

IV. COMMENTS

The paper in the present form is not suitable for publication. The authors should review the following items:

Abstract: Method and methodology (survey in your case) needed to add in the abstract. Keywords needed to add. It will increase the accessibility of the published paper.

Your Abstract needs more specific. I suggest that you remove the “mammals” and others as you are not doing research on this (line-19). Be specific to birds.

Introduction:

Line 37: try to use US dollar or write exchange rate USD to Yuan so that an international reader can better understand the monetary value of it.

There is need to add the relationship between tree plantation and bird diversity which is rather scarce in the introduction.

Methods:

Accept my appreciation for writing/conducting good survey. However, empirical method you used in result and discussion needed to add in this section e.g. Poisson-linked generalized linear model and others if any.

Results: Results are presented poorly. Needed more detail. Try to elaborate each variable and justify from past literature. You need to elaborate Table 2 in more detail. This is econometric model which neither discuss in methodology nor discuss in Results and Discussion.

Discussion: Rather to review past studies better to justify your results from this discussion. Readers do not need to read the reviews of past studies. Rather, they expect how you justify your result from past studies. This will further strengthened or reject the existed theory or theories. In nutshell, your objectives are partially met.

Conclusion: Missing. Write the effective conclusion.

Dr Ghulam Mustafa (Assistant Professor)