Peer

Association between the CASC16 rs4784227 polymorphism and breast cancer risk and prognosis in a northeast Chinese Han population

Yue Zhang^{1,2}, Changgui Kou², Lin Jia¹, Yangyang Gao¹, Xin Li², Hao Wu², Naifei Chen¹ and Zheng Lv¹

¹ Cancer Center, The First Affiliated Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China

² Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Jilin University, Changchun, China

ABSTRACT

Background. Breast cancer (BC) poses a serious threat to women worldwide. This research was designed to explore the association between the rs4784227 polymorphism of cancer susceptibility candidate gene 16 (*CASC16*) and BC susceptibility and prognosis, aiming to provide further information for the early detection of BC and to accelerate comprehensive cancer management.

Methods. A total of 1,733 subjects were recruited for this case-control study, of which 828 are BC patients and 905 are healthy individuals. The relevance between SNP rs4784227 and BC risk in diverse genetic models was analyzed by using the SNPStats analysis program and was assessed by odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using the binary logistic regression model. Pearson's χ^2 test was used to determine the correlation between the polymorphism and clinical characteristics of BC patients. Additionally, univariate survival analysis was performed by the Kaplan-Meier method and log-rank test, and multivariate survival analysis was performed by Cox regression. Results. SNP rs4784227 was significantly associated with susceptibility to BC in the dominant model (CT/TT versus CC, OR = 1.237, 95% CI = 1.012–1.513, *P* = 0.038). The minor allele of SNP rs4784227 was significantly linked to an increased risk of BC (OR = 1.197, 95% CI = 1.022–1.401, P = 0.026). In addition, the rs4784227 polymorphism of CASC16 was associated with perineural invasion (P = 0.030), menstrual status (P = 0.016) and histological grade (P = 0.001, P = 0.003, P = 0.025; respectively) of BC patients. There was no significant association between the genotypes of rs4784227 and disease-free survival (DFS) or overall survival (OS) of breast cancer patients (P > 0.05).

Conclusions. The rs4784227 polymorphism of *CASC16* may affect susceptibility to breast cancer and is associated with perineural invasion, menstrual status and histological grade in BC patients. Additionally, our results could not confirm that this polymorphism was related to breast cancer prognosis.

Subjects Molecular Biology, Oncology, Public Health, Women's Health, Medical Genetics **Keywords** Breast cancer, *CASC16*, Single nucleotide polymorphism, Susceptibility, Prognosis

Submitted 19 July 2022 Accepted 3 November 2022 Published 15 December 2022

Corresponding author Zheng Lv, lvz@jlu.edu.cn

Academic editor Ameya Kulkarni

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 10

DOI 10.7717/peerj.14462

Copyright 2022 Zhang et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer (BC) is the most common cause of cancer death in women and has become the most common form of cancer worldwide. Similarly, the estimated age-standardized incidence rate of BC is the highest among all cancers in China (*Sung et al., 2021*). The recurrence rate of patients with early breast cancer after surgery is relatively low (*Weigel* & *Dowsett, 2010*). According to information from the 2019 Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology (CSCO) Breast Cancer Annual Meeting, the 5-year survival rate of breast cancer in China was more than 80%. The increase in the cure rate of breast cancer in China depends on early detection, early diagnosis and early treatment. To improve the BC survival rate, early screening and early detection are critical and are designed primarily to identify those at high risk.

High-risk groups can be identified by risk factors such as female sex, early menarche, late menopause, increased estrogen exposure and excessive alcohol intake (*Washbrook, 2006*; *Horn & Vatten, 2017*; *Dall & Britt, 2017*; *Jung et al., 2016*). Additionally, gene detection is also feasible. Previous studies have shown that people who carry the breast cancer susceptibility gene 1 (*BRCA1*) or breast cancer susceptibility gene 2 (*BRCA2*) variants are predisposed to breast cancer (*Kurian et al., 2014*). This is an indication that single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) studies could help identify people with high susceptibility to breast cancer.

Cancer susceptibility candidate gene 16 (CASC16), is one of these genes that have been studied. CASC16 is an RNA gene that is located at chromosome 16q12.1 and is affiliated with the lncRNA class. One study showed that the CASC16 gene had higher expression in breast cancer cells than in normal cells (Han et al., 2016), and some loci of CASC16 have been demonstrated to be significantly associated with BC susceptibility (Long et al., 2010; Zuo et al., 2020; Tajbakhsh et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2014). However, the association between this gene and other cancers has not yet been found. Rs4784227 is a locus of the CASC16 gene and previous studies showed that its MAF in Asian groups was in a range from 0.20 to 0.28 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp/rs4784227). It is well known that FOXA1 is involved in ESR1-mediated transcription, the regulation of cell apoptosis and cell cycle regulation. The place for rs4784227 on FOXA1 genomic for interaction is on the eighth position of the FKH motif recognized via FOXA1, thus, affinity DNA site for FOXA protein was enhanced for the T allele compared with the C allele in rs4784227, which suggested that the locus may affect a DNA binding sequence change on FOXA1 and modulate the chromatin affinity for FOXA1 (Tajbakhsh et al., 2019; Cowper-Sal Lari et al., 2012). However, whether the interaction between FOXA1 and CASC16 participates in the above functions and what will be caused by it are not clear. Current studies are limited to the relationship between this locus and BC susceptibility, and the functions of CASC16 are still unknown (Zuo et al., 2020).

Moreover, rs4784227 has been known to be linked to BC risk in previous studies conducted in Chinese populations, such as Shanghai, Tianjin, Nanjing and Taiwan (OR>1) (*Long et al., 2010*). However, these subject groups are mainly located in southern and coastal cities, and relatively little research has been done on inland areas. Investigations

of this locus using a northeast Chinese population may verify whether the findings previously identified are generalizable to other populations. Data from a study published previously indicated that the rs4784227 SNP in *CASC16* promotes lymph node metastasis in BC patients (*Sun et al.*, 2020). In addition, stratified analysis using a genetic model indicated that rs4784227 was specific to progesterone receptor positivity (*Deng et al.*, 2016). In addition, there have been no studies of the correlation between this locus and BC survival. Thus, in this article, we further explored the clinical features of SNP rs4784227 and its relationship with BC prognosis.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Study population

In total, 828 BC patients confirmed by pathological cytology and histology and 905 healthy individuals from the First Affiliated Hospital of Jilin University (Changchun, Jilin Province, China), who were all genetically unrelated Han Chinese and originated from the Northeast, were recruited in this case-control study. The sample size was calculated by Quanto and the power of our study was above 90%. In addition, the clinical characteristics of patients with BC, including age, menopausal status, family history, pathological type, histological grade, tumor size, lymph node metastasis status, lymph vascular space invasion and perineural invasion, were collected through medical records, which were registered between April 2013 and September 2016. Among these clinical characteristics, breast cancer staging relies on the TNM system based on the sixth edition of AJCC and histological grade was based on Nottingham grading system.

Selection of SNP and genotyping

Rs4784227 was chosen based on a published paper that reported that this SNP might be related to BC susceptibility. Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood samples from all the study participants. Moreover, we used the MassAray system (Agena, San Diego, CA, United States) as well as the matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry method to detect genotypes of the rs4784227 locus of *CASC16*. SNP genotyping was conducted without knowing cases and controls' status.

Ethical approval

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants of this study, and this study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of The First Affiliated Hospital of Jilin University with the ethical approval number 2014-031. All the methods were carried out in accordance with the guidelines of Helsinki's declaration.

Statistical analysis

Data analyses were conducted using SPSS 24.0 software (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA) and the online platform SNPStats (http://www.snpstats.net/start.htm). Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was examined by the chi-squared test in both cases and controls. The optimum model of the locus was determined according to the AIC (Akaike's information criterion) value. The genotype and allele frequency distribution of the rs4784227 genetic

polymorphism in the case group and control group in different genetic models was analyzed by the SNPStats analysis program, and corresponding odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) adjusted by age were computed using the binary logistic regression model. In addition, Pearson's χ^2 test was used to compare the frequency distributions of various clinical characteristics of the different genotypes of rs4784227.

In addition, survival analysis was used to further determine the impacts of SNP rs4784227 on BC prognosis by selecting disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) as prognostic indicators. The time of the surgery was the start of DFS, and the data from follow-ups were retrospectively collected. Univariate survival analysis was performed by the Kaplan–Meier method and log-rank test, and survival curves were drawn by R software (*R Core Team, 2022*). Additionally, multivariate survival analysis was performed by Cox's proportional hazards regression model. All statistical tests were two-tailed, and *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Clinical characteristics of participants

The median age of the case group was 51 (44,58) and that of the control group was 38 (32,53). The clinical characteristics of BC cases are presented in Table 1.

Analysis of the association between the ${\rm rs4784227}$ polymorphism of CASC16 and BC risk

The genotype distribution of cases and controls suggested that SNP rs4784227 conformed to the HWE (P > 0.05). The association between SNP rs4784227 and BC susceptibility in different genetic models is shown in Table 2. The results suggested that the rs4784227 polymorphism of *CASC16* may be associated with BC risk. After adjusting for age, the results of binary logistic regression analysis showed that the CT/TT genotype of rs4784227 significantly increased susceptibility to BC compared with the CC genotype (OR_{CT/TTvsCC} = 1.237, 95% CI =1.012–1.513, P = 0.038). The T allele was the minor allele of rs4784227, which was significantly associated with an increased risk of BC compared with the C allele (OR =1.197, 95% CI =1.022–1.401, P = 0.026). Furthermore, the dominant model was the best-fitting model of rs4784227 (AIC =2190.4).

The association between the rs4784227 polymorphism of *CASC16* and clinical characteristics of breast cancer

The results of some of these characteristics that were statistically significant or studied previously are shown in Table 3. The findings showed that BC patients who carried the CC genotype were more at risk for perineural invasion than those who carried the CT/TT genotype (P = 0.030). Moreover, the proportion of premenopausal people who carried the CT genotype was smaller than that in the CC and TT genotypes and was larger than that in the CC and TT genotypes in postmenopausal people (P = 0.016). In addition, the proportion of people who carried the C allele was smaller than that of those carrying the T allele with a histological grade I tumor (P = 0.001). The proportion of people who

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of breast cancer patients.	
Characteristics	Case, n (%)
Age (years)	
≤35	52 (6.28)
>35	776 (93.72)
Menopausal status	
Premenopause	398 (48.07)
Postmenopause	430 (51.93)
Family history	
No	796 (96.14)
Yes	32 (3.86)
Molecular type	
LuminalA	104 (12.56)
LuminalB	520 (62.80)
HER2	103 (12.44)
Triple negative	101 (12.20)
Pathological type	
Infiltrating ductal carcinoma	793 (95.77)
Other types	35 (4.23)
Histological grade	
Ι	31 (3.74)
II	511 (61.72)
III	286 (34.54)
Tumor size	
T1	422 (50.97)
T2	365 (44.08)
T3	27 (3.26)
T4	14 (1.69)
Lymph node metastasis	
No	396 (47.83)
Yes	432 (52.17)
Lymph-vascular space invasion	
No	471 (56.88)
Yes	357 (43.12)
Perineural invasion	
No	689 (83.21)
Yes	139 (16.79)
Treatment protocol	
Only anthracycline	30 (3.63)
Only taxane	131 (15.84)
Anthracycline and taxane	462 (55.86)
Others	204 (24.67)
Endocrinetherapy	
Aromatase inhibitor	218 (26.36)
Tamoxifen	199 (24.06)
Aromatase inhibitor and tamoxifen	14 (1.69)
No	396 (47.89)

Allele/Genotype		Case, n (%) Control, n (%)		OR (95%CI)	Р	AIC	
Allele	С	1158 (69.93)	1336 (73.81)	1.000	0.026	-	
	Т	498 (30.07)	474 (26.19)	1.197 (1.022–1.401)			
Genotype							
Codominant	CC	401 (48.43)	493 (54.48)	1.000	0.105	2191.7	
	CT	356 (43.00)	350 (38.67)	1.221 (0.989–1.507)	0.063		
	TT	71 (8.57)	62 (6.85)	1.328 (0.904–1.952)	0.148		
Dominant	CC	401 (48.43)	493 (54.48)	1.000	0.038	2190.4	
	CT/TT	427 (51.57)	412 (45.52)	1.237 (1.012–1.513)			
Recessive	CC/CT	757 (91.43)	843 (93.15)	1.000	0.306	2192.6	
	TT	71 (8.57)	62 (6.85)	1.215 (0.837–1.765)			
Overdominant	CC/TT	472 (57.00)	555 (61.33)	1.000	0.121	2193	
	СТ	356 (43.00)	350 (38.67)	1.175 (0.958–1.441)			

Table 2 The relationship between the rs4784227 polymorphism of CASC16 and the risk of breast cancer.

Notes.

Note: *ORs* and *P* values of the genotypes were adjusted by age.

carried the CC genotype was smaller than that in those carrying the TT genotype with a histological grade I tumor and was larger than that in those carrying the TT genotype with a histological grade III tumor (P = 0.003). Furthermore, the number of people who carried the CC genotype was less than those carrying the CT/TT genotype with a histological grade I tumor and was more than those carrying the CT/TT genotype with a histological grade III tumor (P = 0.025).

The relationship between the rs4784227 polymorphism of *CASC16* and the prognosis of breast cancer

Among the 828 BC patients, 401 individuals were carrying the CC genotype, and the others were carriers of the CT or TT genotype in the dominant model. By using the log-rank test, we did not find that DFS and OS in BC cases were significantly associated with the rs4784227 polymorphism of *CASC16* (DFS: P = 0.972, OS: P = 0.727) (Fig. 1). All the above clinical characteristics of patients were included in the Cox model for multivariate analysis to examine the association between the genotypes of rs4784227 and DFS and OS of BC. The results suggested that the differences in DFS and OS among the different genotypes of the BC patients were not statistically significant (DFS: *HR* =1.037 (0.705–1.526) P = 0.855, OS: *HR* =1.224 (0.653–2.295) P = 0.529).

DISCUSSION

To date, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified numerous common variants associated with BC risk at multiple genetic loci (*Deng et al., 2016*). Therefore, conducting research on SNPs could help identify people with a high susceptibility to BC. As mentioned before, rs4784227 may affect the DNA binding sequence on *FOXA1* and subsequently increase the *FOXA1*-binding affinity to the *CASC16* gene promoter; *FOXA1* plays an important role in the function of ER and growth of ER⁺ BC cells (*Carroll et al., 2005; Kong et al., 2011*). BC patients with ER and/or PR positivity accounted for

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Characteristics		Allele			Genotype		Genotype		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				С	Т	CC	СТ	TT	CC	CT/TT
resultPositive846 (73.00)382 (76.7)288 (71.82)270 (75.84)56 (78.87)288 (71.82)526 (76.37) χ^2 2.4202.4942.4942.101.311	ER	Status	Negative	312 (26.94)	116 (23.29)	113 (28.18)	86 (24.16)	15 (21.13)	113 (28.18)	101 (23.65)
χ^2 2.420 2.494 -227 -210 P 0.120 0.287 -237 -0.137 PR Natus Positive 684 (59.07) 184 (63.0) 188 (36.0) 218 (32.39) 168 (14.00) 161 (37.70) χ^2 -2309 2519 185 (61.2) 48 (67.10) 226 (62.2) 231 (58.10) 296 (62.2) 296 (62.2) 296 (62.2) 296 (62.2) 296 (62.2) 296 (62.2) 296 (62.2) 296 (62.2) 296 (62.2) 296 (62.2) 296 (62.2) 291 (68.1)			Positive	846 (73.06)	382 (76.71)	288 (71.82)	270 (75.84)	56 (78.87)	288 (71.82)	326 (76.35)
PR 0.120 0.287 0.137 0.137 PR Statu Negative 474 (40.93) 184 (36.90) 138 (35.00) 23 (32.0) 168 (41.90) 168 (47.90) PR Osotive 68 (90.07) 314 (36.90) 2519 153 (53.00) 23 (32.0) 026 (62.30) PR 0.137 2.398 2.390 218 (61.24) 48 (67.0) 23 (32.0) 026 (62.30) HER Ng Ngative 737 (67.90) 379 (63.00) 129 (32.17) 13 (32.0) 129 (32.17) 13 (32.0) 129 (32.17) 13 (32.0) 129 (32.17) 13 (32.0) 129 (32.17) 13 (32.0) 129 (32.17) 13 (32.0) 129 (32.17) 13 (32.0) 129 (32.17) 13 (32.0) 129 (32.17) 13 (32.0) 129 (32.17) 13 (32.0) 129 (32.17) 13 (43.0) 14 (40.9) 14 (40.9) 14 (40.9) 14 (40.9) 14 (40.9) 14 (40.9) 14 (40.9) 14 (40.9) 14 (40.9) 14 (40.9) 14 (40.9) 14 (40.9) 14 (40.9) 14 (40.9) 14 (40.9) 14 (40.9) 14 (40.9) <td></td> <td>χ^2</td> <td></td> <td>2.420</td> <td></td> <td>2.494</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2.210</td> <td></td>		χ^2		2.420		2.494			2.210	
PR Status Negative 474 (40.93) 184 (36.95) 168 (41.90) 183 (38.76) 23 (32.9) 168 (41.90) 161 (37.00) P 2.090'' 2.30 (51.00) 218 (61.24) 48 (67.01) 233 (58.00) 266 (62.30) P 0.129 2.519'' 0.218 (61.24) 48 (67.01) 272 (67.83) 243 (68.26) 48 (67.01) 272 (67.83) 213 (51.01) 103 (51.02) 103 (51.		P		0.120		0.287			0.137	
Image Positive 684 (59.07) 314 (63.05) 233 (58.10) 218 (61.24) 48 (67.61) 233 (58.10) 26.6 (2.3.0) χ^2 2.309 2.519 1.516 P 0.284 0.218 0.219 0.218 0.218 0.218 0.218 0.218 <	PR	Status	Negative	474 (40.93)	184 (36.95)	168 (41.90)	138 (38.76)	23 (32.39)	168 (41.90)	161 (37.70)
χ^2 2.309 2.519 1.516 P 0.129 0.24 0.24 0.218 PHER Positive 371 (3.204) 159 (3.01) 129 (3.21) 13 (3.12) 243 (68.2) 129 (3.21)			Positive	684 (59.07)	314 (63.05)	233 (58.10)	218 (61.24)	48 (67.61)	233 (58.10)	266 (62.30)
P 0.129 0.244 Current (1) Current (1) <td></td> <td>χ^2</td> <td></td> <td>2.309</td> <td></td> <td>2.519</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.516</td> <td></td>		χ^2		2.309		2.519			1.516	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Р		0.129		0.284			0.218	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HER2	Status	Negative	787 (67.96)	339 (68.07)	272 (67.83)	243 (68.26)	48 (67.61)	272 (67.83)	291 (68.15)
χ^2 0.0020.0210.0100.922P0.9650.9890.9220.922Iymph node metal stasiNo553 (47.75)239 (47.99)P1 (47.63)17 (48.03)34 (47.89)P1 (47.63)205 (48.01) χ^2 No555 (47.75)259 (52.01)210 (52.37)185 (51.97)37 (52.11)210 (52.37)222 (51.99) χ^2 0.0900.0120.9290.9940.9120.91371 (48.9)389 (70.11)342 (96.07)65 (91.55)389 (70.11)307 (95.32)Family his- foryStatusNo112 (96.72)472 (94.78)389 (97.01)342 (96.07)65 (91.55)389 (70.11)20 (46.81) χ^2 .3.525.12 (2.99)14 (3.93)6 (84.51)12 (2.99)20 (46.81) χ^2 .3.525.12 (2.99)14 (3.93)6 (84.51)12 (2.99)20 (4.68) χ^2 .3.526.12 (2.99)20 (4.68) χ^2 .3.526.12 (2.99)307 (86.24)60 (84.51)322 (80.30)60 (84.51)322 (80.30)60 (84.51)322 (80.30)60 (84.51)322 (80.30)60 (84.51)322 (80.30)60 (84.51)322 (80.30)60 (84.51)322 (80.30)60 (84.51)322 (80.30)60 (84.51)322 (80.30)60 (84.51)322 (80.30)60 (84.51)322 (80.30)60 (84.51)322 (80.30)60 (84.51)322 (80.30)60 (84.51)322 (80.30)60 (84.51)322 (80.30)			Positive	371 (32.04)	159 (31.93)	129 (32.17)	113 (31.74)	23 (32.39)	129 (32.17)	136 (31.85)
P 0.965 0.989 0.922 Lyngh hade meta's isis Status No 553 (47.75) 239 (47.99) 191 (47.63) 314 (47.80) 191 (47.63) 191 (47.63) 205 (38.01) Lyngh hasis V Status No 65 (52.25) 259 (52.01) 210 (52.37) 185 (51.97) 37 (52.11) 210 (52.37) 222 (51.99) P V 0.008 V 0.012 V 0.012 0.012 0.012 100 (52.37) 37 (52.11) 210 (52.37) 407 (95.32) Parity No Status No 102 (96.72) 472 (97.08) 380 (97.01) 37 (50.91) 380 (97.01) 407 (95.32) Family have No Status No 201 (96.72) 472 (97.08) 380 (97.01) 410 (39.30) 68.45.01 12 (2.99) 20 (4.68) Perineural invasion No Status No Status No 367 (85.79) Perineural invasion Status No Status No Status No Status <td< td=""><td></td><td>χ^2</td><td></td><td>0.002</td><td></td><td>0.021</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.010</td><td></td></td<>		χ^2		0.002		0.021			0.010	
Lymph node metas- tasis Status No 553 (47.75) 239 (47.99) 191 (47.63) 171 (48.03) 34 (47.89) 191 (47.63) 205 (54.01) x^{3} Yes 605 (52.25) 259 (52.01) 210 (52.37) 185 (51.97) 37 (52.11) 210 (52.37) 222 (51.99) χ^{2} 0.02 0.012 0.012 0.012 222 (51.99) Family his- tory χ^{2} 0.929 0.994 0.934 0.913 407 (95.32) χ^{2} No 1120 (96.72) 472 (94.78) 389 (97.01) 342 (96.07) 65 (91.55) 389 (97.01) 407 (95.32) χ^{2} 3525 427 (94.78) 382 (96.01) 42 (9.07) 12 (2.99) 204 (46.90 χ^{2} 3525 427 (85.74) 322 (80.30) 307 (86.52) 204 (85.91) 322 (80.30) 367 (85.95) χ^{2} No 951 (82.12) 427 (85.74) 322 (80.30) 307 (86.15) 324 (8		Р		0.965		0.989			0.922	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Lymph node metas- tasis	Status	No	553 (47.75)	239 (47.99)	191 (47.63)	171 (48.03)	34 (47.89)	191 (47.63)	205 (48.01)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Yes	605 (52.25)	259 (52.01)	210 (52.37)	185 (51.97)	37 (52.11)	210 (52.37)	222 (51.99)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		χ^2		0.008	· · · ·	0.012			0.012	· · · · ·
Family his- tory Status No 1120 (96.72) 472 (94.78) 389 (97.01) 342 (96.07) 65 (91.55) 389 (97.01) 407 (95.32) P Yes 38 (3.28) 26 (5.22) 12 (2.99) 14 (3.93) 6 (8.45) 12 (2.99) 20 (4.68) χ^2 3.525 4.845 1.592 0.207 1592 10.060 0.089 0.207 1592 10.207 10.207 Perineural invasion Status No 951 (82.12) 427 (85.74) 322 (80.30) 307 (86.24) 60 (84.51) 322 (80.30) 367 (85.95) Vers 207 (17.88) 71 (14.26) 79 (19.70) 49 (13.76) 11 (15.49) 79 (19.70) 60 (14.05) χ^2 3.264 4.851 1.105.49 79 (19.70) 60 (84.51) 322 (80.30) 307 (48.45) Tumor size Status T1 590 (50.55) 254 (51.00) 202 (50.37) 186 (52.25) 34 (47.89) 202 (50.37) 203 (50.21) 199 (49.63) 207 (48.45) χ^2 <0.001		P		0.929		0.994			0.913	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Family his- tory	Status	No	1120 (96.72)	472 (94.78)	389 (97.01)	342 (96.07)	65 (91.55)	389 (97.01)	407 (95.32)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Yes	38 (3.28)	26 (5.22)	12 (2.99)	14 (3.93)	6 (8.45)	12 (2.99)	20 (4.68)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		χ^2		3.525		4.845			1.592	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		P		0.060		0.089			0.207	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Perineural invasion	Status	No	951 (82.12)	427 (85.74)	322 (80.30)	307 (86.24)	60 (84.51)	322 (80.30)	367 (85.95)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Yes	207 (17.88)	71 (14.26)	79 (19.70)	49 (13.76)	11 (15.49)	79 (19.70)	60 (14.05)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		χ^2		3.264		4.851			4.724	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Р		0.071		0.088			0.030	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Tumor size	Status	T1	590 (50.95)	254 (51.00)	202 (50.37)	186 (52.25)	34 (47.89)	202 (50.37)	220 (51.52)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			\geq T2	568 (49.05)	244 (49.00)	199 (49.63)	170 (47.75)	37 (52.11)	199 (49.63)	207 (48.48)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		χ^2		< 0.001		0.559			0.109	
Menstrual statusStatusPremeno- pause559 (48.27)237 (47.59)203 (50.62)153 (42.98)42 (59.15)203 (50.62)195 (45.67)Postmeno- pausePostmeno- pause599 (51.73)261 (52.41)198 (49.38)203 (57.02)29 (40.85)198 (49.38)232 (54.33) χ^2 0.0658.2412.0352.035p0.7990.0160.154		P		0.984		0.756			0.741	
Postmeno- pause 599 (51.73) 261 (52.41) 198 (49.38) 203 (57.02) 29 (40.85) 198 (49.38) 232 (54.33) χ² 0.065 8.241 2.035 p 0.799 0.016 0.154	Menstrual status	Status	Premeno- pause	559 (48.27)	237 (47.59)	203 (50.62)	153 (42.98)	42 (59.15)	203 (50.62)	195 (45.67)
χ² 0.065 8.241 2.035 p 0.799 0.016 0.154			Postmeno- pause	599 (51.73)	261 (52.41)	198 (49.38)	203 (57.02)	29 (40.85)	198 (49.38)	232 (54.33)
p 0.799 0.016 0.154		χ^2		0.065		8.241			2.035	
		P		0.799		0.016			0.154	

Table 3 Correlations between SNP rs4784227 and the clinical characteristics of patients with breast cancer.

(continued on next page)

Table 3 (continued)

Characteristics		Allele		Genotype			Genotype		
			С	Т	CC	СТ	TT	CC	CT/TT
Histological grade	Status	Ι	31 (2.68)	31 (6.22)	8 (2.00)	15 (4.21)	8 (11.27)	8 (2.00)	23 (5.39)
		II	714 (61.66)	308 (61.85)	246 (61.35)	222 (62.36)	43 (60.56)	246 (61.35)	265 (62.06)
		III	413 (35.66)	159 (31.93)	147 (36.65)	119 (33.43)	20 (28.17)	147 (36.65)	139 (32.55)
	χ^2		13.118		15.751			7.379	
	Р		0.001		0.003			0.025	
Molecular type	Status	LuminalA	138 (11.92)	70 (14.06)	44 (10.97)	50 (14.04)	10 (14.08)	44 (10.97)	60 (14.05)
		LuminalB	723 (62.44)	317 (63.65)	250 (62.35)	223 (62.64)	47 (66.20)	250 (62.34)	270 (63.23)
		HER2	141 (12.18)	65 (13.05)	47 (11.72)	47 (13.21)	9 (12.68)	47 (11.73)	56 (13.12)
		Triple nega- tive	156 (13.46)	46 (9.24)	60 (14.96)	36 (10.11)	5 (7.04)	60 (14.96)	41 (9.60)
	χ^2		6.685		7.372			6.782	
	Р		0.083		0.288			0.079	

Notes.

ER, estrogenic receptor; PR, progesterone receptor; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2.

approximately 75% of BC patients (*Niemeier et al., 2010*), and ER is an important indicator of treatment efficacy prediction and prognosis. Therefore, we wanted to know whether there was a connection between the rs4784227 polymorphism of *CASC16* and susceptibility to BC, especially ER⁺BC. Thus, we selected the GWAS-identified SNP rs4784227 to investigate and verify its association with BC susceptibility and prognosis in the northeast Chinese Han population.

In our study, we found that the T allele was the minor allele of rs4784227, and the distribution of alleles and genotypes of SNP rs4784227 (C = 69.93%, T = 30.07%, CC =48.43%, CT = 43.00%, TT = 8.57%) was consistent with current research. The results indicated that those who carried the T allele had high BC susceptibility, which was in line with *Zuo et al.* (2020), *Tajbakhsh et al.* (2019) and *He et al.* (2014). In our study, the best-fitting inheritance model of rs4784227 was the dominant model, and in this model, we found that the CC genotype of SNP rs4784227 provided a protective effect against BC, which was consistent with *Zuo et al.* (2020) and *Tajbakhsh et al.* (2019). However, *Sun et al.* (2020) did not find this association. The difference may be because the sample size in Sun's research was relatively small ($n_{Zuo} = 681$, $n_{Tajbakhsh} = 505$, $n_{Sun} = 503$), so the results of the data analysis may be biased. The results of the northwest population in our study were the same as the populations in the southern and coastal cities in China, Japan and Europe. Therefore, racial and regional differences may not affect the association between this locus and BC susceptibility.

Regarding clinical features, *He et al.*'s (2014) study (n = 623) found that the T allele of rs4784227 exhibited significant associations with the status of ER, PR and HER2 in an additive model. In addition, *Deng et al.* (2016) indicated that SNP rs4784227 had a significant association with PR-positive tumor risk. Again, rs4784227 may affect the interactions between *FOXA1* and *CASC16*, and *FOXA1* may affect the status of ER. Thus,





we investigated whether SNP rs4784227 could affect the expression of these three receptors, especially ER. Regrettably, in our study, this association could not be found in any of the three receptors. *He et al.*'s (2014) research suggested that rs4784227 increased BC risk in a dose-dependent manner, so homozygous carriers have higher susceptibility. Therefore, the difference mentioned above may be because the number of people who carried the TT genotype in our sample was small (8.57%), which was not enough to prove the relationship between this locus and the status of ER. Therefore, studies on larger sample sets and further functional identification of molecular mechanisms are needed to confirm the association mentioned above. Furthermore, the results indicated that the locus was associated with perineural invasion, menstrual status and histological grade of BC patients. Among them, the menstrual status results were consistent with *Lin et al.* (2014). However, the same

findings of perineural invasion and histological grade were not found in other studies. In addition, *Zuo et al.* (2020) and *Sun et al.* (2020) found that there was a significant association between rs4784227 and lymph node metastasis status, but this conclusion was not reached in our study. We propose that this may be because the number of people who carried the TT genotype in our sample was small. Therefore, larger sample sizes and a meta-analysis are required to further verify this relationship.

Additionally, our study could not confirm that the rs4784227 polymorphism of *CASC16* had an effect on the DFS and OS of BC patients. This may be because the sample time span was just 60 months and the disease-free survival of BC was long, so a longer follow-up period may be necessary.

CONCLUSIONS

Our findings suggest that the rs4784227 polymorphism of *CASC16* may affect susceptibility to BC and was associated with perineural invasion, menstrual status and histological grade of BC patients. In addition, our results could not confirm that the rs4784227 polymorphism of *CASC16* had an effect on the prognosis of breast cancer.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This work was supported by Jilin Provincial Department of science and technology [grant numbers 20200201474JC]. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Jilin Provincial Department of science and technology: 20200201474JC.

Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Yue Zhang performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Changgui Kou conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Lin Jia analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Yangyang Gao performed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Xin Li performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.

- Hao Wu analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Naifei Chen conceived and designed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Zheng Lv conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.

Human Ethics

The following information was supplied relating to ethical approvals (i.e., approving body and any reference numbers):

This study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of The First Affiliated Hospital of Jilin University.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability: The raw data was available in the Supplementary File.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.14462#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

- Carroll JS, Liu XS, Brodsky AS, Li W, Meyer CA, Szary AJ, Eeckhoute J, Shao W, Hestermann EV, Geistlinger TR, Fox EA, Silver PA, Brown M. 2005. Chromosomewide mapping of estrogen receptor binding reveals long-range regulation requiring the forkhead protein FoxA1. *Cell* 122(1):33–43 DOI 10.1016/j.cell.2005.05.008.
- Cowper-Sal Lari R, Zhang X, Wright JB, Bailey SD, Cole MD, Eeckhoute J, Moore JH, Lupien M. 2012. Breast cancer risk-associated SNPs modulate the affinity of chromatin for FOXA1 and alter gene expression. *Nature Genetics* 44(11):1191–1198 DOI 10.1038/ng.2416.
- Dall GV, Britt KL. 2017. Estrogen effects on the mammary gland in early and late life and breast cancer risk. *Frontiers in Oncology* 7:110 DOI 10.3389/fonc.2017.00110.
- **Deng Z, Yang H, Liu Q, Wang Z, Feng T, Ouyang Y, Jin T, Ren H. 2016.** Identification of novel susceptibility markers for the risk of overall breast cancer as well as subtypes defined by hormone receptor status in the Chinese population. *Journal of Human Genetics* **61(12)**:1027–1034 DOI 10.1038/jhg.2016.97.
- Han YJ, Zhang J, Zheng Y, Huo D, Olopade OI. 2016. Genetic and epigenetic regulation of TOX3 expression in breast cancer. *PLOS ONE* 11(11):e0165559 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0165559.
- He X, Yao G, Li F, Li M, Yang X. 2014. Risk-association of five SNPs in TOX3/LOC643714 with breast cancer in southern China. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 15(2):2130–2141 DOI 10.3390/ijms15022130.

- Horn J, Vatten LJ. 2017. Reproductive and hormonal risk factors of breast cancer: a historical perspective. *International Journal of Women's Health* **9**:265–272 DOI 10.2147/IJWH.S129017.
- Jung S, Wang M, Anderson K, Baglietto L, Bergkvist L, Bernstein L, Van den Brandt PA, Brinton L, Buring JE, Eliassen AH, Falk R, Gapstur SM, Giles GG, Goodman G, Hoffman-Bolton J, Horn-Ross PL, Inoue M, Kolonel LN, Krogh V, Lof M, Maas P, Miller AB, Neuhouser ML, Park Y, Robien K, Rohan TE, Scarmo S, Schouten LJ, Sieri S, Stevens VL, Tsugane S, Visvanathan K, Wilkens LR, Wolk A, Weiderpass E, Willett WC, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Zhang SM, Zhang X, Ziegler RG, Smith-Warner SA. 2016. Alcohol consumption and breast cancer risk by estrogen receptor status: in a pooled analysis of 20 studies. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 45(3):916–928 DOI 10.1093/ije/dyv156.
- **Kong SL, Li G, Loh SL, Sung W-K, Liu ET. 2011.** Cellular reprogramming by the conjoint action of ER *α*, FOXA1, and GATA3 to a ligand-inducible growth state. *Molecular Systems Biology* **7**:526 DOI 10.1038/msb.2011.59.
- Kurian AW, Hare EE, Mills MA, Kingham KE, McPherson L, Whittemore AS, McGuire V, Ladabaum U, Kobayashi Y, Lincoln SE, Cargill M, Ford JM. 2014. Clinical evaluation of a multiple-gene sequencing panel for hereditary cancer risk assessment. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 32(19):2001–2009 DOI 10.1200/JCO.2013.53.6607.
- Lin Y, Fu F, Chen M, Huang M, Wang C. 2014. Associations of two common genetic variants with breast cancer risk in a chinese population: a stratified interaction analysis. *PLOS ONE* 9(12):e115707 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0115707.
- Long J, Cai Q, Shu XO, Qu S, Li C, Zheng Y, Gu K, Wang W, Xiang Y-B, Cheng J, Chen K, Zhang L, Zheng H, Shen C-Y, Huang C-S, Hou M-F, Shen H, Hu Z, Wang F, Deming SL, Kelley MC, Shrubsole MJ, Khoo USoon, Chan KYK, Yin Chan S, Haiman CA, Henderson BE, Le Marchand L, Iwasaki M, Kasuga Y, Tsugane S, Matsuo K, Tajima K, Iwata H, Huang B, Shi J, Li G, Wen W, Gao Y-T, Lu W, Zheng W. 2010. Identification of a functional genetic variant at 16q12.1 for breast cancer risk: results from the Asia Breast Cancer Consortium. *PLOS Genetics* 6(6):e1001002 DOI 10.1371/journal.pgen.1001002.
- Niemeier LA, Dabbs DJ, Beriwal S, Striebel JM, Bhargava R. 2010. Androgen receptor in breast cancer: expression in estrogen receptor-positive tumors and in estrogen receptor-negative tumors with apocrine differentiation. *Modern Pathology* 23(2):205–212 DOI 10.1038/modpathol.2009.159.
- **R Core Team. 2022.** A language and environment for statistical computing. Version 4.2.0. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. *Available at http://www.r-project.org/*.
- Sun Y, Chen P, Wu J, Xiong Z, Liu Y, Liu J, Li H, Li B, Jin T. 2020. Association of polymorphisms in LOC105377871 and CASC16 with breast cancer in the northwest Chinese Han population. *The Journal of Gene Medicine* 22(3):e3131.
- **Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, Bray F. 2021.** Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality

worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians* **71(3)**:209–249.

- Tajbakhsh A, Farjami Z, Darroudi S, Hasan Ayati S, Vakili F, Asghari M, Alimardani M, Abedini S, Mahdi Kushyar M, Pasdar A. 2019. Association of rs4784227-CASC16 (LOC643714 locus) and rs4782447-ACSF3 polymorphisms and their association with breast cancer risk among Iranian population. *EXCLI Journal* 18:429–438.
- Washbrook E. 2006. Risk factors and epidemiology of breast cancer. *Women's Health Medicine* 3(1):8–14 DOI 10.1383/wohm.2006.3.1.8.
- Weigel MT, Dowsett M. 2010. Current and emerging biomarkers in breast cancer: prognosis and prediction. *Endocrine-Related Cancer* 17(4):R245–R262 DOI 10.1677/ERC-10-0136.
- Zuo X, Wang H, Mi Y, Zhang Y, Wang X, Yang Y, Zhai S. 2020. The association of CASC16 variants with breast Cancer risk in a northwest Chinese female population. *Molecular Medicine* 26(1):11.