

Cross-modal and subliminal effects of smell and color

Naoto Sato^{1,2}, Mana Miyamoto^{1,3}, Risa Santa¹, Ayaka Sasaki³, Kenichi Shibuya^{Corresp. 1,3}

¹ Graduate School of Health and Welfare, Niigata University of Health and Welfare, Niigata, Japan

² Department of Health and Nutrition, Yamagata Prefectural Yonezawa University of Nutrition Sciences, Yonezawa, Japan

³ Department of Health and Nutrition, Niigata University of Health and Welfare, Niigata, Japan

Corresponding Author: Kenichi Shibuya
Email address: shibuya@nuhw.ac.jp

In the present study, we examined whether the cross-modal effect can be obtained between odors and colors, which has been confirmed under olfactory recognizable conditions and also occurs under unrecognizable conditions. We used two flavors of red fruits such as strawberries and tomatoes for this purpose. We also aimed to compare whether similar cross-modal effects could be achieved by setting the flavors at recognizable (liminal) and unrecognizable (subliminal) concentrations in the experiment. One flavor at a normal concentration (0.1%, Liminal condition) and one at a concentration below the subliminal threshold (0.015%, Subliminal condition), were presented, and the color that resembled the smell most closely from among the 10 colors, was selected by participants. Except for the subliminal tomato condition, each odor was significantly associated with at least one color ($p < 0.01$). Participants selected pink and red for liminal strawberry (0.1%) ($p < 0.05$), pink for subliminal strawberry (0.015%) ($p < 0.05$), and orange for liminal tomato (0.1%) ($p < 0.05$), but there was no color selected for subliminal tomato (0.015%) ($p > 0.05$). The results of this study suggest that the flavor of tomato produced a cross-modal effect in liminal conditions, but not in subliminal conditions. On the other hand, the results of the present study suggest that the flavor of strawberries produces a cross-modal effect even under subliminal conditions. This study showed that cross-modal effects might exist, even at unrecognizable levels of flavor.

1 Title: Cross-modal and subliminal effects of smell and color

2

3 Naoto Sato^{1,2}, Mana Miyamoto^{1,3}, Risa Santa¹, Ayaka Sasaki³, Kenichi Shibuya^{1,3*}

4

5 ¹ Graduate School of Health and Welfare, Niigata University of Health and Welfare

6 ² Department of Health and Nutrition, Yamagata Prefectural Yonezawa University of Nutrition
7 Sciences, 6-15-1 Touri-machi, Yonezawa-shi, Yamagata 992-0025, Japan

8 ³ Department of Health and Nutrition, Niigata University of Health and Welfare

9

10 Corresponding Author*: Kenichi Shibuya

11 Graduate School of Health and Welfare, Niigata University of Health and Welfare, 1398

12 Shimami-cho, Kita-ku, Niigata, 950-3198, Japan

13 shibuya@nuhw.ac.jp

14

15

16 Abstract

17

18 In the present study, we examined whether the cross-modal effect can be obtained between odors
19 and colors, which has been confirmed under olfactory recognizable conditions and also occurs
20 under unrecognizable conditions. We used two flavors of red fruits such as strawberries and
21 tomatoes for this purpose. We also aimed to compare whether similar cross-modal effects could
22 be achieved by setting the flavors at recognizable (liminal) and unrecognizable (subliminal)
23 concentrations in the experiment. One flavor at a normal concentration (0.1%, Liminal
24 condition) and one at a concentration below the subliminal threshold (0.015%, Subliminal
25 condition), were presented, and the color that resembled the smell most closely from among the
26 10 colors, was selected by participants. Except for the subliminal tomato condition, each odor
27 was significantly associated with at least one color ($p < 0.01$). Participants selected pink and red
28 for liminal strawberry (0.1%) ($p < 0.05$), pink for subliminal strawberry (0.015%) ($p < 0.05$), and
29 orange for liminal tomato (0.1%) ($p < 0.05$), but there was no color selected for subliminal
30 tomato (0.015%) ($p > 0.05$). The results of this study suggest that the flavor of tomato produced
31 a cross-modal effect in liminal conditions, but not in subliminal conditions. On the other hand,
32 the results of the present study suggest that the flavor of strawberries produces a cross-modal
33 effect even under subliminal conditions. This study showed that cross-modal effects might exist,
34 even at unrecognizable levels of flavor.

35

36

37 Introduction

38

39 Understanding how humans process, integrate, and perceive external stimuli in the brain is very
40 important. Many smells that we perceive in our daily lives can be easily expressed by the names
41 of colors. For example, if asked to describe the smell of a lemon using color terms, people are
42 more likely to use the word "yellow" than the word "blue" to describe it. Similarly, when people
43 smell ripe strawberries at the market or elsewhere, they look for red fruits rather than orange
44 fruits to find the source of the aroma. Such a phenomenon wherein perceptions that are supposed
45 to be separate, such as taste and vision, or vision and smell, influence each other, is called a
46 cross-modal phenomenon, and the effect it produces is called a cross-modal effect (Heatherly,
47 Dien, Munafò & Lockett, 2019; Kemp & Gilbert, 1997; Luisa Demattè, Sanabria & Spence,
48 2006; Schifferstein & Howell, 2015; Schifferstein & Tanudjaja, 2004). Cross-modal effects such
49 as those in everyday life, especially the cross-modal associations between vision and smell, are
50 so numerous that a stable association between certain colors and smells has been demonstrated.
51 Gottfried and Dolan (2003) showed that the presentation of visual stimuli with complex pictures
52 may affect olfactory information processing. In addition, Morrot et al. (2001) showed an
53 association between odor and color, as white wine artificially colored red with odorless dyes was
54 determined to be red wine based on visual and olfactory information. In the study by Luisa
55 Demattè, Sanabria and Spence (2006), it can be seen that caramel odors are significantly selected
56 to be brown or yellow, cucumber odors are green, and strawberry odors are pink or red. These
57 results are consistent with the general colors of foodstuffs, indicating that a significant
58 relationship exists between odor and color.

59

60 A subliminal effect is a phenomenon that is said to be expressed by unconsciously stimulating
61 the viewer (Yamada et al., 2014). An example of subliminal effect is the repeated insertion of
62 unrecognizable sub-threshold (subliminal) speed and volume advertisements on TV and radio to
63 increase viewers' desire to buy. By working under an unrecognizable threshold—that is,
64 subliminally—it is possible to influence actions, choices, and thoughts. In the study by Holland et
65 al. (2005), there was a significant difference in word selection for cleaning when a word
66 recognition task was performed by presenting a citrus scent used by common cleaners under a
67 subliminal threshold. In addition, Li et al. (2007) showed that the facial expression judgment
68 task, when presented with pleasant, unpleasant, and in-between odors under subliminal
69 thresholds, affected the social liking of human facial expressions. Previous studies have shown
70 that olfactory information can modulate human cognition and behavior even when odor stimuli
71 are presented under a subliminal threshold.

72
73 While both cross-modal and subliminal effects have been studied in various ways, but few
74 studies have examined cross-modal effects under subliminal conditions, and there are many
75 unknowns and a lack of knowledge in the field of multisensory integration as a whole (Stein &
76 Stanford, 2008). In this sense, it is worth examining the cross-modal link between the visual and
77 olfactory. Yamada et al. (2014) showed that odors under subliminal conditions increased
78 preference for unfamiliar food images. This may be closely related to food neophobia (Pliner &
79 Salvy, 2006), a feeding avoidance response to novel foods in studies involving food and
80 nutrition. Participants with food neophobia have been shown to react and evaluate odors
81 differently than those without (Randenbush, 1998), and odor control may reduce stress over
82 unfamiliar foods. Food neophobia can have a negative impact on health in terms of nutrition,
83 since rejecting novel foods is likely to narrow the range of foods available. In 7-year-old girls,
84 food neophobia has been found to be negatively correlated with vegetable consumption
85 (Galloway et al., 2003) and with fruit and vegetable consumption in preschool children (Cooke et
86 al., 2004). Similar to previous studies, this study focused on food odors and examined the cross-
87 modal effects of vision.

88
89 Tomatoes and strawberries as the olfactory stimuli since they are popular, red agricultural
90 products in Japan. In addition, the aromatic components of tomatoes include cis-3-hexenal and
91 trans-2-hexenal (Wang and Seymour, 2017). They are also called green leaf alcohol and
92 aldehyde and are found mainly in green fruits such as cucumbers, and cabbage. The aromatic
93 components of strawberries include alcohols, esters, and aldehydes, with furaneol as the main
94 aromatic component (Schwab, 2013). Since strawberries have a specific aromatic component, it
95 would be possible that the odor and color of strawberries are easily recognized in association
96 with strawberries. Different reactions may be observed depending on the two red fruits, one with
97 an odor that explicitly evokes an image (strawberries) and the other with an odor that evokes
98 several fruits (tomatoes). In the present study, we hypothesized that olfactory information did
99 connect to the visual information regardless of the subject's awareness of the odors and that the
100 olfactory information is utilized only for the subliminal odors that evoke an image of the object.

101
102 In the present study, we examine whether two flavors, strawberry, and tomato, produce the same
103 cross-modal effect as in previous studies. The purpose of this study was to compare whether the
104 flavors were set at recognizable (liminal) and unrecognizable (subliminal) concentrations and

105 whether the different cross-modal effect was obtained, to compare the difference in effect
106 between strawberry and tomato flavors, and to examine the relationship between odor and color.
107

108 **Methods**

109 Participants

110 The study participants consisted of 39 healthy people, between 18 and 22 years of age and with
111 normal or corrected-to-normal eyesight. They received an explanation regarding their written
112 informed consent, which included a description of the risks of the experiment. The Ethics
113 Committee of the Niigata University of Health and Welfare approved this study (Approval
114 number: 18243-190705). All the participants were naïve regarding the study protocol. The
115 research contents were explained verbally to all participants. Written informed consent was
116 obtained from each participant after a full explanation of the nature of the study procedure and its
117 non-invasiveness. The number of participants was calculated by G*Power (Faul et al., 2007)
118 prior to the experiment (Effect size = 1.2, alpha = 0.005, df = 19) determined from the results of
119 preliminary experiments.
120

121 Protocol

122 Upon their arrival at the laboratory, participants were asked to sit in front of a computer in a
123 small room for 15 minutes, to create a resting state. They were assured that their responses would
124 remain completely anonymous as ID numbers were used to manage the data. They were then
125 given instructions regarding the tasks conducted in the experiment. The experimenter then left
126 the room to ensure the participants' anonymity during the experiment. The participants
127 performed the tasks while facing the computer. All tasks were performed using a computer
128 program written in PsychoPy (Peirce 2007; Peirce 2009; Peirce et al. 2019). The stimuli were
129 presented on a 17" (inch) CRT monitor (LCS 172VXL; NEC, Japan) with a resolution of 1024 ×
130 768 pixels and a refresh rate of 100 Hz. Stimulus presentation and data collection were
131 controlled using a computer (M8-D, NEC, Japan). Stimuli were presented at a viewing distance
132 of 40 cm. The luminance of the fixation point was 91.0 cd/m². The command cursors were the
133 white boxes surrounding each rating value (0.95. × 1.89 °; 91.0 cd/m²); selected boxes were
134 filled in with white color.

135 The participants were tested under two conditions: 1) flavors with liminal concentration and 2)
136 flavors with subliminal concentration. Two flavors were used: strawberries (T & M Co., Ltd.)
137 and tomatoes (Yokoyama Flavoring Co., Ltd.). Each flavor was diluted with ion-exchanged
138 water to achieve liminal (0.1%) and subliminal concentrations (0.015%) (Yamada et al., 2014) to
139 create four different samples. The four flavors were correctly identified by the participants as
140 liminal and subliminal after all experiments. Odorless air was released with an aroma diffuser
141 (Muji Supersonic Wave Aroma Diffuser; Rhohin Keikaku Co., Ltd.) from 1-2 h before the
142 experiment and continued until the end of the experiment. In addition, a drop of the sample was
143 placed on an aroma-testing paper and the paper was placed 3 cm away from the subject's nose for
144 4 s. The participants were wearing blindfolds and ear-muffs, during the experiment as in a
145 previous study (Yamada et al., 2014).

146 All ten colors (red, yellow, blue, green, orange, pink, brown, turquoise, purple, and gray) were
147 displayed on the monitor, and the color that felt closest to their mood was selected by
148 participants, as in a previous study (Dematte et al. 2006). Participants came to the laboratory
149 eight times to be tested. The time between each visit was set to be open for at least 24 h. The

150 same procedure was repeated twice for four different samples. The order of the ten colors
151 displayed on the monitor for 15 s and the order of smelling the samples were randomized. The
152 participants were not informed of the flavor of the odor used for the samples. The RGB values
153 for the 10 colors were as follows: red (231, 0, 0), yellow (248, 248, 0), blue (0, 48, 255), green
154 (0, 85, 0), orange (255, 85, 12), pink (255, 0, 193), brown (98, 48, 0), turquoise (0, 229, 189),
155 purple (99, 13, 253), and ash (56, 56, 56) (Figure 1). Participants' judgments were saved in csv
156 files. The colors, and odor types selected by the participants were statistically evaluated by
157 residue analysis using the chi-square test (McHugh, 2013) for the colors associated with each
158 odor type.

159 After the experimental blocks, participants were asked whether they were aware of the odor and
160 of the influence of the odor on their performance (Holland et al., 2005). The interview after all
161 the experimental blocks showed that none of the participants were aware of the odor in the
162 subliminal conditions and all the participants were aware of and identified the odor in the liminal
163 conditions.

164
165 **Statistical Analysis**

166 We used a nonparametric χ^2 statistic to test whether the observed color associations for each of
167 the odors deviated from an equal distribution. Given that we were interested in possible
168 associations between particular odors and specific colors, we conducted post-hoc χ^2 comparison
169 using residual analysis (Bonferroni corrected, $\alpha = 0.005$ (0.05/10 colors)) between the
170 participant's responses to the colors for each odor.

171
172 **Results**

173 The mean age of the participants was 19.7 ± 0.8 years. The chi-square test was used to compare
174 the frequency of each odor with the color selected by the subjects, statistical analyses were
175 performed, and the results were evaluated by residue analysis (Table 1). The results of the χ^2
176 analysis showed that subjects' color responses to a given odor were not equally distributed
177 among the 10 color options (i.e., they responded to a particular color on significantly more than
178 10% of trials) (upper row of Table 1, Liminal Strawberry; $p < 2.72 \times 10^{-11}$, Subliminal
179 Strawberry; $p < 1.30 \times 10^{-5}$, Liminal Tomato; $p < 8.80 \times 10^{-3}$). Most of the odors used in the
180 experiment were significantly ($p < 0.005$) associated with at least one color (see a lower row of
181 Table 1 for the respective p values). As in Tables 1 and 2, the colors significantly selected for the
182 liminal (0.1% concentration) strawberry flavor were pink (50.0%) and red (23.7%). The color of
183 the subliminal (0.015% concentration) strawberry was pink (42.1%). The most significantly
184 selected color for the liminal (0.1% concentration) tomato flavor was orange (31.6%). No
185 significant difference was observed for any color in the subliminal (0.015% concentration)
186 tomato flavor.

187
188 **Discussion**

189
190 The analysis of the data reported here reveals that the flavors of foods, whether liminal or
191 subliminal, produce a cross-modal effect and evoke common colors. In particular, our results
192 show that a characteristic flavor, such as the flavor of strawberries, whether liminal or
193 subliminal, evokes a similar color to that of the food. The pink color was significantly selected
194 for the liminal (0.1% concentration) and subliminal (0.015% concentration) strawberry flavors.

195

196 This suggests that our finding that subliminal flavors can evoke a cross-modal effect is consistent
197 with the results of Yamada et al. (2014). They found that visual choices were influenced by both
198 conscious and unconscious olfactory processing. Similarly, previous studies have repeatedly
199 reported that cognitive processing operates unconsciously (Tsuchiya & Adolphs, 2007). The
200 effect of odors on visual processing has also been found in a subliminal odor presentation
201 experiment (Holland et al., 2005). In addition, Demattè et al. (2006) reported that liminal
202 strawberry flavor evokes a cross-modal association between odor and color. A precious result of
203 the present study was that a cross-modal effect between odor and color was obtained in
204 strawberries both under liminal and subliminal conditions, but in the case of tomatoes, which
205 share common odors with other agricultural products such as cucumbers, cross-modal effect
206 between color and odor was obtained under liminal conditions, but no such effect could not be
207 obtained under subliminal conditions. The results that cross-modal effect occurs even in the
208 subliminal stimuli identified in the present study were consistent with the findings of the
209 neurophysiological previous study (Barutchu, Spence, & Hymphereys, 2018).

210

211 Strawberries are generally red and pink in color, although there are differences between varieties.
212 The fact that there was a significant difference in the red and pink colors between strawberries in
213 the experiment suggests that strawberries are generally perceived as red and pink. In the
214 experiment, pink was selected more frequently than red. This was also found in the study by
215 Demattè et al. (2006), where pink was selected significantly more often than red when people
216 smelt strawberries. One possible explanation for the significant selection of pink over red is that
217 many foods marketed as strawberry-flavored may use more pink than red in their packaging
218 design or in the color of the food itself. In addition, many products that claim to have a
219 strawberry flavor also use a lot of pink in their packaging. Thus, the strong association between
220 strawberries and the color of the packaging and food products associated with the flavor and
221 aroma of strawberries in everyday life may have influenced the results of this experiment.

222

223 A significant difference was observed in the pink color in the subliminal (0.015% concentration)
224 strawberry odor. This suggests that a cross-modal effect was obtained between strawberry odor
225 and pink color, even at the subliminal concentration. However, the red color that was
226 significantly chosen for the liminal strawberry flavor was not significantly chosen for the
227 subliminal strawberry flavor. This might be because the cross-modal effect was not as strong for
228 the subliminal strawberry flavor as it was for the liminal strawberry flavors. In addition, there
229 were no significantly selected colors for subliminal (0.015% concentration) tomato flavors,
230 suggesting that tomato flavors cannot achieve the same cross-modal effects at subliminal and
231 liminal concentrations. Yamada et al. (2014) reported that subliminal tomatoes were more
232 difficult to judge than subliminal strawberries. Therefore, it is possible that the subliminal tomato
233 flavor was more difficult to judge than the subliminal strawberry flavor in the current study,
234 which may have led to variations in the participants' color choices.

235

236 It is well known that multisensory integration is stronger when the information reaching multiple
237 sensory receptors comes from the same, rather than a different, spatial region in the brain (Stein
238 & Meredith, 1993; Meredith, Keniston, Prickett, Bahwa, Cojany, Clemo, Allman, 2020). In our
239 experiment, the odors reached the olfactory cortex of the participants and were transmitted to the
240 hippocampus, which recalled the odors of the past. At the same time, the hippocampus contains

241 memories of food that are strongly bound to the odor, so it is easy to imagine that participants
242 will recall the food and its colors from the odor. In contrast, in our experiment, the color
243 significantly selected for the liminal (0.1% concentration) tomato flavor was orange. Because
244 most of the tomatoes in general circulation are red, we expected to see a significant difference in
245 the red color in this experiment, but the actual result was orange. Many tomatoes are red, but
246 there are yellow and orange varieties as well; therefore, the significant difference may be due to
247 the orange color, which is a warm color similar to red. It is possible that the subject's perception
248 of the odor of tomatoes may depend on their accumulated experience. In our experiment,
249 participants typically reported that in the liminal strawberry odor trial, they could clearly smell
250 the strawberries, but could not tell at all in other trials (liminal tomato, subliminal tomato, and
251 subliminal strawberries) because of the random repetition of stimuli. Therefore, it is possible that
252 the choice of color of the liminal tomato odor itself was made unconsciously, and that this may
253 have made the choice of tomato color less vivid. At this moment, we have not found any
254 previous studies that can justify the choice of orange to tomato flavor. However, it was unlikely
255 that the participants have a particularly biased opinion about the color of tomatoes. Future
256 studies will justify the reasons for these results.

257
258 The aromatic components of tomatoes include cis-3-hexenal and trans-2-hexenal (Wang and
259 Seymour, 2017). They are also called green leaf alcohol and aldehyde, and are found in
260 cucumbers, cabbage, and tomatoes. Cis-3-hexenal and trans-2-hexenal are not tomato-specific
261 odorants, but are common to all fruits, which may be the reason for the variation in selection
262 other than warm colors in this study. The aromatic components of strawberries include alcohols,
263 esters, and aldehydes, with furaneol as the main aromatic component (Schwab, 2013). Since
264 strawberries have a specific aromatic component, it is possible that the odor and color of
265 strawberries are easily recognized in association with strawberries, whereas tomatoes lack a
266 specific aromatic component, which may have made it difficult for tomatoes to be recognized in
267 association with their odor and color. These findings suggest that the cross-modal effect under
268 subliminal conditions is flavor specific.

269

270 **Conclusion**

271 Significant differences appeared in the color associated with the foodstuff itself in both liminal
272 (0.1% concentration) strawberry and tomato flavors, suggesting that a cross-modal effect of odor
273 and color was achieved.

274

275 **Disclosure of interest**

276 The authors report no conflict of interest.

277

278 **Data availability statement**

279 The data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, KS,
280 upon reasonable request.

281

282 Author Contributions

283 Naoto Sato: Writing – Analysis, Review and Editing, Writing. Ayaka Sasaki: Investigation,
284 Resources, and Writing – Original draft. Mana Miyamoto: Resources, Review and Editing, and
285 Writing. Risa Santa: Resources, Review and Editing, Writing. Kenichi Shibuya: Analysis,
286 Review and Editing, Writing, Supervision.

287

288 **References**

289

290 Barutchu A, Spence C, Humphreys GW. 2018. Multisensory enhancement elicited by
291 unconscious visual stimuli. *Experimental Brain Research* 236:409-417.

292

293 Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, Buchner A. 2007. G*Power 3: A flexible statistical power
294 analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research*
295 *Methods* 39:175–191. DOI: 10.3758/bf03193146.

296

297 Cooke LJ, Wardle J, Gibson EL, Sapochnik M, Sheiham A & Lawson M. 2004. Demographic,
298 familial and trait predictors of fruit and vegetable consumption by pre-school children. *Public*
299 *Health and Nutrition* 7:295–302.

300

301 Galloway AT, Lee Y & Birch LL. 2003. Predictors and consequences of food neophobia and
302 pickiness in young girls. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 103:692–698.

303

304 Gottfried JA, Dolan RJ. 2003. The nose smells what the eye sees: Crossmodal visual facilitation of
305 human olfactory perception. *Neuron* 39:375–386. DOI: 10.1016/s0896-6273(03)00392-1.

306

307 Heatherly M, Dein M, Munafo JP, Luckett CR. 2019. Crossmodal correspondence between
308 color, shapes, and wine odors. *Food Quality and Preferences* 71:395-405.

309

310 Holland RW, Hendriks M, Aarts H. 2005. Smells like clean spirit: Nonconscious effects of scent
311 on cognition and behavior. *Psychological Science* 16:689–693. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-
312 9280.2005.01597.x.

313

314 Kemp SE, Gilbert AN. 1997. Odor intensity and color lightness are correlated sensory
315 dimensions. *American Journal of Psychology* 110:35-46.

316

317 Li W, Moallem I, Paller KA, Gottfried JA. 2007. Subliminal smells can guide social preferences.
318 *Psychological Science* 18:1044–1049. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.02023.x.

319

320 Luisa Demattè ML, Sanabria D, Spence C. 2006. Cross-Modal Associations Between Odors and
321 Colors. *Chemical Senses* 31:531–538. DOI: 10.1093/chemse/bjj057.

322

323 McHugh ML. 2013. The Chi-square test of independence. *Biochemia Medica* 23:143–149. DOI:
324 10.11613/bm.2013.018.

325

- 326 Meredith MA, Keniston LP, Prickett, EH, Bajwa M, Cojanu A, Clemo HR, & Allman BL. 2022.
327 What is a multisensory cortex? A laminar, connectonal, and functional study of a ferret temporal
328 cortical multisensory area. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*
329 <https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.24859>.
330
- 331 Morrot G, Brochet F, Dubourdiou D. 2001. The Color of Odors. *Brain and Language* 79:309–
332 320. DOI: 10.1006/brln.2001.2493.
333
- 334
- 335 Peirce JW. 2007. PsychoPy-Psychophysics Software in Python. *Journal of Neuroscience*
336 *Methods* 162:8–13. DOI: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2006.11.017.
337
- 338 Peirce JW. 2009. Generating Stimuli for Neuroscience using PsychoPy. *Frontiers in*
339 *Neuroinformatics* 2:1–8.
340
- 341 Peirce JW, Gray JR, Simpson S, MacAskill MR, Höchenberger R, Sogo H, Kastman E, Lindeløv
342 JK. 2019. PsychoPy2: Experiments in behavior made easy. *Behavior Research Methods* 51:195–
343 203. DOI: 10.3758/s13428-018-01193-y.
344
- 345 Pliner P & Salvy SJ. 2006. Food neophobia in humans. In R. Shepherd & M. Raats (Eds.),
346 *Psychology of food choice* (pp. 75- 92). Wallingford: CABI Publishing.
347
- 348 Raudenbush B, Schroth F, Reilley S & Frank RA. 1998. Food neophobia, odor evaluation and
349 exploratory sniffing behavior. *Appetite* 31:171–183.
350
- 351 Sheifferstein HNJ, Howell BF. 2015. Using color-odor correspondences for fragrance packaging
352 design. *Food Quality and Preferences* 46; 17-25.
353
- 354 Sheifferstein HNJ, Tanudjaja I. 2004. Visualizing fragrances through colors, the mediating role of
355 emotions. *Perception* 33; 1249-1266.
356
- 357 Schwab W. 2013. Natural 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3(2H)-furanone (Furaneol®). *Molecules*
358 18:6936–6951. DOI: 10.3390/molecules18066936.
359
- 360 Stein BE, Meredith MA. 1993. *The Merging of the Senses*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
361
- 362 Stein B & Stanford T. Multisensory integration: current issues from the perspective of the single
363 neuron. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 9:255–266. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2331>
364 Tsuchiya N, Adolphs R. 2007. Emotion and consciousness. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*
365 11:158–167. DOI: 10.1016/j.tics.2007.01.005.
366
- 367 Wang D, Seymour GB. 2017. Tomato flavor: Lost and found? *Molecular Plant* 10:782–784.
368 DOI: 10.1016/j.molp.2017.04.010.
369
- 370 Yamada Y, Sasaki K, Kunieda S, Wada Y. 2014. Scents boost preference for novel fruits.
371 *Appetite* 81:102–107. DOI: 10.1016/j.appet.2014.06.006.

372

373 Figure legend

374 Figure 1 illustrates the task used in the experiment. Participants should judge which of the given
375 colors on the screen can best match the odor they smell, by clicking the corresponding color
376 square directly when they decide to choose. Participants responded with the mouse.

377

Table 1 (on next page)

Table 1. The p-values and effect sizes for redidue analysis

1 Table 1. The p-values and effect sizes for residue analysis

	Strawberry		Tomato	
	Liminal	Subliminal	Liminal	Subliminal
χ^2	90.5	56.6	36.6	11.0
df	19	19	19	19
p	2.72×10^{-11}	1.30×10^{-5}	8.80×10^{-3}	0.924
Effect size w	1.52	1.21	0.97	0.53
Red	0.001 *	0.311	0.262	0.311
Yellow	0.037	0.262	0.262	0.957
Blue	0.037	0.122	0.037	0.311
Green	0.037	0.037	0.311	0.957
Orange	0.311	0.557	0.000 *	0.557
Pink	0.000 *	0.000 *	0.631	0.957
Brown	0.311	0.311	0.311	0.311
Turquoise	0.037	0.122	0.122	0.311
Purple	0.262	0.631	0.262	0.557
Gray	0.037	0.311	0.037	0.007

2 Results of χ^2 analysis for each of the odors are reported in the upper and lower rows. Significant p-values in the
3 upper and lower rows mean that participants' color responses to a given odor were not equally distributed among
4 the 10 color options (i.e., they responded to a particular color on significantly more than 10% of the trials). The
5 lower rows show the results of the post hoc comparisons (Bonferroni corrected, $\alpha = 0.005$ (0.05/10 colors) used
6 to detect responses to a particular color, along with the percentage of each color choice.

Table 2 (on next page)

Table 2. Odors and related colors

Table 2. Odors and related colors

Odors	Related Colors
Strawberry (0.1%)	Pink (50.0%), Red (23.7 %)
Strawberry (0.015%)	Pink (42.1%)
Tomato (0.1%)	Orange (31.6 %)
Tomato (0.015%)	None

1

Figure 1

Figure 1

Figure 1 illustrates the task used in the experiment. Participants should judge which of the given colors on the screen can best match the odor they smell, by clicking the corresponding color square directly when they decide to choose. Participants responded with the mouse.

