

Fossil *Dugongidae* from the Pleistocene of northern Qatar: new questions about the antiquity of sea cows in the Gulf Region

Commented [D1]: You only have one; Dugongidae is plural.

Nicholas D. Pyenson^{1,2}, Mehsin Al Ansi³, Clare M. Fieseler¹, Khalid Hassan Al Jaber⁴, Katherine D. Klim¹, Jacques LeBlanc⁵, Ahmad Mujthaba Dheen Mohamed³, Ismail Al Shaikh⁶, Christopher D. Marshall^{7,8}

¹Department of Paleobiology, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC 20059, U.S.A.

²Department of Paleontology and Geology, Burke Museum of Natural History and Culture, Seattle, WA, 98105, U.S.A.

³Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Qatar University, Doha, State of Qatar

⁴National Museum of Qatar, Doha, State of Qatar

⁵Consulting Geologist, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

⁶ExxonMobil Research Qatar, Qatar Science and Technology Park - Tech 2, Doha, State of Qatar

⁷Department of Marine Biology, Texas A&M University Galveston Campus, 200 Seawolf Parkway, Galveston, TX 77554, USA

⁸Department of Ecology and Conservation Biology, 2258 TAMU, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

Corresponding Author:

Nicholas D. Pyenson¹

24 Smithsonian Institution, MRC 121, Natural History Building, West Loading Dock, 10th Street

25 Northwest & Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20560 USA

26 Email address: pyensonn@si.edu

27

28

Abstract

One of the largest and least documented populations of dugongs (*Dugong dugon*) resides in the coastal waters off of the United Arab Emirates, and waters surrounding Saudi Arabia, Bahrain, and Qatar. The archaeological record of dugongs in the Gulf Region is abundant, but little is known about their fossil record in the region. Here we report an isolated sirenian rib fragment from the Futaisi Member of the Fuwayrit Formation near the town of Al Ruwais, in northern Qatar. The Fuwayrit Formation is a marine Pleistocene deposit exposed onshore in Qatar and the United Arab Emirates. Based on the correlative dating of the basal Futaisi Member with other onshore platforms, the rib fragment is approximately 125 ka. We propose that this isolated rib (likely the first rib from the right side) belongs to Dugongidae, with strong similarities to extant *Dugong*. We cannot, however, eliminate the possibility that it belongs to an extinct taxon, especially given its similarities with other fossil dugongid material from both Qatar and elsewhere in the world. Aside from reflecting the presence of Gulf seagrass communities in the Pleistocene, this occurrence also suggests that different (and potentially multiple) lineages of sirenians inhabited the Gulf Region in the geologic past.

Introduction

The Arabian Gulf (also known as the Persian Gulf, but hereafter the Gulf Region) is the most important region for dugongs (*Dugong dugon* (Müller, 1776)) in the western portion of the range of this species, which extends from East Africa to Oceania (Marsh, O'Shea & Reynolds, 2011). The Gulf Region possesses the second largest population of dugongs in the world, distributed in coastal waters near the United Arab Emirates (UAE), Saudi Arabia, Bahrain, and Qatar. In the Gulf Region, there are three dugong distribution hotspots: the coastal area in the

52 United Arab Emirates near Marawah Island; the coastal region of Saudi Arabia between the
53 United Arab Emirates and Qatar; and the northwest coast of Qatar from the Zekreet ~~p~~Peninsula
54 and the Hawar Islands to Ras Ushayriq and offshore to Fasht Adhm, Bahrain (Preen, 1989;
55 Marsh et al., 2002; Preen, 2004; Marshall et al., 2018). However, this population is vulnerable to
56 exploitation and it is listed as Vulnerable to Extinction by the IUCN (Marsh & Sobotzick, 2015).
57 Historically, dugongs have had a cultural and economic importance in the Gulf Region since the
58 Neolithic period, ~~over~~ approximately 7,500 years ago (Méry et al., 2009; Beech, 2010), although
59 little is known about the ecology and ~~population~~ dynamics of the Gulf Region's dugong
60 population today. Recent fieldwork in western and northern Qatar (Marshall et al., 2018) has
61 provided the first steps towards better documenting this vulnerable species, which lives
62 alongside major petroleum development sites in the Gulf.

63

64 Nearshore marine deposits throughout the Gulf Region (Al-Saad & Ibrahim, 2002; Dill et al.,
65 2005) provide abundant preservation potential for ~~bearing~~ fossil marine mammals in the region,
66 yet the published record is limited to preliminary reports by LeBlanc (2009, 2017). LeBlanc
67 (2009, 2017) indicated extensive fossil material throughout Qatar, including fossil marine
68 vertebrates from Eocene to Miocene in age. In particular, LeBlanc (2009, 2017) noted abundant
69 fossil Dugongidae from Early Miocene ~~age~~ localities in the Dam Formation of southwest Qatar.
70 Building on preliminary fieldwork from 2018 to 2020, ~~here~~-we ~~here~~ report the northern-most
71 Qatari record of fossil Dugongidae based on an isolated rib collected from the Pleistocene ~~age~~
72 Futaisi Member of the Fuwayrit Formation, exposed near the town of Al Ruwais in northern
73 Qatar. These fossil data provide an important comparison to the modern record, showing that a
74 lineage of ecologically important marine ~~plant~~ consumers in Qatar extends at least into the

Pleistocene. With the presence of fossil Dugongidae from the Early Miocene, our find points to a nearly 20-million-year history of sea cows in waters surrounding Qatar. This timeframe includes a period prior to the Pliocene origin of the Gulf and the orogeny of the Zagros mountain belt; this open communication between the eastern and western Tethys may have permitted multiple species of dugongids to survive into the late Neogene of Arabia.

Materials & Methods

Museum Abbreviations. QM, QNM, and ARC: National Museum of Qatar, Qatar Museum Authority, Doha, State of Qatar; USNM PAL and USNM VZ: Departments of Paleobiology and Vertebrate Zoology, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, District of Columbia, USA.

Specimens Observed. *Callistosiren boriquensis* (USNM PAL 542423), *Dugong dugon* (USNM VZ 197900, 257107, 284440), *Hydrodamalis gigas* (USNM VZ 35638), *Metaxytherium calvertense* (USNM PAL V16715), *Priscosiren atlantica* (USNM PAL USNM 542417), *Trichechus* ~~*trichechus*~~ (USNM VZ 1375, 20916).

Commented [D2]: *T. manatus*?

Locality. We collected the rib fragment QM.2021.0504 on 9 December 2019 at N 26°06'44.6", E 51°09'31.2" outside of Al Ruwais, Baladīyat ash Shamāl, State of Qatar under the authorization of the National Museum of Qatar (Qatar Museums).

Geologic setting, depositional environment and age. The modern-day peninsula of Qatar is the result of Arabian-Eurasian tectonic plate convergence in the Neogene and the Zagros orogeny in modern-day Iran during the Plio-Pleistocene. This tectonism created fold-thrust belts in Iran and a wedge-shaped, low angle foreland basin in the Gulf Region with mixed evaporites, carbonates, and siliciclastics deposited on the Arabian plate, along with several depositional hiatuses caused by Neogene sea-level change (Sharland et al., 2004; Perotti et al., 2011). The peninsula of Qatar is a wide anticlinal dome, slightly warped by the north-plunging regional Qatar-South Fars Arch (Cavelier, 1970; Rivers & Larson, 2018) and the Dukhan anticline, which is restricted to the western portion of the peninsula (Fig. 1A; Dill et al., 2003; Al-Saad, 2005).

The majority of surficial carbonate rocks exposed in Qatar consist of middle Eocene limestones belonging to the Rus and Dammam formations (Cavelier, 1970; Al-Saad 2005). The Dammam Formation is overlain by lower Miocene limestones, marls, and shales belonging to the Dam Formation, and Mio-Pliocene sands and gravels of the Hofuf formation in the southwest portion of the peninsula (Fig. 1A; Al-Saad & Ibrahim, 2002). Along the northern and northwestern coastal margins of Qatar, Pleistocene deposits belonging to the Fuwayrit Formation overlie the crystalline dolomites of the Dammam Formation, and three sequences are exposed onshore: the Futaisi Member, the Dabb'iya Member and the Al Wusayl Member (sensu Williams & Walkden, 2002; see also LeBlanc, 2015; Williams, 1999). Elsewhere in the Gulf, the Fuwayrit Formation is exposed onshore near Abu Dhabi in the United Arab Emirates, although only the Futaisi and Dabb'iya members are represented (Williams & Walkden, 2002). The Fuwayrit Formation is also the only marine Pleistocene sequence exposed onshore around the Gulf; the

119 aeolian Ghayathi Formation and the continental Aradah Formation comprise the other two
120 Quaternary sequences (Williams & Walkden, 2002).

121
122 The shoreline west of Al Ruwais, near the locality of QM.2021.0504, exposes the Futaisi
123 Member, which is the earliest Pleistocene deposits preserved on the peninsula of Qatar (also see
124 mapping in Rivers et al., 2020: figure 2). The Futaisi Member does not exceed 1 meter in
125 thickness anywhere along its exposure, and the maximum elevation at which it is found is
126 approximately 1.5 m above present high-tide level (Fig. 1C; Williams, 1999). At the locality, the
127 deposits contain abundant pebbles of dolomite reworked from the nearby brecciated, terra rossa
128 (i.e., angular, poorly sorted dolomitic clasts), which are set in a matrix of marine allochems and
129 micrite (Williams, 1999: table 12). This lag deposit is overlain by a well-sorted oolitic
130 grainstone, which shows low-angle planar cross-bedding, and contains sparse sediment-filled
131 shafts and tunnels, resembling *Ophiomorpha* and *Thalassinoides*-type burrows. Locally, the
132 amount of bioturbation may increase with recognizable *Ophiomorpha*-type shafts and tunnels,
133 mostly filled with sediment. Occasional invertebrate burrows towards the top of the unit are
134 filled with poorly sorted coarse skeletal sand, and occasional flattened, sub-rounded dolomite
135 pebbles, which may represent storm-filled burrows.

136
137 The Futaisi Member has been interpreted as a transgressive deposit recording only the peak of a
138 Pleistocene high-stand (Williams, 1999; Williams & Walkden, 2002). The low-angle, planar
139 cross-bedding, combined with the trace-fossil assemblage, suggests that deposition of the Futaisi
140 Member occurred in a foreshore-upper shoreface environment. Also, the well-rounded, well-
141 sorted nature of the sediments reflects a moderately high-energy (i.e., wave-dominated) setting.

Commented [D3]: Are you confident the rib is not reworked from the immediately-underlying Eocene, like the dolomite pebbles? You need to address this explicitly.

Commented [D4]: Can the presence of a seagrass community be inferred from this?

142 The degree of bioturbation reflects the difference between predominantly subaqueous and
143 predominantly subaerial settings. The most heavily burrowed sediments were frequently
144 submerged, whereas the wavy laminated sediment was probably deposited high up the beach,
145 and rarely flooded afterwards. The interpretation of a foreshore environment is also supported by
146 the recognition of a grain-contact meniscate cement as the earliest cement generation in some
147 samples.

148
149 Dating the age of Pleistocene deposits in the Gulf Region is challenging. Luminescence dating of
150 Ghayathi Formation aeolianites from localities outside of Qatar produced age ranges between 45
151 and 130 ka, although these dates are likely distorted by diagenetic contamination; similar
152 techniques on sediments from the Fuwayrit Formation have been unfruitful (Williams, 1999).
153 Williams & Walkden (2002) reported radiocarbon dates on coral, red algae, and barnacle
154 samples from the Dabb'iya member of the Fuwayrit Formation in Qatar and the UAE at
155 approximately 30 ka. While diagenetic alteration cannot be excluded, the unit overlying the
156 Futaisi member is clearly not Holocene in age.

157
158 Lacking direct age dates for the Fuwayrit Formation, Williams & Walkden (2002) inferred the
159 age of these sediments by comparing their elevation and stratigraphic thickness with similar
160 deposits from stable shoreline platforms elsewhere in the world. This approach operates on two
161 assumptions: 1) the Fuwayrit Formation represents the youngest pre-Holocene marine deposits in
162 the Gulf; and 2) either there is no Pleistocene ~~age~~-tectonism along the coastline (e.g., isostatic
163 rebound), or ~~that~~ the onshore deposits are unaffected by tectonism. Based on the elevation and
164 stratigraphy of the Fuwayrit Formation compared with other onshore Pleistocene platforms in

Bermuda, the Bahamas, the Mediterranean, New Caledonia, Hawaii, the eastern United States, and Australia, Williams & Walkden (2002) argued the Fuwayrit Formation was deposited during the last interglacial (Marine Isotope Stage 5e; Railsback et al., 2015). In turn, this inference suggests that the basal sediments of the Futaisi Member at the locality ~~for~~of QM.2021.0504 are approximately 125 ka.

Description. The rib fragment (QM.2021.0504) is about 112 mm long in a straight ~~distaneel~~line, 31 mm wide at its greatest lateral extent and 17 mm thick at its thickest anteroposterior direction. The fragment is missing its proximal-most processes, including the neck and capitulum, as well as the distal shaft and it appears complete at its termination (Fig. 2A, B). Along the main axis of the rib shaft, its greatest lateral extent and thickest anteroposterior dimension coincide at the same level, which is within the proximal third of the fragment, presumably the angle of the rib. In this area, the shaft exhibits a slight bowing and lateral pinching, with the proximal-most part terminating in a postmortem breakage through the neck, revealing a broadly pyramidal cross-section. The raised prominence, which lacks any articular facet (~~e.g., i.e.,~~ tuberculum) but marks a change in surface topography, is likely part of the angle. Its distal surface likely provided an attachment for ~~muscles belonging to~~ the serratus magnus and scalenus muscles (Domning, 1977, figs. 41, 42; see anatomical identity, below in the Results & Discussion). The breakage at the distal end of the rib reveals dense inner bone architecture that is osteosclerotic (Fig. 2E). There is minimal, if any, hyperplasy on the periosteal cortices of the rib fragment, suggesting little to no pachyostosis (sensu Buffrenil et al., 2010).

Commented [D5]: How can the distal termination be complete if the distal shaft is missing?

Overall, the ~~shape of~~ rib fragment's axis is lightly curved and the rib is subcylindrical in cross-section, with distal edges that are rounded. In anterior and posterior view, the fragment is gently curved, with a slight twisting from its main axis in the proximal-~~most~~ third (Fig. 2E, F). ~~∅~~The ~~distal most~~ end is tapered, with rounded edges and square termination in anterior and posterior views. The bone surface shows light cracking, and it is slightly abraded and weathered, ~~reflecting~~ displaying weathering Stage 1 for both these latter categories according to Behrensmeyer (1978). There is no rectangular flaking on the bone surface, nor any sharp breaks in the bone. The external surface shows no evident crab traces (see Pyenson et al., 2014), yet there appear to be scavenging marks on the bone surface from an unknown tracemaker (Fig. 2D; Higgs & Pokines, 2014). The anterior surface is covered in tar residue (Fig. 2B, C) that is abundant along the northern beaches of Qatar, especially in tarmat deposits from nearby Ras Rakan Island, about 10 km northeast of the rib locality (Arekhi et al., 2020).

Results & Discussion

Anatomical and taxonomic identity. This rib fragment is diagnostically sirenian based on its subcylindrical cross-sectional profile, its slight overall curvature along its main axis and lacking of acute crests, and the presence of osteosclerosis, a trait in common with nearly all post-Eocene sirenians (Buffrenil et al., 2010), except for *Dugong* and *Callistosiren* (see more about taxonomic identity below; Buffrenil et al., 2010; ~~Vélez-Velez~~-Juarbe & Domning, 2015). These features of the rib exclude other potential mammalian candidates, including terrestrial mammals, and marine ones, such as cetaceans and pinnipeds, whose ribs are either more quadrangular or concave in cross-section.

210 In the sirenian axial skeleton, this fragment most closely matches the morphology of anterior ribs
211 (Kaiser, 1974). QM.2021.0504 does not belong to any of the mid-thoracic or posterior ribs
212 because the fragment shaft axes exhibit no proximal dorsoventral flattening nor twisting near the
213 angle; instead, it has strong anteroposterior flattening, typical of anterior ribs. The distal end
214 appears slightly worn but well ossified and has no clear breaks, suggesting that it represents the
215 true distal end of the rib, although the distal end does not exhibit any spongy surface typical of
216 cartilaginous articulation (Figure 2F). The raised prominence near the angle belongs on the
217 anterior surface of the rib, which diagnoses the rib as belonging to the right side (see Domning,
218 1977: figs. 35, 41, 42).

Commented [D6]: OK?

219
220 The proximal breakage on the Qatari rib fragment is largely in line with the raised prominence,
221 suggesting a closest match to the first and second ribs; this suggestion is reinforced by the
222 general anteroposterior flattening of the shaft and its limited curvature and lack of proximal
223 twisting nor dorsoventral flattening along its shaft axes. The rib fragment also lacks proximal
224 tubercular facets and a relatively large neck cross-section, which all typify ribs in the mid-
225 thoracic and lumbar series (Fig. 2).

Commented [D7]: The lumbar region does not normally have separate ribs.

226
227 Insofar as As for its taxonomic identity, the rib fragment does not belong to *Hydrodamalis*, based
228 primarily on its much smaller size and diminished overall curvature. The first, second and third
229 ribs of *Hydrodamalis* are strongly curved, with the first rib nearly semi-lunate in overall arc.

Commented [D8]: And its tropical locality!

230 Also, the first rib in *Hydrodamalis* presents a medial process on the internal margin of the first
231 rib, which is not present in QM.2021.0504. The relative proportions of the neck width to the rib's
232 width at the angle also precludes the second rib of *Hydrodamalis* as a potential candidate, aside

Formatted: Font: Italic

Commented [D9]: This is also present in *Dugong*; your specimen is just missing that part.

Commented [D10]: How can you say this when the neck of your specimen is not preserved?

from size. We also exclude *Trichechus* ~~among from~~ potential candidates, based on two features, which are both absent in the Qatari specimen: a patent and rounded crest that extends laterally from the neck along the midline of the medial surface of the first and second ribs in *Trichechus*; and overall swelling (i.e., cortical hyperplasy from pachyostosis) in the proximal half of the rib, which ~~is~~ especially visible on ~~the~~ external surface, ~~which and~~ increases posteriorly from the first rib throughout the series.

Among extant sirenians, the Qatari specimen is most similar to the first and second ribs of *Dugong*, based primarily on the anteroposterior flattening of ~~the~~ rib shaft and the morphology of the raised prominence, which is preserved in the Qatari specimen. In the first and second ribs of *Dugong*, the raised prominence marks a boundary for the changes in organization of muscle attachments: on the first rib, attachments for the m. scalenus and the m. serratus magnus are located distally on the dorsomedial edge, while proximally the neck and head of the rib anchor the m. longus capitis medially, and the m. longissimus dorsi and m. iliocostalis thoracis laterally; on the second rib, the prominence continues providing an attachment for the m. scalenus and the m. serratus distally, and the ~~the~~ m. longissimus dorsi and m. iliocostalis thoracis proximally (see Fig. S1). The distalmost tip of the Qatari specimen shares the most similarities ~~of with~~ the second rib in *Dugong*, with a modestly rounded rather than fluted termination (Fig. 2E).

Among fossil dugongids, the comparable parts of the Qatari specimen are most similar to *Callistosiren boriquensis*, although QM.2021.0504 does not preserve morphology of the neck that would be more diagnostic. Among fossil sirenians, QM.2021.0504 is broadly similar in morphology to the first ribs of dugongids such as *Metaxytherium* spp. (Vélez-Juarbe & Domning

Commented [D11]: This is an awkward way of describing it; is this really the term you want?

Commented [D12]: Cite an illustration?

2014); the distal termination of QM.2021.0504 is most similar to the first rib of Eocene age *Protosiren* spp. from both Egypt and Pakistan (Zalmout, Ul-Haq & Gingerich, 2003). The overall dimensions of the rib fragment indicate that it belonged to a relatively small sirenian ~~taxon~~: much smaller than *Metaxytherium calvertense* Kellogg (1966), but larger than *Nanosiren garciae* Domning and Aguilera (2008), and most similar in size to *Priscosiren atlantica* Vélez ~~Vélez~~-Juarbe and Domning (2014). In our view, the preponderance of comparisons points to QM.2021.0504 belonging to Dugongidae; among described dugongid taxa, it shares the most similarities with the first rib of *Dugong*. The limited amount of preserved morphology, however, means that we cannot exclude the possibility ~~of an unidentified, extinct dugongid.~~

Evolutionary and biogeographic significance. In the Gulf Region, marine mammals mostly have a Holocene record (e.g., Stewart, 2011; see Fig. 1B); the pre-Holocene record of fossil marine mammals is sparse. Whitmore (1987) reported an isolated delphinoid periotic associated with fragmentary sirenian ribs from the type locality of the Dam Formation at Jabal al Lidam (Thralls and Hasson, 1956; Al Saad & Ibrahim, 2002), about 40 km west of Dammam, in Saudi Arabia. The Early Miocene Dam Formation also extends to southwestern Qatar, where more associated fossil dugongids have been reported by LeBlanc (2009, 2017; and see below), along with fragmentary cetacean remains. The size and morphology of the first and second ribs for these Early Miocene dugongids from southwestern Qatar (e.g., QNM.2011.660.2ABC; see Article S1) is more similar ~~with to~~ QM.2021.0504 ~~than to extant~~ *Dugong*, although the postcranium of dugongids has limited diagnostic use below the family level.

Commented [D13]: OK?

278 North of the Gulf, Abbassi et al. (2016) reported a dugongid skeleton from Early Miocene
279 limestones of the Qom Formation near Shirin Su in central Iran, along with other sirenian fossils
280 from elsewhere in central Iran. The reported ribs morphology of the Shirin Su material are
281 natural molds, which makes it difficult to compare with the Qatari material; Abbassi et al. (2016)
282 were similarly limited by the preserved postcranium, and could not assign the Shirin Su material
283 to either the halitheriine or dugongine dugongid subfamily. In the Early Miocene, the Zagros
284 Basin connected the Western and Eastern Tethys across the Eurasian and Arabian plates, and the
285 current sirenian fossil record shows non-overlapping taxonomic assemblages of halitheriine
286 dugongids from the Western Tethys (e.g., halitheriines from Europe) and the Eastern Tethys
287 (e.g., dugongines from India). The subsequent collision of the Eurasian and Arabian plates
288 during the mid to late Miocene eliminated this oceanic connection, and sirenians in the
289 Mediterranean evolved along separate paths from lineages along the coasts of Africa, Arabia,
290 and southwestern and southeastern Asia. Understanding the identity and potential diversity of
291 sirenians from Qatar, which was located along the southern margin of the Zagros Basin on the
292 Arabian Plate during the Early Miocene, would bear on the evolution of sirenian assemblages in
293 the Tethys in the Neogene.

294
295 Elsewhere in the world, sea cow assemblages show convergent features in niche partitioning that
296 evolved iteratively, since the late Oligocene (Vélez-Velez-Juarbe et al. 2012). It remains unclear
297 if the isolated rib from northern Qatar represents a relictual lineage of Early Miocene dugongids
298 in Qatar, or a different lineage of Dugongidae from elsewhere in the Tethys, or one of the first
299 members of *Dugong* in the Gulf. More data from sirenian fossils from marine deposits in Qatar
300 and the Gulf Region would similarly confirm whether Miocene and Pleistocene sea cows there

Commented [D14]: But see Samonds et al., 2019, JVP 39(1).

301 were more similar to the multispecies assemblages found elsewhere in the world from the
302 Oligocene-Pliocene, or more similar to the singular lineages observed for extant sirenians today.

Commented [D15]: Where are multispecies sirenian faunas known from the Pliocene?

303

304 **Conclusions**

305 We tentatively identified an isolated, incomplete dugongid second rib from the Futaisi Member
306 of the Pleistocene age-Fuwayrit Formation near the town of Al Ruwais, in northern Qatar. The
307 exposed section of the Futaisi Member in northern Qatar is approximately 125 ka in age. This
308 sirenian occurrence is among the few pre-Holocene marine mammal records surrounding the
309 Gulf. Among described sirenians, the morphology of this rib is closest to *Dugong*, but we cannot
310 exclude the possibility that it belongs to an extinct taxon, either one already present in Qatar
311 since the Early Miocene or elsewhere in the world. The presence of Pleistocene dugongids in the
312 Gulf Region suggests that seagrass communities were already present at this time in the region
313 (Vélez-Velez-Juarbe et al., 2014), subsequent to the pre-Pliocene orogeny of the Zagros
314 mountains and the formation of the Gulf. More data from the fossil record of sirenians in Qatar
315 might bear on the question of whether different (and potentially multiple) lineages of sirenians
316 inhabited the Gulf Region in the geologic past.

317

318 **Acknowledgements**

319 We thank M. R. McGowen, D. Lunde, and J. J. Ososky for access to modern comparative
320 material, and D. J. Bohaska and A. J. Millhouse for access to fossil material.

321

322

323

References

- Abbassi N, Domning DP, Izad NN, Shakeri S. 2016. Sirenia fossils from Qom formation (Burdigalian) of the Kabudar Ahang Area, Northwest Iran. *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia* 122(1): 13-24
- Al-Saad H, Ibrahim MI. 2002. Stratigraphy, micropaleontology, and paleoecology of the Miocene Dam Formation, Qatar. *GeoArabia* 7(1): 9-28.
- Arekhi M, Terry LG, John GF, Al-Khayat JA, Castillo AB, Vethamony P, Clement TP. 2020. Field and laboratory investigation of tarmat deposits found on Ras Rakan Island and northern beaches of Qatar. *Science of The Total Environment* 735:139516.
- Beech** MJ. 2010. Mermaids of The Arabian Gulf: Archaeological Evidence for the Exploitation of Dugongs from Prehistory to the Present. *Liwa (Journal of the National Center for Documentation & Research)* 2(3): 3-18.
- Behrensmeyer AK. 1978. Taphonomic and ecologic information from bone weathering. *Paleobiology* 4(2):150-62.
- de Buffrénil V, Canoville A, D'Anastasio R, Domning DP. 2010. Evolution of sirenian pachyosteosclerosis, a model-case for the study of bone structure in aquatic tetrapods. *Journal of Mammalian Evolution* 17(2): 101-20.

Formatted: Highlight

347

348 Cavelier C. 1970. Geologic description of the Qatar Peninsula (Arabian Gulf). Government of
349 Qatar, Department of Petroleum Affairs, 39 p.

350

351 Dill HG, Botz R, Berner Z, Stüben D, Nasir S, Al-Saad H. 2005. Sedimentary facies,
352 mineralogy, and geochemistry of the sulphate-bearing Miocene Dam Formation in Qatar.
353 *Sedimentary Geology* 174(1-2): 63-96.

354

355 Domning DP. 1977. Observations on the myology of *Dugong dugon* (Müller). *Smithsonian*
356 *Contributions to Zoology*. 1-57.

357

358 Domning DP, Aguilera OA. 2008. Fossil Sirenia of the West Atlantic and Caribbean region.
359 VIII. *Nanosiren garciae*, gen. et sp. nov. and *Nanosiren sanchezi*, sp. nov. *Journal of Vertebrate*
360 *Paleontology* 28(2): 479-500.

361

362 Higgs N, Pokines, JT. 2014. Marine environmental alterations to bone. *Manual of Forensic*
363 *Taphonomy*, Pokines JT, Symes SA (Eds.), pp. 143-179. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida

364

365 Hughes GW. 1997. The great pearl bank barrier of the Arabian Gulf as a possible Shu'aiba
366 analogue. *GeoArabia* 2(3): 279-304.

367

368 Kaiser HE. 1974. Morphology of the Sirenia. Karger Publishers. 75 pp.

369

370 Kellogg R. 1966. New species of extinct Miocene Sirenia. *Bulletin of the United States National*
371 *Museum* 247: 65-98.

372 LeBlanc J. 2009. A Fossil Hunting Guide to the Miocene of Qatar, Middle East: A Geological &
373 Macro-Paleontological Investigation of the Dam Formation. 192 pages. DOI:
374 10.13140/RG.2.1.1228.6567

375

376 LeBlanc J. 2015. A historical account of the stratigraphy of Qatar, Middle East (1816 to 2015).
377 1220 pages. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.1.5165.6725

378

379 LeBlanc J. 2017. A revised guide to the Cenozoic Surface Formations of Qatar, Middle East
380 (excluding the islands).

381

382 Marsh H, Sobotzick S. 2015. *Dugong dugon*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2015:
383 e.T6909A43792211

384

385 Marsh H, Penrose H, Eros C, Hughes, J. 2002. UNEP Dugong Status Report and Action Plans
386 for Countries and Territories. Report No. UNEP/DEWA/RS.02-1 to the United Nations
387 Environment Program.

388

389 Marsh, H., O'Shea, T.J., ~~and~~ Reynolds III, J.E. 2011. The ecology and conservation of Sirenia:
390 dugongs and manatees. Cambridge University Press.

391

392 Marshall CD, Al Ansi M, Dupont J, Warren C, Al Shaikh I, Cullen J. 2018. Large dugong
 393 (*Dugong dugon*) aggregations persist in coastal Qatar. *Marine Mammal Science* 34: 1154-1163.
 394
 395 Méry S, Charpentier V, Auxiette G, Pelle E. 2009. A dugong bone mound: the Neolithic ritual
 396 site on Akab in Umm al-Quwain, United Arab Emirates. *Antiquity* 83(321): 696-708.
 397
 398 Müller PLS. 1776. *Des Ritters Carl von Linné Königlich Schwedischen Leibarztes &c. &c.*
 399 *vollständigen Natursystems Supplements-und Register-Band über alle sechs Theile oder Classen*
 400 *des Thierreichs. Mit einer ausführlichen Erklärung. Nebst drey Kupfertafeln.* Nürnberg,
 401 Germany. 536 pp.
 402
 403 Preen A. 1989. Dugongs. Volume 1. The Status and Conservation of Dugongs in the Arabian
 404 Region. MEPA Coastal and Marine Management Series, Report No. 10. Meteorological and
 405 Environmental Protection Administration, Jeddah: Saudi Arabia.
 406
 407 Preen A. 2004. Distribution, abundance and conservation status of dugongs and dolphins in the
 408 southern and western Arabian Gulf. *Biological Conservation* 118: 205-218.
 409
 410 Pyenson ND, Gutstein CS, Parham JF, LeRoux JP, Carreño Chavarría C, Little H, Metallo A,
 411 Rossi V, Valenzuela-Toro AM, ~~Vélez-Velez~~-Juarbe J, Santelli CM, Rubilar Rogers D, Cozzuol
 412 MA, Suárez ME. 2014. Repeated mass strandings of Miocene marine mammals from Atacama
 413 Region of Chile point to sudden death at sea. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*
 414 *Sciences* 281: 20133316

415

416 Railsback LB, Gibbard PL, Head MJ, Voarintsoa NR, Toucanne S. 2015. An optimized scheme
 417 of lettered marine isotope substages for the last 1.0 million years, and the climatostratigraphic
 418 nature of isotope stages and substages. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 111: 94-106.

419

420 Rivers J, Engel M, Dalrymple R, Yousif R, Strohmenger CJ, Al-Shaikh I. 2020. Are carbonate
 421 barrier islands mobile? Insights from a mid to late-Holocene system, Al Ruwais, northern Qatar.
 422 *Sedimentology* 67(1): 534-58.

423

424 Stewart JR, Aspinall S, Beech M, Fenberg P, Hellyer P, Larkin N, Lokier SW, Marx FG, Meyer
 425 M, Miller R, Rainbow PS. 2011. Biotically constrained palaeoenvironmental conditions of a
 426 mid-Holocene intertidal lagoon on the southern shore of the Arabian Gulf: evidence associated
 427 with a whale skeleton at Musaffah, Abu Dhabi, UAE. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 30(25-26):
 428 3675-3690.

429

430 Thralls WH, Hasson RC. 1956. Geology and oil Resources of Eastern Saudi Arabia: 20th
 431 Congreso Geologico International, 2. Asia & Oceania. pp. 9-32.

432

433 ~~VélezVelez~~-Juarbe J, Domning DP, Pyenson ND. 2012. Iterative evolution of sympatric seacow
 434 (Dugongidae, Sirenia) assemblages during the past ~26 million years. *PLoS One* 7(2): e31294.

435

436 Vélez-Juarbe J, Domning DP. 2014. Fossil Sirenia of the West Atlantic and Caribbean Region:
 437 X. *Priscosiren atlantica*, gen. et sp. nov. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 34(4): 951-64.

438

439 Vélez-Juarbe J, Domning DP. 2015. Fossil Sirenia of the West Atlantic and Caribbean region.

440 XI. *Callistosiren boriquensis*, gen. et sp. nov. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*

441 35(1):e885034.

442

443 Whitmore FC. 1987. A delphinoid ear bone from the Dam Formation (Miocene) of Saudi

444 Arabia. *Bulletin of the British Museum of Natural History (Geology)* 41(4): 447-45.

445

446 Williams AH. 1999. Glacioeustatic cyclicity in Quaternary carbonates of the southern Arabian

447 Gulf: sedimentology, sequence stratigraphy, paleoenvironments and climatic record. University

448 of Aberdeen, Doctoral dissertation.

449

450 Williams AH, Walkden GM. 2002. Late Quaternary highstand deposits of the southern Arabian

451 Gulf: a record of sea-level and climate change. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*

452 195(1): 371-86.

453

454 Zalmout IS, Ul-Haq M, Gingerich PD. 2003. New species of *Protosiren* (Mammalia, Sirenia)

455 from the early middle Eocene of Balochistan (Pakistan). *Contributions from the Museum of*

456 *Paleontology, University of Michigan* 31(3): 79-87.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Font: Italic