| 1<br>2 | Title page  |   |                                       |
|--------|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| 3      | Long daylength promotes the flowering transformation of bermudagrass  |   |                                       |
|        | Long daylength promotes the nowering_transformation of berinddagrass  |   |                                       |
| 4<br>5 | Mingxia_Ji <sup>§a</sup> ,_Guangyang_Wang <sup>§a</sup> ,_Xiaoyan_Liu <sup>a</sup> , Xiaoning_Li <sup>a</sup> , Ying Xue <sup>a</sup> , Erick Amombo <sup>a</sup> , |   |                                       |
|        | Jinmin Fu <sup>a</sup> *  |   |                                       |
| 6      | yınmın_ru *   |   | Comment [MC1]: Where is his/her email |
| 7      |   |   | address?                              |
| 8      | a Coastal Salinity Tolerant Grass Engineering and Technology Research Center, Ludong  |   |                                       |
| 9      | University, Yantai, Shandong, 264025, China   |   |                                       |
| 10     | Mingxia Ji.E-mail:2293406919@qq.com   |   |                                       |
| 11     | Guangyang Wang. E-mail: 1162933849@qq.com   |   |                                       |
| 12     | Xiaoyan Liu. E-mail: 2777827020@qq.com  |   |                                       |
| 13     | Xiaoning Li. E-mail: lixiaoning0724@126.com   |   |                                       |
| 14     | Ying_Xue. E-mail: 864938752@qq.com  |   |                                       |
| 15     | Erick Amombo. E-mail:amomboeric@gmail.com   |   |                                       |
| 16     | §These authors contributed equally to this work.  |   |                                       |
| 17     | * Corresponding authors, E-mail: turfcn@qq.com, Telephone:0535-6681043  | / | Comment [MC2]: Multiple corresponding |
| 18     |   | \ | authors?                              |
| 19     |   | \ | Comment [MC3]: Follow the journal's   |
| 20     |   |   | prescribed style.                     |
| 21     |   |   |                                       |
| 22     |   |   |                                       |
|        |   |   |                                       |

### Abstract

23

- 24 Photoperiod is a very critical environmental factor affecting plant growth and development.
- 25 Controlled environmental conditions and extended photoperiod have been shown to promote
- 26 | flowering in the long-day plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* and to shorten breeding time in some crops.
- 27 However, the studyno previous research on the regulation of bermudagrass flowering by
- 28 | photoperiod is not elearscarce. Therefore, this study investigated the effect of photoperiod on the
- 29 growth and flowering of bermudagrass by prolonging the photoperiod in a controlled greenhouse.
- 30 Supplemental lighting in the controlled greenhouse with sodium lamps equipped with metal
- 31 halides. Three different photoperiods were set up in the experiment: 22/2 h (22hours light/2 hours
- dark), 18/6 h (18hours light/6 hours dark), 14/10 h (14hours light/10 hours dark). Results showed
- that extending the photoperiod not only promoted the growth of bermudagrass but also its nutrient
- 34 uptake. Most importantly, under 22/2 h photoperiodic conditions, flowering time was successfully
- 35 reduced to 44 days for common bermudagrass (Cynodon\_dactylon\_[L.] pers) genotype, A12359
- and 36 days for African bermudagrass (*Cynodontransvaalensis*Burtt-Davy) genotype, ABD11.
- 37 This study investigated demonstrated a successful method of -bermudagrass flowering earlier that
- 38 usual time by manipulating daylength and which might provide useful insight for bermudagrass
- 39 breeding.
- 40 **Key Words**: Bermudagrass, Photoperiod, Flowering, Rapid Breeding

### 41 Introduction

- 42 Light is not only a source of energy, but it is also one of the most important environmental factors
- 43 for plants growth and development (Fukuda et al, 2008). Light intensity, light quality (spectral
- 44 qualities of light), and photoperiod (length of exposure to light) are three major factors that have a
- 45 significant influences on plants (Nadav & Nirit, 2021). Photosynthesis is directly impacted affected

Formatted: Font: Italic

by light intensity, with increased photon flux density at selective wavelengths enabling higher rates of carbon fixation. Light quality influences the synthesis and storage of photosynthetic pigments in leaves, as well as the metabolism of nutrients, and nitrogen (Shafiq et al, 2021). It also regulates plant development, photo-morphogenesis, and material metabolism (primary and secondary metabolism) (Monostori et al, 2018). Photoperiod is the amount of time that plants are exposed to a predefined light and dark state each day, which influences plant physiological and biochemical reactions to enhance fast growth, and development (Adams & Langton F.A., 2005; Zha & Liu, 2018). Plants must shift from vegetative to reproductive development in order to secure their own reproduction in a variety of uncertain surroundings. It is mostly\_depend\_on the interaction of internal regulation and external factors. The photoperiod pathway, vernalization pathway, autonomous pathway, and gibberellin pathway are all involved in the regulation of plant blooming. Among them, photoperiod is a very critical environmental factor affecting plant growth and development (Yamaguchi & Abe, 2012; Wellmer & Riechmann, 2010; Srikanth & Schmid, 2011; Wolabu et al, 2016). Photoperiod not only plays an important role in inducing flowering of crops, but also has significant effects on other aspects of crop external growth (Munir etal, 2001; Martín et al, 2018).

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

Growing populations and changing ecosystems, on the other hand, create serious worries about global food security. Plant breeding has played a critical role in maintaining food security and has had a significant influence on food production throughout the world since the early 1900s\_(Tester &\_Langridge, 2010;\_Shiferaw et al, 2013). Plant breeding can be used to create plants with specific characteristics\_(Godwin et al, 2019). Plant breeders and academics from all around the globe presented a variety of ways to increase crop breeding efficiency. With the advent of genetic engineering and molecular technology, genetic transformation has been applied to generate crops

Comment [MC4]: Irrelevant

with desirable characteristics-(Ahmar\_et al, 2020; Arauset al, 2018; Fenget al, 2014; Majid\_et al, 2017). Plant breeding has been proven to be accelerated by emerging technologies established in this decade, such as genomicselection and high-throughput phenotyping. Breeding new, advanced varieties for most crops will take years, and present development rates for some vital crops are insufficient to fulfill future demand(Muth et al, 2010; Ray et al, 2013). The efficacy of agricultural genetic improvement is substantially determined by cycle time, and various ways have been explored to decrease the duration of plant reproductive cycles(Voss-Felset al, 2019). Watson and his colleagues proposed the concept of "speed breeding," which involves using regulated environmental conditions and extended photoperiod to reduce generation times for long-cycle crops of spring wheat (*Triticum\_aestivum*) and oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*) to 6 and 4 generations per year, respectively, rather than 2-3 generations under normal glasshouse conditions (Ghosh et al, 2018; Watson et al, 2018). This non-transgenic strategy cuts generation time in half, speeds up breeding and other cutting-edge plant breeding procedures, and can meet the genetic gain targets required for our future crops(Liet al, 2018; Wangaet al, 2021).

Bermudagrass has good color, high density, drought endurance, salt tolerance, wear resistance, and quick reproduction, making it one of the most valued grasses among other warm-season turfgrasses (Pang et al, 2011). It's not just one of China's most popular turfgrasses, but it's also a great plant for soil consolidation and slope protection (Harlan, 1970; Shi et al, 2014; Zheng et al, 2017; Taliaferro, 1995; Beard, 1972). There are significant and widespread wild bermudagrass germplasm resources all over the world today, with China being one of the wealthiest countries in terms of bermudagrass breeding (Zhang, 2018; Hajjar & Hodgkin, 2007). Plant breeding relies heavily on genetic resources and wild species, finding resistant and high-performing variations is the key goal of crop enhancement (Kearns et al, 2009). However, breeding of bermudagrass was

Comment [MC5]: Better not to beating around the bush, but be specific to relate

plant breeding and current research work.

Comment [MC6]: Define...what is good

color, or use more understandable term.

sluggish to begin in China, the introduction of new kinds in the 1950s and 1960s that breeding activity began. Systematic breeding, cross breeding, mutagenesis breeding, and biotechnology breeding are the most used bermudagrass breeding strategies nowadays.\_\_Almost all new bermudagrass species have been generated by crossbreeding, both intraspecific and interspecific, in recent years. Crossbreeding (*C.\_dactylon\_×\_C. transvaalensis*) was used to create popular varieties as Tifway,\_Latitude 36, Northbridge, Tahoma 31, and TifTuf\_(Wuet al, 2013, 2014, 2019). Furthermore,because developing genetically stable bermudagrass variants takes a long time, quick breeding is required to enhance the breeding of outstanding kinds.\_In the present study supplemental light was used to increase the photoperiod as 22/2 h (22 hours light/2 hours dark) at greenhouse to see the effect on floweringthis-in bermudagrass study we proposed aprogram: Supplemental lighting in the controlled greenhouse with sodium lamps equipped with metal halides increased the photoperiod to 22/2 (22 hours light/2 hours dark). The results showed and found that prolonging the photoperiod could promote flowering of bermudagrass. This study will provide useful insight for time-efficient breeding of bermudagrass breeding\_-

#### Materials and methods

## Plant\_materials and growth conditions

The plant materials used in this investigation were common bermudagrass (*Cynodon\_dactylon*) and African bermudagrass (*Cynodon\_transvaalensis*), designated as A12359 and ABD11, respectively. The experimental materials was were separated into two identical portions for monitoring growth and blooming. Each treatment has 3-three biological replicates. The soil at the experimental location was commercial peat soil, and whole bermudagrass stolons were extracted and planted in cylindrical flowerpots with a culture mechanism (Peilei, Zhenjiang, China). The same number of

Comment [MC7]: Revise the sentence!

bermudagrass stolons were planted in each cylindrical flowerpot. To remove seeding disparities between individuals, stolons from the mother plant were evenly propagated. Half-strength Hoagland nutrient solution (1/2 HS) was irrigated once a week.

# Experimental design and treatment

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

The experiment was carried out in the greenhouse of coastal grass germplasm resources and breeding center of Ludong University on September 2020. The area is 127 meters above sea level, with geographical coordinates of N37.53° (latitude) and E121.36° (longitude). The entire experiment lasted three months, from September to December 2020. All experimental materials were grown on plant shelves in the controlled greenhouse and were supplemented by sodium metal halide lights (RVP350; PHILIP Shanghai China) positioned at a height of 1.8 m from the shelves. In the experiment, tThree photoperiods, namely were used: 22/2 (22 hours light/2 hours dark), 18/6 (18 hours light/6 hours dark), and 14/10 (14 hours light/10 hours dark) were used. Bermudagrass was separated into three categories accordingly under each photoperiod condition. Each treatment has 3 biological replicates. Above each group of photoperiodic materials, a sodium metal halide light was installed. The supplementary light was set to automatically turn off for two hours from 9pm to 11pm to achieve a 22/2 photoperiod. Under the same conditions, 18/6 and 14/10 were covered by paper boxes at regular intervals (complete coverage) and guaranteed complete darkness. The 18/6 photoperiod was covered at 5 pm and uncovered at 9 pm; 14/10 photoperiod was covered at 9 pm and uncovered at 7 am the next day (Fig. 1). Other growth conditions were 60% humidity, natural temperature in controlled greenhouse. To illuminate the effect of photoperiod on bermudagrass growth and flowering, materials under different photoperiodic conditions were cultured at the same temperature and light intensity. A temperature and light recorder (WS1PROG; ubibot, Dalian, China) was used to record the temperature and light intensity, as shown in Figure 2.

Comment [MC8]: Which experimental

design followed?

### Recording inflorescence number and growth indicator

Every two weeks, growth indices such as plant height, branch number, and biomass (fresh weight, dry weight) were assessed under various photoperiod circumstances. The growing material was clipped every two weeks. At the specified times, each pot was harvested manually using a hand shear at a consistent height of 10 cm above the soil surface. Every two weeks, the plant height in each pot was measured from the soil surface to the plant's topmost tip (using the Standard scale), and the branches were counted. After mowing, the fresh weight was weighed using an electronic balance. The samples were then dried for 30 minutes at 105°C in a forced-draft oven (DHG-9140A; Shanghai, China) before being oven-dried for 72 hours at 75°C until they reached a consistent weight. After drying the samples, the dry weight (DW) was calculated. The above-ground (shoots) and subsurface sections (roots) of bermudagrass were separated at the end of the experimental treatment. After carefully washing the tissues with deionized water, the fresh weight (FW) of the shoots and roots was calculated, and the dry weight (DW) was calculated after drying the samples. We counted the number of headings and flowering every two days after the first inflorescence developed until the number of headings and flowering were steady.

### Determination of nitrogen and phosphorus content

To assess the nutritional value, shoots were pulverized and weighed around to 0.1g, placed in a desiccating tube, mixed with 5 ml of 95% concentrated sulfuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and digested in a graphite digestion apparatus (SH220N; Jinan Hanon, Shandong, China). Then, using a chemical automated analyzer, the nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) content was determined (SmartChem 200; AMS Alliance, Guidonia, Rome, Italy).

## Data analysis

For data processing, Microsoft Excel was utilized. Whereas, for data visualization, Sigmaplot12.3

was used. For data visualization, and Originlab was used for greenhouse temperature and light mapping. SPSS 22.0 software was used to conduct statistical analysis (Microsoft Corp). The noteworthy differences between various photoperiods were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The differences were tested using the SNK text at a statistically significant level of P < 0.05.

#### RESULTS

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

# Effect of different photoperiods on the growth of bermudagrass:

The plant height was measured, fresh weight and dry weight were weighed after each cut, and the fresh and dry weights of the shoots and roots were measured at the conclusion end of the test experiment to reveal the influence of photoperiod on the growth of bermudagrass. The result was presented This is seen in Figure 3, where the plant height charts depicted the change in plant height during grass mowing. Different photoperiods had a substantial effect on plant height, with the plant height under longer photoperiods 22/2 and 18/6 h being higher than that under shorter photoperiod 14/10 h (Fig. 3a, 3b). It was worth noticing that there were variances between the two materials in addition to the photoperiodic discrepancies. The plant height of common bermudagrass, A12359 tended to rise in the early stages (2-4 weeks) before mainlygot stabilizeding in the later stages (Fig. 3a). The plant height of African bermudagrass, -(ABD11) did, however, show a consistent upward tendency (Fig. 3b). The pattern of plant height change suggested that African bermudagrass was more tolerant of mowing than ordinary bermudagrass, and that frequent mowing aided its growth. The biomass (fresh weight) was measured in every two weeks, as illustrated in Figure 3c, 3d. To-At the beginningbegin, there were considerable changes in fresh weight while grown under different photoperiods <u>circumstances</u>. Then when long and plants grown under longer photoperiods <u>viz.</u>

plants under short photoperiod 14/10 h. -was used (Fig. 3c, 3d). A12359's fresh weight in the 22/2 185 186 and 18/6 h conditions differed significantly from that in the 14/10 h condition (Fig. 3c). However, for AB11, there was no significant difference in fresh weight between 18/6 and 14/10 h treatments 187 for material AB11, while 22/2 was considerably different from the other two groups (Fig. 3d). 188 189 Second, there were variations between the two materials in addition to photoperiod differences. The maximal biomass for ABD11 was attained in week eight (Fig. 3d). In comparison to ABD11, 190 the A12359 peaked reached at maximum growth later, at week twelve (Fig. 3c). The rising 191 192 biomass while the plant height remained constant in common bermudagrass A12359 suggested 193 that the plants were growing stronger. 194 The study discovered that variations in dry and fresh weights essentially followed the same 195 pattern.\_The dry weight exhibited considerable changes under different photoperiodic 196 circumstances. Dry weight was greater Wwhen plants were grown at longer photoperiods (22/2 and 18/6 h) compared to were used, the dry weight was greater than when shorter photoperiod 197 198 (14/10<u>h</u>) was used (Fig. 3e, 3f). 199 The fresh weight and dry weight of the bermudagrass shoots and roots were evaluated in order to 200 determine the influence of photoperiod on their biomass. As predicted, Llonger photoperiods 201 resulted in higher biomass of both shoots and roots than shorter photoperiods, as predicted (Fig. 202 3g-3j). The fresh weight of common bermudagrass (A12359)\_shoots and roots\_exhibited 203 substantial variations between the three photoperiod groups (Fig. 3g), while the dry weight of at longer photoperiod 22/2 h showed significant differences from the other two groups (Fig. 3h). 204 205 Furthermore, we found that the fresh weight of shoots of African bermudagrass (ABD11), at 206 longer photoperiod (22/2 h) was significantly different from the other two groups, but the fresh

22/2 and 18/6 h were used, the found with higher fresh weight was greater than when compared to

184

**Comment [MC9]:** Not clear the meaning of the sentence.

Comment [MC10]:

Comment [MC11]: Of what?

Comment [MC12]: Significant?

weight of roots was not significantly different between among the three photoperiodic groups (Fig. 207 208 3i). Nevertheless, and the dry weight of its roots, at longer photoperiod (22/2 h) was significantly 209 different from the other two photoperiodic groups (Fig. 3i). 210 These findings revealed that photoperiod had an influence on bermudagrass development and that 211 increasing the photoperiod may increase bermudagrass growth. Comment [MC13]: Can be at Discussion 212 Effect of different photoperiods on nutrient absorption of bermudagrass: chapter. 213 The patterns in N and P content revealed that whether it was A12359 or ABD11, plants at the longer photoperiod,'s Nand-P content was bigger-higher than the shorter photoperiod's (Fig. 214 215 4a-4d). Yet, under the photoperiod 22/2 heondition, we discovered that the two bermudagrass 216 genotypesmaterials achieved their maximum N and P at different periods. When comparing Comment [MC14]: Does it mean week? A12359 to ABD11, ABD11 reached its peak sooner, at week eight8<sup>th</sup> (Fig. 4a, 4c), whereas, 217 A12359 reached its peak at week twelve-12th (Fig. 4b, 4d). In addition, the maximum value of 218 219 absorbed nutrients was consistent with the maximum biomass (Fig. 3c-3f). 220 Effects of different photoperiods on flowering of bermudagrass: 221 The long photoperiod was regularly longer than the short photoperiod, as seen by the branch 222 numbers of A12359 and ABD11 (Fig. 5a, 5b). However, there was a variation in the branching Comment [MC15]: ?? Not clear 223 number trend between the two materials., with In African bermudagrass (ABD11) branching number increasing increased as daylight duration rose, and the link between daylight length and 224 225 branching number showing showed a linear change (Fig. 5b). The common bermudagrass 226 (A12359) did not have a propensity to increase its branching number at 14/10 h (Fig. 5a), unlike 227 the African bermudagrass (ABD11), indicating that A12359 could only branch when it reached a 228 particular daylight level, and the daylight length at 14/10 h was insufficient for its branching (Fig. 229 5a). However, the similar pattern of branching number expansion was seen in both 18/6 and 22/<del>2-2</del>

Comment [MC16]: Which genotype?

h periodseireumstances (Fig.5a), suggesting that 18/6 could be adequate for branching for ?.

Furthermore, by week 12th, the common bermudagrass (A12359) reached its maximum number of branches (Fig. 5a).

The blooming number of bermudagrass displayed an inverse curve trend in response to diverse different photoperiodic treatments. Under the lengthy photoperiod 22/2, both Both common bermudagrass (A12359) and African bermudagrass (ABD11) blossomed first at the lengthy photoperiod, 22/2 h, (Fig.5c,5d). We also tracked their blooming time, which It took 44 days under longer photoperiod (22/2 h), 63 days under photoperiod 18/6 h, and 85 days under short photoperiod 14/10 h from planting to flowering, i.e. the longer photoperiod shortened common bermudagrass flowering time by 41 days. ABD11, on the other hand, took 36 days from planting to blooming under long photoperiod 22/2 h, 36 days under 18/6 h, and 95 days under short photoperiod, 14/10 h. We discovered that African bermudagrass bloomed 8 days earlier than ordinary bermudagrass under extended photoperiod (22/2 h). These results suggested that extending photoperiod might promote flowering in common bermudagrass and African bermudagrass.

#### Discussion

The findings of this study demonstrated the effect of photoperiod on the development and blooming of bermudagrass. We—It has been discovered that increasing the—photoperiod might encourage the growth of bermudagrass biomass. The fresh and dry weights of bermudagrass, for example, rose considerably in photoperiods 22/2 and 18/6 h as compared to the shorter photoperiod (14/10 h) (Fig 3c-3f). This observation was consistent with previous studies of Hay (1990) in case of Daylength extension resulted in significantly enhanced dry-matter production of grass species like by-high-latitude types of *Phleum pratense* (both vegetative and reproductive),

Poa pratensis (vegetative), and Bromus inermis (vegetative). (Hay, 1990).

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

Flowering transition is a critical feature in plant growth that signifies the end of the vegetative phase and the start of the reproductive state (Quiroz et al, 2021). In our investigation, we-it has discovere found that prolonging proloned the photoperiod in the controlled greenhouse might encourage the bermudagrass to bloom earlier, with the bermudagrass blooming first under the circumstance of a longer photoperiod 22/2 (Fig 5c,5d). This finding was congruent with that of Agrabidopsis thaliana, a characteristic long-day plant that blooms sooner on long days and slows blooming on short days (Samach, 2000; Suárez-López et al, 2001; Fornara, Montaigu & Coupland, 2010; Ye et al, 2021). The use of longer photoperiod to boost plant development has long been investigated; Sysoeva et al. (2010) undertook a thorough survey of the literature on this issue published in the previous 90 years (Sysoeva et al, 2010), including spring wheat (Sc. Name), barley (Sc. Name), peas (Sc. Name), radish (Raphanus sativus), alfalfa (Medicago sativa), flax (Linum usitatissimum), and arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) are among them. Lentils (Lens Culinaris), pea (P. sativum), canola, chickpea (C. arietinum), faba bean (Vicia faba), lupin (Lupinus\_angustifolius), sugarcane (Saccharum\_spp. hybrid), and clover (Trifolium subterraneum) are some of the more recent instances of employing photoperiod to speed up crop blooming(Mobini\_& Warkentin, 2016; Croser\_et al, 2016; Pazos-Navarro et al, 2017; Saeid\_et al, 2016; Zheng et al, 2013; Deng et al, 2015; Manechini et al, 2021). In addition, for plant introduction and breeding, extending the light in the controlled greenhouse and artificially changing the photoperiod are crucial. The technology of speed breeding, which allows for rapid generational growth, has been tweaked to generate up to six generations of wheat each year. As a result, it's a useful tool for cutting the breeding cycle (Alahmad et al, 2018). Our The research level on bermudagrass is not commensurate with the rich genetic resources

(Devitt et al., Bowman&Schulte, 1993), the collection of germplasm resources is not comprehensive enough, the breeding research techniques are single, and the technical content is low. At the moment, the majority of bermudagrass utilized in China is still imported types, which have the disadvantages of being a single species, being easily degraded, and having weak tolerance to adversity (Wu et al., 2007; Casler, Duncan & Ronny et al., 1976; Harlan & Wet, 1969). Furthermore, because most warm-season turfgrass varieties are asexual, long-term population improvement is required during the seed propagation process to identify superior parental lines, which is typically accomplished through population selection, population breeding, and rotational selection, among other methods. The most essential phase in this process is determining the next variety in a new hybrid population, and it takes years of thorough screening and testing to uncover superior genotypes that persist and are considerably superior to the present variety\_(Hanna et al, 2013).\_Several\_molecular techniques have been developed to expose the diversity of crop natural germplasm resources. DNA amplification fingerprinting (DAF), random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR), and sequence-related amplified polymorphism (SRAP) markers are among the molecular markers used to assess the genetic diversity of bermudagrass (Etemadi et al., 2005; Gulsen et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 1999; Farsani et al., 2012). Furthermore, lawns for landscaping must be checked for pest resistance to maintain the lawn's long-term functional quality. Genetic resistance is the major way of pest management, with new kinds that may be enhanced for disease resistance utilizing traditional and molecular breeding methods (Gusmo et al., 2016). Rapid generation evolution to purity following crossing in a breeding setting will boost genetic gain of essential features and enable for more rapid development of better varieties through the breeding program (Stacy et al, 2006). As a result, we proposed a rapid

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

Comment [MC17]: Why it is cited?

Comment [MC18]: How this is relevant?

Comment [MC19]: Should be cut short,
detailed approaches of potential ways of
utilization is not relevant here.

generation system for bermudagrass in the greenhouse with additional supplemental light, extending the photoperiod to 22/2 h, to accelerate plant development and thus shorten the breeding time, and it was successful in reducing the flowering time of common bermudagrass to 44 days and that of African bermudagrass to 36 days. It has been demonstrated that African bermudagrass has a high genetic diversity and may be utilized to improve intraspecific and interspecific breeding (Kenworthy\_et al.,2006).\_To speed progress, it is critical to identify the flowering thresholds of African bermudagrass and common bermudagrass. This study reduced breeding time by increasing the amount of sunshine, which investigated a successful method and gave a new notion for bermudagrass breeding. Furthermore, fast breeding may be integrated with molecular biology, such as genomics, high-throughput sequencing, and genome editing, to increase the speed and precision of developing excellent varieties. Conclusion This study was carried out to lengthen the photoperiod in the controlled greenhouse in order to explore the influence of enhanced daylight length on the development and flowering of bermudagrass. Longer daylight length 22/2 h (22 hours light/2 hours dark) clearly enhanced the development and flowering and eventually reduced the, eutting flowering period to 44 days for common bermudagrass and 36 days for African bermudagrass. Acknowledgments We thank Prof. Jinmin Fu for the valuable advice on the design of the experiments. Additional information and declarations

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China

(2019YFD0900702) and Agricultural Variety Improvement Project 366 of Shandong Province

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

**Funding** 

| 323                               | Competing Interests   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 324                               | The authors declare there are no competing interests.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 325                               | Author Contributions  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 326                               | G.W. and J.F. designed and coordinated the study. M.J wrote the manuscript and performed the  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 327                               | data analysis.All authors approved the manuscript.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 328                               | Data Availability   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 329                               | The following information was supplied regarding data availability: The raw data are available in   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 330                               | the Supplemental Files.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 331                               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 332                               | References  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 333                               |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 334 <u>•</u><br>335<br>336<br>337 | _Adams <sub>7</sub> S <sub>7</sub> R <sub>7</sub> , & <u>Langton</u> F,-A. (2005). Photoperiod and plant growth: a review. <i>The Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology</i> 80(1):2-10https://doi.org/10.1080/14620316.2005.11511882 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 338                               | Ahmar, S., Gill, R. A., Jung, K. H., Faheem, A., & Zhou, W. (2020).Conventional_and molecular   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 339                               | techniques from simple breeding to speed breeding in crop plants: recent advances and future  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 340                               | outlook. International Journal of Molecular Sciences21(7)DOI: 10.3390/ijms21072590.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 341                               | Alahmad, S., Dinglasan, E., Leung, K.M., Riaz, A., Derbal, N., Voss-Fels, K.P., Able, J.A.,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 342                               | Bassi, F.M., Christopher, J.,_&Hickey, L.T.(2018). Speed breeding formultiplequantitati   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 343                               | ve traits in durum wheat. <i>Plant Methods</i> 14:36DOI: 10.1186/s13007-018-0302-y.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 344                               | Araus, J. L., Kefauver, S. C., Zaman-Allah, M., Olsen, M. S., & Cairns, J. E.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

322

(2019LZGC010).

Comment [MC20]: Check the entire

reference section.

- References are not presented in prescribed style.
- Vol/issue/page number is missing in many cases.
- Pls follow the reference style
  prescribed by journal.

- 345 (2018).Translatinghigh-throughput phenotyping into genetic gain. Trends in Plant
- 346 *Science*23(5):451-466<u>DOI: 10.1016/j.tplants.2018.02.001</u>.
- 347 Beard, J.B.(1972). Turfgrassscience and culture. Prentice Hall, Inc, Englewood Cliff s.
- 348 Callahan, H.(2002). Shade-induced plasticity and its ecological significance in wild populatio
- ns of arabidopsis thaliana. *Ecology*83(7):1965-1980.
- 350 Casler, Duncan, M.& Ronny, R. (1976). Turfgrass biology, genetics and breeding. Quarter
- 351 *ly Review of Biology*51:286-287DOI: 10.1111/j.1524-6175.2007.06587.x.
- 352 Deng, W., Jenni, C., Scott, B., Oliver, S. N., Cristina, C. M., Brett, F., Anderssen, R.S., B
- en, T., &Tai, w.(2015).Dawnand Dusk Set States of the Circadian Oscillator in Sprou
- ting Barley (Hordeum vulgare) Seedlings. Plos One 10(6)DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.01
- 355 29781.
- 356 Devitt, D.A., Bowman, D.C. & Schulte, P.J. (1993). Response of Cynodondactylon to prolonged
- 357 water deficits under saline conditions. Plant & Soil148(2): 239-251.DOI:
- 358 10.1007/BF00012861.
- 359 Etemadi, N., Sayed-Tabatabaei, B.E., Zamanni, Z., Razmjoo, K., & Lessani, H. (2005). Evaluation
- of Diversity Among Cynodondaetylon (L.) Pers. Using RAPD Markers. international journal
- *of agriculture & biology*8.
- 362 Farsani, T.M., Etemadi, N., Sayed-Tabatabaei, B.E. & Talebi, M.(2012). Assessment of Genet
- 363 ic Diversity of Bermudagrass (Cynodondactylon) Using ISSR Markers. International Jo
- 364 *urnal of Molecular Sciences* 13(1): 383-392DOI: 10.3390/ijms13010383.
- 365 Fornara, F., Montaigu, A.D. &Coupland, G.(2010). Snapshot: control of flowering in arabi
- dopsis. Cell141(3): 550-550.e2DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.04.024.
- 367 Fukuda, N., Fujita, M., Ohta, Y., Sase, S., Nishimura, S., &Ezura, H. (2008). Directional blu

- e light irradiation triggers epidermal cell elongation of abaxial side resulting in inhibitio
- n of leaf epinasty in geranium under red light condition. ScientiaHorticulturae115(2): 1
- 370 76-182DOI: 10.1016/j.scienta.2007.08.006.
- 371 Ghosh, S., Watson, A., Gonzalez-Navarro, O.E., Ramirez-Gonzalez, R.H. & Hickey, L.T.(20
- 372 18). Speed breeding in growth chambers and glasshouses for crop breeding and model p
- 373 lant research. Nature Protocols. DOI: 10.1038/s41596-018-0072-z.
- 374 Godwin, I.D., Rutkoski, J., Varshney, R.K. & Hickey, L.T. (2019). Technological perspective
- s for plant breeding. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. DOI: 10.1007/s00122-019-03321
- 376 -4.
- Gulsen, O., Sever-Mutlu, S., Mutlu, N., Tuna, M., Karaguzel, O., Shearman, R.C, Riordan, T.P,
- 378 &Heng-Moss, T.M. (2009). Polyploidy creates higher diversity among *Cynodon* accessions
- as assessed by molecular markers. *Theoretical & Applied Genetics* 118(7): 1309-1319DOI:
- 380 10.1007/s00122-009-0982-9.
- 381 Gusmão, M.R., Valério, J.R., Matta, F.P., Souza, F.H., Vigna, B.B., Fávero, AP., Barioni,
- 382 W.Jr., & Inácio, G.R. (2016). Warm-Season (C4) Turfgrass Genotypes Resistant to Spittl
- ebugs (Hemiptera: Cercopidae). Journal of economic entomology109(4):1914-1921DOI:
- 384 10.1093/jee/tow135.
- 385 Hajjar, R. & Hodgkin, T. (2007). The use of wild relatives in crop improvement: a survey o
- f developments over the last 20 years. *Euphytica*156:1–13<u>DOI: 10.1007/s10681-007-936</u>
- 387 <u>3-0</u>.
- 388 Hanna, W., Raymer, P. &Schwartz, B. (2013). Warm-Season Grasses: Biology and Breeding.
- 389 Turfgrass: Biology, Use, and ManagementDOI: 10.2134/agronmonogr56.c16.
- 390 Harlan, J.R. & Wet, J.(1969). Sources of Variation in Cynodondactylon (L). Pers. CropScie

391 nce9(6): 774-778DOI: 10.2135/cropsci1969.0011183X000900060031x. 392 Harlan, J.R.(1970). Cynodon species and their value for grazing or hay. herbage abstracts. 393 Hay, R.(1990). The influence of photoperiod on the dry matter production of grasses and cereals. New Phytologist116(2): 233-254DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1990.tb04711.x. 394 395 Holcomb, E. J & Berghage, R. (2001). Photoperiod, Chilling, and Light Quality during Daylight 396 Extension Affect Growth and Flowering of Tissue-cultured Easter Lily Plants. Hort Science: a 397 publication of the American Society for Horticultural Science36(1):53-55DOI: 398 10.21273/HORTSCI.36.1.53. 399 Janine, S., Croser, Maria, Pazos-Navarro, Richard, G., Bennett, Sabrina, Tschirren, Kylie, Ed wards., William, Erskine., Robert, Creasy. & Federico, M., Ribalta. (2016). Erratumto: Ti 400 me to flowering of temperate pulses in vivo and generation turnover in vivo-in vitro 401 402 of narrow-leaf lupin accelerated by lowred to far-red ratio and high intensity in the 403 far-red region. Plant Cell TissOrgan Cult 127:601DOI: 10.1007/s11240-016-1127-x. Kearns, R., Zhou, Y., Shu, F., Ye, C., &Lambrides, C.J. (2009). Eco-turf: water use effici 404 405 ent turfgrasses from australian biodiversity. ActaHortic829:113-118DOI: 10.17660/Acta 406 Hortic.2009.829.15. Kenworthy, K.E., Taliaferro, C.M., Carver, B.F., Martin, D.L., Anderson, J.A., &Bell, G.E. 407

Formatted: Font: Italic

376-2381DOI: 10.2135/cropsci2006.02.0075.
Li, H., Rasheed, A., Hickey, L.T. & He, Z. (2018). Fast-forwarding genetic gain. *Trends in Plant Science*23(3):184-186DOI: 10.1016/j.tplants.2018.01.007.
Liu, Q., Wang, Q., Deng, W., Wang, X., Piao, M., Cai, D., Li, Y., Barshop, WD., Yu, X., Z
hou, T., Liu, B., Oka, Y., Wohlschlegel, J., Zuo, Z., & Lin, C. (2017).Molecular basis f

408

(2006). Genetic Variation in Cynodon\_transvaalensis\_Burtt-Davy. Crop Science46(6):2

- or blue light-dependent phosphorylation of Arabidopsis cryptochrome 2. Nature Commu
- 415 *nications*8:152-234DOI: 10.1038/ncomms15234.
- 416 Majid, A., Parray, G.A., Wani, S.H., Kordostami, M.& Gulzar, S.(2017). Genome editing an
- d its necessity in agriculture. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applie
- 418 *d Sciences*6(11):5435-5443DOI: 10.20546/ijcmas.2017.611.520.
- 419 Manechini, JRV., Santos, PHDS., Romanel, E., Brito, MDS., Scarpari, M.S., Jackson, S.,
- 420 Pinto, L.R., &Vicentini, R.(2021).TranscriptomicAnalysis of Changes in Gene Express
- 421 ion During Flowering Induction in Sugarcane Under Controlled Photoperiodic Conditi
- ons. Frontiers in Plant Science 12:808 DOI: 10.3389/fpls. 2021.635784.
- 423 Martín, G., Rovira, A., Veciana, N., Soy, J., Toledo-Ortiz, G., Gommers, CMM., Boix M, H
- 424 enriques, R., Minguet, E.G, Alabadí, D., Halliday, K.J, Leivar, P., Monte, E.(2018). Circa
- 425 dian Waves of Transcriptional Repression Shape PIF-Regulated Photoperiod-Responsive
- Growth in Arabidopsis. Current Biology Cb311DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.12.021.
- 427 Menzel, C.M. & Simpson, D.R. (1988). Effect of continuous shading on growth, flowering and
- 428 nutrient uptake of passionfruit. Scientia Horticulturae 35(1-2):77-88 DOI:
- 429 10.1016/0304-4238(88)90039-8.
- 430 Mobini, S.H. & Warkentin, T.D. (2016). A simple and efficient method of in vivo rapid g
- eneration technology in pea (pisumsativum l.). In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Bi
- 433 Monostori, I., Heilmann, M., Kocsy, G., Rakszegi, M.& Darko, V. (2018). Led lighting -
- 434 modification of growth, metabolism, yield and flour composition in wheat by spectral quality
- and intensity. Frontiers in Plant Science9:605DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00605.
- 436 Munir, M., Jamil, M., Baloch, J.U., & Khattak, K.R. (2004). Impact of light intensity on flowering

- 437 time and plant quality of Antirrhinum majus L. cultivar Chimes White. J. Zheijang
- 438 *Univ.-Sci*5:400–405DOI: 10.1631/jzus.2004.0400.
- 439 Munir, J., Dorn, L.A., Donohue, K. & Schmitt, J. (2001). The effect of maternal photoperiod on
- 440 seasonal dormancy in arabidopsis thaliana (brassicaceae). American Journal of
- 441 Botany88(7)DOI: 10.2307/3558335.
- 442 Muth, J., Hartje, S., Twyman, R.M, Hofferbert, H.R, Tacke, E., &Prüfer, D. (2010). Precisio
- n breeding for novel starch variants in potato. Plant Biotechnology Journal6(6):576-584
- 444 <u>DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-7652.2008.00340.x</u>.
- 445 Nadav, Danziger&Nirit, Bernstein, (2021). Light matters: Effect of light spectra on cannabin
- oid profile and plant development of medical cannabis (Cannabis sativa L.), Industrial Cr
- *opsandProducts*164DOI: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113351.
- 448 Pang, W., Luc, J.E., Crow, W.T., Kenworthy, K.E., Mcsorley, R., & Giblin-Davis, R.M. (201
- 449 1). Screening Bermudagrass Germplasm Accessions for Tolerance to Sting Nematodes. H
- 450 *ortsciencehorts*46(11):1503-1506DOI: 10.21273/HORTSCI.46.11.1503.
- 451 Pazos-Navarro, M., Castello, M., Bennett, R.G., Nichols, P., & Croser, J.(2017). In vitro-ass
- 452 isted single-seed descent for breeding-cycle compression in subterranean clover (Trifo
- liumsubterraneum L.). Crop and Pasture Science68(11): 958-966DOI: 10.1071/CP1706
- 454 7.
- 455 Quiroz, S., Yustis, J.C, Chávez-Hernández, E.C, Martínez, T., Sanchez, M.P, Garay-Arroyo,
- 456 A., Álvarez-Buylla, E.R,& García-Ponce, B. (2021).Beyond the Genetic Pathways, F
- 457 lowering Regulation Complexity in Arabidopsis thaliana. International Journal of Mol
- 458 *ecular Sciences*22(11):5716DOI: 10.3390/ijms22115716.
- 459 Rafael, Ball, Aloysius, & Wild. (1993). New trends in photobiology: History of photoinhibiti

- on research. Journal of Photochemistry and PhotobiologyBiology20(2-3):79-85DOI: 10.1
- 461 016/1011-1344(93)80135-V.
- 462 Ray, D.K., Mueller, N.D., West, P.C., Foley, J.A. (2013). Yield Trends Are Insufficient to
- Double Global Crop Production by 2050. PLOS ONE8(6):e66428DOI: 10.1371/journal.
- 464 pone.0066428.
- 465 Saeid, H., Mobini, MonikaLulsdorf, Thomas, D., Warkentin, & Albert, Vandenberg. (2016).
- 466 Low red: Far-red light ratio causes faster in vitro flowering in lentil. Canadian Jour
- 467 *nal of Plant Science*96DOI: 10.1139/cjps-2015-0282.
- 468 Samach, A. (2000). Distinct Roles of CONSTANS Target Genes in Reproductive Develop
- 469 ment of Arabidopsis. Science 288 (5471):1613-1616 DOI: 10.1126/science. 288.5471.1613.
- 470 Shafiq, I., Hussain, S., Raza, M. A., Iqbal, N., & Yang, F. (2021). Cropphotosynthetic respon
- 471 se to light quality and light intensity, Journal of Integrative Agriculture20:4-23DOI: 10.
- 472 1016/S2095-3119(20)63227-0.
- 473 Shi, H., Ye, T., Zhong, B., Liu, X.,& Chan, Z. (2014). Comparative proteomic and metabolomic
- 474 analyses reveal mechanisms of improved cold stress tolerance in bermudagrass
- 475 (Cynodondactylon (L.) Pers.) by exogenous calcium. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology16
- 476 (11).
- 477 Shiferaw, B., Smale, M., Braun, H.J., Duveiller, E & Muricho, G. (2013). Crops that feed th
- e world 10. past successes and future challenges to the roleplayed by wheat in global f
- ood security. Food Security5(3)DOI: 10.1007/s12571-013-0263-y.
- 480 Srikanth & Schmid. (2011). Regulation of flowering time:all roads lead to Rome. CELL MO
- 481 *L LIFE SCI*68(12):2013-2037DOI: 10.1007/s00018-011-0673-y.
- 482 Stacy, A., Bonos, Bruce, B., Clarke & William, A., Meyer. (2006). Breeding for Disease

- 483 Resistance in the Major Cool-Season Turfgrasses. Annual Review of Phytopathology44
- 484 (1):213-234DOI: 10.1146/annurev.phyto.44.070505.143338.
- 485 Suárez-López, p., Wheatley, K., Robson, F., Onouchi, H. & Coupland, G. (2001).
- 486 Constans mediates between the circadian clock and the control of flowering
- 487 in Arabidopsis. *Nature* 410:1116–1120DOI: 10.1038/35074138.
- 488 Sysoeva, M., Markovskaya, E &Shibaeva, T. (2010). Plants under continuous light: a review.
- 489 Plant Stress4.
- 490 Taliaferro, CM. (1995). Diversity and vulnerability of bermuda turfgrass species. Crop Scien
- 491 *ce*35(2)DOI: 10.2135/cropsci1995.0011183X003500020006x.
- 492 Tester, M., & Langridge, P. (2010). Breeding technologies to increase crop production in a c
- 493 hanging world. *Science*327(5967):818-822\_DOI: 10.1126/science.1183700.
- 494 Voss-Fels, K.P., Stahl, A. & Hickey, L.T. (2019). Q.&.A.: modern crop breeding for future
- food security. *BMC Biology*17(1)\_DOI: 10.1186/s12915-019-0638-4.
- 496 Wanga, M.A., Shimelis, H., Mashilo, J., & Laing, M.D.(2021). Opportunities and challenges
- 497 of speed breeding: A review. *Plant Breed*\_140:185–194\_DOI: 10.1111/pbr.12909.
- 498 Watson, A., Ghosh, S., Williams, M.J, Cuddy, W.S, Simmonds, J., Rey, M.D, Asyraf, M.d,
- 499 Hatta, M., Hinchliffe, A., Hickey, L.T. (2018). Speed breeding is a powerful tool to acc
- elerate crop research and breeding. *Nature Plants*\_4:23–29\_DOI: 10.1038/s41477-017-00
- 501 <u>83-8</u>.
- 502 Wellmer, F. & Riechmann, J.L. (2010). Gene networks controlling the initiation of flower de
- velopment. *Trendsin Genetics Tig\_*26(12):519-527\_DOI: 10.1016/j.tig.2010.09.001.
- 504 Wolabu, T.W., Zhang, F., Niu, L., Kalve, S., Bhatnagar-Mathur, P., Muszynski, M.G., &Tade
- 505 ge, M. (2016).Three FLOWERING LOCUS T-like genes function as potential florigens

| 506 | and mediate photoperiod response in sorghum. New Phytologis_t210(3)_DOI: 10.1111/np             |                    |
|-----|---|--------------------|
| 507 | h.13834.  |                    |
| 508 | Wu, Y., Martin, D.L., Moss, J.Q., Walker, N.R. & Fontanier, C.(2019). Bermudagrassplant na      |                    |
| 509 | med 'OKC 1131'. US20190364715. Patent and Trademark Office.                                     | Comment [MC21]: ?  |
| 510 | Wu, Y.Q., Martin, D.L., Taliaferro, C.M., Anderson, J.A.& Moss, J.Q. (2013). Northbridge turf   | Comment prozes.    |
| 511 | bermudagrass. USPP 24,116. Washington,DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.                     | Comment [MC22]: ?  |
| 512 | Wu, Y.Q., Martin, D.L., Taliaferro, C.M., Anderson, J.A. & Moss, J.Q. (2014).Latitude 36 turf   | Common prozes.     |
| 513 | bermudagrass. USPP 24,271. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.                    | Comment [MC23]: ?  |
| 514 | Wu, Y.Q., Taliaferro, C.M., Martin, D.L., Anderson, J.A., & Anderson, M.P. (2007).Genet         | Common prozop :    |
| 515 | ic Variability and Relationships for Adaptive, Morphological, and Biomass Traits inC            |                    |
| 516 | hinese Bermudagrass Accessions. Crop science 47(5):1985-1994_DOI: 10.2135/cropsci2              |                    |
| 517 | 007.01.0047.  |                    |
| 518 | Yamaguchi, A & Abe, M. (2012). Regulation of reproductive development by non-coding RNA in      |                    |
| 519 | Arabidopsis: to flower or not to flower. Journal of Plant Research_125(6):693-704. DOI:         |                    |
| 520 | 10.1007/s10265-012-0513-7.  |                    |
| 521 | Ye, J.Y., Tian, W.H., Zhou, M., Zhu, Q.Y. & Jin, C.W. (2021). Improved plant nitrate status     |                    |
| 522 | involves in flowering induction by extended photoperiod. Frontiers in Plant Science 12_DOI:     | Comment [MC24]: ?  |
| 523 | 10.3389/fpls.2021.629857.   | Common (WOZ-1).    |
| 524 | Zha, L&Liu, W. (2018). Effects of light quality, light intensity, and photoperiod on growth and |                    |
| 525 | yield of cherry radish grown under red plus blue LEDs. Horticulture Environment &               |                    |
| 526 | Biotechnology.  | Commoné IMC251: 22 |
| 527 | Zhan, J. (2003). Research of Weak Light Stress Physiology in Plants. Chinese Bulletin of        | Comment [MC25]: ?? |
| 528 | Botany1:43-50.  |                    |
|     |   |                    |

529 Zhang, B., Liu, J., Wang, X., Wei, Z. (2018). Full-length RNA sequencing reveals unique 530 transcriptome composition in bermudagrass. Plant PhysiolBiochem132:95-103\_DOI: 531 10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.08.039. Zhang, F., Wen, Y., & Guo, X. (2014). CRISPR/Cas9 for genome editing: progress, implicati 532 533 ons and challenges. Human Molecular Genetics Sep 15;23(R1):R40-6 DOI: 10.1093/hm 534 g/ddu125. 535 Zhang, L.H., Ozias-Akins, P., Kochert, G., Kresovich, S., Dean, R., & Hanna, W. (1999).Dif 536 ferentiation of bermudagrass (Cynodon spp.) genotypes by AFLP analyses. Theoretical 537 & Applied Genetics98(6-7):895-902 DOI: 10.1007/s001220051148. 538 Zheng, Y., Xu, S., Jing, L., Yan, Z., & Liu, J. (2017). Genetic diversity and population structure of 539 Chinese natural bermudagrass[Cynodondactylon (L.)Pers.] germplasm based on SRAP 540 markers. Plos One12(5):e0177508 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0177508. 541 Zheng, Z., Wang, H.B., Chen, G.D. Yan, G.J., & Liu, C.J. (2013). A procedure allowing up to eight generations of wheat and nine generations of barley per annum. Euphytica\_191 542 543 (2):311-316\_DOI: 10.1007/s10681-013-0909-z. 544 545 Figure\_titles and legends 546 Figure 1 The settings of different photoperiods in the greenhouse The control of photoperiod was achieved in controlled greenhouses by supplementary lights. The 547 548 supplementary light was automatically turned off for two hours from 9pm to 11pm every day to achieve a 22/2 h photoperiod. Under the same light settings as 22/2 h, 18/6 h photoperiod was 549 550 achieved by covering withed by paper boxes at 5pm and uncovered at 9pm; Under the same light

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

settings as 22/<u>h</u>2, 14/10 <u>h</u> photoperiod was covered at 9 pm and uncovered at 7 am the next day. 551 Comment [MC26]: Rewrite the title. 552 Figure 2 Temperature and light intensity in the greenhouse 553 (a) Greenhouse temperature and (b) illumination intensity were recorded during the 554 experiment. The data for one of the weeks was taken and made into the small graph in the upper 555 right corner. 556 Figure 3 Differences in the growth of common bermudagrass(A12359) and 557 558 Africanbermudagrass (ABD11) under different photoperiods The line graph depicts the plant height of materials A12359 (a) and ABD11 (b), fresh weight of 559 A12359 (c) and ABD11 (d), dry weight of A12359 (e) and ABD11 (f), fresh weight of shoots and 560 Comment [MC27]: Of what? roots after harvest of A12359 (g) and ABD11(h), dry weight of shoots and roots after harvest of 561 562 A12359(i) and ABD11(j), Each material is designed to be replicated three times. According to the SNK test at P<0.05, different lowercase letters indicate significant differences. 563 564 Figure 4Differences of nutrient absorption in bermudagrass under different photoperiods The graph depicts the Nand-P contents of A12359 and ABD11. Fresh samples from each mowing 565 566 were dried and utilized to determine the nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) levels (P). (a) Changes of P content in A12359 under different photoperiods. (b) Changes of P content in ABD11 under 567 different photoperiods. (c) Changes of N content in A12359 under different photoperiods. 568 569 (d) Changes of N content in ABD11 under different photoperiods. Each material is programmed to have three duplicates. According to the SNK test, different lowercase letters indicate significant 570 571 differences at P < 0.05. 572 Figure 5 Differences in the branching and flowering of common bermudagrass (A12359) 573 and African bermudagrass (ABD11) under different photoperiods

The graph depicts the blooming and branching of A12359 and ABD11. Every two weeks, the number of branches was tallied, and every two days, the number of blossoms was counted. (a) Branch number variation of A12359 under different photoperiods. (b) Branch number variation of ABD11 under different photoperiods. (c) Changes of flowering number of A12359 under different photoperiods. (d) Changes of flowering number of ABD11 under different photoperiods. Each material is designed to be replicated three times. According to the SNK test at P< 0.05, different lowercase letters indicate significant differences.