

The contents of essential and toxic metals in coffee bean and soil in Dale Woreda, Sidama Regional State, Southern Ethiopia

Yohannes Seifu Berego^{Corresp., 1}, Solomon Sorsa Sota¹, Mihret Ulsido², Embialle Mengistie Beyene³

¹ Biology, Hawassa University, Hawassa, Sidama Region, Ethiopia

² Departement of Water Supply and Environmental Engineering, Institute of Technology, Faculty of Biosystems and Water Resources Engineering, Hawassa University, Hawassa, Sidama Region, Ethiopia, Hawassa University, Hawassa, Sidama Region, Ethiopia

³ Environmental Health, Hawassa University, Hawassa, Sidama Region, Ethiopia

Corresponding Author: Yohannes Seifu Berego
Email address: yohannesseifu@hu.edu.et

Background: For developing countries like Ethiopia, coffee is a commodity of great economic, social, and environmental importance. There are no detailed investigations performed on the contents of essential and toxic metals in coffee bean and soil in this study area. **Methods:** The levels of essential metals (Na, K, Ca, Zn, Mn, Cu, Co, Cr, Ni), and toxic elements (Pb and Cd) were investigated in coffee beans (coffee growing farmland and coffee washing plants) and soil samples (from farmland) using Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (FAAS) and flame emission atomic spectroscopy. We selected 6 (20%) of administrative units (Kebele) with purposive sampling technique based on their coffee production capacity in Dale Woreda for the soil testing. After coffee sample preparation in Microwave system with HNO₃ and H₂O₂ reagents, the accuracy of the optimized procedure was evaluated by analyzing the digest of the spiked samples. Soil samples were abridged accompanying slight revision of EPA 3050B acid digesting method. ANOVA was used to determine the significant differences in the mean concentration of metal within coffee bean from farmland at the various sampled sites at $p < 0.05$ significant level. To correlate the effect of one metal concentration on other metal in the coffee beans samples, the Pearson correlation matrices were used. **Results:** Calcium had the highest concentration ($1355 \pm 18.02 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) of macro elements in soil samples, followed by K ($681.43 \pm 1.52 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). Similarly, Na ($111.63 \pm 0.35 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), Cu ($49.96 \pm 0.99 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), Co ($5.43 \pm 0.31 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), Mn ($0.62 \pm 0.238 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), Ni ($0.194 \pm 0.01 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), and Zn ($0.163 \pm 0.007 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) were detected among the microelements in soil samples. Pb, and Cr were not detected in all soil sample. Potassium (K) was found to have the highest concentration ($99.93 \pm 0.037 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) followed by Ca ($17.23 \pm 0.36 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) among the macro elements in coffee beans from farmers' farms. Like coffee beans from farmland,

samples from washing plants also contained highest K ($77.93 \pm 0.115 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), followed by Ca ($4.33 \pm 0.035 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). Metal levels in coffee bean samples from farmland are in the following order: $\text{K} > \text{Na} > \text{Ca} > \text{Mn} > \text{Cu} > \text{Ni} > \text{Zn}$. Metal levels were found to be $\text{K} > \text{Na} > \text{Ca} > \text{Mn} > \text{Cu} > \text{Zn} > \text{Ni}$ in coffee beans from the washing plants. Co, Cr, Pb and Cd were not detection in all coffee bean samples. Except for calcium, potassium and manganese, the levels of metals in coffee beans from farmland and washing plants were not significantly different at 95% confidence level within a kebele. **Conclusions:** We observed permitted levels of macro and trace elements in coffee beans from farmlands and washing plants. Only in the soil samples, cadmium concentrations are higher than those permitted for agricultural soil recommended by WHO and FAO. Overall, there is no health danger linked with the use of coffee beans due to detrimental and trace heavy metals.

1

2 **The contents of essential and toxic metals in coffee bean and** 3 **soil in Dale Woreda, Sidama Regional State, Southern** 4 **Ethiopia**

5

6

7 Yohannes Seifu Berego¹, Solomon Sorsa Sota², Mihret Dananto Ulsido^{3, 5}, Embialle Mengistie
8 Beyene⁴

9

10 ¹ Biology, Hawassa University, Hawassa, Ethiopia

11 ² Biology, Hawassa University, Hawassa, Ethiopia

12 ³ Departement of Water Supply and Environmental Engineering, Institute of Technology,
13 Faculty of Biosystems and Water Resources Engineering, Hawassa University, Hawassa,
14 Ethiopia

15 ⁴ Departments of Environmental Health, Hawassa University, Hawassa, Ethiopia

16 ⁵Center for Ethiopian Rift Valley Studies (CERVaS)

17

18 Corresponding Author:

19 Yohannes Seifu Berego¹

20 Atote, Hawassa, Sidama Region, 05, Ethiopia Email address: yohannesseifu@hu.edu.et.

21

22 **Abstract**

23 **Background:** For developing countries like Ethiopia, coffee is a commodity of great economic,
24 social, and environmental importance. There are no detailed investigations performed on the
25 contents of essential and toxic metals in coffee bean and soil in this study area.

26 **Methods:** The levels of essential metals (Na, K, Ca, Zn, Mn, Cu, Co, Cr, Ni), and toxic elements
27 (Pb and Cd) were investigated in coffee beans (coffee growing farmland and coffee washing
28 plants) and soil samples (from farmland) using Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (FAAS)
29 and flame emission atomic spectroscopy. We selected 6 (20%) of administrative units (Kebele)
30 with purposive sampling technique based on their coffee production capacity in Dale Woreda for
31 the soil testing. After coffee sample preparation ^{in a} Microwave system with HNO₃ and
32 H₂O₂ reagents, the accuracy of the optimized procedure was evaluated by analyzing the digest of
33 the spiked samples. Soil samples were abridged accompanying slight revision of EPA 3050B
34 acid digesting method. ANOVA was used to determine the significant differences in the mean
35 concentration of metal within coffee bean from farmland at the various sampled sites at p< 0.05

36 significant level. To correlate the effect of one metal concentration on other metal in the coffee
37 beans samples, the Pearson correlation matrices were used.

38 **Results:** Calcium had the highest concentration ($1355 \pm 18.02 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) of macro elements in soil
39 samples, followed by K ($681.43 \pm 1.52 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). Similarly, Na ($111.63 \pm 0.35 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), Cu
40 ($49.96 \pm 0.99 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), Co ($5.43 \pm 0.31 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), Mn ($0.62 \pm 0.238 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), Ni ($0.194 \pm 0.01 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$),
41 and Zn ($0.163 \pm 0.007 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) were detected among the microelements in soil samples. Pb, and
42 Cr were not detected in all soil sample. Potassium (K) was found to have the highest
43 concentration ($99.93 \pm 0.037 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) followed by Ca ($17.23 \pm 0.36 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) among the macro
44 elements in coffee beans from farmers' farms. Like coffee beans from farmland, samples from
45 washing plants also contained highest K ($77.93 \pm 0.115 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), followed by Ca ($4.33 \pm 0.035 \text{ mg}$
46 kg^{-1}). Metal levels in coffee bean samples from farmland are in the following order: K>Na>Ca
47 >Mn>Cu> Ni>Zn. Metal levels were found to be K>Na>Ca >Mn>Cu> Zn>Ni in coffee beans
48 from the washing plants. Co, Cr, Pb and Cd were not detection in all coffee bean samples.
49 Except for calcium, potassium and manganese, the levels of metals in coffee beans from
50 farmland and washing plants were not significantly different at 95% confidence level within a
51 kebele.

52 **Conclusions:** We observed permitted levels of macro and trace elements in coffee beans from
53 farmlands and washing plants. Only in the soil samples, cadmium concentrations are higher than
54 those permitted for agricultural soil recommended by WHO and FAO. Overall, there is no health
55 danger linked with the use of coffee beans due to detrimental and trace heavy metals.

56 **Introduction**

57 According to International Coffee Organization (ICO) (2021), coffee is the third most consumed
58 beverage in the world after water and tea, and it is the world's second most traded commodity
59 after oil. Various literature reports have taken note of the importance of moderate consumption
60 of coffee on human health through the regulation of the level of sugar in the blood, as well as
61 prevention of diseases of the circulatory system and digestive system, cancers, and Parkinson's
62 and Alzheimer's diseases (Tan et al., 2007, Kotyczka et al., 2011).

63 Coffee is a commodity of great economic, social and environmental importance in Ethiopia
64 (Gure et al., 2017). According to data from Ethiopian Tea and Coffee Authority (2022), Ethiopia
65 exported 300,000 metric tons of coffee in 2021, bringing in \$1.4 billion and accounting for
66 almost 30% of all export revenue and supporting the livelihoods of over 25% of its population
67 (Tefera and Tefera, 2014). According to ICO (2021), Ethiopia ranks fifth in the world as a coffee
68 producer and exporter after Brazil, Vietnam, Colombia and Indonesia and is the third largest

69 consumer, after Brazil and Indonesia (ICO, 2021). The Ethiopian output in 2020 reached 7.375
70 million bags (of 60 kg each) of processed coffee, nearly 4.3% of the global production (ICO,
71 2021).

72 Heavy metals by definition are metallic elements which have a high atomic weight and have
73 **a much higher density** much high density at least 5 times that of water. They are stable elements i.e. they cannot be
74 metabolized by the body and bio-accumulative i.e. passed up the food chain to humans. Even at
75 very low concentrations, they are highly toxic and can cause damaging effects (Mohiuddin et al.,
76 2011). However, essential elements **are those for which** are those which even in small amount play important roles as
77 far as healthy animals or plant life is concerned. Essential elements are vital for life (Beasley et
78 al., 2000). Heavy metal contamination is a global hazard that began with the industrial
79 revolution, resulting principally from performing farming practices through application of
80 organic fertilizers, minerals and pesticides to the agricultural soil (Carolin et al., 2017, Hejna et
81 al., 2018) , rapid industrialization and urbanization and the disposal of untreated and/or partially
82 treated effluents from various industries, the pollution level has reached alarming situation in
83 Ethiopia with increasing metal levels and deterioration of agricultural soil quality (Ftsum and
84 Abraha, 2018). The stability of soil and water is impacted by the pollution of heavy metals
85 (Kobielska et al., 2018), resulting in environmental (Bello et al., 2018) and public health
86 problems (Reyes et al., 2016).

87 Metal toxicity results when our body intake of excess amounts through supplements, food and
88 water (Ibrahim et al., 2006). Heavy metal exhibits specific toxic effects on human beings,
89 damage to kidney, liver, lung, blood cells, mental and central nervous systems (Dorne et al.,
90 2011, Winiarska-Mieczan et al., 2021). Chronic exposure can lead to a gradual increase in
91 neurodegenerative processes, which is related to diseases such as multiple sclerosis and
92 Alzheimer's disease (FAO, 2011, Butt and Sultan, 2011). The heavy metals are toxic even in
93 very small amounts such as arsenic, cadmium , chromium, nickel, and mercury **which are**
94 category one (1) carcinogens, as they increase cancer risk in humans even with mild to moderate
95 exposure (Jaishankar et al., 2014, Kim et al., 2015).

96 Recent research shows some of our favorite coffee brews can be laced with contaminants and
97 quite a number of studies have been conducted in the whole world to determine the levels of
98 essential and toxic elements in coffee bean (Gure et al., 2017, Feleke et al., 2018, Rubio et al.,

Other research has been

99 2019, Albals et al., 2021, Dubale, 2021). Another researcher have been conducted to determine
100 heavy metal accumulation in beans of cacao (Takrama et al., 2015 ,Bertoldi et al., 2016 ,Aguirre-
101 Forero et al., 2020). Previous studies have also assessed the levels of hazardous components in
102 grains from various countries (Meharg et al., 2013, TатаhMentan et al., 2020). Transfer of metals
103 from soil to the coffee beans or other crop products, such as cacao, [reword sentence; not clear]
104 plants, where they can either store them in the roots or move them into the shoots and grains
105 (Silva et al., 2007). When
106 metals get into the coffee beans, they become the sources of contamination for people, causing har
107 mful health effects such as significantly reduced neurological and hepatic functioning,
108 mutagenesis, and carcinogenesis (Matés et al., 2010).

109
110 Sidama coffee beans are well-known on the global market for their superior quality. Due to
111 this, Sidama coffee bean prices and consumption have increased over the past few years.
112 (Gelaw, 2019). There have been studies before on the determination of metals in coffee bean,
113 but these had different in scope, such as coffee beans samples were taken from farmer's
114 farms and coffee washing industries. To the best of our knowledge, there are no detailed
115 investigations performed on the contents of essential and toxic metals in coffee bean and
116 soil in Dale Woreda, Sidama Regional State. Moreover, the knowledge gap on the contents
117 of essential and toxic metals in coffee bean and soil needs to be filled. Therefore, the aim of
118 this study is to investigate the contents of essential and toxic metals in coffee bean and soil
119 in Dale Woreda, Sidama Regional State, Southern Ethiopia

120 **Materials & Methods**

121 **Description of the Study Area**

122 This study was carried out in Dale Woreda, Ethiopia. Geographic location of Woreda is between
123 Latitude 6° 41' 35" north and Longitude 38° 21' 17" east. The capital town of Dale woreda is
124 Yirgalem, which is located 45 km from Hawassa. The southern, western, northern, and eastern
125 borders of Dale are formed by Aleta Wendo and Chuko, Loka Abaya, Boricha, Shebedino, and
126 Wensho. Dale Woreda's elevation along the Lake Abaya shoreline varies from 1200 meters
127 above sea level to around 3200 meters at its westernmost point. Rivers include the Gidabo.
128 Coffee is an important cash crop in Dale, with 17.38 square kilometers planted with this crop,

129 which produced a total of 12.3 million kilograms of beans in 2020/21. Industry in this Woreda
130 includes 51 coffee pulpers (DWFEDO, 2021). Farming chemicals are being utilized in
131 agricultural operations more frequently, possibly to increase yields. The range of the average
132 yearly temperature is between 9.6°C and 29.2°C. There are two rainy seasons in the area, with
133 the first peak being in April–May and the second from August–October. The least amount of rain
134 fell between November and February. The area receives 1102 mm of rain on average yearly.
135 Agroforestry practices appear to be the main features of the land use systems in the region. The
136 main constituents of coffee are caffeine, tannin, fixed oil, carbohydrates, and proteins. It contains
137 2–3% caffeine, 3–5% tannins, 13% proteins, and 10–15% fixed oils.

138 **Sample collection**

139 For this study, out of the 32 Kebele Administrations in Dale Woreda, only 20% were selected,
140 which included six which was six Kebele . Coffee beans were collected from farmer's farms with its supporting soil
141 from six kebele which are Kege (SS1), Wenenata (SS2), Gane (SS3), Wondo (SS4), Bera (SS5),
142 and Megara(SS6) of Dale woreda using a grab sampling method. In order to get coffee bean
143 samples, eight farmers from one kebele were chosen based on how much coffee they could
144 produce. Following that, a minimum of five coffee plants from a farm with a single farmer were
145 used for sampling. Finally, the entire samples were homogenized to create a single sample of
146 coffee beans that is indicative of a single kebele. The accumulated seize samples have been
147 blended to form a composite sample to end up the representative sample of the bulk. For
148 sampling coffee beans, red cherries harvested during their peak season had been carefully chosen
149 and collected. To make pulping and grading easier, solely ripe crimson cherries have been
150 collected, (i.e. the entire cherry after harvest is first cleaned to separate the unripe, overripe and
151 damaged cherries and to get rid of dirt, soil, twigs and leaves). Using stainless steel forceps, the
152 soil samples were cleansed. The outer layers of the coffee cherry were also removed. Wash the
153 green coffee beans that have been separated from their outer coat with tap water before washing
154 them with deionized water to reduce contamination. The samples were sealed in polythene bags.
155 Coffee washing plant, on the other hand, has been chosen based totally on the following manner:
156 In the chosen six Kebele there were 13 coffee washing plants. One coffee washing plant/
157 industry was purposively chosen from a single Kebele. For this study a total of six coffee
158 processing plants were selected. All coffee processing industry in Dale Woreda was used wet
159 processing consists of the following steps: Sorting & Cleaning, Pulping, Fermentation, Coffee

160 washing and drying. By submerging them in water, coffee cherries are sorted. The excellent,
161 mature fruit will sink while the bad, unripe fruit will float. Cherry skin and some pulp are
162 removed by pressing the fruit mechanically through a screen in water. There will still be a
163 substantial amount of pulp stuck to the bean that needs to be removed. This is accomplished
164 either by a more recent technique known as machine-assisted wet processing. The remaining
165 pulp is removed in the ferment-and-wash method of wet processing by causing the cellulose to
166 break down while the beans are fermented with bacteria and then thoroughly washed. To obtain
167 samples of coffee beans from washing plants, the washing company left the coffee beans to dry
168 on beds after washing them, and we used those samples for sampling. All the samples were taken
169 in triplicate.

170

171 The soil samples had been amassed from the root of the sample coffee plant from which the
172 coffee beans was collected. Soil samples have been collected from the floor 15cm- 25cm under
173 each sampled coffee plant (Csuros and Csuros, 2002). Because the find out about is looking into
174 the uptake of vital and non-essential metals by coffee plants, samples have been taken from the
175 complete place where the plant's root system penetrates. Finally, the samples had been accrued
176 into non-reacting polyethylene bags and carefully blended to make one composite pattern for
177 each Kebele, which was once then delivered to the laboratory.

178 **Preparation of coffee bean samples**

179 On a firm, flat, easy surface, such as raised tables, the accumulated coffee cherries have been
180 dried in direct sunlight. Drying had been used to eliminate moisture from the coffee bean in a
181 slow continuous technique as it takes up to 4 weeks earlier than the cherries were dried to the
182 greatest moisture content, relying on the climate conditions. ‘‘The beans are then removed from
183 the dried husk. After grinding the dried coffee beans, 50 g were used for analysis. Finally, the
184 powdered coffee bean samples were stored in polyethylene plastic luggage and stored in
185 desiccators containing calcium chloride to maintain to constant dry weight until digestion’’
186 (Mitra, 2003). Sample taken from washing plants were also prepared in the same way as the
187 beans from farmland.

188 **Preparation of soil samples**

189 Any visible plant remnants were removed, and the soil samples had been air dried and
190 homogenized. The dried soil samples were ground and sieved via using two mm nylon sieves.

191 The total amount of soil samples gathered from a single Kebele furnished over 500 g of sieved
192 soil, of which 50 g have been used for chemical analysis. Before chemical analysis, “the sieved
193 soil pattern had been further dried in an oven at 50°C for one and 1/2 hour to make its moisture
194 content material uniform. Finally the samples had been saved in sealed polythene and saved in
195 desiccators containing calcium chloride to keep to regular dry weight until digestion” (Mitra,
196 2003).

197 **Optimization of digestion conditions**

198 Different microwave digestion techniques were used in an effort to obtain a clear and colorless
199 coffee digest solution that was adequate for FAAS analysis. HNO₃ and H₂O₂ volume, microwave
200 digestion temperature, and digestion duration were the main factors. The three aforementioned
201 factors were changed one at a time to create a total of 20 trials. The best digestion method was
202 chosen based on the following factors: the clarity of the digests (solution free of residue and
203 suspended materials), the smallest reagent volume, the shortest microwave digestion time, and
204 the highest temperature. The developed optimum digesting conditions for coffee bean samples
205 were presented in (Table 1).

206 **Digestion of coffee beans**

207 For the evaluation of the concentration of elements using flame atomic absorption spectroscopy
208 and flame emission atomic spectroscopy methods, samples of coffee require to be solubilized.
209 This sample preparation step mostly aims at reducing matrix effects originating from organic
210 compounds and releasing elements in the form of their simple ions (Castro et al., 2009).
211 A literature cited procedure used for the digestion of coffee powder (Suseela *et al.*, 2001) was used with
212 slight modification. 0.3 g of bean powder used to be introduced to a 250 mL spherical bottom
213 flask. The vessels were then put on the turntable of the microwave system after being pre-
214 digested for 10 minutes in a fume hood with 7 mL of HNO₃ 68% w/w conc. and 2 mL of H₂O₂
215 conc. Finally, the sample was digested at the optimum conditions. For each bulk sample, the
216 digestion was done three times. In parallel to the digestion of the samples, the same method was
217 used to digest a reagent blank while maintaining all other digestion settings. Six blanks had been
218 digested for beans samples. The digest used to be allowed cooling at room temperature (0.1%
219 LaCl₃.7H₂O w/v was once introduced to the digested solution to get rid of the chemical
220 interference of Ca and Na ions.) REPETITIVE 0.1% LaCl₃.7H₂O w/v was once introduced to the digested
221 solution to get rid of the chemical interference of Ca and Na ions and the answer used to be then

222 stuffed to the mark (25 mL) with deionized water. Triplicate digestions were carried out for each
223 sample. The solutions were stored in the refrigerator until analysis.

Recommend professional proofing for remainder on manuscript.

224 **Digestion of soil samples**

225 For digestion of soil samples the EPA 3050B (Epa US, 1996) method used to be applied. “The
226 system used for the digestion of soil sample was once as follows: at first 1:1 ratio of HNO₃ and
227 H₂O (deionized) were prepared. Of which 10 mL have been added in a digestion vessel
228 containing 500 mg of the dried and sieved soil sample. Finally, after completion of digestion
229 with HNO₃, H₂O₂ and HCl, had been allowed to cool, filtered via Whatman No. 42 filter paper
230 and the ensuing clear light yellow answer were made up to 50 mL with deionized water” (EPA,
231 1996). Reagent blanks used to be additionally prepared and digested with the same procedure as
232 that of soil sample. All the solutions were stored in tightly capped polyethylene bottles and
233 stored in a refrigerator until evaluation (EPA, 1996).

234 **Calibration procedure and determination of metals**

235 For the purpose of figuring out the metal concentrations in soil and coffee bean sample solutions,
236 calibration curves were prepared. The calibration curves for each metal were created using
237 diluted stock standard solutions containing 1000 mg/L each of the following metals: Ca, Cd, Co,
238 Cu, Cr, K, Mn, Na, Ni, Pb and Zn in 2% HNO₃. Contents of the metals in coffee bean and soil
239 samples was made by using FAAS and flame emission atomic spectroscopy. To avoid loss
240 through ionization, the concentration of Na and K was determined by emission mode of the
241 instrument. The same analytical approach was used to determine the elements in blank solutions
242 and three replicate determinations for each metal were conducted.

243 **Method validation**

244 Method validation is the process used to confirmation by examination and provision of objective
245 evidence that the particular requirements for a specific intended use are fulfilled. “Results from
246 method validation can be used to judge the quality, reliability and consistency of analytical
247 results; it is an integral part of any good analytical practice” (Ajay and Rohit, 2012,
248 Magnusson.B and Örnemark.U, 2014). Since there were no approved standard reference
249 materials available, the approach was validated using spiking. Four flasks containing samples of
250 coffee beans were spiked. In four different flasks, 0.3 g of coffee bean powder sample was taken.
251 200 mL of 1000 mg/L Ca were spiked into the first flask. The second flask was spiked with 1500
252 L of the same K concentration as the first flask. 25 L of 10 mg/L Cu, Mn, and Zn were spiked

253 into the third flask. In the fourth flask 15 μ L of 10 mg/L of Ni, Co and Cr was spiked. All the
254 spiked samples were digested in triplicate following the optimal digestion procedure developed
255 for coffee bean samples.

256 **Analysis of samples for metals**

257 After the parameters such as burner and lamp alignment, slit width, and wavelength adjustment
258 were optimized for the maximum signal intensity of the instrument, the elements were
259 determined as previously described in Amente Lema (2016), specifically by using flame atomic
260 absorption spectroscopy and flame emission atomic spectroscopy with an external calibration
261 curve. Each metal's hollow cathode lamp was put into the atomic absorption spectrophotometer,
262 which allowed the solution to be inhaled into the flame one at a time. To avoid loss via
263 ionization, the attention of Na and K used to be decided with the aid of flame emission atomic
264 spectroscopy. Calibration curves have been organized to decide the concentration of the metals
265 in coffee bean and soil pattern solutions. Calibration standards are made for each of the
266 following metals using stock standard solutions (Buck Scientific purographics calibration
267 standards, USA) containing 1000 mg/L of each metal. The elements Na, K, Ca, Zn, Mn, Cu, Co,
268 Cr, Ni, Pb, and Cd were among those used. A 10 mg/L intermediate standard was produced from
269 them.

270 **Calculation of Bioaccumulation.**

271 Bio-concentration factor (BCF);-It has been defined that bio-concentration factor is the ratio of
272 heavy metal concentration in edible part of the plant to heavy metal concentration in soil
273 sample(Rattan et al., 2005, Sharma et al., 2018). Accordingly, heavy metal transfer from soil to
274 plant was calculated as by the formula used by (Kachenko and Singh, 2004) and given in Eq (1).

$$275 \quad BCF = \frac{\text{heavy metal content in plant}}{\text{heavy metal content in respective soil}} \dots\dots\dots \text{Eq (1)}.$$

276 The value of BCF greater than 1 indicates that the plant is a potential accumulator for the metal
277 being considered for analysis(Barman et al., 2000).

278 **Data analysis**

279 An analysis was done using SPSS version 24 ANOVA was used to determine the significant
280 differences in the mean concentration of metal within coffee bean from farmland at the various
281 sampled sites at $p < 0.05$ significant level. Additionally, t-tests had been performed in order to

282 check whether there was difference in metals concentration between coffee beans from farmland
283 and washing industries. Finally, to correlate the effect of one metal concentration on the
284 concentration of the other metal in the coffee beans samples, the Pearson correlation matrices
285 using correlation coefficient (r) for the samples were used.

286 **Results**

287 **Metal concentration in soil samples**

288 The highest mean Ni concentration ($0.194 \pm 0.009 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) was recorded in soil sampled from
289 Kege Kebele (SS1) and the lowest ($0.172 \pm 0.002 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) was recorded in Bera Kebele (SS5).
290 (Table 2). The highest mean Zn concentration ($0.163 \pm 0.007 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) was recorded in soil
291 sampled from SS1 and the lowest ($0.141 \pm 0.001 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) was recorded in SS3.
292 The mean Co concentration was reported in two sites: $5.43 \pm 0.305 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$
293 ¹ in soil samples from SS1 and $4.27 \pm 0.20 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ at SS2. The highest mean Cu concentration
294 ($49.96 \pm 0.99 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) was recorded in soil sampled from SS3 and the lowest ($22.34 \pm 0.25 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$)
295 was recorded in SS4.

296 Cadmium levels in the soil shown in Table 2, the mean concentration of Cd varied between 2.38
297 ± 0.044 and 3.36 ± 0.1 with the highest in the sample from SS1 and the lowest from SS4. Calcium
298 had the highest concentration ($1355 \pm 18.02 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) of macro elements in all soil samples,
299 followed by K ($681.43 \pm 1.52 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and Na ($111.63 \pm 0.35 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). Similarly, Cu
300 ($49.96 \pm 0.99 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) as detected in greater abundance among the microelements, followed by
301 Mn ($0.62 \pm 0.238 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), and Zn ($0.163 \pm 0.007 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). $\text{Co} > \text{Cd} > \text{Ni}$ was assigned to the
302 remaining trace metals (Table 2). In soil samples from six kebeles, metals such as Pb, and Cr
303 were found to be not detected. Kege Kebele soil sample analysis shows that, Ca ($1355 \pm 18.02 \text{ mg}$
304 kg^{-1}) was the highest from the examined metals. K and Na were traced in highest concentration
305 next to Ca with concentration of $673 \pm 2.65 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ and $111.63 \pm 0.35 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$, respectively.

306 Out of the analyzed microelements, Cu ($42.66 \pm 2.52 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) was found to be in highest amount
307 followed by Co ($5.33 \pm 0.305 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and Mn ($0.62 \pm 0.238 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). Similarly, the levels of Cd,
308 Ni and Zn were $3.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$, $0.191 \pm 0.009 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ and $0.161 \pm 0.007 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$, respectively.
309 The average metal concentrations in the kege soil were determined to be in the following order:
310 $\text{Ca} > \text{K} > \text{Na} > \text{Cu} > \text{Co} > \text{Cd} > \text{Mn} > \text{Ni} > \text{Zn}$ (Table 2).

311 Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to examine the correlations between the contents of
312 different elements in soil. The analysis revealed that there were significance ($p < 0.01$, $p < 0.05$)
313 positive correlation between heavy elements; Cd-Zn ($r = 0.511$), Cd-Ca ($r = 0.507$), Cd-Na ($r = 0.81$),
314 Cd-K ($r = 0.57$), Ni-Co ($r = 0.882$), Zn-Co ($r = 0.469$), Cu-Ca ($r = 0.537$), Ca-Na ($r = 0.652$), Na-K
315 ($r = 0.69$); all have positive correlations (**Table 3**). Other's correlations were insignificant, such as
316 Cd with Ni, Co, Cu, Mn, and K, Ni found to be non-significant with Zn, Cu, Ca, Mn, and K, Zn
317 also found to be non-significant with Cu, Ca, Mn, Na and K, Cu found to be non-significant with
318 Mn, Na and Similarly Ca found to be non-significant with Mn and K. Likely Mn found to be
319 non-significant with Na and K indicating that the concentration of one element may not have an
320 impact on the concentrations of other elements in the study area. The positive correlation
321 between Cd with Zn, Ca, Na and K indicates correlation among the metals in coffee beans. The
322 rise in levels of Cd increases the tendency of Zn, Ca, Na and K to increase.

323 **Metals in coffee bean sample from farm land**

324 Potassium (K) was found to have the greatest concentration ($99.93 \pm 0.04 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) among the
325 macro elements detected in coffee beans from all sampling farm land sites (Kege, Wenenata,
326 Gane, Wondo, Bera, and Magara). The finding of the present study revealed that Na and Ca were
327 also detected in significant amounts in coffee bean sample from farmers' farms, with
328 concentrations of $22.04 \pm 0.042 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ and $17.23 \pm 0.36 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$, respectively. The highest
329 concentrations observed for Manganese in this study was $0.927 \pm 0.004 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ (**Table 4**).
330 The highest concentration of Ni ($0.074 \pm 0.003 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) reported in coffee bean from
331 farmland. Zinc concentration in the samples analyzed ranged between 0.054 - 0.076 mg kg^{-1} .
332 whereas, Copper concentration in the in the present study ranged between 0.14 - 0.28 mg kg^{-1} .
333 In coffee beans of the selected study site, Pb, Co, Cr, and Cd was not found (**Table 5**).

334 In coffee beans from Kege farmer's farms, Mn was determined to be the most abundant minor
335 element, followed by Cu, Zn and Ni. Likewise the levels of Cu ($0.22 \pm 0.0026 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and Zn
336 ($0.073 \pm 0.003 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) were higher than that of Ni ($0.055 \pm 0.004 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). Except for Pb, Cd
337, Co and Cr, which had not detected in coffee bean, all kinds had equal minor element
338 concentrations. In farmer's coffee beans from Wenenata, Wondo, Gane, Bera, and Magara
339 Kebele, nearly the matching dissemination pattern of elements was detected as in Kege
340 Kebele (**Table 5**).

341 Bio-concentration factor of trace metals from soil to Coffee bean/ Transfer factor

342 Mn had the highest bio-concentration factor/transfer factor (1.495) among the elements
343 examined. However, among the examined samples, Cu had the lowest transfer factor (0.0048),
344 which is at the Magara locations (SS6). In Kege Kebele (SS1), the overall transfer pattern for
345 trace metals was $Mn > Zn > Ni > Na > K > Ca > Cu$ (**Table 6**). This demonstrates unequivocally
346 that Mn bioaccumulation factors were higher in coffee samples than for other metals.

347 Metals in coffee bean sample from washing industry.

348 The beans from Megara coffee washing industries, similar coffee beans from farmlands, have the
349 maximum amount of K ($77.93 \pm 0.115 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), followed by Na ($10.47 \pm 0.058 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and Ca
350 ($3.55 \pm 0.114 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). In the washing industry's beans, Mn ($0.92 \pm 0.001 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) was the highest
351 accumulated trace metal, followed by Cu ($0.277 \pm 0.011 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and Zn ($0.094 \pm 0.004 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$).
352 Other critical trace metal concentrations found in coffee beans were Ni ($0.074 \pm 0.003 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$).
353 The results show that the concentrations of Co, Cr, Pb and Cd were not detected. The
354 dissemination of elements in coffee beans from all six Kebele's washing plants trails the same
355 trend, as illustrated in (**Table 7**). One-way ANOVA showed, the results of metal concentration
356 showed that significant differences were found ($p > 0.05$) at 95% confidence levels for Ca, Cu,
357 Mn and Ni in coffee samples collected from all washing plants. Maximum allowable limits of
358 heavy metals in coffee beans were not found in the literature. As a result, standards for other
359 foods and herbal plants were used to compare (**Table 8**). The results in Table 9 show that the
360 elements content found is more or less analogous to levels published in the literature (**Table**
361 **9**).

362 Except for Ca and K in Kege Kebele, Mn in Bera Kebele, Ca and K in Wenenata, K in Gane,
363 Wodo and Megara Kebele, entirely other measured elements are not significantly different ($p >$
364 0.05) in coffee beans from farmlands and washing plants, according to the findings (**Table 10**).

365 Discussion

366 Concentration of Ni ($0.194 \pm 0.009 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) soil sampled of the present study is much lower
367 ($8.33 \pm 0.55 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) than that reported in Ethiopian farms where coffee is grown (Dubale,
368 2021). This difference might be to the use of diverse farming practices, as well as geographical,
369 meteorological and geological differences between the study areas likewise, It may be more
370 common in the Gedeo zone to apply livestock manure to agricultural soil, which could be the

371 cause of the soil's high Ni concentration (Basta et al., 2005, Hejna et al., 2019). Similarly, the
372 finding of the present study was much lower than reported Ni ($5.1 \pm 3.8 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) in agricultural
373 land in Kenya (Ndungu et al., 2019), reported Ni (133.1 mg kg^{-1}) in Brazil farm where coffee is
374 grown (Tezotto et al., 2012) and reported Ni ($1.92 \pm 1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) from Brazilian coffees
375 cultivated area (Santos et al., 2009). However, the finding of the present study, was much higher
376 (0.05 mg kg^{-1}) than that reported in the Western Ghats, India (Raghavendra and Venkatesha,
377 2020). This is owing to the use of diverse farming practices, as well as geographical,
378 meteorological and geological differences between the study areas. Moreover, the application of
379 livestock manure for the agricultural soil is the common practice in the study areas and it could
380 be the possible reason for high Ni concentration in the soil (Basta et al., 2005). The Ni levels
381 analyzed were below permissible limit set by FAO/WHO, 2001 (50.00 mg kg^{-1}) thereby these
382 soil are free of its contamination.

383

384 The finding of the present study revealed that the concentration of zinc in soil sampled was lower
385 ($52.5 \pm 6 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) than that reported in Brazil (Santos et al., 2009), reported Zn ($83.0 \pm 33.5 \text{ mg}$
386 kg^{-1}) concentration (Tozotto et al., 2012), reported Zn ($10.0 \pm 3.1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) concentration in
387 agricultural land in Kenya (Ndungu et al., 2019) and Zn ($47.14 \pm 2.51 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) from Gedeo
388 Zone, Ethiopia (Dubale, 2021). On the other hand, the present study finding much higher than
389 reported Zn was (0.05 mg kg^{-1}) the Western Ghats Region, India (Raghavendra and Venkatesha,
390 2020). These changes in the concentration of heavy metals could be explained by the regular
391 practice in the study area of applying livestock manures to agricultural soil (Basta et al., 2005,
392 Hejna et al., 2019). The mean concentration of Zn in soils from all sample sites were below
393 permissible limit set by FAO/WHO, 2001 (1000 mg kg^{-1}). This indicates that the soil from all
394 sample sites safe for agriculture with regard to the pollution of zinc.

395 The highest mean Co concentration of the present study ($5.43 \pm 0.305 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), Contrary to other
396 Ethiopian research's findings, the amount of cobalt in the present study was lower than the
397 national average ($11.66 \pm 0.78 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) concentration obtained in Gedeo zone, Ethiopia
398 (Dubale, 2021). However, the finding of the present study was higher than Co (3.57 mg kg^{-1})
399 concentration reported Kaduna State, Nigeria (Oladeji and Saeed, 2015). This could be due to
400 anthropogenic sources of contamination, such as the widespread use of manure, herbicides,
401 fungicides, and fertilizers, which are high in Co as suggested by (Yasmeen et al., 2010).

402 Concentration of Cu ($49.96 \pm 0.99 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) in soil sampled of the present study, which is much
403 lower ($76.9 \pm 1.34 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) than that reported in Ethiopian farms where coffee is grown (Dubale,
404 2021). However, the concentration of Cu reported by the present study, is much higher ($7.10 \pm$
405 0.30 mg kg^{-1}) than that reported in Brazilian coffees (Santos et al., 2009), reported Cu ($36.8 \pm$
406 5.9 mg kg^{-1}) concentration in Brazil farm where coffee is grown (Tezottoa et al., 2012), and
407 Cu (0.26 ± 0.17) in agricultural land in Kenya (Ndungu et al., 2019). Numerous variables, such
408 as air deposition from vehicle emissions or heavy traffic along the Hawassa-Moyale road, could
409 be to cause for the heightened Cu concentration (Szwalec et al., 2020). Furthermore, coffee
410 species, geographic origin, coffee variation, usage of fertilizers with various chemical
411 compositions, and other differentiating elements all have a substantial impact on the actual metal
412 content of coffee beans (Kamal et al., 2008). Copper concentration levels reported in this study
413 were below the permissible limits for agricultural land use of 300 mg kg^{-1} (FAO/WHO, 2001)
414 implying that there was no Cu contamination in the soil.

415 In this study, the highest mean Cd concentration was ($3.36 \pm 0.1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), which is much lower
416 (124.3 mg kg^{-1}) than reported by previous study (Tezottoa et al., 2012). However, higher than
417 ($0.1 \pm 0.03 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) reported in agricultural land of Kenya (Ndungu et al., 2019); Cd ($0.001 \pm$
418 $0.0009 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) reported in the Western Ghats Region, India (Raghavendra and Venkatesha,
419 2020). On the other hand, values reported for Cd ($3.49 \pm 0.26 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) by previous study
420 (Dubale, 2021) are comparable with the results obtained in the present study. These difference
421 might be due to the difference in the anthropogenic sources like the application of fertilizers
422 (Raymond and Felix, 2011). Cadmium levels reported in the soil are above the levels 3 mg kg^{-1} ,
423 the permissible limits for agricultural soil (FAO/WHO, 2001). Therefore, there was Cd
424 contamination in the soil.

425 Calcium had the highest concentration ($1355 \pm 18.02 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) of macro elements in all soil
426 samples, followed by K ($681.43 \pm 1.52 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and Na ($111.63 \pm 0.35 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). Similarly, Cu
427 ($49.96 \pm 0.99 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) as detected in greater abundance among the microelements, followed by
428 Mn ($0.62 \pm 0.238 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), and Zn ($0.163 \pm 0.007 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). $\text{Co} > \text{Cd} > \text{Ni}$ was assigned to the
429 remaining trace metals. The three main forms of Ca^{2+} found in soils are as minerals, in solution,
430 and attached to organic matter and clay minerals at exchangeable sites. Soil solution only
431 contains a small portion of the total Ca^{2+} . Only Ca^{2+} can be absorbed by plant roots from soil

432 solutions (Ramírez-Builes et al., 2020). The kind of soil, the mineral composition of the colloids,
433 the pH, the amount of organic carbon, the presence of humic acids, and the cation exchangeable
434 capacities are just a few variables that may affect the amount of Ca^{2+} that is present in the soil
435 solution (Ramírez-Builes et al., 2020).

436 In soil samples from six kebeles, metals such as Pb, and Cr were found to be not detected. Kege
437 Kebele soil sample analysis shows that, Ca ($1355 \pm 18.02 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) was the highest of all the
438 detected metals. K and Na were found in highest amount next to Ca with values of $673 \pm 2.65 \text{ mg}$
439 kg^{-1} and $111.63 \pm 0.35 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$, respectively. Out of the analyzed microelements, Cu (42.66 ± 2.52
440 mg kg^{-1}) was found to be in highest amount followed by Co ($5.33 \pm 0.305 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and Mn
441 ($0.62 \pm 0.238 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). Likewise, the concentrations of Cd, Ni and Zn were found to be 3.1 ± 0.1
442 mg kg^{-1} , $0.191 \pm 0.009 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ and $0.161 \pm 0.007 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$, respectively. The average metal
443 concentrations in the kege soil were determined to be in the following order:
444 $\text{Ca} > \text{K} > \text{Na} > \text{Cu} > \text{Co} > \text{Cd} > \text{Mn} > \text{Ni} > \text{Zn}$.

445 According to Sharma and Raju (2013), a high correlation coefficient (near +1 or -1) indicates a
446 good relationship between two variables, whereas a concentration around zero indicates no
447 relationship at a significant level of 0.05 % level. If $r > 0.7$, it is strongly correlated, whereas r
448 values between 0.5 and 0.7 indicate moderate correlation between two different parameters. This
449 study revealed that there is a positive correlation between Cd with Zn, Ca, Na and K indicates
450 the linear relationship between the metals. The rise in levels of Cd increases the tendency of Zn,
451 Ca, Na and K to increase. This is in line with previously reported data reported by Dubale (2021)
452 found that Mg, Ca, Cr, Mn, Zn and Co in farmland soils in Gedeo, Ethiopia may have a similar
453 origin determined by a correlation study of soil heavy metals.

454

455 Potassium (K) was found to have the greatest concentration ($99.93 \pm 0.037 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) among the
456 macro elements detected in coffee beans from all sampling farm land sites. As suggested by
457 (Weis and Weis, 2004), ‘‘the highest concentrations of K in coffee beans are probable to be
458 attributable to the truth that nutrient like K, N, P, S, and Mg are particularly cell in plant tissues
459 and can be trans placed from ancient to younger plant tissues’’. According to (Çalışkan and
460 Çalışkan, 2017), another reason for increased K concentrations is because this element is among

461 the most important nutrients for plants and with the exception of N, potassium is the element that
462 plants absorb the most compared to other elements.

463 The concentration of K in coffee bean from farmland reported by the present study
464 ($99.93 \pm 0.037 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), which is much lower ($18,634.66 \pm 538.67 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) than that reported in
465 Poland (Janda et al., 2020) and reported K ($15042.8 \pm 53.29 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) concentration from
466 Gedeo Zone, Ethiopia (Dubale, 2021). On the other hand, values reported for K ($21.31\text{-}427.84 \text{ mg}$
467 kg^{-1}) by (Omer et al., 2019) are comparable with the results obtained in the present study. The
468 studied coffee beans were above the permissible limit set by FAO/WHO which is 32500 mg kg^{-1}
469 in plants. K is essential because it lowers the risk of stroke, supports healthy nerve and brain
470 function, and has diuretic qualities and also it helps control the water and acid-base balance in
471 the blood and tissues and It has apparently been demonstrated that patients with high blood
472 pressure can lower their blood pressure by eating a high potassium diet (He and MacGregor,
473 2008).

474 The finding of the present study revealed that Na and Ca were also detected in significant
475 amounts in coffee bean sample from farmers' farms, with concentrations of $22.04 \pm 0.042 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$
476 and $17.23 \pm 0.36 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$, respectively. This result is consistent with Na concentration (6.84-
477 564.74) and Ca concentration ($6.76\text{-}32.09 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) reported in Saudi Arabia (Omer et al., 2019)
478 and reported by (Adler et al., 2019) Na concentration ($18.6 \pm 11.31 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) in green coffee bean.
479 However, the finding of Ca concentration ($17.23 \pm 0.36 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) in the present study was much
480 lower ($1252.93 \pm 30.17 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) than that reported in Gedeo Zone (Dubale, 2021) The finding
481 of Na ($22.04 \pm 0.042 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) concentration in the present study, which was much higher (1.8-
482 9.3 mg kg^{-1}) than that reported in green coffee bean (Martín et al., 1998) and Such great
483 differences in Na ($6.1 \pm 2.3 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) concentration were also reported by previous study
484 (Grembecka et al., 2007). This variation might be due to the type of soil where the coffee was
485 cultivated (Santos and Oliveira, 2001).

486 The highest concentrations observed for Manganese in coffee bean for the present study was
487 $0.927 \pm 0.004 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$. When we compare the present experimental value with different
488 countries earlier reported. The finding of the present study was much lower ($8.63 \pm 10.14 \text{ mg}$
489 kg^{-1}) than reported previous study (Omer et al., 2019) and reported Mn ($23.60 \pm 1.30 \text{ mg}$
490 kg^{-1}) concentration in Gedeo Zone, Ethiopia (Dubale, 2021). However, fairly agrees with this

491 range (0.48-28.69mg kg⁻¹) reported by (Omer et al., 2019). The soil plant system is highly
492 specific for different, elements; plant species and environmental conditions (Gure et al.,
493 2017). As a result, coffee species, geographic origin, coffee kind, the application of
494 fertilizers with varying chemical compositions, and other distinguishing characteristics all
495 have a significant impact on the actual metal content of coffee beans(Kamal et al., 2008).Mn
496 has a high solubility at low pH, its concentration in acidic soil is likely to be high(Wood,
497 1985) The permissible limit set by FAO (1993) for edible plants is 2mg kg⁻¹.

498 The highest concentration of Ni (0.074±0.003 mg kg⁻¹) reported by the present study, which
499 is in good agreement with Ni (0.05–0.39mg kg⁻¹) reported in coffee bean (Grembecka et al.,
500 2007) and Ni (0.07±0.11mg kg⁻¹) reported in coffee bean (Omer et al., 2019).However, the
501 results presented in the present study are generally lower (0.415 ±0.04 mg kg⁻¹) than
502 reported previous study (Adler et al., 2019) and reported Ni (2.43 ± 0.14 mg kg⁻¹)
503 concentration in coffee bean (Dubale, 2021). The steps used in the production of natural or
504 soluble coffees, the variety and type of coffee, the methods used in the preparation and
505 storage of coffee, as well as the origin (particularly the type of soil where coffee plants are
506 grown), all have a significant impact on the concentration of Ni elements (Santos and
507 Oliveira, 2001, Grembecka et al., 2007). The maximum permissible limit for Ni set by FAO
508 (1993) for edible plants is 1.63mg kg⁻¹.

509 Zinc concentration in the samples analyzed ranged between 0.054-0.076mg kg⁻¹, which was
510 much lower than Zinc concentration (Zn) ranged between (3.74 to 46.89 mg kg⁻¹) reported
511 in Yemeni green coffee beans (Nogaim et al., 2014), reported Brazil (Silva et al., 2017) Zn
512 (5.53 to 55.83 mg kg⁻¹) , reported Zn (3.09-4.04 mg kg⁻¹) in green coffee bean (Adler et al.,
513 2019), and reported Zn (8.74-12.75 mg kg⁻¹) concentration (Dubale, 2021).However, this
514 result is consistent with Zn concentration (0.0-4.59 mg kg⁻¹) reported in Saudi Arabia
515 (Omer et al., 2019). Zinc concentrations vary greatly depending on a number of factors,
516 including the coffee's origin, variety, and type coffee confection and storage (Ashu and
517 Chandravanshi, 2011).

518 .

519 In the present study, Co and Cr were not found in coffee samples from farmlands. This
520 finding is consistent with the previous study reported by Getachew and Worku (2014) for
521 raw and roasted coffee bean and reported; Santos and Oliveira (2001) in Brazilian soluble

522 coffee. However, the previous study has reported Co (2.6-8.4mg kg⁻¹), and Cr (0.21-0.28mg
523 kg⁻¹) concentration in the Ethiopian green coffee beans (Abera Gure et al. 2017). Similarly,
524 another study has reported Co (2.47- 2.86 mg kg⁻¹), and Cr (1.04-1.92mg kg⁻¹) concentration
525 in the Ethiopian green coffee beans (Dubale 2021). Co and Cr are mostly polluted by
526 mining, manufacturing, fertilizers, pesticides, and rock weathering(Zhou et al., 2020). The
527 negligent application of fertilizers, wastewater discharge, burning of coal and motor fuels,
528 and increased mining of cobalt ore have all contributed to an increase in the concentration
529 of naturally occurring Cobalt (Saaltink et al., 2014). The maximum permissible limit for
530 Chromium set by FAO (1993) for edible plants is 0.02mg kg⁻¹.However; there was no
531 maximum permissible limit for Cobalt set by FAO (1993) for edible plants.

532
533 Copper concentration in the in the present study ranged between 0.14-0.28mg kg⁻¹, Which is
534 much lower (12-13mg kg⁻¹) than the previous study reported by Getachew and Worku
535 (2014) ; Abera Gure *et al.* (2017) Cu(11-23mg kg⁻¹) for raw coffee bean; Adler *et al.* (2019)
536 Cu (9.4-18.5 mg kg⁻¹) for green coffee; and Dubale (2021) have reported Cu (23.39-28.5mg
537 kg⁻¹) coffee from farm land. However, the finding of the present study is closely resembles the
538 0.13-10 mg kg⁻¹ range reported by (Omer et al., 2019). The Cu was found low concentration in
539 samples of coffee from farmland. The reason for this low concentration is that the soil where
540 coffee plant grow and environmental conditions which effect the concentration (Ayele et al.,
541 2015). The concentration is affected by a variety of factors, including the type of coffee used, the
542 fertilizer used, and fertilized ground where crops were grown (Suseela et al., 2001). FAO/WHO
543 (1993) defined an acceptable level of 3.00 mg kg⁻¹ in edible plants. After comparing the metal
544 limit in the investigated coffee beans to the FAO/WHO (1993) recommendations, it was
545 discovered that all coffee bean collect Cu below this level. However, the WHO (2005) limits for
546 Cu have not yet been determined for medicinal plants. Cu acceptable limits in medicinal plants
547 were determined by China and Singapore at 20 mg kg⁻¹ and 150 mg kg⁻¹, respectively (WHO,
548 2005).

549
550 In coffee beans of the selected study site, Pb and Cd were not found. This finding is consistent
551 with the previous study reported by Getachew and Worku (2014) for raw and roasted coffee
552 bean; Abera Gure *et al.* (2017) for raw coffee bean and Dubale (2021) for coffee bean

553 sample from farm land. However, Adler *et al.* (2019) have observed higher values for
554 Pb($0.076 \pm 0.0956 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) in Bosnia green coffee and reported by (Nogaim *et al.*, 2014) Pb
555 ($0.599\text{--}7.989 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) in Yemeni green coffee beans. This indicates that coffee beans'
556 chemical composition differs depending on the region in which they are grown. These
557 hazardous metals were not detected in the present study might be due to no environmental
558 degradation due to industrial operations or chemical contamination from sources such as
559 vehicles and pesticides in Dale Woreda, .Furthermore, the absence of commercial fertilizers
560 and pesticides for coffee plantations in Ethiopia may be evidenced by the low amounts of
561 hazardous metals (Gure *et al.*, 2017). Pb and Cd have no nutritional worth for humans,
562 regardless of their very low concentration. As a result, consumers will not be exposed to any
563 health risks as a result of these hazardous substances in dale Woreda coffee beans. The
564 maximum permissible limit for lead and cadmium set by FAO (1993) for edible plants is
565 0.43 mg kg^{-1} and 0.21 mg kg^{-1} respectively.

566 The amount of trace metals taken up by plants was calculated to determine the Bio-concentration
567 factor (BCF) of trace metals from soil to plant (Welde Amanuel and Berhanu Kassegne, 2022).
568 The principal pathway for potentially hazardous metals to enter the food chain is by the
569 movement and deposition of heavy metals from soil to edible parts of plants (Sharma *et al.*,
570 2018). Bio-concentration factor is a significant measurement for the pollution assessment of soils
571 with the highest level of trace metals (Welde Amanuel and Berhanu Kassegne, 2022). When
572 BCF is larger than 1, it means that the plant has the potential to store the metal under
573 consideration for examination (Barman *et al.*, 2000). The amount and types of heavy metals
574 present, plant species, soil physicochemical properties, and other factors all affect how quickly
575 heavy metals are transferred to and accumulated by plants at different rates (Sharma *et al.*, 2018,
576 Fonge *et al.*, 2021).

577 Mn had the highest bio-concentration factor/transfer factor (1.495) among the elements
578 examined. This demonstrates that there is a possibility that the trace metal is derived from natural
579 sources, is available for uptake, and has a low rate of metal retention in soil (EU, 2002). The
580 higher transfer factor of Mn in coffee bean can create a chance for higher human consumption.
581 Mn influenced plant function in addition to being harmful to human health. Suresh *et al.* (1987)
582 observed that excess soil Mn disrupted stomata function in plant(Suresh *et al.*, 1987). Mn also

583 caused human lung injury like cough, bronchitis, pneumonitis along with damages lung (Boojar
584 and Goodarzi, 2002).

585 In the analysed samples, Cu had the lowest transfer factor (0.0048), which is at the Magara
586 locations (SS6). It was apparent that TF of some metals (Cu) decreased when the plants were
587 grown in the soil with a higher contamination (Mirecki et al. 2017). It might become more tightly
588 bound to the soil and alter the composition of the soil. This shows that the plant tissue absorbed
589 most of the soil's trace metal concentration. The general pattern of trace metal transfer in Kege
590 Kebele (SS1) was $Mn > Zn > Ni > Na > K > Ca > Cu$. This clearly shows that Mn bioaccumulation
591 factors in coffee samples were higher than for other metals.

592 The beans from Megara coffee washing industries, like coffee beans from farmer's farms, have
593 the maximum amount of K ($77.93 \pm 0.115 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), followed by Na ($10.47 \pm 0.058 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and
594 Ca ($3.55 \pm 0.114 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). In the washing industry's beans, Mn ($0.92 \pm 0.001 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) was the
595 highest accumulated trace metal, followed by Cu ($0.277 \pm 0.011 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and Zn (0.094 ± 0.004
596 mg kg^{-1}). Other critical trace metal concentrations found in coffee beans were Ni (0.074 ± 0.003
597 mg kg^{-1}). The results show that the concentrations of Co, Cr, Pb and Cd were not detected.

598

599 **Conclusions**

600 Mean metal concentrations in the soil were determined to be in the following order:
601 $Ca > K > Na > Cu > Co > Cd > Mn > Ni > Zn$. except Cd, all metals analyzed were below permissible
602 limit set by FAO/WHO. Cadmium levels reported in the soil are above the levels 3 mg kg^{-1} , the
603 permissible limits for agricultural soil (FAO/WHO, 2001). Therefore, there was Cd
604 contamination in the soil.

605 Metal levels in coffee bean samples from farmers' farms are in the following order: $K > Na > Ca$
606 $> Mn > Cu > Ni > Zn$. Metal levels were found to be $K > Na > Ca > Mn > Cu > Zn > Ni$ in coffee beans
607 from the washing plants. In both coffee, the levels of toxic metals (Pb and Cd) were not
608 determined, and trace heavy metal levels were below the FAO/WHO maximum permissible
609 limits. As a result, there is no health risk linked with the use of Dale Woreda coffee beans due to
610 harmful and trace heavy metals. Mn had the highest bio-concentration factor/transfer factor
611 among the elements examined. However, Cu had the lowest transfer factor. The general pattern
612 of trace metal transfer in Kege Kebele (SS1) was $Mn > Zn > Ni > Na > K > Ca > Cu$. According

613 to the findings of this study, there are permitted levels of macro and trace elements in coffee
614 beans from farmlands and washing plants. As a result, metal pollutants have no effect on the
615 coffee grown in Dale Woreda. As a result, concerned bodies should make more marketing
616 and raise awareness to increase and spread the recognition and consumption of Dale Woreda
617 coffee beans in the national and international coffee market.

618

619 **Acknowledgements**

620 We would like to thank Hawassa University and the Sidama region water bureau for permitting
621 us to conduct the lab from their laboratories. A very special thanks goes out to Mr. Alemayehu
622 Timotiwwos, who is the head of Sidama regional state peace and security, for moral support for the
623 study.

624

625 **References**

626

- 627 Adler, G., Nędzarek, A. & Tórz, A. 2019. Concentrations of selected metals (Na, K, Ca, Mg, Fe,
628 Cu, Zn, Al, Ni, Pb, Cd) in coffee. *Zdr Varst.* 2019;58(4):187-193. doi:10.2478/sjph-2019-
629 0024.
- 630 Aguirre-Forero, Sonia Esperanza; Piraneque-Gambasica, Nelson Virgilio; Vásquez-Polo, José
631 Rafael. Heavy metals content in soils and cocoa tissues in Magdalena department
632 Colombia: emphasis in cadmium. En: *Entramado.* Enero - Junio, 2020 vol. 16, no. 1, p.
633 298-310 <https://doi.org/10.18041/1900-3803/entramado.2.6753>
- 634 Albals, D., Al-Momani, I. F., Issa, R. & Yehya, A. 2021. Multi-element determination of
635 essential and toxic metals in green and roasted coffee beans: A comparative study among
636 different origins using ICP-MS. *Science Progress*, 104, 1-17.
- 637 Amamo, A. 2014. Coffee production and marketing in Ethiopia. *Eur. J. Business Manage.* 2014,
638 6, 109–121.
- 639 Amente Lema .2016. Comparison and Determination of Selected Essential and Non Essential
640 Metals in the Edible Parts of *Coccinia Abyssinica* and *Plectranthus Edulis* Tuber Crops
641 Cultivated in Abay Chomen District of Oromia Region, Ethiopia. *International Journal of*
642 *Agriculture Innovations and Research* ,5(3) ,357-364.
- 643 Ashu, R. & Chandravanshi, B. S. 2011. Concentration levels of metals in commercially available
644 Ethiopian roasted coffee powders and their infusions *Chemical Society of Ethiopia*, 25,
645 11-24.
- 646 Ayele, E., Urga, K. & Chandravanshi, B. 2015. Effect of cooking temperature on mineral
647 content and antinutritional factors of yam and taro grown in southern Ethiopia. *Int. J.*
648 *Food Eng.* 2015;11:371–382.
- 649 Barman, S., Sahu, R., Bhargava, S. & Chatterjee, C. 2000. Distribution of trace metals in wheat,
650 mustard and weed grains irrigated with industrial effluents. *Bulletin of Environmental*
651 *Contamination and Toxicology*, 64(4), 489–496. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s001280000030> .

- 652 Basta, N., Ryan, J. & Chaney, R. 2005. Trace element chemistry in residual-treated soil; key
653 concept and metal bioavailability. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 34, 49-63.
- 654 Beasley, N., Tomkins, A., Hall, A., Lorri, W., Kihamia, C. & Bundy, D. 2000. The impact of
655 weekly iron supplementation on the iron status and growth of adolescent girls in
656 Tanzania. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, 5, 794-799.
- 657 Bello, A. O., Tawabini, B. D., Khalil, A. B., Boland, C. R. & Saleh, T. A. 2018.
658 Phytoremediation of cadmium-, lead- and nickel-contaminated water by *Phragmites*
659 *Australis* in hydroponic systems». *Ecological Engineering*, 120, pp. 126-133. doi:
660 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.05.035
- 661 Bertoldi, D., Barbero, A., Camin, F., Caligiani, A., Larcher, R., 2016. Multielemental
662 fingerprinting and geographic traceability of *Theobroma cacao* beans and cocoa products.
663 *Food Control* 65, 46–53.
- 664 Boojar, M. & Goodarzi, F. 2002. Longitudinal follow-up of pulmonary function and respiratory
665 symptoms in workers exposed to manganese. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2002;44:282–90.
666 <https://doi.org/10.1097/00043764-200203000-00016>.
- 667 Butt, M. & Sultan, M. 2011. *Coffee and its consumption: benefits and risks. Crit Rev Food Sci*
668 *Nutr* 2011; 51(4): 363–373.
- 669 Çalışkan, B. & Çalışkan, A. C. 2017. *Potassium Nutrition in Plants and Its Interactions with*
670 *Other Nutrients in Hydroponic Culture*.
- 671 Carolin, C. F., Kumar, P. S., Saravanan, A., Joshiba, G. J. & Naushad, M. 2017. Efficient
672 techniques for the removal of toxic heavy metals from aquatic environment: A review». *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 5(3), pp. 2782-2799. doi:
673 10.1016/j.jece.2017.05.029.
- 674 Castro, J., Santos, E., Santos, W., Costa, L., Korn, M., Nobrega, J. & Korn, M. 2009. A critical
675 evaluation of digestion procedures for coffee samples using diluted nitric acid in closed
676 vessels for inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry. *Talanta* 78:1378–
677 1382.
- 678 Csuros, M. & Csuros, C. 2002. *Environmental sampling and analysis for metals Lewis*
679 *publishers, A CRC Press Company Boca Raton London, New York, Washington, D.C.*
- 680 Dorne, J., Kass, G. & Bordajandi, L. 2011. Human risk assessment of heavy metals: principles
681 and applications. *Met Ions Life Sci* 2011; 8: 27–60.
- 682 Dubale, W. 2021. Levels of Essential and Non-Essential Metals in Coffee Beans and Soils of the
683 Three Major Coffee Producing Woredas of Gedeo Zone. *Anal Chem Ind J.*
684 2021;21(3):156.
- 685 DWFEDO 2021. Dalle Woreda Finance and Economic Development Office. The development
686 of social and economical information of Dalle woreda. Hawassa: Sidama; 2021.
- 687 EPA, U. 1996. *Method 3050B. Acid digestion of sediments, sludges, and soils. Revision.*
688 *1996;2:1-12.*
- 689 EU 2002. European Union .Trace metals in wastes, European commission on environment
690 (<http://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/studies/pdf> trace metal report.
- 691 FAO 2011. *Joint FAO/WHO food standards programme codex committee on contaminants in*
692 *foods. Fifth Session. The Hague, 2011, pp.21–25.*
- 693 FAO/WHO 2001. Food Agricultural Organization/World Health Organization (FAO/WHO)
694 Standards, (2001). Codex Alimentarius Commission, Rome.
- 695 Feleke, H. M., Srinivasulu, A., Surendra, K., Aruna, B., Biswas, J., Sudershan, M., Rao, A. D. P.
696 & Narayana, P. V. L. 2018. Energy Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence Elemental Analysis
697

- 698 of Roasted and Non- Roasted Ethiopian Coffee Specialty. *IOSR Journal of*
699 *Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology (IOSR-JESTFT)*, 12, 51-70.
- 700 Fonge, B., Larissa, M., Egbe, A., Afanga, Y., Fru, N. & Ngole-Jeme, V. 2021. An assessment of
701 heavy metal exposure risk associated with consumption of cabbage and carrot grown in a
702 tropical Savannah region. *Sustainable Environment*, 7(1), 1909860.
703 <https://doi.org/10.1080/27658511.2021.1909860>
- 704 Ftsum, G; Abraha, G (2018). Health risk assessment of heavy metals via consumption of Spinach
705 Vegetable grown in Elalla River. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop.* 32(1): 65-75.
- 706 Gelaw, F. 2019. How the effects of coffee trademarking in Ethiopia are diluted. *6th*
707 *African Conference of Agricultural Economists, September 23-26, 2019, Abuja, Nigeria.*
- 708 Grembecka, M., Malinowska, E. & Szefer, P. 2007. Differentiation of market coffee and its
709 infusions in view of their metal composition. *Science of the Total Environment*, 383, 59–
710 69.
- 711 Gure, A., Chandravanshia, B. S. & Godeto, T. W. 2017. Metals in green coffee beans from major
712 coffee-growing regions of Ethiopia. *Chemistry International* 3(4) (2017) 458-468.
- 713 He, F. & Macgregor, G. 2008. Beneficial effects of potassium on human health. *Physiologia*
714 *Plantarum.* 2008;133(4):725-735. .
- 715 Hejna, M., Gottardo, D. & Baldi, A. 2018. *Nutritional ecology of heavy metals. Animal*
716 *2018;12(10): 2156–2170.*
- 717 Ibrahim, D., Froberg, B. & Wolf, A. 2006. *Heavy metal poisoning: clinical presentations and*
718 *pathophysiology. Clin Lab Med* 2006; 26(1): 67–97.
- 719 ICO 2021. Total production by all exporting countries. Available at: www.ico.org/prices/poproduction.pdf (accessed 13 September 2022).
- 720
- 721 Jaishankar, M., Tseten, T. & Anbalagan, N. 2014. Toxicity, mechanism and health effects of
722 some heavy metals. *Interdiscip Toxicol* 2014; 7(2): 60–72.
- 723 Janda, K., Jakubczyk, K., Baranowska-Bosiacka, I., Kapczuk, P., Kochman, J., Rębacz-Maron,
724 E. & Gutowska, I. 2020. Mineral Composition and Antioxidant Potential of Coffee
725 Beverages Depending on the Brewing Method. *Foods (Basel, Switzerland)*, 9(2), 121.
726 <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9020121>.
- 727 Kachenko, A. & Singh, B. 2004. Heavy Metals Contamination of Home Grown Vegetables Near
728 Metal Smelters in NSW, SuperSoil 2004 3rd Aust. New Zeal. Soils Conf. 2004; 1–8.
- 729 Kamal, I. M., Sobolik, V., Kristiawan, M., Mounir, S. M. & Allaf, K. 2008. Structure expansion
730 of green coffee beans using instantaneous controlled pressure drop process. *Innovative*
731 *Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, 9, 534-541.
- 732 Kim, H., Kim, Y. & Seo, Y. 2015. Kim HS, Kim YJ and Seo YR. An overview of carcinogenic
733 heavy metal: molecular toxicity mechanism and prevention. *J Cancer Prev* 2015; 20(4):
734 232–240.
- 735 Kobielska, P. A., Howarth, A., Farha, O. K. & Nayak, S. 2018. Metal-organic frameworks for
736 heavy metal removal from water. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, 358, pp. 92-107. doi:
737 10.1016/j.ccr.2017.12.010
- 738 Kotyczka, C.; Boettler, U.; Lang, R.; Stiebitz, H.; Bytof, G.; Lantz, I.; Hofmann, T.; Marko, D.;
739 Somoza, V. Dark roast coffee is more effective than light roast coffee in reducing body
740 weight, and in restoring red blood cell vitamin E and glutathione concentrations in
741 healthy volunteers. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 2011, 55, 1582–1586
- 742 Kufa, T., Ayano, A., Yilma, A., Kumela, T. & Tefera, W. 2011. The contribution of coffee
743 research for coffee seed development in Ethiopia. *E3 J. Agric. Res. Dev.* 2011, 1, 9–16.

- 744 Magnusson.B and U. Örnemark.U (eds.) Eurachem Guide: The Fitness for Purpose of Analytical
745 Methods – A Laboratory Guide to Method Validation and Related Topics, (2nd ed.
746 2014).ISBN 978-91-87461-59-0. Available from www.eurachem.org.
- 747 Martín, M., Pablos, F. & González, A. 1998. Characterization of green coffee varieties according
748 to their metal content. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 358, 177–183.
- 749 Matés, J., Safe, J. & Alonso, F. 2010. Roles of dioxins and heavy metals in cancer and
750 neurological diseases using ROS-mediated mechanisms. *Free Rad. Biol. Med.*
751 49(9):1328-1341.
- 752 Meharg, A.A.; Norton, G.; Deacon, C.; Williams, P.; Adomako, E.E.; Price, A.; Zhu, Y.; Li, G.;
753 Zhao, F.-J.; Mcgrath, S. Villada A, Sommella A, De Silva PM, Brammer H, Dasgupta T, Islam
754 MR..2013. Variation in Rice Cadmium Related to Human Exposure. *Environ. Sci.*
755 *Technol.* 2013, 47, 5613–5618.
- 756 Mirecki ,N Rukie Agič, Ljubomir Šunić , Lidija Milenković and Zoran S. Ilić.2015. Transfer
757 factor as indicator of heavy metals content in plants. *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*
- 758 Mitra, S. 2003. *Sample preparation techniques in analytical chemistry, John Wiley and Sons*
759 *Hoboken, New Jersey.*162, 6-244.
- 760 Ndungu, A. W., Yan, X., Makokha, V. A., Githaiga, K. B. & Wang, J. 2019. Occurrence and risk
761 assessment of heavy metals and organochlorine pesticides in surface soils, Central
762 Kenya. *Journal of Environmental Health Science and Engineering (2019) 17:63–73*
763 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40201-018-00326-x>.
- 764 Nogaim, Q., Al-Dalali, S., Al-Badany, A. & Mfarh 2014. Determination of some heavy metals in
765 Yemeni green coffee. *J Applied Chem.* 2014;2:13-8.
- 766 Oladeji, S. O. & Saeed, M. 2015. Assessment of cobalt levels in wastewater, soil and vegetable
767 samples grown along Kubanni stream channels in Zaria, Kaduna State, Nigeria. *African*
768 *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 9.
- 769 Omer, E. O. M., Labib, O. A. & Zafar, M. 2019. Physicochemical Parameters and Toxic Heavy
770 Metals Concentration in Coffee. *Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research*, 3, 1-8.
- 771 Raghavendra, L. & Venkatesha, M. 2020. Water and Soil Quality of Coffee Plantations in the
772 Western Ghats Region, Chikkamagaluru District, Karnataka, India. *Curr World Environ*
773 2020;15(3). DOI:<http://dx.doi.org/10.12944/CWE.15.3.14>.
- 774 Rattan, R., Datta, S., Chhonkar, P., Suribabu, K. & Singh, A. 2005. Long-term impact of
775 irrigation with sewage effluents on heavy metal content in soils, crops and groundwater-a
776 case study. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*2005; 109:310–322.
777 <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AGEE.2005.02.025>.
- 778 Raymond, A. W. & Felix, E. O. 2011. Heavy Metals in Contaminated Soils: A Review of
779 Sources,Chemistry, Risks and Best Available Strategies for Remediation. *International*
780 *Scholarly Research Network Volume 2011, Article ID 402647, 20 pages*
781 *doi:10.5402/2011/402647*.
- 782 Reyes, Y. C., Vergara, I., Torres, O. E., Díaz-Lagos, M. & Gonzálezjimenez, E. 2016. Heavy
783 metals contamination: implications for health and food safety». *Revista Ingeniería,*
784 *Investigación y Desarrollo*, 16(2), pp. 66-77. doi: 10.19053/1900771X.v16.n2.2016.5447
- 785 Rubio, C., Balverdi, M., Marchisio, P. & Sales, A. 2019. Levels of Toxic Elements in Green
786 Coffee and Their Infusions Commercialized in Argentina. *SF J Pharm Anal Chem.* 2019;
787 2(2):1016.
- 788 Saaltink, R., Griffioen, J., Mol, G. & Birke, M. 2014. Geogenic and agricultural controls on the
789 geochemical composition of European agricultural soils. *J Soils Sediments* 14:121–137.

- 790 Santos, E. D. & Oliveira, E. D. 2001. Determination of mineral nutrients and toxic elements in
791 Brazilian soluble coffee by ICP-AES. *J Food Compos Anal* 2001;14:523–31.
- 792 Santos, J. S. D., Santos, M. L. P. D., Conti, M. M., Santos, S. N. D. & Oliveira, E. D. 2009.
793 Evaluation of some metals in Brazilian coffees cultivated during the process of
794 conversion from conventional to organic agriculture. *Food Chemistry* 115 (2009) 1405–
795 1410.
- 796 Sharma, S., Nagpal, A. & Kaur, I. 2018. Heavy metal contamination in soil, food crops and
797 associated health risks for residents of Ropar wetland, Punjab, India and its environs.
798 *Food Chem.* 2018; 255:15–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.02.037>.
- 799 Silva, M., Vitti, G. & Trevizam, A. 2007. Concentration of heavy metals in plant grains grow n
800 in soil w ith different levels of contamination. *Pesqui. Agropecu. Bras.* 42(4):527-535.
- 801 Silva, S. A. D., Mendes, F. Q., Reis, M. R., Passos, F. R., Carvalho, A. M. X. D., Rocha, K. R.
802 D. O. & Pinto, F. G. 2017. Determination of heavy metals in the roasted and ground
803 coffee beans and brew. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 12, 221-228.
- 804 Suresh, R., Foy, C. & Weidner, J. 1987. Effects of excess soil manganese on stomatal function in
805 two soybean cultivars. *J Plant Nutr.* 1987;10(7):749– 60.
806 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01904168709363606>.
- 807 Suseela, B., Bhalke, S. & Kumar, A. V. 2001. Daily intake of trace metals through coffee
808 consumption in India. *Food Addit Contam.* 2001;18(2):115-20.
- 809 Szwalec A, Mundała P, Kędzior R, Pawlik J.2020. Monitoring and assessment of cadmium, lead,
810 zinc and copper concentrations in arable roadside soils in terms of different traffic
811 conditions. *Environ Monit Assess.* 2020 Feb 1;192(3):155. doi: 10.1007/s10661-020-
812 8120-x. PMID: 32006114; PMCID: PMC6994438.
- 813 Takrama, J., Afrifa, A.A., Ofori-Frimpong, K., Jonfia-Essien, W.A., Agyemang, P., Galyuon, I.,
814 2015. Cadmium contamination of cocoa beans and cocoa growing agricultural soils of
815 Ghana: there is no cause for public alarm. *Peak J. Public Health Manag.* 3 (4):56–61.
816 <http://www.peakjournals.org/sub-journals-PJPHM.html>.
- 817 Tan, E.K.; Chua, E.; Fook-Chong, S.M.; Teo, Y.Y.; Yuen, Y.; Tan, L.; Zhao, Y. Association
818 between caffeine intake and risk of Parkinson’s disease among fast and slow
819 metabolizers. *Pharmacogenomics* 2007, 17, 1001–1005.
- 820 Tatahmentan Mom, Syprose Nyachoti, Laura Scott , Nati Phan, Frederick O. Okwori , Nedaa
821 Felemban and Tewodros R. Godebo.2020.Toxic and Essential Elements in Rice and
822 Other Grains from the United States and Other Countries. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public*
823 *Health* 2020, 17, 8128; doi:10.3390/ijerph17218128
- 824 Tefera, A. & Tefera, T. 2014. Ethiopia: coffee annual. *Coffee Annual Report*
- 825 Tezottoa, T., Favarina, J. L., Azevedo, R. A., Alleoni, L. R. F. & Mazzafera, P. 2012. Coffee is
826 highly tolerant to cadmium, nickel and zinc: Plant and soil nutritional status, metal
827 distribution and bean yield. *Field Crops Research* 125 (2012) 25–34.
- 828 Weis, J. & Weis, P. 2004. Metal Uptake, Transport and Release by Wetland Plants: Implications
829 for Phytoremediation and Restoration. *Environ Int*, 30, 685-700.
- 830 Welde Amanuel.Y.A & Berhanu Kassegne.A (2022)Assessment of trace metals in soil and
831 vegetable samples irrigated from Borkena river,South Wollo Zone, Amhara Region,
832 Ethiopia, *Sustainable Environment*, 8:1, 2035045, DOI:10.1080/27658511.2022.2035045
- 833 WHO 2005. *Quality Control Methods for Medicinal Plant Materials, Revised, Geneva.*

- 834 Winiarska-Mieczan, A., Kwiatkowska, K., Kwiecień, M. & Zaricka, E. 2021. Assessment of the
835 risk of exposure to cadmium and lead as a result of the consumption of coffee infusions.
836 *Biological Trace Element Research (2021) 199:2420–2428.*
- 837 Wood, J. 1985. Effects of acidification on the mobility of metals and metalloids:An overview.
838 *Environ. Health Persp.* 1985; 63: 115–119.
- 839 Yasmeen, K., Versiani, M., Arain, R., Haque, Q., Khan, N., Ali, S. & Langha, A. 2010.
840 Enhanced Metal Levels in Vegetables and Farm Soil Irrigated with Industrial
841 Wastewater. *J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage.* 14:95 - 99.
- 842 Zhao, Z., Yan, S., Duan, M., Fu, J., Wang, Q., Liu, Z., Song, F., Tang, B., Li, C., Qin, G. &
843 Tong, Y. 2019. Assessing heavy metal pollution and potential ecological risk of tea
844 plantation soils. *Int J Agric & Biol Eng*, 2019; 12(6): 185–191.
- 845 Zhou, Q., Yang, N., Li, Y., Ren, B., Ding, X., Bian, H. & Yao, X. 2020. Total concentrations and
846 sources of heavy metal pollution in global river and lake water bodies from 1972 to 2017.
847 *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* 2020, 22, e00925.
848

Table 1 (on next page)

Mean metal concentrations in soil samples

1 Table 1 Optimum microwave digestion conditions of coffee bean samples.

step	1	2	3
Temperature °c	150	200	45
Time in minutes	5	10	10
Power-w	80	85	0

2

Table 2 (on next page)

Correlation Analysis of Heavy Metals of Soil in the selected Kebele of Dale Woreda

1 **Table 2 Mean metal concentrations in soil samples (mean standard deviation)**

	Ca	Cd	Co	Cu	K	Mn	Na	Ni	Zn
SS1	1355±18.02	3.36±0.10	5.43±0.31	42.46±2.52	673±2.65	0.62±0.24	111.63±0.35	0.194±0.01	0.163±0.01
SS2	1538.67±3.20	2.53±0.06	4.27±0.20	47.48±0.58	403.47±1.53	0.5±0.001	26.52±0.04	0.181±0.001	0.146±0.002
SS3	445±0.99	2.67±0.06	ND	49.96±0.99	603±0.10	0.467±0.02	26.77±0.02	0.191±0.001	0.141±0.001
SS4	346.67±2.51	2.38 ±0.04	ND	22.34±0.25	604.61±0.58	0.48±0.002	13.37±0.032	0.181±0.001	0.155±0.005
SS5	1352.67±2.50	2.93±0.02	ND	47.7±1.15	681.43±1.52	0.49±0.001	112±0.10	0.172±0.002	0.153±0.002
SS6	1401±1.10	3.01±0.006	ND	45.26±1.52	680.43±0.57	0.50±0.001	110.3±0.10	0.178±0.001	0.146±0.005
MP L	-	3.0	50.0	300.0	-	-	-	50.0	1000.0

2 ND =not detected, MPL=Maximum Permissible Limit for Agricultural soils according to FAO 2001

3

Table 3 (on next page)

Concentration of non-toxic metal concentration in coffee bean sample from farm land in Dale Woreda, Ethiopia, 2021

1 Table 3. Correlation Analysis of Metals of Soil in the selected Kebele of Dale Woreda

	Cd	Ni	Zn	Cu	Ca	Mn	Na	K
Cd	1							
Ni	0.337	1						
Zn	0.511*	0.241	1					
Co	0.359	0.482*	0.469*					
Cu	0.363	0.035	-0.421	1				
Ca	0.507*	-0.285	0.144	0.537*	1			
Mn	0.135	0.155	0.334	-0.014	0.266	1		
Na	0.81**	-0.161	0.396	0.369	0.65**	0.325	1	
K	0.57*	0.012	0.379	-0.075	-0.096	0.151	0.69**	1

2 * Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed). ** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level

3

Table 4(on next page)

Concentration of toxic metal concentration in coffee bean sample from farmer's farms in Dale Woreda, Ethiopia, 2021

- 1 Table 4 Concentration of **essentials** metal concentration (mean \pm SD, n = 3, mg kg⁻¹) in coffee
 2 bean sample from farm land in Dale Woreda, Ethiopia, 2021

	K	Ca	Na	Mn
Kege	99.93 \pm 0.037	15.15 \pm 0.614	22.04 \pm 0.042	0.927 \pm 0.004
wenenata	69.06 \pm 0.047	17.23 \pm 0.36	5.73 \pm 0.049	0.105 \pm 0.003
Gane	80.587 \pm 0.08	3.05 \pm 0.04	6.927 \pm 0.038	0.082 \pm 0.003
Wondo	78.10 \pm 1.081	3.96 \pm 0.063	3.10 \pm 0.10	0.088 \pm 0.003
Bera	69.36 \pm 0.55	16.11 \pm 0.11	5.73 \pm 0.049	0.105 \pm 0.003
Magara	99.27 \pm 0.714	15.33 \pm 0.189	21.077 \pm 0.99	0.093 \pm 0.004
MPL Medicinal plant	32500	-	-	-
Edible plant	-	-	-	2

- 3 [MPL=Maximum Permissible Limit for medicinal and edible plants according to FAO 1993](#)

4

Table 5 (on next page)

Bio-concentration factor (BFC) of heavy metals analyzed in coffee bean grown by Dale Woreda and its farm land

1 **Table 5** Concentration of toxic metal concentration in coffee bean sample from farmer's farms in
 2 Dale Woreda, Ethiopia, 2021

	Ni	Zn	Co	Cu	Cr	Pb	Cd
Kege	0.055±0.004	0.073±0.003	ND	0.22±0.0026	ND	ND	ND
Wenenata	0.053±0.003	0.065±0.004	ND	0.24±0.002	ND	ND	ND
Gane	0.074±0.003	0.055±0.002	ND	0.28±0.0037	ND	ND	ND
Wondo	0.052±0.005	0.07±0.0017	ND	0.14±0.0020	ND	ND	ND
Bera	0.057±0.003	0.067±0.002	ND	0.234±0.01	ND	ND	ND
Magara	0.057±0.008	0.07±0.001	ND	0.22±0.0005	ND	ND	ND
Medicinal MPL plant	1.63	No MPL	No MPL	20	2	10	0.3
Edible plant	No MPL	27.4	No MPL	3	0.02	0.43	0.21

3 **ND =Not detected, MPL =Maximum Permissible Limit for medicinal and edible plants according to FAO 1993**

Table 6 (on next page)

Mean concentration (mean \pm SD, n = 3, ppm) of elements in coffee bean sample from washing industry in Dale Woreda, Ethiopia, 2021

- 1 Table 6 Bio-concentration factor (BFC) of heavy metals analyzed in coffee bean grown by Dale
- 2 Woreda and its farm land

Sampling area	Transfer of trace metals						
	K	Ca	Na	Mn	Ni	Zn	Cu
Kege	0.149	0.011	0.197	1.495	0.284	0.448	0.0052
wenenata	0.171	0.011	0.216	0.21	0.293	0.445	0.0051
gane	0.134	0.0068	0.258	0.176	0.387	0.390	0.0056
wondo	0.129	0.0114	0.232	0.183	0.287	0.452	0.0063
Bera	0.102	0.0119	0.051	0.214	0.331	0.438	0.0049
Megara	0.146	0.0109	0.191	0.186	0.320	0.479	0.0048

3

Table 7 (on next page)

Comparison of current results for coffee beans from farms and the washing industry with FAO

- 1 **Table 7** Mean concentration (mean \pm SD, n = 3, ppm) of elements in coffee bean sample from washing industry in Dale Woreda,
- 2 Ethiopia, 2021

	Ca	Cd	Co	Cu	K	Mn	Na	Ni	Zn
SS1	3.733 \pm 0.03 ^a	ND	ND	0.243 \pm 0.003 ^a	75.81 \pm 0.026 ^a	0.92 \pm 0.001 ^a	10.30 \pm 0.10 ^b	0.074 \pm 0.003 ^a	0.054 \pm 0.004 ^d
SS2	2.816 \pm 0.021 ^a	ND	ND	0.191 \pm 0.001 ^a	61.39 \pm 0.026 ^c	0.08 \pm 0.003 ^a	15.04 \pm 0.04 ^a	0.074 \pm 0.003 ^a	0.063 \pm 0.004 ^c
SS3	3.71 \pm 0.015 ^a	ND	ND	0.254 \pm 0.004 ^a	71.07 \pm 0.049 ^a	0.09 \pm 0.004 ^a	3.43 \pm 0.043 ^c	0.054 \pm 0.004 ^a	0.075 \pm 0.002 ^b
SS4	4.33 \pm 0.035 ^a	ND	ND	0.146 \pm 0.004 ^a	68.30 \pm 0.093 ^b	0.09 \pm 0.003 ^a	1.43 \pm 0.035 ^d	0.073 \pm 0.002 ^a	0.094 \pm 0.004 ^a
SS5	2.81 \pm 0.017 ^a	ND	ND	0.191 \pm 0.001 ^a	61.59 \pm 0.356 ^c	0.08 \pm 0.005 ^a	15.04 \pm 0.041 ^a	0.052 \pm 0.001 ^a	0.067 \pm 0.004 ^c
SS6	3.55 \pm 0.114 ^a	ND	ND	0.277 \pm 0.011 ^a	77.93 \pm 0.115 ^a	0.09 \pm 0.001 ^a	10.47 \pm 0.058 ^b	0.071 \pm 0.001 ^a	0.053 \pm 0.002 ^d

3

Table 8 (on next page)

Metal Concentrations in Coffee Beans Compared to some of the Literature Values

- 1 **Table 8** Comparison of current results for coffee beans from farms and the washing industry with
 2 FAO/WHO, different organizations, and nations' maximum permissible values for metals.

Elements	Present study		MPL (ppm)	Type of plant	References
	CBFF	CBWI			
Ni	0.05-0.08	0.05-0.08	1.63	Edible plant	(FAO/WHO, 1993)
			No MPL	Medicinal plant	(WHO, 2005)
Zn	0.054-0.076	0.051-0.09	50	Grain	(USAD, 2000)
			No MPL	Medicinal plant	(WHO, 2005)
			100	Beans	(USAD, 2000)
			27.4	Edible plant	(FAO/WHO, 1993)
Co	ND	ND	No MPL	Medicinal plant	(WHO, 2005)
Cu	0.14-0.29	0.14-0.28	40	In food	(FAO/WHO, 1993)
			3	Edible plant	(FAO/WHO, 1993)
			20	Medicinal plant	(WHO, 2005) set by Singapore
			150	Medicinal plant	(WHO, 2005) set by china
Cr	ND	ND	2	Medicinal plant	(WHO, 2005)
			0.02	Edible plant	(FAO/WHO, 1993)
Ca	3.0-17.27	2.80-4.37	-	-	
Mn	0.08-0.11	0.07-0.10	No MPL	Medicinal plant	(WHO, 2005)
			2	Edible plant	(FAO/WHO, 1993)
Na	3.0-22.1	1.40-15.09	-	-	
K	69.0-99.97	61.4-78	32500	Medicinal plant	FAO/WHO, 1993)
Pb	ND	ND	0.43	Edible plant	(FAO/WHO, 1993)
			10	Medicinal plant	(WHO, 2005)
Cd	ND	ND	0.21	Edible plant	(FAO/WHO, 1993)
			0.3	Medicinal plant	(WHO, 2005)

- 3 CBFF= coffee beans from farms, CBWI= Coffee beans washing industry, ND=Not detected, MPL =Maximum
 4 Permissible Limit

Table 9 (on next page)

T -test among coffee beans from farmer's farms and washing industries

1 **Table 9** Metal Concentrations in Coffee Beans Compared to some of the Literature Values

	(Onianwa et al., 1999) Coffee beverage	(Suseela et al., 2001) coffee powders	(Silva et al., 2017) roasted and ground coffee	(Gure et al., 2017) in green coffee	(Adler et al., 2019) in green coffee	(Omer et al., 2019) in green coffee	(Dubale, 2021) in Ethiopia		Present study	
							CBFF	CBWI	CBFF	CBWI
Ni	0.04-2.58	NR	0.03-1.95	<0.04-2.5	0.415±0.04	0.0-0.25	1.66-2.43	1.56-2.32	0.05-0.08	0.05-0.08
Zn	4-14	2-9	5.53-55.8	4-21	3.6 ±0.67	0.0-4.59	8.74-12.7	9.41-13.0	0.054-0.076	0.051-0.09
Co	0.1-14	NR	NR	2.6-8.4	NR	NR	2.47-2.86	2.31-2.75	ND	ND
Cu	2-9	0.4-16	0.7-17.18	11-23	14 ±6.43	NR	23.4-28.5	23.1-28.2	0.14-0.29	0.14-0.28
Cr	0.89-6.98	0.4-1.00	0.03-0.10	0.21-0.28	NR	NR	1.04-1.92	0.94-1.90	ND	ND
Ca	NR	869-1171	NR	710-1250	789 ±132.23	6.76-32.1	1037-1253	1090-1270	3.0-17.27	2.80-4.37
Mn	NR	7-13	9.81-39.8	13-19	NR	0.48-28.7	17.3-23.6	17.2-22.6	0.08-0.11	0.07-0.10
Na	NR	NR	NR	NR	18.6±11.31	6.8-564.7	NR	NR	3.0-22.1	1.40-15.09
K	NR	14000-29000	NR	13010-17000	19898±445.48	21.31-427.84	14631-15043	14602-14980	69.0-99.97	61.4-78
Pb	0.09-0.91	NR	0.03-1.58	<0.05	0.076 ±0.0956	0.0-23.88	ND	ND	ND	ND
Cd	0.02-0.31	NR	0.03-0.10	ND	0.015 ±0.0005	0.00-8.01	ND	ND	ND	ND

2

Table 10(on next page)

Table 10

test among coffee beans from farmer's farms and washing industries

1 Table 10 T –test among coffee beans from farmer's farms and washing industries

	t	Sig.	Mean	Std.	95% CI	
			Diff.	Error Diff	Lower	Upper
Ni	-1.91	0.064	-0.00594	0.003109	-0.01226	0.000373
Zn	-0.39	0.694	-0.00149	0.003757	-0.00912	0.006146
Cu	0.58	0.567	0.008444	0.014612	-0.02125	0.03814
Ca	5.77	0.01	8.310556	1.44024	5.383635	11.23748
Mn	2.28	0.029	0.006111	0.002682	0.00066	0.011562
Na	0.66	0.517	1.482222	2.264297	-3.11938	6.083828
K	3.89	0.01	13.36944	3.43744	6.383726	20.35516

2 [CI=Confidence interval](#)