

Taxonomical and functional analyses of epifaunal polychaetes associated with *Mussismilia* spp.:
the effects of coral growth morphology

Marcos Moura Nogueira¹, Wagner Magalhães¹, Eduardo Mariano¹, Elizabeth Neves¹, Rodrigo
Johnsson¹

¹ Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil

Corresponding Author:

Marcos Nogueira¹

Rua Barão de Jeremoabo, Salvador, Bahia, 40170115, Brazil

Email address: mmouran@gmail.com

Abstract

Background. Habitat structure shows positive effects over different communities, increasing habitat heterogeneity and complexity and it also increases the space availability, leading to environmental diversity, access to resources and reducing the effectiveness of predation. In the present study we evaluate the structural and functional patterns of polychaete assemblages of three *Mussismilia* species with different coral morphology. *Mussismilia hispida* has a massive growth pattern; *M. braziliensis* also is a massive coral but forms a crevice in the corallum base; and *M. harttii* has a meandroid pattern.

Methods. Ten corals of the three *Mussismilia* species were sampled in two reefs in the Todos-os-Santos Bay, and we analyzed the differences in richness and abundance of polychaetes species and the functional diversity metrics: Rao's quadratic entropy, functional dispersion, functional evenness, number of functional groups and functional richness, among *Mussismilia* species.

Results. Two-way ANOVA with permutations showed significant differences for polychaete abundances and richness among *Mussismilia* species (higher values for *M. harttii*), but no differences were recorded between the two coral reefs. Coral species or reefs did not show statistical difference in relation to the functional diversity components influenced by abundance, such as Rao quadratic entropy, functional dispersion, and functional evenness. Some individual

Comment [EB1]: TO BE IMPROVED, PARTICULARLY THE RESULTS SECTION

Comment [EB2]: This is not clear to me. Say that habitat structure shows positive effects..is too general. What do you mean with habitat structure: the heterogeneity? Please, clarify.

Comment [EB3]: This is not clear to me. Authors stated that permutations showed significant differences for polychaete abundances and richness[...]. But no differences were recorded between two coral reefs??

Comment [EB4]: Please use coral or reefs to avoid any confusion.

Comment [EB5]: Please rephrase this sentence. What would you like to say?

functional traits presented differences among *Mussismilia* species, and that also helped us to build a picture about on the effect of different growth structures over functional aspects of polychaete assemblages. Thus, the taxonomical approach, the analysis of individual functional traits and the functional diversity metrics are fundamental tools to characterize the assemblage of organisms associated with corals.

Comment [EB6]: You are talking about polychaete functional traits, right?

Introduction

MacArthur and MacArthur (1961) were one of the first to recognize the influence of habitat structure on animal's diversity in a wide variety of areas. Since then, the number of studies concerning the effects of habitat structure on in different environments has increased, including evaluations over other community attributes in addition to diversity (e.g., species abundance, species distribution, and richness) (Beck, 2000; Vytöpil & Willis, 2001; Langellotto & Denno, 2004; Tews et al., 2004; Grabowski et al., 2008; Carvalho & Barros, 2017).

Comment [EB7]: Wide variety of habitats? You mean this? Areas is too general, almost meaningless.

Formatted: Font: Italic

The positive relationship between habitat structure and different communities can be summarized by its effects on increasing the available niche spaces and increasing environmental diversity, facilitating the access to resources, and reducing the effectiveness of predators (Bazzaz, 1975; Menge & Sutherland, 1976; Vytöpil & Willis, 2001; Piko & Szedlmayer, 2007). The presence and abundance of organisms from coral reef systems may be dependent on coral species for various reasons, including food, shelter, and/or recruitment (Stella et al., 2010). Thus, the epifaunal abundance, species richness and composition may be influenced by the differences in the morphology of the coral hosts species (Vytöpil & Willis, 2001; Stella et al., 2010; Nogueira et al., 2015; 2020). Among the Many organisms that may depend on corals for habitat and shelter, among these the macrofauna polychaetes assemblages are known for being showing a highly percentage of total macrofaunal diversity and abundant ee in different environments (Hutchings, 1998). Polychaetes are among the most important groups contribute ing to the diversity and abundance patterns characterizing found in the benthic communities (Olsgard & Somerfield, 2000).

Polychaetes have long been used as good indicators of marine ecosystem health due to its high taxonomic diversity, and different feeding habits and reproductive strategies (e.g., Hutchings, 1998; Pearson & Rosenberg, 1978; Wilson, 1991). Since the conceptual model of polychaete feeding guilds by Fauchald & Jumars (1978) based on feeding type, mobility and

Formatted: Font: Italic

63 buccal morphology, several authors have utilized and expanded this approach for environmental
64 studies (e.g., Pagliosa, 2005; Cheung et al., 2008; Otegui et al., 2016) and a more recent revision
65 was provided by Jumars et al. (2015).

66 The integration of structural and functional analyses is extremely relevant to understand and
67 identify important ecosystem functions, habitat resilience and redundancy (van der Linden et al.,
68 2012; Magalhães & Barros, 2011). These functional analyses are regarded as ecologically
69 relevant for monitoring, management and conservation given that biological traits linked to
70 ecological functions ~~can be maintained~~ ~~may be persisted~~ even when ~~the~~ species composition ~~is~~
71 ~~has~~ altered (Bremner et al., 2003). In marine environments, the role of marine invertebrates
72 ~~diversity~~ in the ecosystem function ~~is determined by their~~ ~~may be verified based on its the~~
73 biological traits (Bremner et al., 2006). The most ~~studied and used~~ ~~measured~~ polychaete traits are
74 related to feeding characteristics, ~~an important tool that since they can~~ ~~adds~~ information to
75 survey data, beyond species names and abundances (Woodin, 1987). However, although feeding
76 mechanisms are recognized as essential in determining differences between communities, ~~they~~
77 ~~may be less important than other traits such as attachment to the substrate, body form and~~
78 ~~mobility (Bremner et al., 2003).~~

79 Functional Diversity (FD) is defined by Petchey & Gaston (2006) as “a component of
80 biodiversity that generally concerns the range of things that organisms do in communities and
81 ecosystems”. ~~The building of a concise concept is fundamental once the authors argued that~~
82 ~~previous studies did not attempt to provide a definition for FD and the discussion seemed to be~~
83 ~~based on an intuitive understanding of its meaning, as it had the same understanding for all~~
84 ~~authors. Despite the absence of a simple and standardized measure of FD (Díaz & Cabido, 2001),~~
85 ~~There are several indices~~ created for measuring FD (see Mouchet et al., 2010 for review) and
86 they have been important in understanding ecosystem processes, resilience to environmental
87 disturbance, and ecosystem services (e.g., Petchey & Gaston, 2006; Villéger et al., 2008;
88 Laliberté & Legendre, 2010).

89 This study aimed to evaluate how the different morphological growth of three coral species of
90 *Mussismilia* Ortmann, 1890, that represents difference in habitat structure for associated
91 invertebrates, affects the structural and functional patterns of polychaete assemblages. The three
92 *Mussismilia* species show different morphological patterns, characterized as a habitat structure
93 gradient: *Mussismilia harttii* (Verrill, 1867) is the species that shows a more complex and

Comment [EB8]: Are authors sure of this sentence? I am not...please check it again in Bremner et al., 2003.

Comment [EB9]: I would delete this.

Comment [EB10]: Could you please give some examples. Just few lines.

Formatted: Font: Italic

heterogeneous structure, its polyps grow apart of each other generating spaces among them (meandroid pattern); *M. braziliensis* (Verrill, 1868) shows a massive growth pattern (the polyps grow together lacking space among them) with crevices at the corallum basis; and *M. hispida* (Verrill, 1901) that also shows a massive growth pattern, but the corallum basis is close to the substratum leaving no crevices (Fig. 1) (for more details see Nogueira et al., 2015). All three species are archaic species (related to a tertiary coral fauna) endemic to Brazil. Nowadays, they are the most common forms in almost all modern Brazilian reefs and are among the six most important reef-building corals in Brazil (Laborel, 1970; Leão et al., 2003).

Materials & Methods

The studied reefs were chosen for sampling due to the co-occurrence of all three species of *Mussismilia* at the Bahia state, Brazil: Caramuanas (13°70'S, 38°43'W) and Boipeba (13°28'S, 39°02'W) (Fig. 2). Both reefs are located within environmental protected areas. Caramuanas reef is located 4Km from the coastal shore, the top of the reef is exposed in low tide, but blast fishing is common at the area with recorded reduction of some species as the hydrocoral *Millepora alcicornis* (Cruz et al., 2009). The Boipeba reef belongs to the Tinharé-Boipeba Archipelago in the south shore of Bahia, also exposed in the low tide, but the tourism activity during summer is high due to the natural tide pools formed and to the close distance from the beach (Nogueira et al., 2015).

Polychaete assemblages were examined in colonies of the three species of the genus *Mussismilia*. Coral samples were collected in February 2011 by ~~scuba free~~ diving in depths varying from one to approximately four meters. In each reef, ten samples of each *Mussismilia* species were collected on the reef flat (corals with diameter between 15 and 25 cm), with a minimum distance of three meters between them, within an area of approximately 100 m². The same coral species was never collected consecutively. All colonies were enclosed separately in plastic bags to avoid the escape of associated organisms and then removed from the substratum with a hammer and chisel.

In the laboratory, the corals were washed, the water was sieved through a 150 µm mesh and the organisms were stored in alcohol 70%. The polychaetes were sorted, identified, and counted under ~~in~~ a stereomicroscope. Corals were bleached in a solution of 2.0 % sodium hypochlorite before being deposited in the collection of the Natural History Museum of the Federal University

Comment [EB11]: I am wondering how these can be exposed to fishing and tourism activity if they are located within a protected area. ?

Formatted: Superscript

125 of Bahia (UFBA). Collecting permission was approved by the Chico Mendes Institute for
126 Biodiversity Conservation (ICMbio) (Sisbio N° 15161-1).

127 Functional diversity is the value and range of species traits influencing ecosystem functioning
128 ~~rather than its species number~~ (Díaz & Cabido, 2001). However, traditional measures of FD are
129 based only on the sum or the mean lengths of linear pair-wise distances between species, and do
130 not include an important component of communities: the species abundance (Petchey & Gaston,
131 2006). In this way, Rao's quadratic entropy seems to be a robust alternative, once it includes the
132 abundance of species (Botta-Dukát, 2005). Therefore, this measure of FD is fundamental to
133 comprehend the structure and functioning of communities.

134 The structural components of the polychaete assemblages used to access the taxonomical
135 approach were the richness (number of species) and abundance (total number of individuals).

136 The species were categorized into biological traits related to body size, feeding and reproductive
137 aspects of their life history. Seven groups of traits showing 26 trait categories were chosen to
138 represent polychaete functional diversity (Table 1). Polychaete feeding mode (i.e., omnivore,
139 carnivore, suspension feeder, surface deposit feeder, subsurface deposit feeder, and interface
140 feeder), mobility while feeding (i.e., motile, discretely motile, and sessile) and the morphological
141 apparatus used for food collection (i.e., tentacle/palps, muscular eversible pharynx, and non-
142 muscular eversible pharynx) were selected based on Fauchald & Jumars (1979) and Jumars et al.
143 (2015). Additional traits are related to body size (total body length and total number of
144 chaetigers), fate of ova (i.e. free spawning, brooding on the outside of body, brooding inside the
145 body, brooding inside tube, brooding of encapsulated embryos inside the tube, encapsulation of
146 embryos in a gelatinous mass), type of larval development (i.e. planktotrophic, lecithotrophic,
147 direct benthic development) (Wilson, 1991), and type of asexual reproduction i.e. stolonization,
148 fragmentation, absent (Schroeder & Hermans, 1975).

149 Trait abundance from each coral reef and coral species was calculated as the mean value of each
150 trait weighted by relative species' abundances in each trait category (Garnier et al., 2004; Rumm
151 et al., 2018). To evaluate the effects of coral species and reefs over FD components, we
152 calculated Rao's quadratic entropy, functional dispersion, functional evenness (the evenness of
153 abundance distribution among species), number of functional groups (number of groups formed
154 by traits association), and functional richness (number of different species functional traits) (Díaz
155 & Cabido, 2001; Botta-Dukát, 2005; Petchey & Gaston, 2006; Villéger et al., 2008; Rumm et al.,

2018). Gower distance was used to calculate traits by samples dissimilarity matrix, since we have quantitative and qualitative traits. Each FD component was then tested using two-way ANOVA tests, to deal with error distribution problems, the tests' significance was calculated based on permutations.

All tests were performed in R environment (R core team 2019). FD components were calculated with function dbFD of "FD" package (Laliberté & Legendre, 2010). Two-way ANOVA with permutation and Tukey's post hoc tests were performed using the lmp function of "lmpPerm" package (Wheeler & Torchiano, 2016).

Results

In samples from Caramuanas and Boipeba reefs, the most abundant species were the syllids *Syllis gracilis* Grube, 1840, *Sphaerosyllis brasiliensis* Nogueira, San Martín and Amaral, 2001, *Exogone* sp. and the spionid, *Pseudopolydora* sp., comprising 43.7% of the total polychaeta abundance. All four most abundant species showed high densities in *M. harttii* colonies (Caramuanas or Boipeba), whereas the spionid *Pseudopolydora* sp. was only found in *M. harttii* colonies at Boipeba reef (Fig. 3).

Two-way ANOVA with permutation showed statistical differences for polychaete abundances among *Mussismilia* species, but no significant difference was recorded between the two coral reefs. The post hoc Tukey test found significant differences between polychaete assemblage within *M. harttii* and *M. braziliensis*, and between *M. harttii* and *M. hispida*, while no significant difference was recorded between *M. braziliensis* and *M. hispida*. For taxonomical richness, the same pattern was also observed in the post hoc test. In both reefs, *M. harttii* showed higher polychaete abundance and richness when compared to *M. braziliensis* and *M. hispida* (Fig. 4) (Table 2).

Regarding the selected components of the FD, we did not find significant differences among coral species or between reefs for the Rao quadratic entropy, functional dispersion, and functional evenness (Table 3) (Fig. 5). Statistical differences were found for the number of functional groups between *M. harttii* and *M. hispida*, and for the functional richness also between the same coral species. However, we found significant interaction effect between reef

186 and *Mussismilia* species. Higher values of functional richness were found at Boipeba reef (Table
187 3) (Fig. 5).

188 Analyzing each individual trait, we found significant differences among coral species for some
189 feeding mode categories, but no differences between coral reefs. Considering omnivore,
190 carnivores, and polychaetes with muscular eversible pharynx apparatus for food collection, *M.*
191 *harttii* assemblages showed higher values in comparison with *M. braziliensis* and *M. hispida*, but
192 no statistical differences were observed between the last two. However, we didn't find
193 differences in the abundance of all other feeding mode categories studied (i.e., surface deposit
194 feeder, suspension feeder, sub surface deposit feeder and interface feeders), and the tentacles and
195 non-muscular eversible pharynxes morphological apparatus for food capture (Table 4) (Figure
196 6).

197 Regarding trait categories related to body length, the general polychaete size (in mm) and
198 number of chaetigers were similar across the *Mussismilia* species but showed statistical
199 differences when the two reefs were compared. Polychaetes from the Caramuanas reef showed
200 higher values of body length and number of chaetigers. On the other hand, traits related to
201 mobility showed significant differences only for individuals with motile strategies. There were
202 no statistical differences for sessile and discrete motile polychaetes. The abundance of motile
203 individuals was higher in *M. harttii* assemblages when compared to the other *Mussismilia*
204 species and no differences were verified between *M. braziliensis* and *M. hispida* (Table 4)
205 (Figure 6).

206 The traits related to reproductive strategies showed no significant differences for the trait
207 categories of asexual reproduction, both stolonization and fragmentation. Differences in
208 abundance of the polychaetes that showed only sexual reproduction were observed among corals
209 species assemblages. Higher values of asexually reproducing polychaetes were found in colonies
210 of *M. harttii* when compared to *M. braziliensis* and *M. hispida*, and no difference was recorded
211 between *M. braziliensis* and *M. hispida* (Table 5) (Figure 7).

212 Reproductive traits related to egg releasing strategies and egg fate did not show statistical
213 differences for polychaetes that brooded inside the tube, brooded encapsulated embryos and
214 show planktonic larval release. Differences were observed between reefs for polychaetes that
215 brood their young inside the body. Free spawning, brooding on the outside of body,
216 lecithotrophic larvae and those direct developers in benthic environment were the traits that

showed significant differences among coral species, both of them showing higher values in *M. harttii* assemblages when compared to *M. hispida*, with no additional differences in the other pairwise comparisons. We also identified differences between reefs for the use of lecithotrophic larvae, with higher values in the Caramuanas reef (Table 5) (Figure 7).

Discussion

The effects of habitat structure on the polychaete taxonomical species composition are ~~conspicuous-obvious~~ among coral species with no influence of the reef in which they were sampled. The higher values of richness and abundance in *M. harttii* are in accordance with previous studies (Young, 1986; Nogueira, 2015; 2020). In fact, the meandroid morphology of *M. harttii*, with available space among corallites provides a more complex and heterogeneous habitat for the associated epifauna, acting as a refuge against predators (Nogueira et al., 2015; 2019). The massive growth pattern seems to be an unprofitable habitat for polychaetes, even in *M. braziliensis* that shows crevices at the base of the colony. The same pattern is observed in relation to the species abundance that was found in higher numbers.

Syllids are the most diverse and abundant polychaetes collected in all three species of *Mussismilia*. Given their generalist feeding strategy, active life style and generally small body size, they are able to move through crevices and burrows and are usually among the most abundant and diverse polychaetes found associated with sponges (Magnino et al., 1999; Neves & Omena, 2003), seaweed (Martins et al., 2013; Magalhães & Bailey-Brock, 2014), seagrass (Bone & San Martín, 2003), corals and hydrocorals (Martin & Britayev, 1998; Nogueira et al., 2001). *Syllis gracilis* and *Sphaerosyllis brasiliensis* were most abundantly collected at colonies of *M. hispida* from Caramuanas and Boipeba reefs, respectively. *Syllis gracilis* is considered a cosmopolitan species (Nogueira & San Martín, 2002) whereas *S. brasiliensis* was originally described from colonies of *M. hispida* from islands off the coast of São Paulo southeastern Brazil (Nogueira et al., 2001).

We found that the FD components that are correlated with abundance, such as functional dispersion, functional evenness and Rao's quadratic entropy did not respond to the differences in the habitat structure provided by *Mussismilia* corals. Although, the components of the taxonomical approach (richness and abundance of species) did respond to the differences in

Comment [EB12]: Some other comments related to taxonomical composition apart from Syllids? What about other species found??

248 habitat structure. The evaluation of the *Mussismilia* 's polychaete assemblage, based on the
249 analysis of its species and functional richness (number of different functional traits) indicates
250 that the latter are relevant and informative (Díaz and Cabido, 2001), regarding ecosystem
251 functions in the present study.

252 The protection of biogenic habitats may provide less variation in environmental severity when
253 compared with more exposed habitats, such stability may benefit several species what outcome
254 in higher numbers associated with biogenic habitats (Boyé et al., 2019). However, strong
255 competitive interactions may arise from environmentally undisturbed sites (Defeo & McLachlan,
256 2005) that leads to high trait divergence among species coexisting at the same habitat (Perrone et
257 al., 2017). In *Mussismilia* genus, *M. harttii* is the species that creates higher habitat structure
258 levels, followed by *M. braziliensis*, providing shelter for higher number of species (Nogueira et
259 al., 2015), In this way, the higher functional richness in *M. harttii* colonies of Boipeba reefs may
260 reflect the role of shelter provided by *M. harttii* together with higher pristine conditions at
261 Boipeba reef when compared to anthropogenic impacts as blast fishing recorded in Caramuanas
262 reef (Cruz et al., 2009).

263 The use of species richness is not always an efficient surrogate of functional richness, even if the
264 ~~positive relationship between them can be verified when this relation is linear~~ in a situation of
265 random occupation of niche space or uniform occupation of the niche space. However, both
266 cases are unusual in nature (Díaz & Cabido, 2001). We found differences for both; taxonomical
267 and functional richness; metrics related to species richness of polychaetes among *Mussismilia*
268 corals (higher values in *M. harttii* colonies from Boipeba reef). The conservation status of
269 Boipeba reefs may contribute to pristine conditions for polychaetes, even under tourist visitation,
270 *M. harttii* colonies were able to harbor more species, an event that promotes broader functional
271 spaces (higher functional richness and dispersion) (Boyé et al., 2019).

272 According to Mason et al. (2005), when low functional richness is recorded, it indicates that
273 some of the resources potentially available to the community may be unexplored, increasing the
274 opportunity for invaders. Another outlook may be the absence or limited available resource, that
275 restricts the occupation by other species. In this way, the meandroid growth morphology of *M.*
276 *harttii* is a more complex habitat, when compared to the massive growth pattern of *M.*
277 *braziliensis* and *M. hispida* (Nogueira et al., 2015). It seems that *M. harttii* provides easy access
278 to exploitation of the resources, more available niches and/or protection against predation, when

Comment [EB13]: This has been assessed and demonstrated several time across studies and literature..

Comment [EB14]: This sentence has no meaning to me. Please, Delete or rephrase.

Comment [EB15]: I cannot understand how this part of the sentence is linked to the first part.

279 compared with the other *Mussismilia* species. This is also confirmed by the higher number of
280 functional groups recorded in the present study associated with *Mussismilia harttii* colonies. In
281 similar habitat conditions, the communities tend to show a high trait convergence among species
282 (De Bello et al., 2011; Rumm et al., 2018).

283 Mason et al. (2005) also suggests a similar trend from the functional richness for functional
284 evenness evaluation. The lower functional evenness observed suggests that some parts of niche
285 space are under-utilized. However, the functional evenness did not differ among corals,
286 suggesting that the trait abundance distribution is equivalent among *Mussismilia* species. Despite
287 of this, the analyzes of traits abundance individually indicates that all traits showed high
288 abundance values associated with *M. harttii* colonies, except for carnivore, body length, number
289 of chaetigers, brooding inside the body, and lecithotrophic larvae.

290 Studies based on the taxa composition approach discuss the function of species indirectly (post-
291 analysis and only on selected taxa), commonly regarding feeding preferences and body size
292 (Bremner et al., 2003). Even if it incorporates some ecological information, this method is
293 subjective, and it only allows a [superficial-first](#) insight into the functioning of the system. On the
294 other hand, the trophic group approach considers ecological characteristics at the beginning of
295 the analysis, but it is restricted to feeding traits limiting the ability to elucidate the community
296 functional organization. The limited information provided by the previous approaches can be
297 complemented by the biological trait analysis that directly incorporate a wide range of ecological
298 characteristics. Biological traits are an important tool to measuring FD, as they are composed by
299 phenotype characteristics of the individuals that may influence ecosystem level processes
300 (Petchey & Gaston, 2006).

301 Studies of polychaetes assemblages concerning ecological evaluations are commonly supported
302 by ecological indexes based on species composition. Several studies have included polychaete
303 feeding guilds as conceptualized by Fauchald and Jumars (1979) to understand community
304 structure and functioning (e.g., Cheung et al., 2008) but the most recent studies have added
305 biological traits related to body size, habitat, and reproductive characteristics (e.g., Boström et
306 al., 2010; Oug et al., 2012). In the present study, additional traits related to body size and
307 reproductive characteristics (i.e., fate of eggs, type of larval development, and type of asexual
308 reproduction) were also considered.

309 As suggested by Bremner et al. (2003), biological trait analyses provide a complete assessment
310 of benthic communities given that it is possible to identify ecosystem functions, in comparison to
311 analyses based only on taxon composition or the trophic group approach. This comprehensive
312 method has also helped understand the functional structure of estuaries (e.g., van der Linden et
313 al., 2017), seagrass (Boström et al., 2010), sandy beaches (Wouters et al., 2018) and should be
314 largely applied to other environments such as coral reefs.

315 Biological traits related to body size such as body length and total number of chaetigers were
316 chosen for being considered important based on the expectation that the habitat selection for
317 organisms associated with *Mussismilia* species could be strongly influenced by predation
318 pressure (Nogueira et al., 2019). However, they did not show statistical significance among coral
319 species. Considering that smaller individuals are more susceptible to predation than larger ones,
320 it seems that in a more exposed habitat as the massive corals (*M. hispida* and *M. braziliensis*),
321 smaller organisms would avoid it, or be easily predated, suggesting the importance of body size
322 and number of chaetigers. Statistical differences were observed for body size traits when
323 comparing both reefs. Other studies also considered the importance of body size but did not find
324 it significant in differentiating the analyzed community such as the epibenthic megafauna
325 subtidal community of coastal waters (10 to 50 m depth) studied by Bremner et al. (2003).

326 The difference found in the present study for body size traits could indicate that the Caramuanas
327 reef may sustain a higher heterotrophic biomass through time (Chu et al., 2014), especially in
328 relation to the abundances of eunicid and nereidid polychaetes. Other possible explanation may
329 be related to reef characteristics. Differently from Boipeba Reef, that is located close to the
330 beach and suffers intense touristic activity, Caramuanas Reef is 4 Km distant from the shore. The
331 different conditions in which each reef is submitted may influence the occurrence of different
332 species of predators for the polychaetes, bigger fishes may avoid Boipeba reef due to the touristic
333 activities, allowing the growth of individuals associated with *Mussismilia* species in it.

334 Trait categories related to mobility have important roles in structuring benthic communities in
335 stressful conditions, in which mobile individuals take advantage and increase in abundance
336 (Bradshaw et al., 2002). The impact of predation over the invertebrates living associated with
337 corals may act as a filter for mobility traits. Motile polychaetes showed higher abundance
338 associated with *M. harttii* colonies and were likely attracted by the protection against predators
339 as an avoidance mechanism, whereas sessile and discretely motile organisms did not showed

340 difference among corals species, their establishment in the colony occurs during the settlement
341 period, what restricts its dispersion to other colonies after that. Mobility in polychaetes is usually
342 related to burrowing, crawling, and swimming movements (Jumars et al., 2015). Discretely
343 mobile and sessile polychaetes are associated to burrow and tube construction and these are not
344 facilitated on living substrates such as *Mussismilia* colonies.

345 Omnivore and carnivore polychaetes showed higher abundances in colonies of *M. harttii*. The
346 more diverse morphology of *M. harttii* in comparison to the other two species may provide a
347 broad spectrum of food items for polychaetes to explore. In comparison to soft-bottom substrates
348 (e.g., Barroso et al., 2002; Pagliosa, 2005; Magalhães & Barros, 2011), coral colonies do not
349 favor the presence of deposit-feeding polychaetes. It may indicate that high quality food in low
350 biomass is available in coral colonies as broadly omnivores such as eunicids, nereidids and
351 syllids were the most abundant polychaete taxa. Suspension-feeders were also not abundantly
352 found in living colonies of *Mussismilia* and these taxa, especially sabellids and serpulids, may
353 have the feeding apparatus outcompeted by coral polyps.

354 Colonies of *M. harttii* also favored the presence of polychaetes that are free spawners, brood
355 their young outside of body, and either produce lecithotrophic larvae or are direct developers.
356 Most of these reproductive strategies are related to low dispersal potential and rapid colonization
357 of newly occupied environments but local catastrophies may cause high extinction rates
358 (McHugh & Fong, 2002). It may indicate that the polychaete communities present in both
359 Caramuanas and Boipeba reefs are locally supplying its newly settled organisms but not very
360 resilient to bleaching events.

362 Conclusions

363 The analysis based on taxonomical and FD approach done in the present study indicated that the
364 FD metrics showing statistical difference are the ones tightly related with the taxonomical
365 approach. Even higher number of species was found in *M. harttii* colonies, when the taxonomical
366 richness effect is discounted using the FD metrics, the FD among the *Mussismilia* species is
367 equivalent. Based on this, we suggest that the taxonomical approach and the analysis of
368 individual traces, besides the use of functional diversity metrics, are a fundamental tool to better
369 characterize the complexity of coral's associate assemblages, and its responses to the
370 environment.

Comment [EB16]: How do authors can say this? Polychaete Resilience from bleaching events: any study conducted before?

Comment [EB17]: If conclusions are so concise, they can be included in the Discussion session as last paragraph.

371

372 **Acknowledgements**

373 We are grateful to the Chico Mendes Institute for Biodiversity Conservation (ICMbio) for
374 collecting permission (Sisbio N° 15161-1).

375

376 **References**

- 377 **Barroso R, Paiva PC, Alves OFS. 2002.** Polychaetes trophic structure in Todos os
378 Santos Bay (BA-BRAZIL). *Boletim do Museu Nacional* **494**:1-11
- 379 **Bazzaz FA. 1975.** Plant species diversity in old field successional ecosystems in southern
380 Illinois. *Ecology* **56**:485-488
- 381 **Beauchard O, Veríssimo H, Queirós AM, Herman PMJ. 2017.** The use of multiple
382 biological traits in marine community ecology and its potential in ecological indicator
383 development. *Ecological Indicators* **76**:81-96
- 384 **Beck MW. 2000.** Separating the elements of habitat structure: independent effects of
385 habitat complexity and structural components on rocky intertidal gastropods. *Journal of*
386 *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **249**:29-49
- 387 **Bone D, San Martín G. 2003.** Ecological aspects of syllids (Annelida: Polychaeta:
388 Syllidae) on *Thalassia testudinum* beds in Venezuela. *Hydrobiologia* **496**:289-298
- 389 **Boström C, Törnroos A, Bonsdorff E. 2010.** Invertebrate dispersal and habitat
390 heterogeneity: Expression of biological traits in a seagrass landscape. *Journal of Experimental*
391 *Marine Biology and Ecology* **390**:106-117
- 392 **Botta-Dukát Z. 2005.** Rao's Quadratic Entropy as a Measure of Functional Diversity
393 Based on Multiple Traits. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **16**(5):533-540
- 394 **Boyé A, Thiébaud E, Grall J, Legendre P, Broudin C, Houbin C, Le Garrec V,**
395 **Maguer M, Droual G, Gauthier O. 2019.** Trait-based approach to monitoring marine benthic
396 data along 500 km of coastline. *Diversity and Distributions* **25**:1879-1896
- 397 **Bremner J, Rogers SI, Frid CLJ. 2003.** Assessing functional diversity in marine
398 benthic ecosystems: a comparison of approaches. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **254**:11-25
- 399 **Bremner J, Rogers SI, Frid CLJ. 2006.** Matching biological traits to environmental
400 conditions in marine benthic ecosystems. *Journal of Marine Systems* **60**:302-316

401 **Carvalho LRS, Barros F. 2017.** Physical habitat structure in marine ecosystems: the
 402 meaning of complexity and heterogeneity. *Hydrobiologia* **797**:1-9.

403 **Cheung SG, Lam NWY, Wu, RSS, Shin PKS. 2008.** Spatiotemporal changes of marine
 404 macrobenthic community in sub-tropical waters upon recovery from eutrophication. II. Life-
 405 history traits and feeding guilds of polychaete community. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **56**:297-307

406 **Chu T, Sheng Q, Wang S, Wu J. 2014.** Variability of Polychaete Secondary Production
 407 in Intertidal Creek Networks along a Stream-Order Gradient. *PLoS One* **9**(5):e97287

408 **Cruz ICS, Kikuchi RKP, Leão ZMAN. 2009.** Characterization of Coral Reefs from
 409 Todos os Santos Bay Protected Area for Management Purpose, Bahia, Brazil. *Journal of*
 410 *Integrated Coastal Zone Management* **9**(3):3-23

411 **Defeo O, McLachlan A. 2005.** Patterns, processes and regulatory mechanisms in sandy
 412 beach macrofauna: A multi-scale analysis. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **295**:1-20. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps295001>

413 **Diaz S, Cabido M. 2001.** Vive la difference: plant functional diversity matters to
 414 ecosystem processes. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **16**(11):646-655

415 **Fauchald K, Jumers P. 1979.** The diet of worms: a study of polychaete feeding guilds
 416 *Oceanography and Marine Biology - An Annual Review*. **17**:193-284

417 **Garnier E, Cortez J, Billès G, Navas ML, Roumet C, Debussche M, Toussaint JP.**
 418 **2004.** Plant functional markers capture ecosystem properties during secondary succession.
 419 *Ecology* **85**:2630-2637

420 **Grabowski JH. 2004.** Habitat complexity disrupts predator-prey interactions but not the
 421 trophic cascade on oyster reefs. *Ecology* **85**:995-1004

422 **Grabowski JH, Hughes AR, Kimbro DL. 2008.** Habitat complexity influences
 423 cascading effects of multiple predators. *Ecology* **89**(12):3413-3422

424 **Hutchings PA. 1998.** Biodiversity and functioning of polychaetes in benthic sediments.
 425 *Biodiversity and Conservation* **7**:1133-1145

426 **Jumars PA, Dorgan KM, Lindsay SM. 2015.** Diet of worms emended: an updated of
 427 polychaete feeding guilds. *Annual Review of Marine Science* **7**:497-520

428 **Laborel J. 1970.** Madréporaires et hydrocoralliaires ré-cifaux des côtes brésiliennes.
 429 systématique, écologie, répartition vertical et géographique. *Annales de l'Institut*
 430 *océanographique* **47**:15-229

432 **Laliberté E, Legendre P. 2010.** A distance-based framework for measuring functional
433 diversity from multiple traits. *Ecology* **91**:299-305

434 **Langellotto GA, Denno RF. 2004.** Responses of invertebrate natural enemies to
435 complex-structured habitats: a meta-analytical synthesis. *Oecologia* **139**:1–10

436 **Leão ZMAN, Kikuchi RKP, Testa V. 2003.** Coral reefs of Brazil. In: Cortés Jorge (ed)
437 Latin America coral reefs. *Elsevier Science*, Amsterdam, pp 9-52

438 **MacArthur RH, MacArthur JW. 1961.** On bird species diversity. *Ecology* **42**:594-598

439 **McHugh D, Fong PP. 2002.** Do life history traits account for diversity of polychaete
440 annelids? *Invertebrate Biology* **121**:325-338

441 **Magalhães W, Barros F. 2011.** Structural and functional approaches to describe
442 polychaete assemblages: ecological implications for estuarine ecosystems. *Marine and*
443 *Freshwater Research* **67**(7):1-9

444 **Magalhães WF, Bailey-Brock JH. 2014.** Polychaete assemblages associated with the
445 invasive alga *Avrainvillea amadelpha* and unvegetated sediments in Oahu, Hawaii. *Memoirs of*
446 *Museum Victoria* **71**:161-168

447 **Magnino G, Pronzato R, Sara A, Gaino E. 1999.** Fauna associated with the horny
448 sponge *Anomoianthella lamella* Pulitzer-Finali and Pronzato, 1999 (Lanthellidae, Demospongiae)
449 from Papua-New Guinea. *Italian Journal of Zoology* **66**(2):175-181

450 **Martin D, Britayev TA. 1998.** Symbiotic polychaetes: review of known species.
451 *Oceanography and Marine Biology - An Annual Review* **36**:217-340

452 **Martins R, Magalhães L, Peter A, San Martín G, Rodrigues AM, Quintino V. 2013.**
453 Diversity, distribution, and ecology of the family Syllidae (Annelida) in the Portuguese coast
454 (Western Iberian Peninsula). *Helgoland Marine Research* **67**:775-788

455 **Menge BA, Sutherland JP. 1976.** Species diversity gradients: synthesis of the roles of
456 predation, competition, and temporal heterogeneity. *The American Naturalist* **110**(973):351-369

457 **Mouchet M, Villeger S, Mason N, Mouillot D. 2010.** Functional diversity measures: an
458 overview of their redundancy and their ability to discriminate community assembly rules.
459 *Functional Ecology* **24**:867-876

460 **Nogueira JMM, San Martín G, Amaral ACZ. 2001.** Description of five new species of
461 Exogoninae Rioja, 1925 (Polychaeta: Syllidae) associated with the stony coral *Mussismilia*
462 *hispidia* (Verrill, 1868) in São Paulo State, Brazil. *Journal of Natural History* **35**(12):1773-1794

463 **Nogueira JMM, San Martín G. 2002.** Species of *Syllis* Savigny in Lamarck, 1818
464 (Polychaeta: Syllidae) living in corals in the state of São Paulo, Southeastern Brazil. *Beaufortia*
465 52:57-93

466 **Nogueira MM, Neves E, Johnsson R. 2015.** Effects of habitat structure on the epifaunal
467 community in *Mussismilia* corals: does coral morphology influence the richness and abundance
468 of associated crustacean fauna? *Helgoland Marine Research* 69:221-229

469 **Nogueira MM, Neves E, Johnsson R. 2019.** An exclusion experiment to study the
470 influence of habitat structure provided by *Mussismilia* corals (Cnidaria; Anthozoa) on the
471 predation of associated crustaceans. *Helgoland Marine Research* 73:1-7

472 **Nogueira MM, Queiroz V, Neves E, Johnsson R. 2020.** Does coral morphology
473 influence the richness and abundance of associated ophiuroids? An evaluation of differences in
474 habitat structure provided by *Mussismilia* corals. *Cahiers de Biologie Marine* 61:219-227

475 **Hutchings PA. 1998.** Biodiversity and functioning of polychaetes in benthic sediments.
476 *Biodiversity and Conservation* 7:1133-1145

477 **Olsgard F, Somerfield PJ. 2000.** Surrogates in marine benthic investigations – which
478 taxonomic unit to target? *Journal of Aquatic Ecosystem Stress and Recovery* 7:25-42

479 **Otegui MBP, Brauko KM, Pagliosa PR. 2016.** Matching ecological functioning with
480 polychaete morphology: Consistency patterns along sedimentary habitats. *Journal of Sea*
481 *Research* 114:13-21

482 **Oug E, Fleddum A, Rygg B, Olsgard F. 2012.** Biological traits analyses in the study of
483 pollution gradients and ecological functioning of marine soft bottom species assemblages in a
484 fjord ecosystem. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*. 432-433:94-105

485 **Pagliosa PR. 2005** Another diet of worms: the applicability of polychaete feeding guilds
486 as a useful conceptual framework and biological variable. *Marine Ecology* 26:246-254

487 **Pearson TH, Rosenberg R. 1978.** Macrobenthic succession in relation to organic
488 enrichment and pollution of the marine environment. *Oceanography and Marine Biology*
489 16:229-311

490 **Perronne R, Munoz F, Borgy B, Reboud X, Gaba S. 2017.** How to design trait-based
491 analyses of community assembly mechanisms: Insights and guidelines from a literature review.
492 *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 25: 29-44. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2017.01.004)
493 [://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2017.01.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2017.01.004)

494 **Petchey OL, Gaston KJ. 2006.** Functional diversity: back to basics and looking forward
 495 *Ecology Letters* **9**: 741-758

496 **Piko AA, Szedlmayer ST. 2007.** Effects of habitat complexity and predator exclusion on
 497 the abundance of juvenile red snapper. *Journal of Fish Biology* **70**:758-769

498 **Petchey OL, Gaston. 2006.** Functional diversity: back to basics and looking forward.
 499 *Ecology Letters* **9**:741-758

500 **R Core Team. 2017.** R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
 501 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <https://www.R478project.org/>

502 **Rumm A, Foeckler F, Dziock F Ilg C, Scholz M, Harris RMB, Gerisch M. 2018.**
 503 Shifts in mollusc traits following floodplain reconnection: Testing the response of functional
 504 diversity components. *Freshwater Biology* **63**:505-517

505 **Schroeder PC, Hermans CO. 1975.** Annelids: Polychaeta. In: Reproduction of Marine
 506 Invertebrates. Vol. III. Annelids and Echiurans (ed. A.C. Giese and J.S. Pearse), New York:
 507 Academic Press pp. 1-213

508 **Stella JS, Jones GP, Pratchett MS. 2010.** Variation in the structure of epifaunal
 509 invertebrate assemblages among coral hosts. *Coral Reefs* **29**:957-973

510 **Tews J, Brose U, Grimm V, Tielbörger K, Wichmann MC, Schwager M, Jeltsch F.**
 511 **2004.** Animal species diversity driven by habitat heterogeneity/diversity: the importance of
 512 keystone structures. *Journal of Biogeography* **31**:79-92

513 **Usseglio-Polatera P, Bournard M, Richoux P, Tachet H. 2000.** Biological and
 514 ecological traits of benthic freshwater macroinvertebrates: relationships and definition of groups
 515 with similar traits. *Freshwater Biology* **43**:175-205

516 **van der Linden P, Patricio J, Marchini A, Cid N, Neto JM, Marques JC. 2012.** A
 517 biological trait approach to assess the functional composition of subtidal benthic communities in
 518 an estuarine ecosystem. *Ecological Indicators* **20**:121-133

519 **van der Linden P, Marchini A, Smith CJ, Dolbeth M, Simone LRL, Marques JC,**
 520 **Molozzi J, Medeiros CR, Patricio J. 2017.** Functional changes in polychaete and mollusc
 521 communities in two tropical estuaries. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* **187**: 62-73.

522 **Villéger S, Mason NWH, Mouillot D. 2008.** New multidimensional functional diversity
 523 indices for a multifaceted framework in functional ecology *Ecology* **89**: 2290-2301.

524 **Vytopil E, Willis BL. 2001.** Epifaunal community structure in *Acropora* spp.
525 (Scleractinia) on the Great Barrier Reef: implications of coral morphology and habitat
526 complexity. *Coral Reefs* **20**: 281-288.

527 **Wilson WH. (1991.** Sexual reproductive modes in polychaetes: classification and
528 diversity. *Bulletin of Marine Sciences* **48**(2): 500-516.

529 **Wheeler B, Torchiano M. 2016.** lmPerm: Permutation Tests for Linear Models. R
530 package version 2.1.0. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lmPerm>

531 **Wouters JM, Gusmao JB, Mattos G, Lana P. 2018.** Polychaete functional diversity in
532 shallow habitats: Shelter from the storm. *Journal of Sea Research* **135**:18-30.

533 **Young OS. 1986.** Análise qualitativa e quantitativa da fauna associada a corais
534 hermatípicos (Coelenterata, Scleractinia) nos recifes de João Pessoa, PB. *Revista Brasileira de*
535 *Biologia* **46**(1): 99-126.