

Genome-wide characterization of the xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase gene family in *Solanum lycopersicum* L. and gene expression analysis in response to arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis.

Luis Gerardo Sarmiento-López¹, Maury Yanitze López-Espinoza¹, Marco Adán Juárez-Verdayes², Melina López-Meyer^{1,*}.

¹Departamento de Biotecnología Agrícola, Instituto Politécnico Nacional. Centro Interdisciplinario de Investigación para el Desarrollo Integral Regional, Unidad Sinaloa, Guasave, Sinaloa, México

²Departamento de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad Autónoma Agraria Antonio Narro, Saltillo, Coahuila, México

* Corresponding author address: Melina López-Meyer

Bulevar Juan de Dios Bátiz Paredes No. 250, Col. San Joachin, en Guasave, Sinaloa, C.P. 81049. México. E-mail address: mlopez@ipn.mx

Abstract

Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolases (*XTHs*) are a glycoside hydrolase protein family involved in the biosynthesis of xyloglucans, with *essential* roles in the regulation of plant cell wall extensibility. By taking advantage of the whole genome sequence in *Solanum lycopersicum*, 37 *SIXTHs* were identified in the present work. *SIXTHs* were classified into four subfamilies (ancestral, I/II, III-A, III-B) when aligned to *XTHs* of other plant species. Gene structure and conserved motifs showed similar compositions in each subfamily. Segmental duplication was the *primary* mechanism accounting for the expansion of *SIXTH* genes. *In silico* expression analysis showed that *SIXTH* genes exhibited differential expression in several tissues. GO analysis and 3D protein structure indicated that all 37 *SIXTHs* participate in cell wall biogenesis and xyloglucan metabolism. Promoter analysis revealed that some *SIXTHs* have MeJA- and stress-responsive elements. qRT-PCR expression analysis of nine *SIXTHs* in leaves and roots of mycorrhizal colonized vs. non-colonized plants showed that eight of these genes were differentially expressed in leaves and *found* in roots, suggesting that *SIXTHs* might play roles in plant defense induced by arbuscular mycorrhiza. Our results provide valuable insight into the function of *XTHs* in *S. lycopersicum*, in addition to the response of plants to mycorrhizal colonization.

Introduction

Deleted: *Solanun*

Deleted: important

Deleted: major

Deleted: four

Deleted:

43 The plant cell wall is a complex extracellular matrix important to such domains as
 44 morphology and growth (Somerville et al., 2004). It is composed of cellulose (30%),
 45 hemicellulose (30%), pectin (35%), and structural proteins (5%) (Cosgrove, 2022).
 46 Cellulose and hemicellulose provide rigidity to the wall, whereas pectin provides flexibility
 47 and fluidity. Hemicellulose is formed by monosaccharides such as mannan, xylan, and
 48 glucomannan linked to a xyloglucan backbone (Scheller & Ulvskov, 2010; Pauly &
 49 Keegstra, 2016; Voiniciuc, 2022).
 50 A family of polysaccharides, xyloglucans, are one of the most abundant components in the
 51 hemicellulose of monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants. Xyloglucans are bonded to
 52 adjacent cellulose microfibril surfaces, forming a network that may limit cell wall
 53 extensibility while causing loosening when they degrade (Pauly & Keegstra, 2016). In
 54 addition, xyloglucans play essential roles in controlling cell enlargement, regulating their
 55 biosynthesis and metabolism, and functioning as a storage reserve in the seeds of many
 56 plant families such as Asteraceae, Brassicaceae, Fabaceae, and Solanaceae, where they are
 57 accumulated in large quantities to provide energy for the seedling (Dos Santos et al., 2004;
 58 Hoch, 2007).
 59 Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolases (XTHs) form a crucial family of xyloglucan-
 60 modifying enzymes, mainly responsible for the cleavage and rearrangement of xyloglucan
 61 backbones in plants (Hayashi & Kaida, 2011; Pauly & Keegstra, 2016). XTHs are classified
 62 within glycoside hydrolase family 16 (GH16; CAZy database; <http://www.cazy.org/>),
 63 whose members have two catalytic activities. Specifically, they can act as an
 64 endotransglucosylase (XTE) to catalyze xyloglucan transfer to another xyloglucan
 65 molecule, resulting in the elongation of xyloglucan, and as a hydrolase (XEH) that
 66 hydrolyzes one xyloglucan molecule, resulting in irreversible xyloglucan chain shortening
 67 (Rose et al., 2002; Miedes & Lorences, 2009; Behar, Graham & Brumer, 2018). Many
 68 XTHs present catalytic activities and are important in regulating cell wall extensibility, root
 69 elongation, hypocotyl growth, and flower opening (Dos Santos et al., 2004; Wu et al.,
 70 2005; Harada et al., 2011).
 71 *XTH* gene family members are highly involved in the regulation of cell wall responses to
 72 biotic and abiotic stresses that consequently affect plant growth (Rose et al., 2002; Albert et
 73 al., 2004; Cho et al., 2006; Yan et al., 2019; Niraula et al., 2021). Furthermore, several
 74 studies have shown that the expression of *XTH* genes is regulated by plant hormones (Xu et
 75 al., 1996; Yokoyama & Nishitani, 2001; Jan et al., 2004; Osato, Yokoyama & Nishitani,
 76 2006; Zhu et al., 2013; Han et al., 2016). Other studies have reported that *XTHs* from
 77 *Fragaria chiloensis* are involved in fruit ripening, including in apples and tomatoes
 78 (Miedes & Lorences, 2009; Opazo et al., 2010; Muñoz-Bertomeu, Miedes & Lorences,
 79 2013; Méndez-Yañez et al., 2017).
 80 Different numbers of *XTH* genes have been identified and characterized in plant species
 81 such as *Arabidopsis thaliana* (33 genes; Yokoyama & Nishitani, 2001), *Oryza sativa* (29
 82 genes; Yokoyama, Rose & Nishitani, 2004), *Sorghum bicolor* (35 genes; Rai et al., 2016),
 83 *Hordeum vulgare* (24 genes; Fu, Liu & Wu, 2019), *Actinidia deliciosa* (14 genes; Atkinson
 84 et al., 2009), *Malus domestica* (11 genes; Atkinson et al., 2009), *Glycine max* (61 genes;
 85 Song et al., 2018), *Solanum lycopersicum* (25 genes; Saladié et al., 2006), *Ananas comosus*
 86 (48 genes; Li et al., 2019), *Brassica rapa* (53 genes; Wu et al., 2020), *Brassica oleracea*
 87 (38 genes; Wu et al., 2020), *Nicotiana tabacum* (56 genes; Wang et al., 2018), *Vitis vinifera*
 88 L. (34 genes; Qiao et al., 2022), *Arachis hypogaea* L. (58 genes; Zhu et al., 2022) and
 89 *Schima superba* (34 genes; Yang, Zhang & Zhou, 2022).

Deleted: , and

Deleted: important

Deleted: own

Deleted: an important

Deleted: that are

Deleted: :

Deleted: ;

Deleted: both

Deleted: have

Deleted: roles

Deleted: are

Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) symbiosis is a mutualistic interaction between AM fungi from the Glomeromycota phylum and most land plants (Spatafora et al., 2016). This symbiosis improves plant growth, photosynthesis, and nutrient uptake (mainly P) and reduces susceptibility to pathogens in a systemic manner (Smith, 2008; Miozzi et al., 2019; Sanmartín et al., 2021). Mycorrhizal colonization induces a priming state, so plants respond faster and more robustly to pathogen attack (Pozo & Azcón-Aguilar, 2007). Since the ectopic expression of defense genes involved in cell wall synthesis can confer resistance to bacteria, fungi, viruses, nematodes, and insects (Zhang et al., 2019), it can be hypothesized that some *XTHs* could have a role in the priming mechanism, not only locally in colonized roots but also systemically in shoots. A previous microarray transcriptomic analysis in *M. truncatula* revealed that an *XTH* gene was induced explicitly in shoots of AM plants. After infection with the pathogen *Xanthomonas campestris* showed increased resistance, compared to non-colonized plants (Liu et al., 2007). These data are in agreement with an RNA-seq analysis in which cell wall biogenesis-related genes, including some *XTHs*, were differentially regulated in leaves of AM tomato plants in parallel with an increase in resistance against the shoot pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Cervantes-Gómez et al., 2016; Mendoza-Soto et al., 2022). This supports the idea that cell wall modification genes, including *XTHs*, play an essential role in shoots of AM-colonized plants to trigger a priming mechanism that improves defense against subsequent pathogen attacks. Although studies on the identification and characterization of *XTHs* in *S. lycopersicum* are scarce, there are reports on the involvement of some of these proteins in the tomato fruit development (Saladié et al., 2006; Miedes & Lorences, 2009). The availability of the complete tomato genome sequence provides an opportunity to carry out a comparative analysis of the whole *XTH* gene family. In the present study, we identified all potential *XTH* genes encoded in the *S. lycopersicum* genome. Furthermore, we conducted a bioinformatics analysis to classify *SIXTH* genes by the presence of characteristic motifs, exon-intron organization, chromosomal distribution, and gene duplication events. Finally, the expression patterns of several *SIXTH* genes were characterized by qRT-PCR in shoots and roots of AM tomatoes to investigate the biological importance of this gene family, particularly the response of some of its members in mycorrhiza-colonized plants.

Materials & Methods

Identification of *XTH* family members in *Solanum lycopersicum*

All gene and protein sequence information was retrieved by searching the Phytozome v13 database (<http://www.phytozome.net>) and the Solanaceae crops genome database (<https://solgenomics.net/>). To identify all *SIXTH* proteins, the BLASTP algorithm using the *SIXTH14* amino acid sequence was employed to search all potential *XTH* proteins in the *Solanum lycopersicum* genome. *SIXTH14* was selected based on transcriptomic analysis as previously reported by (Cervantes-Gómez et al., 2016), in which several cell wall biogenesis-related genes were differentially expressed in tomato leaves in response to AM symbiosis. The Hidden Markov Model (HMM, <https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/>) was used to search the profiles of the *SIXTH* protein domains PF00722 and PF06955, as previously reported by (Wang et al., 2018). The online program SMART (<http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/>) was used to identify the conserved domain of candidate *SIXTHs*, and only the proteins containing both domains PF00722 and PF06955 were kept for further analysis. The chromosome coordinates of each *SIXTH* genomic sequence (File

Deleted: type of

Deleted:),

Deleted: in such a way that

Deleted: stronger

Deleted: ,

Deleted: specifically

Deleted: , which after

Deleted: an increase in

Deleted: as

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Deleted: important

Deleted:

Deleted: in order

Deleted: results in improved

Deleted: attack

Deleted: in particular

162 S1), as well as their coding (File S2), transcript (File S3), and protein (File S3) sequences,
 163 was obtained from the Phytozome v13 database. Physicochemical parameters for each
 164 protein, including predicted molecular weight and isoelectric point (PI), were obtained
 165 using tools available at the ExPASy bioinformatics resource portal
 166 (<https://www.expasy.org/>). The subcellular localizations were predicted with ProtComp 9.0
 167 (<http://linux1.softberry.com>). The SignalP 5.0 server
 168 (<https://services.healthtech.dtu.dk/service.php?SignalP-5.0>) was used to predict the
 169 presence of signal peptides. Finally, *SIXTH* genes were nominated as previously reported
 170 (Saladié et al., 2006), and new sequences were named according to the following numbers.

Deleted: were

172 Gene structure and motif analysis

173 Genomic and complete coding DNA (CDS) corresponding to each identified *SIXTH* gene
 174 were analyzed for exon-intron distribution. The Gene Structure Display Server (GSDS 2.0)
 175 (<http://gsds.gao-lab.org/>) was employed to obtain a graphical representation of the exon-
 176 intron organization by comparing the CDS sequences of the *SIXTH* genes to the
 177 corresponding genomic DNA sequences (Hu et al., 2015). Protein structural motif analysis
 178 was performed using the MEME program (<https://meme-suite.org/meme/>) to predict
 179 conserved motifs (10 maximum motifs) in *SIXTH* proteins as previously reported (Bailey
 180 et al., 2009). The consensus sequence was analyzed to identify the conserved catalytic
 181 motif (DEIDFEFLG) of *SIXTH* proteins, and the web logo was illustrated using the MEME
 182 tool.

Deleted: subsequent

Deleted: DNA

184 Structurally based sequence alignment and structural prediction of *SIXTH* proteins

185 The bioinformatics online tool ESPript (<http://espript.ibcp.fr/ESPript/ESPript/>) was used to
 186 predict the secondary structures in the *SIXTH* protein sequences, and the secondary
 187 elements. *SIXTH* sequences were aligned using ClustalW ([https://www.genome.jp/tools-](https://www.genome.jp/tools-bin/clustalw)
 188 [bin/clustalw](https://www.genome.jp/tools-bin/clustalw)) with default settings to identify shared structural features of *SIXTH*s, and the
 189 PDB databank (<https://www.rcsb.org/>) was used to locate the XTH crystal protein structure
 190 (PDB id: 2UWA; PDB id: 1UN1) as previously reported (Johansson et al., 2004; Baumann
 191 et al., 2007). Three-dimensional (3D) structures predicting models of *SIXTH* proteins were
 192 constructed based on the oligomeric state, the maximized percentage identity, ligands, the
 193 model quality estimation (QMEAN), and the global quality estimation score (GMQE) using
 194 the SWISS-MODEL template library (<https://swissmodel.expasy.org/>) (Biasini et al.,
 195 2014).

Deleted: bioinformatic

Deleted: , as well as

Deleted: common

Deleted: elements

Deleted:),

197 *In silico* chromosomal mapping, gene duplication, and Ka/Ks estimation

198 The chromosomal location of each *SIXTH* was obtained from the Phytozome v13 database.
 199 The physical location and relative distances of *SIXTH* genes were schematically represented
 200 on their respective tomato chromosome using the online server MG2C
 201 (http://mg2c.iask.in/mg2c_v2.0/). To analyze gene duplication events, tandem, and
 202 segmental duplications were considered. A gene pair on the same chromosome located five
 203 or fewer gene loci apart and showing more than 90% sequence similarity was considered a
 204 tandem duplication, whereas sister gene pairs located on different chromosomes were
 205 considered segmental duplication events. To estimate the selective pressure and divergence
 206 time of *SIXTH* genes, amino acid and coding sequences from segmental gene pair
 207 duplications were analyzed using the Toolkit for Biologists Tools (TBtools) software

Deleted: ,

Deleted: ,

Deleted: as

Deleted: as

Deleted: In order to

(<https://github.com/CJ-Chen/TBtools>) to determine the Ka (non-synonymous), Ks (synonymous), and Ka/Ks ratio parameters (Chen et al., 2020). The approximate time (T) duplication event was estimated using the equation $T = Ks / 2\lambda \times 10^{-6}$ million years ago (Mya) for each gene pair, where $\lambda = 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$ substitutions per site per year for dicot plants (Koch, Haubold & Mitchell-Olds, 2000).

Gene ontology (GO) annotation

Gene ontology annotation analysis of *SIXTH* genes was conducted using the Blast2GO software (<https://www.blast2go.com/>) (Conesa & Götz, 2008). Amino acid sequences of each *SIXTH* gene were uploaded to the program, and the biological process (BP), cellular compartments (CC), and molecular functions (MF) were determined. In addition, a Blast2GO analysis was performed to BLASTp search, InterPro Scan, mapping, and annotation with default settings.

Analysis of cis-acting regulatory elements from the *SIXTH* genes

To predict the cis-acting elements in the promoter of *SIXTH* genes, 2.0 kb upstream of the initiation codon (ATG) of each *SIXTH* gene was extracted from the Phytozome v13 database. The upstream sequences were submitted to the online PlantCare (<http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/>) database for the prediction (Lescot, 2002), and visualized using GraphPad Prism 6 software.

Phylogenetic analysis of *SIXTH* proteins

For insight into the evolutionary relationship among different XTH gene family members, we performed a multiple sequence alignment of the full-length XTH protein sequences from other solanaceous plants such as *N. tabacum*, *S. tuberosum*, *Petunia axillaris* and the model plant *A. thaliana* using ClustalW with default parameters. We analyzed the results with MEGA X (<http://www.megasoftware.net>) (Kumar et al., 2018). The phylogenetic tree was constructed based on the neighbor-joining algorithm with 1000 bootstrap replications, and was visualized using the iTOL online tool (<https://itol.embl.de/>) (Letunic & Bork, 2016).

Gene expression analysis

RNA-seq expression data for *S. lycopersicum* tissues, including leaves, roots, buds, and flowers, were downloaded from the GEO database at NCBI (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/>) and the Solanaceae crops genome database (SRA049915: accession numbers SRX118613, SRX118614, SRX118615, SRX118616) (FDR less than 3%; q-value threshold < 0.03) (The Tomato Genome Consortium, 2012). The *XTH* expression data were estimated using the expressed 'reads per kilobase of exon per million fragments mapped' (RPKM) value. RPKM values for different tissue were subjected to hierarchical clustering analysis with TBtools software (<https://github.com/CJ-Chen/TBtools>). Finally, the data were normalized to examine differences in the expression of the same gene in different samples and represented as a heatmap with TBtools.

Plant material and growth conditions

The arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi *Rhizophagus irregularis* was provided by the CIIDIR-SINALOA at the Instituto Politécnico Nacional in Sinaloa, Mexico. The inoculum was grown according to previously reported methods (Bécard & Fortin, 1988).

Deleted: A

Deleted: In order to

Deleted: were

Deleted: ,

Deleted: , and

Deleted:

Deleted: ,

Deleted: The

Deleted: in order

Deleted: ,

278 *S. lycopersicum* (var. Missouri) seeds were surface-sterilized. Tomato seeds were planted in
 279 germination trays with a mixture of sterilized vermiculite and sand (3:1 v/v) and maintained
 280 at 25°C. Four-week-old tomato plants were transplanted individually to pots (1 L) with the
 281 same substrate. At this time, tomato plants were inoculated with 500 spores of *R.*
 282 *irregularis* (M+ treatment). AM spores were prepared from an axenic carrot root culture
 283 colonized with *R. irregularis*, and extracted as previously described by (Cervantes-Gómez et
 284 al., 2016). Control samples consisted of mock-inoculated plants (i.e., non-colonized, M-
 285 treatment) with the last rinse of the spore inoculum wash. All plants were watered once per
 286 week with distilled water and twice weekly with 30 mL of half-strength Hoagland nutrient
 287 solution with 50 µM KH₂PO₄ as the final phosphate concentration to favor the mycorrhizal
 288 colonization (Hoagland & Arnon, 1950). One-half of the root system and whole leaves
 289 from each M+ and M- tomato plant were harvested four weeks after *R. irregularis*
 290 inoculation. The collected plant material was immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and
 291 stored at -80°C for subsequent RNA extraction. Five biological replicates were employed
 292 per treatment, and two independent experiments were performed.
 293 The other half of each plant root system was fixed in 50% ethanol, clarified in 20% KOH,
 294 neutralized in 0.1 M HCl, and stained in 0.05% trypan blue in lactoglycerol (Phillips &
 295 Hayman, 1970). Roots were maintained in lactoglycerol 1:1:1 (water/lactic acid/glycerol)
 296 and observed by light microscopy (BOECO Germany, BM-180). Mycorrhizal colonization
 297 was confirmed, as previously reported by Mendoza-Soto et al. (2022).

299 RNA extraction, primer design, and qRT-PCR analysis

300 Nine out of the 37 identified *SIXTH* genes were selected to experimentally determine their
 301 expression in leaves and root tissues of mycorrhizal colonized and non-colonized plants,
 302 based on the occurrence of defense-related regulatory elements within their promoter
 303 sequences.

304 Total RNA was isolated from leaves and roots of non-colonized (M-) and colonized (M+)
 305 plants using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), following the manufacturer's
 306 protocol. The complementary DNA synthesis was performed as previously reported
 307 (Cervantes-Gómez et al., 2016). Each gene's 3' untranslated regions (UTR) were used to
 308 design qPCR primers for gene specificity. The primers used are listed in Table S1. Melting
 309 temperature (T_m) and GC content were calculated using Oligo Calc
 310 (<http://biotools.nubic.northwestern.edu/OligoCalc.html>). qRT-PCR was performed using
 311 SYBR Green (QIAGEN, USA) and quantified on a Rotor-Gene Q (QIAGEN, USA) real-
 312 time PCR thermal cycler. qRT-PCR was programmed for 40 cycles, denaturing at 95°C for
 313 15 s, annealing at 58°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 30 s. Amplification of a single
 314 PCR product was verified by thermal gradient PCR and melting curve qRT-PCR analysis.
 315 The elongation factor 1-α (*SIEF1-α*) gene was used for normalization. The relative
 316 expression of *SIXTH* genes was calculated by the 2^{-ΔCT} method (Livak & Schmittgen,
 317 2001). Five biological replicates for each condition (non-colonized and colonized plants)
 318 were evaluated, and two independent experiments were performed with similar results.
 319 Data from one of the experiments are shown.

321 Data analysis

322 For the relative expression of each *SIXTH* gene, the paired Student's t-test was used to
 323 evaluate the significance of differences between non-colonized (M-) and colonized (M+)
 324 tomato plants. All data were checked for normal distributions (Shapiro-Wilk's test) before

Deleted: ,

Deleted: in

Deleted: per week

Deleted:

Deleted: total

Deleted: was

Deleted: ,

Deleted: The

Deleted: of each gene

Deleted:

Deleted: with

statistical analyses were performed using the scientific data analysis and graphing software SigmaPlot for Windows, version 11.0.

Results

Identification and characterization of the *SIXTH* gene family

A comprehensive genome-wide screening of the tomato database was executed to identify all *SIXTH* genes. As a result, 37 *SIXTH* genes were identified, including some novel family members. All *SIXTH* genes identified within the tomato genome showed the conserved PF00722 (glycosyl hydrolases family 16) and PF06955 (xyloglucan endotransglucosylase C-terminus) domains by using Pfam analysis (Figure S1), which verifies and validates the sequence search results. The 37 *SIXTH* genes were named *SIXTH1* to *SIXTH37* based on a previously reported work in which *SIXTH1* to *SIXTH25* were already identified in *S. lycopersicum* (Saladié et al., 2006). The characteristics of each sequence, including gene ID number and length of the genomic, transcript, coding DNA (CDS), and amino acid sequences, as well as molecular weight (MW), isoelectric point (PI), and chromosome coordinates, are summarized in Tables 1 and S2. The length of *SIXTH* proteins ranged from 274 (*SIXTH31*) to 372 (*SIXTH26*) amino acids, with the predicted CDS ranging from 825 to 1119 bp and the calculated MW varying between 0.69 and 1.63 kDa. *SIXTH26* was the most significant XTH protein (Table 1). The theoretical PI values of *SIXTH* ranged from 4.85 (*SIXTH27*) to 9.51 (*SIXTH14*) due to the differences in ionic strength and pH in the amino acids present in these proteins (Table 1). Subcellular localization prediction revealed that most of the *SIXTH* proteins (32 out of 37 *SIXTH*s) were located on the plasma membrane. In contrast, *SIXTH5*, *SIXTH6*, *SIXTH14*, *SIXTH26*, and *SIXTH36* were predicted to localize in the extracellular region (Table S2). In addition, the signal peptide prediction indicated that all *SIXTH* proteins contain signal peptide sequences except *SIXTH13*, *SIXTH18*, and *SIXTH22* (Table S2).

Gene structure and conserved motif analysis of *SIXTH* proteins

To investigate the structural diversity of the *SIXTH* genes, exon and intron structures of the 37 *SIXTH* genes were determined by aligning their CDS and genomic sequences using the GSDS server. We also constructed a phylogenetic tree using full-length deduced amino acid sequences of the *SIXTH* genes, presented with the exon and intron distribution in Figure 1. The phylogenetic tree shows that the *SIXTH* genes are divided into two major subfamilies: subfamily I/II and III. Subfamily I/II has 30 gene members, while subfamily III has seven. Structural analysis of *SIXTH* genes showed that each subfamily's most closely related genes share similar exon and intron numbers. For example, members from subfamily I/II mostly contain three introns and four exons distributions, except *SIXTH13*, which presents five exons in its coding region. All members of subfamily III also contain three introns and four exons in their coding region. On the other hand, the presence or absence of 5' and 3' UTR was not exclusively associated with either of the two subfamilies. For example, *SIXTH6* and *SIXTH8* from subfamily III, and *SIXTH30*, *SIXTH31*, *SIXTH33*, *SIXTH22*, *SIXTH18*, *SIXTH29*, *SIXTH11*, *SIXTH9*, and *SIXTH13* from subfamily I/II do not have any 5' or 3' UTRs (Figure 1).

Deleted: , which

Deleted: in order

Deleted: A total of

Deleted: which included

Deleted: of the family

Deleted: as

Deleted: previous

Deleted: ,

Deleted:)

Deleted: ,

Deleted: calculate

Deleted: largest

Deleted: theoretical

Deleted: varied

Deleted: the majority

Deleted: The

Deleted: with the exception of

Deleted: subfamily

Deleted: the

Deleted: in each subfamily

Deleted: with the exception of

Deleted: /or

To further characterize the SIXTH family, MEME motif detection software was used to predict **potentially** conserved motifs. A total of ten conserved motifs with lengths of ten amino acids were identified. **Motif** compositions **differed** in members from the two subfamilies (Figures 1 and S2). For example, all SIXTH members from subfamilies I/II and III showed the presence of the ten highly conserved motifs, except for SIXTH36 and SIXTH31 from subfamily I/II, **only** have seven and six conserved motifs, respectively (Figure S2A). Furthermore, multiple sequence alignment of all SIXTH proteins revealed the conserved amino acid motif DEIDFEFLG, which is responsible for the catalytic activity as well as being the most characteristic motif of this family (Figure S2B), indicating that this conserved **core** motif is **an essential** for XTH proteins, and suggesting that all of these proteins have a similar function. The majority of the SIXTH proteins within the same subfamily showed **identical** gene structure and motif compositions, consistent with the phylogenetic analysis of the whole *XTH* gene family.

Structural prediction of SIXTH proteins

The alignments of SIXTHs with a xyloglucan endotransglycosylase crystal protein structure (PDB id: 2UWA and PDB id: 1UN1) were used to predict the secondary structures of the SIXTH proteins with ESPript (Figures S3 and S4). All SIXTH protein members in subfamily I/II and subfamily III had similar structures to the reference crystal protein structure. Twenty-eight subfamily I/II **members** showed a conserved position of the N-glycosylation site at amino acid 99. **However**, this was not found in two SIXTH proteins (SIXTH31 and SIXTH36) (Figure S3, see label *). Amino acid 116 was also conserved in all members of subfamily III (Figure S4, see label *). The active site (ExDxE) containing the residues responsible for catalytic activity was highly conserved in all SIXTH family members (Figures S3 and S4, see label AS). In addition, all members possess the XET/XEH C-terminal extension, **a** characteristic fingerprint among XTHs from other plant species. A tertiary (3D) protein model of SIXTHs might **help** understand **XTH enzymes'** structure and possible mode of action (Table S3). Most SIXTHs from the same subfamily (I/II and III) showed similar 3D structures with percentage identities between 36.54 and 77.32%, indicating a reliable structure prediction. **In addition, essential** ligands were predicted based on their chemical identity. For example, ligands for β -D-glucopyranose, α -D-xylopyranose, and β -D-galactopyranose were identified in 34 SIXTH proteins, but not in SIXTH8, SIXTH14, and SIXTH21, in which no ligands sites were detected (Table S3).

Chromosome mapping and gene duplication analysis of *SIXTH* genes

The chromosome coordinates of all *SIXTH* genes **was** obtained from the Phytozome v13 database (Table S1), and their chromosomal locations were mapped using the online server MG2C (http://mg2c.iask.in/mg2c_v2.0/) (Figure 2). *SIXTH* genes were heterogeneously distributed among all chromosomes across the tomato genome. The **most significant** number of *SIXTH* genes were located on chromosomes 12, 3, and 7, **with** five, six, and seven *SIXTH* genes, respectively. In contrast, chromosomes 4, 6, 8, and 10 **had** only **one** *SIXTH* gene, **the** other chromosomes contained between two and four *SIXTH* genes (Figure 2). Finally, no *SIXTH* gene was found on chromosome 0. Tandem and segmental duplications reveal information about the expansion of new gene family members **and** evolutionary functions in plants (Ganko, Meyers & Vision, 2007). Tandem duplications during *SIXTH* evolution were investigated using the Smith-Waterman

Deleted: potential

Deleted: Notably, motif

Deleted: were different

Deleted: which

Deleted: core

Deleted: very important

Deleted: similar

Deleted: members of

Deleted: , although

Deleted: which is

Deleted: be useful to

Deleted: the

Deleted: of XTH enzymes

Deleted: Essential

Deleted: ,

Deleted: were

Deleted: largest

Deleted: which have

Deleted: each

Deleted: had

Deleted: . The

Deleted: , as well as

algorithm alignment. Two *SIXTH* gene pairs (*SIXTH3/SIXTH37* and *SIXTH24/SIXTH35*) were confirmed to be tandem duplicated, since sequence similarity was higher than 90% (Table S4). Both *SIXTH* gene pairs are on chromosome 3 (Figure 2, see label *). A total of 12 segmental duplication events were identified based on phylogenetic analysis, which includes nine sister pairs (*SIXTH36/SIXTH3*, *SIXTH15/SIXTH27*, *SIXTH4/SIXTH1*, *SIXTH16/SIXTH28*, *SIXTH18/SIXTH29*, *SIXTH10/SIXTH11*, *SIXTH9/SIXTH17*, *SIXTH2/SIXTH19*, *SIXTH24/SIXTH37*) from subfamily I/II, and three sister pairs (*SIXTH14/SIXTH6*, *SIXTH21/SIXTH8*, *SIXTH26/SIXTH5*) from subfamily III (Figures 1 and 2, see names in red). The Ka/Ks parameters were evaluated to determine the divergence after duplication. Interestingly, 10 of the 12 sister pairs had Ka/Ks < 0.5, which indicates purification selection during evolution. Furthermore, divergence times were estimated to have occurred between 7.4 and 233.33 million years ago (Table S5).

Gene ontology (GO) analysis of *SIXTH* genes

GO analysis was performed on the entire *SIXTH* gene family using Blast2GO software (Figure S5). *SIXTH* genes are involved in biological processes such as cell wall organization, cell wall biogenesis, and xyloglucan metabolic processes (Figure S5A). Molecular function and cellular compartment results revealed that all members of the *SIXTH* family were located in the cell wall and apoplastic region. However, some *SIXTH* gene members were found to be integral membrane components (Figure S5B) and had hydrolase and transferase activities (Figure S5C). The biological processes, molecular functions, and cellular features of each *SIXTH* protein are specified in Table S6.

Phylogenetic analysis of the *SIXTH* proteins

One hundred fifty-one full-length XTH protein sequences from *S. lycopersicum*, *S. tuberosum*, *P. axillaris*, *N. tabacum*, and *A. thaliana* were used to construct a phylogenetic tree based on the neighbor-joining method (Figure 3; all sequences are provided in File S5). According to this analysis, XTH members are divided into three major subfamilies: an ancestral subfamily (red branch), subfamily I/II (black branch), and subfamily III, which is divided into subfamilies III-A (green branch) and III-B (blue stem) (Figure 3). Nine *SIXTH*s were clustered in the ancestral subfamily, which includes three *SIXTH* proteins (*SIXTH30*, *SIXTH31*, and *SIXTH36*). In subfamily III-A, nine XTHs were grouped, two of which were *SIXTH* proteins. Subfamily III-B contained 21 proteins, six of which were *SIXTH* proteins. The remaining *SIXTH*s belonged to subfamily I/II, which includes most of the XTH members from *P. axillaris*, *A. thaliana*, *S. tuberosum*, *N. tabacum*, and *S. lycopersicum* (Figure 3).

Analysis of cis-acting regulatory elements from the *SIXTH* genes

To further study the potential regulatory elements in the promoters of each member of the *SIXTH* gene family, 2.0 kb of the promoter sequence of each gene was extracted from the tomato genome database, and a cis-acting regulatory element analysis was conducted (File S6). This analysis revealed that *SIXTH* promoters have many regulatory elements, including some involved in cell development, stress-related elements, and hormone regulation (Figure 4). Methyl jasmonate (MeJa)-responsive regulatory elements were identified in 21 *SIXTH* promoters (Figure 4, see brown rectangles with a dot). Defense- and stress-responsive cis-elements were found in *SIXTH7*, *SIXTH15*, *SIXTH29*, *SIXTH33*, and *SIXTH36* (Figure 4, see purple rectangles with a minus sign). Wound-responsive elements were found in the

Deleted: ,

Deleted: located

Deleted: To

Deleted: , the Ka/Ks parameters were evaluated.

Deleted: out

Deleted: is indicative of

Deleted: , although

Deleted: as an

Deleted: component of the

Deleted: component

Deleted: 151

Deleted:

Deleted: branch

Deleted: a large number and variety of

Deleted:

promoter sequences of *SIXTH7*, *SIXTH8*, *SIXTH13*, *SIXTH19*, and *SIXTH21* genes (Figure 4, see pink rectangles with an asterisk). All 37 *SIXTH* genes contain [many](#) light-responsive elements (Figure 4, see [a](#) brownish rectangle with [a](#) plus sign). A drought-inducible MYB binding site (MBS) was found in almost all *SIXTH* gene promoters, [except](#) *SIXTH10*, *SIXTH11*, *SIXTH24*, *SIXTH28*, and *SIXTH32* (Figure 4, see [the](#) light pink rectangles). The other *SIXTH* members showed different regulatory elements involved in cell development, with roles in meristem expression, endosperm expression, and the cell cycle (Figure 4). This result indicates that [the](#) *SIXTH* gene family members are involved in different biological [processes](#) and can respond to [various](#) biotic and abiotic stresses.

Expression profile of *SIXTH* genes in selected tomato tissues

The expression patterns of *SIXTH* genes in different tissues were analyzed using the temporal and spatial expression information from public RNA-seq projects (SGN database) in RPKM values (Figure 5). Nineteen *SIXTH* genes were expressed in at least one tissue, while 18 were either not expressed in any of the tested tissues or their expression was [relatively](#) low (Figure 5). *SIXTH5*, *SIXTH7*, and *SIXTH16* were highly expressed in leaves, whereas *SIXTH1*, *SIXTH2*, *SIXTH8*, and *SIXTH11* showed less expression in this tissue, and expression was almost undetected in the other members (Figure 5). *SIXTH16* was highly expressed in leaves, roots, and buds, whereas flowers showed low expression. *SIXTH1* and *SIXTH21* were mainly expressed in flowers, whereas *SIXTH14* was expressed in roots and buds. Some *SIXTH* members, such as *SIXTH6* and *SIXTH9*, were [explicitly](#) expressed in roots. Expression in the other *SIXTH* genes was either low or undetected (Figure 5). No RNA-seq study of AM colonized shoots in [tomatoes](#) is available in the SGN database.

Expression profile of *SIXTH* genes in response to arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis

To study the possible role of *SIXTH* family members in the response of tomato plants to AM colonization, we experimentally evaluated the expression levels of some *SIXTH* genes in roots and leaves of colonized (M+) and non-colonized (M-) plants by qRT-PCR. Eight *SIXTH* genes (*SIXTH2*, *SIXTH3*, *SIXTH6*, *SIXTH7*, *SIXTH9*, *SIXTH14*, *SIXTH21*, and *SIXTH35*) were selected based on the fact that they presented at least one [cis-regulatory](#) element responsive to defense, stress, wounds, and MeJa, which are all known or postulated to be involved in the modulation of plant defense and priming (Figure S6). In addition, *SIXTH17*, which does not contain any defense-responsive regulatory elements, was also included in the analysis (Figures 4 and S6). Microscopy observations of tomato roots revealed that symbiotic structures such as intraradical hyphae, vesicles, and arbuscules were observed in colonized (M+) plants (Figure 6A, see labels ih, V, and *). As expected, no symbiotic structures were observed in non-colonized (mock, M-) tomato plants (Figure 6B). In addition, RT-PCR was performed using tomato mycorrhiza-specific phosphate transporters (*SIPT4*) as a molecular marker of mycorrhiza colonization in tomato roots, and *SIPT4* transcript accumulation was only detected in the roots of colonized (M+) plants (Figure 6C).

Differential expression of *SIXTHs* was observed in leaves and roots in response to AM symbiosis. In leaves, only *SIXTH2* showed higher relative expression in M+ plants as compared to M- plants, whereas *SIXTH3*, *SIXTH6*, *SIXTH7*, *SIXTH9*, *SIXTH14*, *SIXTH21* and *SIXTH35* showed downregulation (Figure 7). The expression of *SIXTH17* was unchanged regardless of the symbiotic status of tomato plants in leaves. In roots, most *SIXTH* genes exhibit no differential change in expression profile in M+ plants compared to

Deleted: a large number of

Deleted: , with the exception of

Deleted: process

Deleted: a variety of

Deleted:),

Deleted: the form of

Deleted: ,

Deleted: quite

Deleted: specifically

Deleted:

Deleted: tomato

Deleted:

Deleted: roots of

Deleted: as

M- plants (Figure 8). Only *SIXTH7* and *SIXTH35* were upregulated in response to AM colonization (M+), compared to the control plants (M-), whereas *SIXTH3* and *SIXTH21* were downregulated. *SIXTH17* was not expressed in tomato roots, regardless of the plant's symbiotic status (Figure 8). These results indicate that several *SIXTH* genes likely play critical roles in the tomato response to AM symbiosis, suggesting that these genes, which contain regulatory elements involved in plant defense, could participate in the defense priming process and that they are regulated by AM symbiosis.

Discussion

Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolases (*XTHs*) are a group of xyloglucan modifying enzymes that have essential roles in the cleavage and rearrangement of the cell wall, affecting its extensibility in plants (Pauly & Keegstra, 2016). Twenty-five (25) *XTH* sequences in tomatoes have been reported so far (Saladié et al., 2006). Furthermore, based on the release of the tomato genome (The Tomato Genome Consortium, 2012), we identified 37 *SIXTH* gene members by genome-wide screening. Consistent with this, large numbers of *XTHs* have been found in other plant species. It is well known that genes' structural and physicochemical features are related to their functionality (Baumann et al., 2007). In this work, we found differences in gene structure, such as sequence length, exon-intron distribution, molecular weight, and isoelectric point, which suggests that some *SIXTH* members are functionally different. Furthermore, the 37 *SIXTHs* described in the present work were divided into two subfamilies, subfamily I/II and subfamily III. Conserved motifs analysis indicates that *SIXTHs* from subfamily I/II and subfamily III have ten conserved motifs, whereas *SIXTH31* and *SIXTH36* from subfamily I/II have only six and seven, respectively. This is consistent with previous reports for other *XTHs* (Behar, Graham & Brumer, 2018; Wu et al., 2020; Qiao et al., 2022; Yang, Zhang & Zhou, 2022). Despite these differences, all *SIXTHs* exhibit a highly conserved motif (ExDxE) that acts as the catalytic site for both XET and XEH activity, suggesting that it has been conserved to maintain standard functionality in all members of this family, regardless of any sequence differences among them (Kaewthai et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019). Shinohara & Nishitani (2021) describe that XET and XEH activities are related to extension in loop 2, which is longer than the other subfamilies' loop extension, and the N-glycosylation site, which confers differences in their enzymatic activities between subfamilies.

Previous studies have reported that proteins showing XET activity belong to most parts of subfamilies I/II, III-B, and the ancestral, while proteins showing a combined function of XET and XEH are included primarily in subfamily III-A (Rose et al., 2002; Baumann et al., 2007; Miedes & Lorences, 2009; Kaewthai et al., 2013). Phylogenetic distribution of *XTH* proteins from *S. tuberosum*, *N. tabacum*, *P. axillaris*, and *A. thaliana* reveals that the number of genes in subfamily III-A is the smallest, while the number in subfamily I/II is the largest.

In Arabidopsis, two homolog proteins, AtXTH31 and AtXTH32, belong to subfamily III-A, which was confirmed to exhibit XEH activity under *in vitro* conditions (Kaewthai et al., 2013). Also, *SIXTH6* showed hydrolytic activity (XEH) during fruit growth in *S. lycopersicum* (Baumann et al., 2007). According to our phylogenetic results, Arabidopsis proteins AtXTH31 and AtXTH32 and tomato *SIXTH6* are grouped in subfamily III-A,

Deleted: in comparison

Deleted: Taken together, these

Deleted: -

Deleted: which

Deleted: important

Deleted: tomato

Deleted: Based

Deleted: Consistent with this, large numbers of *XTHs* have been found in other plant species (Yokoyama & Nishitani, 2001; Yokoyama, Rose & Nishitani, 2004; Saladié et al., 2006; Atkinson et al., 2009; Rai et al., 2016; Song et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018; Fu, Liu & Wu, 2019; Li et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2022; Qiao et al., 2022; Yang, Zhang & Zhou, 2022).

Deleted: the

Deleted: of genes

Deleted: The

Deleted: common

Deleted: belong

Deleted: the

Deleted: part to

Deleted: mostly

Field Code Changed

661 which suggests that all members of this subfamily, including SIXTH14, could have the
 662 same enzymatic activity. Subfamily III-B includes, notably, the SIXTH5, SIXTH8, and
 663 AtXTH27 gene products, previously reported to have XET activity (Campbell & Braam,
 664 1999b; Saladié et al., 2006; Baumann et al., 2007). Consistent with this, our results showed
 665 that three additional SIXTH (SIXTH21, SIXTH25, and SIXTH26) grouped into subfamily
 666 III-B, which may share similar functions to the other members of this family. Rose et al.
 667 (2002) associated four members (AtXTH1, AtXTH2, AtXTH3, and AtXTH11) of the *A.*
 668 *thaliana* XTH family in group I (now called ancestral), which present XET
 669 activities. Three SIXTHs (SIXTH30, SIXTH31, and SIXTH36) clustered into this family,
 670 whereas the rest of the SIXTH members grouped into the I/II subfamily, which includes
 671 most of the XTHs from *A. thaliana* predominantly exhibiting XET activity (Rose et al.,
 672 2002). All these results suggest that structural characteristics in the amino acid sequence of
 673 each XTH protein might result in a high possibility of functioning as XEH instead of XET
 674 and support the idea that XTH proteins might cluster according to their functional activity
 675 in different plants (Song et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018; Fu, Liu & Wu, 2019; Li et al.,
 676 2019; Wu et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2022; Qiao et al., 2022; Yang, Zhang & Zhou, 2022).
 677 Additional studies are needed to confirm whether each subfamily of the SIXTH protein
 678 family has XEH, XET, or combined functions.

679 Signal peptides are short sequences located in the N-terminal end of proteins that determine
 680 their entrance into the protein secretion pathway and target proteins to their final location in
 681 the cell. These signals play essential roles in cellular functions, such as cell proliferation
 682 and differentiation, transmembrane transport, and synthesizing new proteins involved in the
 683 cell wall expansion (Owji et al., 2018). Putative signal peptides are found in 34 out of the
 684 37 SIXTHs, indicating that these proteins are transported to, and associated with, the
 685 plasma membrane. Consistently, almost all SIXTH proteins found *in silico* are located in
 686 the plasma membrane, except four SIXTHs situated in the extracellular region of the cell.
 687 These results agree with the XTH localizations reported in other monocotyledonous and
 688 dicotyledonous plants (Song et al., 2018; Fu, Liu & Wu, 2019).

689 Gene mapping positions demonstrated an uneven distribution of the 37 *SIXTH* genes in the
 690 12 tomato chromosomes, which can be used to correlate the evolution of tomatoes with
 691 other plant species (Wu & Tanksley, 2010; Fu, Liu & Wu, 2019; Wu et al., 2020). In this
 692 work, four *SIXTHs* arranged in two homologous pairs (*SIXTH3/SIXTH37* and *SIXTH*
 693 *24/SIXTH35*) were confirmed to be the result of tandem duplication events. On the other
 694 hand, 24 of the 37 *SIXTHs* were identified as having arisen as segmental events. This could
 695 have increased the functional divergence among XTH members, and suggests that
 696 duplication events were likely involved during plant evolution and that they have played
 697 roles in expanding multigene families in plant species (Panchy, Lehti-Shiu & Shiu, 2016;
 698 Clark & Donoghue, 2018), such as with the *SIXTH* gene family.
 699 In tomatoes, duplications were estimated to occur approximately 7.4 million years ago by
 700 the Ka/Ks ratio. This divergence time is consistent with findings in *B. oleracea*, *N.*
 701 *tabacum*, and *S. superba*, where segmental duplication occurred, 10 million years (Wang et
 702 al., 2018; Wu et al., 2020; Yang, Zhang & Zhou, 2022).

Deleted:)

Deleted: important

Deleted: several

Deleted: the synthesis of

Deleted: with the exception of

Deleted: located

Deleted: are in agreement

Deleted: tomato

Deleted: ,

Deleted: tomato

Deleted: in which

Deleted: before

715 The correlation between duplication events and common *cis*-acting regulatory elements was
 716 previously reported (Flagel & Wendel, 2009; Arsoovski et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2020). Our
 717 study shows that most *SIXTH* gene duplicated pairs present common *cis*-acting regulatory
 718 elements in their promoter region. Regulatory elements play essential roles by modulating
 719 the transcriptional gene expression (Zhu et al., 2022). This study found various
 720 phytohormone and defense/stress-responsive elements in the promoter regions of *SIXTH*
 721 genes, including MeJa-responsive and W-box elements, essential factors regulating plant
 722 responses to abiotic and biotic stresses.

723 Regarding our *in silico* expression analysis using previously reported transcriptomic data,
 724 19 *SIXTH* genes were expressed across all tissues examined from the databases.

725 Interestingly, some *SIXTH* genes were found to be highly expressed in leaves (*SIXTH5*,
 726 *SIXTH7*, and *SIXTH16*), roots (*SIXTH14* and *SIXTH6*), flowers (*SIXTH1* and *SIXTH21*), and
 727 buds (*SIXTH14* and *SIXTH16*), suggesting that these genes may play an important role
 728 during cell differentiation in tomato. Differential expression patterns of *XTHs* have also
 729 been found in other plant species (Wang et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2020).

730 It is well known that AM symbiosis affects the expression profile of plant genes for the plant
 731 to accommodate the fungal symbiont in the roots and to adjust its responses to the symbiotic
 732 interaction, such as for improved nutrient and water acquisition and responses to abiotic and
 733 biotic stresses (Miozzi et al., 2019; Sanmartín et al., 2020; Pozo de la Hoz et al., 2021). In
 734 the present work, the expression profiles of nine *SIXTH* genes were evaluated in response to
 735 AM symbiosis. Even though we did not investigate the response of AM tomato tissues when
 736 challenged by a pathogen, it has already been reported that a priming mechanism is
 737 systemically induced by AM symbiosis that allows plants to improve their defenses against
 738 subsequent pathogen attack (Pozo & Azcón-Aguilar, 2007). We, therefore, hypothesize that
 739 mycorrhiza-responsive genes, such as some *XTH* genes, could be related to this defense
 740 priming mechanism. Although during early interaction between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
 741 and plant roots, some defense secondary metabolite accumulation occurs, the magnitude of
 742 this response is milder than the one observed during a pathogen attack (Harrison and Dixon,
 743 1993). Similarly, while some defense genes are induced transcriptionally in mycorrhiza
 744 colonized roots, the expression profile of other genes differs and is less intense than in
 745 pathogen-infected tissues (Pieterse et al., 2015). Then, the plant can recognize AM fungus as
 746 a beneficial partner.

747 Our results reveal that AM symbiosis induces differential expression in most of the selected
 748 *SIXTH* genes in the leaves and roots of tomato plants. In leaves, seven *SIXTH* genes were
 749 downregulated in AM symbiotic plants, whereas one gene was upregulated (*SIXTH2*), and
 750 another was unaffected (*SIXTH17*). In the roots, however, only two *SIXTHs* (*SIXTH7* and
 751 *SIXTH35*) were upregulated, and two (*SIXTH3* and *SIXTH21*) were downregulated. Then, it
 752 cannot be ruled out that these differentially regulated *SIXTH* genes are involved in
 753 establishing symbiosis. However, additional studies must be done to confirm this
 754 possibility.

755 Interestingly, *SIXTH17* was undetectable in tomato roots, indicating that this gene might be
 756 explicitly expressed in leaves. In a previous transcriptomic analysis in shoots of AM-
 757 colonized *Medicago truncatula*, a putative *XTH* gene (MT001587) was also described to be
 758 upregulated (Liu et al., 2007), which is in agreement with the fact that *SIXTH2* was the only
 759 induced gene in AM tomato shoots. A multiple sequence alignment (ClustalW) comprised

Deleted: Consistent with this, our

Deleted: important

Deleted: In this

Deleted: , we

Deleted: which are important

Deleted: of the

Deleted:)

Deleted: in order

Deleted: ,

Deleted: ,

Deleted: one

Deleted: on the other hand

Deleted: the establishment of the

Deleted: , although

Deleted:

Deleted: specifically

Deleted:

Deleted: alignment

of MT001587 *M. truncatula* gene product (NCBI protein sequence accession RHN64771) with the 37 SIXTH protein family members described in the present work suggests that this gene could be orthologous to *SIXTH2*. The upregulation of these genes in shoots of AM_r-colonized plants in *tomatoes* and *M. truncatula* supports this idea. The fact that most *SIXTH* genes repress in leaves and only *SIXTH2* upregulates might indicate that XTH activity is highly regulated as a response in leaves to mycorrhiza colonization.

It can be hypothesized that both XTH enzymatic activities (endotransglucosylase and hydrolase) modify cell walls in fungal penetration of root cells during arbuscular mycorrhizal establishment. In *tomatoes*, we found at least four differentially regulated *SIXTH* genes in the roots of colonized plants. The coordination of the expression of these genes may intervene in the accommodation of the fungus within the hearts. In shoots, differentially expressed genes were also identified in colonized plants. In particular, *SIXTH2* was found to be upregulated, whereas seven *SIXTH* genes were downregulated. This suggests, in shoots, that cell wall modification might also occur in colonized plants. Furthermore, the rearrangement of the xyloglucan backbone in leaf cells of colonized plants by *XTHs* could strengthen their cell walls by making them less susceptible to subsequent pathogen attacks. Thus, in addition to facilitating fungal invasion in root tissues, modification of cell walls by *XTHs* via mycorrhiza colonization might also fortify shoot tissues to resist biotic stress better. These results are consistent with previous reports in other plant species, where several genes involved in cell wall biogenesis are upregulated in response to AM symbiosis (Schoenherr et al., 2019; Sanmartín et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2021; Pozo de la Hoz et al., 2021). Specifically, the GO analysis and the predicted 3D protein structure indicate that these nine *SIXTHs* are involved in cell wall biogenesis by transferring and hydrolyzing xyloglucan in the cell wall. Finally, the results from this study will provide a foundation for further investigation of the function of *XTH* genes in tomato plants and their role in AM symbiosis.

Conclusions

In this study, 37 *SIXTH* genes were identified and characterized in tomato (*S. lycopersicum*) using a comprehensive genome-wide analysis. All *SIXTH* proteins were classified into three subfamilies (ancestral subfamily, subfamily I/II, and subfamily III) by comparison with other *XTHs* from Solanaceae and *A. thaliana*. Structural genomic (exon/intron) and conserved motifs also support this classification. Evolutionary aspects in *tomatoes* revealed that the expansion of *SIXTH* genes occurs by tandem and segmental gene duplication. Through gene ontology (GO) annotation, we found that all *SIXTHs* participated in cell wall biogenesis, and in xyloglucan metabolism, which is consistent with the function predicted by the 3D protein structure. The occurrence of certain *cis*-acting regulatory elements in the promoter region of *SIXTH* genes indicates their potential roles in cell development, defense and stress responses, and hormone signaling. Expression analysis in different tissues revealed that some *SIXTH* members are differentially expressed in the leaves and roots of *tomatoes* in response to AM symbiosis. The such differential expression might be used to finely regulate the establishment of the fungus in root cells, and strengthen leaf cells to reduce susceptibility to pathogens by rearranging cell wall components such as xyloglucans. Taken together, our research provides a comprehensive and systematic analysis of the *XTH* gene family in *tomatoes* and presents new sources for further investigations of the molecular role of *SIXTHs*.

Deleted:

Deleted: both tomato

Deleted: are involved in the modification of

Deleted: tomato

Deleted: , and it is possible that the

Deleted: intervenes

Deleted: roots

Deleted: The

Deleted: attack

Deleted: better

Deleted:),

Deleted: tomato

Deleted: in number

Deleted: , as well as

Deleted: tomato

Deleted: Such

Deleted: roots

Deleted: , as well as

Deleted: tomato,

Acknowledgments

We thank Claudia María Ramírez-Douriet for [her](#) technical assistance.

References

- Albert M, Werner M, Proksch P, Fry SC, Kaldenhoff R. 2004. The cell wall-modifying xyloglucan endotransglycosylase/hydrolase LeKTH1 is expressed during the defence reaction of tomato against the plant parasite *Cuscuta reflexa*. *Plant Biology* 6:402–407. DOI: 10.1055/s-2004-817959.
- Arsovski AA, Pradinuk J, Guo XQ, Wang S, Adams KL. 2015. Evolution of cis-regulatory elements and regulatory networks in duplicated genes of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiology* 169:2982–2991. DOI: 10.1104/pp.15.00717.
- Atkinson RG, Johnston SL, Yauk YK, Sharma NN, Schröder R. 2009. Analysis of xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (XTH) gene families in kiwifruit and apple. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* 51:149–157. DOI: 10.1016/j.postharvbio.2008.06.014.
- Bailey TL, Boden M, Buske FA, Frith M, Grant CE, Clementi L, Ren J, Li WW, Noble WS. 2009. MEME Suite: Tools for motif discovery and searching. *Nucleic Acids Research* 37:202–208. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkp335.
- Baumann MJ, Eklöf JM, Michel G, Kallas ÅM, Teeri TT, Czjzek M, Brumer H. 2007. Structural evidence for the evolution of xyloglucanase activity from xyloglucan Endo-transglycosylases: Biological implications for cell wall metabolism. *Plant Cell* 19:1947–1963. DOI: 10.1105/tpc.107.051391.
- BÉCARD G, FORTIN JA. 1988. Early events of vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhiza formation on Ri T-DNA transformed roots. *New Phytologist* 108:211–218. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1988.tb03698.x.
- Behar H, Graham SW, Brumer H. 2018. Comprehensive cross-genome survey and phylogeny of glycoside hydrolase family 16 members reveals the evolutionary origin of EG16 and XTH proteins in plant lineages. *Plant Journal* 95:1114–1128. DOI: 10.1111/tpj.14004.
- Biasini M, Bienert S, Waterhouse A, Arnold K, Studer G, Schmidt T, Kiefer F, Cassarino TG, Bertoni M, Bordoli L, Schwede T. 2014. SWISS-MODEL: Modelling protein tertiary and quaternary structure using evolutionary information. *Nucleic Acids Research* 42:252–258. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gku340.
- Campbell P, Braam J. 1999. Xyloglucan endotransglycosylases: diversity of genes, enzymes and potential wall-modifying functions. *Trends in Plant Science* 4:361–366. DOI: 10.1016/S1360-1385(99)01468-5.
- Cervantes-Gómez RG, Bueno-Ibarra MA, Cruz-Mendivil A, Calderón-Vázquez CL, Ramírez-Douriet CM, Maldonado-Mendoza IE, Villalobos-López MÁ, Valdez-Ortiz Á, López-Meyer M. 2016. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis-Induced Expression Changes in *Solanum lycopersicum* Leaves Revealed by RNA-seq Analysis. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* 34:89–102. DOI: 10.1007/s11105-015-0903-9.
- Chen C, Chen H, Zhang Y, Thomas HR, Frank MH, He Y, Xia R. 2020. TBtools: An Integrative Toolkit Developed for Interactive Analyses of Big Biological Data. *Molecular Plant* 13:1194–1202. DOI: 10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.009.
- Cho SK, Kim JE, Park JA, Eom TJ, Kim WT. 2006. Constitutive expression of abiotic stress-inducible hot pepper CaXTH3, which encodes a xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase homolog, improves drought and salt tolerance in transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants. *FEBS Letters* 580:3136–3144. DOI: 10.1016/j.febslet.2006.04.062.

Clark JW, Donoghue PCJ. 2018. Whole-Genome Duplication and Plant Macroevolution. *Trends in Plant Science* 23:933–945. DOI: 10.1016/j.tplants.2018.07.006.

Conesa A, Götz S. 2008. Blast2GO: A comprehensive suite for functional analysis in plant genomics. *International Journal of Plant Genomics* 2008. DOI: 10.1155/2008/619832.

Cosgrove DJ. 2022. Building an extensible cell wall. *Plant Physiology*:1–32. DOI: 10.1093/plphys/kiac184.

Flagel LE, Wendel JF. 2009. Gene duplication and evolutionary novelty in plants. *New Phytologist* 183:557–564. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02923.x.

Fu MM, Liu C, Wu F. 2019. Genome-Wide Identification, Characterization and Expression Analysis of Xyloglucan Endotransglucosylase/Hydrolase Genes Family in Barley (*Hordeum vulgare*). *Molecules* 24:1–14. DOI: 10.3390/molecules24101935.

Ganko EW, Meyers BC, Vision TJ. 2007. Divergence in expression between duplicated genes in arabidopsis. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 24:2298–2309. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msm158.

Han Y, Ban Q, Li H, Hou Y, Jin M, Han S, Rao J. 2016. DkXTH8, a novel xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase in persimmon, alters cell wall structure and promotes leaf senescence and fruit postharvest softening. *Scientific Reports* 6:1–15. DOI: 10.1038/srep39155.

Harada T, Torii Y, Morita S, Onodera R, Hara Y, Yokoyama R, Nishitani K, Satoh S. 2011. Cloning, characterization, and expression of xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase and expansin genes associated with petal growth and development during carnation flower opening. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 62:815–823. DOI: 10.1093/jxb/erq319.

Harrison MJ, Dixon RA. 1993. Isoflavonoid Accumulation and Expression of Defense Gene Transcripts During the Establishment of Vesicular-Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Associations in Roots of *Medicago truncatula*. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 6:643. DOI: 10.1094/MPMI-6-643.

Hayashi T, Kaida R. 2011. Functions of xyloglucan in plant cells. *Molecular Plant* 4:17–24. DOI: 10.1093/mp/ssq063.

Hoagland, D.R. and Arnon DI. 1950. The Water-Culture Method for Growing Plants without Soil. *Soil Science* 48:356. DOI: 10.1097/00010694-193910000-00022.

Hoch G. 2007. Cell wall hemicelluloses as mobile carbon stores in non-reproductive plant tissues. *Functional Ecology* 21:823–834. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01305.x.

Hu B, Jin J, Guo AY, Zhang H, Luo J, Gao G. 2015. GSDS 2.0: An upgraded gene feature visualization server. *Bioinformatics* 31:1296–1297. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu817.

Jan A, Yang G, Nakamura H, Ichikawa H, Kitano H, Matsuoka M, Matsumoto H, Komatsu S. 2004. Characterization of a xyloglucan endotransglucosylase gene that is up-regulated by gibberellin in rice. *Plant Physiology* 136:3670–3681. DOI: 10.1104/pp.104.052274.

Jiang D, Tan M, Wu S, Zheng L, Wang Q, Wang G, Yan S. 2021. Defense responses of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus-colonized poplar seedlings against gypsy moth larvae: a multiomics study. *Horticulture Research* 8. DOI: 10.1038/s41438-021-00671-3.

Johansson P, Brumer H, Baumann MJ, Kallas ÅM, Henriksson H, Denman SE, Teeri TT, Jones TA. 2004. Crystal structures of a poplar xyloglucan endotransglucosylase reveal details of transglycosylation acceptor binding. *Plant Cell* 16:874–886. DOI: 10.1105/tpc.020065.

Kaewthai N, Gendreau D, Eklöf JM, Ibatullin FM, Ezcurra I, Bhalerao RP, Brumer H. 2013. Group III-A XTH genes of Arabidopsis encode predominant xyloglucan endohydrolases that are dispensable for normal growth. *Plant Physiology* 161:440–454. DOI: 10.1104/pp.112.207308.

Koch MA, Haubold B, Mitchell-Olds T. 2000. Comparative evolutionary analysis of chalcone synthase and alcohol dehydrogenase loci in Arabidopsis, Arabis, and related genera (Brassicaceae). *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 17:1483–1498. DOI:

10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026248.

Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. 2018. MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35:1547–1549. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/msy096.

Lescot M. 2002. PlantCARE, a database of plant cis-acting regulatory elements and a portal to tools for in silico analysis of promoter sequences. *Nucleic Acids Research* 30:325–327. DOI: 10.1093/nar/30.1.325.

Letunic I, Bork P. 2016. Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the display and annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. *Nucleic acids research* 44:W242–W245. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkw290.

Li Q, Li H, Yin C, Wang X, Jiang Q, Zhang R, Ge F, Chen Y, Yang L. 2019. Genome-wide identification and characterization of xyloglucan endotransglycosylase/hydrolase in ananas comosus during development. *Genes* 10. DOI: 10.3390/genes10070537.

Liu J, Maldonado-Mendoza I, Lopez-Meyer M, Cheung F, Town CD, Harrison MJ. 2007. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis is accompanied by local and systemic alterations in gene expression and an increase in disease resistance in the shoots. *Plant Journal* 50:529–544. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3113X.2007.03069.x.

Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2- $\Delta\Delta$ CT method. *Methods* 25:402–408. DOI: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262.

Méndez-Yañez Á, Beltrán D, Campano-Romero C, Molinett S, Herrera R, Moya-León MA, Morales-Quintana L. 2017. Glycosylation is important for FcXTH1 activity as judged by its structural and biochemical characterization. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 119:200–210. DOI: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2017.08.030.

Mendoza-Soto AB, Rodríguez-Corral AZ, Bojórquez-López A, Cervantes-Rojo M, Castro-Martínez C, Lopez-Meyer M. 2022. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis Leads to Differential Regulation of Genes and miRNAs Associated with the Cell Wall in Tomato Leaves. *Biology* 11:854. DOI: 10.3390/biology11060854.

Miedes E, Lorences EP. 2009. Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolases (XTHs) during tomato fruit growth and ripening. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 166:489–498. DOI: 10.1016/j.jplph.2008.07.003.

Miozzi L, Vaira AM, Catoni M, Fiorilli V, Accotto GP, Lanfranco L. 2019. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis: Plant friend or foe in the fight against viruses? *Frontiers in Microbiology* 10. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01238.

Muñoz-Bertomeu J, Miedes E, Lorences EP. 2013. Expression of xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (XTH) genes and XET activity in ethylene treated apple and tomato fruits. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 170:1194–1201. DOI: 10.1016/j.jplph.2013.03.015.

Niraula PM, Zhang X, Jeremic D, Lawrence KS, Klink VP. 2021. *Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase/hydrolase increases tightly-bound xyloglucan and chain number but decreases chain length contributing to the defense response that Glycine max has to Heterodera glycines*. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0244305.

Opazo MC, Figueroa CR, Henríquez J, Herrera R, Bruno C, Valenzuela PDT, Moya-León MA. 2010. Characterization of two divergent cDNAs encoding xyloglucan endotransglycosylase/hydrolase (XTH) expressed in *Fragaria chiloensis* fruit. *Plant Science* 179:479–488. DOI: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2010.07.018.

Osato Y, Yokoyama R, Nishitani K. 2006. A principal role for AtXTH18 in *Arabidopsis thaliana* root growth: A functional analysis using RNAi plants. *Journal of Plant Research* 119:153–162. DOI: 10.1007/s10265-006-0262-6.

986 Owji H, Nezafat N, Negahdaripour M, Hajiebrahimi A, Ghasemi Y. 2018. A comprehensive review of
 987 signal peptides: Structure, roles, and applications. *European Journal of Cell Biology* 97:422–
 988 441. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejcb.2018.06.003.
 989 Panchy N, Lehti-Shiu M, Shiu SH. 2016. Evolution of gene duplication in plants. *Plant Physiology*
 990 171:2294–2316. DOI: 10.1104/pp.16.00523.
 991 Pauly M, Keegstra K. 2016. Biosynthesis of the Plant Cell Wall Matrix Polysaccharide Xyloglucan*.
 992 *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 67:235–259. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-043015-112222.
 993 Pieterse CMJ, Zamioudis C, Berendsen RL, Weller DM, Van Wees SCM, Bakker PAHM. 2014.
 994 Induced systemic resistance by beneficial microbes. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*
 995 52:347–375. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-082712-102340.
 996 Phillips JM, Hayman DS. 1970. Improved procedures for clearing roots and staining parasitic and
 997 vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. *Transactions of the*
 998 *British Mycological Society* 55:158–IN18. DOI: 10.1016/S0007-1536(70)80110-3.
 999 Pozo MJ, Azcón-Aguilar C. 2007. Unraveling mycorrhiza-induced resistance. *Current Opinion in*
 1000 *Plant Biology* 10:393–398. DOI: 10.1016/j.pbi.2007.05.004.
 1001 Pozo de la Hoz J, Rivero J, Azcón-Aguilar C, Urrestarazu M, Pozo MJ. 2021. Mycorrhiza-Induced
 1002 Resistance against Foliar Pathogens Is Uncoupled of Nutritional Effects under Different Light
 1003 Intensities. *Journal of Fungi* 7:402. DOI: 10.3390/jof7060402.
 1004 Qiao T, Zhang L, Yu Y, Pang Y, Tang X, Wang X, Li L, Li B, Sun Q. 2022. Identification and expression
 1005 analysis of xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (XTH) family in grapevine (*Vitis*
 1006 *vinifera* L.). *PeerJ* 10:e13546. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.13546.
 1007 Rai KM, Thu SW, Balasubramanian VK, Cobos CJ, Disasa T, Mendu V. 2016. Identification,
 1008 characterization, and expression analysis of cell wall related genes in Sorghum bicolor (L.)
 1009 moench, a food, fodder, and biofuel crop. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7:1–19. DOI:
 1010 10.3389/fpls.2016.01287.
 1011 Rose JKC, Braam J, Fry SC, Nishitani K. 2002. The XTH family of enzymes involved in xyloglucan
 1012 endotransglucosylation and endohydrolysis: Current perspectives and a new unifying
 1013 nomenclature. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 43:1421–1435. DOI: 10.1093/pcp/pcf171.
 1014 Saladié M, Rose JKC, Cosgrove DJ, Catalá C. 2006. Characterization of a new xyloglucan
 1015 endotransglucosylase/hydrolase (XTH) from ripening tomato fruit and implications for the
 1016 diverse modes of enzymic action. *Plant Journal* 47:282–295. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-
 1017 313X.2006.02784.x.
 1018 Sanmartín N, Pastor V, Pastor-Fernández J, Flors V, Pozo MJ, Sánchez-Bel P. 2021. Role and
 1019 mechanisms of callose priming in mycorrhiza-induced resistance. *Journal of Experimental*
 1020 *Botany* 71:2769–2781. DOI: 10.1093/jxb/ERAA030.
 1021 Sanmartín N, Sánchez-Bel P, Pastor V, Pastor-Fernández J, Mateu D, Pozo MJ, Cerezo M, Flors V.
 1022 2020. Root-to-shoot signalling in mycorrhizal tomato plants upon Botrytis cinerea infection.
 1023 *Plant Science* 298:110595. DOI: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2020.110595.
 1024 Dos Santos HP, Purgatto E, Mercier H, Buckeridge MS. 2004. The control of storage xyloglucan
 1025 mobilization in cotyledons of Hymenaea courbaril. *Plant Physiology* 135:287–299. DOI:
 1026 10.1104/pp.104.040220.
 1027 Scheller HV, Ulvskov P. 2010. Hemicelluloses. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 61:263–289. DOI:
 1028 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042809-112315.
 1029 Schoenherr AP, Rizzo E, Jackson N, Manosalva P, Gomez SK. 2019. Mycorrhiza-induced resistance
 1030 in potato involves priming of defense responses against cabbage looper (Noctuidae:
 1031 Lepidoptera). *Environmental Entomology* 48:370–381. DOI: 10.1093/ee/nvy195.
 1032 Smith SE and RDJ. 2008. *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*. Elsevier. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-370526-
 1033 6.X5001-6.

1034 Somerville C, Bauer S, Brininstool G, Facette M, Hamann T, Milne J, Osborne E, Paredes A, Persson
1035 S, Raab T, Vorwerk S, Youngs H. 2004. Toward a Systems Approach to Understanding Plant
1036 Cell Walls. *Science* 306:2206–2211. DOI: 10.1126/science.1102765.

1037 Song L, Valliyodan B, Prince S, Wan J, Nguyen HT. 2018. Characterization of the XTH gene family:
1038 New insight to the roles in soybean flooding tolerance. *International Journal of Molecular*
1039 *Sciences* 19. DOI: 10.3390/ijms19092705.

1040 Shinohara N, Nishitani K. 2021. Cryogenian Origin and Subsequent Diversification of the Plant Cell-
1041 Wall Enzyme XTH Family. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 62:1874–1889. DOI:
1042 10.1093/pcp/pcab093.

1043 Spatafora JW, Chang Y, Benny GL, Lazarus K, Smith ME, Berbee ML, Bonito G, Corradi N, Grigoriev
1044 I, Gryganskyi A, James TY, O'Donnell K, Roberson RW, Taylor TN, Uehling J, Vilgalys R, White
1045 MM, Stajich JE. 2016. A phylum-level phylogenetic classification of zygomycete fungi based
1046 on genome-scale data. *Mycologia* 108:1028–1046. DOI: 10.3852/16-042.

1047 The Tomato Genome Consortium. 2012. The tomato genome sequence provides insights into
1048 fleshy fruit evolution. *Nature* 485:635–641. DOI: 10.1038/nature11119.

1049 Voiniciuc C. 2022. Modern mannan: a hemicellulose's journey. *New Phytologist* 234:1175–1184.
1050 DOI: 10.1111/nph.18091.

1051 Wang M, Xu Z, Ding A, Kong Y. 2018. Genome-wide identification and expression profiling analysis
1052 of the xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase gene family in Tobacco (*Nicotiana*
1053 *tabacum* L.). *Genes* 9. DOI: 10.3390/genes9060273.

1054 Wu Y, Jeong BR, Fry SC, Boyer JS. 2005. Change in XET activities, cell wall extensibility and
1055 hypocotyl elongation of soybean seedlings at low water potential. *Planta* 220:593–601. DOI:
1056 10.1007/s00425-004-1369-4.

1057 Wu D, Liu A, Qu X, Liang J, Song M. 2020. Genome-wide identification, and phylogenetic and
1058 expression profiling analyses, of XTH gene families in *Brassica rapa* L. and *Brassica oleracea* L.
1059 *BMC Genomics* 21:1–17. DOI: 10.1186/s12864-020-07153-1.

1060 Wu F, Tanksley SD. 2010. Chromosomal evolution in the plant family Solanaceae. *BMC Genomics*
1061 11. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2164-11-182.

1062 Xu W, Campbell P, Vargheese AK, Braam J. 1996. The Arabidopsis XET-related gene family:
1063 environmental and hormonal regulation of expression. *The Plant Journal* 9:879–889. DOI:
1064 10.1046/j.1365-313X.1996.9060879.x.

1065 Yan J, Huang Y, He H, Han T, Di P, Sechet J, Fang L, Liang Y, Scheller HV, Mortimer JC, Ni L, Jiang M,
1066 Hou X, Zhang A. 2019. Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase-hydrolase30 negatively affects salt
1067 tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 70:5495–5506. DOI:
1068 10.1093/jxb/erz311.

1069 Yang Z, Zhang R, Zhou Z. 2022. The XTH Gene Family in *Schima superba*: Genome-Wide
1070 Identification, Expression Profiles, and Functional Interaction Network Analysis. *Frontiers in*
1071 *Plant Science* 13. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2022.911761.

1072 Yokoyama R, Nishitani K. 2001. A comprehensive expression analysis of all members of a gene
1073 family encoding cell-wall enzymes allowed us to predict cis-regulatory regions involved in
1074 cell-wall construction in specific organs of Arabidopsis. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 42:1025–
1075 1033. DOI: 10.1093/pcp/pce154.

1076 Yokoyama R, Rose JKC, Nishitani K. 2004. A surprising diversity and abundance of xyloglucan
1077 endotransglucosylase/ hydrolases in rice. Classification and expression analysis. *Plant*
1078 *Physiology* 134:1088–1099. DOI: 10.1104/pp.103.035261.

1079 Zhang R, Zheng F, Wei S, Zhang S, Li G, Cao P, Zhao S. 2019. Evolution of disease defense genes and
1080 their regulators in plants. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 20:1–25. DOI:
1081 10.3390/ijms20020335.

1082 Zhao N, Ding X, Lian T, Wang M, Tong Y, Liang D, An Q, Sun S, Jackson SA, Liu B, Xu C. 2020. The
1083 Effects of Gene Duplication Modes on the Evolution of Regulatory Divergence in Wild and
1084 Cultivated Soybean. *Frontiers in Genetics* 11:1–9. DOI: 10.3389/fgene.2020.601003.
1085 Zhu J, Tang G, Xu P, Li G, Ma C, Li P, Jiang C, Shan L, Wan S. 2022. Genome-wide identification of
1086 xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase gene family members in peanut and their
1087 expression profiles during seed germination. *PeerJ* 10:e13428. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.13428.
1088 Zhu Q, Zhang Z, Rao J, Huber DJ, Lv J, Hou Y, Song K. 2013. Identification of xyloglucan
1089 endotransglucosylase/hydrolase genes (XTHs) and their expression in persimmon fruit as
1090 influenced by 1-methylcyclopropene and gibberellic acid during storage at ambient
1091 temperature. *Food Chemistry* 138:471–477. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.09.141.
1092
1093