

Comprehensive screening of low nitrogen tolerant maize based d on multiple traits at the seedling stage

Jianjia Miao, Fei Shi, Wei Li, Ming Zhong, Cong Li, Shuisen Chen

College of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Shenyang Agricultural University, Shenyang,
Liaoning, China

Corresponding author: Shuisen Chen

Address: No. 120 Dongling Road, Shenhe District, Shenyang, Liaoning, 110866, China

Tel: +86-24-88487164

Fax: +86-24-88492799

E-mail: shuisenchen@syau.edu.cn

Abstract

Background. Plants tolerant to low nitrogen ~~is~~are a quantitative trait affected by many factors, and the different parameters were used for stress-tolerant plant screening in a different investigation. But there is no agreement on the use of these indicators. Therefore, a method that can integrate different parameters to evaluate stress tolerance is urgently needed.

Methods. Six maize genotypes were subject to low nitrogen stress for twenty days. Then seventeen traits of the six maize genotypes related to nitrogen were investigated. Nitrogen tolerance coefficient (NTC) was calculated as low nitrogen traits to high nitrogen traits~~s~~. Then principal component analysis was conducted based on the NTC. Based on fuzzy mathematics theory, a D value (decimal comprehensive evaluation value) was introduced to evaluate maize tolerant to low nitrogen.

Results. Three maize (SY998, GEMS42-I and GEMS42-II) with the higher D value have ~~the~~ better growth and higher nitrogen accumulation under low nitrogen conditions~~s~~. In contrast, Ji846 with the lowest D value has the lowest nitrogen accumulation and biomass in response to nitrogen limitation. These results indicated that the D value could help to screen low nitrogen tolerant maize, given that the D value was positively correlated with low nitrogen tolerance in maize seedlings.

Conclusions. The present study introduced the D value to evaluate stress tolerance. The higher the D value the greater tolerance of maize to low nitrogen stress. This method may reduce the complexity of the investigated traits and enhance the accuracy of ~~stress-stress~~-tolerant evaluation. In addition, this method not only can screen potentially tolerant germplasm for low-nitrogen tolerance quickly~~y~~, but also can comprise the correlated traits as many as possible to avoid the one-sidedness of a single parameter.

37 Introduction

38 Nitrogen is an essential nutrient for plants growth and development, and it is also a major driving
39 force for crop productivity improvement. Screening and developing varieties with nitrogen
40 efficient crop plays ~~the-a~~ pivotal role in agriculture's sustainable development (Liu et al, 2022).
41 Nitrogen uptake and utilization efficiency for grain production depends on those processes
42 associated with absorption, translocation, assimilation and redistribution of nitrogen to operate
43 effectively (Masclaus-Daubresse, et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2012). Plants uptake the nitrate through
44 the low- and high-affinity nitrate transporters (Fan et al., 2017; Vidal et al., 2020). While the
45 ammonium uptake was mediated by the saturable high-affinity (ammonium transporters) and the
46 nonsaturable low-affinity (aquaporins or cation channels) uptake system (Tegeder & Masclaux-
47 Daubresse, 2018). The nitrate reductase (NR), glutamine synthetase (GS) and glutamate synthase
48 (GOGAT) were the key enzymes for nitrogen assimilation that indirectly affect the metabolism,
49 allocation and remobilization of nitrogen in plants (Lea et al., 2006; Martin et al., 2006).

50 Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is ~~the-an~~ important food and forage crop in the world, as well as an
51 important energy crop (Yin et al., 2014). Moreover, maize is the crop with the highest production
52 among all crops and is also the crop with the greatest demands for nitrogen (Sivasankar et al.,
53 2012). Due to the differences in nitrogen absorption and utilization among maize genotypes
54 (Harvey, 1939), more focus was paid ~~on-to~~ screening and improving ~~the~~-nitrogen efficiency
55 (Hirel et al., 2007). The greater differences in growth and yield among the maize lines and
56 hybrids were associated with both the nitrogen uptake and utilization efficiency in response to
57 low nitrogen stress (Hirel & Gallais, 2011). The root architecture of maize is a key factor
58 affecting the nitrogen absorption, and more photosynthate will distribute to the root to enhance
59 the root surface of the ~~nitrogen-nitrogen~~-efficient maize under nitrogen limitation (Sinclair &

Vadez, 2002), The absorption of nitrogen in roots requires the involvement of the high-affinity nitrogen transporter (NRT2 and AMT1), especially under the nitrogen limitation (Dechorgnat et al., 2019). Among the four *ZmNRT2* ~~which~~ identified in the maize genome, only *ZmNRT2;1* and *ZmNRT2;2* have proven to be correlated with nitrate (NO_3^-) uptake capacity (Plett et al., 2010; Garnett et al., 2013). Furthermore, *ZmAMT1;1a* and *ZmAMT1;3* have been identified to encode functional ammonium transporters for high-affinity ammonium uptake in maize roots (Gu et al., 2013).

Nitrogen ~~is~~has significantly influenced the productivity and characteristics of maize (Teixeira et al., 2014). However, the higher nitrogen fertilizer application led to negative effects on the ecological environment because of lower nitrogen uptake and utilization efficiencies of plants.

Hence, it is increasingly important to screen nitrogen stress-tolerant plants or explore ~~nitrogen~~nitrogen-efficient plants that are more efficient at nitrogen utilization and better suited to nitrogen limitation. Plants tolerant to low nitrogen is a quantitative trait affected by many factors which result in high cost both in time and resources of measuring certain traits for screening nitrogen-tolerant maize. Fortunately, principal component analysis is a quantitatively rigorous method for multivariate datasets simplification. It can transform more original indicators into several new relatively independent comprehensive indicators. ~~Absolute—The~~ absolute subordination of elements to sets was brokenu in the theory of fuzzy mathematics. Subordinate function analysis was one of the effective ways used in a comprehensive evaluation of abiotic stresses (Shi et al., 2010). ~~In order to~~To comprehensively evaluate the low nitrogen tolerance of maize varieties more convenientlyly and effectivelyly, a D value was introduced based on the fuzzy mathematics theory. Our study would provide a comprehensive and dependable method for evaluating low tolerance in maize.

Materials and Methods

Plant material, growth and treatment conditions

The six maize, GEMS42-I, Ji846, SY998, CML223, CML114 and GEMS42-II, with a significant difference in grain yield and nitrogen tolerance were used in the present study. The surface-sterilized seeds ~~were~~ germinated on wet sand in the culture room. Then, the 4-day-old seedlings were transferred into the nutrient solution for continuing growth. The complete basal nutrient solution contained 0.24 g/L NH_4NO_3 , 0.50 g/L MgSO_4 , 0.15 g/L KCl, 0.36 g/L CaCl_2 , 0.05 mM EDTA-Fe and a microelement solution (Hoagland & Arnon, 1950). The nutrient solution containing 1/10 N of the complete nutrient solution was used for low nitrogen treatment (-N), and the seedlings growing under the complete nutrient were used as control (+N). Keep the culture room parameter as follow: 16 hours of light ($300\text{-}320\text{ }\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$) at 24°C and 8 hours of darkness at 22°C photoperiods, and relative humidity of 65-80%. Roots and leaves of all the six maize were harvested separately after growing under low nitrogen conditions for 20 days. Each treatment was replicated three times.

Biomass and phenotypic characteristics of the root system

Root was floated in the water and scanned using the scanner (Epson Expression 11000XL) to get the image. The root total length, root volume, root surface area and root average diameter were calculated with Tennant's statistical method in WinRHIZO Pro software (Version 2.0, 2005, Regent Instrument Inc., Quebec, Canada) as previous study (Altaf et al., 2022). The seedlings were washed with distilled water, and the fresh weight (FW) ~~were~~was measured after drying with bibulous paper.

Measurement the NO_3^- and NH_4^+ content of the seedlings

105 For nitrates (NO_3^-) determination, roots and shoots (approximately 0.5 g FW) were cut into
106 pieces and suspended in 5 mL boiling water for 10 min (Tang et al., 2013). Then the supernatant
107 was diluted to 25 mL. The assay mixture containing 0.1 mL samples and 0.4 mL 5% salicylic
108 acid-sulfuric acid, was incubated at 20 °C for 20 min, then mixed with 9.5 mL 8% NaOH (w/v).
109 Its absorbance was measured at 410 nm wavelength.

110 The ammonium (NH_4^+) of root and shoot were extracted by homogenizing in 0.3 mM H_2SO_4 (pH
111 3.5). After centrifugation at 3900 g for 10 min, the supernatant was collected using for the
112 determination of ammonium (NH_4^+) content as previously described (Lin & Kao, 1996).

113 After NO_3^- the and NH_4^+ determination, the root nitrogen accumulation, shoot nitrogen
114 accumulation and total plant nitrogen accumulation were calculated.

115 ***Enzyme activity assays***

116 Approximately 0.5 g of fresh roots were homogenized with 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.6)
117 containing 1 mM MgCl_2 , 1 mM EDTA and 1 mM β -mercaptoethanol in a chilled pestle and
118 mortar. After centrifugation at 15 000 g for 30 min (4°C), the supernatant was used as an enzyme
119 extract (Ren et al., 2017).

120 The whole extraction procedure was carried out at 4°C.

121 For GS (EC6.3.1.2) activity assayed, a 1.0 mL reaction mixture (pH 8.0) contained 80 μmol Tris-
122 HCl buffer, 40 μmol L-glutamic acid, 8.0 μmol ATP, 24 μmol MgSO_4 , and 16 μmol NH_2OH and
123 enzyme extract. The enzyme extract was added to initiate the reaction. After incubation for 30
124 min at 30°C, the reaction was stopped by adding 2 mL 2.5% (w/v) FeCl_3 and 5% (w/v)
125 trichloroacetic acid in 1.5 M HCl. After centrifugation at 3000 g for 10 min, the absorbance of
126 the supernatant was measured at 540 nm. GS activity was expressed as 1.0 μM L-glutamate γ -
127 monohydroxamate (GHA) formed $\text{g}^{-1} \text{FW h}^{-1}$, with $\mu\text{mol GHA} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \text{FW} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$.

For GOGAT (EC1.4.7.1) activity assayed, a 3 mL reaction solution was prepared with 25 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.6), which contained 0.5 mL enzyme extract, 0.05 mL 0.1 M 2-oxoglutarate, 0.1 mL 10 mM KCl, 0.2 mL 3 mM NADH and 0.4 mL 20 mM L-glutamine. The reaction was initiated by adding L-glutamine immediately following the enzyme preparation. The decreased~~d~~ in absorbance was recorded for 3 min at 340 nm. The GOGAT activity was expressed as $\mu\text{mol NADH}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\text{FW}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$.

NR (EC1.7.1.1) activity was determined according to Wojciechowska et al with minor modifications (Wojciechowska et al., 2016). The NR activity was expressed as $\mu\text{g NO}_2^{-}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}\text{FW}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$.

Quantitative RT-PCR analysis

Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, CA, USA) and then first-strand cDNA was synthesized using the M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For ~~the~~ quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) experiment, 20 μL reaction components were prepared according to the manufacturer's protocol for SYBR Green Real Master Mix (TIANGEN, Beijing). Using *GAPDH* (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) as the endogenous control. Real-time PCR was conducted on the CFX96TM Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, CA, USA), and the primer pairs used for quantitative RT-PCR were shown in supplemental Table S1.

Data analysis and D value calculation

The standard deviation (SD) was used to express the sample variability in the present study. All analyses of significance were conducted at the $p < 0.05$ level. Consider~~ing~~ that the biological differences among the different maize genotypes, evaluation of the low nitrogen tolerance of maize by NTC may more reasonable. The NTC was calculated as: $\text{NTC} = \frac{\text{low nitrogen trait}}{\text{high nitrogen trait}}$.

Then principal component analysis was conducted based on the NTC in SPSS (Statistical Product and Service Solutions) software (version 18.0). The principal component ($PC_i, i = 1, 2 \dots n$) with eigenvalue ($\lambda_i, i = 1, 2 \dots n$) > 1 was selected as new index. PC_i is the i -th principal component. λ_i is the eigenvalue of the i -th principal component. The eigenvalue ($\lambda_i, i = 1, 2 \dots n$) and factor score ($FAC_i, i = 1, 2 \dots n$) ~~was~~were present in the results of the principal component analysis. The principal component value X_i was calculated as: $X_i = FAC_i \times \sqrt{\lambda_i}$ ($i = 1, 2 \dots n$). Then the subordinate function value was calculated as: $U(X_i) = \frac{X_i - X_{min}}{X_{max} - X_{min}}$ ($i = 1, 2 \dots n$). X_{max} and X_{min} represent the maximum and minimum value of the i -th principal component, respectively. The weight coefficient was calculated as: $W(i) = \frac{P_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n P_i}$ ($i = 1, 2 \dots n$). P_i represents the proportion of variance explained ~~of~~by the i -th principal component. Finally, the D value was calculated as: $D = \sum_{i=1}^n [U(X_i) \times W(i)]$ ($i = 1, 2 \dots n$).

Statistical analysis

The standard deviation was used to express the sample variability in the present study. ~~Significance~~The significance of differences ~~were~~was conducted using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Data were subjected to ANOVA using PROC LSD ($p < 0.05$) in SAS.

Results

Plant physiological changes in response to nitrogen stress

Low nitrogen (ca. 0.3 mM NH_4NO_3) significantly inhibited the growth of Ji846 but not of the other five genotypes of maize (Fig. 1), and even significantly increased the root biomass of SY998 and GEMS42-II by 46% and 66%, respectively (Fig. 1c). Consider that root is the primary organ for water and nutrients capturing, the morphology of root is investigated by WinRHIZO Pro software. All of the root total length, root surface and root volume of Ji846 were

significantly decreased in response to low nitrogen stress, which decreased by 56%, 65% and 74%, respectively (Fig. 2). Root diameter of the six maize were decreased in response to low nitrogen stress (Fig. 2b). In contrast, the root total length, root surface and root volume of SY998 and GEMS42-II were prominently increased under low nitrogen (Fig. 2b, 2d, 2e). Low nitrogen not affected the root total length, root surface and root volume of GEMS42-I, CML223 and CML114 (Fig. 2b, 2d, 2e). These results indicated that Ji846 was sensitive to low nitrogen stress.

NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ content in maize

Nitrate ion (NO₃⁻) and ammonium ion (NH₄⁺) are the main form of nitrogen for plant absorption. Maize can uptake both nitrate and ammonium. Both the NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ contents were significantly decreased in all maize genotypes under low nitrogen stress (Fig. 3). However, only the root NO₃⁻ ~~the~~ content of SY998 was significantly higher than the other genotype under low nitrogen (Fig. 3a). Under low nitrogen stress, Ji846 has the lowest NH₄⁺ content both in root and shoot, while the GEMS42-I and SY998 ~~has~~ have the highest NH₄⁺ content both in root and shoot (Fig. 3c and 3d). The lowest nitrogen accumulation was observed in Ji 846 (8.78 µg N·plant⁻¹) under nitrogen limitation, no matter in ~~the~~ root, shoot, or total plant (Table 1). While the highest nitrogen accumulation was observed in SY998 (153.87 µg N·plant⁻¹) under nitrogen limitation (Table 1). Interestingly, the NO₃⁻ ~~the~~ content was higher than the NH₄⁺ content of all ~~the~~ six maize, irrespective of the nitrogen nutritional status of the plants. In the high nitrogen condition, the NO₃⁻ of root and shoot was 12.3 and 10.4 times ~~of~~ the NH₄⁺ in Ji846, respectively. While under the low nitrogen condition, the NO₃⁻ of root and shoot was 7.1 and 6.6 times ~~of~~ the NH₄⁺ in Ji846, respectively (Fig. 3).

Expression of the nitrate and ammonium transporter genes

The expression of *ZmNRT2;1* and *ZmNRT2;2* in Ji846 and SY998 were significantly increased under low nitrogen conditions (Fig. 4a and 4b). The expression of *ZmNRT2;1* under low nitrogen was 9 and 3 times ~~of~~ the high nitrogen condition in Ji846 and SY998, respectively. The expression of *ZmNRT2;2* under low nitrogen was 41 and 11 times ~~of~~ the high nitrogen condition in Ji846 and SY998, respectively (Fig. 4a and 4b). In addition, the expression of *ZmNRT2;2* in CML223 was also significantly increased (5 times) under nitrogen limitation (Fig. 4b). For the ammonium transporters genes, the expression of *ZmAMT1;1a* was significantly increased under nitrogen limitation in GEMS42-I, Ji846, SY998 and GEMS42-II, which increased 16, 10, 20 and 3 times, respectively (Fig. 4c). The expression of *ZmAMT1;3* was significantly increased under nitrogen limitation in Ji846, CML223, CML114 and GEMS42-II, which varied from 1.4 to 4.3 times (Fig. 4).

Nitrogen ~~metabolism-metabolism~~-related key enzymes activity assay

Low nitrogen significantly decreased the activities of key nitrogen metabolism enzymes in some ~~of~~ maize. The greatest reduction in the activities of NR (approximately 82%) in Ji846 (Fig. 5a), GS (approximately 88%) in Ji846 (Fig. 5b), (GOGAT approximately 56%) in CML223 (Fig. 5c). The NR activities of GEMS42-I, CML223 and CML114 were decreased 60.3%, 68.6% and 48.6%, respectively (Fig. 5a). In addition, the lower activities of NR and GS were observed in SY998, CML223 and GEMS42-II, irrespective of the nitrogen condition (Fig. 5a and 5b). Nitrogen limitation affected the activity of GOGAT less than that of NR and GS. The GOGAT activities only decreased in CML223 and GEMS42-II in response to nitrogen limitation (Fig. 5c).

Principal component analysis based on the nitrogen tolerance coefficient (NTC)

The first four principal components jointly explain the major part of ~~the~~ total variance (96.8%), being PC1 responsible for 47.4%, PC2 for 21.6%, PC3 for 15.5% and PC4 for 12.3% of ~~the~~ total

variance, respectively (Table 2). The eigenvalue of PC1, PC2, PC3 and PC4 were 8.054, 3.676, 2.627 and 2.091, respectively (Table 2). The factor score of the four principal components of each maize ~~were~~was directly extracted from the principal component analysis results (Table 3). Then, the principal component value and subordinate function value ~~was~~were calculated. Finally, each maize has a D value (Table 3). The SY998, GEMS42-I and GEMS42-II ~~has~~have the higher D value that can define as low nitrogen tolerant maize, while the Ji846 with ~~the~~a lower D value was defined as low nitrogen sensitive maize (Table 3).

Discussion

Different maize performs quite differently in the complex physiology and development of root and shoots in response to nitrogen limitation (Hirel et al., 2001; Giehl & Gruber, 2014). Investigation of the economic yield of crops under nitrogen deficient soil is the most and objective method for screening low nitrogen tolerant plants. However, this method that covers the whole growth period is time and ~~labor~~labor-consuming. Therefore, ~~the~~the effective evaluation of indicators of different maize genotypes are issues to be explored. The morphological and physiological characteristics are widely used for low nitrogen tolerant crops screening at the seedling ~~state~~stage. The root morphology changes affect the nitrogen efficiency through the alteration of nitrogen absorption. The relative nitrogen uptake could be ~~as~~as indicators ~~for~~of the low nitrogen tolerance evaluation of barley (Jiang et al. 2019). The root architecture and function that contribute to nitrogen absorption efficiency (Trachsel et al., 2011), and the morphology of roots ~~was~~were also closely associated with the acquisition of nitrogen and the development of plant shoots (Mi et al., 2010; Lynch, 2013; Li et al., 2017). The root and shoot biomass of Ji846 was significantly decreased under the nitrogen limitation (Fig. 1b and 1c). In addition, the root total length, root surface and root volume were significantly decreased in Ji846

under low nitrogen conditions (Fig. 2b, 2d and 2e). Ji846 has the lowest nitrogen accumulation under nitrogen limitation (Table 1). These results indicated that Ji846 was potential nitrogen inefficient maize. The shoot and root fresh weight of SY998, GEMS42-I and GEMS42-II have not significantly inhibited by nitrogen limitation, which exhibited high nitrogen efficiency to maintain plant growth (Fig.1). The higher nitrogen accumulation of SY998, GEMS42-I and GEMS42-II were observed under nitrogen limitation (Table 1). Therefore, SY998, GEMS42-I and GEMS42-II may be the potential ~~nitrogen-nitrogen~~-efficient maize. The root total length, root surface and root volume were increased in SY998 and GEMS42-II in response to nitrogen limitation (Fig. 2b, 2d and 2e). This consist with the previous study that plant shoots could be associated with nitrogen efficiency in selecting for improving grain yield under low nitrogen conditions (Chen et al., 2016). Recent studies show that the root weight and root length of ~~nitrogen-nitrogen~~-tolerant maize were significantly increased in response to nitrogen limitation (Singh et al., 2022). In addition, the D value of the three maize is higher than 0.7, while the D value of Ji846 (potential nitrogen inefficient maize) is 0.27 in the present study (Table 3). Therefore, according to D value for low nitrogen tolerance evaluation was consistent with the physiological indicators. In addition, the complicated traits were simplified to reflect the low nitrogen tolerance information of maize by introducing the D value to evaluate stress tolerance. NRT2 belongs to the ~~high-high~~-affinity nitrate transporter. Previous research indicated that the transcript levels of *ZmNRT2* were induced by low nitrogen (Santi et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2009). However, ~~in-an~~other study showed that the baseline transcript levels of *ZmNRT2.1* and *ZmNRT2.2* were generally much higher than for any of the other transporters, regardless of the external (Garnett et al., 2013). *ZmAMT1;1a* and *ZmAMT1;3* are most probably the major components in the high-affinity transport system in maize roots (Gu et al., 2013). Interestingly,

264 the higher expression of *ZmNRT2;1*, *ZmNRT2;2*, *ZmAMT1;1a* and *ZmAMT1;3* in Ji846 not
 265 increased its nitrate and ammonium content under nitrogen limitation (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). Ji846
 266 even has the lowest nitrogen accumulation under nitrogen limitation (Table 1). Among the three
 267 higher D value maize, the expression of *ZmNRT2;1* and *ZmNRT2;2* only significantly increased
 268 in SY998, not in GEMS42-I and GEMS42-II (Fig. 4 and Table 3). All of the three maize have
 269 higher nitrogen accumulation under nitrogen limitation, especially SY998 has the highest
 270 accumulation (Table 1). The expression levels of *ZmNRT2;1*, *ZmNRT2;2*, *ZmAMT1;1a* and
 271 *ZmAMT1;3* were not correlative with nitrogen content in maize. ~~In~~ On the other hand, some
 272 other uptake systems might exist in maize for nitrogen absorption. Therefore, the evaluation of
 273 nitrogen efficiency by these genes was inappropriate, at least in maize seedlings.
 274 Nitrate (NO_3^-) and ammonium (NH_4^+) taken up by plants must first be assimilated into amino
 275 acids before it can be used for proteins synthesis for plant growth. Hence the nitrogen-
 276 assimilation enzyme is a feasible strategy for ~~improve~~ improving nitrogen efficiency. NR is the
 277 first enzyme to reduce the NO_3^- to NO_2^- , and further reduce to NH_4^+ by nitrite reductase (Lea et
 278 al., 2006; Takahashi et al., 2001). The NH_4^+ is assimilated into amino acid by the GS-GOGAT
 279 cycle, which is a crucial step for converting inorganic nitrogen into organic nitrogen in plants
 280 (Martin et al., 2006). The NR and GS activities of Ji846 ~~were~~ decreased by over 80%, while the
 281 NR activities decreased by 20% and GS activities decreased by 30% both in SY998 and
 282 GEMS42-II under low nitrogen conditions (Fig. 5). Therefore, the potential low nitrogen tolerant
 283 maize varieties (SY998 and GEMS42-II) have greater enzyme activities as compared to potential
 284 nitrogen inefficient maize. The high nitrogen efficient genotypes also had more enzyme activities
 285 than low nitrogen inefficient genotypes in barely in response to nitrogen limitation (Shah et al.,

2017). And the higher nitrogen utilization efficiency rice has ~~the~~a higher nitrogen-assimilation enzyme activity (Yi et al., 2019).

Therefore, according to the D value ~~for~~ low nitrogen tolerance evaluation was feasible. In addition, the complicated traits were simplified to reflect the low nitrogen tolerance information of maize by introducing the D value to evaluate stress tolerance. Basedd on the D value, SY998 and GEMS42-II were potential low nitrogen tolerant maize and nitrogen efficient genotypes, and Ji846 was potential low nitrogen sensitive maize and nitrogen inefficient genotype. Further study should be conducted to verify the yield and heritability effects of these genotypes in the field.

Conclusions

Low nitrogen tolerance of maize is a complex trait that is determined by both genetic and environmental factors. Seventeen traits of six maize genotype related to nitrogen ~~was~~were investigated and a D value was introduced to screen potential low nitrogen-tolerant maize in the present study. The potential ~~nitrogen-nitrogen~~-efficient maize (SY998, GEMS42-I and GEMS42-II) that had a higher D value (above 0.7) ~~showing~~showed better growth performance. In contrast, the potential nitrogen inefficient maize (Ji846) had ~~a~~the lowest D value (0.27) with significant growth inhibition in response to nitrogen limitation. Therefore, using the D value to comprehensively evaluate low nitrogen tolerance can integrate the multiple ~~nitrogen-nitrogen~~-related traits, which can avoid the one-sidedness of a single parameter. Since the D value was calculated based on ~~the~~ the theory of fuzzy mathematics. This method may also provide the benefit of development techniques to screen other potential stress-tolerant traits.

References

Altaf MA, Shahid R, Ren MX, Naz S, Altaf MM, Khan LU, Lal MK, Tiwari RK, Shakoore A. 2022. Melatonin mitigates cadmium toxicity by promoting root architecture and mineral

homeostasis of tomato genotypes. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* volume
 22:1112-1128. DOI: 10.1007/s42729-021-00720-9

Chen K, Camberato JJ, Tuinstra MR, Kumudini SV, Tollenaar M, Vyna TJ. 2016. Genetic
 improvement in density and nitrogen stress tolerance traits over 38 years of commercial
 maize hybrid release. *Field Crops Research* 196:438–451.
 DOI:10.1016/j.fcr.2016.07.025.

Dechorgnat J, Francis KL, Dhugga KS, Rafalski JA, Tyerman SD, Kaiser BN. 2019. Tissue and
 nitrogen-linked expression profiles of ammonium and nitrate transporters in maize. *BMC
 Plant Biology* 19. DOI: 10.1186/s12870-019-1768-0.

Fan X, Naz M, Fan X, Xuan W, Miller AJ, Xu G. 2017. Plant nitrate transporters: from gene
 function to application. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 68:2463–2475.
 DOI:10.1093/jxb/erx011.

Garnett T, Conn V, Plett D, Conn S, Zanghellini J, Mackenzie N, Enju A, Francis K, Holtham L,
 Roessner U, Boughton B, Bacic A, Shirley N, Rafalski A, Dhugga K, Tester M, Kaiser
 BN. 2013. The response of the maize nitrate transport system to nitrogen demand and
 supply across the lifecycle. *New Phytologist* 198:82–94.
 DOI:https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12166.

Giehl RFH, Gruber BD, von Wirén N. 2014. It's time to make changes: modulation of root
 system architecture by nutrient signals. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 65:769–778.
 DOI: 10.1093/jxb/ert421.

Gu R, Duan F, An X, Zhang F, von Wirén N, Yuan L. 2013. Characterization of AMT-mediated
 high-affinity ammonium uptake in roots of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Plant and Cell
 Physiology* 54:1515–1524. DOI: 10.1093/pcp/pct099.

332 Harvey PH. 1939. Hereditary variation in plant nutrition. *Genetics* 24:437–461.

333 Hirel B, Bertin P, Quilleré I, Bourdoncle W, Attagnant C, Dellay C, Gouy A, Cadiou S,
 334 Retailiau C, Falque M, Gallais A. 2001. Towards a better understanding of the genetic
 335 and physiological basis for nitrogen use efficiency in maize. *Plant Physiology* 125:1258–
 336 1270. DOI: 10.1104/pp.125.3.1258.

337 Hirel B, Gallais A. 2011. Nitrogen use efficiency - physiological, molecular and genetic
 338 investigations towards crop improvement. In: *Prioul JL, Thévenot C, Molnar T, eds.*
 339 *Advances in maize: 3. Essential reviews in experimental biology*. London: Society for
 340 Experimental Biology, 285–310.

341 Hirel B, Le Gouis J, Ney B, Gallais A. 2007. The challenge of improving nitrogen use efficiency
 342 in crop plants: towards a more central role for genetic variability and quantitative
 343 genetics within integrated approaches. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 58:2369–2387.
 344 DOI: 10.1093/jxb/erm097.

345 Hoagland DR, Arnon DI. 1950. The water-culture method for growing plants without soil.
 346 *Circular. California Agricultural Experiment Station* 347. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-
 347 6736(00)73482-9.

348 Jiang Q, Chen Z, Liu C, He T, Guo R, Xu H, Li Y, Lu R, Huang J. 2019. Screening and
 349 identification indices of low-nitrogen tolerance for barley landraces at seedling stage.
 350 *Acta Agriculturae Boreali-Sinica* 34(1): 148-155. DOI: 10.7668/hbncb.201751103.

351 Lea US, Leydecker MT, Quilleré I, Meyer C, Lillo C. 2006. Posttranslational regulation of
 352 nitrate reductase strongly affects the levels of free amino acids and nitrate, whereas
 353 transcriptional regulation has only minor influence. *Plant Physiology* 140:1085–1094.
 354 DOI: 10.1104/pp.105.074633.

355 Li Q, Wu Y, Chen W, Jin R, Kong F, Ke Y, Shi H, Yuan J. 2017. Cultivar differences in root
 356 nitrogen uptake ability of maize hybrids. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8:1060. DOI:
 357 10.3389/fpls.2017.01060.

358 Lin CC, Kao CH. 1996. Disturbed ammonium assimilation is associated with growth inhibition
 359 of roots in rice seedlings caused by NaCl. *Plant Growth Regulation* 18:233–238. DOI:
 360 10.1007/BF00024387.

361 Liu J, Chen F, Olokhnuud C, Glass ADM, Tong Y, Zhang F, Mi G. 2009. Root size and nitrogen-uptake
 362 activity in two maize (*Zea mays*) inbred lines differing in nitrogen-use efficiency. *Journal of*
 363 *Plant Nutrition and Soil Science* 172: 230–236. DOI: 10.1002/jpln.200800028

364 Liu Q, Wu K, Song W, Zhong N, Wu Y, Fu X. 2022. Improving crop nitrogen use efficiency toward
 365 sustainable green revolution. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 73:523-551. DOI:
 366 10.1146/annurev-arplant-070121-015752

367 Lynch JP. 2013. Steep, cheap and deep: an ideotype to optimize water and N acquisition by
 368 maize root systems. *Annals of Botany* 112:347–357. DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcs293.

369 Martin A, Lee J, Kichey T, Gerentes D, Zivy M, Tatout C, Dubois F, Balliau T, Valot B,
 370 Davanture M, Tercé-Laforgue T, Quilleré I, Coque M, Gallais A, Gonzalez-Moro M-B,
 371 Bethencourt L, Habash DZ, Lea PJ, Charcosset A, Perez P, Murigneux A, Sakakibara H,
 372 Edwards KJ, Hirel B. 2006. Two cytosolic glutamine synthetase isoforms of maize are
 373 specifically involved in the control of grain production. *The Plant Cell* 18:3252–3274.
 374 DOI: 10.1105/tpc.106.042689.

375 Masclaux-Daubresse C, Daniel-Vedele F, Dechorgnat J, Chardon F, Gaufichon L, Suzuki A.
 376 2010. Nitrogen uptake, assimilation and remobilization in plants: challenges for
 377 sustainable and productive agriculture. *Annals of Botany* 105(7):1141-1157.
 378 DOI:10.1093/aob/mcq028

379 Mi G, Chen F, Wu Q, Lai N, Yuan L, Zhang F. 2010. Ideotype root architecture for efficient
 380 nitrogen acquisition by maize in intensive cropping systems. *Science China Life Sciences*
 381 53:1369–1373. DOI: 10.1007/s11427-010-4097-y.

382 Plett D, Toubia J, Garnett T, Tester M, Kaiser BN, Baumann U. 2010. Dichotomy in the NRT
 383 gene families of dicots and grass species. *PLoS ONE* 5.
 384 DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0015289.

385 Ren B, Dong S, Zhao B, Liu P, Zhang J. 2017. Responses of nitrogen metabolism, uptake and
 386 translocation of maize to waterlogging at different growth stages. *Frontiers in Plant*
 387 *Science* 8. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01216.

388 Santi S, Locci G, Monte R, Pinton R, Varanini Z. 2003. Induction of nitrate uptake in maize
 389 roots: expression of a putative high-affinity nitrate transporter and plasma membrane H⁺-
 390 ATPase isoforms. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 54: 1851–1864.

391 Shah JM, Bukhari S, Zeng JB, Quan XY, Ali E, Muhammad N, Zhang GP. 2017. Nitrogen (N)
 392 metabolism related enzyme activities, cell ultrastructure and nutrient contents as affected
 393 by N level and barley genotype. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* 16(1): 190-198. DOI:
 394 10.1016/S2095-3119(15)61308-9

395 Shi Y, Wan L, Liu J, Wang Y, Guo R, Wu X, Li X. 2010. Analysis of the principal components
 396 and the subordinate function of *Lolium perenne* drought resistance. *Acta Agrestia Sinica*
 397 18:669–672. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1077.2010.01263>.

398 Sinclair TR, Vadez V. 2002. Physiological traits for crop yield improvement in low N and P
 399 environments. *Plant and Soil* 245:1–15. DOI: 10.1023/A:1020624015351.

400 Singh P, Kumar K, Jal AK, Yadava P, Pal M, Rakshit S, Singh I. 2022. Global gene expression
 401 profiling under nitrogen stress identifies key genes involved in nitrogen stress adaptation
 402 in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Scientific Reports* 12(1):4211. DOI:10.1038/s41598-022-07709-z
 403 Sivasankar S, Collinson S, Gupta R, Dhugga K. 2012. Maize. In: *Handbook of Bioenergy Crop*
 404 *Plants, Edited by C. Kole, C. Joshi and D. Shonnard (Boca Ratan, FL: CRC Press)*. 405–
 405 432.
 406 Takahashi M, Sasaki Y, Ida S, Morikawa H. 2001. Nitrite reductase gene enrichment improves
 407 assimilation of NO₂ in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiology* 126:731–741. DOI:
 408 10.1104/pp.126.2.731.
 409 Tang Y, Sun X, Hu C, Tan Q, Zhao X. 2013. Genotypic differences in nitrate uptake,
 410 translocation and assimilation of two Chinese cabbage cultivars [*Brassica campestris* L.
 411 ssp. *Chinensis* (L.)]. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 70:14–20.
 412 DOI:10.1016/j.plaphy.2013.04.027.
 413 Tegeder M, Masclaux-Daubresse C. 2018. Source and sink mechanisms of nitrogen transport and
 414 use. *The New Phytologist* 217:35–53. DOI:10.1111/nph.14876.
 415 Teixeira EI, George M, Herreman T, Brown H, Fletcher A, Chakwizira E, de Ruiter J, Maley S,
 416 Noble A. 2014. The impact of water and nitrogen limitation on maize biomass and
 417 resource-use efficiencies for radiation, water and nitrogen. *Field Crops Research*
 418 168:109–118. DOI: 10.1016/j.fcr.2014.08.002.
 419 Trachsel S, Kaeppler SM, Brown KM, Lynch JP. 2011. Shovelomics: high throughput
 420 phenotyping of maize (*Zea mays* L.) root architecture in the field. *Plant and Soil* 341:75–
 421 87. DOI: 10.1007/s11104-010-0623-8.

422 Vidal EA, Alvarez JM, Araus V, Riveras E, Brooks MD, Krouk G, Ruffel S, Lejay L, Crawford
 423 NM, Coruzzi GM, Gutiérrez RA. 2020. Nitrate in 2020: Thirty Years from Transport to
 424 Signaling Networks. *Plant Cell* 32(7):2094-2119. doi: 10.1105/tpc.19.00748
 425 Wojciechowska R, Kołton A, Długosz-Grochowska O, Knop E. 2016. Nitrate content in
 426 *Valerianella locusta* L. plants is affected by supplemental LED lighting. *Scientia*
 427 *Horticulturae* 211:179–186. DOI: 10.1016/j.scienta.2016.08.021.
 428 Xu G, Fan X, Miller AJ. 2012. Plant nitrogen assimilation and use efficiency. *Annual Review of*
 429 *Plant Biology* 63: 153-182. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042811-105532
 430 Yi J, Gao J, Zhang W, Zhao C, Wang Y, Zhen X. 2019. Differential uptake and utilization of two
 431 forms of nitrogen in *Japonica* rice cultivars from north-eastern China. *Frontiers in Plant*
 432 *Science* 10. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01061
 433 Yin G, Gu J, Zhang F, Hao L, Cong P, Liu Z. 2014. Maize yield response to water supply and
 434 fertilizer input in a semi-arid environment of Northeast China. *PloS One* 9:e86099. DOI:
 435 10.1371/journal.pone.0086099.
 436

437 **Supplemental Table**

438 **Table S1** Sequence of the primers used for real-time PCR.

439