# **Peer**J

## Effects of jasmonic acid in foliar spray and an humic acid amendment to saline soils on forage sorghum plants' growth and antioxidant defense system

Adam Yousif Adam Ali<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Guisheng Zhou<sup>2</sup>, Aboagla Mohammed Elsiddig<sup>2</sup>, Guanglong Zhu<sup>2</sup>, Tianyao Meng<sup>2</sup>, Xiurong Jiao<sup>2</sup>, Irshad Ahmed<sup>2</sup>, Ebtehal Gabralla Ibrahim Salih<sup>2,3</sup> and Muhi Eldeen Hussien Ibrahim<sup>2,4,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Science, University of Gadarif, Al Gadarif, Sudan
- <sup>2</sup> Joint International Research Laboratory of Agriculture and Agri-Product Safety of the Ministry of Education of China, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou City, China
- <sup>3</sup> Faculty of Forestry, University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan

<sup>4</sup> Department of Agronomy, College of Agricultural Studies, Sudan University of Science and Technology, Khartoum, Sudan

\* These authors contributed equally to this work.

## ABSTRACT

Salinity is one of the primary abiotic stresses that cause negative physiological and biochemical changes due to the oxidative stress caused by the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). The effect of jasmonic acid (JA) as foliar spray and humic acid (HA) as soil amendment on the growth and biochemical attributes of forage sorghum plants exposed to salinity stress was investigated. Soil treated with NaCl at levels of 0, 2, and 4 g NaCl kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil (designated as S0, S1, and S2) and soil amendment with humic acid at 0, 3, and 6 g HA  $kg^{-1}$  dry soil (designated as HA0, HA1, and HA2). The plants were sprayed with three JA levels, including 0, 5, and 10 mM JA. Salinity stress increased carotenoid and soluble protein content, superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity, and malondialdehyde (MDA) content. In contrast, salinity stress reduced plant height, leaf area, relative growth rate, proline content, and the activity of peroxidase (POD), catalase (CAT), and ascorbate peroxidase (APX). At the S2 salinity level, HA2 rate increased plant high by 9.7%, relative growth rate by 70.8% and CAT by 45.5, while HA1 increased leaf area by 12.5%, chlorophyll content by 22.3%, carotenoid content by 38.1%, SOD activity by 20.9%, MDA content by 18.0%, POD activity by 24.6% and APX value by 21.7%. At the S2 salinity level, the highest plant height, chlorophyll content, soluble protein content and APX value were recorded at 5 mM JA, while the highest leaf area, the content of carotenoid, proline, and MDA, and the activity of POD and CAT were achieved at 10 mM JA. Generally, 10 mM JA and 3 g HA kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil produced the best positive effects on forage sorghum plants physiological responses. Our study suggested that jasmonic acid and humic acid at appropriate rates can successfully mitigate the adverse effects of salinity stress on forage sorghum.

Submitted 1 October 2021 Accepted 5 July 2022 Published 14 October 2022

Corresponding author Guisheng Zhou, gszhou@yzu.edu.cn

Academic editor Mirza Hasanuzzaman

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 19

DOI 10.7717/peerj.13793

Copyright 2022 Ali et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

Subjects Agricultural Science, Plant Science, Soil Science

**Keywords** Abiotic stress, Salinity, Jasmonic acid, Humic acid, Forage sorghum, Antioxidant defense system, Plant growth and development, Reactive oxygen species, Physiological parameters, Plant hormone

### INTRODUCTION

Salinity stress is one of the abiotic stresses limiting crop growth and productivity. Under salinity stress, crop plants usually experience many profound changes in morphological and physiological processes (*Hussien Ibrahim et al., 2019*). Sodium chloride is essential for structural and functional parts of the vital machinery of plant cells. However, this requirement is very low for normal growth and development of crop plants (*Ali et al., 2021*). Unfortunately, plants under saline stress often have an oversupply and accumulation of NaCl through their roots, triggering specific physiological responses (*Badawy et al., 2021*). Salinity stress can affect at all plant growth stages. The root zone is known to be more sensitive to salinity, causing significant inhibition of root elongation, and ultimately reducing crop yield due to osmotic stress, ion toxicity, and reduced absorption of essential nutrients such as Ca<sup>+2</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> (*Farhangi & Ghassemi, 2018*).

The over accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) are harmful to plant growth and development as it affects the structure and functions of biomolecules (*Shafiq et al.*, 2014; *Ahmad et al.*, 2016). Antioxidant enzymes such as catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POD), and superoxide dismutase (SOD) usually remove  $H_2O_2$  to hydrogen peroxide and dioxygen (*Dustgeer et al.*, 2021). The activities of SOD, CAT, and POD can increase during biotic and abiotic stresses to protect cells from potentially hazardous effects of ROS (*Menezes et al.*, 2004). CAT is localized in leaf tissue in peroxisomes to scavenge the  $H_2O_2$ produced by glycolate oxidase (*Shafiq et al.*, 2014).

Jasmonates (JAs), including methyl jasmonate (MeJA) and jasmonic acid (JA) can stimulate MDA accumulation and inhibit Fe-induced release of chelators that counteract salt stress. It is suggested that MeJA triggers some other protective mechanisms (Kumari & Sudhakar, 2003). Jasmonic acid (JA) is a lipid-derived plant hormone that mediates diverse biological phenomena and is a critical regulator of plant responses to salinity (Ali et al., 2019, 2020). It is a member of plant growth regulators, which are important cellular regulators involved in many developmental processes such as germination, root growth, and fertility (Sofy et al., 2020). Rakwal, Agrawal & Yonekura (1999) observed that high JA level in wounded leaves caused significant changes in the protein pattern of rice plants. Exogenous JA application can increase and regulate antioxidant activities in different plants (Shafiq et al., 2014). dos Santos Soares et al. (2010) noticed a gradual accumulation of  $H_2O_2$  in *Ricinus communis* (L.) and a sharp formation of ROS at the initial moment of MeJA and ascribed it to the decrease in the enzymatic antioxidants activities. In another study with pomegranate (Punica granatum L.), Vatanparast, Mirdehghan & Karimi (2013) observed an increase in antioxidant activity in the foliage treated with JAs. Wolucka, Goossens & Inzé (2005) found that in the treatment of plants with JAs application, APX activity was upregulated in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L.) plants.

Humic acid (HA) is an organically charged bio-stimulant that significantly impacts plant growth and development (*Ali et al., 2020*). HA can alleviate salt stress through improving plant growth, maintaining water potential and increasing crop productivity (*Ebrahimi & Miri, 2016*). *Xueyuan et al. (2001*) found that low level of HA significantly increased wheat plant growth (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Kaya et al. (2018*) showed that HA application led to increased stem and root dry weight of corn plants (*Zea mays* L.). Notably, humic acid can mitigate different stresses by increasing dry biomass weight and promoting plant growth (*Kaya et al., 2018*).

Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench) is one of the most important high-productive cereal crops (Sun, Shi & Ding, 2017). It has become one of the most efficient sources of food and feed. Additionally, it is used for bioenergy production (Seleiman et al., 2021). It can adapt to diverse environmental conditions, especially in arid and semi-arid areas (Mishra, Kumar & Rao, 2017). Sorghum is a C4 plant that is graded having moderate tolerance to soil salinity. However, its growth and yield is significantly reduced when cultivated under salinity stress (Maswada, Djanaguiraman & Prasad, 2018).

Due to the progressive salinization of world arable lands, the practice of using exogenous hormone protectants to mitigate salt-induced damages has been more important than ever. However, to our knowledge, there are not adequate reports on the effects of exogenous application of JA, soil amendment with HA, and their combination in antioxidant system regulation, photosynthetic pigments, soluble protein, and proline content of forage sorghum plants subject to salinity. We hypothesized that phytohormones such as JA, soil amendment with HA, and their combination, could play a vital role in plant tolerance toward salinity stress by boosting plant growth, antioxidant system regulation, and photosynthetic of pigments of sorghum plants.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### Location and climate of the experimental site

A 2-year pot experiment was conducted in an unheated greenhouse in the two consecutive years of 2018 and 2019 at Joint International Research Laboratory of Agriculture and Agri-Product of Ministry of Education of China, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu Province, China (32°39'N, 119°41'E). During the experiment, the average temperature was 31 °C, relative humidity was 76%, and cloud cover was 40%. Peat moss and vermiculite mixture (1:2 v/v) was used as the germinating and growing media of sorghum plants.

### Soil characteristics

The soil was collected from the surface of sandy loam soil (0–20 cm) of the Experimental Farm of Yangzhou University. The soil was presented as a sandy loam texture. The chemical and physical properties of the potting soil and chemical properties of HA are presented in Table 1. The soil was air-dried and passed through a 5 mm mesh screen. The soil was then spread over a piece of polyethylene sheet at a thickness of about 70 mm. The soil suspension was prepared in deionized water at a ratio of 1:2 (w/w) soil:water. The suspension was shaken and allowed to stand overnight. After that, the

| used in this study.            |                                       |       |            |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|------------|
| Characters                     |                                       | Soil  | Humic acid |
| pH                             |                                       | 7.1   | 7.08       |
| EC ( $dS.m^{-1}$ )             |                                       | 1.2   |            |
| CEC (c.molc kg <sup>-1</sup> ) |                                       | 12.0  |            |
| Organic matter (%)             |                                       | 12.2  | 55         |
| Available macronutrients       | N (mg kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> ) | 94.8  | 4.87       |
|                                | $P (mg kg^{-1})$                      | 14.1  | 0.01       |
|                                | K (mg kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> ) | 77.3  | 11.21      |
| Soluble ions                   | Ca (meq $L^{-1}$ )                    | 18.84 | 0.50       |
|                                | Mg (meq L <sup>-1</sup> )             | 17.20 | 0.22       |

 Table 1 Analysis of variance of two season. Chemical and physical properties of soil and humic acid used in this study.

electrical conductivity of the supernatant solution was determined at 0.26 dSm<sup>-1</sup> using a conductivity meter (TZS-EC-I; Zhejiang Top Instrument Co., Ltd., Hangzhou, China).

### **Plant material**

The seeds of forage sorghum variety Abu Sabeein were obtained from Agricultural Research Corporation, Khartoum, Sudan. The seeds were less than 8 months old and had been stored in paper bags under laboratory conditions (RH 40–60% at 15–20 °C). Seeds were surface-sterilized with 3% sodium hypochlorite solution for 1 min and then thoroughly rinsed three times with deionized water and air-dried near to their original weight for seeding. Seeds were germinated on 10<sup>th</sup> May in 2018, and on 15<sup>th</sup> May in 2019 in a seedbed for 15 days in the greenhouse. The strongest and uniform seedlings were selected and transplanted into pots. Each pot (30 cm in diameter × 32 cm in depth) was filled with 15 kg dry soil. On the 15<sup>th</sup> day after seedlings were transferred and applied with the NPK fertilizer (50 kg N/ha + 50 kg P2O5/ha + 50 kg K2O/ha). Another half dose was used on the 45<sup>th</sup> days of transplanting, and according to local recommendations, a spray of pesticides and weed control were conducted. Furthermore, the plants were watered regularly to maintain the water level every 3 days with a tap water.

All required approvals were obtained for the study, which complied with all relevant regulations.

## Experimental design and treatments

This study was a three-factorial experiment arranged in a split–split-plot randomized complete block design with three replications. The main plots included three salinity levels at 0 (S0), 2 (S1), and 4 g NaCl kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil (S2) (with an equivalent EC of 0.26, 2.3, and 4.7 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). The subplots included three rates of humic acid, including 0, 3 and 6 g HA kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil, designed as HA0, HA1 and HA2, respectively. The sub–sub-plot included three levels of jasmonic acid, including 0, 5, and 10 mM JA.

Before seedling transplant, humic acid and NaCl at different levels were thoroughly mixed with the soil to make nine different treatments of salinity and HA. Before salinity

and humic acid treatment, a 100 g soil sample was collected and oven-dried at 75 °C to constant weight, and the moisture content was calculated. On the 15<sup>th</sup> day after seedling transplant, the plants at each NaCl level and humic acid rates were treated with exogenous jasmonic acid solutions as a foliar application. The spraying was repeated every 15<sup>th</sup> day. The plants were sprayed three times. During jasmonic acid application, care was taken to avoid any drift of different levels using a plastic shelter to separate each treatment. There were 27 treatments in the study with three replicates for each treatment. There were 81 pots, and all pots were placed in a greenhouse. Tap water (EC 0.26 dSm<sup>-1</sup>) was used. Pots were weighed every 2 or 3 days to maintain soil water content at 80% field capacity. The pots had no holes at the bottom to prevent drainage and leaching.

### **Observations and measurements**

#### Plant height, leaf area, and relative growth rate

Plant height (cm) was recorded on the 50<sup>th</sup> (Time one) and 70<sup>th</sup> (Time two) day after sowing. Three plants from every plot were randomly selected and tagged. Plant height was measured from a point immediately above the soil surface to the top of the plant. Four uppermost leaves including flag leaf from three plants of each pot were selected for measuring leaf area with a leaf area meter (LI-3100C; LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA). The relative growth rate was calculated according to *Hoffmann & Poorter (2002)*:

 $\label{eq:Relative Growth Rate} \text{Relative Growth Rate} = \frac{\text{Plant height at time two} - \text{Plant height at time one}}{\text{Time two} - \text{Time one}}$ 

#### Preparation of enzyme extracts

On the 50<sup>th</sup> day of seedling transplant, a healthy leaf from the middle of the plant excluding the four uppermost leaves, was harvested and immersed in liquid nitrogen for 20 min and stored in a low-temperature freezer to determine the activity of enzymes and the content of proline and soluble protein. Leaf protein was extracted using a phosphate buffer solution containing sodium phosphate dibasic dehydrate and sodium phosphate monobasic dehydrate. Stored leaf tissue (0.2 g) was crushed in 2 ml of the phosphate buffer solution, and the slurry was centrifuged at 10,000*g* for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was used for the determination of the activities of enzymes including SOD, CAT, POD, and APX.

#### **Biochemical assays**

The activity of SOD and CAT was determined following the method of *Janmohammadi*, *Abbasi & Sabaghnia (2012)*. The POD activity was assayed according to the method of *Xu* & *Ye (1989)*. The MDA content was determined following the method of *Zhang et al. (2007)*. The APX content was measured according to *Nakano & Asada (1981)*.

Soluble protein was estimated for each extract (*Bradford*, 1976). A dye stock solution was added to the earlier centrifuged samples and the samples were incubated at room temperature for 25–30 min. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was recorded at 595 nm.

Proline content was estimated using the protocol of *Bates, Waldren & Teare (1973)*. Fresh sample (0.5 g) was extracted with sulfosalicylic acid, and the extract was filtered to separate the residue. All the filtrates were mixed with acidic ninhydrin, orthophosphoric acid, and glacial acetic acid and incubated at 100 °C for 30 min. The mixtures was cooled, incorporated in toluene, and vortexed. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was recorded at 520 nm with a spectrophotometer.

### Photosynthetic pigments

The determination of photosynthetic pigments such as total chlorophyll content and carotenoid content were conducted according to the method reported by *Lichtenthaler* & *Wellburn* (1983). Each fresh leaf sample was soaked in acetone solution (80%) in the dark for 7 days. The absorbance readings were recorded at 453, 645, and 663 nm, respectively, using a spectrophotometer.

### Statistical analysis

This study was performed in two different seasons, and there were no significant differences in all the parameters between the two seasons (Table 2). Therefore, the average of each variable of the two seasons was applied for statistical analysis. The data of each variable were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) for factorial experiment arranged in a split–split-plot randomized complete block design with the statistical package of MSTAT-C (*Freed et al., 1991*). When *F* values were significant, means were separated by the least significant difference (LSD) test ( $P \le 0.05$ ) as described by *Snedecor & Cochran (1980*). The standard error of the average were calculated for each trait.

## RESULTS

The results revealed that jasmonic acid, humic acid, salinity, and their interactions significantly affected most parameters measured on most occasions (Tables 2 and 3).

## The growth parameters as affected by the combination between salinity and humic acid

The growth parameters such as plant height, leaf areas and relative growth rate were significantly decreased with increased salinity rate. In the interaction between salinity and humic acid, at the control of humic acid (HA0), the high salinity rate of S2 reduced the plant height by 9.1% (Fig. 1A), leaf area by 20.0% (Fig. 1B), and relative growth rate by 44.7% (Table 4), in comparison with the control of salinity (S0) at the control of humic acid (HA0). The growth parameters were improved by humic acid. At the high salinity level of S2, the high rate of humic acid (HA2) were increased the plant height by 9.7% (Fig. 1A), and relative growth rate by 70.8% (Table 4), in comparison with control of humic acid of HA0 (Fig. 1A) at the same salinity level. At the same salinity level of S2, the rate of HA1 increased the leaf area by 12.45% (Fig. 1B), in comparison with HA0 (Fig. 1B) at the S2. At the medium salinity rate of S1, the treatment of HA1 achieved the highest level of plant height (Fig. 1A), leaf area (Fig. 1B), and relative growth rate (Table 4).

Table 2 Analysis of variance. Analysis of variance for effects of jasmonic acid, humic acid, salinity and their interaction on growth parameters, chlorophyll content, soluble protein, proline content, and antioxidant enzymes of forage sorghum in two growing seasons (2017–2018 and 2018–2019).

| Dependent variables           | Independent variables (F value) |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                         |  |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|
|                               | Season                          | Jasmonic acid (JA) | Salinity (S)       | JA × S             | Humic Acid (HA)    | $HA \times S$      | HA × JA            | $JA \times S \times HA$ |  |
| Plant height                  | 2018                            | 357.6**            | 7.9**              | 21.6***            | 6.9**              | 9.6***             | 3.0*               | 3.8**                   |  |
|                               | 2019                            | 6.6*               | 68.1***            | 249.3***           | 22.8***            | 3.1*               | 4.7**              | 7.4***                  |  |
| Leaf area                     | 2018                            | 452.7***           | 13.3***            | 1.3 <sup>ns</sup>  | 5.8**              | 1.4 <sup>ns</sup>  | 6.8**              | 2.9*                    |  |
|                               | 2019                            | 1.93 <sup>ns</sup> | 31.1***            | 12.5***            | 136.0**            | 7.4**              | 24.2**             | 17.7**                  |  |
| Relative growth rate          | 2018                            | 284.2**            | 17.0**             | 27.3**             | 3.7*               | 10.5**             | 1.2 <sup>ns</sup>  | 3.3**                   |  |
|                               | 2019                            | 0.41 <sup>ns</sup> | 33.5**             | 74.7**             | 3.4*               | 1.7 <sup>ns</sup>  | 2.1*               | 3.2**                   |  |
| Chlorophyll content           | 2018                            | 23.9**             | 3.26*              | 2.1 <sup>ns</sup>  | 4.9*               | 12.7**             | 7.4**              | 13.5**                  |  |
|                               | 2019                            | 8.22*              | 35.4***            | 4.22*              | 2.30 <sup>ns</sup> | 3.62*              | 0.52 <sup>ns</sup> | 1.14 <sup>ns</sup>      |  |
| Carotenoid content            | 2018                            | 16.58*             | 25.88**            | 21.44**            | 81.80**            | 17.62**            | 52.72**            | 5.59 <sup>ns</sup>      |  |
|                               | 2019                            | 6.50*              | 10.04*             | 0.69 <sup>ns</sup> | 4.59*              | 7.94*              | 3.15*              | 3.43 <sup>ns</sup>      |  |
| Soluble protein               | 2018                            | 3.14*              | 11.32**            | 2.89*              | 3.30*              | 2.28*              | 0.68*              | 2.99 <sup>ns</sup>      |  |
|                               | 2019                            | 52.03**            | 27.49**            | 3.30*              | 23.28*             | 1.54 <sup>ns</sup> | 1.67 <sup>ns</sup> | 1.59 <sup>ns</sup>      |  |
| Proline content               | 2018                            | 121.51**           | 523.95**           | 47.22**            | 73.47*             | 38.27**            | 16.60*             | 27.41*                  |  |
|                               | 2019                            | 16.08*             | 1.67 <sup>ns</sup> | 1.52 <sup>ns</sup> | 4.60*              | 2.76*              | 1.41 <sup>ns</sup> | 3.07*                   |  |
| Superoxide dismutase (SOD)    | 2018                            | 14.53*             | 0.75 <sup>ns</sup> | 1.47 <sup>ns</sup> | 8.83***            | 1.04 <sup>ns</sup> | 3.47*              | 6.64***                 |  |
|                               | 2019                            | 4.30 <sup>ns</sup> | 6.73*              | 4.61*              | 2.67*              | 3.08*              | 5.28**             | 5.36***                 |  |
| Peroxidase (POD)              | 2018                            | 3.25 <sup>ns</sup> | 16.34***           | 2.28 <sup>ns</sup> | 6.30**             | 2.12*              | 3.73*              | 2.53*                   |  |
|                               | 2019                            | 1.39 <sup>ns</sup> | 19.70***           | 5.32*              | 2.22 <sup>ns</sup> | 4.04**             | 4.74**             | 3.11**                  |  |
| Catalase (CAT)                | 2018                            | 5.81*              | 9.54**             | 12.34***           | 90.94***           | 3.92**             | 19.53**            | 9.06**                  |  |
|                               | 2019                            | 2.10 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.67 <sup>ns</sup> | 7.74**             | 32.12***           | 8.25**             | 4.82**             | 7.54**                  |  |
| Malondialdehyde content (MDA) | 2018                            | 42.07**            | 6.29*              | 8.75**             | 22.90**            | 10.25**            | 19.09**            | 16.29**                 |  |
|                               | 2019                            | 41.14**            | 7.47**             | 11.47**            | 3.49*              | 2.86*              | 2.67*              | 4.66**                  |  |
| Ascorbate peroxidase (APX)    | 2018                            | 17.33*             | 12.86*             | 1.96 <sup>ns</sup> | 19.31**            | 2.62**             | 4.79**             | 3.55**                  |  |
|                               | 2019                            | 11.40*             | 56.50**            | 0.64 <sup>ns</sup> | 8.21**             | 4.97**             | 5.19**             | 6.90 <sup>ns</sup>      |  |

#### Notes:

\* Significant differences at  $P \le 0.05$  probability level.

\*\* Significant differences at  $P \le 0.01$  probability level.

\*\*\* Significant differences at  $P \le 0.001$  probability level.

ns, no significant difference.

## The growth parameters as affected by the combination between salinity and jasmonic acid

Regarding the combination between salinity and jasmonic acid, jasmonic acid improved the plant height, leaf area and relative growth rate. Both jasmonic acid levels increased all the growth parameters. According to the results of the interaction between salinity and jasmonic acid, at the high saline rates of S2, both jasmonic acid levels of 5 and 10 mM JA significantly increased the plant height by 23.63% and 14.20% respectively (Fig. 2A), and leaf area by 10.07% and 14.71% respectively (Fig. 2B), as compared with control of salinity (S0). Under the medium salinity rate of S1, the level of 5 mM JA increased the plant height from 125.6 to 156.2 cm (Fig. 2A), and leaf area from 231.3 to 251.9 cm<sup>2</sup> (Fig. 2B).

Table 3 Interaction between salinity and humic acid on relative growth rate and APX. Analysis of variance table of the average of two seasons for the effects of jasmonic acid, humic acid, salinity and their interaction on growth parameters chlorophyll content, carotenoid contents, soluble protein, proline content, and antioxidant enzymes activities of forage sorghum.

|                         | F value            |                      |                         |                        |                       |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                         | Plant<br>height    | Leave<br>area        | Relative<br>growth rate | Chlorophyll<br>content | Carotenoid<br>content | Soluble<br>protein | Proline<br>content | SOD                | POD                | CAT                | MDA                | APX                |
| Salinity (S)            | 74.24***           | 66.87 <sup>***</sup> | 16.1***                 | 20.10**                | 6.9*                  | 7.97*              | 5.28*              | 1.56 <sup>ns</sup> | 22.44**            | 0.29 <sup>ns</sup> | 11.6*              | 25.9**             |
| Humic acid (HA)         | 22.6***            | 120.7**              | 0.1 <sup>ns</sup>       | 10.31**                | 12.3**                | 5.37*              | 12.56**            | 0.88 <sup>ns</sup> | 2.4 <sup>ns</sup>  | 4.11*              | 48.0***            | 6.34*              |
| $S \times HA$           | 7.91**             | 10.95**              | 7.5***                  | 5.99*                  | 20.6***               | 2.36 <sup>ns</sup> | 2.18 <sup>ns</sup> | $4.06^{*}$         | 11.62**            | 6.44**             | 19.8**             | 2.6*               |
| Jasmonic acid (JA)      | 342.2**            | 17.09*               | 12.1*                   | 25.09**                | 80.5***               | 3.30*              | 14.83**            | 8.11**             | 2.3 <sup>ns</sup>  | 40.96***           | 9.46*              | 23.8**             |
| $S \times JA$           | 246.0***           | 10.98***             | 4.6*                    | 8.60*                  | 41.3***               | 0.68 <sup>ns</sup> | 6.41**             | 1.74 <sup>ns</sup> | 3.32*              | 6.23***            | 13.1**             | 4.0**              |
| $HA \times JA$          | 5.37**             | 11.24 <sup>ns</sup>  | 2.5*                    | 10.11*                 | 14.2***               | 2.28*              | 0.88 <sup>ns</sup> | 2.69*              | 2.21*              | 8.33***            | 2.44 <sup>ns</sup> | 6.5 <sup>ns</sup>  |
| $S \times HA \times JA$ | 0.85 <sup>ns</sup> | 1.90 <sup>ns</sup>   | 0.9 <sup>ns</sup>       | 1.14 <sup>ns</sup>     | 3.2 <sup>ns</sup>     | 0.99 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.37 <sup>ns</sup> | 1.67 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.95 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.63 <sup>ns</sup> | 8.21 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.84 <sup>ns</sup> |

#### Notes:

\* Significant differences at  $P \le 0.05$  probability level.

\*\* Significant differences at  $P \le 0.01$  probability level.

\*\*\* Significant differences at  $P \le 0.001$  probability level.

ns, no significant difference; SOD, superoxide dismutase; POD, peroxidase; CAT, catalase; MDA, malondialdehyde content; and APX, ascorbate peroxidase.



**Figure 1** The interaction between salinity and humic acid on plant height and leave area. Plant height (A) and leaf area (B) of forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) variety Abu Sabeein as effluence by the interaction between different salinity levels S0 = 0, S1 = 2 and S2 = 4 g NaCl kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil and different humic acid rates (HA0 = 0, HA1 = 3 and HA2 = 6 g HA kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil). Data were averaged over two growing seasons. The values of each trait labeled by different letters indicate significant differences separated by the LSD test (*P* < 0.05).

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.13793/fig-1

## Photosynthetic pigments as affected by the combination between salinity and humic acid

Chlorophyll content was significantly decreased with increased soil salinity. However, increased salinity rate significantly increased carotenoid content. In the interaction between salinity and humic acid, at the control of humic acid (HA0), the high soil salinity rate of S2 decreased the chlorophyll content by 43.4% (Fig. 2A) in comparison with S0 at the same rate of humic acid (HA0). Moreover, at the same rate of humic acid (HA0), the high salinity rate of S2 recorded the highest carotenoid content (2.05 mg g<sup>-1</sup> FW), while S0 (control of salinity) showed the lowest value (1.65 mg g<sup>-1</sup> FW) (Fig. 2B). The chlorophyll

Table 4 Interaction between humic and salinity on plant height, relative growth rate, total chlorophyll content and carotenoid content. The average of two season of relative growth rate and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) activity of forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) variety Abu Sabeein as effected by the interaction between different salinity levels (S0 (0 g NaCl kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil), S1 (2 g NaCl kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil) and S2 (4 g NaCl kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil)) and different humic acid rates (0 (HA0), 3 (HA1), and 6 g HA kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil (HA2)).

| Salinity rates | Humic acid rates | Relative growth rate | APX (U $g^{-1}$ min <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|----------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| SO             | HA0              | 3.65 ± 0.48cd        | 51.68 ± 18.53d                      |
|                | HA1              | 4.45 ± 1.20ab        | 91.66 ± 38.52a                      |
|                | HA2              | 4.65 ± 1.28a         | $77.81 \pm 24.00b$                  |
| S1             | HA0              | $3.02 \pm 0.81e$     | $46.27 \pm 8.87 g$                  |
|                | HA1              | $4.27 \pm 1.12b$     | 67.96 ± 31.60e                      |
|                | HA2              | $3.85 \pm 0.81c$     | $72.27 \pm 19.70c$                  |
| S3             | HA0              | $2.02 \pm 0.69 f$    | $39.72 \pm 14.70h$                  |
|                | HA1              | $3.00 \pm 1.19e$     | $54.79 \pm 12.13 f$                 |
|                | HA2              | 3.45 ± 1.49d         | 33.35 ± 14.55i                      |

Note:

All analyzed data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD values of three biological replicates per treatment. Within the same parameter, means followed by different letters are statistically different at the 0.05 probability level. Means separated by the LSD test.



**Figure 2** The interaction between salinity and jasmonic acid on plant height and leave area. Plant height (A) and leaf area (B) of forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) variety Abu Sabeein as effluence by the interaction between different salinity levels S0 = 0, S1 = 2 and S2 = 4 g NaCl kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil and different jasmonic acid levels. Data were averaged over two growing seasons. The values of each trait labeled by different letters indicate significant differences separated by the LSD test (P < 0.05). Full-size  $\square$  DOI: 10.7717/peerj.13793/fig-2

content and carotenoid content were improved by humic acid application. At the high salinity rate of S2, the treatment of HA1 increased the chlorophyll content by 22.3% (Fig. 3A), and carotenoid content by 38.1% (Fig. 3B), in compared with control. At the rate of S1, the highest value of chlorophyll and carotenoid content were recorded at the treatment HA2 (Figs. 2A and 2B respectively).



Figure 3 The interaction between salinity and humic acid on total chlorophyll content and carotenoid content. Total chlorophyll content (A) and carotenoid content (B) of forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) variety Abu Sabeein as effluence by the interaction between different salinity levels S0 = 0, S1 = 2 and S2 = 4 g NaCl kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil and different humic acid rates (HA0 = 0, HA1 = 3 and HA2 = 6 g HA kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil). Data were averaged over two growing seasons. The values of each trait labeled by different letters indicate significant differences separated by the LSD test (P < 0.05). Full-size  $\square$  DOI: 10.7717/peerj.13793/fig-3

## Photosynthetic pigments, soluble protein and proline content as affected by the combination between salinity and jasmonic acid

Soluble protein and proline content were significantly decreased with increased salinity rates. In the interaction between salinity and jasmonic acid, at the control of jasmonic acid (0 mM JA), the high salinity rate of S2 reduced the proline content by 54.6% (Fig. 4C), in comparison to control of salinity (S0) at the control of jasmonic acid (0 mM JA). Moreover, at the same rate of jasmonic acid (0 mM JA), the high salinity rate of S2 increased soluble protein content by 26.2% (Fig. 4D). Jasmonic acid improved the soluble protein, proline content, chlorophyll content and carotenoid content. According to the results of the interaction between salinity and jasmonic acid, at high saline rate of S2, 5 mM JA level increased the chlorophyll content and soluble protein content by 48.2% (Fig. 4A) and 4.5% (Fig. 4C) respectively, in comparison with control of salinity. At the same salinity rate, the level of 10 mM JA were increased the carotenoid content by 10. 7% (Fig. 4B), and proline content by 58.5% (Fig. 4D) as compared with the 10 mM JA at the same salinity rate.

## Growth parameters and photosynthetic pigments as affected by the combination of jasmonic acid and humic acid

The growth parameters (plant height and relative growth rate) and photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll content and carotenoid) were affected by the interaction between jasmonic acid and humic acid (Table 3). According to the results of the interaction between jasmonic acid and humic acid, the treatment with the high rate of humic acid of HA2 and with 5 mM JA achieved the highest plant height (263.6 cm) and relative growth rate (5.3). However, the treatment of HA1 + 5 mM JA were recorded the highest chlorophyll content (3.53 mg g<sup>-1</sup> FW) and carotenoid content (1.77 mg g<sup>-1</sup> FW) (Table 5).

## **Peer**J



Figure 4 The interaction between salinity and jasmonic acid on total chlorophyll content, carotenoid content, soluble protein content and proline content. Total chlorophyll content (A), carotenoid content (B), soluble protein (C) and proline content (D) of forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) variety Abu Sabeein as effluence by the interaction between different salinity levels S0 = 0, S1 = 2 and S2 = 4 g NaCl kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil and different jasmonic acid levels. Data were averaged over two growing seasons. The values of each trait labeled by different letters indicate significant differences separated by the LSD test (P < 0.05). Full-size  $\square$  DOI: 10.7717/peerj.13793/fig-4

## Antioxidants enzyme activities as affected by the interaction between salinity and humic acid

Salinity stress significantly reduced antioxidant enzyme activities except SOD activity and MDA content. However, increased salinity rate significantly increased SOD activity and MDA content. In the interaction between salinity and humic acid, at the control of humic acid (HA0), high salinity rate of S2 decreased POD activity by 33.8% (Fig. 5A), CAT by 40.1% (Fig. 5D), and APX by 36.4% (Table 4) over the control (S0) at HA0. Moreover, at the same rate of humic acid (HA0), as compared with S0, S2 increased SOD activity from 14.3 to 17.0 U g<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 5A) and MDA content from 5.7 to 9.8 µmol/g FW (Fig. 5B). The activities of antioxidant enzymes were enhanced and increased by humic acid application. At S2 salinity rate, the medium rate of humic acid of HA1 increased SOD activity by 20.9% (Fig. 5A), MDA content by 18.0% (Fig. 5B), POD activity by 24.6%

Table 5 Interaction between humic acid and jasmonic acid on soluble protein content and most antioxidants enzyme activities traits. The average of two season of plant height, relative growth rate, chlorophyll content and carotenoid content of forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) variety Abu Sabeein as effluence by the interaction between different jasmonic acid levels (0, 5 and 10 mM JA as a foliar application) with different humic acid rates (0 (HA0), 3 (HA1), and 6 g HA kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil (HA2)).

| Humic acid rates | Jasmonic acid levels | Plant height<br>(cm) | Relative growth rate | Chlorophyll<br>content (mg g <sup>-1</sup> FW) | Carotenoid content<br>(mg g <sup>-1</sup> FW) |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|---|
| HA 0             | 0 mM                 | 193.39 ± 18.92e      | 2.79 ± 0.43ed        | $2.33 \pm 0.43e$                               | 0.96 ± 0.14ef                                 |
|                  | 5 mM                 | $226.93 \pm 20.47 d$ | $4.54\pm0.78b$       | 2.77 ± 0.56d                                   | 1.14 ± 24cd                                   |
|                  | 10 mM                | $178.90 \pm 27.46f$  | $3.91\pm0.54c$       | $2.80 \pm 0.38$ cd                             | 1.13 ± 0.16cd                                 |
| HA1              | 0 mM                 | 231.38 ± 29.16d      | 2.63 ± 0.41d         | 2.81 ± 0.74cd                                  | $0.81 \pm 0.14 \mathrm{f}$                    |
|                  | 5 mM                 | $227.99 \pm 32.64d$  | $3.79\pm0.98c$       | $3.53\pm0.23a$                                 | $1.77 \pm 1.25a$                              |
|                  | 10 mM                | 192.41 ± 22.67e      | 2.86 ± 0.21d         | $3.03 \pm 0.64 bc$                             | $1.23 \pm 0.24c$                              |
| HA2              | 0 mM                 | $254.30 \pm 27.99b$  | $3.94\pm0.85c$       | 2.74 ± 0.59d                                   | 1.00 ± 0.18de                                 |
|                  | 5 mM                 | $263.56 \pm 22.05a$  | $5.28\pm0.65a$       | $2.99 \pm 0.42 bc$                             | $1.51 \pm 0.33b$                              |
|                  | 10 mM                | 240.37 ±27.68c       | 4.99 ± 0.65ab        | 3.21 ± 0.59b                                   | $1.20 \pm 0.20c$                              |

Note:

All analyzed data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD values of three biological replicates per treatment. Within the same parameter, means followed by different letters are statistically different at the 0.05 probability level. Means separated by the LSD test.

(Fig. 5C), and APX activity by 21.7 (Table 4), in comparison to control of humic acid (HA0). At the same salinity level of S2, the HA2 treatment increased the CAT activity by 45.5% (Fig. 5D) over the control of humic acid at the same salinity.

## Antioxidants enzyme activities as affected by interaction between salinity and jasmonic acid

Regarding the combination between salinity and jasmonic acid, both jasmonic acid levels improved the antioxidants enzyme activities. At the S2 salinity rate, 5 and 10 mM jasmonic acid increased MDA content by 20.7% and 43.2% respectively (Fig. 6A), POD activity by 29.7% and 47.5% respectively (Fig. 6B), CAT activity by 22.6% and 51.8% respectively (Fig. 6C), and APX activity by 67.8% and 22.6% respectively (Fig. 6D) in comparison with control of jasmonic acid (0 mM JA) at high salinity rate. At the S1 salinity level, 5 mM JA were more effective on the antioxidants enzyme activities except for APX activity. As compared with 0 mM JA at the S1 salinity level, 5 mM JA increased MDA content from 6.8 to 8.6  $\mu$ mol/g FW (Fig. 6A), POD activity from 52.9 to 58.8 U g<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 6B), and CAT activity from 21.2 to 28.2 U g<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 6C), while 10 mM JA increased the APX activity from 6.8 to 8.6 U g<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 6D).

## Soluble protein and antioxidants enzyme activities as affected by the combination of jasmonic acid and humic acid

Soluble protein and antioxidants enzyme activities such as SOD, POD and CAT activities were significantly affected by the combination between jasmonic acid and humic acid (Table 3). In the interaction between jasmonic acid and humic acid, sorghum plants treated with HA1 + 5 mM JA achieved the highest soluble protein content (147.4 mg g<sup>-1</sup> FW) and CAT activity (40.6 U g<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>). However, sorghum plants treated with



**Figure 5** The interaction between salinity and jasmonic acid on SOD, MDA, POD and CAT activities. Superoxide dismutase (SOD) (A), malondialdehyde (MDA) (B), peroxidase (POD) (C) and catalase (CAT) (D) activities of forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) variety Abu Sabeein as effluence by the interaction between different salinity levels (S0 = 0, S1 = 2 and S2 = 4 g NaCl kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil) and different humic acid rates (HA0 = 0, HA1 = 3 and HA2 = 6 g HA kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil). Data were averaged over two growing seasons. The values of each trait labeled by different letters indicate significant differences separated by the LSD test (P < 0.05). Full-size  $\square$  DOI: 10.7717/peerj.13793/fig-5

HA2 + 10 mM JA recorded the highest SOD activity (25. 7 U  $g^{-1} min^{-1}$ ) and POD activity by 66.7 U  $g^{-1} min^{-1}$ . On the other hand, the treatment of JA0 + HA0 recorded the lowest content of soluble protein and the lowest activities of most antioxidants enzymes (Table 6).

## DISCUSSION

When plants are grown under saline stress conditions and during the elongation process of new plant cells, the excess of salts modifies the cell wall's metabolic activities, causing the deposition of various materials that limit cell wall elasticity. Cell walls become rigid, and consequently, the turgor pressure efficiency in cell enlargement decreased (*Ali et al., 2004*). The management of saline soils is considered a major challenge due to its unfavorable physical and chemical properties and low soil microbial activity, which lead to a reduction in soil quality and crop productivity (*Ali et al., 2021, 2022*).

<u>'eer</u>

## Peer\_



Figure 6 The interaction between salinity and jasmonic acid on MDA, POD, CAT and APX activities. Malondialdehyde (MDA) (A), peroxidase (POD) (B), catalase (CAT) (C) and ascorbate peroxidase (D) (APX) activities of forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) variety Abu Sabeein as effluence by the interaction between different salinity levels S0 = 0, S1 = 2 and S2 = 4 g NaCl kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil and different jasmonic acid levels. Data were averaged over two growing seasons. The values of each trait labeled by different letters indicate significant differences separated by the LSD test (P < 0.05). Full-size  $\square$  DOI: 10.7717/peerj.13793/fig-6

Table 6 The interaction between different jasmonic acid levels (0, 5 and 10 mM JA as a foliar application) with different humic acid rates (0 (HA0), 3 (HA1), and 6 g HA kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil (HA2)). The average of two season of soluble protein and most antioxidants enzyme activities of forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) variety Abu Sabeein as effluence by the interaction between different jasmonic acid levels (0, 5 and 10 mM JA as a foliar application) with different humic acid rates (0 (HA0), 3 (HA1), and 6 g HA kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil (HA2)).

| Humic acid rates | Jasmonic acid levels | Soluble protein<br>(mg g <sup>-1</sup> FW) | $\begin{array}{l} \text{SOD} \\ \text{(U } \text{g}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1} \text{)} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l} \text{POD} \\ \text{(U } \text{g}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1} \text{)} \end{array}$ | CAT<br>(U g <sup>-1</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|------------------|----------------------|--|---|---|---|
| HA 0             | 0 mM                 | 103.0 ± 07.61g                             | $13.52 \pm 0.97 g$  | $34.93\pm08.44f$  | 19.45 ± 1.32g                                 |
|                  | 5 mM                 | $110.9 \pm 04.22 f$                        | $16.52 \pm 1.74 f$  | $43.43 \pm 10.50e$  | 26.38 ± 1.91de                                |
|                  | 10 mM                | $118.9 \pm 05.87e$                         | 17.38 ± 2.37ef  | $58.70 \pm 21.19c$  | $21.27 \pm 3.53$ fg                           |
| HA1              | 0 mM                 | 125.8 ± 06.26cd                            | 18.58 ± 2.47de  | 50.55 ± 09.90cd   | 23.94 ± 3.90ef                                |
|                  | 5 mM                 | $147.4 \pm 14.88a$                         | $20.59 \pm 2.32c$   | $62.78 \pm 20.85 ab$  | $40.57 \pm 10.79a$                            |
|                  | 10 mM                | 139.1 ± 22.39b                             | 19.13 ± 2.55 d  | 50.95 ± 13.77cd   | $32.78 \pm 5.92c$                             |
| HA2              | 0 mM                 | 127.6 ± 11.04cd                            | $19.65 \pm 3.40c$   | 62.78 ± 11.66b  | 27.77 ± 3.30d                                 |
|                  | 5 mM                 | $132.0 \pm 07.75c$                         | $23.15 \pm 2.49b$   | 65.53 ± 21.49ab   | 35.57 ± 7.29bc                                |
|                  | 10 mM                | 123.5 ± 07.26de                            | 25.65 ± 3.40a   | 66.68 ± 23.92a  | 36.90 ± 7.50b                                 |

Note:

All analyzed data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD values of three biological replicates per treatment. Within the same parameter, means followed by different letters are statistically different the 0.05 probability level. Means separated by the LSD test.

#### **Growth parameters**

In the current study, NaCl salinity stress significantly inhibited plant growth traits of forage sorghum, including plant height, leaf area, and relative growth rate. The inhibition in the growth parameters may be due to osmotic impacts of salt stress or increments in growth retardants, water imbalance (*Taha et al., 2020*), ions toxicity, decreased nutrient absorption, reduced internode elongation and length (*Ali et al., 2022*), and formation of new apical tissues (*Ibrahim et al., 2016b*). The contrary results were reported by *Qados* (*2011*) noted that the plant height significantly was increased at the low salinity levels. However, similar findings were reported by *Taffouo et al. (2010)* on cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.), *Ali et al. (2021)* on sorghum seedling, and *Taha et al. (2021b)* on wheat plant.

In this study, leaf area was decreased with increasing salinity stress. The decrease in leaf area under salinity stress has been attributed to suppressed cell division, the shrinkage of the cell contents leading to reduced development and differentiation of tissues, unbalanced nutrition, and disturbed avoidance mechanism (*Katerji et al., 2003*). In this regard, *Taha et al. (2020)* reported that under saline conditions all growth characteristics including leaf area of soybean plants were significantly lower than those of plants grown in normal soils. The decrease in leaf area has primarily been a result of the inhibition of owing to Na accumulation, cell growth and division (*Al Ashkar et al., 2020*). Our findings agreed with those of *Omer & Abdalla (2017)* and *Badawy et al. (2021)*, who reported a negative correlation between salinity and leaf area.

Relative growth rate depends on canopy photosynthesis per area of land. In the present study, the relative growth rate of salt-stressed plants in the high salinity treatment was lower than that of the other salinity treatments. Decreases in plant growth under salinity stress might be endorsed to the reduction in water absorption due to reduced osmotic pressure in the soil solution (*Taha et al., 2021a*). Our results disagreed with those of *Rasmuson & Anderson (2002)* who mentioned that the salinity stress increased the relative growth rate. These results confirm by *Seleiman et al. (2020)* reported that relative growth rate was markedly decreased under high NaCl salinity stress.

Plant hormones play essential roles in stress responses and adaptation. It is clearly defined that jasmonic acid (JA) increased in response to salinity (*Verma, Ravindran & Kumar, 2016*). In this study, growth parameters were increased with the application of jasmonic acid (JA) and humic acid (HA) under both saline and non-saline conditions. This can be due to the mitigation of adverse effects of salinity stress on plant height, leaf area and relative growth rate when JA and HA were applied (*Ali et al., 2020*). This results agreed with *Alavi-Samani, Kachouei & Pirbalouti (2015)* who reported that JA application recorded the highest plant height and leaf area at the high salinity stress.

Organic fertilizer application such as vermicompost and humic acid were optimal for improving soil properties because of the increased organic matter, nutrient contents, the vital role of soil enzyme action, improved soil aeration, and enhanced microbial action in soil, thus improving soil physical and chemical properties (*Ding et al., 2021*). Humic acid (HA) can improve plant growth by increasing cell membrane permeability, which can

promote water absorption and nutrients uptake (*Ali et al., 2020*). In this study, the results revealed that, under different salinity levels, soil amendment with humic acid improved growth traits such as plant height, leaf area, and relative growth rate under salinity stress conditions. The increase in the plant height in the HA amended treatments was most probably due to the root zone improved by humic acid (*Ding et al., 2021*). Similar impacts were shown by *Heidari & Minaei* (2014), *Ghorbani et al.* (2010), and *Haghighi, Saki-Nejad & Lack* (2011), who reported that HA application had remarkable effects on vegetative growth and increased plant height, relative growth rate, leaf area and photosynthetic activity.

### Total chlorophyll content and carotenoid content

The chlorophyll content is widely used as an index to indicate the abiotic tolerance level in plants. Protection of chloroplast and photosynthetic machinery is the first target of defense under stressful conditions (*Anjum et al., 2011*). In this study, total chlorophyll content decreased with increasing salinity levels. The negative impact of high NaCl salt stress on chlorophyll content might be caused by inhibited nutrient uptake (*Seleiman et al., 2020*), inhibited biosynthesis of chlorophyll, and increased chlorophyll-degrading enzyme chlorophyllase (*Taha et al., 2021a*). Similar results were showed by *Latif & Mohamed* (*2016*) in common bean and *Akladious & Mohamed* (*2018*) in the pepper plants. The reduction in chlorophyll content under salt stress may be due to the reduction in carbon use efficiency and uptake of minerals such as Mg and Fe (*Latif & Mohamed, 2016*).

In the present study, jasmonic acid application increased the total chlorophyll content and carotenoid content under saline conditions, which is one of the factors contributing to higher photosynthetic of forage sorghum plants under salinity conditions. Our results were similar to those of *Sofy et al. (2020)*, who mentioned that the application of JA improved the accumulation of photosynthetic pigments under abiotic stress conditions. This may be due to the protective role of JA, which can enhance photosynthesis and the absorption of important minerals under abiotic stress. These results suggested that exogenous JA treatment could alleviate salinity stress, allowing plants to increase their tolerance to unfavorable conditions.

The stimulation in photosynthetic pigments caused by humic acid caused may be due to the decrease of pH value and increase in the activity of soil organisms which release more nutrients from the soil such as Fe (*Latif & Mohamed*, 2016). In the present study, under salinity conditions, application of humic acid improved the total chlorophyll content and carotenoid content. These results have been confirmed by *Akladious & Mohamed* (2018) and *Kaya et al.* (2018). It has also been confirmed that HA application can enhance photosynthesis activity like chlorophyll and increase tolerance in stress conditions by increasing the enzyme rubisco (*Latif & Mohamed*, 2016).

#### Soluble protein and proline content

Improving soluble protein and proline content are an important mechanism that plants use to alleviate the harmful effect of salinity stress (*Hatami et al., 2018*). In the present investigation, soluble protein was increased at the high salinity level and subsequently

decreased at the control of salinity level. This result were contradicted with the results of *Ibrahim et al. (2018)* and *Dustgeer et al. (2021)* who noted that under salinity stress, the soluble protein was significantly reduced. The reduction in soluble protein under salinity may be due to decreased potassium content, reduction in sodium content, protease enzyme activity, and hydrolysis of the rubisco enzyme (*Hatami et al., 2018*). In the present study, proline content was decreased by increasing salinity levels. This result agreed with the results of *Zrig et al. (2015)* and *Nimir et al. (2015)*, but disagreed with the findings of *Qiu et al. (2014)* who reported that salinity stress significantly increased the proline content. The varied changes in the downregulation and upregulation of the contents of soluble protein and proline in different studies are caused by many reasons, including different crops studied, genetic variance in the same crops, and the levels of salt applied.

In the study, humic acid improved the contents of soluble protein and proline of forage sorghum. Our results were dissimilar with *Farahat, Mazhar & Mahgoub, (2012)* who reported that humic acid significantly decreased proline content. However, our results are same as *Kumari et al. (2006)* who reported that humic acid improved the proline content. The increase in proline content might be due to a rapid accumulation of a specific protein set. In the present investigation, HA improved the protein content. Similar findings were reported by *Fernández et al. (2013)* and *Hatami et al. (2018)*.

Jasmonic acid can protect the plant from toxicity ions in the different stages by managing the antioxidant machinery and synthesis of proteins (*Sirhindi et al., 2015*). In the study, jasmonic acid increased the contents of soluble protein and proline of forage sorghum. An increased in proline contents in comparison with the control by jasmonic acid was also reported by *Ali et al. (2020)*. However, different results were noted by *Farhangi & Ghassemi (2018)* which reported that jasmonic acid reduced proline content under salt stress in the wheat.

#### Superoxide dismutase and malondialdehyde contents

Superoxide dismutase (SOD) is one of the enzymes responsible for eliminating  $O_2^-$  and is considered an essential antioxidant in cells. In our study, high salinity stress increased SOD activity and MDA content. The increased in SOD activity coincided with an increasing in the activities of Mn-SOD and Fe-SOD (*Anjum et al., 2011*). These results were contrary to *Sekmen et al. (2012)* who reported that the SOD activity was decreased under salinity stress in *Gypsophila oblanceolate* plant. Similar results were noticed by *Ali et al. (2020)*, and *Nimir et al. (2015)* who suggested that under soil saline conditions, MDA content was substantially increased by increasing soil salinity.

Our study showed that significant increases in SOD activity and MDA content were observed in forage sorghum plants treated with JA. The increase in SOD activity agreed with the results of *Anjum et al.* (2011), *Noriega et al.* (2012) and *Ali et al.* (2019) who reported that exogenous JA application significantly improved SOD activity and MDA content under salinity stress. However, the increase in MDA content under salinity stress by jasmonic acid differed from the findings of *Qiu et al.* (2014).

In our results, the application of HA under saline condition increased SOD activity and MDA content. Different result was showed by *Kaya et al. (2018)* who reported that HA

reduced the activity of SOD in the maize plant under NaCl salinity stress. Similar results were reported by *Kesba & El Beltagi (2012)* who found that HA increased the antioxidant enzyme activity, including SOD activity in response to salinity stress. Similar results were reported by *Gautam & Singh (2011)* who found that MDA content under NaCl-stressed plants was increased significantly by applying humic acid. *Ali et al. (2019)* noted that humic acid and jasmonic acid application increased antioxidant enzyme activities including CAT, POD and SOD in sorghum seedling.

### Catalase and peroxidase activity

Enhancement of the activities of antioxidative enzymes in plants under saline conditions could improve the protecting mechanism to decrease adverse impacts by salt stress. In this study, soil saline stress caused reductions in CAT and POD activities. Reduced CAT activity under salinity stress might have promoted  $H_2O_2$  accumulation, which could result in a Haber–Weiss reaction from hydroxyl radicals (*Ali et al., 2021*). The decrease in POD and CAT activities in our study confirmed with the results of *Qiu et al. (2014)* and *Sekmen et al. (2012)*. However, a disconfirmed result has been shown by *Ibrahim et al. (2018)* and *Ibrahim et al. (2016a)* on wheat plants treated with soil salinity, reporting that POD and CAT activities increased under NaCl stress.

In this study, foliar application of jasmonic acid improved CAT and POD activities in the leaves of forage sorghum plants under soil saline conditions. Our result was similar to the findings of Anjum et al. (2011), who suggested that the wheat and soybean plants exposed to salinity and treatment with JA application significantly increased the antioxidant enzyme activities including CAT and POD and played an essential role in antioxidant defense required for salt tolerance. In addition, Farhangi & Ghassemi (2018) noted that the treatment of soybean plants under salt stress by salicylic acid and jasmonic acid promoted the antioxidant enzyme activities. In this study, the application of HA improved plant defense systems such as POD and CAT in the forage sorghum plants, and the both rates increased POD and CAT activities. Many studies have also noted that the POD and CAT activities increased by application of HA, and it efficient in improving tolerance of salt in cucumber (Karakurt et al., 2015), date palm (Phoenix dactylifera L.), and hot pepper (Aminifard et al., 2012). However, our result differed from the findings of Kaya et al. (2018) who found that the humic acid application decreased CAT and POD activities under salt stress. Ali et al. (2019) reported that CAT and SOD activities increased after application of jasmonic acid and humic acid under salt stress.

## Ascorbate peroxidase activity

Under salt stress, the APX plays an essential role in protecting plants by reducing  $H_2O_2$  (*Anjum et al., 2011*). Our study showed that increasing salinity level reduced APX activity. Similar result was reported by *Qiu et al. (2014)*, *Ali et al. (2021)* and *Sekmen et al. (2012)*. However, different results were noted by *Ozdener & Kutbay (2008)* and *Farhangi & Ghassemi (2018)* who reported that salinity stress reduced APX activity. In the aforementioned results, the application of jasmonic acid and humic acid enhanced the antioxidant enzyme activities including APX activity under salinity condition. Increased

APX activity by HA application has been reported in maize plants exposed to salinity (*Kaya et al., 2018*). *Kesba & El Beltagi (2012)* also reported that HA improved APX activity response to salinity stress. Our results showed that exogenous JA significantly increased APX activity. *Ali et al. (2019)* reported that APX and SOD activities were increased by the application of jasmonic acid and humic acid under salt stress. Our work was in line with the findings of *Kaya & Doganlar (2016)*, who suggested that exogenous JA increased APX activity in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) plants under salt stress. Also, *Piotrowska et al. (2009)* report that APX activity we increased in the plants treated with JA exposed to Pb stress. Moreover, exogenous JA induced the synthesis of antioxidant metabolites that provided additional resistance to neutralize the toxic effects of ROS generated by salt stress (*Qiu et al., 2014*).

## **CONCLUSIONS**

Our study examined the effects of different rates of humic acid as soil amendment and jasmonic acid as foliar spray on growth parameters, total chlorophyll content, carotenoid content and antioxidant enzyme activities of forage sorghum exposed to salinity. High soil salinity rate of 4 g NaCl kg<sup>-1</sup> soil decreased all the parameters tested, except for protein content, SOD activity, and MDA content. Jasmonic acid significantly improved salt stress tolerance in forage sorghum plants; and the plants sprayed with 10 mM JA level had higher POD and SOD activities. Among different humic acid rates, 3 g HA kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil successfully increased all the parameters tested. Generally, 10 mM JA and 3 g HA kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil produced the best positive effects on forage sorghum plants physiological responses. Our study suggested that proper management of humic acid as soil amendment and jasmonic acid as foliar spray could be conducted in salt-affected soils to sustain forage growth and increase crop yield and productivity of forage sorghum.

## **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

## Funding

The authors received no funding for this work.

## **Competing Interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## **Author Contributions**

- Adam Yousif Adam Ali conceived and designed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Guisheng Zhou conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, writing review and editing, visualization, supervision, project administration and funding acquisition, and approved the final draft.
- Aboagla Mohammed Elsiddig conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.

- Guanglong Zhu conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Tianyao Meng conceived and designed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, review the manuscript and formal analysis, and approved the final draft.
- Xiurong Jiao conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Irshad Ahmed conceived and designed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Ebtehal Gabralla Ibrahim Salih conceived and designed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Muhi Eldeen Hussien Ibrahim conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.

## **Data Availability**

The following information was supplied regarding data availability: The raw data are available in the Supplemental File.

## **Supplemental Information**

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.13793#supplemental-information.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmad P, Rasool S, Gul A, Sheikh SA, Akram NA, Ashraf M, Kazi AM, Gucel S. 2016. Jasmonates: multifunctional roles in stress tolerance. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7:813 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2016.00813.
- Akladious SA, Mohamed HI. 2018. Ameliorative effects of calcium nitrate and humic acid on the growth, yield component and biochemical attribute of pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) plants grown under salt stress. *Scientia Horticulturae* 236(11):244–250 DOI 10.1016/j.scienta.2018.03.047.
- Al Ashkar I, Alderfasi A, Ben Romdhane W, Seleiman MF, El-Said RA, Al-Doss A. 2020. Morphological and genetic diversity within salt tolerance detection in eighteen wheat genotypes. *Plants* 9(3):287 DOI 10.3390/plants9030287.
- Alavi-Samani SM, Kachouei MA, Pirbalouti AG. 2015. Growth, yield, chemical composition, and antioxidant activity of essential oils from two thyme species under foliar application of jasmonic acid and water deficit conditions. *Horticulture, Environment, and Biotechnology* 56:411–420 DOI 10.1007/s13580-015-0117-y.
- Ali Y, Aslam Z, Ashraf M, Tahir G. 2004. Effect of salinity on chlorophyll concentration, leaf area, yield and yield components of rice genotypes grown under saline environment. *International Journal of Environmental Science & Technology* 1(3):221–225 DOI 10.1007/BF03325836.
- Ali AYA, Ibrahim MEH, Zhou G, Nimir NEA, Elsiddig AMI, Jiao X, Zhu G, Salih EGI, Suliman MSES, Elradi SBM. 2021. Gibberellic acid and nitrogen efficiently protect early seedlings growth stage from salt stress damage in Sorghum. *Scientific Reports* 11(1):1–11 DOI 10.1038/s41598-021-84713-9.

- Ali AYA, Ibrahim MEH, Zhou G, Nimir NEA, Jiao X, Zhu G, Elsiddig A, Suliman MSE, Elradi SBM, Yue W. 2020. Exogenous jasmonic acid and humic acid increased salinity tolerance of sorghum. *Agronomy Journal* 112(2):871–884 DOI 10.1002/agj2.20072.
- Ali AYA, Ibrahim MEH, Zhou G, Nimir NEA, Jiao X, Zhu G, Elsiddig AMI, Zhi W, Chen X, Lu H. 2019. Ameliorative effects of jasmonic acid and humic acid on antioxidant enzymes and salt tolerance of forage sorghum under salinity conditions. *Agronomy Journal* 111(6):3099–3108 DOI 10.2134/agronj2019.05.0347.
- Ali AYA, Ibrahim MEH, Zhou G, Zhu G, Elsiddig AMI. 2022. Interactive impacts of soil salinity and jasmonic acid and humic acid on growth parameters, forage yield and photosynthesis parameters of sorghum plants. *South African Journal of Botany* 146:293–303 DOI 10.1016/j.sajb.2021.10.027.
- Aminifard MH, Aroiee H, Azizi M, Nemati H, Jaafar HZE. 2012. Effect of humic acid on antioxidant activities and fruit quality of hot pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Journal of Herbs*, *Spices & Medicinal Plants* 18(4):360–369 DOI 10.1080/10496475.2012.713905.
- Anjum SA, Wang L, Farooq M, Khan I, Xue L. 2011. Methyl jasmonate-induced alteration in lipid peroxidation, antioxidative defence system and yield in soybean under drought. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 197(4):296–301 DOI 10.1111/j.1439-037X.2011.00468.x.
- Badawy SA, Zayed BA, Bassiouni S, Mahdi AH, Majrashi A, Ali EF, Seleiman MF. 2021. Influence of nano silicon and nano selenium on root characters, growth, ion selectivity, yield, and yield components of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under salinity conditions. *Plants* 10(8):1657 DOI 10.3390/plants10081657.
- Bates LS, Waldren RP, Teare I. 1973. Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. *Plant and Soil* 39(1):205–207 DOI 10.1007/BF00018060.
- **Bradford MM. 1976.** A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Biochemistry* **72(1–2)**:248–254 DOI 10.1006/abio.1976.9999.
- Ding Z, Kheir AM, Ali OA, Hafez EM, ElShamey EA, Zhou Z, Wang B, Lin X, Ge Y, Fahmy AE, Seleiman M. 2021. A vermicompost and deep tillage system to improve saline-sodic soil quality and wheat productivity. *Journal of Environmental Management* 277:111388 DOI 10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111388.
- dos Santos Soares AM, de Souza TF, Jacinto T, Machado OLT. 2010. Effect of methyl jasmonate on antioxidative enzyme activities and on the contents of ROS and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in Ricinus communis leaves. *Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology* 22(3):151–158 DOI 10.1590/S1677-04202010000300001.
- Dustgeer Z, Seleiman MF, Imran K, Chattha MU, Al Hammad BA, Jalal R, Refay Y, Hassan MU. 2021. Glycine-betaine induced salinity tolerance in maize by regulating the physiological attributes, antioxidant defense system and ionic homeostasis. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca* **49(1)**:12248 DOI 10.15835/nbha49112248.
- **Ebrahimi M, Miri E. 2016.** Effect of humic acid on seed germination and seedling growth of Borago officinalis and Cichorium intybus. *Ecopersia* **4**(1):1239–1249 DOI 10.18869/modares.ecopersia.4.1.1239.
- Farahat MM, Mazhar AAM, Mahgoub MH. 2012. Response of Khaya senegalensis seedlings to irrigation intervals and foliar application of humic acid. *Journal of Horticultural Science & Ornamental Plants* 4:292–298 DOI 10.5829/idosi.jhsop.2012.4.3.259.
- Farhangi AS, Ghassemi GK. 2018. How can salicylic acid and jasmonic acid mitigate salt toxicity in soybean plants. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 147(2018):1010–1016 DOI 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.09.070.

- Fernández MBM, Cosio-Vargas LE, Montero DC, García AC, López DM. 2013. Potentiality of vermicompost humic acids in banana in vitro micropropagation clone: Enano Guantanamero. *Journal of Environmental Science and Engineering* A2(11A):677–685.
- Freed R, Eisensmith SP, Everson E, Weber M, Paul E. 1991. *MSTAT-C: a microcomputer program* for the design, management, and analysis of agronomic research experiments. East Lansing, MI: Michigan State University.
- Gautam S, Singh PK. 2011. Effects of salicylate on growth and biochemical changes in maize seedlings under salt stress. *IIOAB Journal* 2:16–20.
- Ghorbani S, Khazaei HR, Kafi M, Bannayan AM. 2010. Effects of humic acid application with irrigation water on yield and yield components of corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Agroecology* 2(1):123–131 DOI 10.9734/jalsi/2020/v23i1130196.
- Haghighi S, Saki-Nejad T, Lack SH. 2011. Evaluation of changes the qualitative & quantitative yield of horse been (*Vicia faba* L.) plants in the levels of humic acid fertilizer. *Life Science Journal-acta Zhengzhou University Overseas Edition* 8(3):583–588.
- Hatami E, Shokouhian AA, Ghanbari AR, Naseri LA. 2018. Alleviating salt stress in almond rootstocks using of humic acid. *Scientia Horticulturae* 237(December 2017):296–302 DOI 10.1016/j.scienta.2018.03.034.
- Heidari M, Minaei A. 2014. Effects of drought stress and humic acid application on flower yield and content of macroelements in medical plant borage (*Borago officinalis* L.). *Journal of Plant Production Research* 21(1):167–182.
- Hoffmann WA, Poorter H. 2002. Avoiding bias in calculations of relative growth rate. *Annals of Botany* 90(1):37–42 DOI 10.1093/aob/mcf140.
- Hussien Ibrahim ME, Zhu X, Zhou G, Adam Ali AY, Ibrahim Elsiddig AM, Farah GA. 2019. Response of some wheat varieties to gibberellic acid under saline conditions. *Agrosystems*, *Geosciences & Environment* 2(1):1-7 DOI 10.2134/age2019.01.0003.
- **Ibrahim MEH, Zhou X, Zhou G, Nimir A, Eltyb N. 2016b.** Comparison of germination and seedling characteristics of wheat varieties from China and Sudan under salt stress. *Agronomy Journal* **108(1)**:85–92 DOI 10.2134/agronj15.0176.
- **Ibrahim MH, Zhu X, Zhou G, Abidallhaa EHMA. 2016a.** Effects of nitrogen on seedling growth of wheat varieties under salt stress. *Journal of Agricultural Science* **8(10)**:131–146 DOI 10.5539/jas.v8n10p131.
- Ibrahim MEH, Zhu X, Zhou G, Ali AYA, Ahmad I, Farah GE. 2018. Nitrogen fertilizer alleviated negative impacts of NaCl on some physiological parameters of wheat. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* 50(6):2097–2104.
- Janmohammadi M, Abbasi A, Sabaghnia N. 2012. Influence of NaCl treatments on growth and biochemical parameters of castor bean (*Ricinus communis* L.). Acta Agriculturae Slovenica 99(1):31 DOI 10.2478/v10014-012-0004-5.
- Karakurt Y, Ozdamar-Unlu H, Unlu H, Tonguc M. 2015. Antioxidant compounds and activity in cucumber fruit in response to foliar and soil humic acid application. *European Journal of Horticultural Science* 80(2):76–80 DOI 10.17660/eJHS.2015/80.2.5.
- Katerji N, Hoorn JVan, Hamdy A, Mastrorilli M. 2003. Salinity effect on crop development and yield, analysis of salt tolerance according to several classification methods. *Agricultural Water Management* 62(1):37–66 DOI 10.1016/S0378-3774(03)00005-2.
- Kaya C, Akram NA, Ashraf M, Sonmez O. 2018. Exogenous application of humic acid mitigates salinity stress in maize (*Zea mays* L.) plants by improving some key physico-biochemical attributes. *Cereal Research Communications* **46**(1):67–78 DOI 10.1556/0806.45.2017.064.

- Kaya A, Doganlar ZB. 2016. Exogenous jasmonic acid induces stress tolerance in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) exposed to imazapic. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 124:470–479 DOI 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2015.11.026.
- Kesba HH, El Beltagi HS. 2012. Biochemical changes in grape rootstocks resulted from humic acid treatments in relation to nematode infection. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine* 2(4):287–293 DOI 10.1016/S2221-1691(12)60024-0.
- Kumari GJ, Reddy AM, Naik ST, Kumar SG, Prasanthi J, Sriranganayakulu G, Reddy PC, Sudhakar C. 2006. Jasmonic acid induced changes in protein pattern, antioxidative enzyme activities and peroxidase isozymes in peanut seedlings. *Biologia Plantarum* 50(2):219–226 DOI 10.1007/s10535-006-0010-8.
- Kumari GJ, Sudhakar C. 2003. Effects of jasmonic acid on groundnut during early seedling growth. *Biologia Plantarum* 47(3):453–456 DOI 10.1023/B:BIOP.0000023894.72554.b2.
- Latif HH, Mohamed HI. 2016. Exogenous applications of moringa leaf extract effect on retrotransposon, ultrastructural and biochemical contents of common bean plants under environmental stresses. South African Journal of Botany 106:221–231 DOI 10.1016/j.sajb.2016.07.010.
- Lichtenthaler HK, Wellburn AR. 1983. Determinations of total carotenoids and chlorophylls a and b of leaf extracts in different solvents. *Biochemical Society Transactions* 11(5):591–592 DOI 10.1042/bst0110591.
- Maswada HF, Djanaguiraman M, Prasad PVV. 2018. Seed treatment with nano-iron (III) oxide enhances germination, seeding growth and salinity tolerance of sorghum. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 204(6):577–587 DOI 10.1111/jac.12280.
- Menezes BL, Teixeira FK, Kamei CLA, Margis-Pinheiro M. 2004. Salt stress induces altered expression of genes encoding antioxidant enzymes in seedlings of a Brazilian indica rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Science* 166(2):323–331 DOI 10.1016/j.plantsci.2003.10.001.
- Mishra JS, Kumar R, Rao SS. 2017. Performance of sweet sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L) cultivars as a source of green fodder under varying levels of nitrogen in semi-arid tropical India. *Sugar Tech* 19(5):532–538 DOI 10.1007/s12355-016-0506-2.
- Nakano Y, Asada K. 1981. Hydrogen peroxide is scavenged by ascorbate-specific peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 22(5):867–880 DOI 10.1093/oxfordjournals.pcp.a076232.
- Nimir NEA, Lu S, Zhou G, Guo W, Ma B, Wang Y. 2015. Comparative effects of gibberellic acid, kinetin and salicylic acid on emergence, seedling growth and the antioxidant defence system of sweet sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L) under salinity and temperature stresses. *Crop and Pasture Science* 66(2):145–157 DOI 10.1071/CP14141.
- Noriega G, Santa Cruz D, Batlle A, Tomaro M, Balestrasse K. 2012. Heme oxygenase is involved in the protection exerted by jasmonic acid against cadmium stress in soybean roots. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation* 31(1):79–89 DOI 10.1007/s00344-011-9221-0.
- Omer MZEG, Abdalla AH. 2017. The effect of salt concentration on growth and yield of two forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) lines. *Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries* 5(6):280 DOI 10.11648/j.aff.20160506.20.
- Ozdener Y, Kutbay HG. 2008. Effect of salinity and temperature on the germination of Spergularia marina seeds and ameliorating effect of ascorbic and salicylic acids. *Journal of Environmental Biology* **29(6)**:959–964.
- Piotrowska A, Bajguz A, Godlewska-Zyłkiewicz B, Czerpak R, Kamińska M. 2009. Jasmonic acid as modulator of lead toxicity in aquatic plant Wolffia arrhiza (Lemnaceae). *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 66(3):507–513 DOI 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2009.03.019.

- **Qados AMSA. 2011.** Effect of salt stress on plant growth and metabolism of bean plant *Vicia faba* (L.). *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences* **10(1)**:7–15 DOI 10.1016/j.jssas.2010.06.002.
- Qiu Z, Guo J, Zhu A, Zhang L, Zhang M. 2014. Exogenous jasmonic acid can enhance tolerance of wheat seedlings to salt stress. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 104:202–208 DOI 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2014.03.014.
- Rakwal R, Agrawal GK, Yonekura M. 1999. Separation of proteins from stressed rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) leaf tissues by two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis: induction of pathogenesis-related and cellular protectant proteins by jasmonic acid, UV irradiation and copper chloride. *ELECTROPHORESIS: An International Journal* 20(17):3472–3478 DOI 10.1002/(SICI)1522-2683(19991101)20:17<3472::AID-ELPS3472>3.0.CO;2-0.
- Rasmuson KE, Anderson JE. 2002. Salinity affects development, growth, and photosynthesis in cheatgrass. *Rangeland Ecology & Management/Journal of Range Management Archives* 55(1):80–87 DOI 10.2307/4003267.
- Sekmen AH, Turkan I, Tanyolac ZO, Ozfidan C, Dinc A. 2012. Different antioxidant defense responses to salt stress during germination and vegetative stages of endemic halophyte Gypsophila oblanceolata BARK. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 77:63–76 DOI 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2011.10.012.
- Seleiman MF, Al-Suhaibani N, El-Hendawy S, Abdella K, Alotaibi M, Alderfasi A. 2021. Impacts of long-and short-term of irrigation with treated wastewater and synthetic fertilizers on the growth, biomass, heavy metal content, and energy traits of three potential bioenergy crops in arid regions. *Energies* 14(11):3037 DOI 10.3390/en14113037.
- Seleiman MF, Semida WM, Rady MM, Mohamed GF, Hemida KA. 2020. Sequential application of antioxidants rectifies ion imbalance and strengthens antioxidant systems in salt-stressed cucumber. *Plants* 9(12):1783 DOI 10.3390/plants9121783.
- Shafiq S, Akram NA, Ashraf M, Arshad A. 2014. Synergistic effects of drought and ascorbic acid on growth, mineral nutrients and oxidative defense system in canola (*Brassica napus* L.) plants. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum* 36(6):1539–1553 DOI 10.1007/s11738-014-1530-z.
- Sirhindi G, Mir MA, Sharma P, Gill SS, Kaur H, Mushtaq R. 2015. Modulatory role of jasmonic acid on photosynthetic pigments, antioxidants and stress markers of *Glycine max* L. under nickel stress. *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants* 21(4):559–565 DOI 10.1007/s12298-015-0320-4.
- **Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. 1980.** *Statistical methods Iowa State University press, Ames. Statistical methods.* Seventh Edition. Ames: The Iowa State University Press.
- **Sofy MR, Seleiman MF, Alhammad BA, Alharbi BM, Mohamed HI. 2020.** Minimizing adverse effects of pb on maize plants by combined treatment with jasmonic, salicylic acids and proline. *Agronomy* **10(5)**:699 DOI 10.3390/agronomy10050699.
- Sun X, Shi J, Ding G. 2017. Combined effects of arbuscular mycorrhiza and drought stress on plant growth and mortality of forage sorghum. *Applied Soil Ecology* 119:384–391 DOI 10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.07.030.
- Taffouo VD, Wamba OF, Yombi E, Nono GV, Akoe A. 2010. Growth, yield, water status and ionic distribution response of three bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranean* (L.) verdc.) landraces grown under saline conditions. *International Journal of Botany* 6(1):53–58 DOI 10.3923/ijb.2010.53.58.
- Taha RS, Seleiman MF, Alhammad BA, Alkahtani J, Alwahibi MS, Mahdi AH. 2021a. Activated Yeast extract enhances growth, anatomical structure, and productivity of Lupinus termis L. plants under actual salinity conditions. *Agronomy* 11(1):74 DOI 10.3390/agronomy11010074.

- Taha RS, Seleiman MF, Alotaibi M, Alhammad BA, Rady MM, Mahdi AH. 2020. Exogenous potassium treatments elevate salt tolerance and performances of glycine max L. by boosting antioxidant defense system under actual saline field conditions. *Agronomy* **10(11)**:1741 DOI 10.3390/agronomy10111741.
- Taha RS, Seleiman MF, Shami A, Alhammad BA, Mahdi AH. 2021b. Integrated application of selenium and silicon enhances growth and anatomical structure, antioxidant defense system and yield of wheat grown in salt-stressed soil. *Plants* 10(6):1040 DOI 10.3390/plants10061040.
- Vatanparast G, Mirdehghan H, Karimi H. 2013. Foliar application of salicylic acid, methyl jasmonate and potassium sulfate on photosynthetic characteristics and fruit quality of pomegranate. *Iran Agricultural Research* 31(2):23–34 DOI 10.22099/IAR.2013.1524.
- Verma V, Ravindran P, Kumar PP. 2016. Plant hormone-mediated regulation of stress responses. BMC Plant Biology 16(1):86 DOI 10.1186/s12870-016-0771-y.
- Wolucka BA, Goossens A, Inzé D. 2005. Methyl jasmonate stimulates the de novo biosynthesis of vitamin C in plant cell suspensions. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 56(419):2527–2538 DOI 10.1093/jxb/eri246.
- Xu LL, Ye MB. 1989. A measurement of peroxidase activity using continuous recording method. *Journal of the Nanjing Agriculture University* 12:82–83.
- Xueyuan G, Xiaorong W, Zhimang G, Lemei D, Yijun C. 2001. Effects of humic acid on speciation and bioavailability to wheat of rare earth elements in soil. *Chemical Speciation & Bioavailability* 13(3):83–88 DOI 10.3184/095422901782775426.
- Zhang S, Hu J, Zhang Y, Xie XJ, Knapp A. 2007. Seed priming with brassinolide improves lucerne (*Medicago sativa* L.) seed germination and seedling growth in relation to physiological changes under salinity stress. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 58(8):811–815 DOI 10.1071/AR06253.
- Zrig A, Ben Mohamed H, Tounekti T, Ahmed SO, Khemira H. 2015. Differential responses of antioxidant enzymes in salt-stressed almond tree grown under sun and shade conditions. *Journal of Plant Science and Research* 2(1):1–10.