

Degradation of zearalenone by microorganisms and enzymes (#82694)

1

First revision

Guidance from your Editor

Please submit by **14 May 2023** for the benefit of the authors (and your token reward) .



Literature Review article

This is a Literature Review article, so the review criteria are slightly different. Please write your review using the criteria outlined on the 'Structure and Criteria' page.



Image check

Check that figures and images have not been inappropriately manipulated.

If this article is published your review will be made public. You can choose whether to sign your review. If uploading a PDF please remove any identifiable information (if you want to remain anonymous).

Files

Download and review all files from the [materials page](#).

- 1 Tracked changes manuscript(s)
- 1 Rebuttal letter(s)
- 2 Table file(s)

Structure and Criteria

2



Structure your review

The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

1. **BASIC REPORTING**
2. **STUDY DESIGN**
3. **VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS**
4. General comments
5. Confidential notes to the editor







 You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

When ready [submit online](#).







Editorial Criteria

Use these criteria points to structure your review. The full detailed editorial criteria is on your [guidance page](#).





BASIC REPORTING

-  Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
-  Intro & background to show context. Literature well referenced & relevant.
-  Structure conforms to [Peerj standards](#), discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
-  Is the review of broad and cross-disciplinary interest and within the scope of the journal?
-  Has the field been reviewed recently? If so, is there a good reason for this review (different point of view, accessible to a different audience, etc.)?
-  Does the Introduction adequately introduce the subject and make it clear who the audience is/what the motivation is?

STUDY DESIGN

-  Article content is within the [Aims and Scope](#) of the journal.
-  Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
-  Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.
-  Is the Survey Methodology consistent with a comprehensive, unbiased coverage of the subject? If not, what is missing?
-  Are sources adequately cited? Quoted or paraphrased as appropriate?
-  Is the review organized logically into coherent paragraphs/subsections?

VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

-  Impact and novelty not assessed. Meaningful replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
-  Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to
-  Is there a well developed and supported argument that meets the goals set out in the Introduction?
-  Does the Conclusion identify unresolved questions / gaps / future directions?

Standout reviewing tips

3



The best reviewers use these techniques

Tip

Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources

Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript

Comment on language and grammar issues

Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points

Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions

Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript

Example

Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.

Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57- 86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).

The English language should be improved to ensure that an international audience can clearly understand your text. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 – the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult. I suggest you have a colleague who is proficient in English and familiar with the subject matter review your manuscript, or contact a professional editing service.

- 1. Your most important issue*
- 2. The next most important item*
- 3. ...*
- 4. The least important points*

I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC

I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be


improved upon before Acceptance.

Degradation of zearalenone by microorganisms and enzymes

Jiregna Gari ^{Corresp. 1}

¹ Department of Veterinary Laboratory Technology, Ambo University, Ambo, Oromia, Ethiopia

Corresponding Author: Jiregna Gari
Email address: jiregnagari2023@ambou.edu.et

 Mycotoxins are toxic metabolites produced by fungi that may cause serious health problems in humans and animals. One concern with the use of microbial strains and their enzyme derivatives for zearalenone degradation is the potential variability in the effectiveness of the degradation process. The efficiency of degradation may depend on various factors such as the type and concentration of zearalenone, the properties of the microbial strains and enzymes, and the environmental conditions. Therefore, it is important to carefully evaluate the efficacy of these methods under different conditions and ensure their reproducibility. Another important consideration is the safety and potential side effects of using microbial strains and enzymes for zearalenone degradation. It is necessary to evaluate the potential risks associated with the use of genetically modified microorganisms or recombinant enzymes, including their potential impact on the environment and non-target organisms. Additionally, it is important to ensure that the degradation products are indeed harmless and do not pose any health risks to humans or animals. Furthermore, while the use of microbial strains and enzymes may offer an environmentally friendly and cost-effective solution for zearalenone degradation, it is important to explore other methods such as physical or chemical treatments as well. These methods may offer complementary approaches for zearalenone detoxification, and their combination with microbial or enzyme-based methods may improve overall efficacy. Overall, the research on the biodegradation of zearalenone using microorganisms and enzyme derivatives is promising, but there are important considerations that need to be addressed to ensure the safety and effectiveness of these methods. Development of recombinant enzymes improves enzymatic detoxification of zearalenone to a non-toxic product without damaging the nutritional content. This review summarizes biodegradation of zearalenone using microorganisms and enzyme derivatives to nontoxic products. Further research is needed to fully evaluate the potential of these methods for mitigating the impact of mycotoxins in food and feed.

Keywords: degradation, enzyme, microorganisms, mycotoxins, zearalenone (ZEN)

Degradation of zearalenone by microorganisms and enzymes

Jiregna Gari¹,

¹ Department of Veterinary Laboratory Technology, Ambo University, Ambo, Oromia, Ethiopia


Corresponding Author:

Jiregna Gari¹,

Guder, Ambo, Oromia, Ethiopia

Email address: jiregnagari2023@ambou.edu.et

Abstract

Mycotoxins are toxic metabolites produced by fungi that may cause serious health problems in humans and animals.  concern with the use of microbial strains and their enzyme derivatives for zearalenone degradation is the potential variability in the effectiveness of the degradation process. The efficiency of degradation may depend on various factors such as the type and concentration of zearalenone, the properties of the microbial strains and enzymes, and the environmental conditions. Therefore, it is important to carefully evaluate the efficacy of these methods under different conditions and ensure their reproducibility. Another important consideration is the safety and potential side effects of using microbial strains and enzymes for zearalenone degradation. It is necessary to evaluate the potential risks associated with the use of genetically modified microorganisms or recombinant enzymes, including their potential impact on the environment and non-target organisms. Additionally, it is important to ensure that the degradation products are indeed harmless and do not pose any health risks to humans or animals. Furthermore, while the use of microbial strains and enzymes may offer an environmentally friendly and cost-effective solution for zearalenone degradation, it is important to explore other methods such as physical or chemical treatments as well. These methods may offer complementary approaches for zearalenone detoxification, and their combination with microbial or enzyme-based methods may improve overall efficacy. Overall, the research on the biodegradation of zearalenone using microorganisms and enzyme derivatives is promising, but there are important considerations that need to be addressed to ensure the safety and effectiveness of these methods. Development of recombinant enzymes improves enzymatic detoxification of zearalenone to a non-toxic product without damaging the nutritional content. This review summarizes biodegradation of zearalenone using microorganisms and enzyme derivatives to nontoxic products. Further research is needed to fully evaluate the potential of these methods for mitigating the impact of mycotoxins in food and feed.

Keywords: degradation, enzyme, microorganisms, mycotoxins, zearalenone (ZEN)

Introduction

Mycotoxins are naturally occurring toxic secondary metabolites of some microscopic filamentous fungi (Lie *et al.*, 2022). Mycotoxins produced mainly by some fungal species belonging to *Alternaria*, *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium*, and *Penicillium* genera pose health threats to humans and animals (Greeff-Laubscher *et al.*, 2020). Mycotoxins contamination of foods and feeds is a current global issue and causes huge economic losses to animal husbandry (Navale and Vamkudoth 2022). Zearalenone is an estrogenic mycotoxin produced by *Fusarium* species that leads to huge economic losses in the food industry and livestock husbandry. About 25-50% of the world's food crops are affected by mycotoxins (FAO, 2006). The most economically important mycotoxins are aflatoxins, deoxynivalenol and zearalenone. Contamination of food and feed with zearalenone has reproductive problems, carcinogenicity, immunotoxicity, and other cytotoxic effects (Ropejko and Twaruek, 2021; Yli-Mattila *et al.*, 2022).

More than 400 different types of mycotoxins have been identified so far, with different levels of toxicity (Arroyo-Manzanares *et al.*, 2021). Among mycotoxins, Aflatoxins B1, Zearalenone, Ochratoxin A, Patulin, and Trichothecenes have received particular attention due to their severe health outcomes on both humans and animals, which can range from acute to severe and chronic intoxications in both humans and animals (Ahn *et al.*, 2022; Nahle *et al.*, 2022).

Bouajila *et al.* 2022 reported that zearalenone contaminate feeds like corn, wheat, barley, sorghum, rice have a variety of toxic effects on humans and animals (Jia *et al.*, 2022). Zearalenone (ZEN) is a potent non-steroidal oestrogen mycotoxin which is biosynthesized via the polyketide pathway and could bind to estrogen receptors, which subsequently activate estrogen response elements in animals (Singh and Kumari, 2022; Yli-Mattila *et al.*, 2022).

Zearalenone (ZEN) consumption causes hypoestrogenism in animals and interferes in the expression of estrogen and organ function (Gajcka *et al.*, 2021). It could reduce the nutritional value of feed, damage the growth and health of livestock and poultry, and cause huge economic losses to livestock production. However, some animals, like chickens, show strong resistance to the toxicity of ZEN. ZEN can also cause abortion, infertility, stillbirth, and other reproductive effects on animals (Yadav *et al.*, 2021; Jia *et al.*, 2022).

In humans, ZEN has a chronic toxicity effect and stimulates the growth of mammary gland cells that might be involved in breast cancer (Ropejko and Twaruek, 2021). There is a report that shows

ZEN has immunotoxin, hepatotoxic, hematotoxic, and reproductive toxic effects like reducing fertility, vaginal prolapse, and causing vulvar swelling. The two primary metabolites of zearalenone are α -zearalenol (α -ZEL), which is a synthetic version of zearalenone, and β -zearalenol (β -ZEL), which is produced by reducing ZEN. Zearalenone is metabolized in the intestinal cells. Zearalenone also comes in the forms of α -zearalanol (α -ZAL) and β -zearalanol (β -ZAL). It is capable of being conjugated with glucuronic acid in its metabolized state (Ropejko, 2021). The degradation of zearalenone toxicity is commonly done by the use of physical, chemical, and biological approaches. Zearalenone is heat-stable and shows great resistance to conventional degradation methods (Kabak *et al.*, 2006; Wu *et al.*, 2021)). However, physical and chemical degradation destroys nutritional structure, decreases palatability of the feed and causes pollution to the environment (Guan *et al.*, 2021). Biological degradation has great specificity and degrades zearalenone completely without producing harmless products (Xu *et al.*, 2022).

At present, microorganisms and enzymes derived from microbial strains have been widely used for the degradation of zearalenone in food and feed. Researchers have developed biodegradation of zearalenone by the use of microbial and their enzyme derivatives, which offers harmless products and is environmentally friendly. Recently, numerous studies have focused on degradation through biological approaches by using microorganisms including bacteria, yeast, and fungi, and microorganisms' enzymes to remove zearalenone from food sources (Luo *et al.*, 2020; Nahle *et al.*, 2022). Development of genetic engineering technology in the advancement of recombinant proteins improves enzymatic degradation of zearalenone (Guan *et al.*, 2021). This review aims to discuss the biological degradation of ZEN through microorganisms and enzymes developed in recent years.

Survey Methodology

The varieties of mycotoxin-degrading microorganisms and enzymes, the development of heterologously generated degrading enzymes through genetic engineering, and related studies on enhancing the efficacy of degrading enzymes were all summarized in this review. The published articles were gathered using the databases Science Direct, Scopus, PubMed Web of Science, and a Gray literature resource like Google Scholar. The following keywords were used to search for the review: [Zearalenone Degradation OR Microorganisms OR Enzyme] and [zearalenone]. After passing the abstract screening, the full text of the found publications was downloaded. Any manuscript that wasn't available in this regard was discarded. For data extraction and analysis, only the articles with accessible full texts underwent further screening.

Degradation of zearalenone by microorganisms

Microbial degradation occurs when microorganisms (bacterial and yeast) secrete their metabolites or enzymes during their growth and development process. Microorganisms can directly adsorb targeted toxins or reduce toxins of our interest to impede the production of mycotoxins (Feng *et al.*, 2020; Xu *et al.*, 2022). Many studies have reported on the biodegradation of ZEN using

microorganisms (Table 1). They show high specificity and eco-friendliness in decreasing the possibility of ZEN toxicity from food and feed (Song *et al.*, 2021).

A variety of non-pathogenic microbes like probiotics, *Bacillus*, *Saccharomyces*, and *Lactobacillus* species have a high capability to detoxify feeds contaminated with zearalenone because they follow standards like safe to be used and possess detoxifying ability without forming bad odor or taste in the feeds (Wang *et al.*, 2019; Zhu *et al.*, 2021). Several studies reveal detoxification of zearalenone using probiotics, including by yeast, *Bacillus*, and lactic acid bacteria (Table 1), as they are involved in adsorption of ZEN and preventing its absorption by animals (Hathout and Aly, 2014).

Various bacteria, yeasts, and fungi can convert structure of ZEN to alpha and beta zearalenol through hydrolysis, conjugation of sulfate and glucosyl group reduction (Cho *et al.*, 2010). Among *Bacillus* strains, *B. licheniformis*, *B. subtilis*, *B. natto*, and *B. cerues* were those found to have the highest detoxification effect on zearalenon in food and feed (Wang *et al.*, 2019). *Bacillus pumilus* ANSB01G is also reported to degrade ZEN in the feed of animals (Xu *et al.*, 2022). According to Xu *et al.* (2016), *B. amyloliquefaciens* ZDS-1 has ZEN degrading ability in screened colonies. Probiotics is a great choice for biodegradation of ZEN in the food industry because it shows health benefits for humans and animals. Most lactic acid bacteria (LABs) are considered safe probiotics in the food industry. It is reported that *Lactobacillus* strains have a potential role in degrading ZEN from fermented food products (Średnicka *et al.*, 2021). *Lact. paracasei*, and *Lc. lacti* have the ability to remove ZEN in aqueous food solutions (Wu *et al.*, 2021). There is a report that shows zearalenone can be degraded from PBS buffer solution by *Lact. Acidophilus* CIP 76.13T by a bioremediation range of 57% (Ragoubi *et al.*, 2021).

There is a report that shows *B. licheniformis* CK1 has good probiotic properties and can degrade ZEN by more than 90% after 36 hours of incubation in the contaminated corn meal medium by ZEN (Hsu *et al.*, 2018). Other strains of bacteria called *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* also have high ZEN degradation abilities. There is a report that shows *S. cerevisiae* isolate from grape can degrade ZEN (Rogowaska *et al.*, 2019). *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* isolated from silage has biodegradation properties and can degrade up to 90% of ZEN in two days (Keller *et al.*, 2015). According to Harkai *et al.* (2016), the bacteria *Streptomyces rimosus* (K145, K189) can degrade ZEN in liquid media. Wang *et al.* (2018) also investigated a *Lysinibacillus* strain isolated from chicken's large intestine digesta is capable of degrading zearalenone.

Degradation of zearalenone by enzymes

Recent advancements in genetic engineering technology have attracted researchers' attention towards recombinant enzymes to degrade mycotoxins in food and feed with high efficiency. The

attainment and cloning of recombinant enzyme genes leads to the safe expression of genes in microbes, which has become a novel progress in molecular modification for ZEN degradation (Azam *et al.*, 2019; Xu *et al.*, 2022). Enzymatic degradation has wide advantages over microbial degradation because it can perform biodegradation with high efficiency, lower cost, reproducibility, and homogenous performance (Loi *et al.*, 2017; Liu *et al.*, 2022).

A bacterial strain of *E. coli*, *S. cerevisiae*, and *Pichia pastoris* has been reported to remove ZEN from culture medium (Wang and Xie, 2020). Gao *et al.* 2022 identify and describe the activity of the ZEN degrading enzyme from *Exophiala spinifera*, ZHD_LD. Recently, microbial strains that are able to degrade ZEN have been isolated, and subsequently genes like ZHD101, ZLHY-6, and ZEN-jjm, as well as ZHD518 have been cloned (Cheng *et al.*, 2010). ZHD101 is one of the recombinant enzymes derived from *Clonostachys rosea* that degrades ZEN (Yang *et al.*, 2017).

Wang *et al.* (2018) reported that the lactonohydrolase Zhd518 enzyme in *E. coli* has high biodegrading ability against ZEN in food and feed industries. There is a study that shows RmZHD, a ZEN hydrolyzing enzyme from *Rhinocladiella mackenziei*, has the ability to degrade ZEN (Zhou *et al.* 2020). Recombinant Prx (peroxiredoxin), a cloned gene from *Acinetobacter* sp. SM04 expressed in *E. coli*, has the ability to degrade ZEN in the presence of hydrogen peroxide (Yu *et al.*, 2012). It has been reported that laccase enzymes that are found on bacterial and yeast cells have the ability to degrade mycotoxins (Guo *et al.*, 2020). Song *et al.* 2021 show the laccase gene obtained from the fungus *P. pulmonarius* has an enzymatic property to degrade zearalenone when it was expressed in the *Pichia pastoris* X33 yeast strain by producing recombinant protein.

Studies have shown that laccase enzymes are considered to be an effective zearalenone toxicity antidote. Furthermore, *Pleurotus eryngii* laccase enzyme can degrade aflatoxin B1, ochratoxin A, zearalenone, and other mycotoxins (Wu *et al.*, 2021). A gene ZENC, zearalenone lactonase gene from *Neurospora crassa*, is expressed in *P. pastoris*. It had a maximal enzyme activity when fermented using high density fermentation at pH 8 and a temperature of 45 °C. Furthermore, ZENC was also found to be effective in ZEN containing feed materials with a high degradation rate (Bi *et al.*, 2018).

Garcia *et al.* (2018) also reported that the peroxidase enzyme has the ability to degrade zearalenone concentrations. According to the study, fusion of multifunctional recombinant enzymes ZHDCP with genes of ZEN hydrolases and carboxypeptidases has the ability to detoxify zearalenone in 2 hours at pH and temperature of 35 °C (Azam *et al.* 2019). Many studies shows that enzymes can able to degrade zearalenone as expressed table 2 (Table 2).

Conclusions

The severe impact of zealarenone on animals and humans' health, present in contaminated food and feed, has received global attention. Many approaches have been established for the removal of ZEN. Biodegradation is considered the safest approach because it degrades toxins without residual toxic substances. Recent research shows the development of recombinant microorganisms and recombinant enzymes to detoxify ZEN in foods and feeds. However, the health impacts of recombinant enzymes are not clearly described. Currently, biodegradation of zealarenone is laboratory-based. The commercial scale of biodegradation needs further studies. Further interdisciplinary studies concerning gene cloning, genetic modification of microorganisms, and the development of recombinant enzymes are promising approaches for safe zealarenone degradation. Future study should pay particular attention to the effects of toxin levels close to those experienced by humans, the choice of animal models, and the application of pathogenic mechanisms that differ greatly from humans. The emergence of microbial and enzyme preparations is quickly approaching the point at which it can be industrialized. The promise of these techniques for lessening the effects of mycotoxins in food and feed still need more study.

References

- Adunphatcharaphon S, Petchkongkaew A, Visessanguan W. 2021.** *In vitro* mechanism assessment of zearalenone removal by plant-derived *Lactobacillus plantarum* BCC 47723. *Toxins* 13: 286 DOI [10.3390/toxins13040286](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins13040286).
- Ahn JY, Kim J, Cheong DH, Hong H, Jeong JY, Kim BG. 2022.** An In Vitro Study on the Efficacy of Mycotoxin Sequestering Agents for Aflatoxin B1, Deoxynivalenol, and Zearalenone. *Animals* 12(3):333 DOI [10.3390/ani12030333](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12030333).
- Arroyo-Manzanares N, Campillo N, López-García I, Hernández-Córdoba M, Viñas P. 2021.** High-Resolution mass spectrometry for the determination of mycotoxins in biological samples. A review. *Microchemical Journal* 166:106197 DOI [10.1016/j.microc.2021.106197](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2021.106197).
- Azam MS, Yu D, Liu, N. and Wu, A. 2019.** Degrading ochratoxin A and zearalenone mycotoxins using a multifunctional recombinant enzyme. *Toxins* 11(5):301 DOI [10.3390/toxins11050301](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins11050301)

- 224 **Bi K, Zhang W, Xiao Z, Zhang D, 2018.** Characterization, expression and application of
225 a zearalenone degrading enzyme from *Neurospora crassa*. *AMB Express* 8:194
226 [DOI 10.1186/s13568-018-0723-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-018-0723-z)
- 227 **Bin YS, Zheng HC, Xu JY, Zhao XY, Shu WJ, Li XM, Song H, Ma YH. 2021.** New
228 biotransformation mode of zearalenone identified in *Bacillus subtilis* Y816
229 revealing a novel ZEN conjugate. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*
230 69:7409–7419 [DOI 10.1021/acs.jafc.1c01817](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.1c01817).
- 231 **Bouajila A, Lamine M, Hamdi Z, Ghorbel A, Gangashetty P. 2022.** A Nutritional
232 Survey of Local Barley Populations Based on the Mineral Bioavailability, Fatty
233 Acid Profile, and Geographic Distribution of *Fusarium* Species and the Mycotoxin
234 Zearalenone (ZEN). *Agronomy* 12(4):916 [DOI 10.3390/agronomy12040916](https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12040916)
- 235 **Chang X, Liu H, Sun J, Wang J, Zhao C, Zhang W, Zhang J, Sun C. 2020.**
236 Zearalenone removal from corn oil by an enzymatic strategy. *Toxins (basel)* 12:1–
237 14 [DOI 10.3390/toxins12020117](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins12020117).
- 238 **Chen S, Pan L, Liu S, Pan L, Li X, Wang B. 2021.** Recombinant expression and surface
239 display of a zearalenone lactonohydrolase from *Trichoderma aggressivum* in
240 *Escherichia coli*. *Protein Expression and Purification* 187:105933 [DOI](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pep.2021.105933)
241 [10.1016/j.pep.2021.105933](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pep.2021.105933).
- 242 **Chen SW, Hsu JT, Chou YA, Wang HT. 2018.** The application of digestive tract lactic
243 acid bacteria with high esterase activity for zearalenone detoxification. *Journal of*
244 *the Science of Food and Agriculture* 98(10):3870-3879 [DOI 10.1002/jsfa.8904](https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8904).
- 245 **Cheng B, Shi W, Luo J, Peng F, Wan C, Wei H. 2010.** Cloning of zearalenone-degraded
246 enzyme gene (ZEN-jjm) and its expression and activity analysis. *Journal of*
247 *Agricultural Biotechnology* 18(2):225-230 [DOI 10.3969/j.issn.1674-](https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1674-7968.2010.02.004)
248 [7968.2010.02.004](https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1674-7968.2010.02.004)
- 249 **Cho KJ, Kang JS, Cho WT, Lee CH, Ha JK, Song KB. 2010.** In vitro degradation of
250 zearalenone by *Bacillus subtilis*. *Biotechnology Letters* 32(12):1921-1924 [DOI](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-010-0373-y)
251 [10.1007/s10529-010-0373-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-010-0373-y)
- 252 **Deng T, Yuan QS, Zhou T, Guo LP, Jiang WK, Zhou SH, Yang CG, Kang CZ. 2021.**
253 Screening of zearalenone-degrading bacteria and analysis of degradation conditions.
254 *Zhongguo Zhong yao za zhi= Zhongguo Zhongyao Zazhi= China Journal of Chinese*
255 *Materia Medica*.1;46(20):5240-6. [DOI 10.19540/j.cnki.cjcmm.20210716.101](https://doi.org/10.19540/j.cnki.cjcmm.20210716.101)
- 256 **Feng Y, Huang Y, Zhan H, Bhatt P, Chen S. 2020.** An overview of strobilurin fungicide
257 degradation: current status and future perspective. *Frontiers in Microbiology*
258 11:389 [DOI 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00389](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00389)

- 259 **Fu G, Ma J, Wang L, Yang X, Liu J, Zhao X. 2016.** Effect of degradation of
260 zearalenone-contaminated feed by *Bacillus licheniformis* CK1 on postweaning
261 female piglets. *Toxins* 8(10):300 DOI 10.3390/toxins8100300
- 262 **Gajęcka M, Majewski MS, Zielonka Ł, Grzegorzewski W, Onyszek E, Lisieska-**
263 **Żolnierczyk. 2021.** Concentration of Zearalenone, Alpha-Zearalenol and Beta-
264 Zearalenol in the Myocardium and the Results of Isometric Analyses of the
265 Coronary Artery in Prepubertal Gilts. *Toxins* 13(6):396 DOI
266 10.3390/toxins13060396
- 267 **Gao D, Cao X, Ren H, Wu L, Yan Y, Hua R, Xing W, Lei M, Liu J. 2022.**
268 Immunotoxicity and uterine transcriptome analysis of the effect of zearalenone
269 (ZEA) in sows during the embryo attachment period. *Toxicology Letters*. 1;357:33-
270 42. DOI 10.1016/j.toxlet.2021.12.017
- 271 **Garcia SO, Feltrin AP, Garda-Buffon J. 2018.** Zearalenone reduction by commercial
272 peroxidase enzyme and peroxidases from soybean bran and rice bran. *Food*
273 *Additives & Contaminants* 35(9):1819-1831 DOI 10.1080/19440049.2018.1486044
- 274 **Greeff-Laubscher MR, Beukes I, Marais GJ, Jacobs K. 2020.** Mycotoxin production by
275 three different toxigenic fungi genera on formulated abalone feed and the effect of
276 an aquatic environment on fumonisins. *Mycology* 11(2):105-117 DOI
277 10.1080/21501203.2019.1604575
- 278 **Guan Y, Chen J, Nepovimova E, Long M, Wu W, Kuca K. 2021.** Aflatoxin
279 detoxification using microorganisms and enzymes. *Toxins* 13(1):46 DOI
280 10.3390/toxins13010046
- 281 **Guo Y, Qin X, Tang Y, Ma Q, Zhang J, Zhao L. 2020.** CotA laccase, a novel aflatoxin
282 oxidase from *Bacillus licheniformis*, transforms aflatoxin B1 to aflatoxin Q1 and
283 epi-aflatoxin Q1. *Food Chemistry* 325:126877 DOI
284 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126877
- 285 **Harčárová M, Čonková E, Nad' P, Proškovcová M. 2022.** Zearalenone Biodegradation
286 by the Spp. and Spp. *Folia Veterinaria* 66(1):70-74 DOI 10.2478/fv-2022-0008
- 287 **Harkai P, Szabó I, Cserhádi M, Krifaton C, Risa A, Radó J, Balázs A, Berta K, Kriszt**
288 **B. 2016.** Biodegradation of aflatoxin-B1 and zearalenone by *Streptomyces* sp.
289 collection. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*. 1;108:48-56. DOI
290 10.1016/j.ibiod.2015.12.007
- 291 **Hathout AS, Aly SE. 2014.** Biological detoxification of mycotoxins: A review. *Annal*
292 *Microbiology* 64(3):905-919 DOI 10.1007/s13213-014-0899-7

- 293 **Hsu TC, Yi PJ, Lee TY, Liu JR. 2018.** Probiotic characteristics and zearalenone-removal
294 ability of a *Bacillus licheniformis* strain. *PloS one* 13(4):0194866 DOI
295 [10.1371/journal.pone.0194866](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194866)
- 296 **Hui R, Hu X, Liu W, Zheng Y, Chen Y, Guo RT, Jin J, Chen CC. 2017.** Characterization
297 and crystal structure of a novel zearalenone hydrolase from *Cladophialophora*
298 *bantiana*. *Acta Crystallographica Section F: Structural Biology Communications*.
299 1;73(9):515-9. DOI [10.1107/S2053230X17011840](https://doi.org/10.1107/S2053230X17011840).
- 300 **Jia S, Ren C, Yang P, Qi D. 2022.** Effects of Intestinal Microorganisms on Metabolism
301 and Toxicity Mitigation of Zearalenone in Broilers. *Animals* 12(15):1962 DOI
302 [10.3390/ani12151962](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12151962)
- 303 **Ju J, Tinyiro SE, Yao W, Yu H, Guo Y, Qian H, Xie Y. 2019.** The ability of *Bacillus*
304 *subtilis* and *Bacillus natto* to degrade zearalenone and its application in food.
305 *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*. **43(10):e14122**. DOI
306 [10.1111/jfpp.14122](https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.14122)
- 307 **Juodeikiene G, Bartkiene E, Cernauskas D, Cizeikiene D, Zadeike D, Lele V,**
308 **Bartkevics V. 2018.** Antifungal activity of lactic acid bacteria and their application
309 for *Fusarium* mycotoxin reduction in malting wheat grains. *Lwt*. 1;89:307-14. DOI
310 [10.1016/j.lwt.2017.10.061](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2017.10.061)
- 311 **Kabak B, Dobson AD, Var IL. 2006.** Strategies to prevent mycotoxin contamination of
312 food and animal feed: a review. *Critical Review Food Science and Nutrition*
313 46(8):593-619 DOI [10.1080/10408390500436185](https://doi.org/10.1080/10408390500436185)
- 314 **Keller L, Abrunhosa L, Keller K, Rosa CA, Cavaglieri L, Venâncio A. 2015.**
315 Zearalenone and its derivatives α -zearalenol and β -zearalenol decontamination by
316 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains isolated from bovine forage. *Toxins* 7(8):3297-
317 3308 DOI [10.3390/toxins7083297](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins7083297)
- 318 **Liu C, Chang J, Wang P, Yin Q, Huang W, Dang X, Lu F, Gao T. 2019.** Zearalenone
319 biodegradation by the combination of probiotics with cell-free extracts of
320 *Aspergillus oryzae* and its mycotoxin-alleviating effect on pig production
321 performance. *Toxins*. **11(10):552**. DOI [10.3390/toxins11100552](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins11100552)
- 322 **Liu L, Xie M, Wei D. 2022.** Biological Detoxification of Mycotoxins: Current Status and
323 Future Advances. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 23(3):1064 DOI
324 [10.3390/ijms23031064](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23031064).
- 325 **Loi M, Fanelli F, Liuzzi VC, Logrieco AF, Mulè G. 2017.** Mycotoxin biotransformation
326 by native and commercial enzymes: Present and future perspectives. *Toxins*
327 9(4):111 DOI [10.3390/toxins9040111](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins9040111)

- 328 **Luo Y, Liu X, Yuan L, Li J. 2020.** Complicated interactions between bio-adsorbents and
329 mycotoxins during mycotoxin adsorption: Current research and future prospects.
330 *Trends Food Science and Technology* 96:127-134 DOI 10.1016/j.tifs.2019.12.012
- 331 **Møller CO, Freire L, Rosim RE, Margalho LP, Balthazar CF, Franco LT, Sant’Ana**
332 **AD, Corassin CH, Rattray FP, Oliveira CA. 2021.** Effect of lactic acid bacteria
333 strains on the growth and aflatoxin production potential of *Aspergillus parasiticus*,
334 and their ability to bind aflatoxin B1, ochratoxin A, and zearalenone in vitro.
335 *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 22;12: 655386. DOI 10.3389/fmicb.2021.655386
- 336 **Nahle S, El Khoury A, Savvaidis I, Chokr A, Louka N, Atoui, A. 2022.** Detoxification
337 approaches of mycotoxins: by microorganisms, biofilms and enzymes.
338 *International Journal of Food Contamination* 9(1):1-14 DOI 10.1186/s40550-022-
339 00089-2
- 340 **Navale VD, Vamkudoth K. 2022.** Toxicity and preventive approaches of *Fusarium*
341 derived mycotoxins using lactic acid bacteria: state of the art. *Biotechnology Letters*
342 1-16 DOI 10.1007/s10529-022-03293-4
- 343 **Pan Y, Liu C, Yang J, Tang Y. 2022.** Conversion of zearalenone to β -zearalenol and
344 zearalenone-14, 16-diglucoside by *Candida parapsilosis* ATCC 7330. *Food*
345 *Control* 131:108429 DOI 10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.108429
- 346 **Qin X, Xin Y, Su X, Wang X, Wang Y, Zhang J, Tu T, Yao B, Luo H, Huang H. 2021.**
347 Efficient degradation of zearalenone by dye-decolorizing peroxidase from
348 *Streptomyces thermocarboxydus* combining catalytic properties of manganese
349 peroxidase and laccase. *Toxins*. 13(9):602. DOI 10.3390/toxins13090602.
- 350 **Ragoubi C, Quintieri L, Greco D, Mehrez A, Maatouk I, D’Ascanio V, 2021.**
351 Mycotoxin removal by *Lactobacillus* spp. and their application in animal liquid
352 feed. *Toxins* 13(3):185 DOI 10.3390/toxins13030185
- 353 **Rogowska A, Pomastowski P, Sagandykova G, Buszewski B. 2019.** Zearalenone and its
354 metabolites: Effect on human health, metabolism and neutralisation methods.
355 *Toxicon* 162:46-56 DOI 10.1016/j.toxicon.2019.03.004
- 356 **Ropejko K, Twarużek M. 2021.** Zearalenone and its metabolites-general overview,
357 occurrence, and toxicity. *Toxins* 13(1):35 DOI 10.3390/toxins13010035
- 358 **Singh K, Kumari A. 2022.** Traditional Mycotoxins and Their Health Implications.
359 *Mycotoxins and Mycotoxicoses* 27-64 DOI 10.1007/978-981-19-2370-8_3
- 360 **Song Y, Wang Y, Guo Y, Qiao Y, Ma Q, Ji C, et al. 2021.** Degradation of zearalenone
361 and aflatoxin B1 by Lac2 from *Pleurotus pulmonarius* in the presence of mediators.
362 *Toxicon* 201:1-8 DOI 10.1016/j.toxicon.2021.08.003

- Średnicka P, Juszczuk-Kubiak E, Wójcicki M, Akimowicz M, Roszko M. 2021.** Probiotics as a biological detoxification tool of food chemical contamination: A review. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 153:112306 DOI [10.1016/j.fct.2021.112306](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2021.112306)
- Tang Y, Liu C, Yang J, Peng X. 2022.** A novel enzyme synthesized by *Acinetobacter* sp. SM04 is responsible for zearalenone biodegradation. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry* 86:209–216 DOI [10.1093/bbb/zbab204](https://doi.org/10.1093/bbb/zbab204).
- Tang Y, Xiao J, Chen Y, Yu Y, Xiao X, Yu Y. 2013.** Secretory expression and characterization of a novel peroxiredoxin for zearalenone detoxification in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Microbiology Research* 168:6–11 DOI [10.1016/j.micres.2012.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2012.08.002)
- Vega MF, Dieguez SN, Riccio B, Aranguren S, Giordano A, Denzoin L. 2017.** Zearalenone adsorption capacity of lactic acid bacteria isolated from pigs. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* 48:715-723 DOI [10.1016/j.bjm.2017.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjm.2017.05.001)
- Wang G, Yu M, Dong F, Shi J, Xu J. 2017.** Esterase activity inspired selection and characterization of zearalenone degrading bacteria *Bacillus pumilus* ES-21. *Food Control* 77:57-64 DOI [10.1016/j.foodcont.2017.01.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2017.01.021)
- Wang J, Xie Y. 2020.** Review on microbial degradation of zearalenone and aflatoxins. *GOST* 3(3):117-125 DOI [10.1016/j.gaost.2020.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaost.2020.05.002)
- Wang JQ, Yang F, Yang PL, Liu J, Lv ZH. 2018.** Microbial reduction of zearalenone by a new isolated *Lysinibacillus* sp. ZJ-2016-1. *World Mycotoxin Journal* 11(4):571-578 DOI [10.3920/WMJ2017.2264](https://doi.org/10.3920/WMJ2017.2264)
- Wang N, Wu W, Pan J, Long M. 2019.** Detoxification strategies for zearalenone using microorganisms: A review. *Microorganisms* 7(7):208 DOI [10.3390/microorganisms7070208](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7070208)
- Wu N, Ou W, Zhang Z, Wang Y, Xu Q, Huang H. 2021.** Recent advances in detoxification strategies for zearalenone contamination in food and feed. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering* 30:168-177 DOI [10.1016/j.cjche.2020.11.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjche.2020.11.011)
- Xia Y, Wu Z, He R, Gao Y, Qiu Y, Cheng Q. 2021.** Simultaneous degradation of two mycotoxins enabled by a fusion enzyme in food-grade recombinant *Kluyveromyces lactis*. *Bioresources Bioprocess* 8:1–11 DOI [10.1186/s40643-021-00395-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40643-021-00395-1).
- Xiang L, Wang Q, Zhou Y, Yin L, Zhang G, Ma Y. 2016.** High-level expression of a ZEN-detoxifying gene by codon optimization and biobrick in *Pichia pastoris*. *Microbiology Research* 193:48–56 DOI [10.1016/j.micres.2016.09.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2016.09.004)

- Xu H, Wang L, Sun J, Wang L, Guo H, Ye Y. 2022.** Microbial detoxification of mycotoxins in food and feed. *Critical Review Food Science and Nutrition* 62(18):4951-4969 DOI 10.1080/10408398.2021.1879730
- Xu J, Wang H, Zhu Z, Ji F, Yin X, Hong Q. 2016.** Isolation and characterization of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* ZDS-1: Exploring the degradation of Zearalenone by *Bacillus* spp. *Food Control* 68:244-250 DOI 10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.03.030
- Yadav R, Yadav P, Singh G, Kumar S, Dutt R, Pandey AK. 2021.** Non-infectious Causes of Abortion in Livestock Animals-A. *International Journal of Livestock Research* 11(2):1-13 DOI 10.5455/ijlr.20201031015650
- Yang WC, Hsu TC, Cheng KC, Liu JR. 2017.** Expression of the Clonostachys rosea lactonohydrolase gene by *Lactobacillus reuteri* to increase its zearalenone-removing ability. *Microbial Cell Factories* 16(1):1-11 DOI 10.1186/s12934-017-0687-8
- Yli-Mattila T, Yörü E, Abbas A, Teker T. 2022.** Overview on Major Mycotoxins Accumulated on Food and Feed. In *Fungal Biotechnology Prospects and Avenues* 310-343. CRC Press DOI 10.1201/9781003248316-16
- Yu Y, Wu H, Tang Y, Qiu L. 2012.** Cloning, expression of a peroxiredoxin gene from *Acinetobacter* sp. SM04 and characterization of its recombinant protein for zearalenone detoxification. *Microbiology Research* 167(3):121-126 DOI 10.1016/j.micres.2011.07.004
- Zhou J, Zhu L, Chen J, Wang W, Zhang R, Li Y, Zhang Q, Wang W. 2020.** Degradation mechanism for Zearalenone ring-cleavage by Zearalenone hydrolase RmZHD: A QM/MM study. *Science of The Total Environment*. 709:135897. DOI 10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2019.135897
- Zhu Y, Drouin P, Lepp D, Li XZ, Zhu H, Castex M, Zhou T. 2021.** A novel microbial zearalenone transformation through phosphorylation. *Toxins*. 13(5):294. DOI 10.3390/toxins13050294

Table 1(on next page)

Recent research that shows microorganisms used for the degradation of zearalenone (ZEN

1 Table 1: Recent research that shows microorganisms used for the degradation of
2 zearalenone (ZEN)

Food source or media used	Strain	ZEN concentration	Degradation range	References
Liquid LB medium	<i>Streptomyces rimosus</i> (K145, K189)	1 µg mL ⁻¹	100%	Harkai <i>et al.</i> (2016)
Feed	<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> CK1	1.20 ± 0.11, 0.47 ± 0.22 mg/kg	Can degrade ZEN	Fu <i>et al.</i> , (2016)
Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry and Thin layer chromatography	<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	20 µg/mL	Decreased by 97%	Pan <i>et al.</i> , (2022)
Potassium phosphate buffer	<i>Lact. plantarum</i> 3QB361	2 µg/mL	82%	Møller <i>et al.</i> (2021)
Aqueous solution	<i>Lact. plantarum</i> BCC 47723	0.2 µg/mL	0.5%–23%	Adunphatcharaphon <i>et al.</i> (2021)
Culture medium/liquid food /solid-state fermentation	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> <i>Bacillus natto</i>	20ug/mL; 1 mg/kg; 20 µg/mL	100% and 87% 65, 73%/75%, 70%	Ju <i>et al.</i> , (2019)
Nutrient broth	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Candida utilis</i> , <i>Aspergillus oryzae</i>	1 µg/mL	92.27% <i>A. oryzae</i> . combined form can degrade 95.15%	Liu <i>et al.</i> , (2019)
Malting wheat grains with bacterial suspension	<i>P. acidilactici</i>	19.5–873.7 µg/L	38.0%	Juodeikiene <i>et al.</i> , (2018)
LB medium and simulated gastric fluid (GSF)	<i>Bacillus cereus</i> BC7	10 mg/L	100% and 89.31%	Wang <i>et al.</i> , (2018)
Corn meal medium	<i>B. licheniformis</i> CK1	5 µg/mL	73%	Hsu <i>et al.</i> , (2018)
Culture medium	<i>Bacillus pumilus</i> ES 21	17.9 mg/ml	95.7%	Wang <i>et al.</i> , (2017)
MRS broth	<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i>	200 µg/mL	Showed the highest adsorption (68.2%)	Vega <i>et al.</i> , (2017)
MRS broth	<i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i> ZJ316	5 mg/L	highest ZEA degradation ability	Chen <i>et al.</i> (2018)
The LB medium	<i>Acinetobacter calcoaceticus</i>	5 µg/mL	85.77%	(Deng <i>et al.</i> (2021)
HPLC-TOF-MS and NMR	<i>B. subtilis</i> Y816	40 mg/L	Transform of ZEN within 7 hour	Bin <i>et al.</i> (2021)
Cell suspensions on MRS agar	<i>Lb. fermentum</i> 2I3, <i>Lb. reuteri</i> L26, <i>Lb. plantarum</i> L81, <i>Lb. reuteri</i> , <i>Lb. plantarum</i> CCM 1904,	0.01 ppm	(57.9—100)%	Harčárová <i>et al</i> (2022)
Cell suspensions on MRS agar	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> CCM 2794	0.01 ppm	11.7 %	Harčárová <i>et al</i> (2022)

Table 2(on next page)

Enzymatic degradation of zearalenone (ZEN

1 Table 2: Enzymatic degradation of zearalenone (ZEN)

2

Enzymes name	Source	Expression System	Degrading properties	References
Peroxiredoxin	<i>Acinetobacter</i> sp. SM04	<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	Optimal activity at pH 9.0, 80 °C and H ₂ O ₂ concentration of 20 mmol/L Thermal stable, alkali resistance	(Tang <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
Lactone hydrolase ZHD	<i>Gliocladium roseum</i>	<i>P. pastoris</i>	Enzyme activity in flask fermentation was 22.5 U/mL and specific activity of 4976.5 U/mg. Maximum enzyme activity of the supernatant was 150.1 U/ml in 5-L fermenter	(Xiang <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
Cb ZHD	<i>C. rosea</i>	<i>Cladophialophora bantiana</i>	Optimal enzyme activity at temperature 35 °C and pH 8	(Hui <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
Lactonohydrolase	<i>Clonostachys rosea</i>	<i>Lactobacillus reuteri</i> Pg4	Not affect cell growth, acid and bile salt tolerance	(Yang <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
Lactonohydrolase Zhd518	<i>Clonostachys rosea</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	Activity of 207.0 U/mg with optimal temperature 40 °C and pH 8.	(Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
Lactonase	<i>Neurospora crassa</i>	<i>P. pastoris</i>	Optimal activity at pH 8.0 and 45°C, stable at pH 6.0–8.0 for 1 h at 37 °C, Maximal enzyme activity at 290.6 U/mL in 30-L fermenter	(Bi <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
Lactonehydrolase ZENC	<i>Neurospora crassa</i>	<i>P. pastoris</i>	99.75% of ZEN (20 µg/ml) was degraded at pH 8.0, 45 °C for 15 min	(Bi <i>et al.</i> , 2018)
Fusion ZHDCP enzyme	<i>C. rosea</i> <i>B.amyloliquefaciens</i> ASAG	<i>E. coli</i>	100% degradation rate at pH 7 and 30 °C	(Azam <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
ZLHY-6	<i>Pichia pastoris</i>	<i>P. pastoris</i> GSZ	low nutrient loss safe removal of ZEN	(Chang <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
<i>lac2</i>	<i>Pleurotus pulmonarius</i>	<i>P. pastoris</i> X33	Lac2-ABTS and Lac2-AS degrade ZEN at optimum pH 3.5 and temperature 55 °C of recombinant <i>Lac2</i>	(Song <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
Lactonohydrolase	<i>Trichoderma aggressivum</i>	<i>E. coli</i> BL21	With superior pH stability, the surface exhibit ZHD-P retained 80% activity	(Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
ZPF1	<i>C. rosea</i> fused with <i>Phanerochaete chrysosporium</i>	<i>Khyveromyces lactis</i> GG799	ZEN degraded up to 46.21% ±3.17%	(Xia <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
DyP	<i>Streptomyces thermocarboxydus</i> 41291	<i>E. coli</i> BL21	ZEN was degraded slightly by StDyP	(Qin <i>et al.</i> , 2021)
Ase	<i>Acinetobacter</i> Sp	<i>E. coli</i> BL21	Degraded 88.4% of ZEN (20 µg/mL)	(Tang <i>et al.</i> , 2022)

3

4

5