

Prediction models of macro-nutrient content in plant organs of *Cucumis melo* in response to soil elements using support vector regression

Abbas Keshtehgar¹, Mahdi Dahmardeh¹, Ahmad Ghanbari¹, Issa Khammari¹

¹ Department of Agronomy, University of Zabol, Zabol, Sistan and Baluchestan, Iran

Corresponding Author:

Mahdi Dahmardeh¹

University Street, Zabol, Sistan and Baluchestan, 98613-35856, Iran

Email address: dr.dahmardeh@uoz.ac.ir

Abstract

Background. Undoubtedly, the importance of food and food security as one of the present and future challenges are not invisible to anyone. Nowadays, development methods for monitoring the nutrient content and their status in crop products is a ministerial issue for implementing reasonable and logical soil properties management. Modeling as a new method has the capability of evaluating the soil properties of fields so could study the subject of crop yield through soil management.

Methodology. In the spring of 2020, this study was down as a factorial test in the form of a randomized complete block design with three replications. The first factor was the use of fertilizers in six levels: no fertilizer (control), cow manure (30 t ha⁻¹), sheep manure (30 t ha⁻¹), nanobiomic foliar application (2 l ha⁻¹), silicone foliar application (3 l ha⁻¹), and chemical fertilizer from urea, triple superphosphate, and potassium sulfate sources (200, 100, and 150 kg ha⁻¹). In addition, four levels of vermicompost were considered as the second factor: no vermicompost (control), 5, 10, and 15 t ha⁻¹. Input data sets such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium levels in seeds, fruits, leaves, and roots were calibrated using the SVR structure.

Results. According to the results, when the data sets of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium in fruit, were used as input, the **accuracy** of these models was higher than 80.0% ($R^2= 0.807$ for predicting fruit nitrogen; $R^2= 0.999$ for fruit phosphorus; $R^2= 0.968$ for fruit potassium). Likewise, the ratio of prediction performance to deviation (RPD) obtained from the models ranged from 2.017 for predicting fruit nitrogen and 5.17 for fruit potassium to 27.95 for fruit

phosphorus content. According to the results of the prediction models in response to soil elements, the best soil nitrogen content ranged from 0.05 to 1.1%, soil phosphorus from 10 to 59 mg kg⁻¹, and soil potassium from 180 to 320 mg kg⁻¹, which offers a better content in the prediction models. Likewise, the best fruit nitrogen content ranged from 1.27 to 4.33%, fruit phosphorus from 15.74 to 26.19%, and fruit potassium from 15.19 to 19.67% obtained by 15 t ha⁻¹ of vermicompost using NPK chemical fertilizers.

Conclusions. Because the macro-nutrient content in fruit had the highest contribution in prediction than actual values, thus identified as the best model compared to other models in response to soil elements. Based on our findings, the importance of fruit phosphorus was identified as a determinant that strongly influenced melon prediction models. More significant values of soil elements do not affect increasing macro-nutrient content in plant organs, and excessive application may not be economical. Therefore, our studies provide an efficient approach with potentially high accuracy to estimate macro-nutrient content in fruits of *Cucumis melo* in response to soil elements and hence caused a saving in the amount of fertilizer during the growing season.

Key words Macro-nutrients, Melon, Prediction model, Soil elements, Support vector regression

Introduction

Melon (*Cucumis melo* L.), a member of the *Cucurbitaceae* family, is one of the most important vegetable crops worldwide. The major melon producers are China, Turkey, Iran, India, Kazakhstan, and the United States of America (FAO, 2018). *Cucumis melo* L. (2n=2x=24) has grown in various geographical areas of Iran from historical times (Munger & Robinson, 1991). Based on archaeological evidence, Iran has been an important center of domestication since 5000 years ago (Bisognin, 2002). It is a common crop consumed by many Iranians, especially during the hot summer. Melon is the most polymorphic species of the cucurbit family, which is particularly true for fruit-related traits (Luan *et al.*, 2010).

In most melons that belong to the *Cucurbitaceae* family, nutrient requirements and NPK ratio vary significantly, depending on the melon type and cultivar, soil mineral status, and the crop developmental stage (Deus *et al.*, 2015; Chen *et al.*, 2019). Nitrogen is the most needed mineral nutrient in all cropping systems due to its ministerial role in the biochemical and physiological processes of the plant (Pourranjbari Saghaiesh, Souri & Moghaddam, 2019). Nitrogen is essential during the vegetative phase for the ~~buildup~~ build-up of the adequate canopy and leaf area to ensure yield capacity. However, excess nitrogen availability during the reproductive phase promotes undesired competition between fruit and vegetation ~~that~~ which might reduce produce quality (Ferrante *et al.*, 2008). Likewise, phosphorus is another ministerial mineral nutrient that has different roles in plant functional metabolism (Pourranjbari Saghaiesh, Souri &

Comentario [RD1]: Do macro-nutrients exist in the soil or models? What this means?

68 Moghaddam, 2019). Thus, phosphorus is mainly required for seedling establishment (root
69 growth) and then at early reproductive steps (bloom and seed development) (Martuscelli *et al.*,
70 2016; Chen *et al.*, 2019). It is a fact that under poor soil conditions, nitrogen and phosphorus
71 fertilizers at low rates can develop plant root growth and **BNF efficiency?** (Pourranjbari
72 Saghaiesh, Souri & Moghaddam, 2019). Also, potassium is most efficient during the later stages
73 of fruit development, supporting sugar translocation and accumulation (Deus *et al.*, 2015;
74 Tränkner, Tavakol & Jákli, 2018).

Comentario [RD2]: Do it mean
Biological Nitrogen Fixation?

Con formato: Resaltar

75 Pourranjbari Saghaiesh, Souri & Moghaddam (2019) investigated the effects of nitrogen (N),
76 phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) levels in the nutrient solution on leaf mineral content and
77 enzyme activity in Khatouni melon (*Cucumis melo* var. *inodorus*) seedlings. According to the
78 findings, the leaf's highest N, P, and K **contents** were found ~~at the highest levels~~ in the nutrient
79 solution.

Con formato: Tachado

80 Modeling as a new strategy in farm management can improve performance and economic returns
81 by optimizing crop inputs (fertilizers and chemicals) and preserving the environment and energy
82 resources (water resources, etc.). The modeling technique has many benefits, including the
83 capacity to predict numerous soil parameters and perform measurements in labs (Viscarra Rossel
84 *et al.*, 2006) and farms, as well as the absence of chemicals needed (Stenberg *et al.*, 2007).
85 Farming product monitoring also allows farmers to carry out proper farming operations
86 throughout the growing season.

87 Accordingly, data-driven models are needed to efficiently link input data to the desired output
88 (Adeyemi *et al.*, 2018). The benefits of the support vector machine **are** identified over artificial
89 neural networks in many types of research, which has attracted much research attention (Jiang *et al.*,
90 2019). The structure and performance of support vector machines have been the main target
91 of many studies (Roodposhti, Safarrad & Shahabi, 2017).

92 Some researchers have used models such as support vector regression to estimate crop yield in
93 response to soil properties. Zhang *et al.* (2021) suggested a method for organ classification and
94 fruit counting on pomegranate trees based on multi-features fusion and support vector machine.
95 Their experiment results showed that the support vector machine classifier based on color and
96 shape features had an **accuracy of 0.75 for fruit and 0.99 for non-fruit.**

Comentario [RD3]: Is accuracy
referred as RMSE here?

Con formato: Resaltar

Con formato: Resaltar

97 The study of Esfandiarpour-Boroujeni *et al.* (2019) aimed to evaluate the performance of a
98 hybrid particle swarm optimization-imperialist competitive algorithm-support vector regression
99 (PSO-ICA-SVR) method to predict apricot yield and identify important factors in the Abarkuh,
100 Yazd, Iran. The validation results showed that the hybrid algorithm estimated apricot yield with
101 relatively high **accuracy?** (RMSE= 1.737 for training data and RMSE= 2.329 for testing
102 data). Likewise, Jeong *et al.* (2017) estimated the amount of organic matter, available potassium,

Comentario [RD4]: Root Mean Square
Error

Con formato: Resaltar

103 and soil available phosphorus using support vector machine models. They found that the
104 predicted and actual parameters had a strong correlation.

105 In the investigation of the data mining approach based on the chemical composition of grape skin
106 for quality evaluation and traceability prediction of grapes, a data mining algorithms comparison
107 study of grape-skin samples from five regions of Mendoza, Argentina, and builds classification
108 models capable of predicting provenance based on multi-elemental composition, were
109 developed. Support vector machines (SVM) and random forests (RF) were classifier techniques.
110 The best results were achieved for SVM and RF models, with 84% and 88.9% prediction
111 accuracy, respectively, on the 10-fold cross-validation. The RF variable importance showed that
112 Rb (rubidium) was the most relevant component for prediction (Canizo *et al.*, 2019).

Con formato: Resaltar

Comentario [RD5]: Is accuracy referred as RMSE here?

113 Tu *et al.* (2018) investigated tea cultivar classification and biochemical parameter estimation
114 from hyperspectral imagery obtained by UAV. Tea cultivars were classified according to the
115 spectral characteristics of the tea canopies. Furthermore, two major components influencing the
116 taste of tea, tea polyphenols (TP), and amino acids (AA), were predicted. The results showed that
117 the overall accuracy of tea cultivar classification achieved by the support vector machine is
118 higher than 95% with the proper spectral pre-processing method. The best results to predict the
119 TP and AA were achieved by partial least squares regression with standard normal variant
120 normalized spectra, and the ratio of TP to AA-which is one proven index for tea taste-achieved
121 the highest accuracy? ($R_{CV}=0.66$, $RMSE_{CV}=13.27$) followed by AA ($R_{CV}=0.62$, $RMSE_{CV}=$
122 1.16) and TP ($R_{CV}=0.58$, $RMSE_{CV}=10.01$).

Con formato: Resaltar

Con formato: Resaltar

123 Prediction of active ingredients in *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bunge. based on soil elements and
124 artificial neural network was performed by Liu *et al.* (2022). This study measured the active
125 ingredients in the roots of *S. miltiorrhiza* and the contents of rhizosphere soil elements from 25
126 production areas in eight provinces in China and used the data to develop a prediction model
127 based on BP (back-propagation) neural network. The results showed that the active ingredients
128 had different degrees of correlation with soil macronutrients and trace elements, and the
129 prediction model had the best performance ($MSE=0.0203$, 0.0164 ; $R^2=0.93$, 0.94).

Con formato: Resaltar

130 Mohamed *et al.* (2021) performed a field experiment to investigate the use of phosphorus
131 fertilizer source in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cultivated under salinity stress. The
132 response curve of total dry weight to different rates of phosphorus proved that the quadratic
133 model fit better than the linear model for phosphorus sources. The total dry weight was predicted
134 at 1.675 t ha^{-1} for superphosphate and 1.875 t ha^{-1} for urea phosphate when phosphorus using at
135 51.5 kg ha^{-1} , and 42.5 kg ha^{-1} , respectively. In conclusion, the 35.0 kg ha^{-1} phosphorus could be
136 considered the most efficient phosphorus level.

137 According to the studies accomplished is recognized small information on support vector
138 regression models to predict macro-nutrient content in *Cucumis melo* plant organs in response to

139 soil elements. Therefore, the present study aimed to determine: i) regression models to predict
140 macro-nutrient content in *Cucumis melo* plant organs in response to soil elements;
141 ii) **determinants** of macro-nutrient prediction; iii) the effect of soil elements on macro-
142 nutrient content in plant organs, and iv) optimization of the fertilizer used in a
143 cropping system, taking into account the levels of macro-nutrients in the plant organs and soil
144 elements.

Comentario [RD6]: Which is the idea on to determinate determinants of macro-nutrient prediction?

Con formato: Resaltar

145

146 **Materials and methods**

147 **Geographical location and meteorological information of the test site.** In the spring of 2020,
148 this study was conducted in two Fariman and Zahak counties. Fariman county is situated in
149 Northeastern Iran at 35°70'N and 59°85'E, at an altitude of 1403 meters above sea level, in the
150 hot and dry Mediterranean climates based on the Köppen classification (www.razavimet.ir).
151 Zahak County, too, is situated in Southeastern Iran at 30°89'N and 61°70'E, at an altitude of 483
152 meters above sea level in the hot and dry climates based on the Köppen classification
153 (www.irimo.ir).

154 **Preparation of soil samples.** Before starting the experiment, ten samples were randomly
155 collected from 0 to 30 ~~centimeters~~**centimetres** in depth to explore the chemical characteristics
156 and composition of the soil components. Table 1 shows the results of the soil sample test.

157 **Experimental design.** This study used the support vector regression (SVR) to predict models of
158 macro-nutrient content in *Cucumis melo* plant organs in response to soil elements affected by
159 different fertilizers as a factorial test in the form of a randomized complete block design with
160 three replications. The first factor was the use of fertilizers in six levels: no fertilizer (control),
161 cow manure (30 t ha⁻¹), sheep manure (30 t ha⁻¹), nanobiomic foliar application (2 l ha⁻¹), silicone
162 foliar application (3 l ha⁻¹), and chemical fertilizer from urea, triple superphosphate, and
163 potassium sulfate sources (200, 100, and 150 kg ha⁻¹). In addition, four levels of vermicompost
164 were considered as the second factor: no vermicompost (control), 5, 10, and 15 t ha⁻¹.

165 **Cultivation operation.** Before cultivation and in the fall, 30 t ha⁻¹ cow manure and 30 t ha⁻¹
166 rotted sheep manure were distributed on the field and mixed with soil via disk. To accelerate and
167 complete the decay process portion of 100 kg ha⁻¹ urea was added to livestock manure. Then,
168 vermicompost was distributed and mixed with soil. Vermicompost was prepared using livestock
169 manure and earthworm species in Zahak (Southeastern Iran) from the research farm of Zabol
170 University, Iran, and in Fariman (Northeastern Iran) from the Kaveh Support Services Company
171 in Mashhad, Iran. Table 1 shows the chemical properties and composition of elements in the
172 vermicompost fertilizer and livestock manure samples.

173 Field preparation and sowing occurred in the second half of February when the soil temperature
174 was sufficient (over 20 °C at both locations). The field was immediately laid out as a lister
175 planting so that the depth and width of the furrows were 50 and 60
176 ~~centimeters~~centimetres. Planting was done on both sides of the ridges. The width of the ridges
177 was 3 meters, and the distance between the rows was 70 ~~centimeters~~centimetres. Then, a portion
178 of 100 kg ha⁻¹ urea, 100 kg ha⁻¹ triple superphosphate, and 150 kg ha⁻¹ potassium ~~sulfate~~sulphate
179 were distributed and mixed with soil. Native melon seeds of the Khatouni variety were used
180 for sowing. 3 kg ha⁻¹ seed was required.

181 The first irrigation was carried out before seed sowing. The irrigation was gravity-leaky. The
182 seeds germinated using soil moisture and turned green within one week. At this time, the soil
183 was dried, and the second irrigation was carried out. The irrigation was done every five days,
184 except under certain conditions such as high temperatures for several days, which reduced the
185 irrigation distance every three days.

186 In the four-leaf stage, nanobiomic and silicone foliar applications were performed. Acetobacter,
187 bacillus, pseudomonas, azosprolium, 32% humic acid, 2% folic acid, 0.1% molybdenum, 12%
188 potassium, 0.36% magnesium, 4.3% manganese, 0.36% calcium, 10% zinc, 5.9% iron, and a
189 variety of acids were included in the nanobiomic biofertilizer. The silicon oxide formula is
190 employed as silica acid (H₄SiO₄) in a 30% weight and 36% by volume silicon foliar treatment.

191 **Harvesting operation.** On June 26 in ~~Southeastern~~South-eastern Iran (Zahak county) and
192 August 7 in ~~Northeastern~~North-eastern Iran (Fariman county), fruit harvesting operations were
193 conducted for one week following physiological ripening and detecting changes in color or
194 latticing on fruits. The samples were put in an Avon Digital (PTN 55, manufactured by Pars Teb
195 Novin, Iran) at 70°C for 48 hours to determine nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium content, and
196 their dry ash was provided. In the laboratory, nitrogen was investigated using Kjeldahl's (1883)
197 method, phosphorus using Olsen *et al.* (1954) method via spectrophotometer (UV-2100S
198 spectrophotometer, manufactured by Unico Company of America), and potassium using a flame
199 detector (PFP7 spectrophotometer, manufactured by Geno Company of United Kingdom).

200 **Modeling methods**

201 **Support vector machine (SVM).** Boser, Guyon & Vapnik (1992) presented the support vector
202 machine as a learning tool for both regression and classification. Over the next few years, they
203 offered an optimum superficial theory as a linear classifier and used kernel functions to develop
204 non-linear classifiers. Boser, Guyon & Vapnik (1992) developed the fundamental ideas that are
205 now known as the SVM. Finally, in 1995, Vapnik enhanced regression (Vapnik, 1995). The SVR
206 derives from statistical training theory for minimizing the risk structure (Vapnik, 1998). Data
207 classification issues are solved using the SVM classification model, while prediction problems
208 are solved using the SVR model.

Support vector regression (SVR). The accuracy of the performance function is a ministerial issue in probabilistic modeling approaches-based reliability analysis. The SVR is applied successfully in structural reliability analysis (Dai *et al.*, 2012) using the simulation reliability approaches (Sun *et al.*, 2017) and impotence sampling due to their efficiency and accuracy. Consequently, the hybrid SVR and conjugate form can provide efficient and accurate results of reliability analysis-based spermophagy, thus, the SVR modeling approach to build the structure of the nonlinear relation may be improved the accuracy in predicting the probabilistic model by the input random variables X . The SVR is structured as the below model in equation 1:

$$SP = b + \sum_{i=1}^N w_i K(x, x_i) \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

Where b is bias, and $K(x, x_i)$ represents the kernel function for transferring the input variables from real- space into N -dimensional feature space. Generally, the Gaussian kernel function uses for transferring the input data as follows in equation 2 (Brereton & Lloyd, 2010):

$$K(x, x_i) = \exp(-0.5 \|x - x_i\|^2 / \sigma^2) \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

Where σ is the kernel parameter that provides the smoothness of the kernel function, given as $\sigma = 0.5$. w_i is the weight to connect the input random data points in feature space and spermophagy (SP) for computing by use of two slack variables ξ_i, ξ_i^* by the following optimization problem in equation 3 (Lu, 2014):

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Minimise} \quad \frac{\|w\|^2}{2} + C \sum_{i=1}^N (\xi_i + \xi_i^*) \\ & \text{Subjected to} \quad \begin{cases} y_i - \langle w, K(x, x_i) \rangle > -b \leq \varepsilon + \xi_i \\ \langle w, K(x, x_i) \rangle > +b - y_i \leq \varepsilon + \xi_i^* \\ \xi_i, \xi_i^* \geq 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

In which factor $C \geq 0$ is the regularization coefficient given as $C=500$ and ε is the insensitive loss function given as $\varepsilon = 0.01$ in this study. The ε - insensitive loss function uses to neglect the calibrating process-based SVR when differences between the predicted and observed SP spermophagy are less than ε schematically shown in Fig. 1-A. The structure of SVR is presented in Fig. 1-B, and that the input data set (x) such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium in seeds, fruits, leaves, and roots are used to calibrate the probabilistic model of spermophagy (SP) using SVR.

Identification accuracy. The means of standard deviation (SD), coefficient of variation (CV), the root of mean square error (RMSE), mean absolute percentage error (MAPE), the ratio of

prediction performance to deviation (RPD), Pearson correlation coefficient (r), and coefficient of determination (R^2) were used to determine the accuracy of prediction models in this study.

Used software. Matlab V7.1 software (The Mathworks Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, USA) was used for regression analysis and prediction models of macro-nutrient content in *Cucumis melo* plant organs in response to soil elements. Also, excel software was used for drawing figures of the above-described parameters.

Results and discussion

Investigation of the model predicting plant nitrogen values. Based on the results, the statistical parameters of actual values input to the model are described in Table 2, and the predicted values obtained from the model are presented in Table 3. In Table 4, the results of fitting the predicted values of nitrogen in the seed, fruit, leaf, and the root of the melon compared to actual values in response to soil nitrogen are presented based on the SVR model.

According to the estimated parameters, the predicted fruit nitrogen values have the highest accuracy (RMSE= 0.122; MAPE= 7.01) in the model fitting, while the predicted leaf nitrogen values have the lowest accuracy (RMSE= 1.061; MAPE= 31.85). The RPD statistic evaluates the model's performance. Values less than 1.4, between 1.4 and 2, and greater than 2, respectively, show weak, acceptable, and excellent modeling performance (Chang *et al.*, 2001). Accordingly, the fruit nitrogen had an excellent performance (RPD= 2.017) in the model prediction, and the leaf nitrogen had a weak performance (RPD= 0.710). However, it is observed that the regression model obtained from leaf nitrogen values (R^2 = 0.832; Adjusted R^2 = 0.831; Beta= 0.912) was the most suitable prediction model followed by fruit nitrogen values (R^2 = 0.807; Adjusted R^2 = 0.805; Beta= 0.898). In contrast, the model obtained from root nitrogen (R^2 = 0.542; Adjusted R^2 = 0.539; Beta= 0.736) had the weakest performance in prediction. The closer these values are to number one, the model indicates the stronger correlation between the predicted values and the actual values. In other words, the regression model obtained from the prediction of leaf and fruit nitrogen can cover or express a higher percentage of actual values. It is also known that the coefficients of each variable are positive, and due to the significant value of each variable being smaller than 0.05 (Sig= 0.000 < 0.05), this is proof of the appropriateness of the obtained models. Any variable with a larger Beta is more important in the regression model. In this way, it is found that leaf nitrogen (Beta= 0.912) followed by fruit nitrogen (Beta= 0.898) will be the best variables for predicting plant nitrogen changes in response to soil nitrogen (Table 4). Seidel *et al.* (2019) used spectrometry to evaluate organic carbon and nitrogen of whole rangeland soils in Germany; these researchers used a simple regression model to estimate these soil properties and assessed organic carbon and total nitrogen with acceptable accuracy (R^2 = 0.65 and RPD= 2.7) and excellent accuracy (R^2 = 0.87 and RPD= 2.7), respectively.

Comentario [RD7]: Some of them are used as accuracy measures and others as goodness of fit criteria. I suggest this issue be clarified.

Table 4 presents the correlation between the actual values in plant organs and their predicted values using the support vector regression method. The results show the high potential of the support vector regression algorithm in predicting the actual values in plant organs. The predictive performance of the support vector regression algorithm for leaf and fruit nitrogen values is better than seed and root nitrogen values.

Fitting diagrams for predicted seed, fruit, leaf, and root nitrogen values compared to actual values in response to soil nitrogen are presented in Fig. 2, respectively. The regression line slope of diagrams for investigated plant nitrogen values in the SVR model is presented in these figures. The predicted nitrogen values in leaf and fruit had the lowest distance from the 1:1 line and the best fitting based on these results. The predicted nitrogen values in seed and root had the highest relative distance from the 1:1 line and the lowest accuracy. The scatter of dots in the figures indicates the models' accuracy in predicting the values of nitrogen output. Consequently, it can be found that there is a positive correlation between the data and the model having acceptable accuracy (Fig. 2).

Figure 3 presents the diagrams for plant nitrogen changes in response to soil nitrogen values. In general, by increasing soil nitrogen, the nitrogen content of different organs increases to maximize plants' growth. According to the results, the best soil nitrogen ranged between 0.05 and 1.1% to obtain the most accurate predictions of the crop's nitrogen content. According to the results of the predictions, the highest increase in crop nitrogen content in response to soil nitrogen content ranged from 3.04 to 9.18% for leaf nitrogen and from 1.27 to 4.33% for fruit nitrogen under NPK chemical fertilizers by using 15 t ha⁻¹ of vermicompost. Then, changes in root nitrogen content were predicted in the range of 1.017 to 2.90 % under NPK chemical fertilizers by 5 t ha⁻¹ of vermicompost. Also, changes in seed nitrogen content ranged from 1.93 to 7.39% under cow manure using 15 t ha⁻¹ of vermicompost (Fig. 3).

According to the performance evaluation of predicted models, the nitrogen content in leaves and fruits is better than that in seeds and roots, so they were found to be more suitable for crop monitoring. The predictions show that despite the error in soil measurements and the effectiveness of nitrogen values from a combination of different parameters related to the crop, there is a high linear correlation between the crop's nitrogen content and the soil nitrogen values. According to the diagrams, there was a slight difference between the predicted and the actual values. Since the crop's nitrogen content is closely related to soil nitrogen values, the actual values are approximately equal to the estimated nitrogen values. The observed incremental relationship between the crop's nitrogen content and soil nitrogen values is calculated by regression equations as shown in Fig. 3. The results of this study are consistent with those of Dotto *et al.* (2018).

Nitrogen is one of the macro-nutrients for plant growth, so determining its amount and changes in organic compounds is critical for evaluating the final fertilizer's value. Fertilization seems to

have increased the nitrogen content in seeds, fruits, leaves, and roots. Due to the similar trend of leaf and fruit nitrogen changes, this result indicates that under NPK chemical fertilizers by using 15 t ha⁻¹ of vermicompost, followed decomposition process of organic matter by microorganisms and earthworms, thus the nitrogen content of the plant vegetative body has increased, which by improving photosynthesis and retransfer of photosynthetic materials, more nitrogenous compounds have been transferred to the fruit and has increased the percentage of fruit nitrogen.

This result is consistent with other research findings. Tang *et al.* (2013) reported that the amount of nitrogen in citrus leaves was significantly related to the amount in the soil. In addition, increasing the yield of bitter cucumber with the application of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium fertilizers by Baset Mia *et al.* (2014) has been reported. The findings of other researchers also show the positive effect of vermicompost fertilizer on plant characteristics (Simon & Bababbo, 2015).

Investigation of the model predicting plant phosphorus values. The statistical parameters of the actual values input to the model are reported in Table 5 and the predicted values obtained from the model as reported in Table 6. Table 7 shows the results of fitting the predicted values of phosphorus in seed, fruit, leaf, and the root of the melon compared to actual values in response to soil phosphorus presented based on the SVR model.

The term "regression" refers to obtaining a hyperplane that fits the data. The distance of each dot from this hyperplane indicates the error of that particular dot. According to the predicted results, fruit phosphorus had the lowest error (RMSE= 0.228; MAPE= 0.38%); and leaf phosphorus had the highest error values (RMSE= 22.98; MAPE= 90.57%) in the model's fitting. Based on the ratio of performance to deviation results, fruit phosphorous had excellent performance (RPD= 27.95), and leaf phosphorus had weak performance (RPD= 0.208) in model prediction.

As can be seen, the regression coefficients calculated for the models obtained from phosphorous in seed, fruit, leaf, and root were 0.997, 0.999, 0.981, and 0.995, respectively. According to the obtained regression coefficients, fruit phosphorous ($R^2 = 0.999$) had the highest contribution in prediction than actual values, thus identified as the best model compared to other models. Also, based on the regression coefficients obtained from seed, fruit, leaf, and root phosphorous (0.998, 0.999, 0.991, 0.997, respectively), observed that there is a positive and significant linear correlation between the predicted and the actual values, indicating the success of the SVR model in predicting the changes in plant phosphorus compared to the actual values in response to soil phosphorus (Table 7).

After investigating the SVR models' accuracy and determining the general correlations between the data, the diagrams for the actual and predicted values of plant organs' phosphorus values were drawn (Fig. 4). The results show reliable modeling for support vector regression in predicting the content of the measured crop elements. The predicting models' performance of the

fruit phosphorus is better than leaf, root, and seed phosphorus. The results of the scatter diagram for each feature are presented in Fig. 4. Depending on the figures, actual and predicted values are scattered close to the 1:1 line. Consequently, it found a positive and strong correlation between the data by the high models' accuracy.

Figure 5 presents the diagram for changes in plant organs' phosphorus values in response to soil phosphorus. To achieve the optimum results in predicting the crop phosphorus, the most suitable soil phosphorus content was estimated between 10 to 59 mg kg⁻¹. According to the results obtained from the model's prediction, at first, the rate of the release of phosphorus from different fertilizer treatments was slow. However, gradually, after the decomposition of fertilizers used in the experiment by releasing nutrients and increasing the soil phosphorus content up to 38 mg kg⁻¹, the plant organs' phosphorus values increased to their maximum, then slightly decreased and followed at a constant rate. This pattern of changes is almost the same in all fertilizer and vermicompost treatments. Soil phosphorus content of up to 38 mg kg⁻¹ will be suitable and sufficient to supply the plant's agronomic needs. More soil phosphorus values do not affect increasing phosphorus content in plant organs, and more applications may not be economical.

According to the results, the highest increase in crop phosphorus content in response to soil phosphorus was predicted in the range of 15.74 to 26.19% for fruit phosphorus and 19.44 to 27.97% for leaf phosphorus under NPK chemical fertilizers by using 15 t ha⁻¹ of vermicompost. After that, changes in root phosphorus were predicted in the range of 15.47 to 25.67% under NPK chemical fertilizers by using 5 t ha⁻¹ of vermicompost. Also, changes related to the seed phosphorus were predicted in the range of 18.80 to 28.04% under use of cow manure by the use of 15 t ha⁻¹ of vermicompost (Fig. 5).

It can be found that the amount of soil phosphorus has caused the adjustment and reduction of the error in estimating the predicted values of phosphorus in the model compared to the actual values of plant organs.

Phosphorus is also another macro-nutrient that has different roles in plant metabolism (Pourranjbari Saghaiesh, Souri & Moghaddam, 2019). Thus, phosphorus is especially required for seedling establishment (root growth) and later on at early reproductive steps (bloom and seed development) (Martuscelli *et al.*, 2016; Chen *et al.*, 2019).

It seems that chemical fertilizers have increased the storage of phosphorus in the soil by providing soil phosphorus. Also, the use of 15 t ha⁻¹ of vermicompost in the field has increased the availability of phosphorus in the plant by increasing the decomposition of organic matter and mineralization of phosphorus in organic matter and their conversion into plant-usable form. Vermicompost increases phosphorus uptake by increasing phosphorus solubility by activating microorganisms by secreting organic acids or stimulating phosphatase activity (Busato *et al.*, 2012). Kakraliya *et al.* (2017), in the study of the nutritional and biological effects of

vermicompost on rice, stated that vermicompost increased the availability of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. Vermicompost can also increase the amount of absorbed phosphorus (Jumadi *et al.*, 2014).

Investigation of the model predicting plant potassium values. The statistical parameters of the actual values input to the model are presented in Table 8, and the predicted values obtained from the model as reported in Table 9. In Table 10, the results of fitting the predicted values of potassium in the seed, fruit, leaf, and the root of the melon compared to actual values in response to soil potassium are presented based on the SVR model.

The results obtained from the output of the regression models showed that leaf potassium with a coefficient of 0.984 and fruit potassium with a coefficient of 0.968 had the highest coefficient of determination (R^2), respectively, more accurately than other coefficients of determination. The coefficients of determination in root and seed potassium were 0.952 and 0.940, respectively. Accordingly, fruit potassium with the highest ratio of prediction performance to deviation (RPD= 5.174) showed better performance than other potassium values in the root (RPD= 4.420), seed (RPD= 3.577), and leaf (RPD= 0.148), respectively. In addition, in the model fitting, the root of the mean square error and the mean absolute percentage error in fruit potassium (RMSE= 0.465; MAPE= 1.77%) are less than the leaf potassium (RMSE= 12.148; MAPE= 132.11%). Based on these results, the regression model obtained from fruit potassium compared to leaf potassium minimized the error coefficients and performed better in estimating the coefficient of determination. It leads to more accuracy of the output models obtained from actual values in the plant organs in response to soil potassium (Table 10).

Figure 6 shows the actual values compared to the predicted values around the 1:1 line using the SVR model. As shown in Fig. 6, the data around the 1:1 line are well placed. The significance of the coefficient of determination for the regression line between actual and predicted values in leaf, fruit, root, and seed potassium with coefficients of 0.984, 0.968, 0.952, and 0.940 indicates the appropriate efficiency of this model to describe the trend of crop potassium changes in response to soil potassium (Fig. 6).

Figure 7 shows the diagram for changes in crop potassium values in response to soil potassium. To achieve the optimum results in predicting the crop potassium, the most suitable soil potassium ranged from 180 to 320 mg kg⁻¹. According to the obtained results, at the beginning of growth, because of potassium uptake by the plant, soil potassium decreased and showed a downward trend. However, gradually, after the decomposition of fertilizers used in the experiment by releasing nutrients and increasing the soil potassium up to 260-280 mg kg⁻¹, the plant organs' potassium values increased to their maximum, then slightly decreased due to the consumption by plant organs. The potassium increased again and reached its maximum in response to 320 mg kg⁻¹ of soil potassium. Only the amount of leaf potassium continued to decrease, which was probably due to the transfer of nutrients to the fruits and seeds (Fig. 7).

Because the potassium in leaf and fruit plays a ministerial role in estimating the crop's potassium content, they were identified as the best features in the final prediction of crop potassium values in response to soil potassium. According to the prediction results, the highest increase in crop potassium in response to soil potassium ranged from 15.19 to 19.67% for fruit potassium and 1.18 to 11.60% for leaf potassium under the NPK chemical fertilizer and the use of 15 t ha⁻¹ vermicompost. After that, changes related to the root potassium values ranged from 9.37 to 15.78% under NPK chemical fertilizers and using 5 t ha⁻¹ of vermicompost. Also, the changes related to the seed potassium can be predicted in the range of 14.09 to 18.22% under cow manure and using 15 t ha⁻¹ of vermicompost (Fig. 7).

In a study by Xu *et al.* (2016) on the response of rice yield to potassium uptake, these researchers attributed the high yield changes to differences in climatic conditions and soil nutrient supply.

One of the main functions of potassium is to activate certain enzymes. Potassium acts more as a soluble ion to maintain cell turgescence in guard cells (Obreza & Morgan, 2011). The use of NPK chemical fertilizer by 15 t ha⁻¹ vermicompost improved the physical and chemical soil properties, improved plant nutritional status, and increased the amount of absorbable potassium in soil and plants. In this regard, researchers such as Sabir *et al.* (2013), as well as Aruda *et al.* (2013), reported that inoculation of corn seeds with growth-promoting bacteria (*Azotobacter*, *Azpirillum*, and *Pseudomonas*) increased phosphorus, nitrogen, and potassium content in roots and shoots of the plant.

Researchers have stated that available potassium is one of the most important soil factors affecting the yield and quality of Novell orange fruit (Cheng *et al.*, 2016). In this regard, some researchers reported that the use of organic and integrated fertilizers, due to improving the physical and chemical properties of soil and availability and simultaneous release of essential elements with plant needs leads to improved vegetative and reproductive features, which ultimately enhances the crop yield (Fallah, Ghalavand & Raisi, 2013).

Conclusions

This study investigates the prediction models of macro-nutrient content in plant organs of *Cucumis melo* in response to soil elements affected by different fertilizers using support vector regression (SVR). Support vector regression can effectively calibrate input data sets such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium in seeds, fruits, leaves, and roots to model (Fig. 1). The results show reliable modeling for support vector regression in predicting the macro-nutrient content in plant organs.

According to the results, when the data sets of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium in fruit, were used as input, the accuracy of these models was higher than 80.0% ($R^2 = 0.807$ for predicting

fruit nitrogen; $R^2 = 0.999$ for fruit phosphorus; $R^2 = 0.968$ for fruit potassium) (Tables 4, 7, 10, respectively). Likewise, the ratio of prediction performance to deviation (RPD) obtained from the models ranged from 2.017 for predicting fruit nitrogen (Table 4) and 5.17 for fruit potassium (Table 10) to 27.95 for fruit phosphorus (Table 7) content. Because the macro-nutrient content in fruit had the highest contribution in prediction than actual values, thus identified as the best model compared to other models in response to soil elements. Based on our findings, the importance of fruit phosphorus was identified as a determinant that strongly influenced melon prediction models.

According to the results of the prediction models in response to soil elements, the best soil nitrogen content ranged from 0.05 to 1.1%, soil phosphorus from 10 to 59 mg kg⁻¹, and soil potassium from 180 to 320 mg kg⁻¹, which offers a better content in the prediction models. Likewise, the best fruit nitrogen content ranged from 1.27 to 4.33%, fruit phosphorus from 15.74 to 26.19%, and fruit potassium from 15.19 to 19.67% obtained by 15 t ha⁻¹ of vermicompost using NPK chemical fertilizers. More significant values of soil elements do not affect increasing macro-nutrient content in plant organs, and excessive application may not be economical. Therefore, the prediction of macro-nutrient content in fruits of *Cucumis melo* in response to soil elements could have caused a saving in the amount of fertilizer utilized and provided for the possibility of proper farming activities during the growing season.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the field technicians at the research farm of Baqiyat-Allah-ul-Azam Agricultural Research Institute of Zabol University, Zahak, Iran, and the faculty members of Zabol University, Zabol, Iran, as well as the field technicians at Fariman, Iran, and the faculty members of Ferdowsi University, Mashhad, Iran, who helped in the fieldwork.

References

- Adeyemi O, Grove I, Peets S, Domun Y, Norton T. 2018. Dynamic neural network ~~modeling~~modelling of soil moisture content for predictive irrigation scheduling. *Sensors* **18**:3408 <https://doi.org/10.3390/s18103408>
- Aruda L, Beneduzi A, Martins A, Lisboa B, Lopes C, Bertolo F, Maria L, Pasaglia P, Vargas L. 2013. Screening of rhizobacteria isolated from maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Rio Grande do Sul State (South Brazil) and analysis of their potential to improve plant growth. *Applied Soil Ecology* **63**:15-22 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2012.09.001>

486 **Baset Mia MA, Serajul Islam Md, Yunus Miah Md, Das MR. 2014.** Flower synchrony,
 487 growth and yield enhancement of small type Bitter Gourd (*Momordica charantia*) through plant
 488 growth regulators and NPK fertilization. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* **17(3)**:408-413
 489 <https://doi.org/10.3923/pjbs.2014.408.413>

490 **Bisognin DA. 2002.** Origin and evolution of cultivated cucurbits. *Ciência Rural* **32**:715-723
 491 <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782002000400028>

492 **Boser BE, Guyon IM, Vapnik VN. 1992.** A training algorithm for optimal margin classifiers. In:
 493 Haussler D, ed. *Proceedings of the 5th Annual ACM Workshop on Computational Learning*
 494 *Theory*. Pittsburgh: ACM Press, 144-152.
 495 <https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.21.3818>

496 **Brereton RG, Lloyd GR. 2010.** Support vector machines for classification and regression.
 497 *Analyst* **135**:230-267 <https://doi.org/10.1039/B918972F>

498 **Busato JG, Lima LS, Aguiar NO, Canellas LP, Olivares FL. 2012.** Changes in labile
 499 phosphorus forms during maturation of vermicompost enriched with phosphorus-solubilizing
 500 and diazotrophic bacteria. *Bioresource Technology* **110**:390-395
 501 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.01.126>

502 **Canizo Brenda V, Escudero Leticia B, Pellerano Roberto G, Wuilloud Rodolfo G. 2019.**
 503 Data mining approach based on chemical composition of grape skin for quality evaluation and
 504 traceability prediction of grapes. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* **162**:514-522
 505 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2019.04.043>

506 **Chang CW, Laird DA, Mausbach MJ, Hurburgh CR. 2001.** Near-infrared reflectance
 507 spectroscopy-principal components regression analyses of soil properties. *Soil Science Society of*
 508 *America Journal* **65**:480-490 <http://dx.doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2001.652480x>

509 **Chen Y, Zhou X, Lin Y, Ma L. 2019.** Pumpkin yield affected by soil nutrients and the
 510 interactions of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium fertilizers. *American Society for*
 511 *Horticultural Science* **54**:1831-1835 <https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI14152-19>

512 **Cheng J, Ding C, Li X, Zhang T, Wang X. 2016.** Soil quality evaluation for navel orange
 513 production systems in central subtropical China. *Soil and Tillage Research* **155**:225-232
 514 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2015.08.015>

515 **Dai H, Zhang H, Wang W, Xue G. 2012.** Structural reliability assessment by local
 516 approximation of limit state functions using adaptive markov chain simulation and support
 517 vector regression. *Computer- Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering* **27(9)**:676-686
 518 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8667.2012.00767.x>

Código de campo cambiado

519 **Deus JALD, Soares I, Neves, JCL, Medeiros JFD, Miranda FRD. 2015.** Fertilizer
520 recommendation system for melon based on nutritional balance. *Revista Brasileira de Ciência do*
521 *Solo* **39**:498-511 <https://doi.org/10.1590/01000683rbc20140172>

522 **Dotto AC, Dalmolin RSD, Ten Caten A, Grunwald S. 2018.** A systematic study on the
523 application of scatter-corrective and spectral-derivative preprocessing for multivariate prediction
524 of soil organic carbon by Vis-NIR spectra. *Geoderma* **314**:262-274
525 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.11.006>

526 **Esfandiarpour-Boroujeni I, Karimi E, Shirani H, Esmailizadeh M, Mosleh Z. 2019.** Yield
527 prediction of apricot using a hybrid particle swarm optimization-imperialist competitive
528 algorithm- support vector regression (PSO-ICA-SVR) method. *Scientia Horticulturae*
529 **257**:108756 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2019.108756>

530 **Fallah S, Ghalavand A, Raisi F. 2013.** Soil chemical properties and growth and nutrient uptake
531 of maize grown with different combinations of broiler litter and chemical fertilizer in a
532 calcareous soil. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* **44(21)**:3120-3136
533 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2013.832284>

534 **Ferrante A, Spinardi A, Maggiore T, Testoni A, Gallina PM. 2008.** Effect of nitrogen
535 fertilization levels on melon fruit quality at the harvest time and during storage. *Journal of the*
536 *Science of Food and Agriculture* **88**:707-713 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.3139>

537 **Food and Agriculture Organization. 2018.** FAOSTAT agricultural database.
538 <http://faostat.fao.org/site/339/default.aspx>

539 **Jeong G, Oeverdieck H, Park SJ, Huwe B, Lie M. 2017.** Spatial soil nutrients prediction using
540 three supervised learning methods for assessment of land potentials in complex terrain. *Catena*
541 **154**:73-84 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2017.02.006>

542 **Jiang H, Rusuli Y, Amuti T, He Q. 2019.** Quantitative assessment of soil salinity using multi-
543 source remote sensing data based on the support vector machine and artificial neural network.
544 *International Journal of Remote Sensing* **40**:284-306
545 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2018.1513180>

546 **Jumadi O, Hiola SF, Hala Y, Norton J, Inubushi K. 2014.** Influence of Azolla (*Azolla*
547 *microphylla* Kaulf.) compost on biogenic gas production, inorganic nitrogen and growth of
548 upland kangkong (*Ipomoea aquatica* Forsk.) in a silt loam soil. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*
549 **60(5)**:722-730 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2014.942879>

550 **Kakraliya SK, Jat RD, Kumar S, Choudhary KK, Prakash J, Singh LK. 2017.** Integrated
551 nutrient management for improving, fertilizer use efficiency, soil biodiversity and productivity of
552 wheat in irrigated rice wheat cropping system in indo-gangatic plains of India. *International*

Con formato: Inglés (Estados Unidos)

Con formato: Inglés (Estados Unidos)

Con formato: Inglés (Estados Unidos)

553 *Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **6(3)**:152-163
 554 <http://dx.doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.603.017>

555 **Kjeldahl J. 1883.** Neue Methode zur Bestimmung des Stickstoffs in organischen Körpern (New
 556 method for the determination of nitrogen in organic substances). *Zeitschrift für analytische*
 557 *Chemie* **22(1)**:366-383 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01338151>

558 **Liu Y, Wang K, Yan Z, Shen X, Yang X. 2022.** Prediction of active ingredients in *Salvia*
 559 *miltiorrhiza* Bunge. based on soil elements and artificial neural network. *PeerJ* **10**:e12726
 560 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.12726>

561 **Lu CJ. 2014.** Sales forecasting of computer products based on variable selection scheme and
 562 support vector regression. *Neurocomputing* **128**:491-499
 563 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2013.08.012>

564 **Luan F, Sheng Y, Wang Y, Staub JE. 2010.** Performance of melon hybrids derived from
 565 parents of diverse geographic origins. *Euphytica* **173**:1-16 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-009-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-009-0110-6)
 566 [0110-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10681-009-0110-6)

567 **Martuscelli M, Di Mattia C, Stagnari F, Specia S, Pisante M, Mastrocola D. 2016.** Influence
 568 of phosphorus management on melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) fruit quality. *Journal of the Science of*
 569 *Food and Agriculture* **96**:2715-2722 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.7390>

570 **Mohamed HI, El-Sayed AA, Rady MM, Caruso G, Sekara A, Abdelhamid MT. 2021.**
 571 Coupling effects of phosphorus fertilization source and rate on growth and ion accumulation of
 572 common bean under salinity stress. *PeerJ* **9**:e11463 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11463>

573 **Munger HM, Robinson RW. 1991.** Nomenclature of *Cucumis melo* L. *Cucurbit Genetics*
 574 *Cooperative Report* **14**:43-44 <https://cucurbit.info/1991/07/nomenclature-of-cucumis-melo-l/>

575 **Obreza TA, Morgan KT. 2011.** *Nutrition of Florida Citrus Trees*. Gainesville: Florida
 576 University Press. <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/>

577 **Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. 1954.** *Estimation of Available Phosphorous in*
 578 *Soils by Extraction with Sodium Bicarbonate*. Washington D.C: American Department of
 579 Agriculture Press.
 580 [https://www.worldcat.org/search?q=ti=Estimation%20of%20available%20phosphorus%20in%20](https://www.worldcat.org/search?q=ti=Estimation%20of%20available%20phosphorus%20in%20soils%20by%20extraction%20with%20sodium%20bicarbonate)
 581 [soils%20by%20extraction%20with%20sodium%20bicarbonate](https://www.worldcat.org/search?q=ti=Estimation%20of%20available%20phosphorus%20in%20soils%20by%20extraction%20with%20sodium%20bicarbonate).

582 **Pourranjbari Saghaiesh S, Souri MK, Moghaddam M. 2019.** Characterization of nutrients
 583 uptake and enzymes activity in Khatouni melon (*Cucumis melo* var. inodorus) seedlings under
 584 different concentrations of nitrogen, potassium and phosphorus of nutrient solution. *Journal of*
 585 *Plant Nutrition* **42(2)**:178-185 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2018.1551491>

- 586 **Roodposhti MS, Safarrad T, Shahabi H. 2017.** Drought sensitivity mapping using two one-
 587 class support vector machine algorithms. *Atmospheric Research* **193**:73-82
 588 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2017.04.017>
- 589 **Sabir S, Asghar HN, Kashif SUR, Khan MY, Akhtar MJ. 2013.** Synergistic effect of plant
 590 growth promoting rhizobacteria and kinetin on maize. *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*
 591 **23(6)**:1750-1755
 592 [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258225454 SYNERGISTIC EFFECT OF PLANT](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258225454_SYNERGISTIC_EFFECT_OF_PLANT_GROWTH_PROMOTING_RHIZOBACTERIA_AND_KINETIN_ON_MAIZE)
 593 [GROWTH PROMOTING RHIZOBACTERIA AND KINETIN ON MAIZE](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258225454_SYNERGISTIC_EFFECT_OF_PLANT_GROWTH_PROMOTING_RHIZOBACTERIA_AND_KINETIN_ON_MAIZE)
- 594 **Seidel M, Hutengs C, Ludwig B, Thiele-Bruhn S, Vohland M. 2019.** Strategies for the
 595 efficient estimation of soil organic carbon at the field scale with vis-NIR spectroscopy: Spectral
 596 libraries and spiking vs. local calibrations. *Geoderma* **354**:113856
 597 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.07.014>
- 598 **Simon F, Bababbo P. 2015.** Yield performance of sweet corn (*Zea mays*) using vermicompost
 599 as a component of balanced fertilization strategy. *International Journal of Chemical,*
 600 *Environmental and Biological Sciences* **3(3)**:224-227
 601 <https://scholar.google.com/scholar?oi=bibs&cluster=16935203685290788510&btnI=1&hl=en>
- 602 **Stenberg B, Rogstrand G, Bolenius E, Arvidsson J. 2007.** On-line soil NIR spectroscopy:
 603 identification and treatment of spectra influenced by variable probe distance and residue
 604 contamination. In: Stafford JV, ed. *Precision agriculture '07*. Wageningen: Academic Press,
 605 125-131. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/290839132 On-](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/290839132_On-line_soil_NIR_spectroscopy_Identification_and_treatment_of_spectra_influenced_by_variable_probe_distance_and_residue_contamination)
 606 [line soil NIR spectroscopy Identification and treatment of spectra influenced by variable](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/290839132_On-line_soil_NIR_spectroscopy_Identification_and_treatment_of_spectra_influenced_by_variable_probe_distance_and_residue_contamination)
 607 [probe distance and residue contamination](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/290839132_On-line_soil_NIR_spectroscopy_Identification_and_treatment_of_spectra_influenced_by_variable_probe_distance_and_residue_contamination)
- 608 **Sun ZL, Wang J, Li R. 2017.** LIF: a new kriging based learning function and its application to
 609 structural reliability analysis. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety* **157**:152-165
 610 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2016.09.003>
- 611 **Tang Y, Peng L, Chun C, Ling L, Fang Y, Yan X. 2013.** Correlation analysis on nutrient
 612 element contents in orchard soils and sweet orange leaves in southern Jiangxi province of China.
 613 *Acta Horticulturae Sinica* **40**:623-632 <https://www.ahs.ac.cn/EN/Y2013/V40/I4/623>
- 614 **Tränkner M, Tavakol E, Jákli B. 2018.** Functioning of potassium and magnesium in
 615 photosynthesis, photosynthate translocation and photoprotection. *Physiologia Plantarum*
 616 **163**:414-431 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12747>
- 617 **Tu Y, Bian M, Wan Y, Fei T. 2018.** Tea cultivar classification and biochemical parameter
 618 estimation from hyperspectral imagery obtained by UAV. *PeerJ* **6**:e4858
 619 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4858>

Código de campo cambiado

620 **Vapnik VN. 1995.** *The Nature of Statistical Learning Theory*. New York, Springer Press.
621 <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-2440-0>

622 **Vapnik VN. 1998.** *Statistical Learning Theory*. New York, Wiley Press.
623 <https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Statistical+Learning+Theory-p-9780471030034>

624 **Viscarra Rossel RA, Walvoort DJJ, McBratney AB, Janik LJ, Skjemstad, JO. 2006.**
625 Visible, near infrared, mid infrared or combined diffuse reflectance spectroscopy for
626 simultaneous assessment of various soil properties. *Geoderma* **131**:59-75
627 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2005.03.007>

628 **Xu X, He P, Zhao S, Qiu S, Johnstond AM, Zhou W. 2016.** Quantification of yield gap and
629 nutrient use efficiency of irrigated rice in China. *Field Crops Research* **186**:58-65
630 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2015.11.011>

631 **Zhang Ch, Zhang K, Ge L, Zou K, Wang S, Zhang J, Li W. 2021.** A method for organs
632 classification and fruit counting on pomegranate trees based on multi-features fusion and support
633 vector machine by 3D point cloud. *Scientia Horticulturae* **278**:109791
634 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2020.109791>

635