

# Epidemiological correlates of overweight and obesity in the Northern Cape Province, South Africa

Mackenzie H Smith<sup>1</sup>, Justin W Myrick<sup>2</sup>, Oshiomah Oyageshio<sup>3</sup>, Caitlin Uren<sup>4,5</sup>, Jamie Saayman<sup>4</sup>, Sihaam Boolay<sup>4</sup>, Lena van der Westhuizen<sup>2</sup>, Cedric Werely<sup>4</sup>, Marlo Moller<sup>4,5</sup>, Brenna M Henn<sup>2</sup>, Austin W Reynolds<sup>Corresp. 1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Anthropology, Baylor University, Waco, United States

<sup>2</sup> Department of Anthropology and UC Davis Genome Center, University of California, Davis, Davis, United States

<sup>3</sup> Center for Population Biology, University of California, Davis, Davis, United States

<sup>4</sup> DSI-NRF Centre of Excellence for Biomedical Tuberculosis Research, South African Medical Research Council Centre for Tuberculosis Research, Division of Molecular Biology and Human Genetics, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Stellenbosch, Cape Town, South Africa

<sup>5</sup> Centre for Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, University of Stellenbosch, Cape Town, South Africa

Corresponding Author: Austin W Reynolds  
Email address: austin\_reynolds@baylor.edu

**Background:** In the past several decades, obesity has become a major public health issue worldwide, associated with increased rates of chronic disease and death. Like many developing nations, South Africa is experiencing rapid increases in BMI, and as a result, evidence-based preventive strategies are needed to reduce the increasing burden of overweight and obesity. This study aimed to determine the prevalence and predictors of overweight and obesity among a multi-ethnic cohort from the rural Northern Cape of South Africa. **Methods:** These data were collected as part of a tuberculosis (TB) case-control study, with 395 healthy control participants included in the final analysis. Overweight and obesity were defined according to WHO classification. Multivariate linear models of BMI were generated using sex, age, education level, smoking, alcohol consumption, and diabetes as predictor variables. We also used multivariable logistic regression analysis to assess the relationship of these factors with overweight and obesity. **Results:** The average BMI in our study cohort was 25.2. The prevalence overweight was 18.0% and the prevalence of obesity was 25.0%. We find that female sex, being older, having more years of formal education, having diabetes, and being in a rural area are all positively associated with BMI in our dataset. Women (OR = 5.6, 95% CI = 3.3-9.8), rural individuals (OR = 3.3, 95% CI = 1.9-6.0), older individuals (OR = 1.02, 95% CI = 1-1.04), and those with more years of education (OR = 1.2, 95% CI = 1.09-1.32) were all more likely to be overweight or obese. Alternatively, being a smoker is negatively associated with BMI and decreases one's odds of being overweight or obese (OR = 0.28, 95% CI = 0.16-0.46). **Conclusions:** We observed a high prevalence of overweight and obesity in this study. The odds of being overweight and obese were higher in women, those living in rural areas,

and those with more education, and increases with age. Community-based interventions to control obesity in these communities should pay special attention to these groups.

**Epidemiological Correlates of Overweight and Obesity in the Northern Cape Province, South Africa**

Mackenzie H Smith<sup>1</sup>, Justin W Myrick<sup>2</sup>, Oshiomah Oyageshio<sup>3</sup>, Caitlin Uren<sup>4,5</sup>, Jamie Saayman<sup>4</sup>, Sihaam Boolay<sup>4</sup>, Lena van der Westhuizen<sup>2</sup>, Cedric Werely<sup>4</sup>, Marlo Möller<sup>4,5</sup>, Brenna M Henn<sup>2</sup>, Austin W Reynolds<sup>1</sup>

**Affiliations:** <sup>1</sup> Department of Anthropology, Baylor University, Waco, Texas 76706, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Anthropology and UC Davis Genome Center, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

<sup>3</sup> Center for Population Biology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

<sup>4</sup> DSI-NRF Centre of Excellence for Biomedical Tuberculosis Research, South African Medical Research Council Centre for Tuberculosis Research, Division of Molecular Biology and Human Genetics, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa.

<sup>5</sup> Centre for Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa

Corresponding Author:  
Austin W Reynolds<sup>1</sup>  
One Bear Place #97173  
Waco, TX 76798  
Email address: Austin\_Reynolds@baylor.edu

**Abstract**

**Background.**

In the past several decades, obesity has become a major public health issue worldwide, associated with increased rates of chronic disease and death. Like many developing nations,

South Africa is experiencing rapid increases in BMI, and as a result, evidence-based preventive strategies are needed to reduce the increasing burden of overweight and obesity. This study aimed to determine the prevalence and predictors of overweight and obesity among a multi-ethnic cohort from the rural Northern Cape of South Africa.

# **Methods.**

These data were collected as part of a tuberculosis (TB) case-control study, with 395 healthy control participants included in the final analysis. Overweight and obesity were defined according to WHO classification. Multivariate linear models of BMI were generated using sex, age, education level, smoking, alcohol consumption, and diabetes as predictor variables. We also used multivariable logistic regression analysis to assess the relationship of these factors with overweight and obesity.

# **Results.**

The average BMI in our study cohort was 25.2. The prevalence overweight was 18.0% and the prevalence of obesity was 25.0%. We find that female sex, being older, having more years of formal education, having diabetes, and being in a rural area are all positively associated with BMI in our dataset. Women (OR = 5.6, 95% CI = 3.3-9.8), rural individuals (OR = 3.3, 95% CI = 1.9-6.0), older individuals (OR = 1.02, 95% CI = 1-1.04), and those with more years of education (OR = 1.2, 95% CI = 1.09-1.32) were all more likely to be overweight or obese. Alternatively, being a smoker is negatively associated with BMI and decreases one's odds of being overweight or obese (OR = 0.28, 95% CI = 0.16-0.46).

# **Conclusions.**

We observed a high prevalence of overweight and obesity in this study. The odds of being overweight and obese were higher in women, those living in rural areas, and those with more

education, and increases with age. Community-based interventions to control obesity in these communities should pay special attention to these groups.

## Introduction

Obesity, a medical condition characterized by excessive fat accumulation, can have severe consequences for health, including increased risk of cardiovascular disease, certain cancers, and stroke [1]. Obesity is most commonly determined through the measurement of Body Mass Index (BMI), a metric calculated by dividing an individual's weight in kilograms by their height in meters squared. From 1990 to 2015, global mortality associated with elevated BMI increased by 28.3%, with the majority of these deaths being caused by cardiovascular disease [4]. In addition to its effects on individual health, high obesity incidence can have substantial economic implications, with a global impact of approximately \$2.0 trillion annually [5]. The costs linked to obesity range from medical expenses and pharmaceuticals to absenteeism and premature mortality [6].

Global obesity prevalence increased almost three-fold between 1975 and 2016 [7]. A 2015 study showed that 603.7 million adults globally were classified as obese, representing 12.0% of the adult population [4]. Based on current trends, it has been estimated that 1.12 billion adults worldwide will be classified as obese by 2030, and an additional 2.16 billion as overweight [8], roughly 40% of the world population. Sub-Saharan Africa in particular has shown staggering increases in obesity prevalence in the past several decades. Among seven countries surveyed in this region in 2009, an average of 31.4% of women were classified as overweight or obese [9]. But this varies by sex, with men frequently having much lower rates of obesity compared with women [10].

The etiology of overweight and obesity is multi-factorial with many genetic and environmental inputs that have been previously identified. Behavior plays an important role, with nutrition, physical activity levels, and sleeping patterns all being previously associated with an individual's BMI [45]. Smoking is correlated with lower BMI, and BMI tends to increase after individuals stop smoking [11-12]. Alcohol consumption has also been linked to BMI outcomes, however whether this correlation is positive or negative has been widely debated in the literature [13]. Understanding the factors involved in overweight and obesity is of high importance to public health researchers, as obesity is a risk factor for type 2 diabetes, among other diseases that are increasing in many LMICs [2, 3].

In accordance with both global trends and those observed in sub-Saharan Africa, the population of South Africa has also seen a rapid increase in average BMI over the past several years [14–16]. As of 2016, 33% of men and 68% of women in South Africa were categorized as overweight or obese [17]. These values are higher than what has been reported in other African nations [18]. Various behavioral factors have also been studied in South African populations, with several studies indicating an inverse correlation between smoking and BMI [12, 19]. The higher obesity incidence among women as compared to men in South Africa is a trend often observed in developing nations [8, 18, 20].

It is important to note, however, that the majority of research on BMI and obesity in South Africa has been conducted on urban cohorts, particularly in the Eastern and Western Cape Provinces [21, 22]. Although national statistics on measures of obesity are available that are representative of both urban and rural communities in South Africa [17], these are reported at the provincial level as part of the South Africa Demographic and Health Survey (DHS). As part of the most recent DHS, only 177 residents of the Northern Cape province were sampled for

measures of body mass index (68 men and 109 women) [17]. As a result, the variation in overweight and obesity across rural and peri-urban South African districts and municipalities, such as those in the Northern Cape Province, remains limited, restricting our understanding of how overweight and obesity manifest in these communities. This gap in the literature is important given the observed differences in obesity trends between urban and rural communities in lower- and middle-income nations [23]. In South Africa specifically, women in urban areas were 1.6 times more likely, and urban men 2.3 times more likely, to have excessive BMI than those in rural areas [20]. However, the average BMI of both men and women is increasing much more rapidly among rural communities than urban communities globally [24]. Further investigation in these smaller communities is crucial in identifying risk factors and working towards improved public health initiatives and education.

In this study, we analyze some of the demographic and behavioral factors related to BMI in the Northern Cape of South Africa. Our sample consists of 395 individuals from rural areas, small towns, and one large municipality in the province. Investigating these factors will give us a more fine-grained understanding of obesity across the region and lay the groundwork for the development of specialized public health and education initiatives to reduce the prevalence of obesity and overweight among this region.

## Materials & Methods

### Study Design and Sampling Procedure

The data used in this study were collected as part of the Northern Cape Tuberculosis (NCTB) Project, a case-control study on host susceptibility to TB. Between 2017 and the beginning of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in 2020, data was collected on 1,095 individuals ( $N_{\text{men}} = 544$ ;  $N_{\text{women}} = 551$ ) recruited from 12 community (public) health clinics in the Northern Cape

Province, South Africa that serve populations with high TB rates, mostly in rural towns with populations under 10,000. The Northern Cape Province has the largest area, lowest population size and lowest population density among provinces in South Africa [50]. Data was collected via participant interviews, medical histories, saliva samples, and anthropometric measurements. Patients  $\geq 18$  years and older evaluated for TB were invited to participate. Participants were partitioned into the case or control group based on a decision tree considering previous TB diagnosis, treatment for TB, and TB test results obtained during the course of the study (Oyageshio, in prep.). In the present study, only participants with a negative TB result were included due to a symptomatic effect of TB on BMI [25]. Because TB was much more common among men than women in our study (Oyageshio, in prep.), our final sample of 395 healthy controls included substantially more women than men ( $n_{\text{male}} = 145$ ;  $n_{\text{female}} = 250$ ). Community healthcare clinics are the front-line for medical care and triage, and often the sole healthcare facility. The sampling strategy was purposively designed for TB cases and controls not for BMI (i.e., convenience sample), however, this sample retains some heterogeneity in controls as most members of the population seek medical care from the community healthcare clinics and because TB exposure is community-wide [52-56] anyone meeting the minimum criteria for TB evaluation is tested.

# Ethics and Informed Consent

Data collected from study participants was approved by the Health Research Ethics Committee of Stellenbosch University (Project number: N11/07/210A) and the Institutional Review Board of the University of California, Davis (IRB number: 1749073-1). Participation in this study was voluntary, with the ability to withdraw at any time. Written informed consent was



obtained and subsequent medical and demographic questionnaires were conducted in the local language of Afrikaans by trained research assistants from the community. All data was kept confidential with no connections to participant names. Deidentified variables were stored in a secure RedCap database following data collection.

## Demographic and Socio-economic Factors

Demographic information was collected through interviews and recorded on a data collection sheet. Participants were asked to provide their town of residence, highest level of education achieved, and the ethnic group with which they self-identify.

## Medical History

During interviews, participants self-reported diabetes, HIV, asthma, and TB status. If participants reported having diabetes, they were asked to identify if they were a type 1 or type 2 diabetic. HIV status was self-reported as positive, negative, or unknown. Asthma was recorded as no, yes, or unknown. In addition to asking participants whether they had TB at the time of the study, they were also asked if they were currently taking TB medication, whether they had TB in the past, and if so, how many TB episodes they had experienced. Following the self-reporting of TB information, TB tests were administered to all participants using GeneXpert, Auramine O Stain, GeneXpert Ultra, SMEAR, or Culture tests.

## Behavioral Factors

Alcohol use was evaluated by asking participants if they drink alcohol. If yes, further information was collected regarding the specifics of their alcohol consumption. Participants were

asked whether they drink beer, wine, liquor, or ginger beer, and how much of each they consume during the week and weekend. Smoking behavior was categorized as yes or no. If participants answered yes to smoking, they were asked to provide the age at which they began smoking, as well as the average amount they smoke per day.

# Anthropometry

Height was measured using a Charder HM200P stadiometer. Participants were asked to look straight ahead and stand with their heels against the wall while measurements were being taken. One height measurement was recorded for participants in pilot data collection and two measurements were taken upon initiation of the primary study. For individuals with two recorded measurements for height, the average of these values was used. Weight was measured with a Seca 876 digital scale and recorded once. Height and weight measurements were used to calculate BMI for each participant using the equation:  $BMI = kg/m^2$ . Waist and hip circumference measurements were taken with the guidance of a research assistant using a measuring tape, following WHO measurement guidelines [49]. Waist-to-hip ratio was calculated by dividing average waist circumference (cm) by average hip circumference (cm).

Anthropometric variables were collected by community research assistants trained by the researchers. The collection procedures of all research assistants are evaluated every 3-6 months to ensure continued accuracy of the measurements and consistency across research assistants. Following calculation of BMI, participants were assigned to weight categories based on the guidelines set forth by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: those with a BMI < 18.5 were classified as “Underweight”, 18.5 to <25 as “Healthy,” 25 to <30 as “Overweight,” and 30 or higher as “Obese” [26].

## Quality Control

Participants who were TB positive, HIV positive, or both ( $N = 642$ ), were removed from this study due to an expected effect of disease on BMI. All hip and waist measurements supervised by community health care worker #5 were also removed due to errors in measurement technique, where waist and hip circumferences were not being measured at the widest point. BMI could not be calculated for an additional 18 participants due to an absence of height measurements, weight measurements, or both, and these individuals were removed. A total of 395 individuals were included in BMI analyses, 206 of which were recruited during pilot data collection. The addition of WHR measurements and additional questions about smoking and drinking behavior (types and amounts of alcohol/tobacco consumed daily) were added to the collection protocol after the pilot phase. As a result, these additional behavioral variables were not included in the BMI model, and our sample sizes for the WHR measurements are much lower than that of the overall BMI analysis (**Table 1**).

## Statistical Analyses

Quality control and data analysis were performed in R 4.0.2 and ggplot2 [29] was used for data visualization. Seven covariates (alcohol intake (yes or no), smoking (yes or no), diabetes (yes or no), sex, age, clinic type (large town, small town, rural) and years of education) were entered into a generalized linear model to further characterize their association with BMI. As observed in other studies, BMI was not normally distributed among our sample, therefore we used log-transformed BMI as the outcome variable. Large town, the most common outcome for clinic type, was used as the reference for this variable. Bivariate effect plots were generated

between BMI and each individual covariate included in the model using the *effects* R package [27, 28].

We also performed statistical analyses using waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) in place of BMI. A generalized linear model was created using WHR as the outcome variable and included alcohol intake, smoking, diabetes, sex, clinic type, years of education, and age. This model included 161 participants. Our generalized linear models were supplemented by binomial models and the generation of odds ratios using the *questionr* package [30] to investigate the relationship between individual variables and WHO-established BMI categories (overweight/obese versus not).

## Results

### Participant Demographics

Our final sample (**Table 1**) included 395 participants ( $n_{\text{male}} = 145$ ;  $n_{\text{female}} = 250$ ). The mean age was 44 years  $\pm$  15, with all participants falling between 18 and 86 years of age. Our dataset was collected across twelve study sites, representing rural areas ( $n=158$ ), small towns ( $n=106$ ), and one small city ( $n=131$ ) from the Northern Cape Province, South Africa. The most common self-identified ethnicity among participants was Coloured (87%, people of indigenous Khoe-San, Bantu-speaking African, European, Southeast Asian and East Asian ancestry) [51], followed by Nama (4%) and Tswana (3%) (**Table S1**). Approximately 7% of participants reported having no education, 27% reported attending or completing primary school only, and 66% reported attending or completing secondary school.

### Behavioral Factors

The majority (62%) of participants were smokers. Among women, 54% reported that they smoked, compared to 75% of men. Only 39% of participants reported consuming some

quantity of alcohol. This also differed by sex, with 50% of men and 34% of women in our sample reporting alcohol consumption.

# Anthropometry and BMI

Mean height and weight were  $159.2\text{cm} \pm 10.0$  (range: 109.4-184.9cm) and  $63.5\text{kg} \pm 19.3$  (range: 27.0-134.0kg) respectively. The mean BMI was  $25.2 \pm 8.0$ . Nearly a quarter (22.3%) of participants were classified as underweight, 35.2% as healthy, 18.0% as overweight, and 24.6% as obese. The distribution of individuals between these classifications differed substantially between male and female participants, with 36.0% of female participants being placed in the obese category compared to only 4.8% of male participants (**Table 1**).

# Factors associated with BMI, WHR, and obesity

We fit a generalized linear model to investigate the relationship between BMI and the factors of sex, age, education, smoking, drinking, diabetes status, and clinic type. This model showed significant relationships between BMI and smoking, sex, education level, age, diabetes, and rural clinics (**Table 2**). Together, these factors explained 27% of the variance in BMI among our cohort, with an F-value of 26.54 ( $p < 0.001$ ). Being female showed a strong positive correlation with BMI, as did age, years of education, and rural clinic type. The relationships between each of these variables and BMI were observed in our bivariate effect plots (**Figure S1**), which indicated a 5-point BMI increase for women, a 4-point decrease for smoking, a 6-point increase for having 14 years of education as compared to zero, and a 4-point increase for rural clinic locations as compared to large towns

We find evidence that women in our sample had over 5 times greater odds of being overweight or obese compared to men (OR = 5.56, 95% CI = 3.3-9.8,  $p < 0.001$ ; **Table 3**). In our dataset, increasing age as well as additional years of school increased the odds of being overweight or obese ( $p = 0.01$ ). Smoking, on the other hand, showed a significant negative correlation with BMI ( $p < 0.001$ ). In fact, smoking decreased the odds of being overweight or obese by nearly 75% in our study population (OR = 0.28, 95% CI = 0.16-0.46). Rural clinic type was associated with 3 times greater odds of being overweight or obese (OR = 3.29, 95% CI = 1.85-5.97,  $p < 0.001$ ). Additionally, the odds of being overweight or obese were more than 4 times greater in participants with type 2 diabetes ( $p = 0.01$ ).

We also explored the factors associated with waist-to-hip measurement ratios in our dataset. This model was fit on a subset of the dataset (**Table S1**;  $n=160$ ), as waist and hip measurements were not available for all participants. We find a slight positive correlation between WHR and years of education ( $p = 0.03$ ), but together these variables only explain ~1 % of the variance in WHR in our dataset with an F-value of 1.15 ( $p = 0.33$ ). No other covariates showed a significant association with WHR.

## Discussion

In this study we analyzed the demographic and socio-behavioral factors related to BMI in an adult cohort from the Northern Cape Province, South Africa. Our results suggest a significant difference in obesity trends between men and women in the study population, with 57% of female participants being overweight or obese compared to only 19% of male participants. This may be partly explained by differences in behavior. In our dataset and elsewhere in the published literature [12, 31, 35], smoking has a strong negative correlation with BMI in both men and

women, with our results indicating that smoking decreases odds of being overweight or obese by 75%. Nicotine use suppresses appetite and causes an increased resting metabolic rate, resulting in weight loss [48]. With 25% more men in our dataset reporting smoking compared to women, this may in part explain the sex difference in obesity rates observed in our dataset.

Social factors are also likely important in explaining these sex differences in overweight and obesity, as they influence behavioral traits associated with overweight and obesity. Past research has shown that higher BMI is desirable in many African countries, as it is representative of wealth, health (particularly absence of HIV), and fertility in low-income communities where many lack adequate access to food [36-41]. This preference, along with women having control over household food spending [36], may be contributing to nutritional behavior of individuals in our study population as well, leading to increased rates of overweight and obesity in women relative to men [19]. Socioeconomic status, including educational level, is also positively correlated with BMI and negatively correlated with physical activity for both men and women in South Africa [35, 42, 43], as well as other low- and middle-income nations [35, 44, 46, 47]. This aligns with our result demonstrating the more education one has, the higher their BMI and may be reflective of better access to resources for those individuals.

To better understand our findings within the wider national context, we compared our results to the 2016 South Africa Demographic and Health Survey [17], which collects representative provincial-level data on several public health metrics. Compared with the DHS data on the Northern Cape province as a whole, we find similar levels of overweight and obesity for women in our study area (57% and 62%, respectively). However, we find substantially fewer overweight and obese men (18% versus 32%) and substantially more underweight men in our sample compared to the province as a whole (39% versus 19%). Interestingly, our findings

demonstrate greater odds of being overweight or obese in rural regions than in large towns. While previous data in South Africa has found that men and women in urban areas were more likely to have excessive BMI than those in rural areas, on a global scale, the average BMI of both men and women is increasing much more rapidly among rural communities than urban communities [24]. In contrast to our findings, province-wide measurements from the DHS show no substantial differences in BMI between urban and rural samples, demonstrating the regional variability in obesity and reinforcing the need to collect more data at the district and municipality level to better understand the demographic and social factors that may be influencing obesity on a local scale.

The present study is not without limitations. BMI is a simple and widely used metric for obesity, however, it does not distinguish between excess fat, muscle, or bone mass, or provide any indication of the distribution of fat among individuals [31, 32], whereas WHR is better at predicting visceral adiposity and cardiometabolic disease risk [33, 34]. Future work incorporating these and other more sensitive measures of adiposity will provide greater detail into the risk-factors and consequences of obesity in this population.

In addition, the sampling strategy for this study was purposively designed to maximize TB cases and controls, not necessarily for maximizing heterogeneity of BMI in the population. Despite this limitation, our controls are fairly evenly distributed across important variables such as age, education, height, and weight. Additionally, because TB exposure is community-wide, reaching ~90% by age 30 [52-56], TB nurses and healthcare staff test anyone meeting the minimum criteria for TB evaluation; and because these community healthcare clinics are typically the only accessible facility, it is seen by all members of the community. While this sample includes more heterogeneity than a typical convenience sample, it does not fully assuage



biases, such as higher SES patients who opt for out-of-area private medical clinics or selection biases in who decided to seek medical attention, among others.

## Conclusions

Overall, our results suggest that smoking, sex, education level, age, location, and diabetes all influence BMI outcomes among the rural population of the Northern Cape of South Africa, consistent with previous research in other South African cohorts and developing nations. These results deepen our understanding of the factors contributing to obesity risk in this region. They also highlight the regional diversity in BMI across South Africa and the need for more monitoring at the local level. The present study was limited somewhat by the behavioral data collected as part of the larger TB study. A necessary future direction of this work will be to include additional measures of adiposity, physical activity and dietary behaviors, and socioeconomic status to obtain a more complete understanding of the risk-factors for obesity in the Northern Cape. This will allow for better identification of high-risk groups for behavioral interventions, ultimately mitigating the public health and economic burdens created by the global obesity epidemic at the local level.

## Acknowledgements

First and foremost, we would like to thank our participant communities in the Northern Cape for their continued trust and support in helping us undertake this project. We would also like to thank our community research assistants and translators who assisted in data collection for the project. Finally, we want to thank the Department of Health in the Northern Cape Province, South Africa for their continued support of the project.

## References

1. CDC. Adult Obesity Facts. 2021.

2. Barceló A, Gregg E.W., Pastor-Valero M, Robles, S. C. Waist circumference, BMI and the prevalence of self-reported diabetes among the elderly of the United States and six cities of Latin America and the Caribbean. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice* 2007;78:418–427.
3. Teufel F, Seigle J.A., Geldsetzer P et al. Body-mass index and diabetes risk in 57 low-income and middle-income countries: a cross-sectional study of nationally representative, individual-level data in 685 616 adults. *The Lancet* 2021;398:238–248.
4. The GBD 2015 Obesity Collaborators. Health Effects of Overweight and Obesity in 195 Countries over 25 Years. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;377:13–27.
5. Dobbs R, Sawers C, Thompson F, Manyika J, Woetzel J, Child P, et al. Overcoming obesity: An initial economic analysis. 2014.
6. Dee A, Kearns K, O'Neill C, Sharp L, Staines A, O'Dwyer V, et al. The direct and indirect costs of both overweight and obesity: a systematic review. *BMC Res Notes.* 2014;7:242.
7. WHO. Obesity and Overweight Factsheet. 2021.
8. Popkin BM, Adair LS, Ng SW. Global nutrition transition and the pandemic of obesity in developing countries. *Nutrition Reviews.* 2012;70:3–21.
9. Ziraba AK, Fotso JC, Ochako R. Overweight and obesity in urban Africa: A problem of the rich or the poor? *BMC Public Health.* 2009;9:465.
10. Price AJ, Crampin AC, Amberbir A, Kayuni-Chihana N, Musicha C, Tafatatha T, et al. Prevalence of obesity, hypertension, and diabetes, and cascade of care in sub-Saharan Africa: a cross-sectional, population-based study in rural and urban Malawi. *The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology.* 2018;6:208–22.
11. Piirtola M, Jelenkovic A, Latvala A, Sund R, Honda C, Inui F, Watanabe M, Tomizawa R, Iwatani Y, Ordoñana J.R., et al. Association of current and former smoking with body mass

378 index: A study of smoking discordant twin pairs from 21 twin cohorts. PLoS ONE  
379 2018;13:e0200140.

380 12. Micklesfield LK, Kagura J, Munthali R, Crowther NJ, Jaff N, Gradidge P, et al.  
381 Demographic, socio-economic and behavioural correlates of BMI in middle-aged black men and  
382 women from urban Johannesburg, South Africa. Global Health Action. 2018;11:1448250.

383 13. Sayon-Orea C, Martinez-Gonzalez M.A., Bes-Rastrollo M. Alcohol consumption and body  
384 weight: a systematic review. Nutrition Reviews 2011;69:419-431.

385 14. Cois A, Day C. Obesity trends and risk factors in the South African adult population. BMC  
386 Obes. 2015;2:42.

387 15. Steyn K, Fourie J, Rossouw JE, Langenhoven ML, Joubert G, Chalton DO. Anthropometric  
388 profile of the coloured population of the Cape Peninsula. 1990;78:5.

389 16. Steyn K, Bourne L, Jooste P, Fourie J, Rossouw K, Lombard C. Anthropometric profile of a  
390 black population of the Cape Peninsula in South Africa. East African Medical Journal.  
391 1998;75:35–40.

392 17. Statistics South Africa, South Africa, editors. South Africa Demographic and Health Survey  
393 2016. Key indicators report. Pretoria: Statistics South Africa; 2017.

394 18. Goedecke J, Jennings C, Lambert E. Obesity in South Africa. Chronic Diseases of Lifestyle  
395 in South Africa: 1995-2005. 2006;:65–79.

396 19. Wagner RG, Crowther NJ, Gómez-Olivé FX, Kabudula C, Kahn K, Mhembere M, et al.  
397 Sociodemographic, socioeconomic, clinical and behavioural predictors of body mass index vary  
398 by sex in rural South African adults-findings from the AWI-Gen study. Global Health Action.  
399 2018;11:1549436.

20. Okop KJ, Levitt N, Puoane T. Factors Associated with Excessive Body Fat in Men and Women: Cross-Sectional Data from Black South Africans Living in a Rural Community and an Urban Township. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10:e0140153.
21. Malhotra R, Hoyo C, Østbye T, Hughes G, Schwartz D, Tsolekile L, et al. Determinants of obesity in an urban township of South Africa. *South African Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2008;21:315–20.
22. Owolabi EO, Ter Goon D, Adeniyi OV. Central obesity and normal-weight central obesity among adults attending healthcare facilities in Buffalo City Metropolitan Municipality, South Africa: a cross-sectional study. *J Health Popul Nutr*. 2017;36:54.
23. Neuman M, Kawachi I, Gortmaker S, Subramanian SV. Urban-rural differences in BMI in low- and middle-income countries: the role of socioeconomic status. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2013;97:428–36.
24. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Rising rural body-mass index is the main driver of the global obesity epidemic in adults. *Nature*. 2019;569:260–4.
25. Zhang H, Li X, Xin H, Li H, Li M, Lu W, et al. Association of Body Mass Index with the Tuberculosis Infection: a Population-based Study among 17796 Adults in Rural China. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7:41933.
26. CDC. Defining Adult Overweight and Obesity. 2021.
27. Fox J. Effect Displays in R for Generalised Linear Models.pdf. *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2003;8.
28. Fox J, Weisberg S. *An R Companion to Applied Regression*. 3rd Edition. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 2019.
29. Wickham H. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. New York, NY: Springer; 2016.

423 30. Barnier J, Briatte F, Larmarange J. questionr: Functions to Make Surveys Processing Easier.  
424 2022.

425 31. Rothman KJ. BMI-related errors in the measurement of obesity. *Int J Obes*. 2008;32:S56–9.

426 32. Adab P, Pallan M, Whincup PH. Is BMI the best measure of obesity? *BMJ*. 2018;:k1274.

427 33. Song X, Jousilahti P, Stehouwer CDA, Söderberg S, Onat A, Laatikainen T, et al.  
428 Comparison of various surrogate obesity indicators as predictors of cardiovascular mortality in  
429 four European populations. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2013;67:1298–302.

430 34. Lee CMY, Huxley RR, Wildman RP, Woodward M. Indices of abdominal obesity are better  
431 discriminators of cardiovascular risk factors than BMI: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical*  
432 *Epidemiology*. 2008;61:646–53.

433 35. Ramsay M, Crowther NJ, Agongo G, Ali SA, Asiki G, Boua RP, et al. Regional and sex-  
434 specific variation in BMI distribution in four sub-Saharan African countries: The H3Africa AWI-  
435 Gen study. *Global Health Action*. 2018;11:1556561.

436 36. Case A, Menendez A. Sex differences in obesity rates in poor countries: Evidence from  
437 South Africa. *Economics & Human Biology*. 2009;7:271–82.

438 37. Naigaga D.A., Jahanlu D, Claudius, H.M. et al. Body size perceptions and preferences favor  
439 overweight in adult Saharawi refugees. *Nutrition Journal* 2018;17:17.

440 38. Okop KJ, Mukumbang FC, Mathole T, Levitt N, Puoane T. Perceptions of body size, obesity  
441 threat and the willingness to lose weight among black South African adults: a qualitative study.  
442 *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16:365.

443 39. Puoane T, Tsolekile L, Steyn N. Perceptions about body image and sizes among Black  
444 African girls living in Cape Town. *Ethnicity & Disease* 2010;20:29-34.

40. Renzaho AM. Fat, rich and beautiful: changing socio-cultural paradigms associated with obesity risk, nutritional status and refugee children from sub-Saharan Africa. *Health Place*. 2004;10:105–13.
41. T. Matoti-Mvalo RD(SA), MPH & T. Puoane B (Cur), MPH, Dr PH. Perceptions of body size and its association with HIV/AIDS. *South African Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2011;24:40-45
42. Alaba O, Chola L. Socioeconomic Inequalities in Adult Obesity Prevalence in South Africa: A Decomposition Analysis. *IJERPH*. 2014;11:3387–406.
43. Wandai ME, Aagaard-Hansen J, Manda SO, Norris SA. Transitions between body mass index categories, South Africa. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2020;98:878-885I.
44. Dinsa GD, Goryakin Y, Fumagalli E, Suhrcke M. Obesity and socioeconomic status in developing countries: a systematic review. *Obesity Reviews*. 2012;13:1067–79.
45. Neuman M, Finlay JE, Davey Smith G, Subramanian S. The poor stay thinner: stable socioeconomic gradients in BMI among women in lower- and middle-income countries. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2011;94:1348–57.
46. Gradidge PJ-L, Norris SA, Munthali R, Crowther NJ. Influence of socioeconomic status on changes in body size and physical activity in ageing black South African women. *Eur Rev Aging Phys Act*. 2018;15:6.
47. Micklesfield LK, Pedro TM, Kahn K, Kinsman J, Pettifor JM, Tollman S, et al. Physical activity and sedentary behavior among adolescents in rural South Africa: levels, patterns and correlates. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14:40.
48. Audrain-McGovern J, Benowitz NL. Cigarette Smoking, Nicotine, and Body Weight. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2011;90:164–8.

468 49. WHO. Waist circumference and waist-hip ratio: report of a WHO expert consultation,  
469 Geneva. 2008.

470 50. Statistics South Africa. Mid-year population estimates. Pretoria. 2022: P0302.

471 51. Swart Y, Uren C, van Helden P, Hoal E and Möller M. Local Ancestry Adjusted Allelic  
472 Association Analysis Robustly Captures Tuberculosis Susceptibility Loci. *Front. Genet.* 2021;  
473 12:716558.

474 52. Andrews JR, Morrow C, Walensky RP, Wood R. Integrating social contact and  
475 environmental data in evaluating tuberculosis transmission in a South African township. *J Infect*  
476 *Dis.* 2014;210(4):597-603. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiu138

477 53. Gallant CJ, Cobat A, Simkin L, et al. Impact of age and sex on mycobacterial immunity in an  
478 area of high tuberculosis incidence. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* 2010;14(8):952-959.

479 54. Mahomed H. The incidence of tuberculosis in adolescents in the context of proposed TB  
480 vaccine trial. Univ Cape Town. Published online 2013.  
481 [https://open.uct.ac.za/bitstream/handle/11427/9314/thesis\\_hsf\\_2013\\_mohamed\\_h.pdf?sequence=](https://open.uct.ac.za/bitstream/handle/11427/9314/thesis_hsf_2013_mohamed_h.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y)  
482 [1&isAllowed=y](https://open.uct.ac.za/bitstream/handle/11427/9314/thesis_hsf_2013_mohamed_h.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y)

483 55. Mahomed H, Ehrlich R, Hawkrigde T, et al. TB incidence in an adolescent cohort in South  
484 Africa. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(3):e59652. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059652

485 56. Mahomed H, Ehrlich R, Hawkrigde T, et al. Screening for TB in high school adolescents in a  
486 high burden setting in South Africa. *Tuberc Edinb.* 2013;93(3):357-362.  
487 doi:10.1016/j.tube.2013.02.007

# **Table 1**(on next page)

*Summary of participant demographics, behavioral factors, anthropometry, and BMI. Summary statistics are provided for men, women, and the total sample. \*WHR only available for 160 participants.*



Variable	Men	Women	Total
<b>Participants (N)</b>	145	250	395
<b>Age (mean)</b>	44.2 ( $\pm 16.2$ )	43.2 ( $\pm 15.1$ )	43.5 ( $\pm 15.5$ )
<b>Years of education (mean)</b>	7.9 ( $\pm 3.6$ )	8.5 ( $\pm 3.1$ )	8.3 ( $\pm 3.3$ )
<b>Smokes</b>	75.2% (n=109)	53.6% (n=134)	61.5% (n=243)
<b>Drinks Alcohol</b>	49.7% (n=72)	33.6% (n=84)	39.5% (n=156)
<b>Height (cm) (mean)</b>	165.2 ( $\pm 9.6$ )	155.7 ( $\pm 8.5$ )	159.2 ( $\pm 10.0$ )
<b>Weight (kg) (mean)</b>	57 ( $\pm 14.3$ )	67.3 ( $\pm 20.8$ )	63.5 ( $\pm 19.3$ )
<b>BMI (mean)</b>	21.0 ( $\pm 5.8$ )	27.6 ( $\pm 8.2$ )	25.2 ( $\pm 8.0$ )
<b>WHR (mean)*</b>	0.84 ( $\pm 0.1$ )	0.88 ( $\pm 0.2$ )	0.87 ( $\pm 0.2$ )
<b>Underweight</b>	39.3% (n=57)	12.4% (n=31)	22.3% (n=88)
<b>Healthy weight</b>	42.8% (n=62)	30.8% (n=77)	35.2% (n=139)
<b>Overweight</b>	13.1% (n=19)	20.8% (n=52)	18.0% (n=71)
<b>Obese</b>	4.8% (n=7)	36.0% (n=90)	24.6% (n=97)
<b>Diabetes prevalence</b>	3.4% (n=5)	8.0% (n=20)	6.3% (n=25)
<b>Large town clinic</b>	35.9% (n=52)	31.6% (n=79)	33.2% (n=131)
<b>Small town clinic</b>	39.3% (n=57)	28.0% (n=70)	40.0% (n=158)
<b>Rural clinic</b>	24.8% (n=36)	40.4% (n=101)	26.8% (n=106)

**Table 1:** Summary of participant demographics, behavioral factors, anthropometry, and BMI. Summary statistics are provided for men, women, and the total sample. \*WHR only available for 160 participants.

## **Table 2**(on next page)

*Model 1 results showing the impact of covariates on BMI outcomes in our sample of 395 participants.*

Variable	coefficient	standard error	p-value
(Intercept)	2.71	0.08	<0.001
Alcohol	0.02	0.03	0.56
SexF	0.21	0.03	<0.001
Age	0.003	<0.001	0.003
Years of education	0.02	0.004	<0.001
Smokes	-0.14	0.03	<0.001
Diabetes	0.17	0.05	<0.001
Clinic type (Rural)	0.14	0.03	<0.001
Clinic type (Small town)	0.06	0.03	0.05

**Table 2:** Model 1 results showing the impact of covariates on BMI outcomes in our sample of 395 participants.

# **Table 3**(on next page)

*Model results showing the impact of covariates on odds of being overweight/obese.*

Variable	coefficient	OR	Lower CI (2.5%)	Upper CI (97.5%)	p-value
Alcohol	0.20	1.22	0.72	2.11	0.46
SexF	1.72	5.56	3.25	9.82	<0.001
Age	0.03	1.03	1.01	1.05	0.01
Years of education	0.18	1.20	1.09	1.32	<0.001
Smokes	-1.29	0.28	0.16	0.46	<0.001
Diabetes	1.51	4.54	1.53	15.84	0.01
Clinic type (Rural)	1.19	3.29	1.85	5.97	<0.001
Clinic type (Small town)	0.58	1.79	0.97	3.36	0.07

**Table 3:** Model results showing the impact of covariates on odds of being overweight/obese.