Evaluation of Waterlogging Tolerance and Responses of Protective

2	Enzymes to Waterlogging Stress in Pumpkin
3	Zhenwei Liu ^{1,2} , Dandan Qiao ^{1,2} , Zhenyu Liu ^{1,2} , Pengwei Wang ^{1,2} , Li Sun ^{1,2} , Xinzheng Li ^{1,2}
4	(¹ College of Horticulture and Landscape Architecture, Henan Institute of Science and Technology,
5	Xinxiang 453003, P.R.China; ² Henan Provincial Research Center for Horticultural Plant Resource
6	Utilization and Germplasm Innovation Engineering, Xinxiang 453003, P. R.China)
7	*Correspondence: School of Horticulture Landscape Architecture, Henan Institute of
8	Science and Technology, Xinxiang 453003, P. R.China.
9	
10	E-mail address:sunli0001977@126.com (Z.Liu)
11	Tel: +86-0373-3040384; fax: +86-0373-3040384.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	

Abstract:

Waterlogging caused by short and severe, or prolonged precipitation can be attributed to global warming. Pumpkin plants are drought-tolerant but not tolerate to waterlogging stress. Under frequent rain and waterlogging conditions, the production of pumpkins is of lower quality, sometimes rotten, and harvest failure occurs in severe cases. Therefore, it is of great significance to assess the waterlogging tolerance mechanism of pumpkin plants. In this study, 10 novel pumpkin varieties from Baimi series were used. The waterlogging tolerance level of pumpkin plants was evaluated by measuring waterlogging tolerance coefficient of biomass and physiological indices using waterlogging stress simulation method. The criteria to evaluate the waterlogging tolerance capacities of pumpkin plants were also explored. Using principal component and membership function analysis, waterlogging tolerance levels of the pumpkin varieties were ranked as follows: Baimi No. 10> Baimi No. 5> Baimi No. 1> Baimi No. 2> Baimi No. 3> Baimi No. 7> Baimi No. 9> Baimi No. 6> Baimi No. 4> Baimi No. 8. Based on the results, Baimi No. 10 was identified with strong waterlogging tolerance and Baimi No. 8 with weak waterlogging tolerance. The responses levels of malondialdehyde (MDA), proline, key enzymes responsible for anaerobic respiration, and antioxidant enzymes to waterlogging stress were studied in pumpkin plants. The relative expression levels of related genes were determined using real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR technique. The aim of our study was to assess the waterlogging tolerance mechanism of pumpkin plants, thus laying a theoretical foundation for breeding waterlogging-tolerant varieties in the future. After flooding stress treatment, the antioxidant enzyme activities, contents of proline and alcohol dehydrogenases of Baimi No. 10 and Baimi No. 8 displayed an increase followed by a decrease. All indices of Baimi No. 10 were higher than Baimi No. 8. MDA contents gradually increased, with the content being higher in Baimi No. 8 than Baimi No. 10. The activities of pyruvate decarboxylases (PDCs) in Baimi No. 8 and Baimi No. 10 exhibited a decrease initially, followed by an increase, and then a decrease again. The PDC activity in Baimi No. 8 was generally higher than Baimi No. 10. The relative expression levels of genes encoding superoxide dismutase, peroxidase, catalase, and ascorbate peroxidase were consistent with their corresponding enzyme activities. During the early stage of flooding stress, pumpkin plants waterlogging tolerance was improved by enhancing the expression levels of antioxidant enzyme encoding genes and increasing the antioxidant enzyme activities.

1 Introduction

stress, Waterlogging tolerance evaluation

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31 32

33 34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

In recent years, waterlogging has increased significantly around the globe due to the EI Niño phenomenon (Tang L et al. 1998). Under waterlogging stress, the normal growth of crops is hindered, resulting in reduced yield or even harvest failure in severe cases_(Tian L 2019). Pumpkins are drought-tolerant crops that are very sensitive to flooding. Frequent rain and waterlogging results in the deterioration of pumpkin quality, rotten melons, and loss of harvest. It not only affects the quality and yield of pumpkins, but also seriously impacts agricultural production and farmers income. Waterlogging tolerance of crops is a complex quantitative trait that is influenced by multiple factors and the mechanisms also vary with different crops. After flooding, various morphological, physiological, and biochemical indices of crops can be used as an evaluation indicator to measure their waterlogging tolerance (Shi M et al.2006). Based on the phenotypic changes of

Keywords: Protective enzymes, Pumpkin, Responses , RT-qPCR , Waterlogging

chrysanthemum during flooding, Yin et al. (Yin D et al. 2009) established an evaluation system to measure waterlogging resistance for chrysanthemum using the appearance and morphological indicators (e.g., leaf color). At present, research on the waterlogging tolerance of vegetables mostly focuses only on a few crops, such as eggplant, cucumber, and pepper, and there are few research reports regarding the waterlogging tolerance of pumpkins. In this study, we used the multi-factor membership function to evaluate the waterlogging tolerance of pumpkin and conducted principal component analysis (PCA) to enhance the reliability of our evaluation method (Yang X et al.2016;Qi X et al.2011; Zheng J et al.2015; Li T 2007). Under normal growth conditions, the production and scavenging of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in crops are in a relatively equilibrium state, and the balance would be disturbed if stress occurs. The antioxidant enzyme system is an important system for scavenging ROS in plants, and it has the ability to resist the toxic by-products produced from stress-induced metabolism. Superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD), catalase (CAT), and ascorbic acid peroxidase (APX) are key enzymes in the antioxidant system (Imahori Y et al. 2008; Miller G et al. 2010). The APX activity of Solanaceae plants increases significantly under waterlogging stress, which plays a key role in the scavenging mechanism of H₂O₂ (Lin K 2004). After the watermelon seedlings were induced with waterlogging stress in the leaves, a sequence of events occurred, including the inhibition of SOD activity, the increase of ROS levels, and an increase in SOD and POD activities to scavenge ROS. With the increase in stress, ROS levels further increased, causing membrane lipid peroxidation or delipidation of membrane lipids, which resulted in the destruction of the membrane structure. Such high ROS levels also led to the accumulation of malondialdehyde (MDA), caused a decrease in the activity of protective enzymes, and damaged the plasma membrane (Liu W et al. 2016). After stress treatment, the MDA content of peony leaves increased significantly, and a greater increase was observed with the stronger stress level and longer treatment time (Wang J 2015). The MDA content of bitter gourd increased significantly after 4 days of flooding, indicating that the degree of waterlogging was directly proportional to the accumulation of MDA (Zhu J et al. 2016). Under waterlogging stress, osmotic regulators have certain effects on ROS scavenging. For example, the increase in proline content is associated with the effective scavenging of ROS (Jiang M 1999). After 10 days of flooding, the proline content in cucumber was significantly higher than that of the control, with an increase of 58.9% (Barickman TC et al.2019). Soil hypoxia is caused by waterlogging stress, which inhibits the aerobic respiration pathway of roots, increases the activity of anaerobic respiration enzymes, and strengthens the anaerobic respiration pathway. Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) and pyruvate decarboxylase (PDC) are key enzymes in the anaerobic respiration pathways (Baileyserres J et al. 2005). ADH-deficient transgenic plants were more sensitive to waterlogging, indicating that ethanol fermentation plays an important role in the plants mechanism of waterlogging tolerance (Thomas AL et al. 2005). Additionally, the activities of ADH and PDC were accelerated, and the concentrations of ethanol, acetaldehyde, and lactic acid were increased After after the cucumber was submerged in water for 48 hours, the activities of ADH and PDC were accelerated, and the concentrations of ethanol, acetaldehyde, and lactic acid were increased (Xu X et al.2014). Different crops exhibit distinct resistance levels during waterlogging stress, and the expression of related genes is greatly associated with genotype. Under adverse stress conditions, some related genes are either induced or silenced, and their products resist the environmental stresses causing

morphological and physiological changes in plants that affect their normal growth (Zhang Y et

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

- al.2011; Long C 2019). Since real-time fluorescence quantitative polymerase chain raction (RT-
- 2 qPCR) was invented, it has widely been used in various fields and is one of the important techniques
- 3 to quantitatively detect gene expression (Wang S et al.2013; Tian P et al.2015). Xu used RT-qPCR
- 4 technology to measure the relative expression of waterlogging tolerance-related genes in tea plants
- 5 (Xu Y 2016).
- 6 The characteristics of different varieties of the same crop vary greatly, including waterlogging
- 7 tolerance level. The effects on crop physiology also vary with stress level and duration. Therefore,
- 8 it is of great significance to study the waterlogging tolerance of different varieties of pumpkin. At
- 9 present, there is no recognized standard to evaluate the waterlogging tolerance of melons. In this
- 10 study, novel pumpkin varieties of the Baimi series were used, and biomass and physiological indices
- 11 were determined using waterlogging stress simulation method. PCA and membership function
- 12 analysis were used to identify waterlogging-tolerant varieties of pumpkin and an evaluation method
- 13 was devised to assess their waterlogging tolerance. Waterlogging simulation was used to study the
- stress response of identified varieties with strong or weak waterlogging tolerance, including changes
- in MDA content, proline content, and activities of key enzymes responsible for anaerobic respiration
- and antioxidant enzymes under waterlogging stress. The relative expression levels of related genes
- and annoxidant enzymes under waterlogging sitess. The relative expression levels of related genes
- 17 were determined using RT-qPCR technique. The aim of this study was to explore the mechanisms
- 18 of waterlogging tolerance in pumpkins, thus laying a theoretical foundation for breeding novel
- 19 waterlogging-resistant pumpkin varieties.

20 2 Materials and methods

2.1 Experimental materials

- 22 The experiment was conducted on 10 pumpkin varieties, including Baimi No. 1, Baimi No. 2, Baimi
- No. 3, Baimi No. 4, Baimi No. 5, Baimi No. 6, Baimi No. 7, Baimi No. 8, Baimi No. 9, and Baimi
- 24 No.10. All varieties were provided by the Henan Institute of Science and Technology, Henan, China.

25 2.2 Experimental design

- 26 Waterlogging stress simulations were carried out in the laboratory of the School of Horticulture and
- 27 Landscape Architecture, Henan University of Science and Technology, from June to July and
- 28 October to December, 2021. The experiment consisted of two treatments—under conventional
- 29 (control) and flooded conditions, with a randomized block design and three replicates for each
- 30 treatment.

21

- 31 A total of 50 seeds (fully developed, no pests, and of similar shape) of each of the 10 tested varieties
- 32 were sown in nutrient pots after germination through soaking. When the seedlings developed two
- full leaves and one terminal shoot, the double-pot method (Liu C et al.2020) was used under flood
- 34 stress treatment. The water surface was 2-3 cm higher than the substrate, and plants were watered
- every day. The control group was managed using standard practices applied in the area. On the 7th
- 36 day of stress treatment under flooding, leaves and root were collected to measure biomass, relative
- 37 chlorophyll content, antioxidant enzyme activity, and MDA content.
- 38 Baimi No. 10 (strong waterlogging tolerance) and Baimi No. 8 (weak waterlogging tolerance)
- 39 varieties were selected for further experiment, and the treatment method remained the same as above.
- 40 Leaves and roots were taken at 0 (control), 1, 3, 5, and 7 d after the treatment. Antioxidant enzyme
- 41 activity, MDA and proline content, activities of key enzymes responsible for anaerobic respiration
- 42 and antioxidant enzymes were determined. The relative expression of related genes was determined
- 43 using real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR technique.

Formatted: Superscript

2.3 Measurement methods

- The relative content of chlorophyll was determined using a portable chlorophyll instrument, soil 2
- and plant analyzer development (SPAD)-502. The SOD and POD activities were measured by the 3
- 4 nitrogen blue tetrazolium photoreduction and guaiacol methods, you should provide the method in
- 5 details with references respectively. MDA content was determined by the thiobarbituric acid method.
- 6 Ultraviolet (UV) spectrophotometry was used to measure CAT, APX, ADH, and PDC activities (Li
- 7 H 2000). The detection kits to measure all these indices were purchased from Beijing Solarbio
- 8 Technology Co., Ltd.

1

- 9 RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis: Total RNA was extracted from plant leaves following the
- 10 instructions mentioned in the RNA extraction kit. NanoDrop 2000 was used to detect the
- 11 concentration and purity of the extracted total RNA. Qualified and quantified total RNA was reverse
- transcribed into cDNA using PrimeScriptTM 1st Stand cDNA Synthesis Kit. 12
- 13 RT-qPCR: The primer sequences of genes encoding SOD, POD, CAT, and APX were designed
- 14 according to Zheng et al (Zheng J 2020), and β-action was used as the housekeeping gene (Table 1).
- The reaction system contained 10 µL of 2×SYBR real-time PCR premixture, 0.4 µL of forward 15
- primer (10 µM), and reverse primer (10 µM) each, 1 µL of cDNA, and 8.2 µL of ddH₂O. After 16
- mixing and centrifuging the samples, PCR was carried out using the following parameters: 95 °C 17
- 18 for 5 min, 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 30 s, and a total of 40 cycles. After the reaction, the amplification
- and melting curves were observed, and the data was analyzed using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method (Kenneth JL 19
- 20 et al.2001). The relative expressions of the enzyme genes were calculated using the above-
- 21 mentioned method.

22 2.4 Statistical analysis

- 23 Waterlogging tolerance coefficient (WTC) was calculated using Equation 1 as follows:
- WTC=Measured value (treatment)/Measured value (control) (1) 24
- Based on the calculated WTC values, PCA was performed to obtain comprehensive indices. 25
- The membership function (MF) was calculated using Equation 2 as follows: 26

27
$$MF(X_i) = (X_i - X_{min}) / (X_{max} - X_{min}) (2)$$

- 28 where, j=1, 2, ... n; X_i represents the jth comprehensive index; X_{min} represents the minimum value
- of the jth comprehensive index; and X_{max} represents the maximum value of the jth comprehensive 29
- 30
- Weightness (W) was calculated according to the contribution rate of each principal component 31
- 32 using Equation 3:

$$W_{j} = P_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{j}$$
 (3)

- 34 where, j=1, 2, ... n; W_i represents the importance of the jth comprehensive index among all the
- 35 comprehensive indices, and P_i is the contribution rate of the jth comprehensive index of each variety.
- Comprehensive evaluation value (CEV) to measure waterlogging tolerance was calculated using 36
- 37 Equation 4:

38
$$CEV = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[U(X_j) \times W_j \right] (4)$$

- 39 where, j = 1, 2, ..., n. D is the comprehensive evaluation value of waterlogging tolerance obtained
- from the comprehensive indices of one tested variety under waterlogging stress conditions. 40
- 41 Statistical analysis of data was performed using DPS 7.55 and SPSS 21.0. Duncan's new multiple
- 42 range method was used for variance analysis, and GraphPad Prism 8.0 was used for plotting
- (P < 0.05).43

 $= P_j / \sum_{j=1}^n P_j$ (3)

3 Results

1

2

3.1 Evaluation of waterlogging tolerance of Baimi pumpkin varieties

- 3 The WTC of each index was calculated using Equation 1. The results of our study show that the
- 4 WTC values of fresh aboveground mass were the largest for Baimi No. 2. The WTC values of
- 5 underground dry mass and fresh mass were the largest for Baimi No. 3. The WTC values of
- 6 aboveground dry mass were the largest for Baimi No. 5. The WTC values for SOD, POD, and CAT
- 7 activities were the largest for Baimi No. 10. The WTC of MDA content was the largest for Baimi
- 8 No. 1. The WTC of the Chlorophyll SPAD value was the largest for Baimi No. 6 (Table 2).
- 9 Principal component analysis_(PCA): PCA was carried out based on the WTCs of 9 indices of
- 10 pumpkin seedlings. The contribution rates of the first three principal components were 46.381%,
- 11 28.418%, and 12.032%. The cumulative contribution rate was 86.831%, indicating that the first
- three principal components explained 86.831% of the total variations.
- 13 Membership function analysis: The membership function value of waterlogging tolerance indices
- 14 of each pumpkin variety was calculated using Equation 2. For the first principal component, Baimi
- No. 10 had the largest $MF(X_1)$, and displayed the highest degree of waterlogging tolerance. It can
- be seen from PC₁ that indices with a large coefficient value showed a positive correlation with
- waterlogging tolerance. For the second principal component, Baimi No. 1 had the largest MF (X₂)
- and displayed the highest degree of waterlogging tolerance. The value of Baimi No. 8 was the
- smallest, and thus the least waterlogging-tolerant variety. It can be seen from PC₂ that MDA contents
- with a large coefficient value had a negative correlation with waterlogging tolerance. Based on this
- 21 relationship, Baimi No. 1 was the most resistant to waterlogging, which is a false interpretation. For
- the third principal component, Baimi No. 10 had the largest MF (X₃), and displayed the highest
- 22 description of the control of the
- 23 degree of tolerance to waterlogging. The values for Baimi No. 3 were the smallest, indicating it to
- be the least resistant to waterlogging (Table 3).
- 25 Comprehensive evaluation: The comprehensive evaluation value (CEV) was calculated using
- 26 Equation 4. Based on the CEV values, 10 pumpkin varieties were sorted according to their
- waterlogging tolerance levels as follows: Baimi No. 10> Baimi No. 5> Baimi No. 1> Baimi No.
- 28 2>Baimi No. 3>Baimi No. 7>Baimi No. 9>Baimi No. 6>Baimi No. 4>Baimi No. 8 (Table 3).

${\tt 3.2\,Response\,of\,pumpkin\,varieties\,with\,different\,waterlogging\,tolerance\,to\,waterlogging\,stress}$

30 3.2.1 Response of antioxidant enzymes to waterlogging stress in pumpkin

- 31 During waterlogging stress, the activities of SOD, POD, CAT, and APX in Baimi No. 8 and Baimi
- No. 10 showed first an increasing trend followed by a decrease. The activities of SOD, CAT, and
- 33 APX for Baimi No. 10 were higher than those of Baimi No. 8, whereas the POD activity of Baimi
- No. 8 was higher than Baimi No. 10. The activities of SOD, POD, and CAT reached their highest
- $150 \quad level \ on \ the \ 3^{rd} \ day, \ 1.08 \ times \ and \ 1.29 \ times, \ 2.24 \ times \ and \ 2.32 \ times, \ 3.04 \ times \ and \ 4.19 \ times$
- 36 higher than the control group, respectively. On the 7th day, the SOD activities of Baimi No. 8 and
- 37 Baimi No. 10 increased by 8.26% and 29.1%, respectively, as compared to the control group. The
- POD activity decreased by 0.98 times and 1.16 times as compared to the control values. The CAT activity of Baimi No. 8 decreased by 23.93% as compared to the control, while the CAT activity of
- activity of Baimi No. 8 decreased by 23.93% as compared to the control, while the CAT activity of
- 40 Baimi No. 10 increased by 28.30% as compared to the control. The APX activities of these two
- 41 varieties were always lower than the control and were observed to be lowest on the 7^{th} day. The
- 42 antioxidant enzyme activities increased during the early stages of waterlogging stress (Figures 1-4).
- 43 3.2.2 Response of MDA and proline contents to waterlogging stress in pumpkin
- 44 The MDA content in the leaves of the two pumpkin varieties gradually increased when the

- waterlogging stress was prolonged. The MDA contents of Baimi No. 8 and Baimi No. 10 were the 1
- 2 highest on the 7th day, being 2.09 and 1.62 times higher than the control, respectively. The MDA
- content of Baimi No. 8 was always observed to be higher than Baimi No. 10, indicating that Baimi 3
- 4 No. 8 had a higher degree of membrane lipid peroxidation, and the damage was greater under
- 5 waterlogging stress (Figure 5).
- 6 During the waterlogging stress, the proline contents of Baimi No. 8 and Baimi No. 10 first displayed
- 7 an increase followed by a decrease, and reached their peak on the 3rd day, being 1.60 and 4.92 times
- 8 higher than the control, respectively. During the first phase, the increase in proline content of Baimi
- 9 No. 10 was 1.49 times higher than the control followed by a phase that exhibited a decrease in which
- 10 the contents of Baimi No. 10 were 0.61 times higher than the control (Figure 6).

3.2.3 Response of key enzymes responsible for anaerobic respiration to waterlogging stress in 11

12 pumpkin root

- 13 The two cultivars first exhibited an increase in their ADH activities followed by a decrease when
- 14 the waterlogging stress was prolonged. The ADH activity of Baimi No. 10's was higher than Baimi
- 15 No. 8, which is always lower than the control values. The ADH activity of Baimi No. 10 was
- observed to be the highest on the 5th day. On the 7th day, the ADH activities of Baimi No. 8 and 16
- Baimi No. 10 decreased by 73.33% and 25% than the control, respectively. The PDC activities of 17
- Baimi No. 8 and Baimi No. 10 showed a trend that decreased first, rose and then decreased again. 18
- 19 The PDC activity of Baimi No. 10's was generally higher than the Baimi No. 8. Baimi No. 8 was
- 20 always lower than the control values. On the 1st day, the PDC activity of Baimi No. 10 increased
- 21 72.09% higher as compared to the control. The PDC activities of the Baimi No. 8 and Baimi No. 10
- decreased to their lowest value on the 3rd day, with 58.50% and 56.28% lower than the control, 22
- 23 respectively. The activities of key enzymes responsible for anaerobic respiration were higher in the 24
- variety with strong waterlogging tolerance as compared to the one with lower tolerance (Figures 7-25

3.2.4 Response of pumpkin antioxidant enzyme genes expressions to waterlogging stress

- 27 During the waterlogging stress, the expression levels of genes encoding SOD, POD, CAT, and APX
- 28 in Baimi No. 8 and Baimi No. 10 all exhibited an increase first followed by a decrease. The genes
- encoding SOD, POD, and CAT were highly expressed on the 3rd day, being 3.05 and 18 times, 3.05 29
- 30 and 2.37 times, 8.87 and 11.56 times than the control, respectively. The expression level of the gene
- encoding APX in Baimi No. 10 increased to its highest level on the 3rd day, being 7.78 times higher 31
- than the control, and in Baimi No. 8 it was the highest on the 5th day. The relative expression levels 32
- 33 of genes encoding SOD, CAT, and APX in Baimi No. 10 were higher than the Baimi No. 8, and the
- relative expression levels of the gene encoding POD in Baimi No. 8 were higher than the Baimi No. 35 10. The trends of antioxidant enzyme gene expression levels were consistent with their
- 36 corresponding enzyme activities (Figures 9-12).

4 Discussion

26

34

- 38 In previous studies, the indices to measure waterlogging tolerance have been screened out in
- 39 vegetables and mainly focused on growth, physiological, and biochemical indicators. In this study,
- 40 10 pumpkin varieties were used to assess the waterlogging tolerance level of pumpkins. PCA and
- 41 membership function analysis were used to convert 9 indices to 3 independent comprehensive
- 42 indicators, including biomass, relative chlorophyll content, antioxidant enzyme activity, and MDA
- 43 content. The corresponding membership function values were weighted to obtain the comprehensive
- 44 evaluation value (CEV) of waterlogging tolerance. Gao et al. (2018) screened out fresh underground

mass, SOD, and MDA content as valid indicators for rapid evaluation of waterlogging tolerance in 1 2 broccoli seedlings-, which suggest that growth indices and antioxidant enzyme activities can be used 3 as an indicator to evaluate waterlogging tolerance. 4 During the initial stage of waterlogging stress, ROS accumulation in plants was accompanied by 5 improved antioxidant enzyme activities such as SOD, POD, CAT, and APX, protecting plants from 6 ROS damage (Limón-Pacheco J et al.2008). Li et al. (2007) reported that SOD, CAT, and POD 7 activities in cucumber leaves increased three days before waterlogging occurred, and then 8 gradually decreased-. Yang et al. (2014) found that SOD, POD, and CAT activities in tomato leaves 9 first exhibited an increase followed by a decrease under water stress conditions-. In this study, SOD, 10 POD, CAT, and APX activities in pumpkin also displayed an increase first and then a decrease under 11 waterlogging stressyou should ;discuss why these enzymes increase first and then decrease, which 12 is consistent with Li et al provide the year, and Yang et al provide the year, indicating that short-13 term flooding stress activates the plant antioxidant system. The antioxidant enzyme activity of 14 Baimi No. 10 was higher than the Baimi No. 8, indicating that Baimi No. 10 has an efficient 15 enzymatic scavenging system to regulate the ROS levels. The SOD, POD, CAT, and APX activities 16 in waterlogging-tolerant maize have been reported to enhance to a larger extent as compared to 17 varieties that are sensitive to waterlogging stress (Wang J et al. 2018). 18 MDA is an important indicator to measure plant injury under stressed conditions you can see these 19 papers to discuss the response of MDA under various stresses (https://www.mdpi.com/2077-20 0472/12/12/2084 and https://www.mdpi.com/2223-7747/10/5/1025). Zhu et al. found that the higher the MDA content, the weaker the waterlogging resistance in bitter gourd_(Zhu J et 21 22 al.2016). In this study, the MDA content continued to increase when waterlogging stress was 23 prolonged. The MDA content of Baimi No. 8 was higher than Baimi No. 10, and these results were 24 consistent with Zheng et al. (2020), where the MDA content in waterlogging-sensitive watermelon 25 varieties was significantly higher than the waterlogging-resistant varieties. Wang et al. (2010) found 26 that after waterlogging, the content of free proline in melons increased first and then decreased 27 (https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/12/5/1736). The proline content in waterlogging-tolerant 28 varieties was significantly higher than the sensitive varieties. In this study, under waterlogging stress, 29 the proline contents of Baimi No. 8 and Baimi No. 10 both followed a similar trend with an increase 30 first and then a decrease. The proline content of Baimi No. 10 was higher than Baimi No. 8, 31 indicating that waterlogging tolerant pumpkin varieties would rapidly accumulate proline during the early stage of waterlogging to reduce the osmotic potential of cells and relieve the damage induced 32 33 by waterlogging stress. Plants respond to waterlogging stress by releasing a small amount of energy for their growth through 34 35 anaerobic respiration and regulating their metabolic pathways. During anaerobic respiration, ADH 36 is the main enzyme that prolongs the survival time of plants through the Pasteur effect under hypoxic 37 conditions (Chen Y et al.2005). Diao et al. found that under waterlogging stress, ADH and LDH 38 activities increased in melons, and the ADH activity was higher in 'Cuixi', a variety with slightly 39 higher waterlogging tolerance, as compared to 'Century Honey', a variety with weaker tolerance 40 (Diao Q et al. 2020). In this study, the ADH activities of both Baimi No. 8 and No. 10 displayed and 41 an increasing trend followed by a decrease. The ADH activity in Baimi No. 10 was higher than 42 Baimi No. 8, which is consistent with Diao et al. provide the year The PDC activities of Baimi No. 43 8 and Baimi No. 10 showed a trend which decreased first, followed by an increase and a decrease 44 again. The PDC activity of Baimi No. 10 was generally higher than Baimi No. 8. These results were

- 1 consistent with Chen et al. (2007), who reported that the PDC activity of the waterlogging tolerant
- 2 rootstock variety 'Mahali' was higher than the sensitive variety 'Dongbei Shanying'.
- 3 Xu (2016) assessed the resistance mechanism of tea plants and found that the relative expressions
- 4 of SOD genes in leaves increased and those of CAT genes in leaves decreased first and then
- 5 increased. Chin et al. (2014) found that in loofah plants, the expression of the gene encoding APX
- was enhanced with the increase in enzyme activity, resulting in an increased scavenging ability of
- 7 ROS under flooding stress. In this study, under waterlogging stress, the expression levels of SOD,
- 8 POD, CAT, and APX encoding genes displayed a trend of an increase first and then a decrease,
- 9 which was consistent with the trend of their corresponding enzyme activities. In Baimi No. 10, the
- 10 expression of the APX encoding gene was significantly higher than Baimi No. 8, which is consistent
- 11 with the findings of Xia et al. (2015). The results showed that in the early stage of stress, the
- 12 expression of antioxidant enzyme genes was enhanced, which significantly increased the enzyme
- 13 activity. Consequently, the production and scavenging of ROS can maintain an equilibrium state for
- 14 a certain period, thereby reducing damage to plants.

5 Conclusion

15

33

- In this study, biomass, antioxidant enzyme activity, and relative chlorophyll content of 10 different 16
- 17 pumpkin varieties were used as indicators to evaluate their waterlogging tolerance. Through PCA
- 18 and membership function analysis, we identified the varieties that were the most tolerant to
- 19 waterlogging. Among 10 tested pumpkin varieties, Baimi No. 10 was the most tolerant and Baimi
- 20 No. 8 was the least tolerant. Using Baimi No. 10 and Baimi No. 8 varieties, we further studied the
- 21 responsive activities of MDA, proline, key enzymes responsible for anaerobic respiration,
- 22 antioxidant enzymes, and the expression of encoding genes to waterlogging stress in pumpkin plants.
- 23 When Baimi No. 8 was compared with Baimi No. 10, it was found that it may possess more efficient
- enzymatic scavenging system to regulate ROS. The MDA content of Baimi No. 8 was higher than 24
- Baimi No. 10. The relative expression levels of SOD, POD, CAT, and APX encoding genes were 25
- 26 consistent with their corresponding enzyme activities. In the early stage of waterlogging stress,
- 27 pumpkin plants may resist waterlogging by enhancing the expressions of antioxidant enzyme
- 28 encoding genes and improving enzyme activity. However, after 3 days of stress treatment, the gene
- 29 expression levels of antioxidant enzymes and their activities decreased. The degree of membrane
- 30 lipid peroxidation increased, and plant growth was inhibited. The antioxidant enzyme activities and
- 31 related gene expressions were higher in the variety with strong waterlogging tolerance than in the
- 32 variety with weak waterlogging tolerance.

Acknowledgements

- My deepest gratitude goes first and foremost to Professor Li, for constant encouragement and 34
- 35 guidance. Without supporting of his project, this thesis could not have reached its present
- 36 form.Second, I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my students, Qiao Dandan, Yan
- 37 Xiaowen, et al. who gave me their help in my experiment.
- 38 The research was supported by the Special funded projects of key research and development and
- 39 promotion of Henan Province (No. 202102110201) .

40 **Supplementary Information**

Certification of English Editing. 41

42 Author contribution statement

- 43 Liu Zhenwei and Li Xinzheng designed experiments; Liu Zhenwei and Qiao Dandan and Liu
- 44 Zhenyu and Yan Xiaowen and Wang Pengwei carried out experiments; Liu Zhenwei and Qiao

- 1 Dandan and Sun Li analyzed experimental results;Liu Zhenwei and Qiao Dandan and Guo Linxin
- 2 wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.
- 3 Declarations
- 4 Conflicts of interest– The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 5 References

27

- Baileyserres J,Chang R(2005)Sensing and signaling in response to oxygen deprivation in plants and other organisms. Annals of Botany 96(04):507-518.https://doi:10.1093/aob/mci206
- Barickman TC,Simpson CR, Sams CE(2019)Waterlogging causes early modification in the
 physiological performance,carotenoids,chlorophylls,Proline and soluble sugars of cucumber
 plants.Plants 8(6):160.https//doi:10.3390/plants8060160
- 11 Chen Q,Guo X, Hu Y,*et al.*(2007)Effects of waterlogging on anaerobic respiration enzymes and 12 fermentation products in roots of two kind of sweet cherry root stocks.Acta Ecologica sinica 13 27(11):4925-4931.
- Chen Y,Yan Q,Xiao G(2005)Progresses in research of submergence tolerance in rice. Chinese
 Agricultural Science Bulletin 21(12):151-153.
- Chin M C,Kuo W S,Lin K H(2014)Cloning and gene expression analysis of sponge gourd sorbates
 peroxidase gene and winter squash superoxide dismutase gene under respective flooding and
 chilling stresses.Horticulture, Environment, and Biotechnology 55(2):129 137.https//doi:10.1007/s13580-014-0116-4
- Diao Q,Jiang X,Gu H,et al.(2020)Effects of waterlogging stress and recovery on growth,
 physiological and biochemical indexes on different varieties of melon seedlings. Shanghai Acta
 Agricultureee 36(1):44-52.
- Gao X,Zhang Z,Zhu C,*et al.*(2018)Comprehensive identification and evaluation of waterlogging tolerance during seedling stage of seedling stage of broccoli germplasms. Journal of Northwest A & F (Natural Science Edition) 46(04):117-127.
 - Imahori Y,Takemura M,Bai J(2008)_Chilling-induced oxidative stress and antioxidant responses inmume(Prunusmume) fruit during low temperature storage._Postharvest Biology and Technology 49(1):54-60.https//doi:10.1016/j.postharvbio.2007.10.017
- Jiang M(1999)Generation of hydroxyl radicals and its relation to cellular oxidative damage in plants
 subjected to water stress.Acta Botanica Sinica 41(03):229 234.https//doi:10.1016/j.mrgentox.2008.09.015
- Kenneth JL,Thomas D,Schmittgen(2001)Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
 quantitative PCR and the2 ΔΔCt method.Methods 25:402 408.https//doi:10.1006/meth.2001.1262
- Li H(2000)Principles and techniques of plant physiological and biochemical tests.BEIJING:Higher
 Education Press.
- Li T(2007)Preliminary study of waterlogging on physiology of cucumber at seedling
 stage.Dissertation.JIANGSU:Yangzhou University.
- Limón-Pacheco J, Gonsebatt ME (2008) The role of antioxidants and antioxidant-related enzymes
 in protective responses to environmentally induced oxidative stress. Mut. Res.-Genetic
 Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis 674(01):137-147.
- Lin K_(2004)Study of the root antioxidative system of tomatoes and eggplants under waterlogged conditions.Plant Science 167:355-365.https://doi:10.1016/j.plantsci.2004.04.004
- 44 Liu C,Lan C,Li H,et al. (2020) Responses of waterlogging stress and evaluation of waterlogging

- tolerance in cherry tomato at seedling stage. Journal of Nuclear Agricultural Sciences 34(3):650-660.
- Liu W,Yan Z,Wang C,et al. (2016)Response of antioxidant defense system in watermelon seedling
 subjected to waterlogged stress. Journal of Fruit Science 23(6):860-864.

6

7

8

9

21

22

23

27

- Long C_(2019)Analysis of physiological response and related functional gene expression to waterlogging stress in pepper mutant. Dissertation. CHANGSHA: Hunan University.
- Miller G,Suzuki N,Ciftci-Yilmaz S,*et al.*(2010) Reactive oxygen species homeostasis and signaling during drought and salinity stresses.Plant Cell Environ 33:453-467.https//doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02041.x
- 10 Qi X,Chen R,Xu Q,*et al.*(2011)Preliminary analysis of cucumber submergence tolerance at seedling stage. China vegetables (4):23-28.
- Shi M,Zhou B(2006)Research advance in physiological damage of flood and water logging
 resistance. Journal of Anhui Agriculture Science 34(2):209-210.
- Tang L,Xu X,Fang S(1998)Influence of soil waterlogging on growth and physiological properties
 of poplar and willow seedlings. Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology 9(5):471-474. https://
- Thomas AL,Guerreiro SMC,Sodek L(2005)Aerenchyma formation and recovery from hypoxia of
 the flooded root system of nodulated soybean.Ann Bot 96(7):1191 1198.https://doi:10.1093/aob/mci272
- Tian L(2019)Effects of waterlogging stress on agronomic characteristics, physiological
 characteristics and yield of maize. Dissertation. HAERBIN: Northeast Agricultural University.
 - Tian P,Su Y,Kang B,*et al.*(2015)Analyses of expression patterns of transcription factor MYB10 and anthocyanin synthesis genes in two red skin pear varieties. Jiangsu Journal of Agriculture Science 31(1):166-171.
- Wang J(2010)Waterlogging tolerance evaluation of melon strains and studies on physiological and
 biochemical characteristics under waterlogging stress._Dissertation.WUHAN:Huazhong
 Agriculture University.
 - Wang J_(2015)Effect of waterlogging on physiological characteristics of peony_Chinese Journal of Ecology 34(12):3341-3347.
- Wang J,Li F,Lv X,*et al.*(2018)Physiological response of waxy corn near-isogenic lines to waterlogging. Journal of Maize Sciences 26(5):65-70.
- Wang S,_Xu P,Wang B,*et al.*(2013)Validation and comparison of drought-responsive microarray with real-time fluorescent quantitative PCR in asparagus bean.Acta Agriculturae Zhejiangensis 25(1):43-47.
- 34 Xia X___(2015)Cloning of melon Cm HSP83 gene and genetic 35 transformation.Dissertation.WUHAN:Huazhong Agriculture University.
- Xu X,Wang H,Qi X,et al.(2014)Waterlogging-induced increase in fermentation and related gene
 expression in the root of cucumber.Scientia Horticulturae 179:388 395.http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2014.10.001
- 39 Xu Y(2016)The study on resistance to water stress in tea plant.NANJING:Agricultural University.
- Yang X,Wang L,Zhang Y,et al.(2016)To identify the flooding stress tolerance of eggplants at
 seedling stage. Chinese Journal of Tropical Crops 37(12):2319-2327.
- Yang Z,Liu Z,Han X,*et al.*(2014)Effect of water stress on protective enzyme activity and yield of
 tomato.Journal of Northeast Agricultural University 45(3):40-45.
- 44 Yin D,Guan Z,Chen S,et al.(2009)Establishment of evaluation system for waterlogging tolerance

genera plants. Journal of Plant Genetic Resources 10(3):399-404. Zhang Y, Wang L, Chen C, et al. (2011) Research progress in response mechanism of plants to adversity stress. Jiangxi Acta Agriculturae 23(09):60-65. Zheng J(2020)Physiological mechanism of in waterlogging tolerance watermelon.Hangzhou:Zhejiang A&F University. Zheng J,Guo J,Mei Y,et al.(2015)Evaluation methodology and comparison of waterlogging tolerance in pepper varieties. Acta Agriculturae Zhejiangensis 27(4):555-559. Zhu J,Zhao L(2016)Effects of waterlogging stress on growth,MDA content and SOD activity in bitter gourd seedlings. Hubei Agricultural Sciences 55(3):655-657.

and identification of waterlogging tolerance in chrysanthemum morifolium and its related

Tables:

Table 1 Primer sequences used for real-time fluorescence quantification

The name of the gene	for/backward	Primer sequence (5' to 3')	The name of the gene	for/backward	Primer sequence (5' to 3')		
β-action	F	TCTCTATGCCAGTGGTCGTA	CAT	F	CCGATGCCGCCTAATGTGTTGA		
p-action	R	CCTCAGGACAACGGAATC	CAI	R	CGAACCGCTCTTGCCTATCTGG		
SOD	F	TCCTTGCCCGACCTCCCTTAT	APX	F	GGCGTTATCCGTCGTAGACACA		
SOD	R	GCCTCGTGAAGTTGCTCAAGAG	ArA	R	TGTGCCAGCGTCATGCCAAG		
POD	F	TGCTGAACCCTGCCCATGTAGA					
rOD	R	GGTGTACCACGGTCGTTCCTCA					

Table 2 Waterlogging resistance coefficient of pumpkin biomass and physiological indexes under the waterlogging

Varie									
ty	Waterlogging resistance coefficient=Treatment measured value/contrast measured value								
	Above ground fresh mass	Undergroun d fresh mass	Above ground dry mass	Underground dry mass	SOD	POD	CAT	MDA	SPAD
	0.938±0.02	0.881±0.00	0.902±008	0.853±0.028	0.173±0.02	1.230±0.035	0.977±0.023	1.645±0.087	0.933±0.002
1	6ab	4b	6ab	abc	3f	cd	cd	a	a
2	0.994±0.00	0.858±0.00	0.856±0.06	0.792±0.029	0.573±0.02	1.313±0.038	1.397±0.017	1.159±0.024	0.941±0.003
2	2a	2bc	0abc	c	3e	cd	bc	c	a
3	0.965±0.01	0.980±0.06	0.909±0.01	0.943±0.077	1.987±0.02	1.633±0.058	0.593±0.020	0.685±0.015	0.805±0.010
3	2a	9a	1ab	a	6b	bc	d	e	c
4	0.718±0.00	0.784±0.00	0.742±0.02	0.766±0.020	0.233±0.00	0.713±0.024	0.817±0.022	0.841±0.017	0.714±0.004
-	9f	1cd	7c	с	7f	ef	cd	d	e
5	0.850±0.00	0.949±0.01	0.961±0.01	0.917±0.019	1.130±0.02	1.903±0.069	1.040±0.046	1.485±0.054	0.831±0.005
	4cd	8ab	2a	ab	3d	b	cd	b	bc
6	0.839±0.03	0.781±0.04	0.749±0.02	0.780±0.017	0.660±0.01	0.487±0.012	0.663±0.044	1.547±0.020	0.954±0.006
	4d	0cd	2c	c	7e	f	cd	ab	a
7	0.869±0.00	0.896±0.00	0.818±0.03	0.836±0.030	1.693±0.03	0.810±0.066	1.893±0.347	1.110±0.071	0.852±0.022
	8cd	6ab	2bc	bc	7c	ef	b	c	b
8	0.780±0.01	0.736±0.01	0.759±0.00	0.772±0.016	2.033±0.04	0.937±0.007	0.467±0.060	0.523±0.033	0.730±0.013
	3e	6d	7c	c	7b	de	d	f	de
9	0.943±0.02	0.859±0.02	0.809±0.04	0.853±0.022	1.727±0.03	1.757±0.078	0.387±0.030	0.928±0.038	0.845±0.004
	6ab	7bc	5bc	abc	8c	b	d	d	b
10	0.905±0.01	0.915±0.01	0.904±0.03	0.921±0.003	3.093±0.11	5.443±0.395	5.633±0.673	1.130±0.018	0.754±0.003
	7bc	9ab	3ab	ab	0a	a	a	c	d

Note: The data are mean \pm SD (n=3). Different lowercase letter after the data in the same column indicate significant differences among varieties (P<0.05).

Table 3 Membership function value and comprehensive evaluation value and ranking of pumpkin varieties

Variety	PC_1	PC_2	PC ₃	$MF(X_1)$	$MF(X_2)$	MF (X ₃)	CEV	Rank
1	-0.016	2.239	0.493	0.424	1.000	0.685	0.649	3
2	0.015	1.700	0.064	0.429	0.883	0.573	0.598	4
3	1.938	-0.124	-2.126	0.721	0.489	0.000	0.546	5
4	-2.802	-1.534	0.160	0.000	0.185	0.598	0.143	9
5	1.502	0.946	-0.262	0.655	0.721	0.487	0.653	2
6	-2.146	1.300	1.146	0.010	0.797	0.855	0.433	8
7	-0.046	-0.014	-0.023	0.419	0.513	0.550	0.468	6
8	-2.213	-2.388	-0.464	0.090	0.000	0.435	0.108	10
9	0.001	-0.013	-0.686	0.427	0.513	0.377	0.448	7
10	3.768	-2.113	1.699	1.000	0.059	1.000	0.692	1

8 The eigenvector expression is:

PC1=0.303X1+0.420X2+0.416X3+0.444X4+0.300X5+0.400X6+0.326X7+0.066X8+0.019X9;

PC2 = 0.333X1 + 0.172X2 + 0.191X3 + 0.031X4 - 0.405X5 - 0.234X6 - 0.222X7 + 0.577X8 + 0.470X9;

PC3 = -0.195X1 - 0.290X2 - 0.158X3 - 0.236X4 - 0.057X5 + 0.359X6 + 0.590X7 + 0.540X8 + 0.159X9;

PC1:the first principal component;PC2:the second principal component;PC3:the third principal component.

X1:Above ground fresh mass;X2:Underground fresh mass;X3:Above ground dry mass;X4:Underground dry mass;X5:SOD;X6:POD;X7:CAT;X8:MDA;X9:Chlorophyll SPAD content.

Figure legends

Fig 1 Response of superoxide dismutase_(SOD) to waterlogging stress in pumpkin leaves._Different letters above columns indicate that the difference of superoxide dismutase activities is significant under the waterlogging (P < 0.05). Vertical bars = SD (n = 3)

Fig 2 Response of peroxidase_(POD) to waterlogging stress in pumpkin leaves. Different letters above columns indicate that the difference of peroxidase activities significant under the waterlogging (P < 0.05). Vertical bars = SD (n = 3)

Fig 3 Response of catalase (CAT) to waterlogging stress in pumpkin leaves. Different letters above columns indicate that the difference of catalase activities significant under the waterlogging (P < 0.05). Vertical bars = SD (n = 3)

Fig 4 Response of ascorbic acid_(APX) to waterlogging stress in pumpkin leaves. Different letters above columns indicate that the difference of ascorbic acid activities significant under the waterlogging (P < 0.05). Vertical bars = SD (n = 3)

```
1
      Fig 5 Response of malondialdehyde (MDA) to waterlogging stress in pumpkin leaves.
      Different letters above columns indicate that the difference of the content of
 2
 3
      malondialdehyde significant under the waterlogging (P < 0.05). Vertical bars = SD (n =
 4
      3)
 5
      Fig 6 Response of proline(PRO)to waterlogging stress in pumpkin leaves. Different
 6
      letters above columns indicate that the difference of the content of proline significant
 7
      under the waterlogging (P < 0.05). Vertical bars = SD (n = 3)
 8
 9
10
      Fig 7 Response of alcohol dehydrogenase_(ADH) to waterlogging stress in pumpkin
      roots._Different letters above columns indicate that the difference of alcohol
11
      dehydrogenase(ADH) activity significant under the waterlogging (P < 0.05). Vertical
12
13
      bars = SD (n = 3)
14
15
      Fig 8 Response of pyruvate decarboxylase (PDC) to waterlogging stress in pumpkin
16
      roots. Different letters above columns indicate that the difference of pyruvate
      decarboxylase activity significant under the waterlogging (P < 0.05). Vertical bars = SD
17
18
      (n = 3)
19
20
      Fig 9 Response of gene expression of superoxide dismutase_(SOD) to waterlogging
      stress in pumpkin leaves. Different letters above columns indicate that the difference of
21
      the gene expression of superoxide dismutase significant under the waterlogging (P <
22
23
      0.05). Vertical bars = SD (n = 3)
24
      Fig 10 Response of gene expression of peroxidase (POD) to waterlogging stress in
25
26
      pumpkin leaves. Different letters above columns indicate that the difference of the gene
27
      expression of peroxidase significant under the waterlogging (P < 0.05). Vertical bars =
28
      SD(n=3)
29
      Fig 11 Response of gene expression of catalase_(CAT) to waterlogging stress in
30
      pumpkin leaves. Different letters above columns indicate that the difference of the gene
31
      expression of catalase significant under the waterlogging (P < 0.05). Vertical bars = SD
32
33
      (n = 3)
34
35
      Fig 12 Response of gene expression of ascorbic acid_(APX) to waterlogging stress in
36
      pumpkin leaves. Different letters above columns indicate that the difference of the gene
37
      expression of ascorbic acid significant under the waterlogging (P < 0.05). Vertical bars
      = SD (n = 3)
38
```