PeerJ

Dissection of leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinases: insight into resistance to *Fusarium* wilt in tung tree

Yunpeng Cao^{1,2,3}, Tingting Fan², Bo Zhang² and Yanli Li²

¹ School of Health and Nursing, Wuchang University of Technology, Wuhan, China

² School of Forestry, Central South University of Forestry and Technology, Changsha, China

³ Wuhan Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan, China

ABSTRACT

The tung tree is a woody oil plant native to China and widely distributed in the subtropics. The three main species commonly known as Vernicia are V. fordii, V. montana, and V. cordata. The growth and development of V. fordii are affected by a large number of plant pathogens, such as *Fusarium* wilt caused by *Fusarium* sp. In contrast, V. montana shows significant resistance to Fusarium wilt. The leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinase (LRR-RLK) is the largest class of receptor-like kinases associated with plant resistance to Fusarium wilt. Here, we identified 239 VmLRR-RLKs in V. montana, and found that there were characteristic domains of resistance to Fusarium wilt in them. Phylogenetic analysis suggested that the VmLRR-RLKs are divided into 14 subfamilies, indicating that homologous genes in the same group may have similar functions. Chromosomal localization analysis showed that VmLRR-RLKs were unevenly distributed on chromosomes, and segment duplications were the main reason for the expansion of *VmLRR-RLK* family members. The transcriptome data showed that six orthologous pairs were up-regulated in V. montana in response to Fusarium wilt, while the corresponding orthologous genes showed low or no expression in V. fordii in resistance Fusarium wilt, further indicating the important role of LRR-RLKs in V. montana's resistance to infection by Fusarium spp. Our study provides important reference genes for the future use of molecular breeding to improve oil yield and control of Fusarium wilt in tung tree.

Subjects Agricultural Science, Bioinformatics, Biotechnology, Plant Science **Keywords** LRR-RLK, Tung tree, Expression patterns, Evolution analysis

INTRODUCTION

The tung tree is an important industrial oil tree species in the world. The three most important tung tree species in the world are *Vernicia fordii*, *V. montana* and *V. cordata* (*Haw*, 2017; *Stuppy et al.*, 1999). Where *V. fordii* is widely planted because of its high oil production (*Cui et al.*, 2018). However, compared with the other two tree species, the growth and development of *V. fordii* are more susceptible to *Fusarium* wilt (*Cao et al.*, 2021; *Chen et al.*, 2016). On the contrary, *V. montana* showed significant resistance to *Fusarium* wilt (*Chen et al.*, 2016; *Jiang et al.*, 2022a; *Zhang et al.*, 2016).

Submitted 20 September 2022 Accepted 28 October 2022 Published 18 November 2022

Corresponding authors Yunpeng Cao, xfcypeng@126.com Yanli Li, liyanli17@126.com

Academic editor Lin Zhang

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 14

DOI 10.7717/peerj.14416

Copyright 2022 Cao et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

In the process of plant growth and development, cell-environment signals and cell-cell interaction signals can stimulate and induce plants to produce a variety of different signal transduction pathways. The reversible phosphorylation regulation mechanism of protein kinases (PK) is involved in the process of cell signal transduction (*Cao et al., 2021*). Receptor-like kinase (RLK) family members can sense and process external or internal signals in living cells of plants (*Gou et al., 2010; Shiu & Bleecker, 2001*). The first plant *leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase (LRR-RLK)* gene was cloned and found in maize (*Walker & Zhang, 1990*). The researchers then found that *LRR-RLKs* are widely distributed in plant genomes and have expanded to hundreds of members per genome, such as 309 members in rice, 303 in *Brassica rapa*, and 379 in poplar (*Hwang, Kim & Jang, 2011; Rameneni et al., 2015; Zan et al., 2013*). The typical plant LRR-RLKs proteins contain three characteristic domains: extracellular domain (ECD), transmembrane domain (TM), and intracellular kinase domain (KD) (*Gou et al., 2010; Shiu & Bleecker, 2001; Song et al., 2017*).

At present, the functions of *LRR-RLKs* in many plants, especially model plants, have been fully studied (*Ariza-Suarez et al., 2022*; *Gottin et al., 2021*). For instance, brassinolide-insensitive 1 (BRI1) plays a key role in a variety of plant growth and development processes by sensing the steroid hormone brassinolide (BRs) (*Nolan, Chen & Yin, 2017*). PXC1 from *Arabidopsis*, an LRR-RLK protein, is essential to regulate secondary wall formation (*Wang et al., 2013*). The *Arabidopsis LRR-RLK*, *HSL3*, is a regulator of the drought stress response and stomatal closure correlated with hydrogen peroxide homeostasis (*Liu et al., 2020*). *COE1*, also known as *LRR-RLK*, plays a critical role in the formation of commissural patterns in rice (*Sakaguchi et al., 2010*). In addition, studies have confirmed that the RECEPTOR-LIKE PROTEIN KINASE 1 (RPK1) acts as a defense-related receptor in *Oryza rufipogon*, while the *Arabidopsis* homolog of RPK1, AtRPK1, has also reported to play key roles in leaf senescence and drought stress responses (*Law et al., 2012*; *Lee et al., 2011*; *Osakabe et al., 2005*).

Recently, systematic identification of *LRR-RLKs* has been carried out in five Rosaceae species (*Sun et al., 2017*), two citrus species (*Magalhães et al., 2016*), *Solanum lycopersicum* (*Wei et al., 2015*), *Amborella trichopoda* (*Liu et al., 2016*), and other plant species (*Liu et al., 2017*; *Sun et al., 2018*; *Wang et al., 2019*). However, it is still excluded whether the function of *LRR-RLKs* as alarm genes in tung tree in response to *Fusarium* wilt infection. In our study, we used the *Fusarium* wilt-susceptible *V. fordii* and *Fusarium* wilt-resistant *V. montana* as materials to study the genetic mechanisms of *LRR-RLKs* in resistance to *Fusarium* wilt infection in tung tree. Our data might provide important candidate genes for future molecular-assisted breeding in tung tree.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Database search

The proteins, CDSs, and GFF files of *V. montana* and *V. fordii* were obtained from NCBI database, as described by *Cui et al.* (2018), *Li et al.* (2022) and *Cao et al.* (2022). The proteins and CDSs of LRR-RLKs in *V. fordii* were obtained from *Cao et al.* (2021) and *Cao et al.* (2020). Subsequently, we used InterProScan (*Jones et al.*, 2014) to identify distinct protein signatures in these predicted datasets and developed a local database to

analyze the datasets in each included plant genome. Pkinase (PF00069) and Pkinase_Tyr (PF07714) are considered to be KD domains specific to LRR-RLK proteins. LRR domains containing LRRNT_2 (PF08263), LRRCT (PF01463), LRV (PF01816), LRRNT (PF01462), LRR_1 (PF00560), LRR_2 (PF07723), LRR_3 (PF07725), LRR_4 (two copies; PF12799), LRR_5 (six copies; PF13306), LRR_8 (PF13855) and LRR_9 (PF14580) are LRR-RLK-specific R domains. To detect the candidate *LRR-RLKs* in *V. montana*, we first downloaded and obtained their HMM models from the Pfa, website (*El-Gebali et al., 2019*). The local database was then retrieved using the HMM model using the HMMER 3.0 software (*Mistry et al., 2013*). Each amino acid sequence of *V. fordii* VfLRR-RLKs was also used as a query to determine LRR-RLKs in the *V. montana* local genome database using BLASTp with an E-value less than 1e–5. Finally, if identified candidate genes contained complete KD and R domains, we considered them to be candidate LRR-RLKs.

Phylogenetic analysis

All full-length VmLRR-RLK proteins were subjected to multiple sequence alignment using MAFFT (version 7) software with default parameters (*Katoh & Standley, 2013*). MEGA (version 5) software was used to construct the neighbor-joining tree (*Tamura et al., 2011*). The bootstrap value is an important method to analyze the reliability of the phylogenetic tree, so to confirm the reliability of the phylogenetic relationship, this study used 1,000 bootstrap values to test the reliability of this tree. The iTOL website and FigTree software were used to edit and visualize the phylogenetic tree (*Letunic & Bork, 2019*).

Gene structure and collinear analysis

The information about the starting position of each *VmLRR-RLK* on the chromosome was obtained from the GFF file of the *V. montana* genome, and then TBtools was used to visualize the location of the *VmLRR-RLK* gene on the chromosomes (*Chen et al., 2018*). The DNA and CDS sequence of each *VmLRR-RLK* gene were obtained from the *V. montana* genome and compared using Tbtools (version 1.098769) software to obtain the gene structure information of *VmLRR-RLK*. The collinear analysis was identified among genome regions by MCScanX software with an E-value of 1e–10 (*Wang et al., 2012*), as described by *Jiang et al. (2022b*). The TBtools (version 1.098769) software was carried out to visualize the collinear relationships (*Chen et al., 2018*).

Transcriptome analysis

Transcriptome data (PRJNA445068, PRJNA483508, and PRJNA318350) were collected and retrieved from NCBI databases to analyze expression patterns. SRA toolkit was used to decompress raw data into fastq format. Then, each dataset was mapped into the corresponding reference genome using HISAT2 using default parameters (*Kim et al., 2019*; *Pertea et al., 2016*). The expression levels of genes were calculated using StringTie using default parameters and normalized using FPKM (*Pertea et al., 2016*). In this study, we normalized and visualized all expression data using TBtools (version 1.098769) software (*Chen et al., 2018*).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Identified of VmLRR-RLKs in V. montana

V. fordii and *V. montana* are the two most important main varieties in China. *V. fordii* has high oil content but is susceptible to *Fusarium* wilt, while *V. montana* is resistant to *Fusarium* wilt (*Cui et al., 2018*). More studies have confirmed that *LRR-RLKs* play important roles in plant stress resistance (*Ariza-Suarez et al., 2022*; *Cao et al., 2021*; *Geng et al., 2021*; *Gottin et al., 2021*). In the previous study, we only identified and analyzed the *VfLRR-RLKs* in the *V. fordii* due to the lack of the *V. montana* genome (*Cao et al., 2021*). Identification of *VmLRR-RLKs* from *Fusarium* wilt-resistant *V. montana* and comparison with corresponding members in *Fusarium* wilt-susceptible *V. fordii* will help to further clarify the roles of *LRR-RLKs* in *Fusarium* wilt resistance.

To identify *LRR-RLK* gene family members, we first obtained the Hidden Markov Model to identify *LRR-RLK* members from the Pfam (*El-Gebali et al., 2019*). At the same time, we also used the LRR-RLK sequence of *V. fordii* as a template and used BlastP software to search the genome of *V. montana*. Subsequently, 243 candidate *VmLRR-RLKs* were found in *V. montana* genome. Three tools, including Pfam (*El-Gebali et al., 2019*), InterProScan (*Jones et al., 2014*), and SMART (*Letunic & Bork, 2018*), were used to determine whether the identified LRR-RLK proteins contained the PK and R domains. Two members were found to lack or not contain the complete LRR-RLK domain. Therefore, this study finally identified 239 *VmLRR-RLKs* in the *V. montana* genome for further analysis (Table S1).

The *LRR-RLK* gene family contains many members, such as 226 members in rice and 236 members in *Arabidopsis* (*Hwang, Kim & Jang, 2011*). Further studies determined that the number of *LRR-RLK* family members was not necessarily related to genome size. For example, *V. fordii* and *V. montana* have almost the same genome size (*Cui et al., 2018*; *Zhang et al., 2019*), but *V. fordii* only had 167 members (*Cao et al., 2021*), while *V. montana* did contain 239 members. Interestingly, the number of *LRR-RLK* members in cassava and rubber trees was about twice as high as that in *V. montana* (*Cao et al., 2021*). This may be due to the fact that cassava and rubber trees have additionally experienced recent whole-genome duplication events in addition to those shared by Euphorbiaceae (*Cui et al., 2018*; *Mansfeld et al., 2021*). These results suggested that the number of *LRR-RLKs* is closely related to duplication events in addition to whether the species is resistant to disease.

Gene structure analysis of VmLRR-RLKs in V. montana

The gene structures are not only closely related to their functions but also may reflect the evolutionary history of gene family members (*Cao et al., 2016*; *Song et al., 2017*). In general, ancient genes are generally intronless, and then introns appeared in the long evolutionary process, resulting in more and more complex gene structures (*Chen et al., 2020*; *Liu et al., 2021*; *Zou, Guo & He, 2011*). To gain insight into the evolutionary history of *VmLRR-RLKs* in *V. montana*, we analyzed their exon-intron structures. As shown in Fig. 1, the results showed that the *VmLRR-RLKs* presented a complex gene structure. Four genes, including



 Figure 1 Gene structure of VmLRR-RLKs in V. montana. The exon-intron organizations of all VmLRR-RLKs were visualized by Tbtools software. Introns and exons were plotted by lines and boxes, respectively.

 Full-size
 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.14416/fig-1



 Figure 2
 The phylogenetic tree was generated from the alignment result of the full-length amino acid sequences by the neighbor-joining (NJ) method.

 Full-size
 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.14416/fig-2



Vmo023646, *Vmo000564*, *Vmo025607*, and *Vmo001233*, were intronless genes, suggesting that these genes might be the *VmLRR-RLKs* ancestral genes. *Vmo023859* contained the most introns (28), followed by *Vmo012229* (27) and *Vmo014511* (27), indicating these genes might be young genes. Taken together, our results indicated that each member of *VmLRR-RLK* exhibits a complex genetic structure during the long evolutionary process, which might contribute to the resistance to *Fusarium* wilt in *V. montana*.

Phylogenetic analysis of VmLRR-RLKs in V. montana

In order to further obtain the evolutionary relationships of VfLRR-RLKs in V. montana, we first used MAFFT software to conduct multiple sequence alignment analysis of all VmLRR-RLK protein sequences and then used MEGA (version 5) software to construct a phylogenetic tree using the neighbor-joining method. The bootstrap value was used to check whether the constructed evolutionary tree was reliable, as described by Li et al. (2022). As shown in Fig. 2, all VmLRR-RLKs were divided into 14 major subgroups, which were named C1 to C14 and distinguished by different colors. This result was basically consistent with the exon-intron distributions, that were, members of the same subgroup contained similar exon-intron structures. Gene duplication and loss events may play important roles in the expansion and contraction of LRR-RLK family members (Liu et al., 2016; Magalhães et al., 2016; Zou, Guo & He, 2011). In this study, at the branch tip, the shorter branch length demonstrated the strong amino acid conservation of the cluster genes, suggesting that these members may share the conserved evolutionary relationships, leading them to possibly have similar functions with functional redundancy. In each branch, the two genes from the tip might have undergone a gene duplication event during evolution, or a gene loss event might have occurred.



Figure 4 The duplication analysis of VmLRR-RLKs in V. montana. All putative segmental duplications
are linked by the colored lines respectively.Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.14416/fig-4

The chromosome localization and gene duplication analysis of *VmLRR-RLKs* in *V. montana*

Gene location analysis can determine the distribution of genes on chromosomes (*Mishra et al., 2021*). The chromosome location of each of *VmLRR-RLK* was determined on *V. montana* chromosomes. As shown in Fig. 3, 238 of the 239 *VmLRR-RLKs* were unevenly assigned to chromosomes, while the remaining three *VmLRR-RLKs* were localized on scaffolds in *V. montana* genome. The Vm8 and Vm11 chromosomes each contained 28 *VmLRR-RLKs*, the Vm3 and Vm4 chromosomes each had 16 *VmLRR-RLKs*, the Vm1 chromosome contained 27 *VmLRR-RLKs*, the Vm2 chromosome located 22 *VmLRR-RLKs*, and the Vm5 chromosome contained 25 *VmLRR-RLKs*. There were 14 *VmLRR-RLKs* in Vm6 chromosome, 17 *VmLRR-RLKs* in Vm7 chromosome, 24 *VmLRR-RLKs* in Vm9 chromosome, and 21 *VmLRR-RLKs* in Vm10 chromosome.



Figure 5 Expression of VmLRR-RLK genes during Fusarium wilt infection. M0, M1, M2 and M3 indicated the expression of VmLRR-RLKs in V. montana during the infection stage (0, uninfected stage; 1, 2 days after Fusarium wilt infection (dpi); 8 dpi; 3, 13 dpi) by the pathogen Fusarium wilt. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.14416/fig-5

V. fordii.			
Gene1	Gene2	Gene1	Gene2
Vmo000188	Vf01G2552	Vmo014940	Vf00G0360
Vmo000385	Vf01G2360	Vmo015096	Vf00G0329
Vmo000550	Vf01G2180	Vmo015138	Vf06G1014
Vmo000564	Vf01G2163	Vmo016093	Vf06G0012
Vmo000605	Vf01G2125	Vmo016328	Vf02G2570
Vmo000817	Vf01G1939	Vmo016337	Vf02G2561
Vmo001416	Vf01G1297	Vmo016405	Vf02G2501
Vmo001835	Vf01G0967	Vmo016555	Vf02G2347
Vmo001837	Vf01G0964	Vmo016569	Vf02G2333
Vmo001969	Vf04G0784	Vmo016573	Vf09G0613
Vmo002143	Vf01G0028	Vmo017009	Vf02G1762
Vmo002368	Vf01G0240	Vmo017457	Vf00G1959
Vmo002664	Vf01G0518	Vmo017740	Vf02G0910
Vmo002778	Vf01G0633	Vmo018195	Vf02G0386
Vmo003441	Vf03G0556	Vmo018495	Vf02G0137
Vmo003806	Vf03G0792	Vmo018625	Vf02G0002
Vmo004089	Vf03G1087	Vmo019368	Vf11G1090
Vmo004147	Vf00G1381	Vmo019399	Vf11G0681
Vmo004389	Vf03G1382	Vmo019874	Vf11G1118
Vmo004464	Vf00G0724	Vmo020131	Vf11G1306
Vmo004824	Vf03G1740	Vmo020366	Vf11G1528
Vmo004931	Vf03G1869	Vmo021448	Vf10G1794
Vmo004933	Vf03G1871	Vmo021470	Vf03G0473
Vmo004961	Vf03G1880	Vmo021591	Vf10G1659
Vmo005557	Vf03G2416	Vmo021740	Vf10G1537
Vmo005632	Vf03G2482	Vmo021844	Vf10G1359
Vmo005665	Vf03G2505	Vmo022142	Vf10G1082
Vmo005763	Vf04G2229	Vmo022207	Vf10G1004
Vmo005893	Vf04G2085	Vmo022357	Vf10G0860
Vmo005966	Vf04G2023	Vmo022417	Vf10G0796
Vmo006192	Vf04G1833	Vmo022634	Vf10G0580
Vmo006252	Vf04G1768	Vmo022694	Vf10G0524
Vmo007351	Vf04G0728	Vmo022915	Vf10G0296
Vmo007402	Vf04G0672	Vmo023176	Vf10G0017
Vmo007417	Vf04G0661	Vmo023180	Vf10G0012
Vmo007439	Vf04G0638	Vmo023217	Vf08G2079
Vmo007651	Vf02G1413	Vmo023645	Vf08G1609
Vmo007839	Vf10G1515	Vmo023859	Vf08G1383
Vmo008301	Vf05G1941	Vmo023932	Vf00G1236
Vmo008422	Vf05G1797	Vmo024010	Vf08G1225
Vmo008587	Vf05G1661	Vmo024026	Vf08G1205

 Table 1
 The one-to-one orthologous relationships of LRR-RLK genes between V. montana and V. fordii.

Table 1 (continued)			
Gene1	Gene2	Gene1	Gene2
Vmo009006	Vf05G1214	Vmo024165	Vf08G1059
Vmo009153	Vf05G1097	Vmo024482	Vf08G0650
Vmo009282	Vf05G0975	Vmo024591	Vf08G0663
Vmo009317	Vf05G0929	Vmo024904	Vf00G1004
Vmo010576	Vf07G0170	Vmo024983	Vf08G0202
Vmo010674	Vf07G0327	Vmo025235	Vf09G1982
Vmo010839	Vf07G0516	Vmo025607	Vf09G1916
Vmo011145	Vf07G0781	Vmo025702	Vf09G1831
Vmo011401	Vf07G1038	Vmo025891	Vf09G1626
Vmo011412	Vf07G1049	Vmo026014	Vf09G1496
Vmo011458	Vf07G1087	Vmo026089	Vf09G1458
Vmo011556	Vf07G1158	Vmo026757	Vf09G0822
Vmo012050	Vf07G1580	Vmo027039	Vf09G0643
Vmo012135	Vf07G1668	Vmo027086	Vf09G0614
Vmo012202	Vf07G1736	Vmo027341	Vf09G0402
Vmo012224	Vf07G1755	Vmo027349	Vf09G0387
Vmo012229	Vf07G1761	Vmo027499	Vf00G0181
Vmo013369	Vf06G2687	Vmo027623	Vf11G0584
Vmo013802	Vf06G2278	Vmo014513	Vf06G1605
Vmo014449	Vf06G1663	Vmo014937	Vf06G1210
Vmo014511	Vf06G1607		

Phylogenetic analysis suggested that gene duplication events might be the main cause of the expansion of members of the *VmLRR-RLK* gene family in *V. montana*. For example, a total of 15 tandem duplications and 31 segmental duplications were found in cucumber genome (*Yu et al., 2022*). In *Thinopyrum elongatum* genome, *Mishra et al. (2021)* identified 191 segmental duplications and 145 tandem duplications, respectively (*Mishra et al., 2021*). In the potato genome, 16 and 20 genes were predicted to be the results of tandem duplications and segmental duplications, respectively (*Li et al., 2018*). To further elucidate the expansion mechanism of members of the *VmLRR-RLK* gene family, we analyzed the gene duplication events in *V. montana* (Fig. 4). The results indicated that segmental duplications were the main reason for the expansion of *VmLRR-RLK* gene family members. It is worth noting that this study did not find that members of the *VmLRR-RLK* gene family have undergone tandem duplications in *V. montana*, which was different from the previous results in other plants, such as cucumber, potato, and *T. elongatum* (*Li et al., 2018*; *Mishra et al., 2021*; *Yu et al., 2022*). These data suggested that the evolution mechanisms of *LRR-RLKs* were different in different plant genomes.



Figure 6 Expression profiles of *LRR-RLK* genes under *Fusarium* wilt infection between *Fusarium* wilt-susceptible *V. fordii* and *Fusarium* wilt-resistant *V. montana*. M0–M3 suggested the expressions of *LRR-RLK* genes in *V. montana* during the infection stage (0, 1, 2, 3) by the pathogen *Fusarium* wilt, and F0–F3 suggested the expression of *LRR-RLK* genes in *V. fordii* during the infection stage (0, 1, 2, 3) by the pathogen *Fusarium* wilt. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.14416/fig-6

Expression pattern analysis of LRR-RLK family genes

More *LRR-RLKs* have been reported involved in disease resistance in plants (*Cao et al., 2020*; *Geng et al., 2021*). For example, an LRR receptor-like kinase protein, ERECTA can affect resistance to bacterial wilt controlling development pleiotropically (*Godiard et al., 2003*). The LRR-RLK/malectin-like IOS1 play important role in BAK1-independent and BAK1-dependent pattern-triggered immunity in *Arabidopsis* (*Yeh et al., 2016*). XIK1 from *Oryzae pv. oryzae*, an LRR receptor-like kinase gene is involved in Xa21-mediated disease resistance (*Hu et al., 2015*). In this study, to further determine the functions of the *LRR-RLKs*, we selected the *Fusarium* wilt-resistant *V. montana* and *Fusarium* wilt-susceptible *V. fordii* as the research objects. Among them, the expression data of the *V. fordii VfLRR-RLKs* were derived from a previously published manuscript (*Cao et al., 2020, 2021*). As shown in Fig. 5, we found that the FPKM values of 26.6% (64/239) *VmLRR-RLKs* genes were <1 response to *Fusarium* wilt infection, proposing that these genes might be expressed in other tissues, such as flowers, roots, and leaves. Remarkably, 22 *VmLRR-RLKs* showed down-regulated expression, while 124 *VmLRR-RLKs* were up-regulated during *Fusarium* wilt infection (Fig. 5).

Due to the lack of the genome of V. montana, in the previous study, we aligned the transcriptome data of V. montana infected with Fusarium wilt and V. fordii infected with Fusarium wilt to the genome of V. fordii for determine the potential roles of LRR-RLKs in resistance to Fusarium wilt (Cao et al., 2021). In this study, one-to-one LRR-RLK orthologous gene pairs were identified using reciprocal Blast between V. fordii and V. montana (Cao et al., 2022). Subsequently, we analyzed the expression patterns of these orthologous genes in Fusarium wilt-susceptible V. fordii and in Fusarium wilt-resistant V. montana during Fusarium wilt infection (Table 1). As shown in Fig. 6, most of the orthologous genes showed opposite expression patterns, of which 55 (44.7%) LRR-RLKs pairs were all up-regulated in V. montana, while their corresponding orthologous genes were all down-regulated in V. fordii; 16 (13%) LRR-RLKs pairs were all down-regulated in V. montana, while their corresponding orthologous genes were all up-regulated in V. fordii. Notably, six orthologous LRR-RLK gene pairs (Vf01G2180-Vm0000550, Vf02G0137-Vmo018495, Vf06G0012-Vmo016093, Vf06G1210-Vmo014937, Vf07G1580-Vmo012050, and Vf11G1090-Vm0019368) were up-regulated in V. montana, but were not expressed or expressed relatively low in V. fordii, suggesting indicated that these LRR-RLKs might play important roles in the resistance of tung tree species to the infection of *Fusarium* spp.

CONCLUSIONS

The tung tree *LRR-RLK* family members were investigated through gene structure, gene duplication, chromosomal distribution, phylogeny, and expression patterns analysis, which help us to further understand the evolutionary history of this gene family. Our study analyzed the *LRR-RLKs* in *Fusarium* wilt-susceptible *V. fordii* and *Fusarium* wilt-resistant *V. montana* to reveal their expression patterns in response to *Fusarium* wilt infection. Taken together, these data revealed the genetic mechanisms of resistance to *Fusarium* wilt infection and provided important candidate genes for future molecular-assisted breeding in tung tree.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 32201602), the Natural Science Fund Youth Project of Hunan Province (Grant No. 2021JJ41067), and the Outstanding Youth of the Education Department of Hunan Province (Grant No. 20B624). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: The National Natural Science Foundation of China: 32201602. The Natural Science Fund Youth Project of Hunan Province: 2021JJ41067. The Outstanding Youth of the Education Department of Hunan Province: 20B624.

Competing Interests

Yunpeng Cao is an Academic Editor for PeerJ.

Author Contributions

- Yunpeng Cao conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Tingting Fan conceived and designed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Bo Zhang conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Yanli Li conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw measurements are available in Table 1 and Table S1.

The RNA-seq data are available at NCBI: PRJNA483508, PRJNA445068, and PRJNA318350.

The genome of tung tree are available at the Genome Sequence Archive at the BIG Data Center, Beijing Institute of Genomics (BIG), Chinese Academy of Sciences: PRJCA000669 and PRJCA001524 that are publicly accessible at http://bigd.big.ac.cn/gsa. These data are also available at NCBI: PRJNA503685 and PRJNA445350.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.14416#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

- Ariza-Suarez D, Keller B, Spescha A, Aparicio JS, Mayor V, Portilla-Benavides AE, Buendia HF, Bueno JM, Studer B, Raatz B. 2022. Genetic analysis of resistance to bean leaf crumple virus identifies a candidate LRR-RLK gene. *The Plant Journal* DOI 10.1111/tpj.15810.
- Cao Y, Han Y, Li D, Lin Y, Cai Y. 2016. Systematic analysis of the 4-Coumarate: coenzyme a ligase (4CL) related genes and expression profiling during fruit development in the Chinese pear. *Genes* 7(10):89 DOI 10.3390/genes7100089.
- Cao Y, Li Y, Wang L, Zhang L, Jiang L. 2022. Evolution and function of ubiquitin-specific proteases (UBPs): insight into seed development roles in Tung tree (*Vernicia fordii*). *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* 221(2):796–805 DOI 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.08.163.
- Cao Y, Liu M, Long H, Zhao Q, Jiang L, Zhang L. 2020. Hidden in plain sight: systematic investigation of Leucine-rich repeat containing genes unveil the their regulatory network in response to *Fusarium* wilt in Tung tree. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* 163(D1):1759–1767 DOI 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.09.106.
- Cao Y, Mo W, Li Y, Li W, Dong X, Liu M, Jiang L, Zhang L. 2021. Deciphering the roles of leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinases (LRR-RLKs) in response to *Fusarium* wilt in the *Vernicia fordii* (Tung tree). *Phytochemistry* 185:112686 DOI 10.1016/j.phytochem.2021.112686.
- **Chen C, Chen H, He Y, Xia R. 2018.** TBtools, a toolkit for biologists integrating various biological data handling tools with a user-friendly interface. *BioRxiv* DOI 10.1101/289660.
- Chen T, Meng D, Liu X, Cheng X, Wang H, Jin Q, Xu X, Cao Y, Cai Y. 2020. RIGD: a database for intronless genes in the rosaceae. *Frontiers in Genetics* 11:868 DOI 10.3389/fgene.2020.00868.
- Chen Y, Yin H, Gao M, Zhu H, Zhang Q, Wang Y. 2016. Comparative transcriptomics atlases reveals different gene expression pattern related to *Fusarium* wilt disease resistance and susceptibility in two Vernicia species. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7:1974 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2016.01974.
- **Cui P, Lin Q, Fang D, Zhang L, Li R, Cheng J, Gao F, Shockey J, Hu S, Lü S. 2018.** Tung tree (*Vernicia fordii*, Hemsl.) genome and transcriptome sequencing reveals co-ordinate up-regulation of fatty acid β-oxidation and triacylglycerol biosynthesis pathways during eleostearic acid accumulation in seeds. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **59(10)**:1990–2003 DOI 10.1093/pcp/pcy117.
- El-Gebali S, Mistry J, Bateman A, Eddy SR, Luciani A, Potter SC, Qureshi M, Richardson LJ, Salazar GA, Smart A. 2019. The Pfam protein families database in 2019. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47(D1):D427–D432 DOI 10.1093/nar/gky995.
- Geng B, Wang Q, Huang R, Liu Y, Guo Z, Lu S. 2021. A novel LRR-RLK (CTLK) confers cold tolerance through regulation on the C-repeat-binding factor pathway, antioxidants, and proline accumulation. *The Plant Journal* 108(6):1679–1689 DOI 10.1111/tpj.15535.
- Godiard L, Sauviac L, Torii KU, Grenon O, Mangin B, Grimsley NH, Marco Y. 2003. ERECTA, an LRR receptor-like kinase protein controlling development pleiotropically affects resistance to bacterial wilt. *The Plant Journal* 36(3):353–365 DOI 10.1046/j.1365-313X.2003.01877.x.
- Gottin C, Dievart A, Summo M, Droc G, Périn C, Ranwez V, Chantret N. 2021. A new comprehensive annotation of leucine-rich repeat-containing receptors in rice. *The Plant Journal* 108(2):492–508 DOI 10.1111/tpj.15456.
- Gou X, He K, Yang H, Yuan T, Lin H, Clouse SD, Li J. 2010. Genome-wide cloning and sequence analysis of leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinase genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *BMC Genomics* 11(1):1–15 DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-11-19.
- Haw SG. 2017. Tung oil and tong 桐 trees. Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft 167(1):215-236 DOI 10.13173/zeitdeutmorggese.167.1.0215.

- Hu H, Wang J, Shi C, Yuan C, Peng C, Yin J, Li W, He M, Wang J, Ma B, Wang Y, Li S, Chen X.
 2015. A receptor like kinase gene with expressional responsiveness on Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae is essential for Xa21-mediated disease resistance. *Rice* 8(1):1
 DOI 10.1186/s12284-014-0034-1.
- Hwang S-G, Kim DS, Jang CS. 2011. Comparative analysis of evolutionary dynamics of genes encoding leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase between rice and Arabidopsis. *Genetica* 139(8):1023–1032 DOI 10.1007/s10709-011-9604-y.
- Jiang L, Fan T, Li X, Xu J. 2022a. Functional heterogeneity of the young and old duplicate genes in Tung tree (*Vernicia fordii*). *Frontiers in Plant Science* 13:1825 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2022.902649.
- Jiang L, Lin M, Wang H, Song H, Zhang L, Huang Q, Chen R, Song C, Li G, Cao Y. 2022b. Haplotype-resolved genome assembly of *Bletilla striata* (Thunb.) Reichb.f. to elucidate medicinal value. *The Plant Journal* **111(5)**:1340–1353 DOI 10.1111/tpj.15892.
- Jones P, Binns D, Chang H-Y, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, McWilliam H, Maslen J, Mitchell A, Nuka G. 2014. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics* 30(9):1236–1240 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031.
- Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30(4):772–780 DOI 10.1093/molbev/mst010.
- Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL. 2019. Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nature Biotechnology* 37(8):907–915 DOI 10.1038/s41587-019-0201-4.
- Law Y-S, Gudimella R, Song B-K, Ratnam W, Harikrishna JA. 2012. Molecular characterization and comparative sequence analysis of defense-related gene, oryza rufipogon receptor-like protein kinase 1. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 13(7):9343–9362 DOI 10.3390/ijms13079343.
- Lee IC, Hong SW, Whang SS, Lim PO, Nam HG, Koo JC. 2011. Age-dependent action of an ABA-inducible receptor kinase, RPK1, as a positive regulator of senescence in Arabidopsis leaves. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 52(4):651–662 DOI 10.1093/pcp/pcr026.
- Letunic I, Bork P. 2018. 20 years of the SMART protein domain annotation resource. *Nucleic Acids Research* 46(D1):D493–D496 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkx922.
- Letunic I, Bork P. 2019. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47(W1):W256–W259 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkz239.
- Li X, Ahmad S, Guo C, Yu J, Cao S, Gao X, Li W, Li H, Guo Y. 2018. Identification and characterization of LRR-RLK family genes in potato reveal their involvement in peptide signaling of cell fate decisions and biotic/abiotic stress responses. *Cells* **7(9)**:120 DOI 10.3390/cells7090120.
- Li Y, Jiang L, Mo W, Wang L, Zhang L, Cao Y. 2022. AHLs' life in plants: especially their potential roles in responding to *Fusarium* wilt and repressing the seed oil accumulation. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* 208(48):509–519 DOI 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.03.130.
- Liu P-L, Du L, Huang Y, Gao S-M, Yu M. 2017. Origin and diversification of leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinase (LRR-RLK) genes in plants. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 17(1):1–16 DOI 10.1186/s12862-017-0891-5.
- Liu X-S, Liang C-C, Hou S-G, Wang X, Chen D-H, Shen J-L, Zhang W, Wang M. 2020. The LRR-RLK protein HSL3 regulates stomatal closure and the drought stress response by modulating hydrogen peroxide homeostasis. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 11:548034 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2020.548034.

- Liu H, Lyu HM, Zhu K, Van de Peer Y, Cheng ZM. 2021. The emergence and evolution of intron-poor and intronless genes in intron-rich plant gene families. *The Plant Journal* 105(4):1072–1082 DOI 10.1111/tpj.15088.
- Liu P-L, Xie L-L, Li P-W, Mao J-F, Liu H, Gao S-M, Shi P-H, Gong J-Q. 2016. Duplication and divergence of leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinase (LRR-RLK) genes in basal angiosperm Amborella trichopoda. Frontiers in Plant Science 7:1952 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2016.01952.
- Magalhães DM, Scholte LLS, Silva NV, Oliveira GC, Zipfel C, Takita MA, De Souza AA. 2016. LRR-RLK family from two Citrus species: genome-wide identification and evolutionary aspects. *BMC Genomics* 17:1–13 DOI 10.1186/s12864-016-2930-9.
- Mansfeld BN, Boyher A, Berry JC, Wilson M, Ou S, Polydore S, Michael TP, Fahlgren N, Bart RS. 2021. Large structural variations in the haplotype-resolved African cassava genome. *The Plant Journal* **108(6)**:1830–1848 DOI 10.1111/tpj.15543.
- Mishra D, Suri GS, Kaur G, Tiwari M. 2021. Comprehensive analysis of structural, functional, and evolutionary dynamics of Leucine Rich Repeats-RLKs in *Thinopyrum elongatum*. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* 183(5):513–527 DOI 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2021.04.137.
- Mistry J, Finn RD, Eddy SR, Bateman A, Punta M. 2013. Challenges in homology search: HMMER3 and convergent evolution of coiled-coil regions. *Nucleic Acids Research* 41(12):e121 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkt263.
- Nolan T, Chen J, Yin Y. 2017. Cross-talk of Brassinosteroid signaling in controlling growth and stress responses. *Biochemical Journal* 474(16):2641–2661 DOI 10.1042/BCJ20160633.
- Osakabe Y, Maruyama K, Seki M, Satou M, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2005. Leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase1 is a key membrane-bound regulator of abscisic acid early signaling in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* **17**:1105–1119 DOI 10.1105/tpc.104.027474.
- Pertea M, Kim D, Pertea GM, Leek JT, Salzberg SL. 2016. Transcript-level expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with HISAT, StringTie and Ballgown. *Nature Protocols* 11:1650–1667 DOI 10.1038/nprot.2016.095.
- Rameneni JJ, Lee Y, Dhandapani V, Yu X, Choi SR, Oh M-H, Lim YP. 2015. Genomic and post-translational modification analysis of leucine-rich-repeat receptor-like kinases in *Brassica rapa*. *PLOS ONE* **10**:e0142255 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0142255.
- Sakaguchi J, Itoh JI, Ito Y, Nakamura A, Fukuda H, Sawa S. 2010. COE1, an LRR-RLK responsible for commissural vein pattern formation in rice. *The Plant Journal* 63:405–416 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04250.x.
- Shiu S-H, Bleecker AB. 2001. Plant receptor-like kinase gene family: diversity, function, and signaling. *Science's STKE* 2001(3):re22 DOI 10.1126/stke.2001.113.re22.
- Song W, Han Z, Wang J, Lin G, Chai J. 2017. Structural insights into ligand recognition and activation of plant receptor kinases. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* 43:18–27 DOI 10.1016/j.sbi.2016.09.012.
- Stuppy W, Van Welzen P, Klinratana P, Posa M. 1999. Revision of the genera Aleurites, Reutealis and Vernicia (Euphorbiaceae). *Blumea: Biodiversity, Evolution and Biogeography of Plants* 44:73–98.
- Sun J, Li L, Wang P, Zhang S, Wu J. 2017. Genome-wide characterization, evolution, and expression analysis of the leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinase (LRR-RLK) gene family in Rosaceae genomes. *BMC Genomics* 18(1):1–15 DOI 10.1186/s12864-017-4155-y.
- Sun R, Wang S, Ma D, Liu C. 2018. Genome-wide analysis of LRR-RLK gene family in four Gossypium species and expression analysis during cotton development and stress responses. *Genes* 9(12):592 DOI 10.3390/genes9120592.

- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S. 2011. MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 28(10):2731–2739 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msr121.
- Walker JC, Zhang R. 1990. Relationship of a putative receptor protein kinase from maize to the S-locus glycoproteins of Brassica. *Nature* 345(6277):743–746 DOI 10.1038/345743a0.
- Wang J, Hu T, Wang W, Hu H, Wei Q, Bao C. 2019. Investigation of evolutionary and expressional relationships in the function of the leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinase gene family (LRR-RLK) in the radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). *Scientific Reports* **9**(1):1–8 DOI 10.1038/s41598-019-43516-9.
- Wang J, Kucukoglu M, Zhang L, Chen P, Decker D, Nilsson O, Jones B, Sandberg G, Zheng B. 2013. The Arabidopsis LRR-RLK, PXC1, is a regulator of secondary wall formation correlated with the TDIF-PXY/TDR-WOX4 signaling pathway. *BMC Plant Biology* 13(1):1–11 DOI 10.1186/1471-2229-13-94.
- Wang Y, Tang H, DeBarry JD, Tan X, Li J, Wang X, Lee T-H, Jin H, Marler B, Guo H. 2012. MCScanX: a toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity. *Nucleic Acids Research* 40(7):e49 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkr1293.
- Wei Z, Wang J, Yang S, Song Y. 2015. Identification and expression analysis of the LRR-RLK gene family in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) Heinz 1706. *Genome* 58(4):121–134 DOI 10.1139/gen-2015-0035.
- Yeh Y-H, Panzeri D, Kadota Y, Huang Y-C, Huang P-Y, Tao C-N, Roux M, Chien H-C, Chin T-C, Chu P-W. 2016. The Arabidopsis malectin-like/LRR-RLK IOS1 is critical for BAK1dependent and BAK1-independent pattern-triggered immunity. *The Plant Cell* 28:1701–1721 DOI 10.1105/tpc.16.00313.
- Yu J, Zhang B, Liu S, Guo W, Gao Y, Sun H. 2022. Genome-wide characterisation, evolution and expression analysis of the leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinase (LRR-RLK) gene family in cucumbers. *Plant Protection Science* 58(No. 2):125–138 DOI 10.17221/131/2021-PPS.
- Zan Y, Ji Y, Zhang Y, Yang S, Song Y, Wang J. 2013. Genome-wide identification, characterization and expression analysis of populusleucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinase genes. *BMC Genomics* 14(1):1–14 DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-14-318.
- Zhang Q, Gao M, Wu L, Wang Y, Chen Y. 2016. Divergent expression patterns in two Vernicia species revealed the potential role of the hub gene VmAP2/ERF036 in resistance to *Fusarium oxysporum* in *Vernicia montana*. *Genes* 7(12):109 DOI 10.3390/genes7120109.
- Zhang L, Liu M, Long H, Dong W, Pasha A, Esteban E, Li W, Yang X, Li Z, Song A. 2019. Tung tree (Vernicia fordii) genome provides a resource for understanding genome evolution and improved oil production. Genomics, Proteomics & Bioinformatics 17(6):558–575 DOI 10.1016/j.gpb.2019.03.006.
- Zou M, Guo B, He S. 2011. The roles and evolutionary patterns of intronless genes in deuterostomes. *Comparative and Functional Genomics* 2011(4):1–8 DOI 10.1155/2011/680673.