## Enhanced biomass and thermotolerance of Arabidopsis by SiERECTA of Setaria italica L.

3 Jiacheng Zheng<sup>1,2†</sup>, Xiaoyi Huang<sup>1†</sup>, Jieqin Li<sup>1</sup>, Chaowu Zeng<sup>3</sup>, Qingyuan He<sup>1</sup>, Wan Zhao<sup>2</sup>, Haizhou 4 5 Chen<sup>4</sup>, Qiuwen Zhan<sup>1\*</sup> and Zhaoshi Xu<sup>2\*</sup>

6

2

7 <sup>1</sup>College of Agronomy, Anhui Science and Technology University, Fengyang, Anhui, 233100,

8

9 <sup>2</sup>Institute of Crop Science, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (CAAS)/National Key 10 Facility for Crop Gene Resources and Genetic Improvement, Key Laboratory of Biology and 11 Genetic Improvement of Triticeae Crops, Ministry of Agriculture, Beijing, 10081, P.R. China

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Crop Sciences, Xinjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Urumuqi 830091, China 12

<sup>4</sup>Anhui Youxin Agricultural Science and Technology Co. LTD, New Bengbu Road, Hefei, Anhui, 13 14

230011, P.R. China

15

\*Corresponding Author: 16

17 Qiu Wen Zhan and Zhao Shi Xu qwzhan@163.com (Q.-W.Z.); xuzhaoshi@caas.cn (Z.-S.X.)

18 19

<sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally to the present work.

20 21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

### **ABSTRACT**

Foxtail millet is commonly used as food and forage grass. ERECTA (ER) is a receptor-like kinase that can improve plant biomass and stress resistance. Sorghum SbER10 X1 gene was used as a probe to obtain ER family genes on the Setaria italica genomes (SiERs), and detect the functional characteristics of SiERs family. Herein, the structural features, expression patterns, and thermotolerance of SiERs function were identified by bioinformatics analysis, real-time PCR and transgenosis estimation. Results showed that SiERs had four members: two members were located on chromosome 1 with a total of six copies (SiER1 X1, SiER1 X2, SiER1 X3, SiER1 X4, SiER1 X5, and SiER1 X6), and two were on chromosome 4, namely, SiER4 (SiER4 X1 and SiER4 X2) and SiERL1. Among them, SiER1 X4 and SiER4 X1 were expressed the highest in aboveground organs of foxtail millet, and actively responded to treatments with abscisic acid, brassinolide, gibberellin, and indole acetic acid. After overexpression of SiER1 X4 and SiER4 X1 in Arabidopsis, the plant height and biomass of transgenic Arabidopsis significantly increased. Under high-temperature treatment, comparing to wild type, transgenic seedlings survived better after restoration of well-watered culture. Transgenic lines showed higher SOD and POD activities, and the expression of AtHSF1 and AtBl1 genge significantly increased. These results indicated that SiER1\_X4 and SiER4\_X1 played important regulatory roles in plant growth and thermotolerance.

40 biomass of forage grass and thermotolerance of field crops.

41 Subjects Bioinformatics, Functional genomics, Plant physiology

42 Keywords SiERECTA family, Expression characteristics, Thermotolerance, Biomass, Foxtail millet

The two genes provide potential breeding targets or biotechnological methods to improve the

43 44

## INTRODUCTION

45 Foxtail millet is an annual C<sub>4</sub> crop that can be used as food and forage grass (Singh et al., 2021). In Deleted: in

Deleted: d

arid and semi-arid regions, foxtail millet shows strong tolerance to various abiotic stresses (drought, salinity, high temperature, etc.) (*Aidoo et al., 2016*). However, the natural factors relied by field crops is increasingly complex. The human demand for food and energy is intensified by the global population growth and per capita income increase. To cope with this severe challenge, crop breeding varieties need to be improved by traditional breeding, functional gene screening, genome editing, and other technologies. The foxtail millet genome contains many excellent genes related to drought resistance, barren tolerance, high yield, and high light efficiency (*Zhang et al., 2012*). The rational utilization of foxtail millet functional genes is an important strategy to ensure food security, solve energy crisis, and promote development of animal husbandry.

ERECTA (ER) belongs to receptor-like kinases (RLKs) involved in the regulation of plant photosynthesis and transpiration efficiency, thereby increasing biomass and plant resistance (*Masle et al., 2005*; *van Zanten et al., 2009*). *SbER2-1* was isolated from the drought-tolerant model plant sorghum, overexpression of *SbER2-1* in maize conferred the increased drought tolerance, especially in regard to improved water-use efficiency (*Li et al., 2019*). When *Arabidopsis AtER* gene was overexpressed in tomato and rice, the biomass of transgenic lines was increased and heat tolerance was enhanced (*Shen et al., 2015*). Further studies had shown that the fusion gene of chitin elicitor receptor kinase 1 and *ER* (*CERK1n-ER*) can induce the production of chitooligosaccharides and improve the heat tolerance of *Arabidopsis* (*Chen et al., 2020*). Overexpression of *PdER* gene in *Arabidopsis* from Poplar, resulted in reduced stomatal density, thereby influencing transpiration, water-use efficiency and drought tolerance (*Li et al., 2021*). Interference of MAPK cascade reaction through the interaction of *ER* with *BAK1* gene increased the resistance of *Arabidopsis* to the necrotrophic fungus, *Plectosphaerella cucumerina BMM* (*PcBMM*) (*Jorda et al., 2016*; *Mei et al., 2021*). These results showed that the ER family had broad application prospects in regulating plant development and stress resistance.

In the current research, the characteristics of SiER family members (SiERs) in the foxtail millet genome were analyzed. The cis-regulatory elements of SiERs promoters and the amino acid motif structure were predicted, and the evolutionary relationship of SiERs family in monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants was clarified. Moreover, SiER1\_X4, and SiER4\_X1 genes were isolated, and the expression levels in five main foxtail millet varieties, tissue expression specificity, and hormone-induced expression patterns of the two genes were identified. Finally, the biomass and thermotolerance of transgenic Arabidopsis, overexpressing SiER1\_X4 and SiER4\_X1 genes, respectively, were evaluated. The findings provided the functional genes for improvement of potential production and stress resistance in gramineous crops.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Phylogenetic analysis of SiERs family in Setaria italica

Deleted: x

Deleted: In poplar, o

Deleted: r

Two SiER gene tags from foxtail millet (Seita.4G086700.1 and Seita.1G338900.1) were obtained with the sorghum SbER10\_X1 gene (XM\_002437978.2) as a reference sequence after BLAST in the Phytozome v12.1 database. Four families of SiERs members were obtained by searching NCBI database with the two SiER tags to predict the complete CDS and chromosome-position information. The exon distribution (GSDS 2.0), cis-regulatory elements of promoters (Plant CARE), subcellular localization characteristics (Plant-mPLoc) and motif structure (MEME) of SiERs family were predicted. Moreover, the conserved functional domains (PROSITE and SMART databases), amino acid size, molecular weight, and isoelectric point (ProtParam) of SiERs proteins were analyzed. Table S1\_lists\_all databases and their URLs available at the journal's website.

Based on the functional domains of SiERs, the amino acid sequences of the published ER family in monocot and dicot plants with similarity above 80% were downloaded from NCBI database (Annex 2, SiER1\_X4 gene was listed in Annex 4), to produce SiERs phylogenetic tree by MEGA5.0 software with a threshold of 1000 replications for bootstrap, according to the neighbor-joining method (Tamura *et al.* 2013).

### Genes isolation and subcellular localization of SiER1 X4 and SiER4 X1

Due to the abundant transcription of *SiERs* in the pedicel tissue of the Dungu variety at heading stage, total RNA from pedicel was extracted with RNAprep Pure Kit (Tiangen, DP432, China), and cDNA was synthesized with a PrimeScript First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Takara, 6110A, Japan). Taking the pedicel cDNA as material, specific primers (*SiER1\_X4-F2/SiER1\_X4-R2* and *SiER4\_X1-F3 /SiER4\_X1-R3* in Annex 3) were designed to separate *SiER1\_X4* and *SiER4\_X1* fragment, respectively. The PCR reaction (50  $\mu$ L) was as follows: 25  $\mu$ L of 2× PCR buffer, 10  $\mu$ L of dNTP (2 mM), 1.5  $\mu$ L of Primer-F<sub>(10 mM)</sub>, 1.5  $\mu$ L of Primer-R<sub>(10 mM)</sub>, 1  $\mu$ L of KOD FX (1.0 U/mL, KFX-101, Toyobo, China), 5  $\mu$ L of cDNA as template, 6  $\mu$ L of ddH<sub>2</sub>O. The PCR procedure was as follows: 94 °C for 2 min, 40 cycles (98 °C for 10 s, 65°C for renaturation in both *SiER1\_X4* and *SiER4\_X1* gene, lasting for 30s, 68°C for 4 min for extension), and 68°C for 10 min.

The code fragment of SiER1\_X4 and SiER4\_X1 (without the stop codon) was separated through SiER1\_X4-gfpF1/SiER1\_X4-gfpR1 and SiER4\_X1-gfpF1/SiER4\_X1-gfpR1 primers (Annex 3). The same PCR procedure and reaction system as above were used, except for the 62 °C and 61 °C for renaturation in SiER1\_X4-gfp and SiER4\_X1-gfp gene, respectively. The fusion-protein was generated as below: PCR products of SiER1\_X4 and SiER4\_X1 were differentially integrated into the N terminal of green fluorescent protein vector (pJIT16318-GFP), which included CaMV35S promoter. pJIT16318-SiER1\_X4 and pJIT16318-SiER4\_X1 were transferred into wheat mesophyll protoplasts (isolation from 10-day-old wheat seedlings) via the PEG4000-mediated method (Cui et al. 2019). The transformed cells were incubated at 22°C in darkness for 18-20 h, and then observed and photographed under a confocal laser scanning microscope (LSM700; CarlZeiss, Germany).

### Deleted: T

Deleted: Supplementary Material Annex 1 (

Deleted: 1)

Deleted: ed

Commented [PSI]: NCBI ID for SiER1\_X4 not mentioned in Annex 4. Please include the ID in Annex 2 even if it is mentioned in Annex 4.

Deleted: system

Commented [PS2]: Is it mM or  $\mu$ M?

## Thermotolerance identification of transgenic Arabidopsis

SiER1\_X4 and SiER4\_X1 segments (without the stop codon for fusion-protein development) were separated by primers of SiER1\_X4-1302F1/SiER1\_X4-1302R1 and SiER4\_X1-1302F1/SiER4\_X1-1302R1, respectively (Annex 3). The same PCR procedure and reaction system were used as above, except for 64 °C and 62 °C for renaturation in SiER1\_X4-1302 and SiER4\_X1-1302 gene, respectively. The PCR products of SiER1\_X4 and SiER4\_X1 were inserted into pCAMBIA1302 vector (CaMV35S promoter) to obtain the fusion vectors of pCAMBIA1302-SbER1\_X4 and pCAMBIA1302-SbER4\_X1, respectively. According to the steps of Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation system (Bradley et al. 1997), the targeted fusion vectors were transformed into Arabidopsis (Columbia ecotype). The offspring seeds were screened under antibiotics to obtain homozygous transgenic SiER1\_X4 and SiER4\_X1 lines. The test steps were described as Chen et al. (Chen et al., 2020).

The stable transgenic lines overexpressing target genes were selected to cultivate on MS medium for 3 days (without antibiotics), and then moved into a light incubator to grow for 7 days. Seedlings of the similar size were transplanted into flower pots  $(6.8\times6.8~\text{cm})$  with nine plants in each pot and ten pots per transgenic line. After 10 days of continuous growth in a greenhouse  $(26^{\circ}\text{C})$  growth with an 8 h/16 h dark/light, photon flux density of  $525\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$  m<sup>-2</sup>), five pots per transgenic line were treated in a light incubator at  $42^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 48 h and 60 h, and the five remains were well cultivated at  $26^{\circ}\text{C}$  for later biomass investigation (Control).

After high-temperature treatment for 60 h, the leaves of transgenic and wild-type (WT) lines were collected, some samples were used to determine SOD and POD activity, referring to the procedures described by *Zheng et al.* (2020), the rest was quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C for qRT-PCR. All the remaining treated lines were transferred to the greenhouse, cultivation was resumed for 11 days, to observe the recovery growth of *Arabidopsis* plants, the number of plants with green leaves was counted to assess the survival rate of transgenic and WT lines after high-temperature treatment. Four individual plants from each line were served as biological replicates.

## Plant material and hormone-induction treatment

Five foxtail millet germplasm resources (Dabaigu, Dungu, Jingu21, Yugu1, and Kuanjiu) were pregerminated for 4 days. Seedlings with the similar germination were transplanted to flower pots (35  $\times$  35 cm) with forty plants in each pot, and the flower pots were placed in a light incubator for growth (humidity 60%; temperature 23 °C/20 °C day/night; 16 h/8 h light/dark; light intensity 525  $\mu mol \cdot s^{-1} \cdot m^{-2}$ ). After 6 days, mixture of the stems and leaves from a single plant for each variety was collected. After culturing the remaining plants for 15 days, seedlings were taken out with roots, rinsed off the soil, and placed on filter paper to dry instantaneously, then cultured in hormone solution and deionized water (control). The concentration of hormone solution was as follows:

Deleted: partially

Deleted: well

abscisic acid (ABA) 100  $\mu$ M, brassinolides (BRs) 0.75  $\mu$ M, gibberellin (GA<sub>3</sub>) 30 mM and indole acetic acid (IAA) 10  $\mu$ M (*Zheng et al., 2016*). Samples (mixture of stems and leaves) were separately collected for qRT-PCR. The treatment periods were 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 12, 24, 48, and 60 h.

In May 2021, the Dungu variety was planted in the experimental field, embryo and coleoptile were collected at the germ stage. Roots, stems, flag leaves, flag leaf sheaths, pedicels, and inflorescence samples were collected at the flowering stage. Seeds were collected at the maturity stage. All samples were quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen after collection and stored at -80 °C for later detection of *SiERs* expression patterns in diverse organs. Three individual plants were selected as biological replicates for each sample collection.

### Total RNA extraction and qRT-PCR analysis

The procedures of total RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis were as above. Nine cDNA sequences of SiERs family were aligned to design specific primers for SiER1\_X4 and SiER4\_X1 qRT-PCR expression. The high-temperature related gene AtHSFA1a and superoxide suppressor gene AtB11 were used to detect the molecular-response mechanism of SiER1\_X4 and SiER4\_X1 transgenic Arabidopsis plants after high-temperature stress (Yoshida et al., 2011; Ishikawa et al., 2013). The primers of SiER1\_X4 (SiER1\_X4-qRTF2/SiER1\_X4-qRTR2), SiER4\_X1 (SiER4\_X1-qRTF1/SiER4\_X1-qRTR1), AtHSFA1a (AtHSFA1a-qRTF2/AtHSFA1a-qRTR2), and AtB11\_(AtB11-qRTF1/AtB11-qRTR1), as well as the reference genes (SiActin-qRTF1/SiActin-qRTR1 and AtActin-qRTF5/ AtActin-qRTR5), are listed in Annex 3. The target-gene-expression level was detected by qRT-PCR analysis with the ABI Prism 7500 system (Applied Biosystems, USA). Three technical replicates and three biological replicates were conducted for all experiments, and the 2-ΔΔCt method was used for quantification (Liu et al., 2013).

## Data processing and statistical analysis

qRT-PCR data was analyzed in accordance with the procedure of Zheng and Hu (2016). Error analysis was conducted with SPSS Statistics Software version 18.0 (SPSS18.0 IBM, USA) based on the biological replicates of three individual plants. The related indicators of agronomic traits were also statistically analyzed using SPSS18.0 software. The data of all graphs was represented as the mean ±standard error. The graphics were analyzed and produced with OriginPro 2018C SR1 and Excel 2010 software.

### RESULTS

## Characteristics and phylogenetic relationship of SiERs family of foxtail millet

Four genes were found in the *SiERs* family of foxtail millet. Among them, *SiERL4* (gene ID: LOC101753243) and *SiER4* (gene ID: LOC10175555 8097) were distributed on chromosome 4, and *SiER1* was located on chromosome 1 with two genes (gene ID: LOC101780996 and gene ID:

Deleted: sization

LOC117840131) (Table 1). Further analysis (Fig. 1) showed that 1 copy and 26 exons were found in *SiERL4* sequences (XM\_004964364.4), and 2 copies and 27 exons in *SiER4* sequences. In exon 25, 6 amino acids were less encoded in *SiER4\_X2* (XM\_004964885.3) than in *SiER4\_X1* (XM\_004964884.4). Three copies were found in the LOC101780996 gene of *SiER1*, exons 1 and 2 were lacking in *SiER1\_X3* (XM\_014804622.2), 22 exons were found in the other two copies, 5 amino acids were lacking in exon 20 of *SiER1\_X2* (XM\_014804623.2), and valine was lacking in exon 21 of *SiER1\_X1* (XM\_014804625.2). Three copies were found in the LOC117840131 gene of *SiER1*, each of which contained 27 exons, compared with *SiER1\_X5* (XM\_034720593.1), mutations were found in exon 9 and 25 of *SiER1\_X4* (Annex 4), and one amino acid was lost in exon 26 of *SiER1\_X6* (XM\_034720600.1). The amino acid structure prediction indicated that SiER4 family was larger, and the LOC101780996 of SiER1 was smaller. The nine copies of four genes in the SiERs family were all predicted to be transmembrane proteins, a typical feature of ER family protein, whereas total 15 LRR tandem regions in SiERL4 protein, 13 LRR regions in SiER4, 9 LRR regions in LOC101780996 (SiER1), and 14 LRR regions in LOC1017840131 (SiER1) (Annex 5).

In the published ER family, cluster analysis showed four categories (Fig. 2): Category I and Category II contained the monocotyledonous plants, the six copies of SiER1 family and rice ER protein constituted the first category, in which SiER1\_X1 and SiER1\_X6, and SiER1\_X4 and SiER1\_X5 were closely related. Category II was composed of two copies of SiER4 family, as well as ERs of sorghum, maize, goat grass, wheat, barley, and brachypodium. SiER4 family was closely related to sorghum and maize. Category III was composed of ER family of dicotyledon as soybean and grape. Category IV was constituted by SiERL4 and *Arabidopsis* AtER and AtERL. This finding showed that in the evolution of ER families of different species, ERL was another branching direction, the phylogenetic relationship of SbER1 family was close to modern aquatic plants, whereas that of SbER4 family was closer to field xerophytic plants.

### SiERs gene structure and its cis-regulatory elements

The cis-regulatory elements of SiERs family promoters were primarily involved in regulating three types of plant functional responses as follows (Table 2): (a) cell development process, including seed development, endosperm formation, meristem and mesophyll cell differentiation, cell-development cycle changes, etc.; (b) hormone-response mechanisms, including regulation pathways mediated by salicylic acid, methyl jasmonate, abscisic acid, gibberellin and auxin; (c) biological metabolic reactions, including light response, drought and low temperature induction, adversity defense, anaerobic induction, circadian rhythm regulation, etc. These findings suggests that the SiERs family could participate in the regulation of plant growth and development, and may increase plant resistance to external stress.

SiERs family belonged to a typical receptor-like kinase (Annex 5), including the N-terminal signal-peptide region, the leucine tandem region (LRRs), the transmembrane region, and the C-

**Commented [PS3]:** Please include ID for *SiER1\_X4* gene in the Table as well.

Deleted: i

terminal serine/threonine kinase domain. ER families of different species greatly differed in amino acid residues in the N-terminal signal-peptide region and transmembrane region (Annex 6). The 15 motif-conserved structures in the SiERs family can be divided into two categories (Fig. 3): The first category included SiER1\_X1, SiER1\_X2, and SiER1\_X3, whereas the remaining six copies were classified into the second category. In the first category, motif 14 and 13, encoding the N-terminal signal-peptide region and the 1-3 LRR tandem domains, respectively, were lacking. Motif 8, encoding No.4 and 5 of the LRR region, was additionally lacking in SiER1\_X3. In the second category, except for SiERL4 that lacked motif 15 and 12 (encoding 13-14 LRR structures and transmembrane region, respectively), the other SiER proteins were all equipped with 15 completely conserved motif structures. This finding showed that no significant difference existed in the motif distribution of SiER family members, except for some amino acid change during the SiERs evolution, which speculated that the function of SiERs could be conserved in the foxtail millet.

The gene-structure characteristics of different *SiERs* copies revealed the following (Fig. 4): *SiERs* exons differed in the length, exon 25, 26, and 27 near the 3'-UTR region were larger, which encoded the threonine/serine kinase region of ER proteins. Exons near the 5'-UTR region had different cascade numbers, which mainly encoded the leucine tandem region of ER proteins. From these characteristics, it was speculated that SiERs proteins had similar regulatory functions, which received upstream signal and transmitted them into the cell, to induce downstream genes effects by phosphorylation. In the LOC101780996 genes, *SiER1\_X3* lacked the first two exons, and the distribution of other exons was similar. The first intron of *SiER4* family (LOC101758097) was larger, resulting in the largest sequence of *SiER4* family. *SiERL4* (LOC101753243) had 26 exons and was divided into a separate branch. It was reported that ER family often constituted 27 exons, and *ERL* only belonged to *ERECTA-LIKE1* family (*Masle et al., 2005*; *Pillitteri et al., 2012*). In this study, both of *SiER1\_X4* and *SiER4\_X1* had 27 exons, showed typical gene-structure of *SiERs* family, and were selected to be isolated for their functional characteristics.

## Expression patterns of SiERs in different foxtail millet varieties and diverse organs

Among the five common foxtail millet varieties in China, SiER1\_X4 and SiER4\_X1 showed the highest expression levels in Dungu, whereas SiER1\_X4 showed the lowest expression level in Yugu 1, as well as the lowest expression level of SiER4\_X1 in Dabaigu (Fig. 5). Compared with SiER1\_X4, SiER4\_X1 showed a higher expression level in the five foxtail millet varieties. This finding showed that SiERs had different transcription levels in different foxtail millet varieties and SiER4\_X1 may have a stronger regulatory function on the development of foxtail millet. Dungu was selected as an important material for subsequent gene-expression analysis.

In different organs of Dungu, SiER1\_X4 and SiER4\_X1 genes were highly expressed in aboveground organs but rarely expressed in underground root (Fig. 6). Taking root organ as a

**Commented [PS4]:** Please increase the font size so that it is much clearer

Deleted: s

reference, the expression level of the two genes in the pedicel were both the highest, reaching 70 and 61 times of that in root, respectively. The expression level in panicle ranked the second (only 36 and 31 times, respectively). The expression levels in leaves and kernels were similar, both of which were at a low level. Thus, the functional roles of *SiERs* probably differed in regulating the development of different organs of foxtail millet, and the transcription levels of *SiER4\_XI* gene in different organs were significantly higher than that of *SiER1\_X4*.

# Expression patterns of SiER1\_X4 and SiER4\_X1 under hormone induction and subcellular localization analysis

Upon treatments with the hormones ABA, BRs, GA3, and IAA, SiER1 X4 and SiER4 X1 established stable expression levels in the respective control samples, whereas the extremely and significantly increased expression level was observed in the treated samples (P<0.01). With prolonged hormone-treatment time, the expression levels of the two genes showed a response pattern of initial increase and then decrease (Fig. 7). After treatment with ABA, the expression levels of the two genes rapidly increased. At 2 h, the expression reached the highest level, those of SiER1\_X4 and SiER4\_X1 were 7.1 and 8.6 times of the respective control, respectively. After treatment with BRs for 2 h, the expression levels of SiER1 X4 and SiER4 X1 gene gradually increased, the expression was the highest at 6 h. Upon treatment with GA3, the expression levels of the two genes rapidly increased after 2 h, and the expression was the highest at 4 h, which was 15.9 and 7.0 times of the control, respectively, then the expression level rapidly decreased. After auxin (IAA) treatment, the expression of SiER1 X4 slowly increased, whereas the expression of SiER4 XI rapidly increased. At 6 h and 12 h, the expression of the two genes reached their highest levels, respectively. Thus, compared with IAA treatment, the transcription level of SiER4 X1 gene was higher under the other three treatments. This finding showed that SiERs could actively respond to hormone induction and might participate in the regulation of millet development and stressresistance related physiological processes.

The ORF fragments of *SiER1\_X4* and *SiER4\_X1* were 2973 bp and 2991 bp, respectively (Annex 7). The subcellular localization analysis showed that the fluorescence signals of the two fusion proteins were located on the cell membrane and chloroplast of wheat mesophyll protoplasts, whereas the control pJIT16318-GFP was distributed on the cell membrane, cytoplasm and nucleus (Fig. 8). This result indicated that SiER1\_X4 and SiER4\_X1 primarily acted on cell membranes and chloroplasts, which was consistent with the above-mentioned prediction of SiERs as transmembrane proteins.

## Overexpression of SiERs in Arabidopsis thaliana increased the biomass

SiER1\_X4 and SiER4\_X1 were transferred into Arabidopsis, and the T<sub>4</sub> generation plants were investigated (Fig. 9). In the transgenic lines OxSiER1\_X4#3 and OxSiER4\_X1#13, the expression

Formatted: Font: Italic

levels of  $SiER1\_X4$  and  $SiER4\_X1$  were 66 and 9 times those of control lines (WT), respectively. Compared with WT, the plant height of the two transgenic lines significantly increased (P<0.01), the main stem diameter and the biomass per plant were significantly higher than that of WT lines (P<0.05), indicating that overexpression of  $SiER1\_X4$  and  $SiER4\_X1$  gene could enhance the biomass of Arabidopsis, which had significant implications for improving the biomass of forage crops, such as sorghum and foxtail millet. Among them, the total number of siliques per plant of  $SiER1\_X4$  transgenic line was significantly more than that of WT (P<0.05), as well as only slightly more for  $SiER4\_X1$  line. Meanwhile, plant height, total number of siliques per plant, and biomass per plant of  $SiER1\_X4$  transgenic Arabidopsis were higher than those of  $SiER4\_X1$  transgenic lines.

### Thermotolerance of Arabidopsis thaliana overexpressing SiERs genes

After treating *Arabidopsis* overexpressing  $SiER1\_X4$  and  $SiER4\_X1$  genes under high-temperature (42°C), the plant leaves withered and some plants showed local necrosis. After well cultivation recovering for 11 days, only a few plants of WT lines showed vital signs, and the others all died, whereas the survival rate of transgenic *Arabidopsis* was extremely and significantly higher than that of WT (P< 0.01), especially the  $SiER4\_X1$  transgenic plants, which showed a stronger ability to restore growth (Fig. 10a and 10b). Further determination of the antioxidant-enzyme activity of *Arabidopsis* showed that the SOD activity of  $SiER1\_X4$  and  $SiER4\_X1$  lines before and after high-temperature treatment was significantly higher than that of WT plants, as well as the POD activity of both transgenic lines (P< 0.01) (Fig. 10c). Under high-temperature stress, the SOD and POD activities of  $SiER4\_X1$  lines were slightly higher than those of  $SiER1\_X4$  lines.

Detection of high-temperature regulation gene (AtHSFI) and superoxide suppressor gene (AtBII) showed that the expression level of AtHSFI in the transgenic lines was extremely and significantly higher than that of WT line (P < 0.01) (Fig. 10d). Particularly, after high-temperature induction, the AtHSFI expression level of transgenic lines significantly increased. Before high-temperature treatment, the expression level of AtBII did not significantly differ between the transgenic lines and WT. After high-temperature treatment, the expression level of AtBII increased and reached a significant difference in the  $SiER4\_XI$  lines (P < 0.05). Before and after high-temperature treatment, the AtHSFI expression level of WT line did not change significantly, whereas the expression level of AtBII significantly increased (P < 0.05). This finding showed that overexpression of  $SiERI\_X4$  and  $SiER4\_XI$  genes can improve the high-temperature tolerance of Arabidopsis, which may be due to the influence of heat-related gene expression in the regulatory pathway and induce the variable activity of related antioxidant enzymes. Moreover,  $SiER4\_XI$  showed a better regulatory function than  $SiER1\_X4$ .

### **DISCUSSION**

Deleted: found

The characteristics of gene families have become an important means to analyze their function. The accuracy and reliability of analysis on the evolutionary features depend on genome-sequencing information. This study found that the foxtail millet genome contained four SiER family members (SiERs), two genes were distributed on the first chromosome, with a total of 6 copies, and two genes were distributed on the fourth chromosome, with three copies. In rice, wheat, sorghum, cotton, tobacco crops, the ER family also had two members, and each member had different spliceosomes, resulting in an uneven distribution of the number of introns and exons in the genome (Liu et al., 2019). In foxtail millet, the spliceosomes in different copies of SiERs had obviously different forms, indicating that the relationship of SiERs famlily was more complicated in the evolutionary process. In eukaryotes, the gain or loss of introns is one of the evolutionary mechanisms of a gene family (Roy et al., 2007), the difference in the number of introns affected the target-gene expression level. The introns of AtER genes in Arabidopsis were absent, leading to the reduced target protein by 500– 900 times (Karve et al., 2011). With decreased LRR tandem amount in the extracellular region of soybean GmER (decreased exons), shading treatment had increased the hypocotyl length, leaf area, and petiole length of Arabidopsis (Du et al., 2018). We speculated that different spliceosomes of SiERs resulted in great difference in regulatory functions.

Before the emergence of monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants, the ER family evolved into two large families, namely, ER and ERL. Later, with the occurrence of gene-replication events, multiple copies of ER and ERL families gradually formed (*Liu et al. 2019*). In the present study, the ER family can be clearly divided into four categories: aquatic monocot, terrestrial monocot, dicot, and *Arabidopsis* ER and ERL families. Among them, six copies on the first chromosome were closely related to aquatic monocots (rice), and two copies on the fourth chromosome were closely related to terrestrial monocots. Further analysis of amino acid sequences of SiERs in other species showed that different ER families greatly differed in amino acid residues in the N-terminal signal-peptide recognition and transmembrane regions. ER family was a transmembrane protein that can sense external stimuli, activate the expression of intracellular signal factors, and regulate the physiological response of cells (*Shpak et al., 2004*). The most important function of ER was phosphorylation event, and the N-terminal extension region was one of the components of overall kinase folding and was critical to the kinase activity (*Kosentka et al., 2017*).

The ER family was reported to involve in light-induced undergrowth (van Zanten et al., 2010), improve drought resistance of maize (Li et al., 2019), participate in the regulation of non-host resistance of rice blast disease and coordinately regulate the resistance of Arabidopsis to the quantitative traits of Verticillium wilt together with ABA and methyl jasmonate (Häffner et al., 2014). Moreover, it inhibited cell division and promote cell elongation (Qu et al., 2017). In the current research, SiERs promoters contained the core elements related to abscisic acid, low temperature, drought, methyl jasmonate, anaerobic induction, and light response, speculating that

SiERs played an important role in plant resistance and photosynthesis. However, no study has been reported about the mechanism of low-temperature and anaerobic-induced response. van Zanten et al. (2009) also reported that ER affected the photoelectron-transfer capacity and carboxylation rate of ribulose diphosphate carboxylase (Rubisco), thereby increasing the photosynthetic capacity of Arabidopsis. SiERs had two common cis-acting elements, G-Box and TCCC-motif, which were involved in the light-response process. Moreover, SiER1\_X4 and SiER4\_X1 were both located on chloroplasts, implying that SiERs were involved in the photosynthetic process. These results indicated great application potential for improving foxtail millet photosynthesis and plant biomass.

Overexpression of SiERs could promote Arabidopsis biomass accumulation, which was primarily due to the increase of stem thickness and plant height of transgenic plants, whereas the amount of fruit holder was uncertain. This finding was similar to previous results (Xing et al., 2011; Masle et al., 2005). Under high-temperature treatment, Arabidopsis overexpressing SiERs had strong survival ability, the SOD activity of transgenic lines significantly increased. Increased SOD activity could eliminate the damage to cells inflicted by reactive oxygen species produced by plants under high-temperature stress (De et al. 2012). SiERs maybe involved in the regulation of SOD synthesis activity at high temperature and alleviate the damage to cells inflicted by O2- and H2O2 during adversity. Moreover, the expression levels of high-temperature regulation gene (AtHSF1) and superoxide suppressor gene (AtBl1) confirmed the above statement, and the specific mechanism requires further study. Overexpression of Arabidopsis ER genes in tomatoes and rice could significantly improve the heat tolerance and biomass of tomatoes and rice (Shen et al. 2015). In Arabidopsis, transforming CERK1n-ERc complex factors showed that under high-temperature stress, the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and related electrolyte content in transgenic Arabidopsis were less, and the ability to withstand high temperatures was significantly increased (Chen et al. 2020). This finding was similar to our current results, thereby providing an important basis for the next step to reveal the molecular mechanism of high-temperature tolerance of crops.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

This study analyzed the characteristics of *SiER* family members (*SiERs*) in the foxtail millet. We found that the foxtail-millet genome contained four *SiERs* member, two genes were distributed on the first chromosome, with a total of 6 copies, and two genes were distributed on the fourth chromosome, with three copies. Among them, *SiER1\_X4* and *SiER4\_X1* actively responded to the induced reaction of ABA, BRs, GA3, and IAA, with a higher expression level in aboveground organs of foxtail millet. Comparing to wild type, the transgenic lines of *Arabidopsis* overexpressing the two genes enhanced the plant height and biomass accumulation, and showed the higher SOD and POD activities under high temperature, reflecting an increased thermotolerance in *Arabidopsis* plants. These results provided potential breeding targets or biotechnological methods to improve the forage crop production under harsh environments.

### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

### 431 Funding

430

439

440

441

442

443

444 445

446 447

448

449

450 451

452 453

454

455

456 457

458

459

460

462 463

464

- 432 This research was financially supported by the General Project of Anhui Science and Technology
- 433 University (2021zryb15), the Special Project for Basic Scientific Activities of Non-profit Institutes
- 434 from the government of Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (ky2019010), the National Natural
- 435 Science Foundation of China (31971993), and the National Transgenic Key Project of Ministry of
- 436 Agriculture (2016ZX08002-002).

### 437 Competing Interests

438 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### **Author Contributions**

- Jia Cheng Zheng conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Xiao Yi Huang conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Jie Qin Li conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, authored
  or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
  - Chao Wu Zeng conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
  - Qing Yuan He conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
  - Wan Zhao conceived and designed the experiments, read and commented on the manuscript, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
  - Hai Zhou Chen conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
  - Qiu Wen Zhan conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
  - Zhao Shi Xu conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

## 461 Data Availability Statement

The data that support this study are available at <a href="https://www.icloud.com/iclouddrive/">https://www.icloud.com/iclouddrive/</a>.

### REFERENCES

- Aidoo MK, Bdolach E, Fait A, Lazarovitch N, Rachmilevitch S. 2016. Tolerance to high soil
- temperature in foxtail millet (Setaria italica L.) is related to shoot and root growth and
- 467 metabolism. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 106: 73–81 DOI 10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.04.
   468 038.
- 469 Bradley D, Ratcliffe OJ, Vincent C, Carpenter R, Coen E. 1997. Inflorescence commitment
- and architecture in *Arabidopsis*. Science **275(5296)**: 80–83 DOI
- 471 10.1126/science.275.5296.80.

- 472 Chen LX, Xia W, Song JX, Wu MQ, Xu ZZ, Hu XY, Zhang WQ. 2020. Enhanced
- thermotolerance of Arabidopsis by chitooligosaccharides-induced CERK1n-ERc fusion gene. 473
- Plant Signaling & Behavior 15(12): 1816322 DOI 10.1080/15592324.2020.1816322. 474
- 475 Cui XY, Gao Y, Guo J, Yu TF, Zheng WJ, Liu YW, Chen J, Xu ZS, Ma YZ. 2019. BES/BZR 476 Transcription Factor TaBZR2 Positively Regulates Drought Responses by Activation of
- 477
- TaGST1. Plant Physiology 180(1): 605-620 DOI: 10.1104/pp.19.00100.
- De-Pinto MC, Locato V, De-Gara L. 2012. Redox regulation in plant programmed cell death. 478 479 Plant, Cell & Environment 35(2): 234-244 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02387.x.
- Du JB, Li Y, Sun X, Yu L, Jiang HK, Cao QL, Shang J, Sun MY, Liu Y, Shu K, Liu J, Yong 480
- TW, Liu WG, Yang F, Wang XC, Liu CY, Yang WY. 2018. Characterization of a splice 481
- 482 variant of soybean ERECTA devoid of an intracellular kinase domain in response to shade
- stress. Journal of Genetics 97(5): 1353-1361 DOI 10.1007/s12041-018-1035-4. 483
- 484 Häffner E, Karlovsky P, Splivallo R, Traczewska A, Diederichsen E. 2014. ERECTA,
  - salicylic acid, abscisic acid, and jasmonic acid modulate quantitative disease resistance of
- 486 Arabidopsis thaliana to Verticillium longisporum. BMC Plant Biology 14: 85 DOI
- 487 10.1186/1471-2229 -14-85.
- Ishikawa T, Uchimiya H, Kawai-Yamada M. 2013. The Role of Plant Bax Inhibitor-1 in 488 489 Suppressing H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-Induced Cell Death. Methods in Enzymology 527: 239-256 DOI 10.1016/
- 490 B978-0-12-405882-8.00013-1.
- 491 Jorda L, Sopena-Torres S, Escudero V, Nunez-Corcuera B, Delgado M, Torii KU, Molina A.
- 492 2016. ERECTA and BAK1 Receptor Like Kinases Interact to Regulate Immune Responses in
- Arabidopsis. Frontiers in Plant Science 7: 897 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2016.00897. 493
- 494 Karve R, Liu WS, Willet SG, Torii KU, Shpak ED. 2011. The presence of multiple introns is
- 495 essential for ERECTA expression in Arabidopsis. RNA 17(10): 1907-1921 DOI 10.1261/rna.
- 496 2825811.

485

- 497 Kosentka PZ, Zhang L, Simon YA, Satpathy B, Maradiaga R, Mitoubsi O, Shpak ED. 2017.
- Identification of critical functional residues of receptor-like kinase ERECTA. Journal of 498
- Experimental Botany 68(7): 1507-1518 DOI 10.1093/jxb/erx022. 499
- $Li\ HG,\ Yang\ YL,\ Wang\ HL,\ Liu\ S,\ Jia\ FL,\ Su\ YY,\ Li\ S,\ He\ F,\ Feng\ CH,\ Niu\ MX,\ Wang\ J,$ 500
- Liu C, Yin WL, Xia XL. 2021. The Receptor-Like Kinase ERECTA Confers Improved 501
- 502 WaterUse Efficiency and Drought Tolerance to Poplar via ModulatingStomatal Density.
- International Journal of Molecular Sciences 22(14): 7245 DOI 10.3390/ijms22147245. 503
- Li HS, Han XD, Liu XX, Zhou MY, Ren W, Zhao BB, Ju CL, Liu Y, Zhao JR. 2019. A 504
- 505 leucine-rich repeat-receptor-like kinase gene SbER2-1 from sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.)
- confers drought tolerance in maize. BMC Genomics 20(1): 737 DOI 10.1186/s12864-019-506
- 507
- Liu M, Li WP, Min Z, Cheng XH, Fang YL. 2019. Identification and expression analysis of 508
- 509 ERECTA family genes in grape (Vitis vinifera L.). Genes & Genomics 41(6): 723-735 DOI
- 510 10.1007/s13258-019-00810-0.
- Liu P, Xu ZS, Lu PP, Hu D, Chen M, Li LC, Ma YZ. 2013. A wheat PI4K gene whose product 511
- 512 possesses threonine autophophorylation activity confers tolerance to drought and salt in
- 513 Arabidopsis. Journal of Experimental Botany 64(10): 2915-2927 DOI 10.1093/jxb/ert133.
- Masle J, Gilmore SR, Farquhar GD. 2005. The ERECTA gene regulates plant transpiration 514
- efficiency in Arabidopsis. Nature 436(7052): 866-870 DOI 10.1038/nature03835. 515

- 516 Mei YZ, Wang YQ, Hu T, He ZF, Zhou XP. 2021. The C4 protein encoded by Tomato leaf curl
- 517 Yunnan virus interferes with mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade-related defense
- responses through inhibiting the dissociation of the ERECTA/BKI1 complex. *New*
- 519 *Phytologist* **231(2):** 747-762 DOI 10.1111/nph.17387.

535 536

537

- Pillitteri LJ, Torii KU. 2012. Mechanisms of Stomatal Development. Annual Review of Plant
   Biology 63: 591-614 DOI 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042811-105451.
- Qu XY, Zhao Z, Tian ZX. 2017. ERECTA regulates cell elongation by activating auxin
   biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Frontiers in Plant Science 8: 1688 DOI 10.3389/fpls.
   2017.01688.
- Roy SW, Penny D. 2007. Patterns of intron loss and gain in plants: intron loss-dominated
   evolution and genome-wide comparison of *O. sativa* and *A. thaliana. Molecular Biology and* Evolution 24(1): 171-181 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msl159.
- Shen H, Zhong XB, Zhao FF, Wang YM, Yan BX, Li Q, Chen GY, Mao BZ, Wang JJ, Li
   YS, Xiao GY, He YK, Xiao H, Li JM, He ZH. 2015. Overexpression of receptor-like
   kinase ERECTA improves thermotolerance in rice and tomato, *Nature Biotechnology* 33(9):
   996-1003 DOI 10.1038/nbt.3321.
- Shpak ED, Berthiaume CT, Hill EJ, Torii KU. 2004. Synergistic interaction of three ERECTA family receptor-like kinases controls *Arabidopsis* organ growth and flower development by
   promoting cell proliferation. *Development* 131(7): 1491-1501 DOI 10.1242/dev. 01028.
  - **Singh RK, Muthamilarasan M, Prasad M. 2021.** Biotechnological approaches to dissect climate-resilienttraits in millets and their application in crop improvement. *Journal of Biotechnology* **327:** 64–73 DOI 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2021.01.002.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. 2013. MEGA6: Molecular
   evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30(12): 2725 2729 DOI 10.1093/molbev/mst197.
- van Zanten M, Snoek LB, Proveniers MCG, Peeters AJM. 2009. The many functions of
   ERECTA. Trends in Plant Science 14(4): 214-218 DOI 10.1016/j.tplants.2009.01.010.
- van Zanten M, Snoek LB, Eck-Stouten EV, Proveniers MCG, Torii KU, Voesenek LACJ,
   Millenaar FF, Peeters AJM. 2010. ERECTA controls low light intensity-induced
   differential petiole growth independent of phytochrome B and cryptochrome 2 action in
   Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Signaling & Behavior 5(3): 284-286 DOI 10.4161/psb.5.3.10706.
- Xing HT, Guo P, Xia XL, Yin WL. 2011. *PdERECTA*, a leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase
   of poplar, confers enhanced water use efficiency in *Arabidopsis*. *Planta* 234(2): 229–241
   DOI 10.1007/s00425-011-1389-9.
- Yoshida T, Ohama N, Nakajima J, Kidokoro S, Mizoi J, Nakashima K, Maruyama K, Kim
   JM, Seki M, Todaka D, et al. 2011. Arabidopsis HsfA1 transcription factors function as the
   main positive regulators in heat shock-responsive gene expression. Molecular Genetics and
   Genomics 286(5-6): 321–332 DOI 10.1007/s00438-011-0647-7.
- Zhang GY, Liu X, Quan ZW, Cheng SF, Xu X, Pan SK, Xie M, Zeng P, Zhen Y, Wang WL
   Tao Y. 2012. Genome sequence of foxtail millet (*Setaria italica*) provides insights into grass
   evolution and biofuel potential. *Nature biotechnology* 30(6): 549-554 DOI 10.1038/nbt.2195.
- Zheng JC, Hu YG. 2016. TaERECTA response to phytohormone, Mg<sup>2+</sup> stress and dehydration
   and its association with stomatal density in bread wheat. Cereal Research Communications
   44: 206-216 DOI 10.1556/0806.44.2016.017.

Zheng JC, Liu T, Li JQ, Wang X, Li WY, Xu F, Zhan QW. 2019. Virus-induced Gene 560 561 Silencing of TaERECTA Increases Stomatal Density in Bread Wheat, Cereal Research 562 Communications 47: 67-77 DOI 10.1556/0806.46.2018.054. 563 Zheng JC, Liu T, Zheng QX, Li JQ, Qian YC, Li JC, Zhan QW. 2020. Identification of Cold Tolerance and Analysis of Genetic Diversity for Major Wheat Varieties in Jianghuai Region 564 of China. Pakistan Journal of Botany 52(3): 839-849 DOI 10.30848/PJB2020-3(23). 565 566 Zheng JC, Yu J, Liu T, Wang X, Zhan QW, Li JQ, Xu ZS, Ma YZ. 2021. Identification and expression characterisation of SbERECTA family genes in Sorghum bicolor. Crop & Pasture 567 Science 72(2): 125-135 DOI 10.1071/CP20434. 568 569

#### Figure legends

**Figure 1** Nucleotide sequence characteristics of *SiER* family genes. Asteris represented the mutation locus of amino acids.

**Figure 2** Phylogenetic tree of ER family proteins in monocots and dicots. Each category was represented by the same symbol with the same color. Numbers beside the branches represented bootstrap values based on 1000 replications. Plant species and NCBI accession numbers of proteins in phylogenetic tree were listed in Annex 2.

Figure 3 Motif analysis of SiERs amino acid sequence

**Figure 4** Intron–exon structure of *SiERs* family in monocots and dicots. Each gene was represented by the same symbol with the same color. Numbers beside the branches represented the bootstrap values based on 1000 replications.

**Figure 5** Expression profiles of  $SiER1\_X4$  and  $SiER4\_XI$  gene in five varieties of foxtail millet (n=9). The capital letters represented the greatly significant difference of the same gene expression among different foxtail millet varieties (P < 0.01). The primers of  $SiER1\_X4$  gene ( $SiER1\_X4 - qRTR2$ ),  $SiER4\_X1$  gene ( $SiER4\_X1 - qRTF1$ / $SiER4\_X1 - qRTR1$ ) and reference gene (SiActin-qRTF1/SiActin-qRTR1) were listed in Annex 3.

Figure 6 Expression profiles of  $SiER1\_X4$  and  $SiER4\_X1$  genes during foxtail millet growth stages (n=9). Foxtail millet variety Dungu cDNA was used to detect expression patterns of the two genes. The capital letters represented the greatly significant difference of the same gene expression among different foxtail millet growth stages (P < 0.01). The primers of  $SiER1\_X4$  gene ( $SiER1\_X4 - qRTF2/SiER1\_X4 - qRTR2$ ),  $SiER4\_X1$  gene ( $SiER4\_X1 - qRTF1/SiER1\_X4 - qRTF1/SiER1\_X4$ ) and reference gene (SiActin-qRTF1/SiActin-qRTR1) were listed in Annex 3.

**Figure 7** Expression patterns of  $SiER1\_X4$  and  $SiER4\_X1$  genes after hormone induction. Foxtail millet variety Dungu cDNA was used to detect expression patterns of the two genes (n=9). (a) abscisic acid (ABA) treatment (100  $\mu$ M); (b) brassinolide (BR) treatment (0.75  $\mu$ M); (c) gibberellin (GA<sub>3</sub>) treatment (30 mM); and (d) auxin (IAA) treatment (10  $\mu$ M).

**Figure 8** Subcellular localization of SiER1\_X4 and SiER4\_X1 fusion proteins in wheat mesophyll protoplasts. SbER1\_X4-GFP, SbER4\_X1-GFP, and pJIT16318-GFP (control) were transiently expressed in wheat mesophyll protoplasts, respectively. Images were captured using a confocal microscope (scale bar =  $40 \mu m$ ).

**Figure 9** Biomass-related traits of transgenic *Arabidopsis*. WT was the wild *Arabidopsis* lines, *OxSiER4\_X1#13* and *OxSiER1\_X4#3* were *Arabidopsis* lines transfected from *SiER4\_X1* and *SiER1\_X4* genes, respectively. (a) *Arabidopsis* plants grown for 30 days; (b) plant stalk of *Arabidopsis* grown for 30 days; (c) the fragment isolated from *SiER1\_X4* and *SiER4\_X1* genes (Annex 7); (d) detection of overexpression level of transgenic *Arabidopsis* (n=9); (e) plant height

of transgenic *Arabidopsis* (n=6); (f) main stem diameter of transgenic *Arabidopsis* (n=7); (g) total number of siliques per plant of transgenic *Arabidopsis* (n=6); and (h) biomass per plant of transgenic *Arabidopsis* (n=9). Asterisk represented a significant difference (\*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01), the same was as below.

Figure 10 Detection of thermotolerance of transgenic *Arabidopsis*. WT was the wild type of *Arabidopsis* lines, *OxSiER4\_XI#13* and *OxSiER1\_X4#3* were *Arabidopsis* lines transfected from *SiER4\_XI* and *SiER1\_X4* genes, respectively. HN and HS represented well-culture and high-temperature stress plants, respectively. (a) restored culturing for 11 d after high-temperature stress of transgenic *Arabidopsis*; (b) survival rate of transgenic *Arabidopsis* after high-temperature stress (n=5); (c) SOD and POD activity of transgenic *Arabidopsis* (n=4); and (d) expression identification of *AtHSF1* and *AtBl1* gene in transgenic *Arabidopsis* (n=9). Uppercase and lowercase letters represented a significant difference at 0.01 and 0.05 level, respectively.

### Annex legends

Table S1 The URLs list of biological database

Table S2 NCBI accession numbers of proteins in phylogenetic tree

Table S3 The primers sequence related to PCR amplication

**Figure S1** The coding sequence of *SiER1\_X4* gene

Figure S2 The conserved structure domain of SiER family members

Figure S3 Alignment of ERECTA family in N-terminal and transmembrane domains

**Figure S4** Isolation of *SiER1\_X4* and *SiER4\_X1* genes fragments.