

1 **Litter inputs and standing stocks in riparian zones and streams under**
2 **secondary forest and managed and abandoned cocoa agroforestry**
3 **systems**

4
5 Haialla Carolina Rialli S. Brandão¹, Camila Andrade Coqueiro Moraes¹, Ana Paula
6 Silva¹, José Francisco Gonçalves Júnior², Renan de Souza Rezende³, Daniela Mariano
7 Lopes da Silva*¹

8
9 ¹Aquatic Biogeochemistry Lab, Department of Biological Sciences, Universidade
10 Estadual de Santa Cruz (UESC), Ilhéus Bahia, Brazil.

11 ²Limnology/Aquariparia Lab, Department of Ecology, IB, Universidade de Brasília
12 (UnB), Brasília, Distrito Federal, Brazil.

13 ³Universidade Comunitária da Região do Chapecó, Avenida Senador Atílio Francisco
14 Xavier Fontana, Engenho Braun 89809000 – Chapecó, SC – Brazil.

15
16 Corresponding Author:

17 Daniela Mariano Lopes da Silva
18 Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Rodovia Jorge Amado km 16, Ilhéus, Bahia,
19 Brazil

20 Email address: dmlsilva@uesc.br

21
22
23
24
25
26

27

28 **Abstract**

29 **Background.** Cocoa is an important tropical tree crop that is mainly cultivated in
30 agroforestry systems (AFS). This system, known as *cabruca* in northeastern Brazil,
31 holds promise to reconcile biodiversity conservation and economic development.
32 However, since cocoa AFS alters forest structure composition, it can affect litter
33 dynamics in riparian zones and streams. Thus, our objective was to determine litter
34 inputs and standing stocks in riparian zones and streams under three types of forest:
35 managed cocoa AFS, abandoned cocoa AFS, and secondary forest.

36 **Methods.** We determined terrestrial litter fall (TI), vertical (VI) and lateral (LI) litter
37 inputs to streams, and litter standing stocks on streambeds (BS) in the Atlantic Forest of
38 northeastern Brazil. Litter was collected every 30 days from August 2018 to July 2019
39 using custom-made traps. The litter was dried, separated into four fractions (leaves,
40 branches, reproductive organs, and miscellaneous material) and weighed.

41 **Results.** Terrestrial litter fall was similar in all forests, ranging from 57 g m^{-2} in
42 secondary forest (SF) to 86 g m^{-2} in abandoned cocoa AFS (AC). Vertical input was
43 higher in AC (58 g m^{-2}) and MC (39 g m^{-2}) than in SF (34 g m^{-2}), whereas lateral input
44 was higher in MC (57 g m^{-2}) than in AC (18 g m^{-2}) and SF (24 g m^{-2}). Standing stocks
45 followed the order SF>AC>MC, corresponding to 357, 251 and 84 g m^{-2} . Leaves
46 contributed most to all litter fractions in all forests. Reproductive plant parts accounted
47 for a larger proportion in managed AFS. Branches and miscellaneous litter were also
48 similar in all forests, except for higher benthic standing stocks of miscellaneous litter in
49 the SF. Despite differences in the amounts of litter inputs and standing stocks among the
50 forests, seasonal patterns in the abandoned AFS (AC) were more similar to those of the

51 secondary forest (SF) than the managed AFS, suggesting potential of abandoned AFS to
52 restore litter dynamics resembling those of secondary forests.

53 **Introduction**

54 Riparian zones are important for the functioning of headwater streams (Vannote et al.,
55 1980; Naiman, Décamps & McClain, 2005), including in tropical zones (Gonçalves
56 Júnior et al., 2014; Bambi et al., 2016; Rezende et al., 2017a; Rezende et al., 2019;
57 Calderón et al., 2019). The riparian canopy limits instream primary production and
58 provides allochthonous organic matter to stream and riparian food webs in the form of
59 litter, which increases heterotrophic metabolism (Gonçalves Júnior et al., 2014;
60 Rezende et al., 2019). Therefore, litter dynamics are a fundamental characteristic of
61 headwater streams (Abelho & Graça, 1996; Neres-Lima et al., 2017). In the tropics,
62 litter is typically supplied throughout the year (Tonin et al., 2017), although this pattern
63 varies among forest types (Lindman et al., 2017; Seena et al., 2017), largely driven by
64 precipitation and temperature regimes (Bambi et al., 2016; Tonin et al., 2017). Changes
65 in the structure and composition of riparian forests can affect the supply of litter to
66 streams and their riparian zones (DeLong & Brusven, 1994; Ferreira et al., 2019; Wild,
67 Gücker & Brauns, 2019), as well as in-stream litter dynamics (Stufin, Wohl & Dwire,
68 2016; Tiegs et al., 2019).

69 Many tropical forests are jeopardized by rapid deforestation and expansion of
70 agriculture (Bawa et al., 2004). This includes the Atlantic Forest of Brazil as one of the
71 most threatened tropical forests worldwide (Winbourne et al., 2018; Taubert et al.,
72 2018). Agroforestry systems (AFSs), however, have potential to partly reconcile the
73 conservation of tropical forest patches with economic development (Cassano et al.,
74 2009; Schroth et al., 2011). One example is the cultivation of cocoa in the Atlantic
75 Forest of northeast Brazil where cocoa trees (*Theobroma cacao* L.) are grown in AFS
76 that cover a large portion of the remnant Atlantic Forest (Piasentin et al., 2014). The
77 cocoa trees are planted in the shade of native forest trees (dominant and codominant

78 strata) and are surrounded by natural vegetation. Therefore, cocoa AFS are thought to
79 cause less environmental impact than other crop systems (Johns, 1999; Sambuichi,
80 2002), with benefits for local biodiversity (Faria et al., 2007; Cassano et al., 2009;
81 Schroth et al., 2011). Important processes such as the incorporation of large amounts of
82 organic matter into the forest soil are indeed maintained in cocoa AFS (Beer et al.,
83 1998; Gama-Rodrigues et al., 2010; Barreto et al., 2011; Fontes et al., 2014; Costa et al.,
84 2018). Nevertheless, changes in the vegetation structure of AFS compared to
85 unmanaged forest may affect the amount of litter deposited in riparian zones (DeLong &
86 Brusven, 1994; Wild, Gücker & Brauns, 2019) and supplied to streams (Gonçalves
87 Júnior et al., 2014).

88 Studies on litter dynamics in tropical streams and their riparian zones are scarce,
89 especially under cocoa AFS, although some evidence suggests that replacing cocoa AFS
90 changes the cycling of carbon and nitrogen in streams (Costa et al., 2017; Souza et al.,
91 2017; Costa et al., 2018), possibly as a result of altered litter supply by riparian
92 vegetation. Thus, the current study aimed to assess the influence of cocoa AFS on litter
93 dynamics by determining differences in secondary forest and managed and abandoned
94 AFS on litter inputs and benthic standing stocks in streams and riparian zones in these
95 forests. We expected that i) managed and abandoned cocoa AFS produce more litter
96 than secondary forest where forest structure (Curvelo et al., 2009; Dawoe, Isaac &
97 Quashie-Sam, 2010; Fontes et al., 2014) and soil carbon stocks differ (Gama-Rodrigues
98 et al. 2010, Costa et al. 2018) and nutrients are rapid cycled (Nair et al. 1999); ii)
99 streams running through forests with high litter production tend to receive larger
100 amounts of litter (França et al., 2009; Gonçalves Júnior et al., 2014), resulting in greater
101 litter standings stocks in the streambeds (Webster et al., 1994; Lisboa et al., 2015); and

102 iii) seasonal patterns of litter inputs and standing stocks reflect precipitation patterns
103 because water availability controls litter production (Tonin et al., 2017).

104

105 **Methods**

106 *Study area*

107 The study was conducted in the riparian zones of three small watersheds (Fig. 1)
108 representing secondary forest (E 485415, N 8397615), abandoned cocoa AFS (E
109 481551, N 8364478), and managed cocoa AFS (E 448466, N 8363187). All sites are
110 located in the Atlantic Forest of southern Bahia in northeast Brazil. The Climate is wet
111 tropical (hot and humid with no defined dry season, Af according to the Köppen
112 classification) with annual rainfall ranging from 1100 to 2200 mm. The study streams
113 are second-order according to the Strahler classification. Daily rainfall data were
114 obtained from the website of the Real-Time Climate Monitoring Program of the
115 Northeast Region (PROCLIMA; <http://proclima.cptec.inpe.br>) for the municipalities of
116 Itacaré, Ilhéus, and Barro Preto (Fig. 2).

117 The secondary forest, which covers 9,275 ha, is located in a conservation area (Serra do
118 Conduru State Park - License 2017-013654/TEC/PESQ-0014) (Martini et al., 2007).

119 The vegetation is a mosaic of different developmental stages, including secondary forest
120 and remnants of mature forests with different degrees of selective logging in the past
121 (Winbourne et al., 2018). The uniform canopy of the forest exceeds 25 m in height and
122 includes a few emerging individual trees, epiphytes, large lianas, and a dense understory
123 (Martini et al., 2007; Costa et al., 2018). Tree species density levels in the area were
124 high at all sites, independent of forest successional stage; old growth forest totaled 144
125 species, old logged forest had 137 species, and recently logged forest 134. Of the
126 species recorded in the Serra do Conduru State Park, 51.4% are endemic to the Atlantic

127 Forest and 26% occur only in the south of Bahia (Martini et al., 2007). The abandoned
128 AFS covers 73.4 ha and is located in an AFS (Santa Cruz) where crop management was
129 abandoned 20 years before the present study. Old cocoa trees and other, irregularly
130 distributed species such as jackfruit, erythrina, embaúba, and jequitibá trees (Argôlo,
131 2009) resulted in a medium level of shading (70%). The managed AFS is located in
132 another AFS (Nova Harmonia) with a total area of 89.8 ha. It comprises areas under
133 cocoa production, a forest patch in the central portion, and two areas undergoing
134 regeneration (Santos et al., 2016). Management consists of pruning cocoa trees every
135 six months, with the biomass left in place (Costa et al., 2018), complemented by
136 vegetation cutting, and some liming for soil amelioration. The cocoa plants were spaced
137 at 3x3m and intercropped with introduced shade trees (erythrina), according to the
138 proposed management for the area.

139 *Litter inputs and benthic standing stocks*

140 Litter inputs and benthic standing stocks were determined from August 2018 to July
141 2019. Details of the methodology are described in Gonçalves Júnior et al. (2014) and
142 Bambi et al. (2016). Terrestrial litter fall (TI), vertical (VI) and lateral (LI) litter inputs
143 to streams, and litter deposited on the streambeds (benthic standing stock – BS) were
144 assessed along 100 m stream stretches at each location (Figure 3).
145 TI deposited on the riparian soil represents the amount of litter that can potentially be
146 transported to the stream. It was collected with 10 nets (1 mm mesh, 2.5 m² total area),
147 five on both sides of the streams, installed 1 m above the ground at 20 m distance from
148 one another in the riparian zone. VI represents litter that falls directly into the streams
149 from the riparian canopy. It was collected with 27 buckets (30 cm diameter, 1.9 m² total
150 area) fixed to trees, perpendicular to the stream channel at a height of approximately 2
151 m. The buckets were arranged in three groups of nine, spaced approximately 30 m apart

152 with a distance of 1 m between the individual buckets. Small holes in the bottom of the
153 buckets allowed any collected water to drain. LI represents the indirect input of litter by
154 lateral movement from the forest floor to the stream due to gravity, runoff, wind, or
155 animal action. LI was collected with 10 nets (1 mm mesh, 0.5 m length, 1.5 m² total
156 area) arranged at ground level at the stream margins, five on both sides of the streams.
157 Total litter input to the streams was calculated as the sum of lateral and vertical inputs.
158 Finally, benthic standing stocks represent the litter accumulated on the streambed. It
159 was estimated by taking Surber samples (0.25 mm mesh, 0.45 m² total area), five in
160 each stream at 20 m distance from one another (Fig. 3).

161 The litter trapped in the nets and buckets was collected at monthly intervals and sorted
162 into four fractions upon return to the laboratory: leaves, branches (i.e. woody pieces less
163 than 25 cm in length), reproductive organs such as flowers and fruits, and miscellaneous
164 material (i.e. unidentified plant matter and animal remains). The sorted litter was dried
165 in an oven at 60 °C for 72 hours and weighed. TI, VI and LI were expressed in g dry
166 mass m⁻² d⁻¹ and BS in g dry mass m⁻², LI per m² was calculated by dividing the
167 collected litter mass by the trap width and multiplying the result by two (to account for
168 inputs from both stream banks) and by the mean channel width (Pozo et al., 2009). The
169 annual litter inputs to the streams and riparian zones corresponds to the sum of the mean
170 monthly litter inputs during the study year (Table 1).

171

172 *Statistical analysis*

173 Differences among the forests in litter inputs and benthic standing stocks were assessed
174 by generalized linear mixed-effects models (*glmer* function in the *lme4* package of *R*)
175 with forest type (i.e. site), time and the interaction of forest type and time as predictive
176 variables (Bates et al., 2015). We considered trap and time as random factors to account

Commented [MG1]: Correct?

Deleted: trap

Commented [MG2]: Correct here?

Deleted: ,

Deleted: one model for each plant organic matter fraction type (leaves, branches, reproductive organs such as flowers and fruits, and miscellaneous material).

182 for the pseudoreplicated design of the study, since the three forest types were
183 represented only by a single site each. Separate models were run for each of the plant
184 organic matter fractions (leaves, branches, reproductive organs, miscellaneous material).
185 P-values were obtained by likelihood ratio tests (chi-square distribution) of the full
186 model against a partial model without the explanatory variable. All models were tested
187 for error distribution by using the *hnp* package and function in *R*, and corrected for
188 over- or underdispersion. Differences in litter inputs and standing stocks among forest
189 types (sites) were also assessed by using bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals, which
190 were computed by the bias-corrected and accelerated (BCa) method using the *boot*
191 package and function in *R*, based on 1,000 bootstrap replicates (Davison & Hinkley,
192 1997; Canty & Ripley, 2016). Differences were considered statistically significant when
193 the bootstrapped confidence intervals did not overlap.

194 Given their flexibility, generalized additive mixed models (GAMM) were used as an
195 additional approach to explore the seasonal patterns of litter inputs (i.e. vertical, lateral
196 and terrestrial inputs) and standing stocks (Tonin et al., 2017; 2019). The input of
197 leaves, branches, reproductive matter, or miscellaneous material over the 12-month
198 study period was used as a normally distributed (identity-link function) predictor, nested
199 with in sites, as a random component of the **GAM models**. **Trap** and time were used as
200 random factors in the GAMM models. The degree of smoothing in an additive model is
201 expressed as effective degrees of freedom (edf). Higher edf values indicate a lower
202 degree of linearity (i.e. here variation over time), with a value of 1 indicating a perfectly
203 linear effect. The additive mixed models were fitted by using the *by* command in the
204 *mgcv* package in *R*. Validation was used to estimate the optimal degree of smoothing
205 (Wood, 2017). The residual spread within models among sampling dates was measured
206 by using the *varIdent* function in *R*.

Deleted: in

Deleted:

Deleted: In GAMM models, t

Deleted: and

Deleted:)

Deleted: f

Deleted:

Deleted: s

Deleted: predictor in

Deleted: ion

Deleted: ing

Commented [MG3]: GAMMs or GAM models (also elsewhere).

Commented [MG4]: Correct here?

Deleted: in

Deleted:

Deleted: Additional analytical protocols, details of residual tests, and model validation approaches are described in Zuur et al. (2009).

223

224 **Results**

225 Leaf material was the single largest litter fraction, with percentages >60% for TI and VI
226 and >70% for LI in the secondary forest and abandoned AFS (Fig. 4, Table 1). In the
227 managed AFS, the percentages of TI, VI, and LI were 56, 41, and 62%, respectively.

228 Leaves also represented large portions of the instream standing stock of litter, 61% in
229 the abandoned AFS, 57% in the managed AFS, and 38% in the secondary forest.

230 Miscellaneous types of organic matter was the second most abundant litter fraction of
231 TI and VI observed in secondary forest and abandoned AFS (23% and 16% for TI, 23%
232 and 15% for VI). Miscellaneous litter accounted for 43% of the standing stock in the
233 secondary forest (Table 1). Branches were also a large portion of the litter standing
234 stock in secondary forest (19%) and abandoned AFS (16%) (Table 1). Because of their
235 sporadic and transient occurrence, reproductive parts were a low proportion of the total
236 litter, except in managed AFS (Table 1), where they accounted for a higher proportion
237 in all types of litter inputs and standing stocks (Fig. 4c,g,k,o). Branches and
238 miscellaneous litter were generally similar in all forests, except for higher benthic
239 standing stocks of miscellaneous litter in the secondary forest (Fig. 4).

240 The greatest seasonal variation in litter inputs and standing stocks was found for leaves,
241 with the highest contributions during the transition between dry and rainy months and
242 two seasonal peaks in some cases (Fig. 5a,c). Seasonal variation was less pronounced
243 and generally not significant for branches, reproductive plant parts, and miscellaneous
244 litter (Figs S1 to S3). Vertical litter inputs showed seasonal patterns for leaves in the
245 secondary forest (effective degrees of freedom – edf = 5.5; Fig. 5d and abandoned AFS
246 (edf = 5.1; Fig. 5e), with higher contributions during the rainy months, from November
247 to February, in both SF and AC. In managed AFS, the contribution of leaves decreased

248 linearly over time, as reflected by an edf value close to 1 (Fig. 5f). Terrestrial leaf inputs
249 showed a sinusoidal pattern in the secondary forest (Fig. 5a) and managed AFS (Fig.
250 5c), which was reflected by high edf values of 7.0 and 6.7, respectively. A peak in the
251 rainiest months was observed in all three forests but the second peak was missing in the
252 abandoned AFS (Fig. 5b). The largest lateral inputs of leaves were observed from
253 January to March in managed AFS (edf = 1.9; Fig. 5f) the period of least rainfall (Fig.
254 2). Standing stocks of leaf litter showed different trends than leaf litter inputs. The sine
255 wave shifted to the right in SF, indicating that leaf input occurred just after the rainiest
256 periods (peak in April; edf = 3.5; Fig. 5j) and abandoned AFS (minimum in November
257 and maximum in February; edf = 5.6; Fig. 5k). No seasonal patterns were observed for
258 leaf litter of managed AFS (edf = 1; Fig. 5f,i,l).

259 Total annual litter fall in the riparian zone of the abandoned AFS, managed AFS, and
260 secondary forest was 181, 122, and 118 g dry mass m⁻², respectively. In the abandoned
261 and managed AFS, 56% of the terrestrial litter input (TI) was deposited on the forest
262 floor and 44% directly entered the streams through vertical litter input (VI). In the
263 secondary forest, the percentages of TI and VI were 63% and 37%, respectively. In the
264 managed AFS, 66% of the total litter fall in the riparian zone entered the stream by
265 lateral movement and 34% remained in the riparian zone. In the abandoned AFS, only
266 16% entered the stream and 84% remained in the riparian zone. The corresponding
267 percentages in the secondary forest were 37 and 63%. The average annual total litter
268 standing stock in the managed AFS was more than two times lower than in the
269 abandoned AFS and more than three times lower than in the secondary forest (Fig. 6).

270

271 **Discussion**

272 Riparian zones with natural vegetation are important for the structural and functional
273 integrity of streams (Gonçalves Junior et al., 2014, Rezende et al., 2017b). Our results
274 on the contribution of leaf litter in forests subject to different management practices are
275 important information to assess the role of cocoa agroforestry in efforts to restore
276 impacted forests in northeastern Brazil. Previous studies in the area have reported that
277 the cocoa agroforestry system alters the biogeochemistry of C and N in streams and
278 soils (Costa et al., 2017, 2018, Souza et al., 2017). However, these studies could not
279 determine which forest attributes determined the observed changes in C and N cycling.
280 Although differences between forests could be associated with different management
281 regimes, it is necessary also to consider the potential influence of other factors that
282 could not be evaluated in that study.
283 High litter production in the abandoned AFS may be due to the riparian vegetation
284 structure (Gonçalves Junior et al., 2014; Rezende et al., 2017a) and successional stage
285 during forest recovery (Sambuichi & Haridasan, 2007; Rolim et al., 2017). Factors such
286 as abundant deposits of crop biomass (Beer et al., 1998), which are related to high
287 carbon stocks in the soil (Gama-Rodrigues et al., 2010; Costa et al., 2018), and rapid
288 nutrient cycling in these systems (Nair et al., 1999) are likely to play a role. The pattern
289 of litter inputs in the abandoned AFS was more similar to that in the secondary forest
290 than the managed AFS. Streams in abandoned AFS are usually lined by riparian
291 vegetation (Ferreira et al., 2019) similar to that of streams in secondary forest, whereas
292 in managed AFS, native shade trees are replaced by species with high commercial value
293 (Cassano et al., 2009, Piasentin Saito & Sambuichi, 2014). Additional factors linked to
294 higher litter production in abandoned AFS (Gama-Rodrigues et al., 2010) include
295 greater stand age of the vegetation. Moreover, leaf surface area may be greater in the
296 shaded stands where low solar radiation limits rates of photosynthesis (Beer et al.,

Deleted: hydrological pathways,

Commented [MG5]: Or, "in streams and on land"?

Commented [MG6]: "those studies"? Three studies are cited just above.

298 1998). These factors tend to increase litter production, to which leaves contribute the
299 largest fraction (Gonçalves Junior et al., 2014; Bambi et al., 2016; Tonin et al., 2017).
300 Higher litter production in the abandoned AFS is reflected in the observed spatial and
301 seasonal patterns of litter inputs and standing stocks, which were also closer to those in
302 the secondary forest than in the managed AFS. This indicates that abandoned AFS with
303 little human intervention may provide favorable conditions for forest regeneration
304 (Rolim et al., 2017) and could thus be valuable strategic sites for the conservation of
305 riparian forest remnants in these agroforestry systems of Brazil (Faria et al., 2007;
306 Cassano et al., 2009; Scroth et al., 2011; Sambuich et al., 2012). The presence of
307 pioneer species in abandoned AFS could be a critical factor promoting this regeneration
308 by facilitating ecological succession (Rolim & Chiarello 2004, Sambuichi & Haridasan
309 2007, Sambuichi et al. 2012).

310 Crop management, which involves hoeing and soil cleaning (Sambuichi et al., 2012;
311 Mello & Gross, 2013), may be a critical factor accounting for the observed tendency of
312 greater lateral litter inputs to streams in managed AFS. Possible mechanisms include
313 facilitation of litter leaching and movement along streams by runoff (Afonso, Henry &
314 Rodella, 2000; Wantzen et al., 2008) as well as effects on the structure and composition
315 of the tree vegetation (Deheuvels et al., 2014). Furthermore, some management
316 practices introduce exotic species necessary for cultivation (Sambuichi, 2002; Piasentin,
317 Saito & Sambuichi, 2014; Rolim et al., 2017) and involve thinning of the vegetation to
318 obtain the desired shade levels for crop production (Johns, 1999).

319 The management practices in cocoa cultivation and phenology of the shade species may
320 also have determined the greater contribution of reproductive plant parts in the managed
321 AFS. This observation is related to the high proportion of exotic species (e.g.
322 *Artocarpus heterophyllus*, *Spondias mombin* and, particularly in this study, *Clitoria*

323 *fairchildiana*), which show different phenological patterns than native riparian forests
324 (Sambuichi & Haridasan, 2007; Sambuichi et al., 2012). Furthermore, variation in the
325 size, shape, texture and anatomy of reproductive plant parts of different tree species in
326 different forest types could account for the higher contribution of this litter fraction in
327 managed AFS. This applies particularly to fruits of the legume *Clitoria fairchildiana*,
328 the species contributing most to the reproductive plant parts in litter vertical inputs and
329 standing stocks in this forest. The large dry and dehiscent fruits of the tree are 25 to 30
330 cm long and 2.6 to 2.9 cm wide (Silva & Môro, 2008). Rezende et al. (2017). A
331 potentially higher nutritional quality of such reproductive plant parts compared to leaves
332 may favor rapid decomposition of the litter supplied to tropical streams. However, the
333 fruits of *Clitoria fairchildiana* and other species in the managed AFS we investigated
334 may not provide a high-quality nutritional resource (Rezende et al., 2017), and we do
335 not currently have data to evaluate the consequences for litter decomposition.

336 Litter standing stocks in ecosystems are the net result of litter inputs and losses by
337 movement and decomposition (Elosegi & Pozo, 2005; Tank et al., 2010). The high
338 standing stocks we observed in streams of secondary forests could imply high inputs
339 (França et al., 2009; Lisboa et al., 2015; Bambi et al., 2016) combined with slow
340 decomposition and downstream transport (Gonçalves Júnior et al., 2014; Rezende et al.,
341 2017a). In particular, the high proportion of miscellaneous matter in the secondary
342 forest stream could be related to rapid decomposition. Effective litter retention favors
343 long residence times in streams (Bilby & Likens, 1980) and the generation of small
344 organic particles released during decomposition (Gessner et al 1999, Boyero et al.,
345 2011) instead of coarse litter being transported downstream. This contrasts with the
346 situation in the managed AFS where cocoa leaves are the predominant litter type, the
347 high lignin and cellulose concentrations of which slow litter decomposition in the soil of

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: (Bilby & Likens, 1980; Gessner et al 1999, Boyero et al., 2011)

351 cocoa AFS (Dawoe, Isaac & Quashie-Sam, 2010) and likely also in streams (Tank et al.,
352 2010; Lemes da Silva et al., 2017). In the managed AFS, in contrast, it may have been
353 the high proportion of recalcitrant reproductive plant parts (see above) that favored high
354 benthic standing stock in this forest. This type of litter was rare in the secondary forest
355 and abandoned AFS.

356 Our finding that litter inputs and standing stocks in the abandoned AFS were more
357 similar to those in the secondary forest than in the managed cocoa AFS suggests that
358 abandoning management measures, such as litter removal and soil cleaning, could
359 create favorable conditions for reestablishing natural litter dynamics in riparian zones
360 and streams of former AFSs. The capacity of tree species richness to regenerate is high
361 in those forests (Sambuichi & Haridasan, 2007), if surrounding vegetation remains
362 intact to provide a seed source for forest recovery (Rolim et al., 2017). It appears that
363 the absence of management in the riparian zone of abandoned AFS sufficiently reduces
364 pressure on species during regeneration (Rolim et al., 2017) for the phenology of
365 species to become the main factor determining litter dynamics. Intensive management,
366 in contrast, overrides the importance of phenology by altering the structure of riparian
367 plant communities (DeLong & Brusven, 1994; Ferreira et al. 2019).

368

369 **Conclusion**

370 Although our study was restricted to one location for each of the three investigated
371 forest types (SF, AC and MC), our findings are a starting point to evaluate differences
372 in litter inputs and standing stocks between those forests. The observed similarity with
373 litter inputs and standing stocks in the secondary forest suggests potential of the
374 abandoned AFS to provide favorable conditions for restoring natural litter dynamics in
375 streams and riparian zones. However, future investigations are needed to elucidate the

376 nutritional quality of litter and its variation depending on the composition and structure
377 of the riparian vegetation.

378

379 **Acknowledgements**

380 We are grateful to Mr. Hermann Rehem for granting us permission to collect data on his
381 farm. We also appreciate the support and partnership of the Aquariparia research group
382 at the Universidade de Brasilia – UnB. Finally, we thank Ms. Cipriana Leme for
383 language editing.

384

385 **References**

- 386 Abelho M, Graça, AS. 1996. Effects of eucalyptus afforestation on leaf litter dynamics
387 and macroinvertebrate community structure of streams in Central Portugal.
388 *Hydrobiologia* 324: 195-204.
- 389 Afonso AAO, Henry R, Rodella RCSM. 2000. Allochthonous matter input in two
390 different stretches of a headstream (Itatinga, São Paulo, Brazil). *Brazilian*
391 *Archives of Biology and Technology* 43: 335-43.
- 392 Argôlo LMH. 2009. Avaliação de genótipos de *Heliconia* spp. sob cultivo a pleno sol e
393 cabruca. Master's Thesis. Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz.
- 394 Bambi P, Rezende RS, Feio MJ, Leite GFM, Alvin E, Quintão JMB, Araújo F,
395 Gonçalves Júnior JF. 2016. Temporal and spatial patterns in inputs and stock of
396 organic matter in savannah streams of central Brazil. *Ecosystems* 20: 757-768.
- 397 Barreto PA, Gama-Rodrigues EF, Gama-Rodrigues AC, Fontes AG, Polidoro JC, Moço
398 MKS, Machado RC, Baligar VC. 2011. Distribution of oxidizable organic C
399 fractions in soils under cacao agroforestry systems in Southern Bahia, Brazil.
400 *Agroforestry systems* 81:213-220.

401 Bawa KS, Kress WJ, Nadkarni NM, Lele SR, Janzen DH, Lugo AE, Ashton PS,
402 Lovejoy TE. 2004. Tropical ecosystems into the 21st century. *Science* 306: 227-
403 228.

404 Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker BM, Walker SC. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models
405 using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* 67: 1-48.

406 Beer J, Muschler R, Somarriba E, Kass D. 1998. Shade management in coffee and
407 cacao plantations. *Agroforestry Systems* 38: 139-164.

408 Bilby RE, Likens GE. 1980. Importance of organic debris dams in the structure and
409 function of stream ecosystems. *Ecology* 61: 1107-1113.

410 Boyero L, Pearson RG, Gessner MO, Barmuta LA, Ferreira V, Graça MAS, Dudgeon D,
411 Boulton AJ, Callisto M, Chauvet E, Helson JE, Bruder A, Albarino RJ, Yule CM,
412 Arunachalam M, 359 Davies JN, Figueroa R, Flecker AS, Ramirez A, Death RG,
413 Mathooko JM, Mathuriau C, Gonçalves JF Jr, Moretti MC, Jinggut T, Lamothe
414 ST, M Erimba C, Ratnarajah L, Schindler MH, Castela J, Buria LM, Cornejo A,
415 Villanueva VD, West DC. 2011. A global experiment suggests climate warming
416 will not accelerate litter decomposition in streams but might reduce carbon
417 sequestration. *Ecology Letters* 14: 289-294.

418 Calderón CC, Rezende RS, Calor A, Dahora JAS, Aragao LN, Guedes L, Caiafa AN,
419 Medeiros AO. 2019. Temporal dynamics of organic matter, hyphomycetes and
420 invertebrate communities in a Brazilian savanna stream. *Community Ecology* 20:
421 301-313.

422 Canty AJ, Ripley B. 2016. Boot: Bootstrap R (S-Plus) functions. R-package version 1.3-
423 10.

Deleted: c

Deleted: n

Deleted: i

Deleted: Jr

Deleted: Õ

429 Cassano, CR, Schroth, G, Faria D, Delabie JHC, Bede L. 2009. Landscape and farm
430 scale management to enhance biodiversity conservation in the cocoa producing
431 region of southern Bahia, Brazil. *Biodiversity Conservation* 18: 577-603.

432 Costa END, De Souza MFL, Marrocos PCL, Lobão D, Silva DML. 2018. Soil organic
433 matter and CO2 fluxes in small tropical watersheds under forest and cacao
434 agroforestry. *PloS one* 13 (7). DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0200550.

435 Costa END, Souza JC, Pereira MA, Souza WFL, Souza MFL, Silva DML. 2017.
436 Influence of hydrological pathways on dissolved organic carbon fluxes in tropical
437 streams. *Ecology and Evolution* 1: 1-12.

438 Curvelo K, Calasans NA, Lobão, DE, Sodré, GA, Pereira, JM, Marrocos, PCL, Barbosa,
439 JW, Valle, RR. 2009. Aporte de nutrientes na serapilheira e na água do solo em
440 cacau-cabruca, floresta secundária e pastagem. *Agrotrópica* 21: 55 – 64.

441 Davison AC, Hinkley DV. 1997. *Bootstrap methods and their application*. Cambridge
442 University Press, Cambridge, England.

443 Dawoe EK, Isaac ME, Quashie ASJ. 2010. Litterfall and litternutrient dynamics under
444 cocoa ecosystems in lowland humid Ghana. *Plant and Soil* 330: 55-64.

445 Deheuvels O, Rousseau GX, Quiroga GS, Franco MD, Cerda R, Mendoza SJV,
446 Somarriba E. 2014. Biodiversity is affected by changes in management intensity
447 of cocoa-based agroforests. *Agroforestry Systems* 88: 1081-1099.

448 Delong MD, Brusven MA. 1994. Allochthonous input of organic matter from different
449 riparian habitat of an agricultural impacted stream. *Environmental Management*.
450 18: 59-71.

451 Elosegi A, Pozo J. 2005. Litter input. In Graça, MAS, Bärlocher F, Gessner MO, ed.
452 *Methods to study litter decomposition: a practical guide*. Springer, New York,
453 USA, 3-11.

454 Faria D, Laps RR, Baumgarten J, Cetra M. 2007. Bat and bird assemblages from
455 forests and shade cacao plantations in two contrasting landscapes in the Atlantic
456 Forest of southern Bahia, Brazil. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 15: 587-612.

457 Ferreira V, Boyero L, Calvo C, Correa F, Figueroa R, Gonçalves JF, Goyenola G, Graça
458 MA, Hepp LU, Kariuki S, López-Rodríguez A. 2019. A Global assessment of the
459 effects of eucalyptus plantations on stream ecosystem functioning. *Ecosystems* 22:
460 629-642.

461 Fontes AG, Gama-Rodrigues AC, Gama-Rodrigues EF, Sales MVS, Costa MG,
462 Machado RCR. 2014. Nutrient stocks in litterfall and litter in cocoa agroforests in
463 Brazil. *Plant and Soil* 383: 313-335.

464 França JS, Gregório RS, D'Arc de Paula J, Gonçalves Júnior JF, Ferreira FA, Callisto
465 M. 2009. Composition and dynamics of allochthonous organic matter inputs and
466 benthic stock in a Brazilian stream. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 60: 990-
467 998.

468 Gama-Rodrigues EF, Nair PKR, Nair VD, Gama-Rodrigues AC, Baligar VC, Machado
469 RCR. 2010. Carbon storage in soil size fractions under two cacao agroforestry
470 systems in Bahia, Brazil. *Environmental Management* 45: 274-283.

471 Gessner MO, Chauvet E, Dobson M. 1999. A perspective on leaf litter breakdown in
472 streams. *Oikos* 85: 377-384.

473 Gonçalves Júnior JF, Rezende RS, Gregorio RS, Valentin GC. 2014. Relationship
474 between dynamics of litterfall and riparian plant species in a tropical stream.
475 *Limnologica* 44: 40-8.

476 Johns ND. 1999. Conservation in Brazil's chocolate forest: the unlikely persistence of
477 the traditional cocoa agroecosystem. *Environmental Management* 23: 31-47.

478 Lemes da Silva AL, Lisboa LK, Siegloch AE, Petrucio MM, Gonçalves Júnior JF.
479 2017. Connecting the litterfall temporal dynamics and processing of coarse
480 particulate organic matter in a tropical stream. *Marine and Freshwater Research*
481 68: 1260.

482 Lindman J, Jonsson M, Burrows RM, Bundschuh M, Sponseller RA. 2017.
483 Composition of riparian litter input regulates organic matter decomposition:
484 Implications for headwater stream functioning in a managed forest landscape.
485 *Ecology and Evolution* 7: 1068-1077.-1271.

486 Lisboa LK, Da Silva ALL, Siegloch AE, Gonçalves Júnior JF, Petrucio MM. 2015.
487 Temporal dynamics of allochthonous coarse particulate organic matter in a
488 subtropical Atlantic rainforest Brazilian stream. *Marine and Freshwater Research*
489 66: 1-7.

490 Martini AMZ, Fiaschi P, Amorim AM, Paixão JL. 2007. A hot-point within a hot-spot:
491 a high diversity site in Brazil's Atlantic Forest. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 16:
492 3111-31288.

493 Mello DLN, Gross E. 2013. *Guia de manejo do agroecossistema cacau cabruca*.
494 Editora Instituto Cabruca, Ilhéus, Brazil.

495 Naiman RJ, Décamps H, Mcclain ME. 2005. *Riparian ecology, conservation, and*
496 *management of stream side communities*. Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington,
497 USA.

498 Nair PKR, Buresh RJ, Mugendi DN, Latt CR. 1999. Nutrient cycling in tropical
499 agroforestry systems: Myths and science. In Buck LE, Lassoie JP, Fernandes
500 ECM, ed. *Agroforestry in sustainable agricultural systems: Advances in*
501 *agroecology*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, pp. 1-31.

502 Neres-Lima V, Machado Silva F, Baptista DF, Oliveira RBS, Andrade PM, Oliveira A
503 F, Sasada Sato CY, Silva Junior EF, Feijó Lima R, Angelini R, Camargo PB,
504 Moulton TP. 2017. Allochthonous and autochthonous carbon flows in food webs
505 of tropical forest streams. *Freshwater Biology* 62: 1012-1023.

506 Piasentin FB, Saito CH, Sambuichi RHR. 2014. Local tree preferences in the cacao-
507 cabruca system in the southeast of Bahia, Brazil. *Ambiente & Sociedade* 17: 55-
508 78.

509 Pozo J, Elozegi A, Díez J, Molinero J. 2009. Dinámica y relevancia de la materia
510 orgánica. In Elozegi A, Sabater S, ed. *Conceptos y técnicas en ecología fluvial*.
511 Fundación BBVA, País Vasco, Espanha, 141-168.

512 Rezende RS, Sales MA, Hurbath F, Roque N, Gonçalves Júnior JF, Medeiros AO.
513 2017a. Effect of plant richness on the dynamics of coarse particulate organic
514 matter in a Brazilian Savannah stream. *Limnologica* 63: 57-64.

515 Rezende RS, Santos AM, Medeiros AO, Gonçalves Júnior JF. 2017b. Temporal leaf
516 litter breakdown in a tropical riparian forest with an open canopy. *Limnetica* 36:
517 445-459.

518 Rezende RS, Medeiros AO, Gonçalves Júnior JF, Feio MJ, Gusmão EP, de Andrade
519 Gomes VÂ, Calor A, Almeida JDSD. 2019. Patterns of litter inputs,
520 hyphomycetes and invertebrates in a Brazilian savanna stream: a process of
521 degradative succession. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 35: 297-307.

522 Rolim SG, Chiarello AG. 2004. Slow death of Atlantic forest trees in cocoa agroforestry
523 in southeastern Brazil. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 13: 2679-2694.

524 Rolim SG, Sambuichi RHR, Schroth G, Nascimento MT, Gomes JML. 2017. Recovery
525 of forest and phylogenetic structure in abandoned cocoa agroforestry in the
526 Atlantic Forest of Brazil. *Environmental Management* 59: 410-418.

- 527 Sambuichi RHR. 2002. Fitossociologia e diversidade de espécies arbóreas em cabruca
528 (Mata Atlântica raleada sobre plantação de cacau) na região sul da Bahia, Brasil.
529 *Acta Botanica Brasiliensis* 16: 89-101.
- 530 Sambuichi RHR, Haridasan M. 2007. Recovery of species richness and conservation of
531 native Atlantic Forest trees in the cacao plantations of southern Bahia in Brazil.
532 *Biodiversity and Conservation* 16: 3681-3701.
- 533 Sambuichi RH, Vidal DB, Piasentin FB, Jardim, JG, Viana, TG, Menezes AA, Baligar
534 VC. 2012. Cabruca agroforests in southern Bahia, Brazil: tree component,
535 management practices, and tree species conservation. *Biodiversity and*
536 *Conservation* 21: 1055-1077.
- 537 Santos ERM, Araujo QR, Faria Filho AF, Vieira RB, Assunção Neto JF, Cabral LCC.
538 2016. Aspectos geoambientais dos recursos hídricos das propriedades rurais do
539 Projeto Barro Preto, Bahia, Brasil. In: Bruck MME, Lorandi R. ed. *Métodos e*
540 *técnicas de pesquisa em bacias hidrográficas*. Editus, Ilhéus, Brazil, 121-138.
- 541 Schroth G, Faria D, Araujo M, Bede L, Van Bael SA, Cassano CR, Oliveira LC,
542 Delabie JH. 2011. Conservation in tropical landscape mosaics: the case of the
543 cacao landscape of southern Bahia, Brazil. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 20:
544 1635-1654.
- 545 Seená S, Carvalho F, Cássio F, Pascoal C. 2017. Does the developmental stage and
546 composition of riparian forest stand affect ecosystem functioning in streams?
547 *Science of the Total Environment* 609: 1500-1511.
- 548 Silva BM, Moro FV. 2008. Aspectos morfológicos do fruto, da semente e
549 desenvolvimento pós-seminal de faveira (*Clitoria fairchildiana* R. A. Howard. -
550 Fabaceae). *Revista Brasileira de Sementes* 30: 195-201.

551 Souza JCS, Pereira MA, Costa END, Silva DML. 2017. Nitrogen dynamics in soil
552 solution under different land uses: Atlantic forest and cacao–cabruca system.
553 *Agroforestry Systems* 92: 425-435.

554 Sutfin NA, Wohl EE, Dwire KA. 2016. Banking carbon: a review of organic carbon
555 storage and physical factors influencing retention in floodplains and riparian
556 ecosystems. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 41: 38-60.

557 Tank JL, Rosi-Marshall EJ, Griffiths NA, Entekhabi SA, Stephen ML. 2010. A review of
558 allochthonous organic matter dynamics and metabolism in streams. *Journal of the*
559 *North American Benthological Society* 29: 118-146.

560 Taubert F, Fischer R, Groeneveld J, Lehmann S, Müller MS, Rödiger E, Wiegand T,
561 Huth A. 2018. Global patterns of tropical forest fragmentation. *Nature*,
562 554(7693): 519-522.

563 Tiegs SD, Costello DM, Isken MW, Woodward G, McIntyre PB, Gessner MO, Chauvet
564 E, Griffiths NA, Flecker AS, Acuña V, Albariño R, Allen DC, Alonso C, Andino
565 P, Arango C, Aroviita J, Barbosa MVM, Barmuta LA, Baxter CV, Bell TDC,
566 Bellinger B, Boyero L, Brown LE, Bruder A, Bruesewitz DA, Burdon FJ, Callisto
567 M, Canhoto C, Capps KA, Castillo MM, Clapcott J, Colas F, Colón-Gaud C,
568 Cornut J, Crespo-Pérez V, Cross WF, Culp JM, Danger M, Dangles O, Eyto E,
569 Derry AM, Villanueva VD, Douglas MM, Elosegi A, Encalada AC, Entekhabi S,
570 Espinosa R, Ethaiya D, Ferreira V, Ferriol C, Flanagan KM, Fleituch T, Follstad
571 Shah JJ, Barbosa AF, Friberg N, Frost PC, Garcia EA, Lago LG, Soto PEG, Ghate
572 S, Giling DP, Gilmer A, Gonçalves Jr. JF, Gonzales RK, Graça MAS, Grace M,
573 Grossart HP, Guérol F, Gulis V, Hepp LU, Higgins S, Hishi T, Huddart J,
574 Hudson J, Imberger S, Iñiguez-Armijos C, Iwata T, Janetski DJ, Jennings E,
575 Kirkwood AE, Koning AA, Kosten S, Kuehn KA, Laudon H, Leavitt PR, da Silva

576 ALL, Leroux SJ, LeRoy CJ, Lisi PJ, MacKenzie R, Marcarelli AM, Masese FO,
577 McKie BG, Medeiros AO, Meissner K, Miliša M, Mishra S, Miyake Y, Moerke
578 A, Mombrikotb S, Mooney R, Moulton T, Muotka T, Negishi JN, Neres-Lima V,
579 Nieminen ML, Nimptsch J, Ondruch J, Paavola R, Pardo I, Patrick CJ, Peeters
580 ETHM, Pozo J, Pringle C, Prussian A, Quenta E, Quesada A, Reid B, Richardson
581 JS, Rigosi A, Rincón J, Rîşnoveanu G, Robinson CT, Rodríguez-Gallego L,
582 Royer TV, Rusak J A, Santamans AC, Selmecky GB, Simiyu G, Skuja A, Smykla
583 J, Sridhar KR, Sponseller R, Stoler A, Swan CM, Szlag D, Mello FT, Tonkin JD,
584 Uusheimo S, Veach AM, Vilbaste S, Vought LBM, Wang CP, Webster JR,
585 Wilson PB, Woelfl S, Xenopoulos MA, Yates AG, Yoshimura C, Yule CM,
586 Zhang YX, Zwart JA. Global patterns and drivers of ecosystem functioning in
587 rivers and riparian zones. *Science Advances* 518, p.eaav0486.

588 Tonin AM, Boyero L, Bambi P, Pearson RG, Correa-Araneda F, Gonçalves Júnior JF.
589 2019. High within-stream replication is needed to predict litter fluxes in wet–dry
590 tropical streams. *Freshwater Biology* 65: 688-697. DOI:10.1111/fwb.13459

591 Tonin AM, Gonçalves JF, Bambi P, Couceiro SR, Feitoza LA, Fontana LE, Hamada N,
592 Hepp LU, Lezan-Kowalczyk VG, Leite GF, Lemes-Silva AL. 2017. Plant litter
593 dynamics in the forest-stream interface: precipitation is a major control across
594 tropical biomes. *Scientific Reports* 7: 10799. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-10576-8

595 Vannote RL, Minshall GW, Cummins KW, Sedell, JR, Cushing CE. 1980. The river
596 continuum concept. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 37: 130-
597 137.

598 Wantzen KM, Yule CM, Mattooko J.M, Pringle CM. 2008. Organic matter processing
599 in tropical streams. In: Dudgeon D, ed. *Tropical stream ecology*. Elsevier,
600 Amsterdam, Netherlands, 43-64

601 Webster J, Covich A, Tank J, Crockett, T. 1994. Retention of coarse organic particles in
602 streams in the southern Appalachian Mountains. *Journal of the North American*
603 *Benthological Society* 13: 140-150.

604 Wild R, Gücker B, Brauns M. 2019. Agricultural land use alters temporal dynamics and
605 the composition of organic matter in temperate headwater streams. *Freshwater*
606 *Science*, 38(3): 566-581.

607 Winbourne JB, Feng A, Reynolds L, Piotto D, Hastings MG, Porder S. 2018. Nitrogen
608 cycling during secondary succession in Atlantic Forest of Bahia, Brazil. *Scientific*
609 *Reports* 8: 1-9.

610 Wood SN. 2017. *Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R*. Chapman &
611 Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, EUA.

612 Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Walker N, Saveliev AA, Smith GM. 2009. *Mixed Effects Models*
613 *and Extensions in Ecology with R*. Springer-Verlag, New York, USA.

614
615
616
617 Figure 1
618 Location of study sites in secondary forest (SF), a managed agroforestry system (MC)
619 and an abandoned agroforestry system (AC) in northeastern Brazil

620 Figure 2
621 Daily precipitation at the study sites in secondary forest (SF), a managed agroforestry
622 system (MC) and an abandoned agroforestry system (AC) in northeastern Brazil

623
624 Figure 3
625 Sampling design to determine litter inputs and standing stocks in riparian zones and
626 streams

627
628 Figure 4
629 Inputs and standing stocks of various litter fractions in streams and riparian zones of
630 secondary forest (SF), a managed agroforestry system (MC) and an abandoned
631 agroforestry system (AC).
632 Black circles and vertical lines represent means and bootstrapped 95% confidence
633 intervals. Different numbers indicate significant differences of means as judged based
634 on non-overlapping confidence intervals.

Commented [MG7]: 1) Units in parentheses in y-axis labels
2) Branches instead of Branch
3) Better remove underlining between Reproductive and parts

635 **Figure 5**
636 Temporal changes of litter inputs (g dry mass m⁻² d⁻¹) and standing stocks (g dry mass
637 m⁻²) in secondary forest (SF), an abandoned agroforestry system (AC) and a managed
638 agroforestry system (MC). Also shown are F and P values as well as the effective
639 degrees of freedom (edf) of GAMM analyses. Continuous lines are the GAMM
640 smoothers and dotted lines indicate 95% confidence limits.

641
642 **Figure 6**
643 Summary of annual litter inputs (g dry mass m⁻² year⁻¹) and average standing stocks (g
644 dry mass m⁻²) in streams and riparian zones of secondary forest (SF), an abandoned
645 agroforestry system (AC) and a managed agroforestry system (MC)

646
647 **Table 1**
648 Absolute inputs (g m⁻² yr⁻¹) and standing stocks (g m⁻²) as well as relative contributions
649 (%) of various litter fractions to litter inputs and standings stocks in streams and riparian
650 zones of secondary forest (SF), a managed agroforestry system (MC) and an abandoned
651 agroforestry system (AC)

652 Supplemental files

653
654 **Figure_S1**
655
656 **Figure_S1**
657 Temporal changes of branch litter inputs (g dry mass m⁻² d⁻¹) and standing stocks (g dry
658 mass m⁻²) in secondary forest (SF), an abandoned agroforestry system (AC) and a
659 managed agroforestry system (MC). Also shown are F and P values as well as the
660 effective degrees of freedom (edf) of GAMM analyses. Continuous lines are the
661 GAMM smoothers and dotted lines indicate 95% confidence limits.

662
663 **Figure_S2**
664 Temporal changes of inputs (g dry mass m⁻² d⁻¹) and standing stocks (g dry mass m⁻²) of
665 reproductive plant parts in secondary forest (SF), an abandoned agroforestry system
666 (AC) and a managed agroforestry system (MC). Also shown are F and P values as well
667 as the effective degrees of freedom (edf) of GAMM analyses. Continuous lines are the
668 GAMM smoothers and dotted lines indicate 95% confidence limits.

669
670 **Figure_S3**
671 Temporal changes of miscellaneous litter inputs (g dry mass m⁻² d⁻¹) and standing stocks
672 (g dry mass m⁻²) in secondary forest (SF), an abandoned agroforestry system (AC) and a
673 managed agroforestry system (MC). Also shown are F and P values as well as the
674 effective degrees of freedom (edf) of GAMM analyses. Continuous lines are the
675 GAMM smoothers and dotted lines indicate 95% confidence limits.

676
677 **Table_S1**
678 Simplified two-way factorial generalized linear mixed-effects analysis to test for effects
679 of time (month), site (secondary forest, abandoned AFS, managed AFS) and the
680 interaction of both for leaves, branches, reproductive organs, and miscellaneous litter on

Commented [MG8]: 1) Please add data points (see letter)
2) Lettering for edf, F and P is pretty (i.e. too) small

Commented [MG10]: Correct values and units (see letter)

Deleted: leaf

Commented [MG11]: Also correct in figure

Deleted: d⁻¹

Commented [MG12]: Also correct numbers for standing stocks in the figure

Deleted: year⁻¹

Commented [MG13]: In the PDF it still says cabruca

Commented [MG14]: Note incorrect units for the absolute amounts of inputs. It must be g m⁻² yr⁻¹ contrary to the standing stocks (g m⁻²)

Deleted: amounts

Formatted: Superscript

Commented [MG15]: Info given twice in PDF file

Commented [MG16]: Still wrong in figure

Commented [MG17]: Lettering too small

Commented [MG18]: Still wrong in figure

Commented [MG19]: Lettering too small

Commented [MG20]: Still wrong in figure

Commented [MG21]: Lettering too small

Commented [MG22]: - n missing in "Deviation" - Chi squared

685 vertical input. AIC = Akaike Information Criterion, BIC = Bayesian Information
686 Criterion, logLik = log likelihood
687
688 Table_S2
689 Simplified two-way factorial generalized linear mixed-effects analysis to test for effects
690 of time (month), site (secondary forest, abandoned AFS, managed AFS) and the
691 interaction of both for leaves, branches, reproductive organs, and miscellaneous litter on
692 terrestrial input. AIC = Akaike Information Criterion, BIC = Bayesian Information
693 Criterion, logLik = log likelihood
694
695 DATASET
696 Raw data of litter inputs and standing stocks in streams and riparian zones of secondary
697 forest (SF), an abandoned agroforestry system (AS) and a managed agroforestry system
698 in northeastern Brazil
699
700 Table_S3
701 Simplified two-way factorial generalized linear mixed-effects analysis to test for the
702 effects of time (month), site (secondary forest, abandoned AFS, managed AFS) and the
703 interaction of both for leaves, branches, reproductive organs, and miscellaneous litter on
704 lateral input. AIC = Akaike Information Criterion, BIC = Bayesian Information
705 Criterion, logLik = log likelihood
706
707 Table_S4
708 Simplified two-way factorial generalized linear mixed-effects analysis to test for the
709 effects of time (month), site (secondary forest, abandoned AFS, managed AFS) and the
710 interaction of both for leaves, branches, reproductive organs, and miscellaneous litter
711 ~~standing stocks~~. AIC = Akaike Information Criterion, BIC = Bayesian Information
712 Criterion, logLik = log likelihood
713

Deleted: on

Deleted: input