulidae) on the honeybee. *Physiological Entomology* 47:83–95 DOI 10.1111/phen.12378.
- Dai Z, Gorb SN, Schwarz U. 2002. Roughness-dependent friction force of the tarsal claw system in the beetle *Pachnoda marginata* (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae). *Journal of Experimental Biology* 205(16):2479–2488 DOI 10.1242/jeb.205.16.2479.
- D’Amore DC, Harmon M, Drumheller SK, Testin JJ. 2019. Quantitative heterodonty in Crocodylia: assessing size and shape across modern and extinct taxa. *PeerJ* 7:e6485 DOI 10.7717/peerj.6485.
- Dunlop JA. 2002. Character states and evolution of the chelicerate claws. In: Toft S, Scharff N, eds. *European Arachnology*. Aarhus: Aarhus University Press, 345–354.
- Feduccia A. 1993. Evidence from claw geometry indicating arboreal habits of Archaeopteryx. *Science* 259(5096):790–793 DOI 10.1126/science.259.5096.790.
- Friedemann K, Schneeberg K, Beutel RG. 2014. Fly on the wall—attachment structures in lower Diptera. *Systematic Entomology* 39:460–473 DOI 10.1111/syen.12064.
- Gorb SN. 2001. *Attachment devices of insect cuticle*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Hågvar S, Amundsen T, Økland B. 2014. Mites of the genus Carabodes (Acari, Oribatida) in Norwegian coniferous forests: occurrence in different soils, vegetation types and polypore hosts. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research* 29(7):629–638 DOI 10.1080/02827581.2014.965195.
- Hahn S, Dimitrov D, Rehse S, Yohannes E, Jenni L. 2014. Avian claw morphometry and growth determine the temporal pattern of archived stable isotopes. *Journal of Avian Biology* 45(2):202–207 DOI 10.1111/j.1600-048X.2013.00324.x.

- Heethoff M, Koerner L. 2007. Small but powerful: the oribatid mite *Archegozetes longisetosus* (Acari, Oribatida) produces disproportionately high forces. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 210:3036–3042 DOI 10.1242/jeb.008276.
- Hernández-Triana LM, Prosser SW, Rodríguez-Perez MA, Chaverri LG, Hebert PDN, Ryan Gregory T. 2014. Recovery of DNA barcodes from blackfly museum specimens (Diptera: Simuliidae) using primer sets that target a variety of sequence lengths. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 14(3):508–518 DOI 10.1111/1755-0998.12208.
- Kerschbaumer M, Pfingstl T. 2021. Testing for phylogenetic signal in claws suggests great influence of ecology on Caribbean intertidal arthropods (Acari, Oribatida). *Scientific Reports* 11(1):4398 DOI 10.1038/s41598-021-83747-3.
- Kerschbaumer M, Pfingstl T. 2023. First insights into the morphological development of tarsal claws in terrestrial oribatid mites. *Acarologia* 63(2):419–427 DOI 10.24349/3krx-4s03.
- Krisper G, Schatz H, Schuster R. 2017. Oribatida (Arachnida: Acari). *Biosystematics and Ecology Series* 33:25–90.
- Lanfear R, Frandsen PB, Wright AM, Senfeld T, Calcott B. 2016. PartitionFinder 2: new methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological phylogenetic analyses. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 34(3):772–773.
- Lienhard A, Krisper G. 2021. Hidden biodiversity in microarthropods (Acari, Oribatida, Eremaeoidea, Caleremaeus). *Scientific Reports* 11(1):1–14 DOI 10.1038/s41598-020-79139-8.
- Mann A, Dudgeon TW, Henrici AC, Berman DS, Pierce SE. 2021. Digit and unequal morphology suggest adaptations for scansoriality in the late Carboniferous eurentile *Anthracodromeus longipes*. *Frontiers in Earth Science* 9:675337 DOI 10.3389/feart.2021.675337.
- Minh BQ, Nguyen MA, Von Haeseler A. 2013. Ultrafast approximation for phylogenetic bootstrap. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30:1188–1195 DOI 10.1093/molbev/mst024.
- Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, Haeseler AVon, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 32:268–274 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msu300.
- Norton RA, Behan-Pelletier VM. 2020. Two unusual new species of *Caleremaeus* (Acari: Oribatida) from eastern North America, with redescription of *C. retractus* and reevaluation of the genus. *Acarologia* 60(2):398–448 DOI 10.24349/acarologia/20204375.
- Patrick JG, Labonte D, Federle W. 2018. Scaling of claw sharpness: mechanical constraints reduce attachment performance in larger insects. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 221(24):jeb188391 DOI 10.1242/jeb.188391.
- Petersen DS, Kreuter N, Heepe L, Büsse S, Wellbrock AH, Witte K, Gorb SN. 2018. Holding tight to feathers—structural specializations and attachment properties of the avian ectoparasite *Crataerina pallida* (Diptera, Hippoboscidae). *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 221:jeb179242.

- Pfingstl T. 2023.** Sharp claws beneath our feet—the diversity of tarsal attachment devices of oribatid mites (Acari, Chelicerata, excluding Astigmata)—a review. *International Journal of Acarology* **49**:165–195 DOI [10.1080/01647954.2023.2223214](https://doi.org/10.1080/01647954.2023.2223214).
- Pfingstl T, Kerschbaumer M. 2022.** Like parent, like child—Ontogenetic development of claws of intertidal arthropods (Acari, Oribatida) from different ecological niches. *Arthropod Structure & Development* **67**:101143 DOI [10.1016/j.asd.2022.101143](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asd.2022.101143).
- Pfingstl T, Kerschbaumer M, Shimano S. 2020.** Get a grip—evolution of claw shape in relation to microhabitat use in intertidal arthropods (Acari, Oribatida). *PeerJ* **8**:e8488 DOI [10.7717/peerj.8488](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.8488).
- Reeves RM. 1987.** A new arboreal *Carabodes* from eastern North America (Acari: Oribatida: Carabodidae). *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* **89**:468–477.
- Reeves RM, Behan-Pelletier V. 1998.** The genus *Carabodes* (Acari: Oribatida: Carabodidae) of North America, with descriptions of new western species. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **76**(10):1898–1921 DOI [10.1139/z98-113](https://doi.org/10.1139/z98-113).
- Rohlf FJ. 2017.** TpsDig. Version 2.31. Stony Brook: Department of Ecology and Evolution. State University of New York. Available at <http://life.bio.sunysb.edu/morph/>.
- Schäffer S, Pfingstl T, Koblmüller S, Winkler KA, Sturmbauer C, Krisper G. 2010.** Phylogenetic analysis of European *Scutovertex* mites (Acari, Oribatida, Scutoverticidae) reveals paraphyly and cryptic diversity: a molecular genetic and morphological approach. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*. **55**(2):677–688 DOI [10.1016/j.ympev.2009.11.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2009.11.025).
- Schäffer S, Stabentheiner E, Shimano S, Pfingstl T. 2018.** Leaving the tropics: the successful colonization of cold temperate regions by *Dolicheremaeus dorni* (Acari, Oribatida). *Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research* **56**:505–518 DOI [10.1111/jzs.12222](https://doi.org/10.1111/jzs.12222).
- Schatz H. 1979.** Ökologische Untersuchungen an Wirbellosen des zentralalpiner Hochgebirges (Obergurgl, Tirol): Phänologie und Zönotik von Oribatiden (Acari). *Veröffentlichungen der Universität Innsbruck* **117**:15–120.
- Schatz H. 1983.** *Catalogus faunae Austriae. Teil IXi. U.-Ordn.: Oribatei, Hornmilben.* Wien: Österr. Akad. Wiss..
- Sellnick M, Forsslund KH. 1953.** Die Gattung *Carabodes* CL Koch 1836 in der schwedischen Bodenfauna (Acar, Oribat.). *Arkiv för Zoologi* **4**(22):367–390.
- Subías LS. 2022.** Listado sistemático, sinónimo y biogeográfico de los ácaros oribátidos (Acariformes, Oribatida) del mundo (excepto fósiles). *Monografías electrónicas* **12**:1–538.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. 2013.** MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**:2725–2729 DOI [10.1093/molbev/mst197](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst197).
- Tinius A, Patrick Russell A. 2017.** Points on the curve: an analysis of methods for assessing the shape of vertebrate claws. *Journal of Morphology* **278**(2):150–169 DOI [10.1002/jmor.20625](https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.20625).

- Tulli MJ, Cruz FB, Herrel A, Vanhooydonck B, Abdala V. 2009.** The interplay between claw morphology and microhabitat use in neotropical iguanian lizards. *Zoology* 112(5):379–392 DOI [10.1016/j.zool.2009.02.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.zool.2009.02.001).
- Tulli MJ, Manzano A, Abdala V. 2022.** Is the shape of turtle claws driven by locomotor modes? *Evolutionary Biology* 49:424–432 DOI [10.1007/s11692-022-09580-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11692-022-09580-2).
- Weigmann G. 2006.** *Hornmilben (Oribatida). Die Tierwelt Deutschlands*. Keltern: Goecke & Evers, 520.
- Weigmann G, Horak F, Franke K, Christian A. 2015.** Verbreitung und Ökologie der Hornmilben (Oribatida) in Deutschland. *Peckiana* 10:1–171.
- Zani PA. 2000.** The comparative evolution of lizard claw and toe morphology and clinging performance. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 13(2):316–325 DOI [10.1046/j.1420-9101.2000.00166.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1420-9101.2000.00166.x).
- Zhang D, Gao F, Jakovlić I, Zou H, Zhang J, Li WX, Wang GT. 2020.** PhyloSuite: an integrated and scalable desktop platform for streamlined molecular sequence data management and evolutionary phylogenetics studies. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 20(1):348–355 DOI [10.1111/1755-0998.13096](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13096).