# PeerJ

Determination and risk assessment of pharmaceutical residues in the urban water cycle in Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

Zarimah Mohd Hanafiah<sup>1</sup>, Wan Hanna Melini Wan Mohtar<sup>1,2</sup>, Teh Sabariah Abd Manan<sup>3,4</sup>, Nur Aina Bachi<sup>1</sup>, Nurfaizah Abu Tahrim<sup>5</sup>, Haris Hafizal Abd Hamid<sup>6</sup>, Abdulnoor Ghanim<sup>7</sup>, Amirrudin Ahmad<sup>3,8</sup>, Nadiah Wan Rasdi<sup>3,9</sup> and Hamidi Abdul Aziz<sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia
- <sup>2</sup> Environmental Management Centre, Institute of Climate Change, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia
- <sup>3</sup> Institute of Tropical Biodiversity and Sustainable Development, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Kuala Nerus, Terengganu Darul Iman, Malaysia
- <sup>4</sup> School of Civil Engineering, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Nibong Tebal, Pulau Pinang, Malaysia
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Chemical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Earth Sciences and Environment, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia
- <sup>7</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, College of Engineering, Najran University, Najran, Saudi Arabia
- <sup>8</sup> Faculty of Science and Marine Environment, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Kuala Nerus, Terengganu Darul Iman, Malaysia
- <sup>9</sup> Faculty of Fisheries and Food Science, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Kuala Nerus, Terengganu Darul Iman, Malaysia

# ABSTRACT

The environmental fate of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) in the urban water cycle is still uncertain and their status is mainly assessed based on specific water components and information on human risk assessments. This study (a) explores the environmental fate of NSAIDs (ibuprofen, IBU; naproxen, NAP; ketoprofen, KET; diazepam, DIA; and diclofenac, DIC) in the urban water cycle, including wastewater, river, and treated water via gas chromatography-mass spectrophotometry (GCMS), (b) assesses the efficiency of reducing the targeted NSAIDs in sewage treatment plant (STP) using analysis of variance (ANOVA), and (c) evaluates the ecological risk assessment of these drugs in the urban water cycle via teratogenic index (TI) and risk quotient (RQ). The primary receptor of contaminants comes from urban areas, as a high concentration of NSAIDs is detected (ranging from  $5.87 \times 10^3$  to  $7.18 \times 10^4$  ng/L). The percentage of NSAIDs removal in STP ranged from 25.6% to 92.3%. The NAP and KET were still detected at trace levels in treated water, indicating the persistent presence in the water cycle. The TI values for NAP and DIA (influent and effluent) were more than 1, showing a risk of a teratogenic effect. The IBU, KET, and DIC had values of less than 1, indicating the risk of lethal embryo effects. The NAP and DIA can be classified as Human Pregnancy Category C (2.1 > TI  $\ge$  0.76). This work proved that these drugs exist in the current urban water cycle, which could induce adverse effects on humans and the environment (RQ in high and low-risk categories). Therefore, they should be

Submitted 16 September 2022 Accepted 19 December 2022 Published 1 February 2023

Corresponding author Wan Hanna Melini Wan Mohtar, hanna@ukm.edu.my

Academic editor Zarrin Basharat

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 20

DOI 10.7717/peerj.14719

Copyright 2023 Mohd Hanafiah et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

minimized, if not eliminated, from the primary sources of the pollutant (*i.e.*, STPs). These pollutants should be considered a priority to be monitored, given focus to, and listed in the guideline due to their persistent presence in the urban water cycle.

Subjects Natural Resource Management, Aquatic and Marine Chemistry, Environmental Contamination and Remediation, Environmental Impacts, Food, Water and Energy Nexus Keywords Environmental fate, NSAIDs, Urban water cycle, Ecological risk assessment, Teratogenic index, Risk quotient

## INTRODUCTION

The urban water cycle is heavily infested with emerging contaminants such as pharmaceutical residues, particularly NSAIDs, because of the growing population of sick people who consume the drugs (painkillers, antifungals, antibiotics, antipyretics, analgesics, lipid regulators, antibiotics, antidepressants, chemotherapy agents, antidiabetics, gastric pH regulators and contraceptive drugs) (Hanafiah et al., 2022; Jacob et al., 2021). The Malaysia Statistics on Medicines (MSOM) has reported that the total medicine utilisation in Malaysia increased to 1.2% in 2016. The total medicine consumption in 2015 was 624.90 DDD/1,000 inhabitants/day. It increased to 632.32 DDD/1,000 inhabitants/day in 2016 (MSOM, 2020). The increment in statistics was attributed to the large medicine consumption for chronic diseases such as hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and cancer. The consumed drugs go through metabolic detoxification in the human body, releasing 90% of the metabolic waste products (parent and daughter compounds of the pharmaceutical residue) from the total consumption in the form of excreta (sweat, urine, and stool) (Manan, Malakahmad & Sivapalan, 2016; Malakahmad, Abd Manan & Sivapalan, 2015; Subedi et al., 2017). For example, 80% of naproxen does not undergo metabolic activity in the human body and is excreted as a parent compound (Cory et al., 2019). Consequently, these pharmaceutical residues will be released into the wastewater (Mohtar et al., 2017; Zind et al., 2021). The urban water cycle includes the discharge of wastewater from the community (influent), effluent from a sewage treatment plant (STP), receiving surface water (mainly river), and treated water from water treatment plant (WTP) that goes back to the populace via the water distribution network (Mohtar et al., 2019). The STP is the central receptacle of pharmaceutical residue and other emerging contaminants (*Chopra & Kumar, 2020*; Del Pozo & Patel, 2007; Ebele, Abou-Elwafa Abdallah & Harrad, 2017).

Surface water, such as the river widely used as a resource for drinking water, and the presence of micro-pollutants such as pharmaceutical residue affect the sustainable production of clean water for human well-being and subsequently impact the freshwater ecosystem (*Khan et al., 2022a*). NSAIDs are most frequently detected in the water environment, such as wastewater (*Madikizela & Chimuka, 2017*), surface water (*Tahrim, Abdullah & Farina Abdul Aziz Abstract, 2018*), and drinking water (*Carmona, Andreu & Picó, 2014*) because of the vast consumption to treat fever and relief pain. The STP is not designed to treat and remove pharmaceutical compounds from wastewater (*Fröhlich, Foletto & Dotto, 2019*). However, these compounds may be chemically- or bio-degraded,

absorbed into the sludge, or suspended solids and reduced during treatment (*Guerra et al.*, 2014; Archer et al., 2017). The removal percentages range from 0% to 97% depending on the complexity of the compound (*e.g.*, aromatic and low molecular and high molecular weight) (*Al Aukidy, Verlicchi & Voulvoulis, 2014; Manan et al., 2019*).

Current research focuses on the environmental fate of NSAIDs such as ibuprofen (IBU, 206.28 g/mol), naproxen (NAP, 230.26 g/mol), ketoprofen (KET, 254.28 g/mol), diazepam (DIA, 284.74 g/mol), and diclofenac (DIC, 296.15 g/mol) in the urban water cycle. The generally studied NSAIDs are IBU painkillers for menstrual periods, migraines, toothache, and rheumatoid arthritis; NAP, which is used for reduces inflammation or swelling and treat joint stiffness; KET, which is prescribed for its antipyretic (reduce fever), anti-inflammatory, and analgesic (pain reliever) effects; DIA, which is used as a tranquillizer to reduce anxiety, fear, and tension, as an anticonvulsant muscle relaxant, and a sedative to reduce the states of mental disturbance; and DIC, which is used for an anti-inflammatory to treat menstrual pain, osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis. Although NSAIDs are medicinal drugs approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (US-FDA), prolonged consumption of NSAIDs is hazardous because they do not cure or alter the root course of the disease and can cause ulcers (*Brutzkus, Shahrokhi & Varacallo, 2022*). Like other medicine, they reduce the symptoms but are not meant to cure the diseases.

The repetition of exposure to pharmaceutical residue in the cycle seems to continue with no end. It not only is a health hazard (affecting kidneys and liver, antibiotic resistance diseases, and ulcers) but also harms the aquatic environment (Khan et al., 2022b). Although the natural aquatic environment has the natural reclamation mechanism (biological, physical, and chemical processes) for pollutant removal, the complexity of these pharmaceutical residues is chemically designed for treatment purposes and may be fatal to susceptible biological organisms (microbes, plants, fishes, etc.). For example, IBU has been reported to affect the survival of Japanese medaka (Oryzias latipes) at long-term exposure of 120 days at 100 ng/L (Han et al., 2010), and a low concentration of 250 ng/L can cause endocrine disruption in mussels (Mytilus galloprovincialis) (Chopra & Kumar, 2020); DIA inhibits the polyp regeneration of Hydra vulgaris (Pascoe, Karntanut & Müller, 2003). It is also a hormone and endocrine disrupting chemical (EDC) because of its effect on the growth and reproduction of fish (Celino-Brady, Lerner & Seale, 2021). The DIA also can induce antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the water environment (*Wang et al., 2021*). DIA has been reported to have a significant behavioural effect on fish at a medium concentration of 1.0  $\pm$  0.15 µg/L (*Lorenzi*, *Choe & Schlenk*, 2016). DIC can cause morphological changes in fish kidneys and have an anti-ovulatory effect on aquatic organisms (Guruge et al., 2019).

Emerging contaminants (ECs) or contaminants of emerging concern (CECs), such as NSAIDs, are newly emerged contaminants that have gained public attention because of their persistence in the environment, risking the security of public health. However, the toxicity profiles of NSAIDs are scarce, and ecological risk assessments for the urban water cycle are yet to be determined. Chemicals with n-octanol/water partition coefficient (log K<sub>ow</sub>, unitless) values of more than 4.5 tend to bio-accumulate (*Styszko et al., 2021*).

The introduction of effluent STP containing pharmaceuticals into the environment presents a toxicology effect on the aquatic living organisms and microorganisms, depending on the type of receiving water, which has a high dilution factor in the open aquatic systems (*i.e.*, rivers and seas) and a low dilution factor in a closed aquatic system (*i.e.*, lakes). The contaminants can bio-accumulate even at low concentrations and are harmful to the non-targeted organism (*Praveenkumarreddy et al.*, 2021).

Table 1 summarises the pharmaceutical residue (IBU, NAP, KET, DIA, and DIC) in the urban water cycle (influent, effluent, surface water, and treated water). The comparison was among Sweden (*Larsson, Al-Hamimi & Jönsson, 2014*), Canada (*Guerra et al., 2014*), South Africa (*Madikizela & Chimuka, 2017*), Spain (*Carmona, Andreu & Picó, 2014*; *Gracia-Lor et al., 2017*; *Jurado, Vázquez-Suñé & Pujades, 2021*), India (*Subedi et al., 2017*), Beijing in China (*Wang et al., 2017*), the United Kingdom (*Baker & Kasprzyk-Hordern, 2013*), Thailand (*Tewari et al., 2017*), Italy (*Marchese et al., 2003*; *Zuccato et al., 2000*), Serbia (*Petrović et al., 2014*), France (*Togola & Budzinski, 2008*), Algeria (*Kermia, Fouial-Djebbar & Trari, 2016*), and Malaysia (Johor (*Yacob et al., 2017*), Selangor (*Tahrim, Abdullah & Farina Abdul Aziz Abstract, 2018*), Putrajaya (*Wee et al., 2020*)). None of them has published the environmental fate of NSAIDs in the complete urban water cycle thus far.

Langat River (Sungai Langat) is a 78-km-long river (catchment area: 2,350 km<sup>2</sup>) that flows from the Banjaran Titiwangsa (Titiwangsa ranges) at Gunung Nuang up to the Straits of Malacca. The selected location of Sungai Langat, with a population of most 1 million people, consists of industrial discharge, domestic sewage discharge, construction projects, and farming. Langat River has been classified as an average polluted river with a class III water quality index (WQI) (Heng et al., 2006). Considering the pollution level of Langat River, for the current work, we were choosing one point from Langat River to identify the pharmaceutical residue in the complete urban water cycle, such as influent STP, effluent STP, surface water of Langat River, and treated water from WTP. The environmental fate of NSAIDs in an urban catchment was of paramount importance to be understood, providing an evidence-based way forward in water resources management. The objectives of this research were as follows: (a) to determine the environmental fate of pharmaceutical residue namely IBU, NAP, KET, DIA, and DIC, in the urban water cycle (influent STP, effluent STP, surface water, and treated water), (b) to assess the efficiency of the treatment process by conventional STP, and (c) to evaluate the ecological risk assessment of these drugs in the urban water cycle. This current research presents the concentration of pharmaceutical residue in the urban water cycle from the same catchment and river watershed with justification for why the ecological risk assessment on each water cycle part was not carried out by previous studies.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Sampling stations and procedure

The water samples were collected from April to May 2021 from an urban water cycle in Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia. The samples (total 12 and duplicates of each) were taken during three consecutive weekdays for each sampling point (influent and effluent STP

Table 1 The	e phar	maceutical	l residue (II	BU, NAP, K	ET, DIA, I	DIC) in the	urban wat	er cycle (inf	iuent, efflu	ent, surface	water, and	treated water).
Country		Influent (n	g/L)				Effluent (n	ng/L)				Ref.
		IBU	NAP	KET	DIA	DIC	IBU	NAP	KET	DIA	DIC	
Sweden		$\begin{array}{c} 1.67 \times 10^4 \\ \text{to } 2.28 \times \\ 10^4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.80 \times 10^3 \\ \text{to } 9.49 \times \\ 10^3 \end{array}$	$4.40 \times 10^4$ to 1.35 × $10^3$		$1.55 \times 10^{3}$ to 2.25 × $10^{3}$	$0.00 \times 10^{0}$ to 5.20 × $10^{2}$	$2.20 \times 10^{2}$ to 7.80 × $10^{2}$	$0.00 \times 10^{0}$ to 4.80 × $10^{2}$		$4.00 \times 10^{2}$ to 3.60 × $10^{2}$	Larsson, Al-Hamimi & Jönsson (2014)
Canada		$\begin{array}{c} 2.5\times10^3\\ \text{to } 4.50\times\\ 10^4 \end{array}$	$1.7 \times 10^{3}$ to 2.50 × $10^{4}$				$1.6 \times 10^{1}$ to 4.7 × $10^{3}$	$2.00 \times 10^{1}$ to 3.5 × $10^{3}$				Guerra et al. (2014)
South Africa		$6.90 \times 10^{4}$	$2.00 \times 10^{4}$			$1.60 \times 10^{4}$	$2.10 \times 10^{3}$	$6.00 \times 10^{2}$			$1.4 \times 10^{3}$	Madikizela & Chimuka (2017)
Spain		$\begin{array}{c} 6.1\times10^3\\ \text{to } 1.91\times\\ 10^4 \end{array}$	$8.70 \times 10^{2}$ to 2.24 × $10^{3}$	$2.50 \times 10^{2}$ to 4.10 × $10^{2}$		<loq 7.40="" <math="" to="">\times 10<sup>2</sup></loq>		$9.00 \times 10^{1}$ to 2.80 × $10^{1}$	$1.20 \times 10^{2}$ to 4.20 × $10^{2}$		$2.10 \times 10^{2}$ to 6.20 × $10^{2}$	Gracia-Lor et al. (2017)
Spain												
India					$2.30 \times 10^{1}$ to 2.50 × $10^{1}$					$9.50 \times 10^{0}$ to 3.60 × $10^{1}$		Subedi et al. (2017)
Beijing, China					$1.90 \times 10^{0}$ to 6.00 × $10^{0}$					$8.00 \times 10^{-1}$ to 4.70 × $10^{0}$		Wang et al. (2017)
United Kingdo	m				$6.6 \times 10^{0}$ to 8.00 × $10^{0}$					$5.00 \times 10^{-1}$ to 7.10 × 10 <sup>0</sup>		Baker  ජ Kasprzyk- Hordern (2013)
Thailand												
Italy												
Italy												
Serbia												
France												
Algeria		2	2									
Johor, Malaysia	a	$1.03 \times 10^{2}$ to 7.69 × $10^{2}$	$1.24 \times 10^{2}$ to 3.75 × $10^{2}$				n.d. to 2.03 × $10^2$	$2.92 \times 10^{1}$ to 8.31 × $10^{2}$				Yacob et al. (2017)
Selangor, Malay	ysia											
Putrajaya, Mala	aysia	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Selangor, Mala	ysia	N	۷ (T)	N	N	N	۷ 	N ( (T)	ν	V	N D (	Current study
Country	Surfac	e water (ng/	L)				1 reated wa	iter (ng/L)			- Kei.	
]	IBU	NAF	P KE	ET E	DIA	DIC	IBU	NAP F	KET DIA	DIC		
Sweden												
Canada												
South Africa	1.43 ×	10 <sup>2</sup>	6.1	$3 \times 10^{1}$		$2.59 \times 10^2$					Jurado, Va Pujades *Ground	ízquez-Suñé & (2021) Iwater
Spain							$3.90 \times 10^{1}$	$1.10 \times 10^{1}$		$1.80 \times 10^{1}$	Carmona, (2014)	Andreu & Picó
India												
												(Continued

Table 1 (c	ontinued)										
Country	Surface wate	r (ng/L)				Treated w	vater (ng/L	)			Ref.
	IBU	NAP	KET	DIA	DIC	IBU	NAP	KET	DIA	DIC	
Beijing, China									<LOQ to 1.22 $\times$ 10 <sup>0</sup>		Zhang et al. (2019)
United Kingdom				$8.00 \times 10^{-1}$							Baker & Kasprzyk-Hordern (2013)
Thailand	$4.90  imes 10^1$	$4.10 \times 10^1$			$1.90  imes 10^1$						Tewari et al. (2013)
Italy	$1.10 \times 10^{1}$ to $2.00 \times 10^{1}$	$1.20 \times 10^{1}$ to $2.20 \times 10^{1}$	$2.00 \times 10^{0}$ to $7.00 \times 10^{0}$		$5 \times 10^{0}$ to $5.50 \times 10^{1}$						Marchese et al. (2003)
Italy				$5.00 \times 10^{-1}$ to 1.20 × $10^{0}$							Zuccato et al. (2000)
Serbia								$1.60 \\ \times \\ 10^{1}$			Petrović et al. (2014)
France						n.d. to $6.00 \times 10^{-1}$	n.d. to 2.00 × $10^{-1}$			n.d. to 2.5 × 10 <sup>0</sup>	Togola & Budzinski (2008)
Algeria								$2.73$ $\times$ $10^2$			Kermia, Fouial-Djebbar & Trari (2016)
Johor, Malaysia											
Selangor, Malaysia			$1.10 \times 10^2$ to $1.90 \times 10^2$								Tahrim, Abdullah & Farina Abdul Aziz Abstract (2018)
Putrajaya, Malaysia										$6.46 \times 10^{0}$	Wee et al. (2020)
Selangor, Malaysia	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Current study

week 1 (day 1, 2, and 3); river water week 2 (day 1, 2, and 3); and tap water week 3 (day 1, 2, and 3)). The water receptors in the urban water cycle consisted of STP (influent and effluent), Langat River and housing water supply (treated water from a WTP within the same catchment), as shown in Fig. 1. The STP applied the treatment type of a sequencing batch reactor (SBR) that serves 150,000 population equivalents (PE). The water sampling procedure was carried out using amber glass bottles following the standard method (*APHA*, *1998*). The amber glass bottles (500 mL) were pre-cleaned with dish wash, rinsed with distilled water, and finally rinsed with methanol (MeOH), and dried in the oven for at least 2 h before the sampling activity. All the water samples were kept in a cool box, filtered (0.7-µm glass fibre filter (GF/F); Whatman, Maidstone, UK), adjusted to pH 3 using HCl (1 M), and stored in the cold room (4 °C). The samples were extracted within 48 h after sampling.

## **Chemicals and reagents**

The chemicals used were HPLC-grade MeOH (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Seoul, Korea), AR-grade ethyl acetate (EA) (Systerm, Shah Alam, Malaysia), and hydrochloric acid (HCl)





37% (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The ultra-pure water (UPW) with a resistivity of 18.2 M $\Omega$  cm was obtained from the Smart N system (Heal Force, Shanghai, China). High-purity (>98%) individual standards for the targeted compounds IBU, NAP, KET, and DIC were purchased from Alfa Aesar (UK) and DIA from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) (Table S1). The MSTFA reagent (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used for derivatization. The stock solutions were prepared by dissolving each standard compound in 100 mL of MeOH (1 mg/mL). Mix working solutions were prepared by spiking each standard compound in MeOH at the required final concentration to prepare the external standard. All of the standard and stock solutions were stored at 4 °C.

## Solid phase extraction (SPE) method

The SPE protocol was based on a previous method described by *Hanafiah et al.* (2022). The SPE cartridges were Oasis HLB (6 cc, 200 mg; Waters, Milford, MA, USA). The hydrophilic-lipophilic balance (HLB) cartridge was first conditioned using 3 mL EA, 3 mL MeOH and 3 mL UPW (adjusted to pH 3 using 1 M HCl). Then, 100 mL of the filtered samples were passed through the cartridge and rinsed with 10 mL of mixed MeOH and UPW with a ratio 40:60 (v/v). The cartridge was vacuum dried for 20 to 30 min and the targeted analyte was eluted with 10 mL of mixed EA and acetone in the ratio of 50:50 (v/v) in 10 mL vials. The sample was then gently dried under a stream of purified nitrogen gas to approximately 2 mL and re-dissolved by adding 100  $\mu$ L of EA. Finally, the samples were derivatized with 30  $\mu$ L of MSTFA and incubated at 80 °C for 30 min.

#### Standards, quality assurance, and calibration curves

The calibration curve for each of the targeted analytes was established on the basis of the external standard of concentration between 0.5 to 5 mg/L. The calibration curve shows satisfactory linearity with the correlation coefficient for IBU ( $R^2 = 0.9844$ ), NAP ( $R^2 = 0.9607$ ), KET ( $R^2 = 0.9889$ ), DIC ( $R^2 = 0.9992$ ) and DIA ( $R^2 = 0.9755$ ) (Table S2). The recovery experiments were based on 100 mL of the effluent samples pre-spike and post-spike (treated the same as the sample in the SPE method) with known concentrations for all of the target analytes. The percentage recovery was then calculated using Eq. (1).

$$RE (\%) = \frac{Area \ of \ Pre - spike \ Sample}{Area \ of \ Post - spike \ Sample} \times 100$$
(1)

The recovery of the targeted analyte in the extraction experiment was IBU (99.1%), NAP (97.7%), KET (138.2%), DIC (69.1%), and DIA (74.9%). The acceptable range for recovery was from 50% to 120%, and the coefficient of variation value was less than 20% (*Abd Manan et al., 2021*). The limit of detection (LOD) and the limit of quantification (LOQ) were calculated on the basis of 3.3 times and ten (10) times of the standard ratio deviation to the slope of the calibration curve ( $\sigma$ /s) accordingly (*Gupta, 2014*). The LOD values were  $4.337 \times 10^5$  ng/L for IBU,  $3.854 \times 10^5$  ng/L for NAP,  $6.754 \times 10^5$  ng/L for KET,  $3.54 \times 10^5$  ng/L for DIC, and  $6.865 \times 10^5$  ng/L for DIA. The LOQ values were  $1.314 \times 10^6$  ng/L for IBU,  $1.168 \times 10^6$  ng/L for NAP,  $2.046 \times 10^6$  ng/L for KET,  $1.072 \times 10^6$  ng/L for DIC, and  $2.080 \times 10^6$  ng/L for DIA. Initially, the calibration curves were presented in milligrams per litre (mg/L), and the back calculation result for the quantification of the analyte in the sample produced a reading in mg/L units. To standardise the discussion, all of the values were presented in units of nanograms per litre (ng/L).

#### Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry condition

The targeted analytes were analysed using GCMS Agilent 6890 N with the GC system connected to the MSD 5975 detector (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The setting of the purified helium gas (>99.99%) was set at a flow of 1.3 mL/min and the separation of the chromatogram was achieved using capillary column type HP-5 MS ( $30 \text{ m} \times 0.32 \text{ mm} \times 0.25 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ) (J.W. Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA). Then, 1  $\mu$ L of the sample was injected in the splitless mode at 250 °C by using the Agilent 7683B automatic liquid sampler injector. The GC oven temperature was set at an initial temperature of 70 °C for 2 min; the temperature was then increased to 280 °C at the rate of 15 °C/min and held for 2 min. The targeted analyte was identified using MS software NIST version 5.5 under the selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode.

#### Statistical analysis

A comparison between each group of NSAIDs found in the water cycle outcomes was performed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the SPSS statistical program. Pearson's correlation analysis was conducted to evaluate the relationship between the water cycle points. The values of the correlation coefficient obtained ranged from -1 (indication of a

negative linear correlation) to 0 (indication of no linear relationship) to +1 (indication of a positive linear correlation) (*Kim et al., 2021*).

#### Ecological risk assessment

Any drugs having n-octanol-water partition coefficient (log  $K_{ow}$ ) values of more than 4.5 should be monitored for their persistency (exist in prolonged period) and toxicity (*OSPAR*, 1992). These high log  $K_{ow}$  value drugs tend to bioaccumulate in organic matter such as soils or sediments (*ChemSafetyPro*, 2021). Although the log  $K_{ow}$  values for the drugs in this study (IBU 3.97, NAP 3.18, KET 2.66, DIA 2.85, and DIC 1.90) were less than 4.5, ecological risk assessment was used to estimate their risk to the aquatic ecosystem. The RQ calculation is shown in Eq. (2) (*European Medicines Agency*, 2006; *Bouissou-Schurtz et al.*, 2014; *Li et al.*, 2021).

$$RQ_{MEC} = \frac{MEC}{PNEC}$$
(2)

where MEC denoted the measured environmental concentration and PNEC represents the predicted no effect concentration.

The predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) values were estimated using the lowest acute toxicity data from the literature (50% effective concentration,  $EC_{50}$ ; or 50% lethal concentration,  $LC_{50}$ ) for the first trophic level (algae, invertebrates, plants, and fish) and applying an assessment factor of 1,000 (*European Commission, 2003; Amiard & Amiard-Triquet, 2015; Hanafiah et al., 2022*).

The RQMEC represents a real risk (*European Medicines Agency, 2006*). The RQ values of more than 1 indicate a high ecological risk, values of more than 0.1 and less than 1 (0.1 < RQ < 1) show a medium ecological risk, and values less than 0.1 (RQ < 0.1) show a low ecological risk to aquatic organisms (*European Commission, 2003*; *Huang et al., 2019*).

The EC and LC values are listed in the literatures (Table 2). The teratogenicity index (TI) was calculated using the quotient of  $LC_{50}$  and  $EC_{50}$  (Eq. (3)). Any TI value greater than 1 was an indication of the teratogenic effect, while any TI value below 1 represented that the substance produced embryo lethal effects (*Nordberg et al., 2009; Weigt et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2013*).

$$TI = \frac{LC_{50}}{EC_{50}} \tag{3}$$

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Environmental fate of pharmaceutical residue in urban water cycle

NSAIDs can be classified into two therapeutic groups: anti-inflammatory (IBU, NAP, KET, and DIC) and psycholeptics (DIA). Both of the groups have been listed in the top 50 utilised drugs in 2015 and 2016, according to MSOM 2015–2016. To provide an overview of the NSAID scenario, the results were simultaneously presented with the values of the toxicological dose descriptors and the risk quotient. The discussion started with the concentration of each NSAID element found in the urban water cycle and its comparison with the values obtained from other countries based on the extant literature. The

No. Aquatic posting and the sector form in the secondine mate in the sector form in the sector form in	Ta	ble 2 Toxic	cological (	dose de	escriptors	for IBU, NAP, KI	<b>3T, DIA, and DIC</b>	based	on different aquatic o	organisms (fish	ı, algae, crusta	iceans, and bacteria)	
organism         LG3         Species of aquatic apprilation         RC3         Species of aquatic apprilation         RC4         RC4 <thrc4< th="">         RC4         RC4</thrc4<>	No.	Aquatic	NSAIDs	Unit	Toxicolog	gical dose descriptors	ø				PNEC	Species of aquatic	Ref.
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		organisms			LC50	Species of aquatic organisms	Ref.	EC50	Species of aquatic organisms	Ref.		organisms	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Ι.	Fish	IBU	µg/L	8.06	Embryotoxicity on Danio rerio	Han et al. (2010)	2.85	Embryotoxicity on Danio rerio	Han et al. (2010)	1.4 (7 d)	Danio rerio for mortality	Huang et al. (2018)
KET         mg/L         61.1         Danio rerio         Prackona et al. (36.1)         1.1         Danio rerio         Catabiano         Ca			NAP	mg/L	52 (96 h)	Oncorhynchus mykiss	OECD (2019)	*	×		1.0	Fish early-life stage toxicity with <i>Pimephales</i> <i>promelas</i>	OECD (2013)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			KET	mg/L	6.11 (96 h)	Danio rerio	Praskova et al. (2011)	1.91	Embryotoxicity on Danio rerio	Ben Chabchoubi et al. (2022)	6.25 (9 d)	<i>Danio rerio</i> (hatch, mortality, growth)	Watanabe et al. (2016)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			DIA	mg/L	12.7 (96 h)	Gambusia holbrooki	Sanderson et al. (2003)	*	*		*	×	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			DIC	mg/L	6.11 (96 h)	Embryotoxicity on Danio rerio	Praskova et al. (2011)				0.32 (34 d)	<i>Danio rerio</i> for survival	dos Santos et al. (2021) <b>and</b> Fass.se (2022)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2.	Algae	IBU	mg/L	×	*		342.2 (3 d)	Desmodesmus subspicatus	Cleuvers (2004)	10 (3 d)	Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata	Huang et al. (2018) (μg/L)
KETmgL**0.24PseudokirchneriellaMenullo et (2018)DIAmgL16.5Tetraselnis chuitNunes, Carvalho**(2018)DICmgL16.5Tetraselnis chuitNunes, Carvalho**(2018)DICmgL1**72Desmodesmus(2009)3.CrustaceansBUµgL128,500Daphnia magnaHuang et al.11,500Daphnia magnaHuang et al.3.CrustaceansBUµgL128,500Daphnia magna(2018)(2,01)(2018)(2,00)3.CrustaceansBUµgL1100Gammarus pulesAstmZeneca174Daphnia magna(2013)NAPmgL1100Gammarus pules(2018)(2,48)Daphnia magna(2003)NAPmgL11062005)(48 h)Paphnia magna(2003)NangL112.3Artemia(2005)(48 h)Daphnia magna(2003)DIAmgL12.3Artemia(2005)(24)Paphnia magna(2003)DIAmgL11.6066(2018)(2018)(2018)(2019)DIAmgL11.2.3ArtemiaNunes, Carvalho14.1Daphnia magna(2021)DIAmgL12.3Artemia(2005)(48 h)Paphnia magna(2021)DIAmgL12.3Artemia(2005)(2,43)(2,43)(2,43)<			NAP	mg/L	×	*		39 (72 h)	Pseudokirchinella subcapitata,	OECD (2011)	6.2 (72 h)	Desmodesmus subspicatus,	OECD (2011)
DIA     mgL     16.5     Tetraselmis chui     Nunes, Carvelho     *     *       96.h)     (96.h)     (96.h)     *     *     *     *     *       DIC     mgL     *     *     72     Desmodesmus     (2003)       3.     Crustaceans     BU     µgL     128,500     Daphnia magna     Hang et al.     (2013)       3.     Crustaceans     BU     µgL     100     Ganmarus pulex     AstraZenecan     174     Daphnia magna     (2013)       3.     Crustaceans     BU     µgL     100     Ganmarus pulex     AstraZenecan     174     Daphnia magna     (2013)       KET     mgL     100     Ganmarus pulex     AstraZenecan     174     Daphnia magna     (2013)       KET     mgL     110     Ganmarus pulex     AstraZenecan     174     Daphnia magna     (2013)       KET     mgL     110     Ganmarus pulex     AstraZenecan     174     Daphnia magna     (2003)       KET     mgL     12.0     Nunes, Carvatho     (2013)     (48 h)     Daphnia magna     (2021)       DIA     mgL     12.2     Artemia     Nunes, Carvatho     (411     Daphnia magna     (2021)       DIC     mgL     12.			KET	mg/L	*	*	-	0.24 (96 h)	Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata	Mennillo et al. (2018)	9.94 (72 h)	Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata	Watanabe et al. (2016)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			DIA	mg/L	16.5 (96 h)	Tetraselmis chuii	Nunes, Carvalho & Guilhermino (2005)	*	*		1.387 (72 h)	Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata	Jacob et al. (2020)
3. Crustaceans IBU $\mu g/L$ 128,500 Daphnia magna Huang et al. 11,500 Daphnia magna Huang et al. (2018) (2 d) (2 d) (2 018) (2 d) (2			DIC	mg/L	*	*		72 (3 d)	Desmodesmus subspicatus	Cleuvers (2003)	10 (96 h)	Pseudokirchmeriella subcapitata	Ferrari et al. (2003)
NAPmg/L110Gammarus pulexAstraZeneca174Daphnia magnaCleuvers $(96 h)$ $(96 h)$ $(96 h)$ $(2005)$ $(48 h)$ $(2003)$ $(2003)$ KET $mg/L$ * $24.84$ Ceriodaphnia silvestriiLopes CaldaMoreira & Moreira $(2001)$ $(148 h)$ $parthenogeneticae^{i} Guilhermino(2021)DIAmg/L12.2ArtemiaNunes, Carvalho14.1Daphnia magnaCaleja,NovelliDIAmg/L12.2ArtemiaNunes, Carvalho14.1Daphnia magnaCaleja,(2021)DIAmg/L12.2ArtemiaNunes, Carvalho14.1Daphnia magnaCaleja,(1994)DICmg/L80.1Daphnia magnado Santos et al.22.43Daphnia magnaFerrari et al.DICmg/L80.1Daphnia magna(2021) and(203)(1994)DICmg/L80.1Daphnia magna(2021) and(203)(203)$	ς.	Crustaceans	IBU	µg/L	128,500 (2 d)	Daphnia magna	Huang et al. (2018)	11,500 (2 d)	Daphnia magna	Huang et al. (2018)	33,300 (21 d)	Daphnia magna, for mortality	Huang et al. (2018)
KET mg/L * * 24.84 Ceriodaphnia silvestrii Lopes Calda Novelia & Moreira &			NAP	mg/L	110 (96 h)	Gammarus pulex	AstraZeneca (2005)	174 (48 h)	Daphnia magna	Cleuvers (2003)	12 (96 h)	Gammarus pulex	AstraZeneca (2005)
DIA     mg/L     12.2     Artemia     Nunes, Carvalho     14.1     Daphnia magna     Calleja,       (48 h)     parthenogenetica     & Guilhermino     14.1     Daphnia magna     Calleja,       (48 h)     parthenogenetica     & Guilhermino     14.1     Daphnia magna     Persoone e       (1994)     (2005)     (2005)     (1994)     (1994)       DIC     mg/L     80.1     Daphnia magna     dos Santos et al.     22.43     Daphnia magna     Ferrari et al.       (1994)     (2021)     and     (2 d)     (2031)     (2003)			KET	mg/L	*	*		24.84	Ceriodaphnia silvestrii	Lopes Caldas, Moreira & Novelli (2021)	22.5 (6–8 d)	Ceriodaphnia dubia	Watanabe et al. (2016)
DIC mg/L 80.1 Daphnia magna dos Santos et al. 22.43 Daphnia magna Ferrari et al. $(2 d)$ $(2 d)$ $(2021)$ and $(2 d)$ $(2 d)$ $(2003)$ Han et al. $(2003)$			DIA	mg/L	12.2 (48 h)	Artemia parthenogenetica	Nunes, Carvalho & Guilhermino (2005)	14.1	Daphnia magna	Calleja, Persoone & Geladi (1994)	5.0	Mysidopsis juniae	Da Silva et al. (2020)
(0100)			DIC	mg/L	80.1 (2 d)	Daphnia magna	dos Santos et al. (2021) <b>and</b> Han et al. (2010)	22.43 (2 d)	Daphnia magna	Ferrari et al. (2003)	1 (7 d)	Ceriodaphnia dubia, for reproduction	Ferrari et al. (2003)

Table 2	(continued	<b>1</b> )								
No. Aqua	ttic NS4	AIDs Un	it Toxico	logical dose descriptors				PNEC	Species of aquatic	Ref.
orgai	nisms		LC50	Species of aquatic Ref. organisms	EC50	Species of aquatic organisms	Ref.		organisms	
4. Bacte	ria IBU	I	*	*	*	*		×	*	
	NA	P mg	/F *	*	12.3	Anabaena; flos-aquae	OECD (2011)	4.0	Anabaena flos- aquae	OECD (2011)
		ng/	* E	*	264	Microcosms (dominance of Alpha- and Gamma- Proteobacteria)	Grenni et al. (2013)	×	*	
	KEJ	Γ	*	*	*	*		*	*	
	DIA	-	*	*	*	*		*	*	
	DIC	0	*	*	*	*		*	*	
<b>Note:</b> Bold text:	: Complete li	ist from lit	teratures (L	C50, EC50, and PNEC); *: list not co	mpleted.					

Table 5	The concentration of NSAI	Ds (ng/L) and their toxic	cological dose	descriptors in	the urban wat	er cycle.	
NSAIDs	Urban water cycle	Concentration (ng/L)	Toxicologica	l dose descrip	tors	Risk quotient	Aquatic organisms
			RQ LC50	RQ EC50	TI		
IBU	Influent STP	$1.47 \times 10^4$	$1.82 \times 10^{0}$	$5.16 \times 10^{0}$	$3.54  imes 10^{-1}$	$1.05 \times 10^1$	Fish
	Effluent STP	$4.00 \times 10^{3}$	$4.96  imes 10^{-1}$	$1.40 \times 10^{0}$	$3.54  imes 10^{-1}$	$2.86 \times 10^{0}$	
	Surface water	$3.6 \times 10^{0}$	$4.47 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.26 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.54  imes 10^{-1}$	$3.00 \times 10^{-3}$	
	Treated water from WTP	$0.00 \times 10^0$	$0  imes 10^0$	$0  imes 10^{0}$	$0  imes 10^{0}$	$0 \times 10^{0}$	
NAP	Influent STP	$7.18 \times 10^4$	$6.53  imes 10^{-4}$	$4.13 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.58 \times 10^{0}$	$5.98 \times 10^{-3}$	Crustacean
	Effluent STP	$5.34 \times 10^4$	$4.85  imes 10^{-4}$	$3.07  imes 10^{-4}$	$1.58 \times 10^{0}$	$4.45 \times 10^{-3}$	
	Surface water	$3.06 \times 10^{1}$	$2.78 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.76 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.58 \times 10^{0}$	$2.55 \times 10^{-6}$	
	Treated water from WTP	$2.03 \times 10^{1}$	$1.85  imes 10^{-7}$	$1.17 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.58 \times 10^{0}$	$1.69 \times 10^{-6}$	
KET	Influent STP	$2.39 \times 10^4$	$3.92 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.25 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.13 \times 10^{-1}$	$3.83 \times 10^{-3}$	Fish
	Effluent STP	$9.90 \times 10^{3}$	$1.62 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.18 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.13 \times 10^1$	$1.58 \times 10^{-3}$	
	Surface water	$1.06 \times 10^{1}$	$1.73 \times 10^{-6}$	$5.55 \times 10^{-6}$	$3.13 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.70 \times 10^{-6}$	
	Treated water from WTP	$4.4  imes 10^{0}$	$0  imes 10^{0}$	$0  imes 10^{0}$	$0  imes 10^{0}$	$0 \times 10^{0}$	
DIA	Influent STP	$5.87 \times 10^{3}$	$4.81  imes 10^{-4}$	$4.16  imes 10^{-4}$	$1.16 \times 10^0$	$1.17 \times 10^{-3}$	Crustacean
	Effluent STP	$4.50 \times 10^{2}$	$3.69 \times 10^{-5}$	$3.19 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.16 \times 10^{0}$	$4.50  imes 10^{-4}$	
	Surface water	$0.00 \times 10^{0}$	$0  imes 10^{0}$	$0  imes 10^{0}$	$0  imes 10^{0}$	$0 \times 10^{0}$	
	Treated water from WTP	$0.00 \times 10^0$	$0  imes 10^{0}$	$0  imes 10^{0}$	$0  imes 10^{0}$	$0 \times 10^{0}$	
DIC	Influent STP	$1.94 \times 10^4$	$2.42 \times 10^{-4}$	$8.65  imes 10^{-4}$	$2.80 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.94 \times 10^{-2}$	Crustacean
	Effluent STP	$5.93 \times 10^{3}$	$7.40  imes 10^{-5}$	$2.64  imes 10^{-4}$	$2.80 \times 10^{-1}$	$5.93 \times 10^{-3}$	
	Surface water	$1.49 \times 10^1$	$1.85 \times 10^{-7}$	$6.62 \times 10^{-7}$	$2.80  imes 10^{-1}$	$1.49 \times 10^{-5}$	
	Treated water from WTP	$0.00 \times 10^{0}$	$0  imes 10^{0}$	$0  imes 10^{0}$	$0  imes 10^{0}$	$0  imes 10^{0}$	

Table 3 The concentration of NSAIDs (ng/L) and their toxicological dose descriptors in the urban water cycle.

concentration of NSAIDs (ng/L) and their toxicological dose descriptors in the urban water cycle are listed in Table 3. The visual comparison of NSAIDs in the current study to other countries (as listed in Table S3) in the urban water cycle is shown in Fig. 2.

The sources of pharmaceuticals in the water cycle can be considered diverse because of their contribution from pharmaceutical industries, hospitals, direct disposal from remaining medicine, aquaculture, and agriculture. Wastewater from the STPs can be considered one of the primary sources as they are the centre of the water collection from the community. The load of NSAIDs in the STPs varies depending on the treatment plant's efficiency (*Singh et al., 2019*). The current study detected the IBU in the influent, effluent, and river water but not in the treated water. Although 90% of the IBU would be metabolised in the human body after 24 h upon oral administration, approximately 1% to 12% of the drug will be excreted as the parent compound and major metabolites (carboxy-ibuprofen and 2-hydroxy-ibuprofen) in urine and could accumulate in the plant as high consumption from the community (*Mazaleuskaya et al., 2015; Chopra & Kumar, 2020; Escolà Casas et al., 2021*).

The IBU concentrations in the influent were consistently detected in Sweden, Canada, and Spain (range  $6.1 \times 10^3$  to  $4.50 \times 10^4$  ng/L), with the highest detected in South Africa ( $6.90 \times 10^4$  ng/L), and Johor reported the lowest concentration ( $1.03 \times 10^2$  to  $7.69 \times 10^2$  ng/L).



Concentration (ng/L)



The IBU concentration in the effluent of the current study was consistent with that of Canada and South Africa  $(1.60 \times 10^1 \text{ to } 4.7 \times 10^3 \text{ ng/L})$  and slightly higher than those detected in Sweden and Johor  $(2.03 \times 10^2 \text{ to } 5.20 \times 10^2 \text{ ng/L})$ . The current study's concentration in the river water was the lowest compared to those reported in Spain, Thailand, and Italy, ranging between  $1.10 \times 10^1$  and  $1.43 \times 10^2$  ng/L. The IBU was not detected in the treated water in the current study, unlike those reported in Spain and France  $(6.00 \times 10^{-1} \text{ to } 3.90 \times 10^1 \text{ ng/L})$ .

Two NSAIDs (NAP and KET) were present in all of the water samples in the water cycle. The NAP concentration in the influent and the effluent was the highest as compared to the others reported in the literature, Johor, Sweden, Canada, South Africa, and Spain, in the range of  $1.24 \times 10^2$  to  $3.75 \times 10^4$  ng/L, and  $0.292 \times 10^1$  to  $3.5 \times 10^3$  ng/L of the influent and the effluent, respectively. The presence of NAP in the river water was comparable to that in Thailand, Serbia, and Italy, ranging from  $2.20 \times 10^1$  ng/L to  $7.42 \times 10^1$  ng/L. In the treated water, the current study reported the highest concentration as compared to Spain and France in the range of  $1.10 \times 10^1$  to  $2.00 \times 10^{-1}$  ng/L. The parent compound excretion of NAP after oral consumption was approximately 0.6% to 15% through bile and urine (*Escolà Casas et al., 2021*). The presence of NAP in the water sample (river water) was an indication of the commonly used drug in the community and its persistence in the treated water.

Comparable to NAP, the KET was the highest observed in both the influent and the effluent among the values reported in the literature, Sweden, and Spain, in the range  $2.50 \times 10^2$  to  $1.35 \times 10^3$  ng/L and not detected to the  $4.80 \times 10^2$  ng/L level, respectively.

Even so, in the case of the river water, the concentration observed present study was comparable to that in Italy  $(2 \times 10^{0} \text{ to } 7 \times 10^{0} \text{ ng/L})$ , and lower than that in Spain and Selangor  $(6.13 \times 10^{1} \text{ to } 1.90 \times 10^{2} \text{ ng/L})$ . The presence of KET in the treated water was lower than that in Serbia and Algeria  $(1.6 \times 10^{1} \text{ to } 2.43 \times 10^{2} \text{ ng/L})$ . The KET was among the most frequently prescribed analgesic in Malaysian public hospitals from 2010 to 2016 (*Zin et al., 2018*). The KET also has 80% excretion (*Kermia, Fouial-Djebbar & Trari, 2016*), making it easy to detect at high concentrations in the water bodies.

The DIA in the current study was detected in both the influent and the effluent STP, and the river water but not in the treated water. The concentration of DIA in the influent and the effluent STP was higher than that in other countries such as India, Beijing, and the United Kingdom, with their concentration ranging from  $1.9 \times 10^{0}$  to  $2.50 \times 10^{1}$  ng/L, and  $0.50 \times 10^{1}$  to  $3.60 \times 10^{1}$  ng/L, respectively. The DIA concentration in the river water presented in the current study was low as compared to that in the United Kingdom and Italy  $(5.00 \times 10^{-1} \text{ to } 1.2 \times 10^{0} \text{ ng/L})$ . The DIA in treated water was not detected in the current study but was reported in Beijing  $(1.22 \times 10^{0} \text{ ng/L})$  and Italy  $(2.00 \times 10^{-1} \text{ ng/L})$  at low concentrations. In 2016, DIA was reported to be the highest prescribed (in the private and public sectors) for anxiety disorder, and the trend increased from 0.2366 to 0.2903 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day. In all, 6.4% to 9.0% of the DIA would be excreted through metabolic activities in the human body (*Wang et al., 2017*).

The DIC concentrations were present in the influent, effluent, and river water and not in treated water. The DIC concentration presented in influent and effluent of the current study was comparable to South Africa (influent:  $1.60 \times 10^4$  ng/L, effluent:  $1.4 \times 10^3$  ng/L), and lower concentration was detected in Sweden and Spain (influent:  $7.40 \times 10^2$  to  $3.60 \times 10^1$  ng/L, effluent:  $4.00 \times 10^1$  ng/L to  $6.20 \times 10^2$  ng/L). The DIC concentration in the river water presented in the current study was lower than that in Spain, Thailand, and Italy  $(5.0 \times 10^0$  to  $2.59 \times 10^2$  ng/L). Although DIC was not detected in the treated water of the current study, the drugs were reported in the treated water from France, Spain, and Putrajaya, Malaysia, in low concentrations between  $2.50 \times 10^0$  to  $1.80 \times 10^1$  ng/L. In all, 15% DIC would be excreted through the metabolic activities in the human body (*Alder et al., 2006*).

The compound's concentration in the influent was higher than in the effluent, showing that the compound reduced from 99.97% up to 100% during the treatment process from the influent to the treated water stage. The IBU, DIA, and DIC achieved 100% removal, while 99.97% and 99.98% for NAP and KET, respectively. Although the percentage differences of NSAIDs achieved 99.97% to 100% of removal, the remaining concentrations of NSAIDs in the treated water were still significant at the nanograms per litre scale for NAP (20.33 ng/L), and KET (4.4 ng/L). The 100% removal for IBU, DIA, and DIC was considered complete removal on the basis of the "not detected" status. However, the IBU, DIA, and DIC could undergo a multiset of degradation (*e.g.*, decarboxylation, hydroxylation, and oxidation) during the treatment in WTP, forming ranges of chlorinated disinfection by-products (*Wang et al., 2014*; *Huang et al., 2021*) and these parent compounds were not detected in the original form.

A similar reduction pattern was reported in Thailand (*Tewari et al., 2013*), South Africa (*Madikizela & Chimuka, 2017*), India (*Subedi & Kannan, 2015*), and Spain (*Carmona, Andreu & Picó, 2014*). In Thailand, 13% to 95% of IBU, NAP, and DIC were removed from five STPs in Bangkok, Thailand (*Tewari et al., 2013*). South Africa also showed a high percentage removal of IBU, NAP, and DIC (92% to 97%) (*Madikizela & Chimuka, 2017*). In India, the negative removal of DIA was reported from an STP-type conventional aerobic biological treatment, while 95% of DIA was removed from STP-type up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor (UASBR) (*Subedi & Kannan, 2015*). Overall, different types of the biological treatment processes have different efficiencies of NSAID removal (*Carmona, Andreu & Picó, 2014*).

#### Statistical analysis

The ANOVA analysis of NSAIDs in the urban water cycle was based on three (3) models: influent *vs* effluent, effluent *vs* river water, and river water *vs* treated water (as shown in Table S4). ANOVA is used to determine the nature of the relationship between one dependent variable (y-axis) and a series of independent variables (x-axis). H<sub>0</sub> indicates that the population means are equal, showing no difference observed. However, the differences between groups are statistically significant at  $\alpha$  less than 0.05. The ANOVA coefficients (significant F change) were 0.001 (influent *vs* effluent), 0.026 (effluent *vs* river water), and 0.044 (river water *vs* treated water).

The steadily increasing trends of prescription NSAIDs to patients in Malaysia (Zin et al., 2018) have led to a high concentration detection in the influent. Every reaction consists of substrates (introduced contaminants in the urban water cycle such as NSAIDs) and products (by-products due to chemical, physical, and biological degradation). The NSAID concentration in the influent vs effluent model was significantly different, showing that the STP treatment processes achieved a certain efficiency level for NSAID removal. The typical layout of the STP treatment process consists of a preliminary treatment (screens and grit removal), primary clarifier (solids removal through gravitational settling), biological treatment (aeration tank that will biodegrade high energy molecules with the help of micro-organisms such as bacteria, fungus, rotifers, and protozoa), a secondary clarifier (removal of nitrogen via nitrification and denitrification; and phosphorus via precipitation), and anaerobic treatment of the sludge (biochemical process mediated by specialised microorganisms via hydrolysis, fermentation, and methanogenesis) (Mihelcic, Zimmerman & Zhang, 2002). The primary treatment removes 60% of the suspended solids, 30% of the biochemical oxygen demand, and 20% of the phosphorus. Furthermore, the IBU, NAP, KET, DIA, and DIC showed 72.8%, 25.6%, 58.6%, 92.3% and 69.4% removal accordingly after the treatment processes in the STP.

Next, the NSAID concentration in the effluent *vs* river water model noted a significant difference because of the aqueous dilution from the effluent in the open aquatic ecosystem (Langat River), as well as the reclamation process by the physical factor (irradiation from sunlight) and biodiversity of the aquatic organisms such as plants, fish, fungi, bacteria (*Chopra & Kumar, 2020*), and microcosm (*Grenni et al., 2013*) in the surrounding ecosystem (*Malakahmad, Abd Manan & Sivapalan, 2015*).

The NSAID concentration in the river water *vs* treated water model also showed a statistically significant difference indicating that the treatment processes in the WTP had reduced the initial concentration in the river water (before treatment). The treatment stages of WTP consisted of (1) collection (influent, raw water); (2) screening of particulate material; (3) pH control (addition of 98% of lime soda ash, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) and disinfection (chlorination using free chlorine, combined chlorine or chlorine dioxide); (4) coagulation (addition of coagulants such as aluminium sulphate), flocculation from rapid mix and sedimentation; (5) granular filtration (sand filter bed, to remove pathogens such as *Gardia* and *Cryptosporidium*); (6) disinfection (chlorination); (7) clear-well storage; and (8) distribution network system (effluent and treated water) (*Mihelcic, Zimmerman & Zhang, 2002*). The problem arose from stage 3 to 6 of the chlorinated by-products of the contaminants.

The NSAIDs consist of benzene rings (IBU: 1 ring, NAP: 2 rings, KET: 2 rings, DIA: 3 rings, and DIC: 2 rings) (National Library of Medicine, 2022), making them hard to degrad via a conventional treatment process in an STP (Arany et al., 2013). The IBU is easily degraded because it has only one benzene ring. It is susceptible to oxidation and photo-oxidation processes. It can produce 13 degradation products, including seven newly reported compounds, namely hydrotropic acid, 4-ethylbenzaldehyde, 4-(1-carboxyethyl) benzoic acid, 1-(4-isobutylphenyl)-1-ethanol, 2-(4-(1-hydroxy-2-methylpropyl)phenyl) propanoic acid, 1-isobutyl-4-vinylbenzene, and 4-isobutylphenol (*Caviglioli et al., 2002*). The NAP can only be metabolized via photolysis or an advanced oxidation process producing two primary photolysis by-products, namely 1-(6-methoxy-2-naphthyl) ethanol (NAP-PT1) and 2-acetyl-6-methoxynaphthalene (NAP-PT2) (Cory et al., 2019). The KET can be mineralized *via* electro-oxidation, producing metabolites such as 3-hydroxybenzoic acid, pyrogallol, catechol, benzophenone, benzoic acid, and hydroquinone (Feng et al., 2014). The DIA consists of six transformation pathways for its complete degradation producing 70 by-products (Yang et al., 2020). Yang et al. (2020) reported that UV/chlorine (62.1% removal in 90 min) and sunlight/chlorine (53.1% removal in 90 min) processes could assist in completing the degradation of DIA at a faster rate assisted by the reactive species of hydroxyl radicals. The transformation by-products were less toxic than the parent compound (Yang et al., 2020). The DIC could be rapidly degraded by direct photolysis under normal environmental conditions or other advanced oxidation processes, producing 18 intermediates at different pathways (Pérez-Estrada et al., 2005).

The exposure to direct sunlight in the open surface water body explained the almost complete degradation of NSAIDs in the river water (Langat River) and the treated water of WTP. The river water was still contaminated with NSAIDs at the nanoscale (IBU:  $3.6 \times 10^{0}$  ng/L, NAP:  $3.06 \times 10^{1}$  ng/L, KET:  $1.06 \times 10^{1}$  ng/L, DIA:  $0.00 \times 10^{0*}$  ng/L (not detected), and DIC:  $1.49 \times 10^{1}$  ng/L). The IBU, DIA, and DIC were not detected in the treated water. However, the NAP ( $2.03 \times 10^{1}$  ng/L) and KET ( $4.4 \times 10^{0}$  ng/L), still existed at low concentrations in the treated water. In addition, there is still a probability of their metabolite existence in both water receptors (river water and treated water) (*Arany et al., 2013; Cory et al., 2019*).



Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.14719/fig-3

Pearson's correlation on NSAIDs in the urban water cycle is shown in Table S5. In descending sequence, the positive linear correlations were observed on influent *vs* effluent (0.994), effluent *vs* treated water (0.992), influent *vs* treated water (0.982), influent *vs* river water (0.950), effluent *vs* river water (0.921), and river water *vs* treated water (0.888). The results showed that good predictions of NSAIDs in the urban water cycle could be made by pairing variables accordingly. Further spatial-temporal analysis is highly recommended.

## **Ecological risk assessment**

The teratogenic index (TI) of NSAIDs in the urban water cycle is shown in Fig. 3. A teratogen is any agent that can cause malformation or abnormality in foetal development during pregnancy. Teratogens are usually discovered after an increased prevalence of a particular congenital disability (*District of Columbia Department of Health, 2010*). The TI values can estimate the risk of teratogenic effects of contaminants on embryo development. The TI values presented in the current study were based on the risk quotient of  $LC_{50}$  over the risk quotient of  $EC_{50}$  (Eq. (3)) from aquatic organisms (fish, algae, crustaceans, and bacteria). The complete sets of risk quotient  $LC_{50}$  and  $EC_{50}$  achieved for NSAIDs (IBU, NAP, KET, DIA, and DIC) under study were from fish and crustaceans as summarised in Table 2.

In general, TI values of more than 1 indicate a teratogenic effect, while TI values of less than 1 indicate a lethal embryo effect. The TI values for NAP (influent STP:  $1.58 \times 10^{\circ}$ , effluent STP:  $1.58 \times 10^{\circ}$ , river water:  $1.58 \times 10^{\circ}$ , and treated water:  $1.58 \times 10^{\circ}$ ), and DIA (influent STP:  $1.16 \times 10^{\circ}$ , and effluent STP:  $1.16 \times 10^{\circ}$ ) in the urban water cycle were more



Figure 4 Risk quotient (RQ) of NSAIDs in the urban water cycle. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.14719/fig-4

than 1, indicating the possibilities of a teratogenic effect. The TI values for DIA in the surface and treated water samples were  $0 \times 10^{0}$  (not detected concentration of DIA). The other NSAIDs (IBU, KET, and DIC) had less than 1, indicating the possibilities of lethal embryo effects. The ranges of NAP and DIA concentrations recorded in the current study could induce an adverse effect on foetal (prenatal or embryonic period) development.

*Lee et al.* (2013) reported the TI values according to the pregnancy category by the United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) based on the effect of antileptic drugs in the *Danio rerio* embryo model (*Lee et al.*, 2013). There are five US FDA pregnancy categories, namely categories A, B, C, D and X (*Fisher et al.*, 1999). These categories are in ascending order based on the severity of toxicity effects of contaminants on embryo development during human pregnancy. Overall, the TI values of NAP and DIA can be classified as Human Pregnancy Category C (2.1 > TI ≥ 0.76).

The *Danio rerio* embryo development is close to the embryogenesis of higher vertebrates, including humans, making it ideal for studying the ecotoxicity of natural and synthetic contaminant(s) on embryonic development (*Weigt et al., 2011*). The types of lethal effects observed on the *Danio rerio* embryo model tested by *Weigt et al. (2011)* were coagulated egg (with no clear organ structures recognized) and no heartbeat. The types of teratogenic effects observed on the same model were malformation on the head, eyes, sacculi/otoliths (formation of no, one or more than two otoliths per sacculus as well as absence or abnormally shaped sacculi or vesicles), chords (entail malformation of the spinal cord), tail (malformation of the tail was assessed when the tail was bent), tail tip (it was assessed when the spike was bent or twisted), scoliosis, deformity of yolk, and growth retardation (it was assessed at three dpf (days post fertilisation) when the embryo had a body length below 2.8 mm) (*Weigt et al., 2011*).

The risk quotient (RQ) of NSAIDs in the urban water cycle is shown in Fig. 4. The classifications of RQ are high (RQ > 1.0), medium (0.1 < RQ < 1.0), and low risk (RQ < 1). The RQ values obtained from the NSAIDs concentrations in the current study could be categorised as high and low risk. The high risk was from IBU concentration in influent STP (RQ:  $1.05 \times 10^{1}$ ) and the effluent STP (RQ:  $2.86 \times 10^{0}$ ), while the rest of the IBU (river water and treated water) and NAP, KET, DIA, and DIC could be categorised as low risk (RQ: ranging from  $0 \times 10^{0}$  to  $1.90 \times 10^{-2}$ ). Overall, the RQ indicated of a general ecological risk assessment (high and low risk) towards selected aquatic organisms. It does not give a detailed insight or explanation of the risk of contamination in aqueous environments when detailed as TI.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

The environmental fate of pharmaceutical residue in the urban water cycle was determined by the high concentration of NSAIDs in the influent STP ranging from  $5.87 \times 10^3$  to  $7.18 \times 10^4$  ng/L, showing that the primary source of contaminants comes from the sewage of urban areas. The percentage of NSAIDs in the urban water cycle gradually decreased, but river water and treated water was still contaminated with NSAIDs at the nanoscale ranging between  $3.6 \times 10^0$  and  $3.06 \times 10^1$  ng/L. The STPs could reduce the compounds from 25.6% to 92.3%. Positive linear correlations were observed in the influent *vs* effluent (0.994), effluent *vs* treated water (0.992), influent *vs* treated water (0.982), influent *vs* surface water (0.950), effluent *vs* surface water (0.921), and surface water *vs* treated water (0.888) models. Thus, good predictions on NSAIDs in the urban water cycle could be made on the basis of these models. This study illustrated the persistence of pharmaceutical products in the water processing cycle in reaching the community. Based on the degradation pathways of NSAIDs, there were possibilities of the metabolite presence in both surface and treated water. The actual effect on human health through foetal development and environmental risk could also pose a risk to human health, livestock production, and plantation. TI values for NAP and DIA were found to be higher than 1, giving the teratogenic effect, while RQ for IBU in the influent and the effluent of the STP was more than 1, classified as a high ecological risk.

The pathway of the pharmaceuticals from the STP to the treated water was significantly different, showing the ability of the treatment process in the STP to reduce certain pharmaceuticals from the domestic process through the current process up to a certain level and discharge them into the surface water. The dilution factor in the surface water and the river reclamation process reduced the concentration of pharmaceuticals in nature. However, but the TI and RQ assessments showed significant toxicity impacts based on NAP (STP influent and effluent, river water, and treated water) and DIA (STP influent and effluent) as TI > 1; IBU (STP influent and effluent) as RQ > 1 on the humans and environment. A prediction of the selected pharmaceuticals in the water cycle could be made on the basis of real-time quantification data for the occurrence of pharmaceutical residue in the water cycle. Therefore, it is essential to constantly monitor high-risk pharmaceuticals in the environment to protect human health, aquatic diversity, and the environment's water health.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Mrs. Nor Azura Abdullah from the Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, UKM for her technical assistance in completing the laboratory work.

# **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

#### Funding

The work was funded by Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia through DPK-2020-002. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

## **Grant Disclosures**

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia: DPK-2020-002.

## **Competing Interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### **Author Contributions**

- Zarimah Mohd Hanafiah conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Wan Hanna Melini Wan Mohtar conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.

- Teh Sabariah Abd Manan conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Nur Aina Bachi performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Nurfaizah Abu Tahrim analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Haris Hafizal Abd Hamid analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Abdulnoor Ghanim analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Amirrudin Ahmad conceived and designed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Nadiah Wan Rasdi performed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Hamidi Abdul Aziz analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, proofread the article, and approved the final draft.

## **Data Availability**

The following information was supplied regarding data availability: Raw data is available in the Supplemental Files.

### **Supplemental Information**

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.14719#supplemental-information.

# REFERENCES

- Abd Manan TSB, Beddu S, Mohamad D, Mohd Kamal NL, Wan Mohtar WHM, Khan T, Jusoh H, Sarwono A, Ali MM, Che Muda Z, Mohamed Nazri F, Isa MH, Ghanim AAJ, Ahmad A, Wan Rasdi N, Basri NAN. 2021. Physicochemical and leaching properties of coal ashes from Malaysian coal power plant. *Chemical Physics Letters* **769(13)**:138420 DOI 10.1016/j.cplett.2021.138420.
- Al Aukidy M, Verlicchi P, Voulvoulis N. 2014. A framework for the assessment of the environmental risk posed by pharmaceuticals originating from hospital effluents. *Science of the Total Environment* **493(4)**:54–64 DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.05.128.
- Alder AC, Bruchet A, Carballa M, Clara M, Joss A, Löffler D, McArdell CS, Miksch K, Omil F, Tuhkanen T, Ternes TA. 2006. Consumption and occurrence. In: Ternes TA, Joss A, eds. Human Pharmaceuticals, Hormones and Fragrances. The Challenge of Micropollutants in Urban Water Management. London, UK: IWA Publishing, 15–54.
- Amiard JC, Amiard-Triquet C. 2015. Conventional risk assessment of environmental contaminants. In: Aquatic Ecotoxicology: Advancing Tools for Dealing with Emerging Risks. Amsterdam: Elsevier Inc, 25–49.
- **APHA AW. 1998.** Standard methods for the examination of water, sewage and industrial waste. Washington, D.C.: American Public Health Association.

- Arany E, Szabó RK, Apáti L, Alapi T, Ilisz I, Mazellier P, Dombi A, Gajda-Schrantz K. 2013. Degradation of naproxen by UV, VUV photolysis and their combination. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 262:151–157 DOI 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.08.003.
- Archer E, Petrie B, Kasprzyk-Hordern B, Wolfaardt GM. 2017. The fate of pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs), endocrine disrupting contaminants (EDCs), metabolites and illicit drugs in a WWTW and environmental waters. *Chemosphere* 174:437–446 DOI 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.01.101.
- AstraZeneca. 2005. Naproxen acid: acute toxicity to *Gammarus pulex* (Report BL8099). United Kingdom.
- **Baker DR, Kasprzyk-Hordern B. 2013.** Spatial and temporal occurrence of pharmaceuticals and illicit drugs in the aqueous environment and during wastewater treatment: new developments. *Science of the Total Environment* **454–455(44)**:442–456 DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.03.043.
- Ben Chabchoubi I, Attya R, Louhichi N, Baanannou A, Masmoudi S, Hentati O. 2022. Environmental risk assessment of diclofenac, ibuprofen, ketoprofen and paracetamol and their toxic effects in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) embryos. SSRN Electronic Journal DOI 10.2139/ssrn.4098428.
- Bouissou-Schurtz C, Houeto P, Guerbet M, Bachelot M, Casellas C, Mauclaire AC, Panetier P, Delval C, Masset D. 2014. Ecological risk assessment of the presence of pharmaceutical residues in a French national water survey. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology* **69**(3):296–303 DOI 10.1016/j.yrtph.2014.04.006.
- Brutzkus JC, Shahrokhi M, Varacallo M. 2022. Naproxen. In: Hall D, Bolinske T, Sinatra E, eds. *The Essence of Analgesia and Analgesics: Naproxen*. Tampa: StatPearls Publishing, 221–225.
- **Calleja MC, Persoone G, Geladi P. 1994.** Comparative acute toxicity of the first 50 multicentre evaluation of in vitro cytotoxicity chemicals to aquatic non-vertebrates. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* **26**(1):69–78 DOI 10.1007/BF00212796.
- Carmona E, Andreu V, Picó Y. 2014. Occurrence of acidic pharmaceuticals and personal care products in Turia River Basin: from waste to drinking water. *Science of the Total Environment* 484:53–63 DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.02.085.
- Caviglioli G, Valeria P, Brunella P, Sergio C, Attilia A, Gaetano B. 2002. Identification of degradation products of ibuprofen arising from oxidative and thermal treatments. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis* 30(3):499–509 DOI 10.1016/S0731-7085(02)00400-4.
- Celino-Brady FT, Lerner DT, Seale AP. 2021. Experimental approaches for characterizing the endocrine-disrupting effects of environmental chemicals in fish. *Frontiers in Endocrinology* 11:1170 DOI 10.3389/fendo.2020.619361.
- ChemSafetyPro. 2021. n-octanol/water partition coefficient (Kow/logKow). Available at https://www.chemsafetypro.com/Topics/CRA/n\_Octanol\_Water\_Partition\_Coefficient\_Kow.html (accessed 07 June 2021).
- Chopra S, Kumar D. 2020. Ibuprofen as an emerging organic contaminant in environment, distribution and remediation. *Heliyon* 6(6):e04087 DOI 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04087.
- Cleuvers M. 2003. Aquatic ecotoxicity of pharmaceuticals including the assessment of combination effects. *Toxicology Letters* 142(3):185–194 DOI 10.1016/S0378-4274(03)00068-7.
- **Cleuvers M. 2004.** Mixture toxicity of the anti-inflammatory drugs diclofenac, ibuprofen, naproxen, and acetylsalicylic acid. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* **59(3)**:309–315 DOI 10.1016/S0147-6513(03)00141-6.
- **Cory WC, Welch AM, Ramirez JN, Rein LC. 2019.** Naproxen and its phototransformation products: persistence and ecotoxicity to toad tadpoles (*Anaxyrus terrestris*), individually and in mixtures. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **38(9)**:2008–2019 DOI 10.1002/etc.4514.

- **Da Silva AQ, Nilin J, Loureiro S, Costa-Lotufo LV. 2020.** Acute and chronic toxicity of the benzodiazepine diazepam to the tropical crustacean *Mysidopsis juniae. Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências* **92(1)**:20180595 DOI 10.1590/0001-3765202020180595.
- Del Pozo JL, Patel R. 2007. The challenge of treating biofilm-associated bacterial infections. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics* 82(2):204–209 DOI 10.1038/sj.clpt.6100247.
- **District of Columbia Department of Health. 2010.** Understanding genetics: a district of Columbia guide for patients and health professionals. In: *Genetic Alliance*. Washington, D.C.: District of Columbia Department of Health.
- dos Santos CR, Arcanjo GS, de Souza Santos LV, Koch K, Amaral MCS. 2021. Aquatic concentration and risk assessment of pharmaceutically active compounds in the environment. *Environmental Pollution* 290(4):118049 DOI 10.1016/j.envpol.2021.118049.
- Ebele AJ, Abou-Elwafa Abdallah M, Harrad S. 2017. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) in the freshwater aquatic environment. *Emerging Contaminants* 3(1):1–16 DOI 10.1016/j.emcon.2016.12.004.
- Escolà Casas M, Schröter NS, Zammit I, Castaño-Trias M, Rodriguez-Mozaz S, Gago-Ferrero P, Corominas L. 2021. Showcasing the potential of wastewater-based epidemiology to track pharmaceuticals consumption in cities: comparison against prescription data collected at fine spatial resolution. *Environment International* 150(1):106404 DOI 10.1016/j.envint.2021.106404.
- **European Commission. 2003.** Technical guidance document on risk assessment. Italy. *Available at https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/212940b8-3e55-43f8-8448-ba258d0374bb.*
- **European Medicines Agency. 2006.** Guideline on the environmental risk assessment of medicinal products for human use. London, United Kingdom. *Available at https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/guideline-environmental-risk-assessment-medicinal-products-human-use-first-version\_en.pdf.*
- **Fass.se. 2022.** FASS vårdpersonal startsida. *Available at https://www.fass.se/LIF/startpage? userType=0* (accessed 07 June 2022).
- Feng L, Oturan N, van Hullebusch ED, Esposito G, Oturan MA. 2014. Degradation of anti-inflammatory drug ketoprofen by electro-oxidation: comparison of electro-Fenton and anodic oxidation processes. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 21(14):8406–8416 DOI 10.1007/s11356-014-2774-2.
- Ferrari B, Paxéus N, Giudice RL, Pollio A, Garric J. 2003. Ecotoxicological impact of pharmaceuticals found in treated wastewaters: study of carbamazepine, clofibric acid, and diclofenac. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 55(3):359–370 DOI 10.1016/S0147-6513(02)00082-9.
- Fisher JS, Turner KJ, Brown D, Sharpe RM. 1999. Effect of neonatal exposure to estrogenic compounds on development of the excurrent ducts of the rat testis through puberty to adulthood. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 107(5):397–405 DOI 10.1289/ehp.99107397.
- **Fröhlich AC, Foletto EL, Dotto GL. 2019.** Preparation and characterization of NiFe2O4/activated carbon composite as potential magnetic adsorbent for removal of ibuprofen and ketoprofen pharmaceuticals from aqueous solutions. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **229**:828–837 DOI 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.037.
- Gracia-Lor E, Castiglioni S, Bade R, Been F, Castrignanò E, González-Mariño I, Hapeshi E, Kasprzyk-Hordern B, Kinyua J, Yin Lai F, Letzel T, Lopardo L, Meyer MR, Ramin P, Rousis NI, Rydevik A, Ryu Y, Santos MM, Senta I, Veloutsou S, Yang Z, Zuccato E, Bijlsma L. 2017. Measuring biomarkers in wastewater as a new source of epidemiological

information: current state and future perspectives. *Environment International* **99**:131–150 DOI 10.1016/j.envint.2016.12.016.

- Grenni P, Patrolecco L, Ademollo N, Tolomei A, Barra Caracciolo A. 2013. Degradation of Gemfibrozil and Naproxen in a river water ecosystem. *Microchemical Journal* 107:158–164 DOI 10.1016/j.microc.2012.06.008.
- **Guerra P, Kim M, Shah A, Alaee M, Smyth SA. 2014.** Occurrence and fate of antibiotic, analgesic/anti-inflammatory, and antifungal compounds in five wastewater treatment processes. *Science of the Total Environment* **473–474**:235–243 DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.12.008.
- Gupta PC. 2014. Method validation of analytical procedures. *PharmaTutor* 3(1):32–39.
- Guruge KS, Goswami P, Tanoue R, Nomiyama K, Wijesekara RGS, Dharmaratne TS. 2019. First nationwide investigation and environmental risk assessment of 72 pharmaceuticals and personal care products from Sri Lankan surface waterways. *Science of the Total Environment* 690(4):683–695 DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.042.
- Han S, Choi K, Kim J, Ji K, Kim S, Ahn B, Yun J, Choi K, Khim JS, Zhang X, Giesy JP. 2010. Endocrine disruption and consequences of chronic exposure to ibuprofen in Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) and freshwater cladocerans *Daphnia magna* and *Moina macrocopa*. Aquatic Toxicology 98(3):256–264 DOI 10.1016/j.aquatox.2010.02.013.
- Hanafiah ZM, Melini Wan Mohtar WH, Binti Abd Manan TS, Bachi NA, Abdullah NA, Abd Hamid HH, Beddu S, Mohd Kamal NL, Ahmad A, Rasdi NW. 2022. The occurrence of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) in Malaysian urban domestic wastewater. *Chemosphere* 287:132134 DOI 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132134.
- Heng YL, Abdullah MP, Mokhtar M, Ahmad R. 2006. Development of possible indicators for sewage pollution for the assessment of Langat river ecosystem health. *Malaysia Journal of Analytical Sciences* 10(1):15–26.
- Huang Q, Bu Q, Zhong W, Shi K, Cao Z, Yu G. 2018. Derivation of aquatic predicted no-effect concentration (PNEC) for ibuprofen and sulfamethoxazole based on various toxicity endpoints and the associated risks. *Chemosphere* 193:223–229 DOI 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.11.029.
- Huang X, Zhao X, Zhang X, Wang P, Zhu K, Shao B. 2021. Chlorinated disinfection by-products of diazepam perturb cell metabolism and induce behavioral toxicity in zebrafish larvae. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 220:112416 DOI 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.112416.
- Huang F, Zou S, Deng D, Lang H, Liu F. 2019. Antibiotics in a typical karst river system in China: spatiotemporal variation and environmental risks. *Science of the Total Environment* 650(4):1348–1355 DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.131.
- Jacob RS, Araújo CVM, de Santos LVS, Moreira VR, Lebron YAR, Lange LC. 2021. The environmental risks of pharmaceuticals beyond traditional toxic effects: chemical differences that can repel or entrap aquatic organisms. *Environmental Pollution* 268:115902 DOI 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115902.
- Jacob RS, de Souza Santos LV, d'Auriol M, Lebron YAR, Moreira VR, Lange LC. 2020. Diazepam, metformin, omeprazole, and simvastatin: a full discussion of individual and mixture acute toxicity. *Ecotoxicology* **29**(7):1062–1071 DOI 10.1007/s10646-020-02239-8.
- Jurado A, Vázquez-Suñé E, Pujades E. 2021. Urban groundwater contamination by non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *Water* 13(5):720 DOI 10.3390/w13050720.
- Kermia AEB, Fouial-Djebbar D, Trari M. 2016. Occurrence, fate and removal efficiencies of pharmaceuticals in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) discharging in the coastal environment of Algiers. *Comptes Rendus Chimie* **19(8)**:963–970 DOI 10.1016/j.crci.2016.05.005.

- Kim S, Lee J, Jeon S, Lee M, An H, Jung K, Kim S, Park D. 2021. Correlation analysis between Hydrologic Flow Metrics and Benthic Macroinvertebrates Index (BMI) in the Han River Basin, South Korea. *Sustainability* 13:11477 DOI 10.3390/su132011477.
- Khan AH, Aziz HA, Khan NA, Hasan MA, Ahmed S, Farooqi IH, Dhingra A, Vambol V, Changani F, Yousefi M, Islam S, Mozaffari N, Mahtab MS. 2022a. Impact, disease outbreak and the eco-hazards associated with pharmaceutical residues: a critical review. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology* **19(1)**:677–688 DOI 10.1007/s13762-021-03158-9.
- Khan AH, Khan NA, Zubair M, Shaida MA, Manzar MS, Abutaleb A, Naushad M, Iqbal J. 2022b. Sustainable green nanoadsorbents for remediation of pharmaceuticals from water and wastewater: a critical review. *Environmental Research* 204(11):112243 DOI 10.1016/j.envres.2021.112243.
- Larsson E, Al-Hamimi S, Jönsson JÅ. 2014. Behaviour of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and eight of their metabolites during wastewater treatment studied by hollow fibre liquid phase microextraction and liquid chromatography mass spectrometry. *Science of the Total Environment* **485–486(3)**:300–308 DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.03.055.
- Lee SH, Kang JW, Lin T, Lee JE, Jin DI. 2013. Teratogenic potential of antiepileptic drugs in the zebrafish model. *BioMed Research International* 2013(3):1–6 DOI 10.1155/2013/726478.
- Li L, Zhao X, Liu D, Song K, Liu Q, He Y. 2021. Occurrence and ecological risk assessment of PPCPs in typical inflow rivers of Taihu lake, China. *Journal of Environmental Management* 285(9):112176 DOI 10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112176.
- **Lopes Caldas L, Moreira R, Novelli A. 2021.** Environmental risk assessment of drugs in tropical freshwaters using *Ceriodaphnia Silvestrii* as test organism. *Research Square* PPR:PPR320086 DOI 10.21203/rs.3.rs-474409/v1.
- Lorenzi V, Choe R, Schlenk D. 2016. Effects of environmental exposure to diazepam on the reproductive behavior of fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas. Environmental Toxicology* 31:561–568 DOI 10.1002/TOX.22069.
- Madikizela LM, Chimuka L. 2017. Simultaneous determination of naproxen, ibuprofen and diclofenac in wastewater using solid-phase extraction with high performance liquid chromatography. *Water SA* **43(2)**:264–274 DOI 10.4314/wsa.v43i2.10.
- Malakahmad A, Abd Manan TS, Sivapalan S. 2015. Detection methods of carcinogens in estuaries: a review. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning* 10:601–619 DOI 10.2495/SDP.
- Manan TSBA, Beddu S, Khan T, Wan Mohtar WHM, Sarwono A, Jusoh H, Mohd Kamal NL, Sivapalan S, Ghanim AAJ. 2019. Step by step procedures: degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in potable water using photo-Fenton oxidation process. *MethodsX* 6(2):1701–1705 DOI 10.1016/j.mex.2019.07.011.
- Manan TS, Malakahmad A, Sivapalan S. 2016. Toxicological studies of Perak River water using biological assay. In: Engineering Challenges for Sustainable Future Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Civil, Offshore and Environmental Engineering, ICCOEE 2016.
- Marchese S, Perret D, Gentili A, Curini R, Pastori F. 2003. Determination of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in surface water and wastewater by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Chromatographia* 58:263–269 DOI 10.1365/S10337-003-0052-4.
- Mazaleuskaya LL, Theken KN, Gong L, Thorn CF, FitzGerald GA, Altman RB, Klein TE. 2015. PharmGKB summary: ibuprofen pathways. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* **25(2)**:96–106 DOI 10.1097/FPC.00000000000113.

- Mennillo E, Arukwe A, Monni G, Meucci V, Intorre L, Pretti C. 2018. Ecotoxicological properties of ketoprofen and the S(+)-enantiomer (dexketoprofen): bioassays in freshwater model species and biomarkers in fish PLHC-1 cell line. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 37(1):201–212 DOI 10.1002/etc.3943.
- Mihelcic JR, Zimmerman JB, Zhang Q. 2002. Water treatment and design. In: Mihelcic JR, Zimmerman JB, eds. *Environmental Engineering: Fundamentals, Sustainability, Design.* New York, NY, USA: Wiley, 397–455.
- Mohtar WHM, Abdul Maulud KN, Muhammad NS, Sharil S, Yaseen ZM. 2019. Spatial and temporal risk quotient-based river assessment for water resources management. *Environmental Pollution* 248(9):133–144 DOI 10.1016/j.envpol.2019.02.011.
- Mohtar WHM, Nawang SAB, Abdul Maulud KN, Benson YA, Azhary WAHWM. 2017. Textural characteristics and sedimentary environment of sediment at eroded and deposited regions in the severely eroded coastline of Batu Pahat. *Science of the Total Environment* **598(2)**:525–537 DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.04.093.
- **MSOM. 2020.** Malaysian statistics on medicines 2015–2016. Pharmaceutical Services Programme Report number: ISBN 1823-8300. Ministry of Health Malaysia.
- National Library of Medicine. 2022. Naproxen sodium | C14H13NaO3-PubChem. Available at https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Naproxen-sodium (accessed 7 September 2022).
- Nordberg M, Templeton DM, Anderson O, Duffus JF. 2009. Teratogenic index (TI). In: *Pure and Applied Chemistry*. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Nunes B, Carvalho F, Guilhermino L. 2005. Acute toxicity of widely used pharmaceuticals in aquatic species: *Gambusia holbrooki*, *Artemia parthenogenetica* and *Tetraselmis chuii*. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 61(3):413–419 DOI 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2004.08.010.
- **OECD. 2011.** Test No. 201: freshwater alga and cyanobacteria, growth inhibition test, OECD guidelines for the testing of chemicals, section 2. Paris: OECD Publishing. *Available at https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-201-alga-growth-inhibition-test\_9789264069923-en.*
- **OECD. 2013.** Test No. 210: fish, early-life stage toxicity test | OECD guidelines for the testing of chemicals, section 2: effects on biotic systems | OECD iLibrary. *Available at https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-210-fish-early-life-stage-toxicity-test\_9789264203785-en* (accessed 07 May 2022).
- **OECD. 2019.** Test No. 203: fish, acute toxicity test, OECD guidelines for the testing of chemicals, section 2. Paris: OECD Publishing. Available at https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-203-fish-acute-toxicity-test\_9789264069961-en.
- **OSPAR. 1992.** The convention for the protection of the marine environment of the North-East Atlantic. OSPAR Commission. *Available at https://www.ospar.org/convention* (accessed 07 June 2021).
- Pascoe D, Karntanut W, Müller CT. 2003. Do pharmaceuticals affect freshwater invertebrates? A study with the cnidarian *Hydra vulgaris*. *Chemosphere* 51(6):521–528 DOI 10.1016/S0045-6535(02)00860-3.
- **Petrović M, Škrbić B, Živančev J, Ferrando-Climent L, Barcelo D. 2014.** Determination of 81 pharmaceutical drugs by high performance liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry with hybrid triple quadrupole-linear ion trap in different types of water in Serbia. *Science of the Total Environment* **468-469**:415–428 DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.08.079.
- Praskova E, Voslarova E, Siroka Z, Plhalova L, Macova S, Marsalek P, Pistekova V, Svobodova Z. 2011. Assessment of diclofenac LC50 reference values in juvenile and embryonic stages of the zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Polish Journal of Veterinary Sciences* 14(4):545–549 DOI 10.2478/v10181-011-0081-0.

- Praveenkumarreddy Y, Vimalkumar K, Ramaswamy BR, Kumar V, Singhal RK, Basu H, Gopal CM, Vandana KE, Bhat K, Udayashankar HN, Balakrishna K. 2021. Assessment of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs from selected wastewater treatment plants of Southwestern India. *Emerging Contaminants* 7(6):43–51 DOI 10.1016/j.emcon.2021.01.001.
- Pérez-Estrada LA, Malato S, Gernjak W, Agüera A, Thurman EM, Ferrer I, Fernández-Alba AR. 2005. Photo-fenton degradation of diclofenac: identification of main intermediates and degradation pathway. *Environmental Science & Technology* 39(21):8300–8306 DOI 10.1021/es050794n.
- Sanderson H, Johnson DJ, Wilson CJ, Brain RA, Solomon KR. 2003. Probabilistic hazard assessment of environmentally occurring pharmaceuticals toxicity to fish, daphnids and algae by ECOSAR screening. *Toxicology Letters* 144(3):383–395 DOI 10.1016/S0378-4274(03)00257-1.
- Singh R, Singh AP, Kumar S, Giri BS, Kim KH. 2019. Antibiotic resistance in major rivers in the world: a systematic review on occurrence, emergence, and management strategies. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 234(10):1484–1505 DOI 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.06.243.
- **Styszko K, Protor K, Castrignano E, Kasprzyk-Hordern B. 2021.** Occurrence of pharmaceutical residues, personal care products, lifestyle chemicals, illicit drugs and metabolites in wastewater and receiving surface waters of Krakow agglomeration in South Poland. *Science of the Total Environment* **768(part a)**:144360 DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144360.
- Subedi B, Balakrishna K, Joshua DI, Kannan K. 2017. Mass loading and removal of pharmaceuticals and personal care products including psychoactives, antihypertensives, and antibiotics in two sewage treatment plants in southern India. *Chemosphere* 167:429–437 DOI 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.10.026.
- **Subedi B, Kannan K. 2015.** Occurrence and fate of select psychoactive pharmaceuticals and antihypertensives in two wastewater treatment plants in New York State, USA. *Science of the Total Environment* **514(1–3)**:273–280 DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.01.098.
- Tahrim N, Abdullah P, Farina Abdul Aziz Abstract Y. 2018. Pengoptimuman kaedah dan analisis farmaseutik dalam air kumbahan dan air sungai (Optimization of analytical method and analysis of pharmaceuticals in sewage and river water). Sains Malaysiana 47:931–940 DOI 10.17576/jsm-2018-4705-08.
- Tewari S, Jindal R, Kho YL, Eo S, Choi K. 2013. Major pharmaceutical residues in wastewater treatment plants and receiving waters in Bangkok, Thailand, and associated ecological risks. *Chemosphere* 91:697–704 DOI 10.1016/J.CHEMOSPHERE.2012.12.042.
- Togola A, Budzinski H. 2008. Multi-residue analysis of pharmaceutical compounds in aqueous samples. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1177(1):150–158 DOI 10.1016/J.CHROMA.2007.10.105.
- Wang C, Hou L, Li J, Xu Z, Gao T, Yang J, Zhang H, Li X, Du P. 2017. Occurrence of diazepam and its metabolites in wastewater and surface waters in Beijing. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 24(18):15379–15389 DOI 10.1007/S11356-017-8922-8.
- Wang R, Ji M, Zhai H, Guo Y, Liu Y. 2021. Occurrence of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes in WWTP effluent-receiving water bodies and reclaimed wastewater treatment plants. *Science of the Total Environment* **796(3)**:148919 DOI 10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2021.148919.
- Wang Y, Liu H, Liu G, Xie Y. 2014. Oxidation of diclofenac by aqueous chlorine dioxide: identification of major disinfection by-products and toxicity evaluation. *Science of The Total Environment* 473–474:437–445 DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.12.056.
- Watanabe H, Tamura I, Abe R, Takanobu H, Nakamura A, Suzuki T, Hirose A, Nishimura T, Tatarazako N. 2016. Chronic toxicity of an environmentally relevant mixture of pharmaceuticals to three aquatic organisms (alga, daphnid, and fish). *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **35(4)**:996–1006 DOI 10.1002/etc.3285.

- Wee SY, Aris AZ, Yusoff FM, Praveena SM. 2020. Occurrence of multiclass endocrine disrupting compounds in a drinking water supply system and associated risks. *Scientific Reports* 10(1):1–12 DOI 10.1038/s41598-020-74061-5.
- Weigt S, Huebler N, Strecker R, Braunbeck T, Broschard TH. 2011. Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) embryos as a model for testing proteratogens. *Toxicology* 281(1-3):25-36 DOI 10.1016/j.tox.2011.01.004.
- Yacob H, Ling YE, Hee-Young K, Oh J-E, Noor ZZ, Din MFM, Taib SM, Hun LT. 2017. Identification of pharmaceutical residues in treated sewage effluents in Johor, Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Civil Engineering* 29:165–173 DOI 10.11113/mjce.v29.15690.
- Yang B, Peng T, Cai W-W, Ying G-G. 2020. Transformation of diazepam in water during UV/chlorine and simulated sunlight/chlorine advanced oxidation processes. *Science of the Total Environment* 746:141332 DOI 10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2020.141332.
- Zhang X, Yang Y, Zhang J, Yang Y, Shen F, Shen J, Shao B. 2019. Determination of emerging chlorinated by-products of diazepam in drinking water. *Chemosphere* 218:223–231 DOI 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.11.076.
- Zin CS, Nazar NI, Rahman NS, Alias NE, Ahmad WR, Rani NS, Cardosa MS, Ng KS, Ye FL. 2018. Trends and patterns of analgesic prescribing in Malaysian public hospitals from 2010 to 2016: tramadol predominately used. *Journal of Pain Research* 11:1959–1966 DOI 10.2147/JPR.S164774.
- Zind H, Mondamert L, Remaury QB, Cleon A, Leitner NKV, Labanowski J. 2021. Occurrence of carbamazepine, diclofenac, and their related metabolites and transformation products in a French aquatic environment and preliminary risk assessment. *Water Research* **196(19)**:117052 DOI 10.1016/j.watres.2021.117052.
- Zuccato E, Calamari D, Natangelo M, Fanelli R. 2000. Presence of therapeutic drugs in the environment. *The Lancet* 355(9217):1789–1790 DOI 10.1016/S0140-6736(00)02270-4.