

1 **Litter inputs and standing stocks in riparian zones and streams under**
2 **secondary forest and managed and abandoned cocoa agroforestry**
3 **systems**

Deleted: preserved

4
5 Haialla Carolina Rialli S. Brandão¹, Camila Andrade Coqueiro Moraes¹, Ana Paula
6 Silva¹, José Francisco Gonçalves Júnior², Renan de Souza Rezende³, Daniela Mariano
7 Lopes da Silva*¹

8
9 ¹Aquatic Biogeochemistry Lab, Department of Biological Sciences, Universidade
10 Estadual de Santa Cruz (UESC), Ilhéus Bahia, Brazil.

11 ²Limnology/Aquariparia Lab, Department of Ecology, IB, Universidade de Brasília
12 (UnB), Brasília, Distrito Federal, Brazil.

13 ³Universidade Comunitária da Região do Chapecó, Avenida Senador Atilio Francisco
14 Xavier Fontana, Engenho Braun 89809000 – Chapecó, SC – Brazil.

15
16 Corresponding Author:

17 Daniela Mariano Lopes da Silva
18 Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Rodovia Jorge Amado km 16, Ilhéus, Bahia,
19 Brazil

20 Email address: dmlsilva@uesc.br

21
22
23
24
25
26

28

29 **Abstract**

30 **Background.** Cocoa is an important tropical tree crop that is mainly cultivated in
31 agroforestry systems (AFS). This system, known as *cabruca* in northeastern Brazil,
32 holds promise to reconcile biodiversity conservation and economic development.

33 However, since cocoa AFS alters forest structure composition, it can affect litter
34 dynamics in riparian zones and streams. Thus, our objective was to determine litter
35 inputs and standing stocks in riparian zones and streams under three types of forest:
36 managed cocoa AFS, abandoned cocoa AFS, and secondary forest.

37 **Methods.** We determined terrestrial litter fall (TI), vertical (VI) and lateral (LI) litter
38 inputs to streams, and litter standing stocks on streambeds (BS) in the Atlantic Forest of
39 northeastern Brazil. Litter was collected every 30 days from August 2018 to July 2019
40 using custom-made traps. The litter was dried, separated into four fractions (leaves,
41 branches, reproductive organs, and miscellaneous material) and weighed.

42 **Results.** Terrestrial litter fall was similar in all forests, ranging from 57 g m⁻² in
43 secondary forest (SF) to 86 g m⁻² in abandoned cocoa AFS (AC). Vertical input was
44 higher in AC (58 g m⁻²) and MC (39 g m⁻²) than in SF (34 g m⁻²), whereas lateral input
45 was higher in MC (57 g m⁻²) than in AC (18 g m⁻²) and SF (24 g m⁻²). Standing stocks
46 followed the order SF>AC>MC, corresponding to 357, 251 and 84 g m⁻². Leaves
47 contributed most to all litter fractions in all forests. Reproductive plant parts accounted
48 for a larger proportion in managed AFS. Branches and miscellaneous litter were also
49 similar in all forests, except for higher benthic standing stocks of miscellaneous litter in
50 the SF. Despite differences in the amounts of litter inputs and standing stocks among the
51 forests, seasonal patterns in the abandoned AFS (AC) were more similar to those of the

Deleted: also

Deleted: , although the degree of such impacts is poorly known, because pertinent studies in cocoa AFSs are rare

Commented [MG1]: Thanks for the additions. Since the abstract now became very long, I made an effort to cut it down again to one page, yet without losing information.

Deleted: with

Deleted: ,

Deleted: 61 g m⁻² in managed cocoa AFS (MC) and

Deleted: with values of 58 g m⁻², 39 g m⁻², and

Deleted: , respectively.

Deleted: L

Deleted: other forests, respectively 57 g m⁻²

Deleted: M

Deleted: ,

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted: in AC

Deleted:

Deleted: in SF

Deleted: ing

Deleted:

Deleted: g m⁻² in SF

Deleted: g m⁻² in AC

Deleted: in MC

Deleted: represented the largest

Deleted: in the terrestrial litter fall, vertical and lateral inputs and standing stock

Deleted: study areas

Deleted: .

Deleted: M

Deleted: also exhibited a higher contribution of reproductive plant parts in all types of litter inputs and standing stocks

Deleted: generally

Deleted: secondary forest

Deleted: compared to managed and abandoned AFSs

86 secondary forest (SF) than the managed AFS, suggesting potential of abandoned AFS to
87 restore litter dynamics resembling those of secondary forests.

Deleted: ¶
¶
¶

91 **Introduction**

92 Riparian zones are important for the functioning of headwater streams (Vannote et al.,
93 1980; Naiman, Décamps & McClain, 2005), including in tropical zones (Gonçalves
94 Júnior et al., 2014; Bambi et al., 2016; Rezende et al., 2017a; Rezende et al., 2019;
95 Calderón et al., 2019). The riparian canopy limits instream primary production and
96 provides allochthonous organic matter to stream and riparian food webs in the form of
97 litter, which increases heterotrophic metabolism (Gonçalves Júnior et al., 2014;
98 Rezende et al., 2019). Therefore, litter dynamics are a fundamental characteristic of
99 headwater streams (Abelho & Graça, 1996; Neres-Lima et al., 2017). In the tropics,
100 litter is typically supplied throughout the year (Tonin et al., 2017), although this pattern
101 varies among forest types (Lindman et al., 2017; Seena et al., 2017), largely driven by
102 precipitation and temperature regimes (Bambi et al., 2016; Tonin et al., 2017). Changes
103 in the structure and composition of riparian forests can affect the supply of litter to
104 streams and their riparian zones (DeLong & Brusven, 1994; Ferreira et al., 2019; Wild,
105 Gücker & Brauns, 2019), as well as in-stream litter dynamics (Stufin, Wohl & Dwire,
106 2016; Tiegs et al., 2019).

107 Many tropical forests are jeopardized by rapid deforestation and expansion of
108 agriculture (Bawa et al., 2004). This includes the Atlantic Forest of Brazil as one of the
109 most threatened tropical forests worldwide (Winbourne et al., 2018; Taubert et al.,
110 2018). Agroforestry systems (AFSs), however, have potential to partly reconcile the
111 conservation of tropical forest patches with economic development (Cassano et al.,
112 2009; Schroth et al., 2011). One example is the cultivation of cocoa in the Atlantic
113 Forest of northeast Brazil where cocoa trees (*Theobroma cacao* L.) are grown in AFS
114 that cover a large portion of the remnant Atlantic Forest (Piasentin et al., 2014). The
115 cocoa trees are planted in the shade of native forest trees (dominant and codominant

Deleted:

117 strata) and are surrounded by natural vegetation. Therefore, cocoa AFS are thought to
118 cause less environmental impact than other crop systems (Johns, 1999; Sambuichi,
119 2002), with benefits for local biodiversity (Faria et al., 2007; Cassano et al., 2009;
120 Schroth et al., 2011). Important processes such as the incorporation of large amounts of
121 organic matter into the forest soil are indeed maintained in cocoa AFS (Beer et al.,
122 1998; Gama-Rodrigues et al., 2010; Barreto et al., 2011; Fontes et al., 2014; Costa et al.,
123 2018). Nevertheless, changes in the vegetation structure of AFS compared to
124 unmanaged forest may affect the amount of litter deposited in riparian zones (Delong &
125 Brusven, 1994; Wild, Gücker & Brauns, 2019) and supplied to streams (Gonçalves
126 Júnior et al., 2014).

127 Studies on litter dynamics in tropical streams and their riparian zones are scarce,
128 especially under cocoa AFS, although some evidence suggests that replacing cocoa AFS
129 changes the cycling of carbon and nitrogen in streams (Costa et al., 2017; Souza et al.,
130 2017; Costa et al., 2018), possibly as a result of altered litter supply by riparian
131 vegetation. Thus, the current study aimed to assess the influence of cocoa AFS on litter
132 dynamics by determining differences in secondary forest and managed and abandoned
133 AFS on litter inputs and benthic standing stocks in streams and riparian zones in these
134 forests. We expected that i) managed and abandoned cocoa AFS produce more litter
135 than secondary forest ~~where~~ forest structure (Curvelo et al., 2009; Dawoe, Isaac &
136 Quashie-Sam, 2010; Fontes et al., 2014) ~~and soil~~ carbon stocks ~~differ~~ (Gama-Rodrigues
137 et al. 2010, Costa et al. 2018) and ~~nutrients are rapid cycled~~ (Nair et al. 1999); ii)
138 streams running through ~~forests~~ with high litter production tend to receive larger
139 amounts of litter (França et al., 2009; Gonçalves Júnior et al., 2014), resulting in greater
140 litter standings stocks in the streambeds (Webster et al., 1994; Lisboa et al., 2015); and

- Deleted: due to
- Deleted: differences in
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: high productivity in cocoa AFS is related to
- Deleted: in soil
- Deleted: the rapid dynamics of
- Deleted: ing
- Deleted: areas

149 iii) seasonal patterns of litter inputs and standing stocks reflect precipitation patterns
150 because water availability controls litter production (Tonin et al., 2017).

Deleted: are driven by

Deleted: due to the control of

Deleted: on

152 **Methods**

153 *Study area*

154 The study was conducted in the riparian zones of three small watersheds (Fig. 1)
155 representing secondary forest (E 485415, N 8397615), abandoned cocoa AFS (E
156 481551, N 8364478), and managed cocoa AFS (E 448466, N 8363187). All sites are
157 located in the Atlantic Forest of southern Bahia in northeast Brazil. The Climate is wet
158 tropical (hot and humid with no defined dry season, Af according to the Köppen
159 classification) with annual rainfall ranging from 1100 to 2200 mm. The study streams
160 are second-order according to the Strahler classification. Daily rainfall data were
161 obtained from the website of the Real-Time Climate Monitoring Program of the
162 Northeast Region (PROCLIMA; <http://proclima.cptec.inpe.br>) for the municipalities of
163 Itacaré, Ilhéus, and Barro Preto (Fig. 2).

164 The secondary forest, which covers 9,275 ha, is located in a conservation area (Serra do
165 Conduru State Park - License 2017-013654/TEC/PESQ-0014) (Martini et al., 2007).

Commented [MG2]: More than nine thousand, right?

166 The vegetation is a mosaic of different developmental stages, including secondary forest
167 and remnants of mature forests with different degrees of selective logging in the past
168 ([Winbourne et al., 2018](#)). The uniform canopy of the forest exceeds 25 m in height and
169 includes a few emerging individual trees, epiphytes, large lianas, and a dense understory
170 (Martini et al., 2007; Costa et al., 2018). Tree species density levels in the area were
171 high at all sites, independent of forest successional stage: old growth forest totaled 144
172 species, old logged forest had 137 species, and recently logged forest 134. Of the

173 species recorded in the Serra do Conduru State Park, 51.4% are endemic to the Atlantic

Deleted: sampled

178 Forest and 26% occur only in the south of Bahia (Martini et al., 2007). The abandoned
 179 AFS covers 73.4 ha and is located in an AFS (Santa Cruz) where crop management was
 180 abandoned 20 years before the present study. Old cocoa trees and other, irregularly
 181 distributed species such as jackfruit, erythrina, embaúba, and jequitibá trees (Argôlo,
 182 2009) resulted in a medium level of shading (70%). The managed AFS is located in
 183 another AFS (Nova Harmonia) with a total area of 89.8 ha. It comprises areas under
 184 cocoa production, a forest patch in the central portion, and two areas undergoing
 185 regeneration (Santos et al., 2016). Management consists of pruning cocoa trees every
 186 six months, with the biomass left in place (Costa et al., 2018), **complemented by**
 187 vegetation cutting, and some liming for soil amelioration. The cocoa plants were spaced
 188 at 3x3m and intercropped with introduced shade trees (erythrina), **according to the**
 189 **inventory of the property**.
 190 *Litter inputs and benthic standing stocks*
 191 Litter inputs and benthic standing stocks were determined from August 2018 to July
 192 2019. **Details of the methodology are described** in Gonçalves Júnior et al. (2014) and
 193 Bambi et al. (2016). Terrestrial litter fall (TI), vertical (VI) and lateral (LI) litter inputs
 194 to streams, and litter deposited on the streambeds (benthic standing stock – BS) were
 195 assessed along 100 m stream stretches at each location (Figure 3).
 196 TI deposited on the riparian soil represents the amount of litter that can potentially be
 197 transported to the stream. It was collected with 10 nets (1 mm mesh, 2.5 m² total area),
 198 five on both sides of the streams, installed 1 m above the ground at 20 m distance from
 199 one another in the riparian zone. VI represents litter that falls directly into the streams
 200 from the riparian canopy. It was collected with 27 buckets (30 cm diameter, 1.9 m² total
 201 area) fixed **to trees**, perpendicular to the stream **channel** at a height of approximately 2
 202 m. The buckets were arranged in three groups of nine, spaced approximately 30 m apart

Deleted: .

Deleted: (

Commented [MG3]: Added statement not clear to me. Please rephrase.

Deleted:)

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: T

Deleted: has been presented

Deleted: ,

Deleted: ,

Deleted: in

212 with a distance of 1 m between the individual buckets. Small holes in the bottom of the
213 buckets allowed any collected water to drain. LI represents the indirect input of litter by
214 lateral movement from the forest floor to the stream due to gravity, runoff, wind, or
215 animal action. LI was collected with 10 nets (1 mm mesh, 0.5 m length, 1.5 m² total
216 area) arranged at ground level at the stream margins, five on both sides of the streams.
217 Total litter input to the streams was calculated as the sum of lateral and vertical inputs.
218 Finally, benthic standing stocks represent the litter accumulated on the streambed. It
219 was estimated by taking Surber samples (0.25 mm mesh, 0.45 m² total area), five in
220 each stream at 20 m distance from one another (Fig. 3).
221 The litter trapped in the nets and buckets was collected at monthly intervals and sorted
222 into four fractions upon return to the laboratory: leaves, branches (i.e. woody pieces less
223 than 25 cm in length), reproductive organs such as flowers and fruits, and miscellaneous
224 material (i.e. unidentified plant matter and animal remains). The sorted litter was dried
225 in an oven at 60 °C for 72 hours and weighed. TI, VI and LI were expressed in g dry
226 mass m⁻² d⁻¹ and BS in g dry mass m⁻², LI per m² was calculated by dividing the
227 collected litter mass by the trap width and multiplying the result by two (to account for
228 inputs from both stream banks) and by the mean channel width (Pozo et al., 2009). The
229 annual litter inputs to the streams and riparian zones corresponds to the sum of the mean
230 monthly litter inputs during the study year (Table 1).

231

232 *Statistical analysis*

233 Differences in litter inputs and benthic standing stocks among the forests were assessed

234 by generalized linear mixed-effects models (*glmer* function in the lme4 package of R)

235 with forest type (i.e. site), time and the interaction of trap and time as predictive

236 variables (Bates et al., 2015). We considered trap and time as random factors to account

Deleted: , 0,5 m length

Deleted: comparing two way factorial

Deleted: analysis

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: Italic, Font color: Auto

Deleted: among

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: local

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: effect (

Deleted: s

Deleted: /

Commented [MG4]: I am confused by this statement:
1) Do you mean forest or site by "local"? 2) I'm missing trap as a main factor.

Deleted: ;

Deleted: have

Deleted: s

Deleted: effect

Formatted: Font color: Auto

249 for the pseudoreplicated design of the study, since the three forest types were
 250 represented only by a single site each. P-values were obtained by likelihood ratio tests
 251 (chi-square distribution) of the full model against a partial model without the
 252 explanatory variable. All models were tested for error distribution by using the *lmp*
 253 package and function in R, and corrected for over- or underdispersion. Differences in
 254 litter inputs and standing stocks among forest types (sites) were also assessed by using
 255 bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals, which were computed by the bias-corrected and
 256 accelerated (BCa) method using the *boot* package and function in R, based on 1,000
 257 bootstrap replicates (Davison & Hinkley, 1997; Canty & Ripley, 2016). Differences
 258 were considered statistically significant when the bootstrapped confidence intervals did
 259 not overlap. Bootstrapping is robust against violations of common assumptions
 260 underlying parametric tests, such as normal distribution of the residuals and
 261 homogeneity of variances (Efron & Tibshirani, 1993; Johnson, 2001), which were
 262 observed for the litter input and standing stock data.
 263 Given their flexibility, generalized additive mixed models (GAMM) were used as an
 264 additional approach to explore the seasonal patterns in litter inputs (i.e. litterfall, lateral,
 265 and terrestrial inputs) and standing stocks (Tonin et al., 2017; 2019). (leaves, branches,
 266 reproductive matter, and miscellaneous) of the 12 months as a predictor in a normal
 267 distribution (identity-link function), nesting in with sites, as a random component of the
 268 models. Trap, and time were used as random factors in the GAMM models. The degree
 269 of smoothing in an additive model is expressed as effective degrees of freedom (edf).
 270 Higher edf values indicate a lower degree of linearity (i.e. here variation over time),
 271 with a value of 1 indicating a perfectly linear effect. The additive mixed models were
 272 fitted by using the *by* command in the *mgcv* package in R. Validation was used to
 273 estimate the optimal degree of smoothing (Wood, 2017). The residual spread within

Deleted: consider ...seudoreplicatedion...design of the study, since the three forest types were represented only by a single site each. The ...p...values were obtained by likelihood ratio tests (C

Formatted

Deleted: and package ...nd corrected for to...over- or under dispersion. As post hoc, leaf d...ifferences in litter from litterfall and lateral ...nputs to...streams and terrestrial input and benthic ...standing stocks among (benthic deposits in streambed) ...mong forest types (sites) were compared for the secondary forests, abandoned AFS and managed AFS areas were also assessed by comparing ...sing non-parametric bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals, which were computed by the bias-corrected and accelerated (BCa) method with

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted: and...n R package... based on 1,000 bootstrap replicates (Davison & Hinkley, 1997; Canty & Ripley, 2016). In the non-parametric bootstrapped analysis, statistical d...ifferences were considered statistically significant when the bootstrapped confidence intervals of all the AFS areas did not overlap. Bootstrapping iwa... robust against violations of common parametric

Commented [MG5]: Consider deletion

Deleted: moreover, it is a straightforward method that facilitates the interpretation of results (Efron & Tibshirani 1993; Johnson, 2001). Ordinary non-parametric bootstrap 95% confidence intervals were calculated using the BCa method with boot function and package, and based on 1,000 bootstrap replicates (Davison & Hinkley, 1997; Canty & Ripley, 2016).

Deleted: also

Commented [MG6]: Check spelling for consistency

Deleted: ...and terrestrial inputs) and standing stocks of the different four collected litter fractions

Commented [MG7]: Please make this a proper sentence and clarify the issue.

Deleted: he t...aps...and time were used as random components of ...actors in the GAMM models. In non-linear relationships, the additive models are a suitable and powerful tool to represent reality more accurately. ...he amount degree of smoothing in an additive model is expressed as effective degrees of freedom (edf). The h...igher an ...df values indicates, ...the ... lower the ...egree of linearity on a curve and...i.e. here variation over time), with with (...n...edf ...alue of 1 indicating a perfectly linear effect)... The additive mixed models were fitted by using the '

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted: '...command in the '

Deleted: '

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted: amount ...egree of smoothing (Wood, 2017). Based on previous studies, the GAMMs could be the best approach for seasonal testing (Tonin et al., 2017; 2019).

381 models among sampling dates was measured by using the *varIdent* function in *R*.
382 Additional analytical protocols, details of residual tests, and model validation
383 approaches are described in Zuur et al. (2009).

- Deleted: temporal
- Deleted: s
- Formatted: Font: Italic
- Formatted: Font: Italic
- Commented [MG8]: This is a general reference. However, you need say and reference what YOU did.
- Deleted: available

385 Results

386 Leaf material was the single largest litter fraction, with percentages >60% for TI and VI
387 and >70% for LI in the secondary forest and abandoned AFS (Fig. 4, Table 1). In the
388 managed AFS, the percentages of TI, VI, and LI were 56, 41, and 62%, respectively.
389 Leaves also represented large portions of the instream standing stock of litter, 61% in
390 the abandoned AFS, 57% in the managed AFS, and 38% in the secondary forest.

391 Miscellaneous types of organic matter was the second most abundant litter fraction of
392 TI and VI observed in secondary forest and abandoned AFS (23% and 16% for TI, 23%
393 and 15% for VI). Miscellaneous litter accounted for 43% of the standing stock in the
394 secondary forest (Table 1). Branches were also a large portion of the litter standing
395 stock in secondary forest (19%) and abandoned AFS (16%) (Table 1). Because of their
396 sporadic and transient occurrence, reproductive parts were a low proportion of the total
397 litter, except in managed AFS (Table 1), where they accounted for a higher proportion
398 in all types of litter inputs and standing stocks (Fig. 4c,g,k,o). Branches and
399 miscellaneous litter were generally similar in all forests, except for higher benthic
400 standing stocks of miscellaneous litter in the secondary forest (Fig. 4).

- Deleted: , respectively
- Formatted
- Deleted: litter of
- Deleted: represented
- Deleted: s
- Deleted: instream
- Deleted: of
- Deleted: litter (
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: and TI (secondary forest 9%, abandoned AFS 12%)
- Deleted: accounted for
- Deleted: s
- Deleted: although this fraction was larger
- Deleted: .
- Deleted: Managed AFS also exhibited
- Deleted: contribution
- Deleted: of reproductive plant parts
- Deleted: 4
- Deleted: 4
- Deleted: and 4
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: compared to managed and abandoned AFSs
- Commented [MG9]: Ok?
- Deleted: in some cases,
- Deleted: there were
- Deleted: and 5
- Deleted: ¶
- Deleted: ¶

401 The greatest seasonal variation in litter inputs and standing stocks was found for leaves,
402 with the highest contributions during the transition between dry and rainy months and
403 two seasonal peaks in some cases (Fig. 5a,c). Seasonal variation was less pronounced
404 and generally not significant for branches, reproductive plant parts, and miscellaneous
405 litter (Figs S1 to S3). Vertical litter inputs showed seasonal patterns for leaves in the

436 secondary forest (effective degrees of freedom – edf = 5.5; Fig. 5d and abandoned AFS
 437 (edf = 5.1; Fig. 5e), with higher contributions during the rainy months, from November
 438 to February, in both SF and AC. In managed AFS, the contribution of leaves decreased
 439 linearly over time, as reflected by an edf value close to 1 (Fig. 5f). Terrestrial leaf inputs
 440 showed a sinusoidal pattern in the secondary forest (Fig. 5a) and managed AFS (Fig.
 441 5c), which was reflected by high edf values of 7.0 and 6.7, respectively. A peak in the
 442 rainiest months was observed in all three forests but the second peak was missing in the
 443 abandoned AFS (Fig. 5b). The largest lateral inputs of leaves were observed from
 444 January to March in managed AFS (edf = 1.9; Fig. 5f) the period of least rainfall (Fig.
 445 2). Standing stocks of leaf litter showed different trends than leaf litter inputs. The sine
 446 wave shifted to the right in SF, indicating that leaf input occurred just after the rainiest
 447 periods (peak in April; edf = 3.5; Fig. 5j) and abandoned AFS (minimum in November
 448 and maximum in February; edf = 5.6; Fig. 5k). No seasonal patterns were observed for
 449 leaf litter of managed AFS (edf = 1; Fig. 5f.i.l).
 450 Total annual litter fall in the riparian zone of the abandoned AFS, managed AFS, and
 451 secondary forest was 181, 122, and 118 g dry mass m⁻², respectively. In the abandoned
 452 and managed AFS, 56% of the terrestrial litter input (TI) was deposited on the forest
 453 floor and 44% directly entered the streams through vertical litter input (VI). In the
 454 secondary forest, the percentages of TI and VI were 63% and 37%, respectively. In the
 455 managed AFS, 66% of the total litter fall in the riparian zone entered the stream, by
 456 lateral movement and 34% remained in the riparian zone. In the abandoned AFS, only
 457 16% entered the stream and 84% remained in the riparian zone. The corresponding
 458 percentages in the secondary forest were 37% and 63%. The average annual total litter
 459 standing stock in the managed AFS was more than two times lower than in the
 460 abandoned AFS and more than three times lower than in the secondary forest (Fig. 6).

Deleted: in
 Deleted: the
 Deleted: wasn't constant but

Deleted:

Deleted: ,
 Deleted: ure
 Deleted: lowest
 Deleted: peak
 Deleted: highest peak

Deleted: ,
 Deleted: ure
 Deleted: ,
 Deleted: ure
 Deleted: 5
 Deleted: and 5

Deleted: ¶
 Deleted: through terrestrial input (TI) while
 Deleted: was
 Deleted: deposited in

Deleted:
 Deleted: of the total TI,
 Deleted: s
 Deleted: .

Deleted: the accumulate
 Deleted: soil of the
 Deleted: the soil of

Deleted: I
 Deleted: ,
 Deleted: %
 Deleted: of the litter fall entered the stream
 Deleted: remained in the soil of the riparian zone

492

493 Discussion

494 Riparian zones with natural vegetation are important for the structural and functional
 495 integrity of streams (Gonçalves Junior et al., 2014, Rezende et al., 2017b). Our results
 496 on the contribution of leaf litter in forests subject to different management practices are
 497 important information to assess the role of cocoa agroforestry in efforts to restore,
 498 impacted forests in northeastern Brazil. Previous studies in the area have reported that
 499 the cocoa agroforestry system alters the biogeochemistry of C and N (Costa et al., 2017,
 500 2018, Souza et al., 2017). However, these studies could not determine which forest
 501 attributes determined the observed changes in C and N cycling. Although differences
 502 between forests could be associated with different management regimes, it is necessary
 503 also to consider the potential influence of other factors that could not be evaluated in
 504 that study.

505 High litter production in the abandoned AFS may be due to the riparian vegetation
 506 structure (Gonçalves Junior et al., 2014; Rezende et al., 2017a) and successional stage,
 507 during forest recovery (Sambuichi & Haridasan, 2007; Rolim et al., 2017). Factors such
 508 as abundant deposits of crop biomass (Beer et al., 1998), which are related to high
 509 carbon stocks in the soil (Gama-Rodrigues et al., 2010; Costa et al., 2018), and rapid
 510 nutrient cycling in these systems (Nair et al., 1999) are likely to play a role. The pattern
 511 of low total litter inputs to the abandoned AFS was more similar to that in the secondary
 512 forest than the managed AFS. Streams in abandoned AFS are usually lined by riparian
 513 vegetation (Ferreira et al., 2019), similar to that of streams in secondary forest, whereas
 514 in managed AFS, native shade trees are replaced by species with high commercial value
 515 (Cassano et al., 2009, Piasentin Saito & Sambuichi, 2014). Additional factors linked to
 516 higher litter production in abandoned AFS (Gama-Rodrigues et al., 2010) include

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

Deleted: The results indicate that (i) production (terrestrial inputs) was similar for the secondary forest (SF), abandoned AFS (AC), and managed AFS (MC), following the order AC>SF>MC; (ii) litterfall to the stream (vertical input) and terrestrial inputs were higher in the abandoned AFS (higher leaf input); (iii) lateral input was higher in the managed AFS than in the other areas (except for branch); (iv) and total benthic stock was higher in the secondary forest than in the abandoned and managed AFS.¶
 Although both AFS were expected to produce more litter (Dawoo, Isaac & Quashie-Sam, 2010; Fontes et al., 2014) than the secondary forest, the abandoned AFS was the most productive area and its streams received greater vertical litter input than the managed AFS and the secondary forest.

Moved (insertion) [1]

Deleted: findings ... results represent an unprecedented result about ... n the contribution of leaf litter in areas ... orests subject to with ... ifferent management practices management in cocoa systems. These results will be

Deleted: to fill gaps and answer some questions... nformation about ... o assess the role of the cocoa agroforestry system of cocoa that plays an important role ... n the ... efforts to restoration of ... impacted forests in northeastern Brazil mainly in the *cabruca* system

Moved down [2]: (Costa et al., 2017, 2018, Souza et al., 2017)

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted: same ... reas

Commented [MG12]: In soils, streams, riparian areas?

Moved (insertion) [2]

Deleted: in ... these studies it was ... ould not possible to determine which forest attributes of these systems were determinant for ... the ... observed changes in C and N cycling. Despite ... lthough the ... ifferences observed between different ... orests that may ... ould ... be associated with different management regimes, as highlighted in ours results on the vertical and lateral input and standing stock, ... t is necessary also to consider the potential influence of other factors that still ... ould not be evaluated in that is

Deleted: explained by ... ue to the phytophysiognomy of riparian vegetation structure (Gonçalves Junior et al., 2014; Rezende et al., 2017a) and successional stages ... of plant community ... uring forest recovery (Sambuichi & Haridasan, 2007; Rolim et al., 2017). High production in the abandoned AFS may be caused by f ... actors such as abundant deposits of crop biomass from crops ... Beer et al., 1998), which is ... re related influenced by the consequent increase in ... o high carbon stocks in the soil, ... when compared to the other area

Moved up [1]: Riparian zones with natural vegetation are important for the structural and functional integrity of streams (Gonçalves Junior et al., 2014, Rezende et al., 2017b).

Deleted: Moreover, the results highlight that tree configuration of the AFS had ecological implications for th

Commented [MG15]: Please double check meaning

Deleted: in terms of balanced low total litter input compared to ... han the intensively ... anaged AFS. Streams in abandoned AFS are usually lined by R ... iparian vegetation near the

709 greater stand age of the vegetation. Moreover, leaf surface area may be greater in the
 710 shaded stands where low solar radiation limits rates of photosynthesis (Beer et al.,
 711 1998). These factors tend to increase litter production, to which leaves contribute the
 712 largest fraction (Gonçalves Junior et al., 2014; Bambi et al., 2016; Tonin et al., 2017).
 713 Higher litter production in the abandoned AFS is reflected in the observed spatial and
 714 seasonal patterns of litter inputs and standing stocks, which were also closer to those in
 715 the secondary forest than in the managed AFS. This indicates that abandoned AFS, with
 716 little human intervention, may provide favorable conditions for forest regeneration
 717 (Rolim et al., 2017) and could thus be valuable strategic sites for the conservation of
 718 riparian forest remnants in the Atlantic Forest of Brazil (Faria et al., 2007; Cassano et
 719 al., 2009; Scroth et al., 2011; Sambuichi et al., 2012). The presence of pioneer species in
 720 abandoned AFS could be a critical factor promoting this regeneration by facilitating
 721 ecological succession (Rolim & Chiarello 2004, Sambuichi & Haridasan 2007,
 722 Sambuichi et al. 2012).

723 Crop management, which involves hoeing and soil cleaning (Sambuichi et al., 2012;
 724 Mello & Gross, 2013), may be a critical factor accounting for the observed tendency of
 725 greater lateral litter inputs to streams in managed AFS. Possible mechanisms include
 726 facilitation of litter leaching and movement along streams by runoff (Afonso, Henry &
 727 Rodella, 2000; Wantzen et al., 2008) as well as effects on the structure and composition
 728 of the tree vegetation (Deheuvels et al., 2014). Furthermore, some management
 729 practices introduce exotic species necessary for cultivation (Sambuichi, 2002; Piasentin,
 730 Saito & Sambuichi, 2014; Rolim et al., 2017) and involve thinning of the vegetation to
 731 obtain the desired shade levels for crop production (Johns, 1999). ↓

732 The management practices in cocoa cultivation and phenology of the shade species may
 733 also have determined the greater contribution of reproductive plant parts in the managed

Deleted: , which is greater in older areas... Abandoned AFSs tend to be favorable environments for forest regeneration and provide more shade than managed AFSs (Rolim et al., 2017). ...oreover, the ...eaf surface area may increase ...e greater in the more ...haded stands where low solar radiation limits areas due to lower ...ates of photosynthesis caused by lower amounts of solar radiation (Beer et al., 1998). These factors tend to increase biomass contribution...litter production, to whichdue to the increased leaf surface since...leaves contribute are ...he largest fraction main component of this litter

Deleted: The h...igher litter production of litter ...n the abandoned AFS is corroborated by...elected in the observed spatial and seasonal patterns in this area. The...f litter inputs and standing stocks, which in the abandoned AFS ...ere also closer to those in the secondary forest than in the managed AFS. This indicates thattherefore,...abandoned AFSs...with little human interventionlow management...may have highly...rovide favorable conditions for the ...orest regeneration of tropical forests ...Rolim et al., 2017) and could thus can ...e used...aluable as ...trategic sites for the conservation of riparian areas for tropical ...orest remnants in riparian zones of

Commented [MG17]: This is mentioned for the first time here. Please reconsider.

Deleted: explain ...e a critical factor promoting this regeneration process due to the...y facilitating ecological succession process

Deleted: The tendency toward increased lateral contribution in the managed AFS may be caused by c...rop management, which,...which ...nvolves hoeing and soil cleaning (Sambuichi et al., 2012; Mello & Gross, 2013), may be a critical factor accounting for the observed tendency of greater lateral litter inputs to streams in managed AFS. Possible mechanisms includeand can... facilitation ofe...litter leaching and transport ...ovement of detritus ...long the side of the streams through ...y runoff (Afonso, Henry & Rodella, 2000; Wantzen et al., 2008) as well as...In cocoa AFS areas, management could ...a...ffects on the structure and composition of the tree vegetation (Deheuvels et al., 2014). Furthermore, some management practices are related to the introduction...of ...xotic species necessary for cultivation (Sambuichi, 2002; Piasentin, Saito & Sambuichi, 2014; Rolim et al., 2017) and involve the ...hinning of the vegetation to obtain the desired shade levels for crop production (Johns, 1999). Another possible factor associated with the increased lateral contribution in the managed AFS is the morphology of the margins of this stream related to the topographic structure of the area, such as margin slope, soil characteristics, and runoff, all of which are closely related and favor lateral input to streams (Wantzen et al., 2008; Lisboa et al., 2015).

Deleted: The ...ay also have determined managed AFS also had ...he greater highest

846 AFS. This observation is related to the (Piasentin, Saito & Sambuichi, 2014; Rolim et
 847 al., 2017), high proportion of exotic species (e.g. *Artocarpus heterophyllus*, *Spondias*
 848 *mombin*, and, particularly in this study, *Clitoria fairchildiana*), which show different
 849 phenological patterns than native riparian forests (Sambuichi & Haridasan, 2007;
 850 Sambuichi et al., 2012). Furthermore, variation in the size, shape, texture and anatomy
 851 of reproductive plant parts of different tree species in different forest types could
 852 account for the higher contribution of this litter fraction in managed AFS. This applies
 853 particularly to fruits of the legume *Clitoria fairchildiana*, the species contributing most
 854 to the reproductive plant parts in litter vertical inputs and standing stocks in this forest.
 855 The large dry and dehiscent fruits of the tree are 25 to 30 cm long and 2.6 to 2.9 cm
 856 wide (Silva & M6ro, 2008). Rezende et al. (2017), A potentially higher nutritional
 857 quality of such reproductive plant parts compared to leaves may favor rapid
 858 decomposition of the litter supplied to tropical streams. However, the fruits of *Clitoria*
 859 *fairchildiana* and other species in the managed AFS we investigated may not provide a
 860 high-quality nutritional resource (Rezende et al., 2017), and we do not currently have
 861 data to evaluate the consequences for litter decomposition.
 862 The smaller contribution of lateral litter inputs in the secondary forest and abandoned
 863 AFS may be related to the greater tree density and physical heterogeneity of the stream
 864 margins (Naiman, D6camps & McClain et al., 2005). Although we did not estimate the
 865 biomass of roots and fallen tree trunks, differences among the forests were evident in
 866 the field. Further quantitative investigations could show to what extent complex root
 867 systems of the riparian vegetation reduce the lateral input of litter to stream and also
 868 runoff (Tank et al., 2010). Regular cutting of shade trees, and selective weeding in the
 869 managed AFS prevented the loss of cocoa trees due to decomposing wood pieces falling
 870 from the canopy of shade trees (Johns, 1999; Piasentin, Saito & Sambuichi, 2014).

Deleted: ... This observation behavior may be explained by...s related to the management and phenology of shade species used in cocoa cultivation

Formatted: Strikethrough

Commented [MG20]: Where do these references belong?

Deleted: -

Deleted: The high contribution of reproductive matter in the managed AFS is caused by the ...igh presence ...roportion of exotic species (e.g. *Artocarpus heterophyllus*, *Spondias mombin*,...and, particularly in this study, *Clitoria fairchildiana*), which show with ...ifferent phenological patterns than native riparian forests (Sambuichi & Haridasan, 2007; Sambuichi et al., 2012). The ...urthermore, variation in the size, shape, texture and anatomy of reproductive matter plant parts of different tree species of ...n the ...ifferent forests...types could be affected to a...ccount for the higher contribution by ...f this litter fraction in managed AFS. This applies particularly to The ...ruits of the legume *Clitoria fairchildiana*, the species with the greatest ...ontributing moston...to the reproductive matter ...lant parts in litter vertical inputs and standing stocks in this forest. The ...he large dry and dehiscent fruits of the tree are legume, dehiscent, dry, brown, ...5 to 30 cm long and 2.6 to 2.9 cm wide (Silva & M6ro, 2008). Rezende et al. (2017). A potentially reported the ...igher nutritional quality input ...f such reproductive plant parts/flowers and fruits ...compared to leaves may favorin the tropical streams may imply an accelerated...rapid decomposition of the litter supplied due to a higher nutritional quality than leaves...ropical streams....Hh...eever, this study did not present the characteristics of ...he fruits in relation to size, shape and texture. In managed AFS, dried ...ruits of *Clitoria fairchildiana* and other species in the managed AFS we investigated may not provide be ... high-quality nutritional resource as found by ...Rezende et al., (...017), and we do not currently have data to evaluate the consequences for litter decomposition. Thus, a study on the quality of managed AFS fruits is needed to elucidate whether productive plant parts tend to decompose faster in this stream.

Commented [MG21]: Consider deleting this whole paragraph.

Deleted: lateral ...ontributions

Deleted: found ...n the secondary forest and the ...bandoned AFS may be related to the greater tree density of trees ...nd physical heterogeneity of the stream margins (Naiman, D6camps & McClain et al., 2005). Although this study did...e did not estimate the biomass of roots and fallen tree trunks, this aspect was commonly...ifferences among the forests were evidenced...by ...n the field observations in secondary forest and abandoned AFS... Further quantitative investigations could show to what extentConsidering that the...complex root systems of the riparian vegetation reduce the lateral input of litter to stream and also reduces ...unoff (Tank et al., 2010), further investigations are needed to assess whether structures plants in the soil affect the lateral input of litter to stream in these forests... In the managed AFS, r...egular shade tree ...utting of shade trees,...and selective weeding in the managed AFS prevented the loss of cocoa trees due to the fall of ...ecomposing fragments

Commented [MG22]: What is the point of this statement? Recast correct?

987 Litter standing stocks in ecosystems are the net result of litter inputs and losses by
 988 movement and decomposition (Elosegi & Pozo, 2005; Tank et al., 2010). The high
 989 standing stocks we observed in streams of secondary forests could imply high inputs
 990 (França et al., 2009; Lisboa et al., 2015; Bambi et al., 2016) combined with slow
 991 decomposition and downstream transport (Gonçalves Júnior et al., 2014; Rezende et al.,
 992 2017a). In particular, the high proportion of miscellaneous matter in the secondary
 993 forest stream could be related to rapid decomposition, Effective litter retention favors
 994 long residence times in streams and the generation of small organic particles released
 995 during decomposition instead of coarse litter being transported downstream (Bilby &
 996 Likens, 1980). This contrasts with the situation in the managed AFS where cocoa leaves
 997 are the predominant litter type, the high lignin and cellulose concentrations of which
 998 slow litter decomposition in the soil of cocoa AFS (Dawoe, Isaac & Quashie-Sam,
 999 2010) and likely also in streams (Tank et al., 2010; Lemes da Silva et al., 2017). In the
 1000 managed AFS, in contrast, it may have been the high proportion of recalcitrant
 1001 reproductive plant parts (see above) that favored high benthic standing stock in this
 1002 forest. This type of litter was rare in the secondary forest and abandoned AFS,
 1003 Our finding that litter inputs and standing stocks in the abandoned AFS were more
 1004 similar to those in the secondary forest than in the managed cocoa AFS suggests that
 1005 abandoning management measures, such as litter removal and soil cleaning, could
 1006 create favorable conditions for reestablishing natural litter dynamics in riparian zones
 1007 and streams of former AFSs. The capacity of tree species richness to regenerate is high
 1008 in those forests (Sambuichi & Haridasan, 2007). if surrounding vegetation remains
 1009 intact to provide a seed source for forest recovery (Rolim et al., 2017). It appears that
 1010 the absence of management in the riparian zone of abandoned AFS sufficiently reduces
 1011 pressure on species during regeneration (Rolim et al., 2017) for the phenology of

Deleted: Benthic...standing stocks reflects ...n ecosystems are the net result of functioning, especially ...litter inputs and losses by movement retention, transport...nd,...decomposition, respiration,...and consequent stability in the energy balance of the ecosystem (Elosegi & Pozo, 2005; Tank et al., 2010). The hH...gh standing stocks we observed in streams of secondary forests suggests ...ould imply that the stock is not only goverend high inputs (França et al., 2009; Lisboa et al., 2015; Bambi et al., 2016) but may also be related to...ombined with slow decomposition and downstream transport (Gonçalves Júnior et al., 2014; Rezende et al., 2017a). In particular, tT...e high amount ...roportion of miscellaneous matter in the secondary forest stream could be related to the level rapidof...rocessing of litter ...ecompositionin standing stock... In small order streams, ...ffective litter retention in the system ...avors processing ...ong residence times in streams and the generation of into ...mall organic particles released during decompositioner fractions

Commented [MG23]: You might want to cite a supportive paper here—Or does the paper by Bilby & Likens (1980) makes the case for fine particulate organic matter. Possibilities might include a paper I've been involved in myself (Gessner et al. 1999, Oikos), or maybe also Boyero et al. (2011), Ecol. Lett.

Deleted: in the headwater streams ...instead of coarse litter being transported downstream in the form of coarse particles (Bilby & Likens, 1980). This ¶ In

Formatted: Not Highlight

Deleted: ...the situation predominant cocoa leaves ...n the standing stock of cocoa...anaged AFS where cocoa leaves are the predominant litter type, thevegetation tend to be the potential energy source for the aquatic ecosystems of these areas...hH...gh lignin and cellulose concentrations of which lignin and cellulose in cocoa leaves ...lows...litter decomposition in the soil of cocoa AFS (Dawoe, Isaac & Quashie-Sam, 2010),...which is...nd likely the case ...Iso in streams (Tank et al., 2010; Lemes da Silva et al., 2017). In the managed AFS, in contrast, it may have been the high contribution ...roportion of recalcitrant reproductive matter plant parts (see above) that favored the ...igh benthic standing stock in this forest. Moreover, t...his type of litter fraction ...as small or absent...are in the secondary forest and abandoned AFS, are labile resources when compared to fractions such as leaf and branch (Lisboa et al., 2015; Rezende et al., 2017), and therefore they may appear sporadically throughout the year

Formatted: Not Highlight

Deleted: L...tter inputs and standing stocks in the abandoned AFS were closer ...ore similar to those in the secondary forest than in the managed cocoa AFS suggests...that Therefore, abandoned AFSs with poor...bandoning management measures, such as litter removal and soil cleaning, can ...ould provide ...reate favorable conditions for reestablishing the ...atural litter dynamics of litter, as found ...n more preserved ...iparian zones and streams of former AFSs. The Abandoned AFSs have a high ...apacity to regenerate the richness ...f tree species richness to regenerate is high in those forests after abandonment ...Sambuichi & Haridasan, 2007) due to the absence of management activities, such as litter removal and soil cleaning... if...The remaining and ...urrounding forest...vegetation ...remains intact to functions as...rovide

1174 species to become the main factor determining litter dynamics, Intensive management,
1175 in contrast, overrides the importance of phenology by altering the structure of riparian
1176 plant communities (Delong & Brusven, 1994; Ferreira et al. 2019).

Deleted: is ...o become the main factor for the...etermining litter dynamics of litter in these riparian zones since they exclude the effect of management in these areas... More i...ntensive anthropogenic ...anagement, in contrast, overrides the importance of phenology may ...y altering the structure of riparian plant communities and affect ecosystem functioning over time

1178 **Conclusion**

Deleted: s

1179 Although our study was restricted to one location for each of the three investigated
1180 forest types (SF, AC and MC), our findings are a starting point to evaluate differences
1181 in litter inputs and standing stocks between those forests. The observed similarity with
1182 litter inputs and standing stocks in the secondary forest suggests potential of the
1183 abandoned AFS to provide favorable conditions for restoring natural litter dynamics in
1184 streams and riparian zones. However, future investigations are needed to elucidate the
1185 nutritional quality of litter and its variation depending on the composition and structure
1186 of the riparian vegetation.

Deleted: Even ...lthough our study was conducted restricted to in only ...ne representative area...ocation for of each of the three investigated forest types of vegetation ...SF, AC and MC), these ...ur findings still represents...re a starting point in the...o evaluateion...of ...ifferences the ...n litter inputs and standing stocks contribution in...etween these areas...hose forests. Our results highlighted that, t...he high ...bserved similarity with litter inputs and standing stocks of ...n the secondary forest with the abandoned AFS in terms of litter input and stock demonstrated...uggests potential the...f potential of ...he abandoned AFS to provide some ...avorable conditions for the ...estoring natural ation of ecological processes such as ...itter dynamics in streams and riparian zones. However, future investigations are necessary ...eeded to further ...lucidate the nutritional quality of this ...itter and whether ...ts variations...according to...depending on the composition and structure of the riparian vegetation in these areas

1188 **Acknowledgements**

1189 We are grateful to Mr. Hermann Rehem, for granting us permission to collect data on his
1190 farm. We also appreciate the support and partnership of the Aquariparia research group
1191 at the Universidade de Brasilia - UnB. Finally, we thank Ms. Cipriana Leme for
1192 language editing.

Deleted: ...owner of Nova Harmonia farm ...or granting us the license...ermission to collect data on his farm. We also appreciate the support and partnership of the Aquariparia research group at the Universidade de Brasilia -... UnB. Finally, W...e also ...hank to ...s. Cipriana Leme for the English revision of this manuscript

1194 **References**

1195 Abelho M, Graça, AS. 1996. Effects of eucalyptus afforestation on leaf litter dynamics
1196 and macroinvertebrate community structure of streams in Central Portugal.
1197 *Hydrobiologia* 324: 195-204.

1278 Afonso AAO, Henry R, Rodella RCSM. 2000. Allochthonous matter input in two
 1279 different stretches of a headstream (Itatinga, São Paulo, Brazil). *Brazilian*
 1280 *Archives of Biology and Technology* 43: 335-43.

1281 Argôlo LMH. 2009. Avaliação de genótipos de *Heliconia* spp. sob cultivo a pleno sol e
 1282 cabruca. Master's Thesis. Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz.

1283 Bambi P, Rezende RS, Feio MJ, Leite GFM, Alvin E, Quintão JMB, Araújo F,
 1284 Gonçalves Júnior JF. 2016. Temporal and spatial patterns in inputs and stock of
 1285 organic matter in savannah streams of central Brazil. *Ecosystems* 20: 757-768.

1286 Barreto PA, Gama-Rodrigues EF, Gama-Rodrigues AC, Fontes AG, Polidoro JC, Moço
 1287 MKS, Machado RC, Baligar VC. 2011. Distribution of oxidizable organic C
 1288 fractions in soils under cacao agroforestry systems in Southern Bahia, Brazil.
 1289 *Agroforestry systems* 81:213-220.

1290 Bawa KS, Kress WJ, Nadkarni NM, Lele SR, Janzen DH, Lugo AE, Ashton PS,
 1291 Lovejoy TE. 2004. Tropical ecosystems into the 21st century. *Science* 306: 227-
 1292 228.

1293 Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker BM, Walker SC. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models
 1294 using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* 67: 1-48.

1295 Beer J, Muschler R, Somarriba E, Kass D. 1998. Shade management in coffee and
 1296 cacao plantations. *Agroforestry Systems* 38: 139-164.

1297 Bilby RE, Likens GE. 1980. Importance of organic debris dams in the structure and
 1298 function of stream ecosystems. *Ecology* 61: 1107-1113.

1299 Calderón CC, Rezende RS, Calor A, Dahora JAS, Aragao LN, Guedes L, Caiafa AN,
 1300 Medeiros AO. 2019. Temporal dynamics of organic matter, hyphomycetes and
 1301 invertebrate communities in a Brazilian savanna stream. *Community Ecology* 20:
 1302 301-313.

Deleted: DOI: 10.1007/s10457-010-9300-4

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, Hanging: 1 cm

Deleted: a

Deleted: O

Deleted: L

Deleted: M

Deleted: E

Deleted: M

Deleted: I

Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)

Deleted: Boyero L, Pearson RG, Gessner MO, Barmuta, LA, Ferreira V, Graça MA, Dudgeon, D, Boulton AJ, Callisto M, Chauvet E, Helson JE. 2011. A global experiment suggests climate warming will not accelerate litter decomposition in streams but might reduce carbon sequestration. *Ecology letters* 14: 289-294.¶

- 1317 Canty AJ, Ripley B. 2016. **Boot: Bootstrap R (S-Plus) functions.**
- 1318 Cassano, CR, Schroth, G, Faria D, Delabie JHC, Bede L. 2009. Landscape and farm
1319 scale management to enhance biodiversity conservation in the cocoa producing
1320 region of southern Bahia, Brazil. *Biodiversity Conservation* 18: 577-603.
- 1321 Costa END, De Souza MFL, Marrocos PCL, Lobão D, Silva DML. 2018. Soil organic
1322 matter and CO2 fluxes in small tropical watersheds under forest and cacao
1323 agroforestry. *PloS one* 13 (7). DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0200550.
- 1324 Costa END, Souza JC, Pereira MA, Souza WFL, Souza MFL, Silva DML. 2017.
1325 Influence of hydrological pathways on dissolved organic carbon fluxes in tropical
1326 streams. *Ecology and Evolution* 1: 1-12.
- 1327 Curvelo K, Calasans NA, Lobão, DE, Sodré, GA, Pereira, JM, Marrocos, PCL, Barbosa,
1328 JW, Valle, RR. 2009. Aporte de nutrientes na serapilheira e na água do solo em
1329 cacau-cabruca, floresta secundária e pastagem. *Agrotrópica* 21: 55 – 64.
- 1330 Davison AC, Hinkley DV. 1997. *Bootstrap methods and their application*. Cambridge
1331 University Press, Cambridge, England.
- 1332 Dawoe EK, Isaac ME, Quashie ASJ. 2010. Litterfall and litternutrient dynamics under
1333 cocoa ecosystems in lowland humid Ghana. *Plant and Soil* 330: 55-64.
- 1334 Deheuvels O, Rousseau GX, Quiroga GS, Franco MD, Cerda R, Mendoza SJV,
1335 Somarriba E. 2014. Biodiversity is affected by changes in management intensity
1336 of cocoa-based agroforests. *Agroforestry Systems* 88: 1081-1099.
- 1337 Delong MD, Brusven MA. 1994. Allochthonous input of organic matter from different
1338 riparian habitat of an agricultural impacted stream. *Environmental Management*.
1339 18: 59-71.
- 1340 Efron B, Tibshirani R. 1993. *An introduction to the bootstrap*. Chapman & Hall, New
1341 York, USA.

Commented [MG25]: Reference incomplete

Deleted: DOI: 10.1007/s10531-008-9526-x

1343 Elosegı A, Pozo J. 2005. Litter input. In Graça, MAS, Bärlocher F, Gessner MO, ed.
1344 *Methods to study litter decomposition: a practical guide*. Springer, New York,
1345 USA, 3-11.

1346 Faria D, Laps RR, Baumgarten J, Cetra M. 2007. Bat and bird assemblages from
1347 forests and shade cacao plantations in two contrasting landscapes in the Atlantic
1348 Forest of southern Bahia, Brazil. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 15: 587-612.

1349 Ferreira V, Boyero L, Calvo C, Correa F, Figueroa R, Gonçalves JF, Goyenola G, Graça
1350 MA, Hepp LU, Kariuki S, López-Rodríguez A. 2019. A Global assessment of the
1351 effects of eucalyptus plantations on stream ecosystem functioning. *Ecosystems* 22:
1352 629-642.

1353 Fontes AG, Gama-Rodrigues AC, Gama-Rodrigues EF, Sales MVS, Costa MG,
1354 Machado RCR. 2014. Nutrient stocks in litterfall and litter in cocoa agroforests in
1355 Brazil. *Plant and Soil* 383: 313-335.

1356 França JS, Gregório RS, D'Arc de Paula J, Gonçalves Júnior JF, Ferreira FA, Callisto
1357 M. 2009. Composition and dynamics of allochthonous organic matter inputs and
1358 benthic stock in a Brazilian stream. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 60: 990-
1359 998.

1360 Gama-Rodrigues EF, Nair PKR, Nair VD, Gama-Rodrigues AC, Baligar VC, Machado
1361 RCR. 2010. Carbon storage in soil size fractions under two cacao agroforestry
1362 systems in Bahia, Brazil. *Environmental Management* 45: 274-283.

1363 Gonçalves Júnior JF, Rezende RS, Gregorio RS, Valentin GC. 2014. Relationship
1364 between dynamics of litterfall and riparian plant species in a tropical stream.
1365 *Limnologica* 44: 40-8.

1366 Johns ND. 1999. Conservation in Brazil's chocolate forest: the unlikely persistence of
1367 the traditional cocoa agroecosystem. *Environmental Management* 23: 31-47.

- 1368 Johnson RW. 2001. *An introduction to the Bootstrap*. Teaching Statistics 23: 49-54.
1369 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9639.00050>
- 1370 Lemes da Silva AL, Lisboa LK, Siegloch AE, Petrucio MM, Gonçalves Júnior JF.
1371 2017. Connecting the litterfall temporal dynamics and processing of coarse
1372 particulate organic matter in a tropical stream. *Marine and Freshwater Research*
1373 68: 1260.
- 1374 Lindman J, Jonsson M, Burrows RM, Bundschuh M, Sponseller RA. 2017.
1375 Composition of riparian litter input regulates organic matter decomposition:
1376 Implications for headwater stream functioning in a managed forest landscape.
1377 *Ecology and Evolution* 7: 1068-1077.-1271.
- 1378 Lisboa LK, Da Silva ALL, Siegloch AE, Gonçalves Júnior JF, Petrucio MM. 2015.
1379 Temporal dynamics of allochthonous coarse particulate organic matter in a
1380 subtropical Atlantic rainforest Brazilian stream. *Marine and Freshwater Research*
1381 66: 1-7.
- 1382 Martini AMZ, Fiaschi P, Amorim AM, Paixão JL. 2007. A hot-point within a hot-spot:
1383 a high diversity site in Brazil's Atlantic Forest. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 16:
1384 3111-31288.
- 1385 Mello DLN, Gross E. 2013. *Guia de manejo do agroecossistema cacau cabruca*.
1386 Editora Instituto Cabruca, Ilhéus, Brazil.
- 1387 Naiman RJ, Décamps H, Mcclain ME. 2005. *Riparian ecology, conservation, and*
1388 *management of stream side communities*. Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington,
1389 USA.
- 1390 Nair PKR, Buresh RJ, Mugendi DN, Latt CR. 1999. Nutrient cycling in tropical
1391 agroforestry systems: Myths and science. In Buck LE, Lassoie JP, Fernandes

1392 ECM, ed. *Agroforestry in sustainable agricultural systems: Advances in*
1393 *agroecology*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, pp. 1-31.

1394 Neres-Lima V, Machado Silva F, Baptista DF, Oliveira RBS, Andrade PM, Oliveira A
1395 F, Sasada Sato CY, Silva Junior EF, Feijó Lima R, Angelini R, Camargo PB,
1396 Moulton TP. 2017. Allochthonous and autochthonous carbon flows in food webs
1397 of tropical forest streams. *Freshwater Biology* 62: 1012-1023.

1398 Piasentin FB, Saito CH, Sambuichi RHR. 2014. Local tree preferences in the cacao-
1399 cabruca system in the southeast of Bahia, Brazil. *Ambiente & Sociedade* 17: 55-
1400 78.

1401 Pozo J, Elosegui A, Díez J, Molinero J. 2009. Dinámica y relevancia de la materia
1402 orgánica. In Elosegui A, Sabater S, ed. *Conceptos y técnicas en ecología fluvial*.
1403 Fundación BBVA, País Vasco, Espanha, 141-168.

1404 Rezende RS, Sales MA, Hurbath F, Roque N, Gonçalves Júnior JF, Medeiros AO.
1405 2017a. Effect of plant richness on the dynamics of coarse particulate organic
1406 matter in a Brazilian Savannah stream. *Limnologica* 63: 57-64.

1407 Rezende RS, Santos AM, Medeiros AO, Gonçalves Júnior JF. 2017b. Temporal leaf
1408 litter breakdown in a tropical riparian forest with an open canopy. *Limnetica* 36:
1409 445-459.

1410 Rezende RS, Medeiros AO, Gonçalves Júnior JF, Feio MJ, Gusmão EP, de Andrade
1411 Gomes VÂ, Calor A, Almeida JDSD. 2019. Patterns of litter inputs,
1412 hyphomycetes and invertebrates in a Brazilian savanna stream: a process of
1413 degradative succession. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 35: 297-307.

1414 Rolim SG, Chiarello AG. 2004. Slow death of Atlantic forest trees in cocoa agroforestry
1415 in southeastern Brazil. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 13: 2679-2694.

Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)

Deleted: EUA

- 1417 Rolim SG, Sambuichi RHR, Schroth G, Nascimento MT, Gomes JML. 2017. Recovery
1418 of forest and phylogenetic structure in abandoned cocoa agroforestry in the
1419 Atlantic Forest of Brazil. *Environmental Management* 59: 410-418.
- 1420 Sambuichi RHR. 2002. Fitossociologia e diversidade de espécies arbóreas em cabruca
1421 (Mata Atlântica raleada sobre plantação de cacau) na região sul da Bahia, Brasil.
1422 *Acta Botanica Brasiliensis* 16: 89-101.
- 1423 Sambuichi RHR, Haridasan M. 2007. Recovery of species richness and conservation of
1424 native Atlantic Forest trees in the cacao plantations of southern Bahia in Brazil.
1425 *Biodiversity and Conservation* 16: 3681-3701.
- 1426 Sambuichi RH, Vidal DB, Piasentin FB, Jardim, JG, Viana, TG, Menezes AA, Baligar
1427 VC. 2012. Cabruca agroforests in southern Bahia, Brazil: tree component,
1428 management practices, and tree species conservation. *Biodiversity and*
1429 *Conservation* 21: 1055-1077.
- 1430 Santos ERM, Araujo QR, Faria Filho AF, Vieira RB, Assunção Neto JF, Cabral LCC.
1431 2016. Aspectos geoambientais dos recursos hídricos das propriedades rurais do
1432 Projeto Barro Preto, Bahia, Brasil. In: Bruck MME, Lorandi R. ed. *Métodos e*
1433 *técnicas de pesquisa em bacias hidrográficas*. Editus, Ilhéus, Brazil, 121-138.
- 1434 Schroth G, Faria D, Araujo M, Bede L, Van Bael SA, Cassano CR, Oliveira LC,
1435 Delabie JH. 2011. Conservation in tropical landscape mosaics: the case of the
1436 cacao landscape of southern Bahia, Brazil. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 20:
1437 1635-1654.
- 1438 Seená S, Carvalho F, Cássio F, Pascoal C. 2017. Does the developmental stage and
1439 composition of riparian forest stand affect ecosystem functioning in streams?
1440 *Science of the Total Environment* 609: 1500-1511.

1441 Silva BM, Moro FV. 2008. Aspectos morfológicos do fruto, da semente e
1442 desenvolvimento pós-seminal de faveira (*Clitoria fairchildiana* R. A. Howard. -
1443 Fabaceae). *Revista Brasileira de Sementes* 30: 195-201.

1444 Souza JCS, Pereira MA, Costa END, Silva DML. 2017. Nitrogen dynamics in soil
1445 solution under different land uses: Atlantic forest and cacao–cabruca system.
1446 *Agroforestry Systems* 92: 425-435.

1447 Sutfin NA, Wohl EE, Dwire KA. 2016. Banking carbon: a review of organic carbon
1448 storage and physical factors influencing retention in floodplains and riparian
1449 ecosystems. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 41: 38-60.

1450 Tank JL, Rosi-Marshall EJ, Griffiths NA, Entrekin SA, Stephen ML. 2010. A review of
1451 allochthonous organic matter dynamics and metabolism in streams. *Journal of the*
1452 *North American Benthological Society* 29: 118-146.

1453 Taubert F, Fischer R, Groeneveld J, Lehmann S, Müller MS, Rödiger E, Wiegand T,
1454 Huth A. 2018. Global patterns of tropical forest fragmentation. *Nature*,
1455 554(7693): 519-522.

1456 Tiegs SD, Costello DM, Isken MW, Woodward G, McIntyre PB, Gessner MO, Chauvet
1457 E, Griffiths NA, Flecker AS, Acuña V, Albariño R, Allen DC, Alonso C, Andino
1458 P, Arango C, Aroviita J, Barbosa MVM, Barmuta LA, Baxter CV, Bell TDC,
1459 Bellinger B, Boyero L, Brown LE, Bruder A, Bruesewitz DA, Burdon FJ, Callisto
1460 M, Canhoto C, Capps KA, Castillo MM, Clapcott J, Colas F, Colón-Gaud C,
1461 Cornut J, Crespo-Pérez V, Cross WF, Culp JM, Danger M, Dangles O, Eyto E,
1462 Derry AM, Villanueva VD, Douglas MM, Elosegí A, Encalada AC, Entrekin S,
1463 Espinosa R, Ethaiya D, Ferreira V, Ferriol C, Flanagan KM, Fleituch T, Follstad
1464 Shah JJ, Barbosa AF, Friberg N, Frost PC, Garcia EA, Lago LG, Soto PEG, Ghate
1465 S, Giling DP, Gilmer A, Gonçalves Jr. JF, Gonzales RK, Graça MAS, Grace M,

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

Deleted:

1472 Grossart HP, Guérolde F, Gulis V, Hepp LU, Higgins S, Hishi T, Huddart J,
1473 Hudson J, Imberger S, Iñiguez-Armijos C, Iwata T, Janetski DJ, Jennings E,
1474 Kirkwood AE, Koning AA, Kosten S, Kuehn KA, Laudon H, Leavitt PR, da Silva
1475 ALL, Leroux SJ, LeRoy CJ, Lisi PJ, MacKenzie R, Marcarelli AM, Masese FO,
1476 McKie BG, Medeiros AO, Meissner K, Miliša M, Mishra S, Miyake Y, Moerke
1477 A, Mombrikotb S, Mooney R, Moulton T, Muotka T, Negishi JN, Neres-Lima V,
1478 Nieminen ML, Nimptsch J, Ondruch J, Paavola R, Pardo I, Patrick CJ, Peeters
1479 ETHM, Pozo J, Pringle C, Prussian A, Quenta E, Quesada A, Reid B, Richardson
1480 JS, Rigosi A, Rincón J, Rîşnoveanu G, Robinson CT, Rodríguez-Gallego L,
1481 Royer TV, Rusak J A, Santamans AC, Selmechy GB, Simiyu G, Skuja A, Smykla
1482 J, Sridhar KR, Sponseller R, Stoler A, Swan CM, Szlag D, Mello FT, Tonkin JD,
1483 Uusheimo S, Veach AM, Vilbaste S, Vought LBM, Wang CP, Webster JR,
1484 Wilson PB, Woelfl S, Xenopoulos MA, Yates AG, Yoshimura C, Yule CM,
1485 Zhang YX, Zwart JA. Global patterns and drivers of ecosystem functioning in
1486 rivers and riparian zones. *Science Advances* 518, p.eaav0486.

1487 Tonin AM, Boyero L, Bambi P, Pearson RG, Correa-Araneda F, Gonçalves Júnior JF.
1488 2019. High within-stream replication is needed to predict litter fluxes in wet–dry
1489 tropical streams. *Freshwater Biology* 65: 688-697. DOI:10.1111/fwb.13459

1490 Tonin AM, Gonçalves JF, Bambi P, Couceiro SR, Feitoza LA, Fontana LE, Hamada N,
1491 Hepp LU, Lezan-Kowalczyk VG, Leite GF, Lemes-Silva AL. 2017. Plant litter
1492 dynamics in the forest-stream interface: precipitation is a major control across
1493 tropical biomes. *Scientific Reports* 7: 10799. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-10576-8

1494 Vannote RL, Minshall GW, Cummins KW, Sedell, JR, Cushing CE. 1980. The river
1495 continuum concept. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 37: 130-
1496 137.

Deleted:

Deleted:

1499 Wantzen KM, Yule CM, Mattooko J.M, Pringle CM. 2008. Organic matter processing
 1500 in tropical streams. In: Dudgeon D, ed. *Tropical stream ecology*. Elsevier,
 1501 Amsterdam, Netherlands, 43-64

1502 Webster J, Covich A, Tank J, Crockett, T. 1994. Retention of coarse organic particles in
 1503 streams in the southern Appalachian Mountains. *Journal of the North American
 1504 Benthological Society* 13: 140-150.

1505 Wild R, Gücker B, Brauns M. 2019. Agricultural land use alters temporal dynamics and
 1506 the composition of organic matter in temperate headwater streams. *Freshwater
 1507 Science*, 38(3): 566-581.

1508 Winbourne JB, Feng A, Reynolds L, Piotto D, Hastings MG, Porder S. 2018. Nitrogen
 1509 cycling during secondary succession in Atlantic Forest of Bahia, Brazil. *Scientific
 1510 Reports* 8: 1-9.

1511 Wood SN. 2017. *Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R*. Chapman &
 1512 Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, EUA.

1513 Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Walker N, Saveliev AA, Smith GM. 2009. *Mixed Effects Models
 1514 and Extensions in Ecology with R*. Springer-Verlag, New York, USA.

1515
 1516
 1517
 1518 **Figure 1**
 1519 Location of study sites in secondary forest (SF), a managed agroforestry system (MC)
 1520 and an abandoned agroforestry system (AC) in northeastern Brazil

1521 **Figure 2**
 1522 Daily precipitation at the study sites in secondary forest (SF), a managed agroforestry
 1523 system (MC) and an abandoned agroforestry system (AC) in northeastern Brazil,

1524
 1525 **Figure 3**
 1526 Sampling design to determine litter inputs and standing stocks in riparian zones and
 1527 streams

1528
 1529 **Figure 4**

Commented [MG26]: 1) Study site instead of Study areas
 2) What are city headquarters?
 3) What does PESC stand for?
 3) Is Encantada a reservoir or lake?
 4) Rivers and streams (plural, not river)
 5) km, not Km
 6) Translate map projection to English
 7) Include information on Data bas ein legend

Commented [MG27]: This is what is used elsewhere in the ms

Deleted: area

Formatted: Add space between paragraphs of the same style, Line spacing: single, Don't suppress line numbers, Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and

Deleted: the

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: (SF)

Deleted: S

Deleted: F

Deleted: (MC)

Deleted: M

Deleted: cabruca

Deleted: (AC) A

Deleted: cabruca

Deleted: in

Deleted: areas

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: Secondary Forest

Deleted: (MC) M

Deleted: cabruca

Deleted: Abandoned cabruca

Commented [MG28]: 1) Riparian zone instead of Riparian vegetation
 2) Terrestrial input

Deleted: e

Deleted: of the

Deleted: collection

1551 Inputs and standing stocks of various litter fractions in streams and riparian zones of
1552 secondary forest (SF), a managed agroforestry system (MC) and an abandoned
1553 agroforestry system (AC).
1554 Black circles and vertical lines represent means and bootstrapped 95% confidence
1555 intervals. Different numbers indicate significant differences of means as judged based
1556 on non-overlapping confidence intervals.

1557 **Figure 5**
1558 Temporal changes of leaf litter inputs (g dry mass m⁻² d⁻¹) and standing stocks (g dry
1559 mass m⁻²) in secondary forest (SF), an abandoned agroforestry system (AC) and a
1560 managed agroforestry system (MC). Also shown are F and P values as well as the
1561 effective degrees of freedom (edf) of Gamm analyses. Continuous lines are the
1562 Gamm smoothers and dotted lines indicate 95% confidence limits.

1563 **Figure 6**
1564 Summary of annual litter inputs and average standing stocks (g dry mass m⁻²) in streams
1565 and riparian zones of secondary forest (SF), an abandoned agroforestry system (AC)
1566 and a managed agroforestry system (MC)

1567 **Table 1**
1568 Absolute amounts (g m⁻²) and relative contributions (%) of various litter fractions to
1569 litter inputs and standing stocks in streams and riparian zones of secondary forest (SF),
1570 a managed agroforestry system (MC) and an abandoned agroforestry system (AC)

1571 Supplemental files

1572 **Figure_S1**
1573 Temporal changes of branch litter inputs (g dry mass m⁻² d⁻¹) and standing stocks (g dry
1574 mass m⁻²) in secondary forest (SF), an abandoned agroforestry system (AC) and a
1575 managed agroforestry system (MC). Also shown are F and P values as well as the
1576 effective degrees of freedom (edf) of Gamm analyses. Continuous lines are the
1577 Gamm smoothers and dotted lines indicate 95% confidence limits.

1578 **Figure_S2**
1579 Temporal changes of inputs (g dry mass m⁻² d⁻¹) and standing stocks (g dry mass m⁻²) of
1580 reproductive plant parts in secondary forest (SF), an abandoned agroforestry system
1581 (AC) and a managed agroforestry system (MC). Also shown are F and P values as well
1582 as the effective degrees of freedom (edf) of Gamm analyses. Continuous lines are the
1583 Gamm smoothers and dotted lines indicate 95% confidence limits.

1584 **Figure_S3**
1585 Temporal changes of miscellaneous litter inputs (g dry mass m⁻² d⁻¹) and standing stocks
1586 (g dry mass m⁻²) in secondary forest (SF), an abandoned agroforestry system (AC) and a
1587 managed agroforestry system (MC). Also shown are F and P values as well as the
1588 effective degrees of freedom (edf) of Gamm analyses. Continuous lines are the
1589 Gamm smoothers and dotted lines indicate 95% confidence limits.

Deleted: Spatial variation of litter i...nputs and stream benthic...tanding stocks of various litter fractions in streams and riparian zones of secondary forest (SF), a abandoned cabruca and ...anaged agroforestry system (MC) and an cabruca

Formatted: Add space between paragraphs of the same style, Line spacing: single, Don't suppress line numbers, Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and

Deleted: the variations mean of litterfall (a), terrestrial (b) and lateral (c) inputs, as also litter stock (d) among litter fractions (leaves, branch, reproductive part and miscellaneous). The variation (circles are ...eans)...and bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals where upper and lower limits of 95% was performed by nonparametric bootstrapped analysis... Different numbers indicate significant differences among ...f means as judged based on whether lines do ...ont... overlapping confidence intervals (different... means among areas)

Commented [MG29]: 1) Please add data points, no matter how much scatter there is!
2) The technical quality of the panels could be improved

Commented [MG30]: Only leaves or all litter?

Deleted: Seasonality

Deleted: leaf dry mass

Formatted

Deleted: in litterfall, lateral input, terrestrial input

Commented [MG31]: As pointed out earlier, there is no time component for the standing stocks. Please correct units in figure and check the numbers again!

Deleted: cabruca ...AC) and a managed agroforestry system cabruca ...MC). In figure we a...Iso shownobserve...are

Commented [MG32]: 1) It looks like the numbers for the standing stocks are still incorrect. It cannot be true

Deleted: Litter ...nual litter inputs productivity (g.m⁻²) in terrestrial input (TI), vertical (VI) and lateral input (LI)

Formatted: Superscript

Deleted: in the ...verage standing stocks (g dry mass m⁻²) (BS) ...n streams and riparian zones of...SF - ...econdary

Deleted: Inputs ...bsolute amounts (g.

Formatted: Superscript

Deleted: percentage ...relative contributions (%) from ...f various litter compartments ...ructions to litter inputs and

Commented [MG33]: The following comments relate to Figs S1 to S3; see also comments on Fig. 5.

Deleted: B...anch litter inputs dry mass ...g dry mass m⁻² d⁻¹) in litterfall, lateral input, terrestrial input ...nd standing

Deleted: I

Deleted: Reproductive parts dry mass ...g dry mass m⁻² d⁻¹) in litterfall, lateral input, terrestrial input ...nd standing sto

Deleted: M...iscellaneous litter inputs dry mass ...g dry mass m⁻² d⁻¹) in litterfall, lateral input, terrestrial input ...nd

1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784

Table_S1

Simplified two-way factorial generalized linear mixed-effects analysis to test for effects of time (month), site (secondary forest, abandoned AFS, managed AFS) and the interaction of both for leaves, branches, reproductive organs, and miscellaneous litter.
AIC = Akaike Information Criterion, BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion, logLik = log likelihood

Table_S2

Simplified two-way factorial generalized linear mixed-effects analysis to test for effects of time (month), site (secondary forest, abandoned AFS, managed AFS) and the interaction of both for leaves, branches, reproductive organs, and miscellaneous litter.
AIC = Akaike Information Criterion, BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion, logLik = log likelihood

DATASET

Raw data of litter inputs and standing stocks in streams and riparian zones of secondary forest (SF), an abandoned agroforestry system (AS) and a managed agroforestry system in northeastern Brazil.

Table_S3

Simplified two-way factorial generalized linear mixed-effects analysis to test for the effects of time (month), site (secondary forest, abandoned AFS, managed AFS) and the interaction of both for leaves, branches, reproductive organs, and miscellaneous litter.
AIC = Akaike Information Criterion, BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion, logLik = log likelihood

Table_S4

Simplified two-way factorial generalized linear mixed-effects analysis to test for the effects of time (month), site (secondary forest, abandoned AFS, managed AFS) and the interaction of both for leaves, branches, reproductive organs, and miscellaneous litter.
AIC = Akaike Information Criterion, BIC = Bayesian Information Criterion, logLik = log likelihood

Commented [MG34]: 1) What's the difference between Table S1 to S4? The next points relate to all 4 tables
2) Deviation
3) Chi squared (alternatively use Greek symbol)
4) P (>Chi squared)
5) Null model
6) Site instead of Local
7 Pay attention to significant digits after the decimal point

Commented [MG35]: What does that mean?

Deleted: performed

Deleted: for

Deleted: ing

Deleted: T

Deleted: Local

Deleted: and

Deleted: effect

Deleted: material

Deleted: performed for

Deleted: ing

Deleted: T

Deleted: Local

Deleted: and

Deleted: effect

Deleted: material

Deleted:

Deleted: performed

Deleted: for

Deleted: ing

Deleted: T

Deleted: Local

Deleted: and

Deleted: effect

Deleted: material

Deleted: performed

Deleted: for

Deleted: ing

Deleted: T

Deleted: Local

Deleted: and

Deleted: effect

Deleted: material