A transitional species of *Daspletosaurus* Russell, 1970 from the Judith River Formation of eastern Montana (#75847)

First revision

Guidance from your Editor

Please submit by **9 Oct 2022** for the benefit of the authors .

Structure and Criteria
Please read the 'Structure and Criteria' page for general guidance.

Custom checks
Make sure you include the custom checks shown below, in your review.

Author notes
Have you read the author notes on the guidance page?

Raw data check
Review the raw data.

Image check
Check that figures and images have not been inappropriately manipulated.

Privacy reminder: If uploading an annotated PDF, remove identifiable information to remain anonymous.

Files

Download and review all files from the materials page.

1 Tracked changes manuscript(s)
1 Rebuttal letter(s)
13 Figure file(s)
1 Raw data file(s)
1 Other file(s)

Custom checks

New species checks

- Have you checked our new species policies?
- Do you agree that it is a new species?
- Is it correctly described e.g. meets ICZN standard?

Structure and Criteria

Structure your review

The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

1. **BASIC REPORTING**
2. **EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN**
3. **VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS**
4. General comments
5. Confidential notes to the editor

You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

When ready submit online.

Editorial Criteria

Use these criteria points to structure your review. The full detailed editorial criteria is on your guidance page.

BASIC REPORTING

- Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
- Intro & background to show context. Literature well referenced & relevant.
- Structure conforms to PeerJ standards, discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
- Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
- Raw data supplied (see PeerJ policy).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

- Original primary research within Scope of the journal.
- Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
- Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
- Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.

VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

- Impact and novelty not assessed. *Meaningful* replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
- All underlying data have been provided; they are robust, statistically sound, & controlled.
- Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.

Standout reviewing tips

The best reviewers use these techniques

Tip	*Example*
Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources	*Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.*
Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript	*Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57- 86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).*
Comment on language and grammar issues	*The English language should be improved to ensure that an international audience can clearly understand your text. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 – the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult. I suggest you have a colleague who is proficient in English and familiar with the subject matter review your manuscript, or contact a professional editing service.*
Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points	*1. Your most important issue* *2. The next most important item* *3. …* *4. The least important points*
Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions	*I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC*
Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript	*I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be improved upon before Acceptance.*

A transitional species of *Daspletosaurus* Russell, 1970 from the Judith River Formation of eastern Montana

Elías A Warshaw [Corresp., 1, 2], **Denver W Fowler** [1]

[1] Badlands Dinosaur Museum, Dickinson, North Dakota, United States

[2] Department of Earth Sciences, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, United States

Corresponding Author: Elías A Warshaw
Email address: warshawelias@gmail.com

Here we describe a new derived tyrannosaurine, *Daspletosaurus wilsoni* sp. nov., from Judithian strata (~76.5 Ma) intermediate in age between either of the previously described species of this genus. *D. wilsoni* displays a unique combination of ancestral and derived characteristics, including a cornual process of the lacrimal reduced in height relative to *D. torosus* and more basal tyrannosaurines, and a prefrontal with a long axis oriented more rostrally than in *D. horneri* and more derived tyrannosaurines. The description of this taxon provides insight into evolutionary mode in Tyrannosaurinae, lending strength to previous hypotheses of anagenesis within *Daspletosaurus* and increasing the resolution with which the evolution of this lineage can be reconstructed. Cladistic phylogenetic methods, stratigraphy, and qualitative analysis of the morphology of relevant taxa supports an anagenetic model for the origin of morphological novelty in this genus, highlighting the predominance of anagenetic evolution among contemporary dinosaur lineages.

A transitional species of *Daspletosaurus* Russell, 1970 from the Judith River Formation of eastern Montana

Elías A. Warshaw[1, 2] and Denver W. Fowler[1]

1. Badlands Dinosaur Museum, Dickinson Museum Center, Dickinson, North Dakota, USA
2. Department of Earth Sciences, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana, USA

Corresponding author:

Elías A. Warshaw[1, 2]

2137 S 11th Ave 126B, Bozeman, Montana, 59715, USA

Email address: warshawelias@gmail.com

Abstract

Here we describe a new derived tyrannosaurine, *Daspletosaurus wilsoni* sp. nov., from Judithian strata (~76.5 Ma) intermediate in age between either of the previously described species of this genus. *D. wilsoni* displays a unique combination of ancestral and derived characteristics, including a cornual process of the lacrimal reduced in height relative to *D. torosus* and more basal tyrannosaurines, and a prefrontal with a long axis oriented more rostrally than in *D. horneri* and more derived tyrannosaurines. The description of this taxon provides insight into evolutionary mode in Tyrannosaurinae, lending strength to previous hypotheses of anagenesis within *Daspletosaurus* and increasing the resolution with which the evolution of this lineage can be reconstructed. Cladistic phylogenetic methods, stratigraphy, and qualitative analysis of the morphology of relevant taxa supports an anagenetic model for the origin of morphological novelty in this genus, highlighting the predominance of anagenetic evolution among contemporary dinosaur lineages.

Introduction

Since their naming at the turn of the 20th century, tyrannosaurids have captivated public and scientific imagination alike, and are as a result among the best-studied groups of Cretaceous theropods (Osborn, 1905; Brusatte et al., 2010). Perhaps the most successful group of tyrannosaurids were the latest-Cretaceous tyrannosaurines, including among them a diverse array of forms from the slender-snouted alioramins (Lü et al., 2014) to robust and deep-jawed taxa like *Teratophoneus* (Carr et al., 2011) and the eponymous *Tyrannosaurus rex* (Carr and Williamson, 2010). However, much of the diversity of derived tyrannosaurines remains understudied or poorly understood (Paulina Carabajal et al., 2021), hampering understanding of paleobiogeographic and evolutionary trends (Loewen et al., 2013; Carr et al., 2017; Brusatte and Carr, 2016).

The tyrannosaurine *Daspletosaurus* has been known from Campanian fossil deposits of northern Laurasia for over half a century. However, published work on the phylogeny and paleobiology of this genus is relatively scarce beyond its initial description (Russell, 1970; Carr

et al., 2017; Paulina Carabajal et al., 2021). Several enigmatic tyrannosaurine specimens initially referred to the type species or simply to *Daspletosaurus sp.* (including the recently named *D. horneri*) have been noted as representing novel species by previous workers for several decades (Currie, 2003; Carr, 1999; Carr et al., 2017; Horner et al., 1992; Paulina Carabajal et al., 2021), indicating a more speciose genus than has currently been described. Filling this gap is especially pertinent to understanding rates and patterns of speciation in the Campanian of Laurasia, both within tyrannosaurs and among dinosaurs as a whole, as both described species of *Daspletosaurus* have been hypothesized to represent an anagenetic lineage (Carr et al., 2017), including this genus among the many contemporary dinosaur lineages for which anagenesis has been suggested (Horner et al., 1992; Fowler and Freedman Fowler, 2020).

Here we describe *Daspletosaurus wilsoni* (sp. nov.). This addition to Campanian tyrannosaurid diversity has the potential to refine existing hypotheses regarding tyrannosaurid evolution in the Late Cretaceous, and lends strength to the hypothesis of anagenesis as a predominant mode of evolution in *Daspletosaurus* (Carr et al., 2017).

Tyrannosaurinae Matthew and Brown, 1922 (*sensu* Sereno et al., 2005)

Daspletosaurus Russell, 1970

D. wilsoni sp. nov.

Etymology

wilsoni, Latinization of “Wilson,” after John Wilson, the discoverer of the holotype specimen.

Holotype

BDM 107, preserving a partial disarticulated skull and jaws, including both premaxillae, a right maxilla, jugal, lacrimal, quadrate, quadratojugal, and dentary, and a left postorbital and squamosal, and missing the braincase, nasals, palate, and every postdentary bone except for a right splenial. Also preserved are partial cervical, sacral, and caudal series, a rib, a chevron, and a first metatarsal. Cranial bones are very finely preserved, with intricate and detailed surface textures especially on the maxilla and postorbital, with teeth preserved in the maxilla, dentary, and one premaxilla. The sacral and caudal centra are preserved in a heavy and hard concretion and are not yet prepared. The holotype specimen is stored in the collections of the Badlands Dinosaur Museum in Dickinson, North Dakota.

Geological Setting

The site “Jack’s B2” was discovered in 2017 by John Wilson in exposures of the Judith River Formation near Glasgow (Valley County, Montana, USA). This is significantly further east than classic ‘Judith’ localities (Fig. 1), and is sedimentologically atypical, representing distal floodplain and delta sediments deposited during the maximum Campanian regression of the Western Interior Seaway. Here, the Judith River Formation is up to ~48m thick, with the “Jack’s B2” site occurring ~30m below the contact with the overlying Bearpaw Shale.

Precise stratigraphic placement of this easternmost Judith is currently unclear, although an age of ~76.5 Ma seems most likely, which would correlate in time with the lower to middle

part of the Dinosaur Park Formation, Alberta (Eberth, 2005; Fowler, 2017). A youngest age limit of 75.64 Ma (Ogg & Hinnov, 2012) is delineated by ammonites tentatively identified as *Didymoceras stevensoni* (J. Slattery, pers. comm. 2020) collected by BDM from local outcrops of the overlying Bearpaw Shale (although these were not at the base of the Bearpaw, so older ammonite specimens may be encountered during future prospecting). At present, more precise stratigraphic position can be inferred from the timing of the maximum regression of the Western Interior Seaway during the Campanian (correlated with the R8 regression of Kaufmann, 1977; Rogers et al., 2016). In Alberta and Saskatchewan, the Foremost, Oldman, and Dinosaur Park formations represent early to late subcycles (respectively) of the R8 regression, and of these, the Foremost (~80.5-79.5 Ma) and lower Oldman (~79.5-79.0 Ma; and regional equivalents) are restricted to the west (Alberta and west central Montana), and did not extend as far east as Saskatchewan or our study area in eastern Montana (Eberth, 2005). During late R8, the upper Oldman (~77.5-77.0 Ma) and Dinosaur Park (~76.9-76.0 Ma) Formations were deposited much further to the east, with the lowermost Dinosaur Park recording the R8 maximum regression at ~76.9 - 76.4 Ma (Eberth, 2005; Fowler, 2017). This correlates well with the Judith River Formation of Montana, where Rogers et al. (2016) show the maximum regression of R8 occurring shortly before 76.2 Ma, based on radiometric dates acquired either side of the mid-Judith discontinuity. As such, it seems likely that the study section corresponds in age to the lower to middle part of the Dinosaur Park Formation (although not necessarily lithostratigraphically correlated). A radiometric analysis of a newly discovered volcanic ash is currently underway, and it is hoped that this will provide definitive stratigraphic placement.

Regardless of the precise age of BDM 107, it can be expected to lie intermediate stratigraphically between *D. torosus* (known from the upper Oldman Formation, ~77.0 Ma; Carabajal et al., 2021) and *D. horneri* (known from the Two Medicine Formation, ~75.0 Ma; Carr et al., 2017).

Diagnosis

D. wilsoni can be assigned to *Daspletosaurus* based on the following characteristics: extremely coarse subcutaneous surface of the maxilla with no elevated ridges or corresponding fossae (Carr et al., 2017; Voris et al., 2020); cornual process of the postorbital approaching the laterotemporal fenestra (Carr et al., 2017); dorsal postorbital process of the squamosal terminating caudal to the rostral margin of the laterotemporal fenestra (Carr et al., 2017; Voris et al., 2019); and extremely coarse symphyseal surface of the dentary (Voris et al., 2020).

D. wilsoni lacks autapomorphies and can be diagnosed by a unique combination of ancestral and derived *Daspletosaurus* characteristics. *D. wilsoni* and *D. torosus* share a pneumatic inflation of the lacrimal reaching the medial edge of the bone (this inflation does not reach the medial edge of the bone in the holotype of *D. horneri*, but this may represent an allometric, ontogenetic, or taphonomic bias; see Warshaw, In Review; Carr et al., 2017), prefrontal oriented rostromedially (determined from the angle of the prefrontal articular surface on the lacrimal of the holotype of *D. wilsoni*, which does not preserve a prefrontal; the prefrontal of *D. horneri* is oriented mediolaterally), pneumatic excavation of the squamosal that does not undercut its rostromedial margin (entire margin undercut in *D. horneri*; Carr et al., 2017), and quadratojugal lacking a pneumatic foramen in its lateral surface (although the

presence of this foramen is highly intraspecifically variable in both *D. horneri* and *Tyrannosaurus*, such that further discoveries of *D. wilsoni* individuals may reveal its presence in this taxon; Carr et al., 2017; Carr, 2020). *D. horneri* and *D. wilsoni* share, to the exclusion of *D. torosus*, a premaxillary tooth row oriented entirely mediolaterally, such that all but one premaxillary tooth is concealed in lateral view (rostromedial orientation in *D. torosus* and less derived tyrannosaurids), antorbital fossa of the maxilla terminating at the rostral limit of the external antorbital fenestra (this fossa extends ahead of this boundary onto the subcutaneous surface of the maxilla in *D. torosus* and less derived tyrannosaurids; Carr et al., 2017; Warshaw, In Review), rostrodorsal ala of the lacrimal inflated (uninflated in *D. torosus* and less derived tyrannosaurids), ventral ramus of the lacrimal longer than the rostral ramus (determined largely by the height of the postorbital bar in the reconstructed skull, given that the ventral ramus is largely unpreserved in the holotype of *D. wilsoni*; the rostral ramus of the lacrimal is longer than the ventral ramus in *D. torosus*; Carr et al., 2017), short cornual process of the lacrimal (tall in *D. torosus*, although this process is taller in *D. wilsoni* than *D. horneri* and may best be described as intermediate between the previously named species of this genus; Carr et al., 2017), and dorsal quadrate contact of the quadratojugal visible in lateral view (concealed in *D. torosus* and less derived tyrannosaurids).

Description

Given the wealth of detailed osteologies describing tyrannosaurine specimens (i.e., Brochu,2003; Hurum and Sabath, 2003; Carr, 1999), our description of the holotype of *D. wilsoni* places heavy emphasis on characteristics (or combinations of characteristics) unique to this specimen, as well as those that are otherwise taxonomically or phylogenetically informative within Tyrannosaurinae, so as to avoid the reiteration of plesiomorphic tyrannosaurine morphologies (or synapomorphies of *Daspletosaurus*) already described by previous authors.

Ontogenetic Stage of BDM 107

In order to facilitate comparison with other tyrannosaurine individuals of equivalent ontogenetic stages (and in doing so, to avoid the misattribution of a phylogenetic signal to ontogenetically derived characteristics), brief comment is warranted on the ontogenetic stage represented by BDM 107; two lines of evidence suggest that this specimen is of advanced ontogenetic age. Firstly, BDM 107 is among the largest known *Daspletosaurus* individuals (reconstructed skull length 105 cm; *D. torosus* holotype CMN 8506 skull length 104 cm, Voris et al., 2019; *D. horneri* holotype MOR 590 skull length 89.5 cm, Carr et al., 2017). Although Carr (2020) criticized the use of size as an indicator of ontogenetic status in *Tyrannosaurus*, this criticism was based on the absence of a correlation between size and maturity among adult individuals; all the largest specimens of this genus were unambiguously recovered as adult by Carr's (2020) analysis (i.e., within the final stages of ontogenetic development), such that this feature remains ontogenetically informative in distinguishing adults from juveniles and subadults. Secondly, BDM 107 displays several morphologies known otherwise to characterize mature tyrannosaurines, including a deeply scalloped maxilla-nasal suture (Carr and Williamson, 2004; Carr, 2020), a maxillary fenestra positioned rostrally within the antorbital fossa (Carr, 2020), a cornual process of the lacrimal inflated and positioned dorsal to the ventral ramus (Carr, 1999; Currie, 2003; Carr, 2020), and a grossly exaggerated cornual process of the

postorbital (Carr, 1999; Currie, 2003; Voris et al., 2019; Carr, 2020). The totality of this evidence supports an adult ontogenetic stage or later for BDM 107 (adult *sensu* Carr 2020; ontogenetic Stage 4 *sensu* Carr, 1999); this hypothesis may be tested in future work through histological analysis and/or comparison with further discoveries of *D. wilsoni* individuals of different ontogenetic stages, both of which lie outside of the scope of the present study.

Premaxilla

The premaxillae of *D. wilsoni* are similar to those of *D. horneri*, *Tarbosaurus*, and *Tyrannosaurus* in that the alveolar row is oriented largely mediolaterally, such that the rostrum of the skull is broad and the labial surfaces of the premaxillary teeth face rostrally (Brochu, 2003, Fig. 4; Hurum and Sabbath, 2003, Fig. 3; Carr et al., 2017, Fig. 1; Fig. 2). In *Tyrannosaurus* and similarly derived tyrannosaurines (*Tarbosaurus* and *D. horneri*), the premaxillary teeth largely overlap each other in lateral view such that only the distalmost tooth is clearly visible; the same would be true of the holotype of *D. wilsoni*, were more than a single premaxillary tooth preserved within its socket. Conversely, the premaxillary tooth row of *D. torosus* and less derived tyrannosauroids is oriented rostromedially, such that multiple teeth are clearly visible in lateral view (Voris et al., 2019, Fig. 6).

Although previous authors have regarded a mediolaterally oriented premaxillary tooth row as a synapomorphy of Tyrannosauridae or more inclusive groups (e.g., Carr et al., 2017: character 15), this is in error; mature specimens of *Gorgosaurus* (UALVP 10, Voris et al., 2022, Fig. 1; AMNH 5458, Matthew and Brown, 1923, Fig. 2) and *Qianzhousaurus* (GM F10004, Foster et al., 2022, Fig. 2), have rostromedially oriented premaxillary tooth rows such that in specimens with preserved teeth, all premaxillary teeth are visible in lateral view (although all tyrannosaurids do have premaxillary tooth rows oriented more medially than basal tyrannosauroids; this is the phylogenetic signal recorded in character 15 of Carr et al., 2017). Comparison with other tyrannosaurids is hampered by the absence of preserved premaxillae and/or published descriptions of this element for several species (e.g., *Thanatotheristes*, *Dynamoterror*, *Nanuqsaurus*, *Lythronax*, and *Teratophoneus*, for which all published specimens lack premaxillae; Voris et al., 2020; McDonald, Wolfe, and Dooley, 2018; Fiorillo and Tykoski, 2014; Loewen et al., 2013); however, *D. wilsoni* and more derived tyrannosaurines (*D. horneri*, *Tarbosaurus*, *Tyrannosaurus*) represent the greatest exaggeration of the medial inclination of the premaxillary tooth row among tyrannosaurids for which comparative material is available (although this condition, with only one clearly visible premaxillary tooth in lateral view, is present in at least one *Gorgosaurus*: TCMI 2001.89.1, Voris et al., 2022, Fig. 10). *D. torosus* is intermediate between the (presumably) ancestral rostromedial orientation and the mediolateral condition of later *Daspletosaurus* species; two to three premaxillary teeth are visible in lateral view in the holotype specimen, CMN 8506 (Carr and Williamson, 2004, Fig. 6; Voris et al., 2019, Fig. 6).

It should be noted that the orientation of the premaxillary tooth row is not necessarily equivalent to the orientation of the premaxillae themselves. In *Tyrannosaurus* AMNH 5027, for example, the premaxillae appear to be rostromedially oriented in dorsal view (Carr and Williamson, 2004, Fig. 7); however, the premaxillary alveoli are mediolaterally arranged when viewed ventrally (EW, pers. obs.; Molnar, 1991, Fig. 9A; Osborn, 1912, Fig. 5A).

The taxonomic utility of this character is a hypothesis that will require further testing as individuals of *D. wilsoni* and other tyrannosaurids with preserved premaxillae are discovered; notably, two specimens previously referred to *D. torosus* display the derived condition (mediolateral orientation), sharing it with *D. wilsoni* and more derived tyrannosaurines: FMNH PR308 (Matthew and Brown, 1923, Fig. 5; Carr, 1999, Fig. 1) and TMP 2001.36.1 (Voris et al., 2019, Fig. 6). If these individuals were to represent *D. torosus*, the distinction between this species and *D. wilsoni* in the orientation of the premaxillary tooth row would be heavily undermined; however, both of these specimens have previously been noted as belonging to a novel taxon from the Dinosaur Park Formation (FMNH PR308, Currie, 2003; TMP 2001.36.1, Carabajal et al., 2021). Therefore, although relevant comparisons will be made with these specimens hereafter, they will be considered separately from *D. torosus* (and will be referred to below as the Dinosaur Park taxon). A precise taxonomic designation for these specimens is reserved for future work in accordance with comments by previous authors (Currie, 2003; Carabajal et al., 2021).

There is a small (~2 cm diameter) indentation in the nasal process of the right premaxilla of BDM 107; this is most likely pathological, as it is irregular in form and not present on the left premaxilla.

Maxilla

As in other *Daspletosaurus*, the subcutaneous surface of the maxilla in *D. wilsoni* is densely covered in anastomosing sulci extending from neurovascular foramina (Carr et al., 2017; Voris et al., 2020; Fig. 3). The degree of sculpturing of this surface in BDM 107 is similar to CMN 8506 (*D. torosus*), although in the former, there is no smooth region rostral to the external antorbital fenestra indicating a rostral continuation of the antorbital fossa as *D. torosus* and alioramins (Carr et al., 2017). As in *Thanatotheristes* and other *Daspletosaurus* species, the shallow excavations that characterize the maxillae of the most derived tyrannosaurines (*Zhuchengtyrannus, Tyrannosaurus*, *Tarbosaurus*; Hone et al., 2011; Voris et al., 2020) are absent from the holotype maxilla of *D. wilsoni*. Also absent are the textural ridges present on the maxillae of *Thanatotheristes*, *Zhuchengtyrannus*, *Tarbosaurus*, and *Tyrannosaurus* but not any *Daspletosaurus* species (Hone et al., 2011; Voris et al., 2020).

The rostral end of the maxilla of BDM 107 is bowed subtly medially towards its contact with the premaxilla and nasal; this may be a structural consequence of the greater medial inclination of the premaxillary tooth row (see above), as a similar condition characterizes *D. horneri* (MOR 590, EW, pers. obs.), *Tarbosaurus* (Hurum and Sabbath, 2003, Fig. 15), and *Tyrannosaurus* (MOR 008, MOR 980, EW, pers. obs.). Tyrannosaurids with more rostromedially inclined premaxillary tooth rows lack this bowing (e.g., *D. torosus* CMN 8506, JT Voris, pers. comm., 2022).

The maxilla of BDM 107 is irregular relative to other species of *Daspletosaurus* in that it is proportionally elongate, being 64.1 cm in length and 24.8 cm in height (ratio of length to height = 2.6). This bone is 58.6 cm long rostrocaudally and 27.5 cm tall dorsoventrally in the holotype of *D. horneri* (ratio of length to height = 2.1; MOR 590, Carr et al., 2017). Given the broad range of variation in the proportions of this element in other tyrannosaurine species for

which larger sample sizes are known (e.g., *Tyrannosaurus*; Carpenter, 1990; Paul, Persons, and Van Raalte, 2022; pers. obs., EW), this characteristic was not included as an autapomorphy of *D. wilsoni*. Consistency in this trait across further discoveries of *D. wilsoni* individuals may require a reevaluation of the taxonomic utility of this character.

D. wilsoni possesses 15 maxillary alveoli, as in other species of *Daspletosaurus* (Carr et al., 2017). The 13th alveolus bears a swollen abscess in BDM 107, and the 15th maxillary tooth conceals a small replacement tooth within its root that is visible in medial (lingual) view. In general, the maxillary teeth are similar to those of other tyrannosaurid species in being labiolingually broad, although not to the degree present in more derived tyrannosaurines (e.g., *Tyrannosaurus* and *Tarbosaurus*), in which the labiolingual width of the maxillary teeth is subequal to their mesiodistal length (Carr et al., 2017). The first maxillary alveolus is not small and also bears an incrassate tooth (i.e., it does not bear a d-shaped crown similar to those present in the premaxillae, as in *Gorgosaurus*; Currie et al., 2003; Voris et al., 2022).

Jugal

The jugal of *D. wilsoni* is most similar to that of *D. torosus* among tyrannosaurines in that it has a mediolaterally thin ventral margin of the orbit (as opposed to a rounded margin as in *Thanatotheristes*, *Lythronax,* most *Tarbosaurus*, and some *Tyrannosaurus*; Voris et al., 2020; Voris et al., 2022; JT Voris, pers. comm., 2022. A thin ventral margin of the orbit likely represents the ancestral tyrannosaurid condition, as it is also present in *Bistahieversor*, *Albertosaurus*, *Gorgosaurus*, and *D. horneri*; JT Voris, pers. comm., 2022) and does not bow medially along its rostrocaudal length (the jugals of *D. horneri*, *Tyrannosaurus*, and *Tarbosaurus* are angled rostromedially rostral to the orbit, such that the maxillae are medially inset from the orbitotemporal region; *D. horneri* MOR 590, EW, pers. obs.; *Tyrannosaurus* AMNH 5027, Molnar, 1991, Fig. 9; *Tarbosaurus* GIN 107/1, Hurum and Sabbath, 2003, Fig. 15; Warshaw, In Review).

As in *D. torosus*, the caudal portion of the lacrimal contact surface of the jugal is shallowly inclined (Fig. 4); this surface is very steep in *D. horneri*, as well as in *Albertosaurus* and *Gorgosaurus* (Carr et al., 2017). Although Carr et al. (2017) recovered this feature as unique to *D. horneri* among tyrannosaurines, it is also present in some *Tyrannosaurus* individuals (MOR 980, MOR 1125, AMNH 5027, EW, pers. obs.).

Lacrimal

As in all tyrannosaurids except for *D. horneri*, *Tarbosaurus,* and *Tyrannosaurus*, the cornual process of the lacrimal in *D. wilsoni* is large and rises to a distinct apex along its dorsal margin (Carr et al., 2017; Fig. 5). This apex is situated directly dorsal to the lacrimal's ventral ramus, as is characteristic of mature tyrannosaurines (Currie, 2003; Carr, 2020). The cornual process of the lacrimal is shorter in *D. wilsoni* (5.2 cm from the dorsal margin of the lacrimal antorbital recess to the apex of the cornual process in BDM 107) than *D. torosus* (6.9 cm, CMN 8506; Voris et al., 2019, Fig. 6), but similar to the Dinosaur Park taxon (5.1 cm, TMP 2001.36.1; Voris et al., 2019, Fig. 6) (these three specimens are each within 2 cm of each other in skull length, such that measurements of this process need not be corrected for differences in

absolute specimen size; see above; Voris et al., 2019, Fig. 6). The lacrimal cornual process of the *D. horneri* holotype MOR 590 is shorter still (3.7 cm; Carr et al., 2017, Fig. 1), although it should be noted that this specimen is also ~15% shorter in skull length than any of the specimens previously mentioned (Carr et al., 2017; Voris et al., 2019; see above), such that the difference in this feature between *D. horneri* and other *Daspletosaurus* is relatively less pronounced than isolated measurements of this process would suggest (scaled isometrically to the same skull length as MOR 590, however, BDM 107 would still have a taller cornual process of the lacrimal, at 4.4 cm).

Carr et al. (2017) regarded an accessory cornual process of the lacrimal as a synapomorphy of *Daspletosaurus*. However, this process is indistinguishable from the caudally directed supraorbital process of the lacrimal upon which it is purported to sit; the supraorbital processes of the lacrimals of *Tyrannosaurus* (MOR 555, MOR 980, MOR 1125, AMNH 5027, EW, pers. obs.), *Tarbosaurus* (ZPAL MgD-I/4, Hurum and Sabbath, 2003, Fig. 6), and *Teratophoneus* (UMNH VP 16690, Loewen et al., 2013, Fig. 3) are all morphologically identical to those of *Daspletosaurus*, although they are scored by Carr et al.(2017) as lacking an accessory cornual process. In lieu of any quantitative demonstration of this process's presence in *Daspletosaurus*, the taxonomic utility of this character is rejected here.

The lacrimal antorbital recess differs in morphology from *D. torosus*, but is similar to that of *D. horneri*, *Tarbosaurus*, and *Tyrannosaurus* in that the rostrodorsal ala joining the rostral and ventral rami of the lacrimal is inflated into a cylindrical bar that is elevated in relief relative to the rest of the recess (this ala is inflated in *D. torosus*, but to a lesser degree such that no discrete bar is formed between the rostral and ventral rami; Carr et al., 2017, Fig. S2F) (EW, pers. obs.). This feature is also present in the Dinosaur Park taxon (TMP 2001.36.1, Voris et al., 2019, Fig. 6). Also distinguishing the lacrimal of *D. wilsoni* from *D. torosus* is a ventrally directed antorbital fossa in the latter. The lacrimal antorbital fossa is laterally directed in other tyrannosaurids, including *D. wilsoni*, the Dinosaur Park taxon (TMP 2001.36.1, Voris et al., 2019, Fig. 6), *D. horneri* (MOR 590 and MOR 1130, Carr et al., 2017, Fig. 3)*, Tyrannosaurus*, *Tarbosaurus*, *Albertosaurus*, and *Gorgosaurus* (Carr and Williamson, 2004, Fig. 10).

Rostrally, the ventral process of the lacrimal rostral ramus is unique in *D. wilsoni* in having a rounded distal end; this process comes to a pronounced tip in most tyrannosaurids (Carr, Williamson, and Schwimmer, 2005, Fig. 8; Loewen et al., 2013, Fig. 3), with the possible exception of *D. horneri*, in which the holotype specimen MOR 590 has a pointed ventral process and that of the paratype MOR 1130 is rounded (EW, pers. obs.; Carr et al., 2017, Figs. 2C, 3). Given the eminent possibility of taphonomic alteration of this feature (i.e., "rounding down" of a pointed ventral process into a rounded one by abrasion prior to burial), exaggerated by the small size of the ventral process of the lacrimal, this feature is excluded from consideration either as an autapomorphy of *D. wilsoni* or as uniting this species with *D. horneri*.

Caudodorsally, the prefrontal articular surface of the lacrimal can be used to determine the orientation of the long axis of the prefrontal. In *D. wilsoni* and *D. torosus*, this element is oriented rostrocaudally (Carr and Williamson, 2004, Fig. 8). This condition is shared with the Dinosaur Park taxon (TMP 2001.36.1, Carabajal et al., 2021, Fig. 2D), and is also present in *Gorgosaurus* (UALVP 10, Voris et al., 2022), *Teratophoneus* (UMNH VP 16690, Loewen et al.,

2013, Fig. 3), and *Qianzhousaurus* (GM F10004, Foster et al., 2022, Fig. 3). Conversely, the prefrontal is oriented rostromedially or mediolaterally in *D. horneri* (MOR 590, EW pers. obs.; Carr et al., 2017, Fig. 1), *Tarbosaurus* (ZPAL MgD-I/4, Hurum and Sabbath, 2003, Fig. 1), and *Tyrannosaurus* (AMNH 5027, EW pers. obs.; Carr and Williamson, 2004, Fig. 8), as well as at least one specimen of *Albertosaurus* (TMP 1981.10.1, Carr and Williamson, 2004, Fig. 8).

Postorbital

The postorbital of *D. wilsoni* is most similar to that of *D. torosus* and the Dinosaur Park taxon in bearing a massive cornual process that approaches the rostral margin of the laterotemporal fenestra caudally (Fig. 6; *D. torosus* CMN 8506, Voris et al., 2019, Fig. 6; TMP 2001.36.1, Voris et al., 2019, Fig. 4; Carr et al., 2017). Carr et al. (2017) proposed a cornual process of the postorbital approaching the laterotemporal fenestra as a synapomorphy of *Daspletosaurus*; however, the cornual process of the postorbital does not approach the laterotemporal fenestra in the holotype of *D. horneri* (MOR 590, EW pers. obs.), and is instead broadly separated from it as in *Tyrannosaurus* (MOR 980, MOR 1125, MOR 555, EW, pers. obs.) and *Tarbosaurus* (ZPAL MgD-I/4, Hurum and Sabbath, 2003, Fig. 1).

Also shared between *D. wilsoni*, *D. torosus*, and the Dinosaur Park taxon is the subdivision of the postorbital cornual process into two discrete processes: a supraorbital shelf protruding from the dorsal margin of the orbit and a caudodorsal tuberosity emerging more caudoventrally (Fig. 6; Voris et al., 2019, Fig. 4D), creating a sinusoidal relief when the postorbital is viewed rostrally or caudally. Both the supraorbital shelf and the caudodorsal tuberosity are situated upon a more 'typical' tyrannosaurine cornual process; that is, they lie lateral to a gross swelling of the postorbital similar to that present in other tyrannosaurines (e.g., *Tyrannosaurus*, MOR 1125, MOR 980, MOR 555, MOR 008, EW, pers. obs.). The caudodorsal tuberosity overhangs its caudoventral base, creating a crease between this process and the underlying body of the postorbital; a similar condition is present in the postorbital cornual processes of *Gorgosaurus, Teratophoneus*, and *Bistahieversor* (Voris et al., 2022; JT Voris, pers. comm., 2022), but not in *D. horneri* (MOR 590, Carr et al., 2017, Fig. 1), *Tyrannosaurus* (MOR 1125, MOR 980, MOR 555, MOR 008, EW, pers. obs.), or *Tarbosaurus* (ZPAL MgD-I/4, Hurum and Sabbath, 2003, Fig. 8). A similar crease forms between the body of the postorbital and the cornual process of *Tyrannosaurus* in specimens with an epipostorbital (*sensu* Carr, 2020; AMNH 5027, Molnar 1991; Carr, 2020); however, no such element is present in the holotype of *D. wilsoni* (or any other *Daspletosaurus* specimens; EW, pers. obs.).

The ventral ramus of the postorbital tapers ventrally to a point in *D. wilsoni*, as in other *Daspletosaurus* (Carr, 1999), including the Dinosaur Park taxon (Voris et al., 2019, Fig. 4), and in contrast to the enormous subocular process of the postorbital that projects rostrally in *Tyrannosaurus* (Carr, 2020), *Tarbosaurus* (Hurum and Sabbath, 2003, Fig. 8), *Gorgosaurus* (Voris et al., 2022), *Teratophoneus* (Loewen et al., 2013, Fig. 3), and *Albertosaurus* (Currie, 2003). Although the subocular process is present in *D. wilsoni* (and other *Daspletosaurus*), it is small relative to those of other tyrannosaurids (Fig. 6).

Squamosal

The squamosal of *D. wilsoni* is indistinguishable from that of *D. torosus* in that the rostralmost extent of the postorbital contact surface terminates caudal to the rostral margin of the laterotemporal fenestra (also in *D. horneri*; Carr et al., 2017), the rostromedial margin of the pneumatic recess on the ventral surface is not undercut (Fig. 7), and the caudal process is pneumatized (as evidenced by pneumatic foramina in the process's rostromedial surface; Carr et al., 2017). No characteristics or combinations of characteristics unique to *D. wilsoni* are observable on this element.

Quadratojugal

The quadratojugal is conservative morphologically across tyrannosaurids (Loewen et al., 2013). However, a single characteristic of the quadratojugal of *D. wilsoni* unites it with *D. horneri* and at least one specimen of the Dinosaur Park taxon (TMP 2001.36.1), and differs from the condition in *D. torosus* and less derived tyrannosaurids: a dorsal quadrate contact that is broadly visible in lateral view. In most tyrannosauroids, the dorsal quadrate contact of the quadratojugal is directed medially or rostromedially such that it is obscured by the body of the quadratojugal in lateral view. In *D. wilsoni*, *D. horneri*, and TMP 2001.36.1, however, this process is directed caudomedially, exposing it laterally (Fig. 8; MOR 590, Carr et al., 2017, Fig. 1; TMP 2001.36.1, Voris et al., 2019, Fig. 6).

The dorsal quadrate contact is marginally visible laterally in the holotype of *D. torosus*, CMN 8506 (Voris et al., 2019, Fig. 6; JT Voris, pers. comm., 2022), but not nearly to the extent observable in the aforementioned taxa. The condition in *D. torosus* may therefore represent individual variation on the caudomedial orientation of most tyrannosaurids, or a structural antecedent to the condition present in other species of *Daspletosaurus*.

The caudomedial orientation of the dorsal quadrate contact is reversed in the paratype specimen of *D. horneri*, in which this process is hidden in lateral view (MOR 1130, Carr et al., 2017, Fig. S2K). Given that this specimen is younger stratigraphically than the holotype (MOR 590; Carr et al., 2017), this reversal may represent a phylogenetic signal (although it may instead represent intraspecific variation). *Tarbosaurus* and *Tyrannosaurus* share this feature with MOR 1130.

Quadrate

No discrete morphological characters distinguish the quadrate of *D. wilsoni* from those of its closest relatives. As in other derived tyrannosaurines, the quadrate is massive, with a shallow fossa on its medial surface and a pronounced pneumatic foramen (and surrounding fossa) at the rostral confluence of the mandibular condyles and the orbital process (Fig. 9; Carr et al., 2017). The paraquadrate foramen, bounded medially by the quadrate and laterally by the quadratojugal, is small and teardrop-shaped; only its lateral margin is made up by the quadratojugal, as the quadrate forms the dorsal and ventral borders of the foramen.

Although no palatal elements are known, the medial deflection of the quadrate's pterygoid wing allows an approximation of the position of the pterygoids relative to the facial skeleton, and suggests a broad orbitotemporal region, as in other tyrannosaurines.

Dentary

The dentary of *D. wilsoni* is deep, with a relatively straight ventral margin and a dorsal (alveolar) margin that trends caudodorsally, increasing the depth of the mandible caudally (Fig. 10). As in other *Daspletosaurus*, the texturing of the dentary symphysis is more exaggerated in *D. wilsoni* than non-*Daspletosaurus* tyrannosaurines (e.g., *Tyrannosaurus*, *Thanatotheristes*; Voris et al., 2020), and is composed of several interlocking (presumably, as only the left dentary is known) ridges and cusps. There are 17 dental alveoli, as in *D. horneri* (Carr et al., 2017), and a sharp, narrow Meckelian groove with a rugose knob caudoventral to its rostral end. This knob is present in both other species of *Daspletosaurus*, as well as *Tyrannosaurus, Tarbosaurus*, and *Zhuchengtyrannus magnus*, but not *Thanatotheristes* or more basal tyrannosaurids (Carr et al., 2017; Voris et al., 2020).

The lateral surface of the dentary of BDM 107 bears two intersecting grooves caudoventral to the caudal termination of the alveolar margin (Fig. 10); the edges of these grooves are beveled and are likely pathological. They may represent bite marks, as have been described on the craniofacial bones of other tyrannosaurids (Voris et al., 2020; Brown, Currie, and Therrien, 2021).

Splenial

The splenial of BDM 107 is typical of *Daspletosaurus* except in the size and form of the mylohyoid foramen (Fig. 11). In most derived tyrannosaurines, including *D. torosus* and *D. horneri*, this foramen is extremely large, roughly the same dorsoventral depth as the rostral process of the splenial (Carr et al., 2017). In *D. wilsoni*, however, the foramen is dorsoventrally shallow, and rostrocaudally elongate, such that it is ellipsoid in form and roughly half the dorsoventral depth of the splenial's rostral process. This is most similar to the condition in alioramins (Brusatte, Carr, and Norell, 2012) and *Appalachiosaurus* (Carr, Williamson, and Schwimmer, 2005).

Cervical vertebrae

Four cervical vertebrae are preserved in BDM 107 from the cranial-middle portion of the series. No atlas or axis were found. As in all tyrannosaurids, the spinous processes of the cervical vertebrae are subequal in dorsoventral height to their corresponding centra. Both the spinous processes and the centra are craniocaudally short, similar to and most exaggerated in the cervical vertebrae of *Tyrannosaurus* (see Brochu, 2003, and figures therein). As in *Tyrannosaurus* (and other large tyrannosaurids), the cranial and caudal faces of the cervical centra are dorsoventrally displaced from one another in order to create the characteristic 'S-curve' of the neck. The centrum of the third cervical vertebra in BDM 107 is extremely foreshortened craniocaudally (i.e., much taller than long), indicating a robustly built cranial portion of the neck, presumably in order to support the weight of the head.

Sacral vertebrae

The spinous processes of two sacral vertebrae are preserved. Both are sub-rectangular in form and bear rugose knobs near their apices, presumably the ossified bases of sacral ligaments.

Methods

The holotype specimen was collected under permit MTM 108829-e6 issued to DF by The US Bureau of Land Management.

The electronic version of this article in Portable Document Format (PDF) will represent a published work according to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), and hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix http://zoobank.org/. The LSID for this publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:F7EE2619-89FC-4D72-93DA-EFE6BD549A77. The online version of this work is archived and available from the following digital repositories: PeerJ, PubMed Central SCIE and CLOCKSS.

A cladistic phylogenetic analysis was conducted using the character matrix of Carr et al. (2017) (with modifications from Voris et al., 2020), with additional modifications based on personal observation of specimens made by the lead author, including the addition to the character matrix of several proposed autapomorphies of *D. horneri* noted by Carr et al. (2017) to occur more broadly across Tyrannosauridae (see Supplementary Information for a comprehensive list of modifications). The analysis was run in TnT v1.5 (Goloboff, Farris, and Nixon, 2008) using a “New Technology” search with settings identical to those of Voris et al. (2020) (ratchet, tree drift, tree fusing, and sectorial search set to default, and set to recover minimum length 10 times). Support for recovered clades was tested using bootstrapping with 1000 replicates under a traditional search.

Results

The cladistic analysis produced 12 Most Parsimonious Trees (MPTs; best score: 853). Within the strict consensus of these trees, the least inclusive clade containing *Dynamoterror* and *Tyrannosaurus* (i.e., all of Tyrannosaurinae more derived than *Alioramus*) was recovered as a large polytomy, with a sister relationship retained between *Tyrannosaurus* and *Tarbosaurus*, and *Dynamoterror*, *Lythronax*, and *Teratophoneus* recovered in a trichotomy (see Supplementary Information: Fig. S1).

Given the fragmentary nature of their respective holotypes (scored for <15% of characters), *Nanuqsaurus hoglundi* and *Thanatotheristes* were removed from the dataset (inclusion of either taxon collapsed the tree as above), and an additional analysis was conducted with the same settings. This analysis produced two MPTs (best score: 846), and recovered *D. wilsoni* as sister to a clade formed by *D. horneri* and more derived tyrannosaurines (*Zhuchengtyrannus*, *Tarbosaurus*, *Tyrannosaurus*). Alioramins were recovered within a

polytomy, as were *Dynamoterror*, *Teratophoneus,* and *Lythronax*; all other topological relationships were as in Voris et al. (2020) (Fig. 12).

Bootstrapping of this result showed weak support (<70) for all clades within Tyrannosaurinae except for alioramini (90), derived tyrannosaurines (*Daspletosaurus* + (*Zhuchengtyrannus* (*Tyrannosaurus* + *Tarbosaurus*))) (82), tyrannosaurines more derived than *Daspletosaurus* (85), and *Tyrannosaurus* + *Tarbosaurus* (85). Recovered support was particularly weak (≤9) for the interrelationships of *Daspletosaurus* (Fig. 12).

A single autapomorphy of *D. wilsoni* was recovered by the cladistic analysis: mylohyoid foramen of the splenial elongate and rostrocaudally ovoid (this foramen is much deeper in other *Daspletosaurus* species; see above).

The *D. wilsoni* + more derived tyrannosaurines clade was recovered with the following three synapomorphies: dorsoventrally tall orbit; mediolaterally oriented tooth row of the premaxilla; and short cornual process of the lacrimal. A further four synapomorphies united *D. horneri* and more derived tyrannosaurines to the exclusion of *D. wilsoni*: rostrolaterally directed orbits (resulting from the rostromedial bowing of the jugal); cornual process of the postorbital swollen and terminating far rostral to the laterotemporal fenestra; first interdental plate of the maxilla narrow, and second plate truncated (both plates are subsequently expanded in tyrannosaurines more derived than *D. horneri*); and mediolaterally oriented prefrontal. Additional autapomorphies of relevant taxa and synapomorphies of relevant clades are available in Supplementary Information.

Discussion

Several aspects of the results presented here contrast with (or supplement) those of previous analyses, and therefore deserve mention. Noticeably, the results of the cladistic analysis place *Tyrannosaurus* – line tyrannosaurines (*Zhuchengtyrannus, Tarbosaurus,* and *Tyrannosaurus*) as successive sister taxa to *Daspletosaurus* (*contra* Carr et al., 2017, and Voris et al., 2020, both of which recovered these as sister lineages), and recovers a paraphyletic *Daspletosaurus*; these aspects of the results are the topic of a study by the lead author currently in review, and will not be discussed here (although it should be noted that similar results were recovered by Horner, Varricchio, and Goodwin, 1992, and the Bayesian analysis of Brusatte and Carr, 2016; Loewen et al., 2013 also recovered a paraphyletic *Daspletosaurus*. Should this paraphyly be upheld by future studies, *D. wilsoni* and *D. horneri* may be assigned new genera in order to preserve monophyly; *D. wilsoni* is assigned to *Daspletosaurus* here to avoid the creation of a polyphyletic *Daspletosaurus* and for ease of discussion and comparison with its closest relatives). Instead, only the interrelationships and evolutionary history of *Daspletosaurus* are considered below.

Though not included in the cladistic analysis, the Dinosaur Park taxon agrees with *D. wilsoni* in several characters which differ in both of these taxa from the condition in *D. torosus* (see Description), including the orientation of the premaxillary tooth row, the height of the cornual process of the lacrimal, the inflation of the rostrodorsal ala of the lacrimal, and lateral exposure of the dorsal quadrate contact of the quadratojugal. All of these characters are also

519 shared with *D. horneri*, although *D. wilsoni* and the Dinosaur Park taxon also share (to the
520 exclusion of *D. horneri*) a cornual process of the postorbital that approaches the laterotemporal
521 fenestra and is subdivided into a caudodorsal tuberosity and a supraorbital shelf, and a
522 prefrontal that is oriented rostrocaudally rather than rostromedially or mediolaterally (both of
523 these characters are also present in *D. torosus*). Similarity in all of these features suggests a
524 close affinity between *D. wilsoni* and the Dinosaur Park taxon, although this could reflect either
525 taxonomic synonymity or a genuine sister relationship; this designation is reserved for future
526 studies centered on the Dinosaur Park taxon (noted as forthcoming by Currie, 2003 and
527 Carabajal et al., 2021), which has yet to receive a formal description and may reveal
528 autapomorphies (or synapomorphies with *D. wilsoni*) not considered here.

529 Should the Dinosaur Park taxon be demonstrated to represent a distinct species from *D.*
530 *wilsoni*, it would potentially represent the first known instance of contemporaneity between
531 more than one species of *Daspletosaurus* (Carr et al., 2017), given that the *D. wilsoni* holotype
532 was preserved in strata likely corresponding in time to the deposition of the Dinosaur Park
533 Formation (at least in part; see Geologic Context). However, this possibility rests both upon the
534 taxonomic distinctiveness of the Dinosaur Park taxon and the absence of fine-scale stratigraphic
535 separation between this species and *D. wilsoni*, both of which require additional study to
536 confirm or deny (e.g., a formal description of the anatomy of the Dinosaur Park taxon and
537 precise stratigraphic placement of individuals of this taxon and *D. wilsoni*). Discussion below will
538 therefore exclude this possibility from consideration, although resulting hypotheses will be
539 subject to revision should this exclusion prove to be erroneous.

540 Among described *Daspletosaurus* species, *D. wilsoni* fulfills the predictions made by Carr
541 et al.'s (2017) hypothesis of anagenesis between *D. torosus* and *D. horneri.* Namely, *D. wilsoni* is
542 stratigraphically, phylogenetically, and morphologically intermediate between these taxa (see
543 Geologic Context, Results, and Diagnosis, respectively), and occurs within the same general
544 geographic range (all three species of *Daspletosaurus* are found within Montana or Alberta;
545 Carr et al., 2017). These points correspond to the criteria proposed by Carr et al., 2017 (and
546 later Zietlow, 2020) for defensible hypotheses of anagenesis: (1) lack of stratigraphic overlap
547 (but see above), (2) close phylogenetic relationships, (3) intermediate morphologies, and (4)
548 similar geographic ranges. It should be noted that while the fulfillment of these criteria
549 establishes anagenesis as a defensible hypothesis, it does not preclude cladogenesis in
550 *Daspletosaurus* as the driving factor of the evolution of this genus (with successively more
551 derived clades, e.g., *D. wilsoni* and more derived tyrannosaurines, representing cladogenetic
552 events rather than portions of an anagenetic sequence).

553 However, several alternative lines of evidence are consistent with anagenesis and
554 inconsistent with cladogenesis, and therefore strengthen the hypothesis of anagenesis as a
555 predominant evolutionary mode in *Daspletosaurus*. Firstly, as noted by Wagner and Erwin
556 (1995), cladogenesis via punctuated equilibrium (with species diverging from an ancestral taxon
557 in morphological stasis; Eldredge and Gould, 1972) can be identified by the presence of
558 polytomies in a recovered cladogram, since descendant species of an ancestor in stasis will not
559 form subclades. No polytomy was recovered within the clade formed by *Daspletosaurus* and
560 more derived tyrannosaurines (Fig. 11); the origination of *Daspletosaurus* species by

punctuated equilibrium can therefore be rejected based on the topology of the recovered cladogram alone. Secondly, *D. wilsoni* almost entirely lacks autapomorphies, displaying only a single feature not also present in either *D. torosus* or *D. horneri* (a rostrocaudally elongate mylohyoid foramen of the splenial, recovered by the cladistic analysis; see Results). Wagner and Erwin (1995) and Szalay (1977) noted that ancestors should lack apomorphies relative to descendants, such that a paucity of autapomorphies suggests that an ancestral taxon has been sampled. Similarly, Wilson et al. (2020) noted the absence of autapomorphies in several centrosaurine taxa hypothesized therein to represent an anagenetically evolving lineage, with stratigraphically successive taxa being defined by combinations of plesiomorphic and apomorphic characters rather than species-level autapomorphies (forming "metaspecies;" Wilson et al., 2020; Horner, Varricchio, and Goodwin, 1992). Indeed, a hypothesis of cladogenesis at the root of the clade formed by *D. wilsoni* and more derived tyrannosaurines would rest entirely upon the elongate mylohyoid foramen of this species as evidence of divergence from other *Daspletosaurus*; in the absence of additional characters supporting this hypothesis, the sole autapomorphy of *D. wilsoni* may alternatively represent individual variation, or a character evolved within this species and lost before the appearance of *D. horneri* (similar to the lateral exposure of the dorsal quadrate contact of the quadratojugal, present in *D. wilsoni* and the holotype of *D. horneri*, but not in the stratigraphically sequential paratype specimen or more derived tyrannosaurines; see Description). The morphological evidence for a cladogenetic origin of *D. wilsoni* is therefore weak; the blend of ancestral and derived characteristics in this species and the near total absence of autapomorphies is more consistent with anagenesis between stratigraphically antecedent (*D. torosus*) and subsequent (*D. horneri*) taxa. In light of this evidence, we propose that the three species of this *Daspletosaurus* represent an anagenetically evolving lineage (Fig. 13); as noted above, this hypothesis will be subject to revision following further study into the phylogenetic affinities of species within the genus, additional discoveries of *Daspletosaurus* individuals from stratigraphically intermediate horizons (which under an anagenetic model, should be intermediate in morphology between species), and characterization of the range of individual variation present in relevant characters proposed here to represent species-level autapomorphies or morphological transitions between taxa.

Should branching events (i.e., cladogenesis) within *Daspletosaurus* be demonstrated by future studies or discoveries (e.g., if the Dinosaur Park taxon is demonstrated to be both distinct from and contemporaneous with *D. wilsoni*), this would not necessarily exclude anagenesis from playing a role in the generation of morphological novelty within the genus. Wagner and Erwin (1995) noted the presence of anagenetic change between branching events in plesiomorphic lineages (=ancestral lineages; the lineage from which cladogenetically derived taxa branch) not in morphological stasis, which led these authors to designate this pattern of speciation as "bifurcation," reserving "cladogenesis" for branching from morphologically static ancestral taxa. Although we do not adopt their terminology, we agree that anagenesis can operate in concert with cladogenesis in order to produce observed patterns of macroevolutionary change. In the case of *Daspletosaurus*, while autapomorphies of individual species may represent the results of cladogenesis, the synapomorphies of progressively more exclusive clades within the genus (e.g., coarse symphyseal texture of the dentary in

Daspletosaurus, inflated rostrodorsal ala of the lacrimal in *D. wilsoni* + *D. horneri*, etc.) would remain anagenetically derived under a typically cladogenetic model. Anagenesis therefore enjoys a predominant role in the evolution of derived morphologies within derived tyrannosaurines, regardless of the presence of branching events within *Daspletosaurus* (in contrast to morphologically static genera, in which morphological change is concentrated at the base of cladogenetic events; Eldredge and Gould, 1972).

The low bootstrap support recovered for the results of the cladistic analysis may also be readily explained in the context of anagenesis. As noted by Soltis and Soltis (2003), low bootstrap scores may be recovered for otherwise well-supported clades (e.g., clades recovered within all MPTs, as in all of the interrelationships of *Daspletosaurus* recovered here) if they are supported by few characters, given that the chance of supporting characters being included in a bootstrap resample is lower with fewer characters. This is a common occurrence among recently diverged clades which have not had much time to accrue synapomorphies (Soltis and Soltis, 2003), but the same would apply to an anagenetically evolving *Daspletosaurus*; should *D. wilsoni* represent a descendant of *D. torosus* as proposed here, then all of the synapomorphies of the *D. wilsoni* + more derived tyrannosaurines clade must have been evolved within the ~500 Ka window between *D. torosus* and *D. wilsoni* (it should be noted that this would also be true in the case of recent divergence of the *D. wilsoni* + more derived tyrannosaurine clade via cladogenesis; therefore, low bootstrap scores cannot be taken as evidence of anagenesis, but are at least consistent with it).

More generally, as sampling of a lineage increases, the temporal windows between sampled taxa must necessarily be reduced, and synapomorphies of progressively more derived clades will therefore be fewer (with the same number of character changes distributed among more clades as sampling increases), such that bootstrap scores can be expected to correlate negatively with sampling intensity. To this point, removal of *D. wilsoni* (in addition to *Nanuqsaurus* and *Thanatotheristes*, as described above; see Results) from the cladistic analysis recovers an identical tree topology, but increases bootstrap support for the *D. horneri* + more derived tyrannosaurines clade from 8 to 25 (still a low score, to be sure, but over three times higher).

Bootstrap scores can also be affected by the inclusion of characters irrelevant to the node in question (Soltis and Soltis, 2003). The phylogenetic character matrix of Carr et al. (2017) used here contains characters informative across Tyrannosauroidea, including hundreds of characters that are not informative within *Daspletosaurus* or derived Tyrannosaurinae in general (i.e., characters not recovered as autapomorphies or synapomorphies for species or groups within this clade, respectively). We therefore regard the low bootstrap scores recovered for the phylogenetic placement of *D. wilsoni* not as evidence of an erroneous result, but as an expected consequence of higher taxonomic resolution among derived tyrannosaurines and the nature of the data matrix used.

Conclusions

D. wilsoni sp. nov., a stratigraphic and morphological intermediate between *D. torosus* and *D. horneri*, is hypothesized to represent a transitional form along an anagenetic lineage linking both previously named species of *Daspletosaurus*. This finding, in concert with previous identifications of anagenesis in contemporary dinosaur lineages, emphasizes the explanatory power of anagenesis in the production of evolutionary trends among dinosaurs of the Late Cretaceous Western Interior (Scannella et al., 2014; Freedman Fowler and Horner; Fowler and Freedman Fowler, 2020; Wilson et al., 2020). Indeed, as anagenesis continues to be identified among fossil lineages, the predominant relative frequency of strictly cladogenetic evolutionary models (e.g., punctuated equilibria; Eldredge and Gould, 1972) must eventually come under scrutiny. Future explorations of evolutionary mode in fossil taxa, including further tests of the hypotheses presented here, will be important in this regard, and have the potential to refine understanding of the pattern and process of dinosaur evolution.

Institutional Abbreviations

AMNH – American Museum of Natural History, New York, New York, USA

BDM – Badlands Dinosaur Museum, Dickinson Museum Center, Dickinson, North Dakota, USA

CMN – Canadian Museum of Nature, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

FMNH – Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, Illinois, USA

GIN – Palaeontological Centre of the Mongolian Academy of Sciences, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia

GM – Ganzhou Museum, Ganzhou, China

MOR – Museum of the Rockies, Bozeman, Montana, USA

TMP – Royal Tyrrell Museum of Paleontology, Drumheller, Alberta, Canada

UALVP – University of Alberta Laboratory for Vertebrate Palaeontology, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

UMNH –Natural History Museum of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA

ZPAL – Institute of Palaeobiology of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

Acknowledgments

Special thanks are given to John Wilson for his discovery of the holotype specimen. Thanks also to Elizabeth Freedman Fowler and Matthew Lavin for discussion and guidance that improved the quality of this manuscript, and to David Hone, Jared Voris, and Thomas Holtz for helpful reviews. Thanks to Joshua Chase, Greg Liggett, Pat Gunderson, and other employees of the Bureau of Land Management who assisted DF with land access, permitting, and excavation. We would also like to thank the members of BDM field crews that worked tirelessly to excavate "Jack's B2," including Meara Aberle, Jon Carr, Andrew Chappelle, Steven Clawson, Chalfant Conley, Brian Conway, Joshua deOlivera, Jordan Drost, Robert Ebelhar, Elizabeth Flint, Elizabeth Freedman Fowler, Joshua Fry, Sandi Guarino, Brad Hoole, Felipe Jannarone, Marianna Karagiannis, Ashley Lambert, Rachel Livengood, Kat Maguire, Marianna Rogers, Emily Waldman,

Solin Wanders, Alyssa Wiegers, Jack Wilson, and many others, without whom study of the holotype specimen would not have been possible. Thanks to Steven Clawson, Amanda Hendrix, Destiny Wolf, and Darrah Steffen for their preparation and curation of the holotype specimen. Thanks also to the Bergtohl family for land access, and to Matt Poole and Montana State Office Glasgow for camping access.

References

1. Brochu, C. A. (2003). Osteology of Tyrannosaurus rex: insights from a nearly complete skeleton and high-resolution computed tomographic analysis of the skull. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, *22*(sup4), 1-138.
2. Brown, C. M., Currie, P. J., & Therrien, F. (2022). Intraspecific facial bite marks in tyrannosaurids provide insight into sexual maturity and evolution of bird-like intersexual display. *Paleobiology*, *48*(1), 12-43.
3. Brusatte, S. L., Carr, T. D., & Norell, M. A. (2012). The osteology of *Alioramus*, a gracile and long-snouted tyrannosaurid (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the Late Cretaceous of Mongolia. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*, *2012*(366), 1-197.
4. Brusatte, S. L., & Carr, T. D. (2016). The phylogeny and evolutionary history of tyrannosauroid dinosaurs. *Scientific Reports*, *6*(1), 1-8.
5. Brusatte, S. L., Norell, M. A., Carr, T. D., Erickson, G. M., Hutchinson, J. R., Balanoff, A. M., ... & Xu, X. (2010). Tyrannosaur paleobiology: new research on ancient exemplar organisms. *science*, *329*(5998), 1481-1485.
6. Brusatte, S. L., Sakamoto, M., Montanari, S., & Harcourt Smith, W. E. H. (2012). The evolution of cranial form and function in theropod dinosaurs: insights from geometric morphometrics. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *25*(2), 365-377.
7. Carpenter, K. (1990). Variation in *Tyrannosaurus rex*. *Dinosaur systematics: perspectives and approaches*, 141-145.
8. Carr, T. D. (1999). Craniofacial ontogeny in tyrannosauridae (Dinosauria, Coelurosauria). *Journal of vertebrate Paleontology*, *19*(3), 497-520.
9. Carr, T. D. (2020). A high-resolution growth series of *Tyrannosaurus rex* obtained from multiple lines of evidence. PeerJ 8:e9192, DOI 10.7717/peerj.9192
10. Carr, T. D., & Williamson, T. E. (2004). Diversity of late Maastrichtian Tyrannosauridae (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from western North America. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, *142*(4), 479-523.
11. Carr, T. D., Varricchio, D. J., Sedlmayr, J. C., Roberts, E. M., & Moore, J. R. (2017). A new tyrannosaur with evidence for anagenesis and crocodile-like facial sensory system. *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1), 1-11.
12. Carr, T. D., Williamson, T. E., & Schwimmer, D. R. (2005). A new genus and species of tyrannosauroid from the Late Cretaceous (Middle Campanian) Demopolis Formation of Alabama. *Journal of vertebrate Paleontology*, *25*(1), 119-143.
13. Carr, T. D., Williamson, T. E., Britt, B. B., & Stadtman, K. (2011). Evidence for high taxonomic and morphologic tyrannosauroid diversity in the Late Cretaceous (Late Campanian) of the American Southwest and a new short-skulled tyrannosaurid from the Kaiparowits formation of Utah. *Naturwissenschaften*, *98*(3), 241-246.

14. Carr, T., Varricchio, D., Sedlmayr, J. *et al* (2017). A new tyrannosaur with evidence for anagenesis and crocodile-like facial sensory system. *Sci Rep* 7, 44942.
15. Currie, P. J. (2003). Cranial anatomy of tyrannosaurid dinosaurs from the Late Cretaceous of Alberta, Canada. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 48 (2): 191–226.
16. Eberth, D. A., Currie, P. J., & Koppelhus, E. B. (2005). *3. The Geology* (pp. 54-82). Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
17. Goloboff, P. A., Farris, J. S., & Nixon, K. C. (2008). TNT, a free program for phylogenetic analysis. *Cladistics*, *24*(5), 774-786.
18. Gould, S. J., & Eldredge, N. (1972). Punctuated equilibria: an alternative to phyletic gradualism. *Models in paleobiology*, *1972*, 82-115.
19. Fiorillo, A. R., & Tykoski, R. S. (2014). A diminutive new tyrannosaur from the top of the world. *PLoS One*, *9*(3), e91287.
20. Foster, W., Brusatte, S. L., Carr, T. D., Williamson, T. E., Yi, L., & Lü, J. (2021). The cranial anatomy of the long-snouted tyrannosaurid dinosaur *Qianzhousaurus sinensis* from the Upper Cretaceous of China. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, *41*(4), e1999251.
21. Foth C, Hedrick BP, Ezcurra MD (2016).Cranial ontogenetic variation in early saurischians and the role of heterochrony in the diversification of predatory dinosaurs. *PeerJ* 4:e1589
22. Foth, C and Rauhut, O. W. M. (2013a). The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly: The Influence of Skull Reconstructions and Intraspecific Variability in Studies of Cranial Morphometrics in Theropods and Basal Saurischians. PLoS ONE 8(8): e72007.
23. Foth, C and Rauhut, O. W. M. Rauhut (2013b). Macroevolutionary and Morphofunctional Patterns in Theropod Skulls: A Morphometric Approach. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* 58(1), 1-6.
24. Fowler DW (2017) Revised geochronology, correlation, and dinosaur stratigraphic ranges of the Santonian-Maastrichtian (Late Cretaceous) formations of the Western Interior of North America. PLoS ONE 12(11): e0188426.
25. Fowler, D. W., & Fowler, E. A. F. (2020). Transitional evolutionary forms in chasmosaurine ceratopsid dinosaurs: evidence from the Campanian of New Mexico. *PeerJ*, *8*, e9251.
26. Freedman Fowler, E. A., & Horner, J. R. (2015). A new brachylophosaurin hadrosaur (Dinosauria: Ornithischia) with an intermediate nasal crest from the Campanian Judith River Formation of northcentral Montana. *PloS one*, *10*(11), e0141304.
27. Gignac, P. M., & Erickson, G. M. (2017). The biomechanics behind extreme osteophagy in Tyrannosaurus rex. *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1), 1-10.
28. Horner, J. R., Varricchio, D. J., & Goodwin, M. B. (1992). Marine transgressions and the evolution of Cretaceous dinosaurs. *Nature*, *358*(6381), 59-61.
29. Hurum, J.H. and Sabath, K. (2003). Giant theropod dinosaurs from Asia and North America: Skulls of *Tarbosaurus bataar* and *Tyrannosaurus rex* compared. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 48 (2): 161–190.
30. Loewen, M. A., Irmis, R. B., Sertich, J. J., Currie, P. J., & Sampson, S. D. (2013). Tyrant dinosaur evolution tracks the rise and fall of Late Cretaceous oceans. *PloS one*, *8*(11), e79420.
31. Lü, J., Yi, L., Brusatte, S. L., Yang, L., Li, H., & Chen, L. (2014). A new clade of Asian Late Cretaceous long-snouted tyrannosaurids. *Nature communications*, *5*(1), 1-10.

765 32. Matthew, W. D. and Brown, B. (1922). The family Deinodontidae, with notice of a new
766 genus from the Cretaceous of Alberta. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural
767 History 46(6):367-385
768 33. Matthew, W. D., & Brown, B. (1923). Preliminary notices of skeletons and skulls of
769 Deinodontidae from the Cretaceous of Alberta. American Museum novitates; no. 89.
770 34. McDonald, A. T., Wolfe, D. G., & Dooley Jr, A. C. (2018). A new tyrannosaurid
771 (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the Upper Cretaceous Menefee Formation of New
772 Mexico. *PeerJ*, *6*, e5749.
773 35. Ogg JG, Hinnov LA. Cretaceous. In: Gradstein FM, Ogg JG, Schmitz MD, Ogg G, editors.
774 The Geologic Time Scale. 2. Oxford, UK: Elsevier; 2012. p. 793–853.
775 36. Osborn, H. F. (1905). Article XIV.-*Tyrannosaurus* and other Cretaceous dinosaurs. *Proc.*
776 *Acd. Nat. Sci. Phila*, *8*, 72.
777 37. Osborn, H. F. (1912). Crania of *Tyrannosaurus* and *Allosaurus*; Integument of the
778 iguanodont dinosaur *Trachodon*. Memoirs of the AMNH; new ser., v. 1, pt. 1-2.
779 38. Paulina Carabajal, A., Currie, P. J., Dudgeon, T. W., Larsson, H. C., & Miyashita, T. (2021).
780 Two braincases of Daspletosaurus (Theropoda: Tyrannosauridae): anatomy and
781 comparison1. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, *58*(9), 885-910.
782 39. Rogers, R. R., Kidwell, S. M., Deino, A. L., Mitchell, J. P., Nelson, K., & Thole, J. T. (2016).
783 Age, correlation, and lithostratigraphic revision of the Upper Cretaceous (Campanian)
784 Judith River Formation in its type area (north-central Montana), with a comparison of
785 low-and high-accommodation alluvial records. *The Journal of Geology*, *124*(1), 99-135.
786 40. Russell, D. A. (1970). Tyrannosaurs from the Late Cretaceous of western Canada.
787 Ottawa: National Museum of Natural Sciences, Publications in Palaeontology, No. 1.
788 41. Scannella, J. B., Fowler, D. W., Goodwin, M. B., & Horner, J. R. (2014). Evolutionary
789 trends in Triceratops from the Hell Creek formation, Montana. *Proceedings of the*
790 *National Academy of Sciences*, *111*(28), 10245-10250.
791 42. Sereno, P. C., McAllister, S., & Brusatte, S. L. (2005). TaxonSearch: a relational database
792 for suprageneric taxa and phylogenetic definitions. *PhyloInformatics*, *8*(56), 1-25.
793 43. Soltis, P. S., & Soltis, D. E. (2003). Applying the bootstrap in phylogeny
794 reconstruction. *Statistical Science*, 256-267.
795 44. Szalay, F. S. (1977). Ancestors, descendants, sister groups and testing of phylogenetic
796 hypotheses. *Systematic Biology*, *26*(1), 12-18.
797 45. Tanke, D.H. and Currie, P.J. (2010). A history of *Albertosaurus* discoveries in Alberta,
798 Canada. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*. **47**(9): 1197 -1211.
799 46. Voris, J. T., Therrien, F., Zelenitsky, D. K., & Brown, C. M. (2020). A new tyrannosaurine
800 (Theropoda: Tyrannosauridae) from the Campanian Foremost Formation of Alberta,
801 Canada, provides insight into the evolution and biogeography of
802 tyrannosaurids. *Cretaceous Research*, *110*, 104388.
803 47. Wagner, P. J., Erwin, D. H., & Anstey, R. L. (1995). Phylogenetic patterns as tests of
804 speciation models. *New approaches to speciation in the fossil record. Columbia*
805 *University Press, New York*, 87-122.
806 48. Warshaw, E. A. (In Review). A reevaluation of evolutionary mode in derived
807 tyrannosaurines. *PeerJ*.

808 49. Wilson, J. P., Ryan, M. J., & Evans, D. C. (2020). A new, transitional centrosaurine
809 ceratopsid from the Upper Cretaceous Two Medicine Formation of Montana and the
810 evolution of the 'Styracosaurus-line' dinosaurs. *Royal Society Open Science*, *7*(4),
811 200284.
812 50. Zietlow, A. R. (2020). Craniofacial ontogeny in Tylosaurinae. *PeerJ*, *8*, e10145.

813

Figure 1

Map of the area of discovery of BDM 107, holotype of *D. wilsoni* sp. nov.

Nearby towns (Hinsdale, Glasgow, Saco) and highways (US-2) are labeled. Dashed lines indicate county boundaries; “Jack’s B2” site indicated by star.


BDM 107
Area of study
Saco
Hinsdale
2
Glasgow
N
20 km
Valley County
McCone County
Garfield County

Figure 2

Premaxillae of BDM 107.

Shown in lateral (A), medial (B), and rostral (C) views. Abbreviations are as follows: nf, neurovascular foramina; smp, symphysis. Scale is 10 cm.


A
smp
smp
B
nf
C
10 cm

Figure 3

Left maxilla of BDM 107.

Shown in lateral (A) and medial (B) views. Abbreviations are as follows: af, antorbital fossa; aof, antorbital fenestra; ma, maxillary antrum; mxf, maxillary fenestra; pmr, promaxillary recess; pmx, promaxillary fenestra; ps, palatal shelf; nf, neurovascular foramina; ns, neurovascular sulci. Scale is 10 cm.


A
10 cm
pmx
af
aof
mxf
ns
nf
B
pmr
ma
mxf
aof
ps

Figure 4

Right jugal of BDM 107.

Shown in medial (A) and lateral (B) views. Abbreviations are as follows: cp, cornual process; po, pneumatic opening; pop, postorbital process. Scale is 10 cm.



Figure 5

Left lacrimal of BDM 107.

Shown in lateral (A), medial (B), and dorsal (C) views. Abbreviations are as follows: cpa, cornual process apex; fr, frontal ramus; po, pneumatic opening; rda, rostrodorsal ala; rr, rostral ramus; vp, ventral process; vr, ventral ramus. Scale is 10 cm.


A
cpa
rr
fr
po
rda
vp
10 cm
vr
B
cpa
fr
rr
po
rda
vp
vr
fr
cpa
rr
C

Figure 6

Left postorbital of BDM 107.

Shown in lateral (A), dorsal (B), medial (C), caudal (D), and rostral (E) views. Abbreviations are as follows: cdt, caudodorsal tuberosity; dtf, dorsotemporal fossa; fc, frontal contact; lsc, laterosphenoid contact; sop, subocular process; sos, supraorbital shelf. Scale is 10 cm.


B
dtf
A
C
sos
cdt
fc
lsc
10 cm
sop
sos
cdt
E
D

Figure 7

Left squamosal of BDM 107.

Shown in lateral (A), medial (B), and rostral (C) views. Abbreviations are as follows: cp, caudal process; ltf, laterotemporal fenestra; pcs, postorbital contact surface; po, pneumatic opening, qjp, quadratojugal process; rmm, rostromedial margin of pneumatic recess. Scale is 10 cm.



Figure 8

Right quadratojugal of BDM 107.

Shown in lateral (A) and medial (B) views. Abbreviations are as follows: dqc, dorsal quadrate contact; jr, jugal ramus; sc, squamosal contact; vqc, ventral quadrate contact. Scale is 10 cm.



Figure 9

Right quadrate of BDM 107.

Shown in medial (A) and lateral (B) views. Abbreviations are as follows: op, orbital process; mc, mandibular condyles; po, pneumatic opening; pqf, paraquadrate foramen. Scale is 10 cm.



Figure 10

Right dentary of BDM 107.

Shown in lateral (A) and medial (B) views. Abbreviations are as follows: dc, dentary chin; dg, dentary groove; mcf, Meckelian foramen; mg, Meckelian groove; nf, neurovascular foramina; ns, neurovascular sulci; pt, pathology. Scale is 10 cm.



Figure 11

Right splenial of BDM 107.

Shown in medial (A) and lateral (B) views. Abbreviations are as follows: dcs, dentary contact surface; mhf, mylohyoid foramen. Scale is 10 cm.



Figure 12

Results of the cladistic analysis.

Grey nodes denote *Daspletosaurus*, star denotes *D. wilsoni*, and numbers by each node are bootstrap support. Skull reconstruction represents the holotype of *D. wilsoni*, BDM 107 (known material in white).



Figure 13

Time-calibrated phylogeny of *Daspletosaurus*.

Ages (left) are in Ma and are based on Carr et al. (2017) and Fowler (2017) for *D. torosus* and *D. horneri*. Representative skulls are, from top to bottom: *D. horneri*, MOR 590; *D. wilsoni*, BDM 107 (known material in white); *D. torosus*, CMN 8506. Star represents the temporal position of the *D. wilsoni* holotype (BDM 107; see Geologic Context) along an anagenetic *Daspletosaurus* lineage. Accompanying characters represent synapomorphies of progressively more exclusive clades represented by each taxon (e.g., *D. wilsoni* + more derived tyrannosaurines, *D.* horneri + more derived tyrannosaurines). No clear demarcations are drawn between taxa along the depicted lineage, given the relative paucity of specimens and the subjectivity intrinsic to species delineations of anagenetic lineages; ages of taxa are therefore imprecise. Scale is 10 cm.


More derived tyrannosaurines
(e.g., *Tyrannosaurus*)
75.0
Age (Ma)
76.0
77.0
D. horneri
-Lacrimal cornual process further reduced
-Postorbital cornual process does not approach laterotemporal fenestra
-Rostromedially oriented prefrontal
-Entire margin of squamosal pneumatic recess undercut
D. wilsoni
-Mediolaterally arranged premaxillary alveoli
-Lacrimal cornual process reduced
-Rostrodorsal ala of lacrimal inflated
-Dorsal quadrate contact of quadratojugal exposed laterally
10 CM
D. torosus
-Extremely coarse subcutaneous surface of maxilla
-Squamosal contact surface of postorbital terminates caudal to rostral margin of laterotemporal fenestra
-Extremely coarse symphyseal surface of dentary
Less derived tyrannosaurines
(e.g., *Teratophoneus*)