

The Mini Nutritional Assessment tool's applicability for the elderly in Ethiopia: validation study

Megersso Urgessa ^{Corresp. 1}

¹ Department of Public Health, School of Health Sciences, Shashemenne campus, Madda Walabu University., Shashemene, Oromia, Ethiopia

Corresponding Author: Megersso Urgessa
Email address: megurgessa@gmail.com

Background: The Mini Nutrition Assessment (MNA) is a widely used and valid tool for screening and assessment of malnutrition among the elderly population worldwide. However, MNA has not been validated among the Ethiopian elderly population and this study assessed the validity of the tool for the target population. **Methods:** Cross-sectional validation study design employed to validate MNA in Meki town, East Ethiopia. This study included 176 randomly selected elders living in the community, whereas amputated, bedridden, visible deformity, known liver and/or renal disorders were excluded. Original MNA questionnaires were translated to local language and administered to each participant after doing the pretest. The anthropometric, self-perception of nutritional status and serum albumin concentrations were measured. Reliability, validity, sensitivity, specificity, Positive Predictive Value (PPV), and Negative Predictive Value (NPV) were calculated. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was plotted to identify the Area Under the Curve (AUC) and optimal cut-off value for the prediction of malnutrition. **Result:** A total of one hundred and seventy-six elders participated in this study. Of the total participants, 78(44.3%) were males. The mean (SD) age of the participants was 67.6 (± 5.8) years and ranged from 60 to 84 years. The prevalence of malnutrition based on the MNA criteria (MNA<17 points) was 18.2%, and 13.1% based on serum albumin concentration (<3 g/dl). The MNA had an overall Internal consistency of Cronbach's alpha 0.61. The tool also demonstrated significant criterion-related validity (0.75, $p < 0.001$) and concurrent validity (0.51, $p < 0.001$) with serum albumin concentration and self-perception of nutritional status respectively. Using the original cut-off point; the sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of the tool were 93.5%, 44.6%, 65.4% and 86.0% respectively. By modifying, the cut-off point to a value of < 20.5, the sensitivity and specificity of the tool increases to 97.6% and 82.8% respectively. The AUC (95%CI) showed an overall accuracy of 92.7% (88.5, 96.9). **Conclusion:** The MNA tool can be used as a valid malnutrition screening tool for the Ethiopian elderly population by modifying the original cut-off point.

The Mini Nutritional Assessment tool's applicability for the elderly in Ethiopia:

Validation study

Megersso Urgessa^{1*}

***Corresponding author:**

Email: megurgessa@gmail.com ,

Phone: +2519-17-56-20-88

Abstract

Background: The Mini Nutrition Assessment (MNA) is a widely used and valid tool for screening and assessment of malnutrition among the elderly population worldwide. However, MNA has not been validated among the Ethiopian elderly population and this study assessed the validity of the tool for the target population.

Methods: Cross-sectional validation study design employed to validate MNA in Meki town, East Ethiopia. We included 176 randomly selected elders living in the community, whereas amputated, bedridden, visible deformity, known liver and/or renal disorders were excluded. Original MNA questionnaires were administered to each participant after doing the pretest. The anthropometric and serum albumin concentrations were measured. Reliability, validity, sensitivity, specificity, Positive Predictive Value (PPV), and Negative Predictive Value (NPV) were calculated. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was plotted to identify the Area Under the Curve (AUC) and optimal cut-off value for the prediction of malnutrition.

Result: A total of one hundred and seventy-six elders participated in this study. Of the total participants, 78 (44.3%) were males. The mean (SD) age of the participants was 67.6 (\pm 5.8) years and ranged from 60 to 84 years. The prevalence of malnutrition based on the MNA criteria (MNA<17 points) was 18.2%, and 13.1% based on serum albumin concentration (<3 g/dl). The

MNA had an overall Internal consistency of Cronbach's alpha 0.61. The tool also demonstrated significant criterion-related validity (0.75, $p < 0.001$) and concurrent validity (0.51, $p < 0.001$) with serum albumin concentration and self-perception of nutritional status respectively. Using the original cut-off point; the sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of the tool were 93.5%, 44.6%, 65.4% and 86.0% respectively. By modifying, the cut-off point to a value of < 20.5 , the sensitivity and specificity of the tool increases to 97.6% and 82.8% respectively. The AUC (95% CI) showed an overall accuracy of 92.7% (88.5, 96.9).

Conclusion: The MNA tool can be used as a valid malnutrition screening tool for the Ethiopian elderly population by modifying the original cut-off point.

Keywords: Validation, MNA, Elderly, Ethiopia.

46

47 **Background**

48 Elderly people refer to those who are 60 years and above [1, 2], and currently, it is increasing at a
 49 faster rate. Every second two persons celebrate their 60th birthday globally. By 2050 the elderly
 50 population is expected to double in the world [3]. In Europe alone, the elderly population will
 51 constitute about thirty-four percent of the entire population by 2050 [4]. Even, in developing
 52 countries like Ethiopia elderly populations are rising, and they represent about 3.3% (3.3 million)
 53 of the 110 million population, with 4.42% of the total population living in the Urban area [1]. In
 54 addition, the country's life expectancy has increased to 67.8 years [5, 6]. Obviously, with aging
 55 the elderly population's risk of developing communicable and non-communicable diseases
 56 increases [7]. Hence, maintenance of optimum nutrient consumption in these age groups is of
 57 paramount importance to prevent diseases [8]. Especially in this century, elderlies are prone to
 58 the dual burden of malnutrition; under- nutrition or over-nutrition [9], and chronic non-
 59 communicable diseases [10-12].

60

61 Protein-energy malnutrition, a condition resulting from inadequate consumption of nutrients [13],
 62 is a specific concern in the elderly population because it is associated with increased morbidity
 63 and mortality [14]. The magnitude of malnutrition varies from setting to setting. In developed
 64 countries prevalence of malnutrition is reported to be 15%, among community members, 23 - 62%
 65 in hospital settings, and more than 80% in intensive care units [15]. In developing countries like
 66 South Africa, for instance, the prevalence of malnutrition is reported to be 50% in hospital settings
 67 [16]. The figure is more or less similar in Chile, where the prevalence is 58% among the hospital
 68 population [17].

69

70 In Africa, among community populations, the prevalence is reported to be 26.5% in Egypt [18],
 71 and 28.3% in Ethiopia [19]. Given the elderly population's increasing population size and risk of
 72 malnutrition; it is crucial to devise methods of early detection. For effective screening and
 73 detection of malnutrition, a valid and reliable malnutrition screening tool is necessary [20]. This
 74 further assists those elders who need intervention [21]. Malnutrition screening tools are mostly
 75 easy to administer and contain structured questionnaires that include questions related to the
 76 difficulty of chewing, appetite loss, or functional limitations. The tools also enable documentation
 77 of indicators of malnutrition, like involuntary weight losses [22]. However, the validity of these
 78 tools is very crucial to carry out the screening process so that one can measure what it is intended
 79 to measure as far as malnutrition is concerned [14, 23].

80

81 There are different valid screening tools used to screen malnutrition among geriatrics, and the Mini
 82 nutrition assessment (MNA) is the most widely used [24]. This tool was developed in the early
 83 1990s and published in 1994 [25]. It is a short and simple tool that takes 10-15 minutes to complete
 84 [26]. It has 18-items with four categories (anthropometric assessment, dietary assessment, global
 85 assessment, and subjective assessment). All the eighteen items attribute to a score with a maximum
 86 of 30-points. Based on the final score it categorizes the population into three groups: malnutrition
 87 if the score is < 17 points, at risk of malnutrition, for scores between 17-23.5 points, and well-
 88 nourished, if the score is between 24 and 30 points, inclusive [27].

89 It is the only nutritional screening and assessment tool that incorporates functionality, mobility,
 90 and depression [28, 29]. Moreover, it is reliable, inexpensive, does not require laboratory
 91 investigation, and is used in all settings [25, 30]. It is also able to detect risks of malnutrition before

the severe change in individuals' weight or serum albumin occurs [30]. It also correlates with serum albumin concentration [31]. Reports also indicated that it predicts mortality and length of stay in hospital [32]. There are hundreds of proteins circulating in plasma and serum albumin is one. To measure this one needs a serum fluid that remains after plasma has clotted, fibrinogen, and most of the clotting factors removed [33, 34]. The normal range of protein is 6.5-8.5 g/dl[35, 36] and out of this albumin accounts large proportion (50-60%), with a normal value ranging from 3.5-5 g/dl[35, 36]. It has a half-life of 20 up to 22 days. Whereas its precursor pre albumin (transthyretin) has only 2 to 4 days [37]. A systematic review of literature conducted by Zhang and colleagues in 2017, recommended the use of albumins and other biomarkers including pre- albumin, hemoglobin, total cholesterol and total protein for the elderly's nutritional assessment, regardless of body's inflammation status [38]. The pre-albumin (transthyretin), retinol-binding protein and transferrin are markers of short-term nutritional status[39]. Serum albumin is also used as a predictor of morbidity and mortality in elderly people[40]. Based on serum level of albumin nutritional status of elderly population can be categorized as malnutrition if < 3.0 g/dl, at risk if 3 to 3.5 g/dl, and well-nourished if > 3.5 to 5 g/dl[41, 42].

Even though MNA is validated and used in a different country, it is not readily applicable to other countries. In part this is due to varying characteristics of the population's anthropometric measurement and nutritional characteristics; from one setting to the other. For instance, MNA was not applicable in the Chilean population [17]. The original cut-off value was also not reliable for Iranian elders [43], and Japan's population as well [44]. In Ethiopia, MNA has not been tested on the elderly population and there is a gap of established cut-off points, to screen and assess

malnutrition. Therefore, this study attempted to validate MNA using serum albumin concentration as a golden standard in the Ethiopian geriatric population.

Methods

Participants

The study was conducted in Meki town, Eastern part of Ethiopia from March to April 2020. Initially, we conducted a house-to-house survey to estimate the total number of elderly people (aged 60 and above) living in the setting. Each were given a unique identifier to help us develop a sampling frame. At this stage, we have also secured contact information to make data collection smooth. Following this, we calculated the sample size needed using BUNDER'S FORMULA [45], and our calculation yielded 176 study participants. Recruitment was then followed afterward using a computer-generated simple random sampling technique. Using the unique identifier and the contact information we have secured at the earlier stage, from our sampling frame we have approached those elders otherwise healthy, do not have any signs of deformity, amputation, not incapacitated, do not have known liver and kidney disorders. We have then presented detailed information about the nature of the study, and after consent was provided, detailed data were obtained from the individual.

Nutritional assessment

A human blood sample (4 mL) was collected in the morning before 9:30 am, after a full overnight fast, using a copper-and zinc-free syringe. Serum albumin concentration was measured by automated Bromocresol green method using BCG reagent and its standard manufactured by Jourilabs [46]. All samples were handled according to WHO guidelines on standard operating procedures for clinical chemistry [36], and reagent with its standard manufacturer order[46]. It

classifies as malnutrition if score is < 3.0 gram/deciliter (g/dl), at risk of malnutrition if score is 3 to 3.5 g/dl, and well-nourished for score between 3.5 to 5 g/dl [31, 41, 42].

Pre-tested Original MNA questionnaires [see Additional file 1] were administered to all participants. The MNA® was used in accordance with Nestlé's terms and conditions [27]. All participants' weight, height, Mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC), and calf-circumference (CC) were measured twice, and the average record was used for this study. Height was measured using a stadiometer (Seca 213, Germany), participant bare feet, with their buttock, heels, and occiput touching the board. Participants' height was recorded to the nearest 0.1centimeters (cm). Weight was recorded to the nearest 0.1 kilograms (kg); using calibrated digital scales placed on a hard flat surface with subjects in light clothes and bare feet. The weighing scale was checked after each measurement with a 2kg standard weight. MUAC was recorded to the nearest 0.1cm and was measured at the mid-point, between the tip of the Acromion and Olecranon process on the back of the upper arm while the subject's forearm held a freely horizontal position. CC was measured at the widest circumference between ankle and knee and was recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm, using a flexible tape in a sitting position, with a leg 90-degree (90°) at the knee. Body mass index (BMI) was computed as body weight in kilograms divided by the squares of height in meters. All data were collected by trained Nurses and laboratory professionals.

Data processing and analysis

The data were first entered into Epidata version 3.1, then exported to and analyzed by the IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0 Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.

Variables of interest were described using means, standard deviations (SD), frequencies, and percentage (%). A P-value < 0.05 was used to define statistical significance. To assess the reliability of the MNA instrument in the population, the overall internal consistency was evaluated by Cronbach's alpha. Usually, an alpha value of ≥ 0.80 is considered good, however, a value of 0.70-0.80 and 0.60 - 0.70 is adequate and acceptable respectively [47]. Also, Spearman's rank correlation coefficient for all the 18-items was calculated as well.

To calculate the criterion-related validity of the tool by comparing it with the gold standard[48], we have assessed the correlation (Spearman's rho) coefficient between MNA and serum albumin concentration. A value of 0.90 - 1.00 is considered very high and ≤ 0.50 low, whereas, values of 0.50-0.70 and 0.70- 0.90 are considered moderate and high respectively [49]. Concurrent validity [48], was assessed again using Spearman's rank coefficient between MNA items and participants' self-perception of nutritional status. In addition, we assess Concurrent validity using the correlation between MNA and BMI classification of nutritional status.

Agreement between the two methods the MNA tool and serum albumin concentration was assessed by calculating a weighted kappa coefficient. A kappa value of 0.80 -1.0 is considered perfect agreement[50]. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated using serum albumin concentration as a golden standard.

The markers of malnutrition considered for the analysis are if the MNA score is < 24 points or serum albumin concentration is $< 3.5\text{g/dl}$. A receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) was plotted using serum concentration $< 3.5\text{ g/dl}$ as a marker of malnutrition. The area under the ROC

curve (AUC) was evaluated to determine the overall accuracy of the MNA tool and usually, a value ≥ 0.9 is considered excellent [51]. The optimal cutoff value was calculated using Youden's J index (sensitivity + specificity -1) [52].

Ethical Review and Participants consent

This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the World Medical Association (WMA) Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving research study participants were reviewed and approved by Jimma University, Institute of Health, Ethical review committee (ERC) with ref no IRB 0063/2020. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Results

A total of 176 elders participated in the study, and the response rate was 100%. The mean (SD) age of the participants was 67.86 (± 5.8) years and 98 (55.7%) were females. Overall, mean (SD) of the total MNA score was 20.7(3.5), and the mean (SD) of the serum albumin concentration was 3.7 (0.60) (Table 1).

Table 1: Characteristics of study participants elderly people aged 60 and above years in the community, Meki town, East Ethiopia, 2020.

226

227

228

229 The internal consistency of the MNA tool was adequate (Cronbach $\alpha = 0.61$). Homogeneity
 230 between the eighteen MNA items was adequate with Cronbach's Alpha of 0.61. Cronbach's alpha
 231 if an item deleted ranged from 0.526 to 0.633. The Scale's Cronbach's alpha would be 0.633 if the
 232 acute stress item were removed from the scale (Table 2). But, in this study, no item was removed
 233 and we used Cronbach $\alpha = 0.61$ throughout the analysis. In addition, MNA's total score
 234 significantly correlates with all its items ($r_s > 0.242$, $P < 0.05$).

235 Table 2: Cronbach's alpha for the MNA tool applied in the elderly population aged 60 and above
 236 years in the community, Meki town, East Ethiopia, 2020.

237

238

239 Criterion-related validity of the MNA tool was tested by correlating it with the serum albumin
 240 concentration, and the result was statistically significant ($r_s = 0.746$, $P < 0.05$). Similarly, the
 241 concurrent validity of the tool was calculated by correlating the total scores of the item with the
 242 BMI classification of nutritional status and the result was again significant ($r_s = 0.392$, $P < 0.05$).
 243 Similarly, the MNA tool and self-perceived nutritional status correlated significantly ($r_s = 0.514$,
 244 $P < 0.05$) (Table 3). According to the original cut-off point, MNA had a sensitivity of 93.5 %,
 245 specificity of 44.6% PPV 65.4%, and NPV 86% of MNA with a total diagnostic accuracy of 70.5%
 246 (Table 3).

247 Table 3: Measure of correlation, agreement, and diagnostic test between MNA and serum albumin
 248 of participant elderly aged 60 and above years in the community, Ethiopia, 2020.

249
250

251

252

253 *Figure 1: The ROC curves of one hundred and seventy-six samples for the MNA tool as compared*
254 *to serum albumin concentration of participant elderly people aged 60 and above years in the*
255 *community, Meki town, East Ethiopia, 2020.*

256

257 The area under ROC curves using the serum albumin concentration level as golden standard area
258 showed the highest values of 0.927 (Figure 1). The AUC (95% CI) value indicates that MNA had
259 excellent diagnostic accuracy to diagnose malnutrition with an overall accuracy of 92.7 % (88.5,
260 96.9). In addition, Maximum Youden's J index calculated using the ROC curve was 0.804. At
261 this Youden's index value, the newly developed optimal cut-off value for the MNA tool was 20.5
262 to detect the markers of malnutrition (i.e. merged at risk of malnutrition and malnutrition). Based
263 on this cut-off value, the MNA total score of < 20.5 points, as markers of malnutrition, the
264 sensitivity increased to 97.6%, and specificity increased to 82.8%.

265

Discussion

The MNA long-form had adequate predictive ability of markers of malnutrition as compared to serum albumin concentration level, among the elderly community population. It showed high sensitivity and specificity, with a somewhat modified optimal cut-off value. Using the original cut-off value, its sensitivity, diagnostic accuracy and overall diagnostic accuracy were 93.5%, 70.45%, and 92.7% respectively. Our data also suggests that the MNA long-form is valid, with a cut-off value of 20.5, using serum albumin concentration ($<3.5\text{g/dl}$) as a golden standard. This optimal cut-off value had a sensitivity of 97.6% and a specificity of 82.8%. Moreover, the MNA long-form had a strong agreement with serum albumin concentration, for identifying elderly individuals with malnutrition or those at risk of malnutrition (weighted kappa= 0.556 (0.470, 0.642). The prevalence of malnutrition using serum albumin concentration was found to be 13.1%. Compared to the gold standard, the MNA long-form overestimates malnutrition by 5.1 %.

We evaluated the reliability and validity of the MNA tool among the elderly population of Ethiopia living in Meki town. Similar to other reports, we have found that the tool is easy to administer and use [25-27, 30, 53]. Our results indicated that the MNA tool had acceptable overall internal consistency with a Cronbach's alpha score of 0.605. Hence, it seems reasonable to assume that the MNA tool is reliable, measures what it intends to measure, and the scale is consistent and homogeneous, at least in our sample.

To demonstrate the validity of the MNA tool, it is common practice in the field to calculate the correlation of the MNA tool with serum albumin concentration. In this regard, a strong significant positive correlation was detected ($r_s=0.746$), contributing to the tools' criterion-related validity.

Similarly, the tool demonstrated statistically significant concurrent validity with BMI nutritional status classification and self-perceived nutritional status. Furthermore, the MNA tool had a moderate agreement with serum albumin concentration level with a weighted kappa value of 0.556. All these results indicate the appropriateness of the tool for the population under study.

Employing originally established cut-off value, the MNA had 93.5%, 44.6% and 65.4% Sensitivity, specificity and PPV respectively. The tool also demonstrated an excellent overall diagnostic accuracy with a value of 92.7%. These results are comparable with other findings conducted elsewhere. Even though studies from settings like Turkey reported that the MNA tool had a sensitivity of 92% [54], others have reported somewhat lower values; like Spain, 85.1 % [55], Iran 82 % [43], Brazil 89% [56], Japan 81% [44] , and Nepal 86% [57]. If, however, we used the value reported by the MNA tool developers, the results in this study are relatively low. The originally reported values for sensitivity and specificity are 96% and 98% respectively.

This is not surprising as such variations in sensitivity and specificity could arise from the nature of the study population, sampling technique and golden standard used to validate the MNA tool. Hence, this study supports the use of MNA as a reliable and valid tool for screening malnutrition among the elderly population living in the Ethiopian community. However, according to the newly developed best-fit cut-off value for the MNA tool (score of < 20.5), the sensitivity increased to 97.6% and specificity increased to 82.8%. This new optimal cut-off value, however, decreased the diagnostic accuracy to 80.4%. Therefore, all in all, with the updated cut-off value, the sensitivity, and specificity of the MNA tool become more comparable to the original developers [53]. Nevertheless, it's worth noting that the new cut-off value takes sensitivity and specificity to

a much higher value than the original cut-off points. Therefore, further studies are needed to evaluate newly developed cut-off value for Ethiopian elders using combined biomarkers as the golden standard. Till then the results of this study indicate the appropriateness of the tool for the population under study.

Readers should take note of the following limitations while interpreting the results of our study. First, dietary assessment methods were not applied and indicators for micronutrient status were not assessed for the participants. Second, we only applied a single golden standard method, i.e., serum albumin, to validate the tool. The correlation between the MNA tool and BMI scores should also be interpreted with caution. It is repeatedly reported that BMI is a limited application in the nutritional assessment of obese individuals but otherwise are malnourished. This may have influenced the result to some degree. Third, the sample size is low, and larger sample size could have provided more statistically robust results. Among the strengths of this study was the inclusion of the community-dwelling elderly population, and the use of a random sampling method to recruit households.

Conclusion

This study indicated that the MNA tool was a valid and reliable tool for the Ethiopian elderly population in the community setting. Future studies should explore the cost-effectiveness of MNA long-form to establish if the tool is suitable for low resource settings, cost-wise.

List of abbreviation

AUC = Area Under Curve

336 BMI =Body Mass Index
 337 CC = Calf Circumference
 338 CI = Confidence Interval
 339 MNA-LF = Mini Nutritional Assessment Long-Form
 340 MUAC =Mid-Upper Arm Circumference
 341 NPV=Negative Predictive Value
 342 PPV =Positive Predictive Value
 343 ROC-Curve = Receiver-Operating Characteristic Curve
 344

345 **Declaration**

346 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

347

348 This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the World Medical Association
 349 (WMA) Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving research study participants were
 350 reviewed and approved by Jimma University, Institute of Health, Ethical review committee (ERC).
 351 The approval number of the ERC was ERB-00063/2020. Written informed consent was obtained
 352 from all participants.

353

354 **Consents for publication**

355 Not applicable

356

357 **Availability of data and materials**

358 All data generated or analyzed for this study are available in manuscript.

Competing of interest

There are no competing interests.

Funding

The authors received no funding for this study from any external body.

Author's contribution

MU: prepared questionnaires collect data and did the analysis. Author reviewed and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would like to Institute of health, faculty of Public Health, Jimma University. We are also appreciative to the participants in the study, as well as the data collectors and supervisors.

Reference

1. Ethiopia Ministry of labor and social affairs: **National plan of action on older persons from 1998 to 2007** E.C 2013.

2. United Nations: **Department of Economic and Social affairs population division:World population ageing**. *ST/ESA/SEERRAA/430* 2019.
3. United Nation Population Fund(UNPFA), HelpAge International.: **Ageing in the twenty first century : A celebration and a challenge** In. UNPFA,New York 2012.
4. Chatterji S, Byles J, Cutler D, Seeman T, Verdes E: **Health, functioning, and disability in older adults--present status and future implications**. *Lancet (London, England)* 2015.
5. Ethiopia population census commission(EPSC): **Summar and statistical report of 2007 population and housing census :Population size by age and sex**. *Central statistical agency(CSA) of Ethiopia,Addis Ababa* 2014.
6. **Population of Ethiopia (2019 and historical)** [<https://www.worldometers.info/world-population/ethiopia-population>.]
7. Hayflick L: **Biological aging is no longer an solved problem** *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 2007.
8. Russell J, Flood V,Rochtchina E,Gopinath B,Allman-Farinelli M,Bauman A et al: **Adherence to dietary guidelines and 15-year risk of all-cause mortality**. *British Journal of Nutrition* 2013.
9. **Malnourished :key facts** [http's//www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/malnutrition]
10. Blossner M, De Onis M, Prüss-Üstün A: **Malnutrition: quantifying the health impact at national and local levels**. In.: World Health Organization; 2005.
11. Brownie S: **Why are elderly individuals at risk of nutritional deficiency?** *International Journal of Nursing Practice* 2006.
12. HelpAge Intrnational: **Vulnerability of older people in Ethiopia: The case of Oromia ,Amahra and SNNP regional states** *HelpAge International in Ethiopia,Addis Ababa* 2013.
13. Cederholm T BI, Barazzoni R, Bauer J, Van Gossum A, Klek S, et al.: **Diagnostic criteria for malnutrition- an ESPEN consensus statement**. *clin nutr* 2015.
14. Skates JJ, Anthony PS: **Identifying geriatric malnutrition in nursing practice: the Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA®)-an evidence-based screening tool**. *Journal of gerontological nursing* 2012.

15. Morley JE: **Anorexia of aging: physiologic and pathologic.** *The American journal of clinical nutrition* 1997.
16. Charlton KE, Kolbe-Alexander TL, Nel JH: **The MNA, but not the DETERMINE, screening tool is a valid indicator of nutritional status in elderly Africans.** *Nutrition (Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif)* 2007.
17. Urteaga C, Ramos R, Atalah E: **Evaluation of mini nutritional assessment in Chilean free-living elders.** *Rev Med Chil* 2001.
18. Hamza AS, Abdul-Rahman AS, Nabel MA, Sedky SA: **Nutritional status and health related quality of life among elderly in rural area in Egypt.** *The Egyptian Journal of Geriatrics and Gerontology* 2018.
19. Hailemariam H, Singh P, Fekadu T: **Evaluation of mini nutrition assessment (MNA) tool among community dwelling elderly in urban community of Hawassa city, Southern Ethiopia.** *Journal of Bio Med Central Nutrition* 2016.
20. Eglseder D, Halfens RJ, Lohrmann C: **Is the presence of a validated malnutrition screening tool associated with better nutritional care in hospitalized patients?.** *Nutrition (Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif)* 2017.
21. Skipper A FM, Thompson K, Castellanos VH, Porcari J: **Nutrition screening tools: an analysis of the evidence.** *JPEN Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition* 2012.
22. Kondrup J, Allison SP, Elia M, Vellas B, Plauth M, Educational and Clinical Practice Committee, European Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ESPEN): **ESPEN guidelines for nutrition screening 2002.** *Clinical nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)* 2003.
23. Jones JM: **Validity of nutritional screening and assessment tools.** *Nutrition (Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif)* 2004.
24. Secher, M., Soto, M., Villars, H., Van Kan, G., Vellas, B.: **The Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) after 20 years of research and clinical practice.** *Reviews in Clinical Gerontology* 2007.
25. Guigoz Y: **Mini nutritional assessment: A practice assessment tool for grading the nutritional state of elderly patients.** *Facts and research in gerontology supplement* 1994.
26. *Nutrition screening: A guideline to complete mini nutritional assessment* [https://www.mna-elderly.com/forms/mna_guide_english.pdf]
27. *Mini nutritional assessment* [www.mna-elderly.com.]

28. Anthony PS: **Nutrition screening tools for hospitalized patients.** *Nutrition in Clinical Practice* 2008.
29. Marian AE, Van Bokhorst, Guaitoli R, Jansma PE, WC Henrica.: **Nutrition screening tools: Does on size fit all ? a systematic review of screening tools for hospital setting.** *Clinical nutrition* 2014.
30. Guigoz Y: **The mini nutritional assessment (MNA) review of the literature : what doest it tells? .** *J Nutr health and ageing* 2006.
31. Vellas B, Guigoz Y, Lauque S, Baumgartner M, Garry P.J, Albarede LL: **Relationships between nutritional markers and the mini nutritional assessment in 155 older persons.** *JAm Geriatric Soc* 2000.
32. Kagansky N: **Poor nutritioanl habits are predictor outcome in very old hospitalized patients.** *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005.
33. Busher JT: **Serum Albumin and Globulin :Clinical Methods: The History, Physical, and Laboratory Examinations** 3rd edn. Boston: Butterworths; 1990.
34. John E Hall AC, Guyton: **Guyton and Hall textbook of medical physiology**, 12 edn: Philadelphia, PA : Saunders Elsevier; 2011.
35. Tracey B: **Guide to lab and diagnostic tests**, 1st edn: F.A.Davis company; 2005.
36. WHO: **Guidlines on standard operating procedures for clinical chemistry** Who:regional office for South East Asia: New Delhi; 2000.
37. Smith, Susan H. DNP: **Using albumin and prealbumin to assess nutritional status.** *Nursing: Wolters Kluwer Health* 2017.
38. Zhang Z, Pereira SL, Luo M, Matheson EM.: **Evaluation of Blood Biomarkers Associated with Risk of Malnutrition in Older Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.** *Nutrients* 2017.
39. Victor R. Preedy, Raj Lakshman, Rajaventhana Srirajaskanthan, Ronald Ross Watson: **Nutrition,diet therapy and Liver**, 1st edn: Taylor and Francis group; 2009.
40. Simon L: **Nutrition: A Lifespan Approach** 1st edn; 2009.
41. Rodrigueza S, Annweilere C,MorenoaR,Solab G,Escaladab F,Muniesab M et al.: **Clinical application of the basic definition of mal nutrition proposed by the European Society for Clinical Nutrition and metabolism(ESPEN) :Comparison with classical tools in geriatric care.** *Archives of Gerontology and geriatrics* 2018.

- 474 42. Shishira Bharadwaj, Shaiva Ginoya, Parul Tandon, Tushar D. Gohel, John Guirguis, Hiren
475 Vallabh et al: **Malnutrition: laboratory markers vs nutritional assessment: REVIEW.**
476 *Gastroenterology Report* 2016,
- 477 43. Amirkalali B, Sharifi F, Fakhrzadeh H, Mirarefin M, Ghaderpanahi M, Larijani B:
478 **Evaluation of the Mini Nutritional Assessment in the elderly, Tehran, Iran.** *Public*
479 *Health Nutr* 2010.
- 480 44. Kuzuya M, Kanda S, Koike T, Suzuki Y, Satake S, Iguchi A.: **Evaluation of Mini-**
481 **Nutritional Assessment for Japanese frail elderly.** *Nutrition* 2005.
- 482 45. Buderer, N. M.: **Statistical methodology: I. Incorporating the prevalence of disease**
483 **into the sample size calculation for sensitivity and specificity.** *Academic emergency*
484 *medicine : official journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine* 1996.
- 485 46. **Serum Albumin.** *Jourilabs diagnostic reagents and laboratory chemicals*
486 [<https://www.jourilabs.com/>]
- 487 47. Garson, G. D. : **Validity & Reliability:** Asheboro, NC: Statistical Associates 2016.
- 488 48. Streiner D, Norman and Cairney: **Health measurement scales: A practical guide to their**
489 **development and use**, 5th edn: United States of America: Oxford University Press; 2015.
- 490 49. Mukaka M: **Statistics corner: A guide to appropriate use of correlation coefficient in**
491 **medical research.** *Malawi medical journal : the journal of Medical Association of Malaw*
492 2012.
- 493 50. Landis JR, Koch GG: **The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. .**
494 *Biometric* 1977.
- 495 51. Zhu W, Zeng, N. and Wang, N.: **Sensitivity, Specificity, Accuracy, Associated**
496 **Confidence Interval and ROC Analysis with Practical SAS Implementations.** In:
497 *NESUG Proceedings: Health Care and Life Sciences: 2010:* Baltimore, Maryland; 2010.
- 498 52. YODEN WJ: **Index for rating diagnostic tests.** *Cancer* 1950.
- 499 53. Guigoz Y, Bruno Vellas: **Test d'évaluation de l'état nutritionnel de la personne âgée:**
500 **le Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA).** *Medecine Et Hygiene* 1995.
- 501 54. Sarikaya D. HM, Kuyumcu M. E., Kilic M. K., Yesil Y., Kara O et al **Mini nutritional**
502 **assessment test long and short form are valid screening tools in Turkish older adults.**
503 *Archives of gerontology and geriatrics* 2015.

- 504 55. Bleda, M. J., Bolibar, I., Parés, R., & Salvà, A.: **Reliability of the mini nutritional**
505 **assessment (MNA) in institutionalized elderly people.** *The journal of nutrition, health*
506 *& aging* 2002.
- 507 56. Ferreira LS, Nascimento, L. F., & Marucci, M. F. : **Use of the mini nutritional assessment**
508 **tool in elderly people from long-term institutions of southeast of Brazil.** *The journal of*
509 *nutrition, health & aging* 2008.
- 510 57. Ghimire, S., Baral, B. K., & Callahan, K.: **Nutritional assessment of community-**
511 **dwelling older adults in rural Nepal.** *PloS one* 2017.

520 Additional file 1

Mini Nutritional Assessment MNA®

Nestlé
Nutrition Institute

Last name:		First name:		
Sex:	Age:	Weight, kg:	Height, cm:	Date:

Complete the screen by filling in the boxes with the appropriate numbers.
Add the numbers for the screen. If score is 11 or less, continue with the assessment to gain a Malnutrition Indicator Score.

Screening	
A Has food intake declined over the past 3 months due to loss of appetite, digestive problems, chewing or swallowing difficulties? 0 = severe decrease in food intake 1 = moderate decrease in food intake 2 = no decrease in food intake	<input type="checkbox"/>
B Weight loss during the last 3 months 0 = weight loss greater than 3kg (6.6lbs) 1 = does not know 2 = weight loss between 1 and 3kg (2.2 and 6.6 lbs) 3 = no weight loss	<input type="checkbox"/>
C Mobility 0 = bed or chair bound 1 = able to get out of bed / chair but does not go out 2 = goes out	<input type="checkbox"/>
D Has suffered psychological stress or acute disease in the past 3 months? 0 = yes 2 = no	<input type="checkbox"/>
E Neuropsychological problems 0 = severe dementia or depression 1 = mild dementia 2 = no psychological problems	<input type="checkbox"/>
F Body Mass Index (BMI) = weight in kg / (height in m)² 0 = BMI less than 19 1 = BMI 19 to less than 21 2 = BMI 21 to less than 23 3 = BMI 23 or greater	<input type="checkbox"/>
Screening score (subtotal max. 14 points) 12-14 points: Normal nutritional status 8-11 points: At risk of malnutrition 0-7 points: Malnourished For a more in-depth assessment, continue with questions G-R	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
Assessment	
G Lives independently (not in nursing home or hospital) 1 = yes 0 = no	<input type="checkbox"/>
H Takes more than 3 prescription drugs per day 0 = yes 1 = no	<input type="checkbox"/>
I Pressure sores or skin ulcers 0 = yes 1 = no	<input type="checkbox"/>
J How many full meals does the patient eat daily? 0 = 1 meal 1 = 2 meals 2 = 3 meals	<input type="checkbox"/>
K Selected consumption markers for protein intake • At least one serving of dairy products (milk, cheese, yoghurt) per day yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> • Two or more servings of legumes or eggs per week yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> • Meat, fish or poultry every day yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> 0.0 = if 0 or 1 yes 0.5 = if 2 yes 1.0 = if 3 yes	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
L Consumes two or more servings of fruit or vegetables per day? 0 = no 1 = yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
M How much fluid (water, juice, coffee, tea, milk...) is consumed per day? 0.0 = less than 3 cups 0.5 = 3 to 5 cups 1.0 = more than 5 cups	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
N Mode of feeding 0 = unable to eat without assistance 1 = self-fed with some difficulty 2 = self-fed without any problem	<input type="checkbox"/>
O Self view of nutritional status 0 = views self as being malnourished 1 = is uncertain of nutritional state 2 = views self as having no nutritional problem	<input type="checkbox"/>
P In comparison with other people of the same age, how does the patient consider his / her health status? 0.0 = not as good 0.5 = does not know 1.0 = as good 2.0 = better	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
Q Mid-arm circumference (MAC) in cm 0.0 = MAC less than 21 0.5 = MAC 21 to 22 1.0 = MAC greater than 22	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
R Calf circumference (CC) in cm 0 = CC less than 31 1 = CC 31 or greater	<input type="checkbox"/>
Assessment (max. 16 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
Screening score	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
Total Assessment (max. 30 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
Malnutrition Indicator Score 24 to 30 points <input type="checkbox"/> Normal nutritional status 17 to 23.5 points <input type="checkbox"/> At risk of malnutrition Less than 17 points <input type="checkbox"/> Malnourished	

References
 1. Vellas B, Villars H, Abellan G, et al. Overview of the MNA® - Its History and Challenges. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2006; **10**:456-465.
 2. Rubenstein LZ, Harker JO, Salva A, Guigoz Y, Vellas B. Screening for Undernutrition in Geriatric Practice: Developing the Short-Form Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA-SF). *J Gerontol*. 2001; **56A**: M366-377.
 3. Guigoz Y. The Mini-Nutritional Assessment (MNA®) Review of the Literature - What does it tell us? *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2006; **10**:466-487.
 © Société des Produits Nestlé SA. Trademark Owners
 © Société des Produits Nestlé SA 1994, Revision 2009.
 For more information: www.mna-elderly.com

Table 1 (on next page)

Characteristics of study participants elderly people aged 60 and above years

Variable	n (%)
Gender	
Male	78 (44.3%)
Female	98 (55.7%)
Age category in year	
60 – 64	61 (34.7%)
65 – 69	63 (35.8%)
70 - 74	24 (13.6%)
75 -79	23 (13.1%)
≥ 80	5 (2.8%)
	mean, SD
Age in year	67.6 (5.79)
Weight in Kg	70.7 (10.15)
Height in meters	1.7 (0.07)
Serum albumin score in g/dl	3.7 (0.60)
MNA (sum score)	20.7 (3.46)

Table 2(on next page)

Cronbach's alpha for MNA tool applied in the elderly population aged 60 and above years

1

Items	Cronbach's α if Item Deleted
Decreased food intake	0.596
Weight loss	0.559
Mobility status	0.607
Acute stress	0.633
Depression	0.599
BMI category	0.614
Living without support from other	0.591
Number of drugs per day	0.616
Ulcer on skin	0.620
Number of meals	0.619
Consumption of protein	0.589
Fruit and /or vegetable intake	0.565
Fluid intake	0.596
Feeding status	0.576
Self-perception of nutritional status	0.567
Self-perception of health status	0.526
MUAC category	0.582
CC category	0.565
Overall Cronbach's alpha	0.605

2

Table 3(on next page)

Measure of correlation, agreement and diagnostic test between MNA and Serum albumin concentration.

1

MNA correlation by Spearman's rho (r_s)	<i>P</i> value	
With serum albumin concentration	0.75	0.000
With BMI classification of nutritional status	0.39	0.000
With Self-perception of nutritional status	0.51	0.000
MNA agreement with serum albumin		
Weighted kappa (95% CI) ^a	0.56(0.470,0.642)	
Weighted kappa (95% CI) ^b	0.39(0.269,0.514)	
Diagnostic accuracy		
Sensitivity	0.935	
Specificity	0.446	
PPV ^c	0.654	
NPV ^d	0.86	
Total Diagnostic accuracy	0.7045	

2 ^a *Malnutrition, risk of malnutrition, well-nourished;*

3 ^b *Malnutrition and risk of malnutrition, well-nourished*

4 ^c *Positive predictive value*

5 ^d *Negative predictive value*

6

Figure 1

ROC curves of MNA tool

The ROC curves of one hundred and seventy six samples for the MNA tool as compared to serum albumin concentration of participant elderly people aged 60 and above years

