A questionnaire study of injections prescribed and dispensed for patients diagnosed with mild/moderate community-acquired pneumonia in Mongolia

Gereltuya Dorj, Delia Hendrie, Richard W Parsons, Bruce Sunderland

Purpose: The study aimed to determine the extent of and factors influencing the prescribing of injections for the treatment of mild/moderate community acquired pneumonia (CAP) in Mongolia. Methods: Questionnaires were developed and administered to medication providers (34 Pharmacists, 27 pharmacy technicians) and prescribers (22 general doctors and 49 medical specialists) working in Mongolia. Results: Cefalosporins were prescribed for patients with mild pneumonia and doctors tended to prescribe injectable cefalosporins (cefazolin) rather than oral dosage forms. This was supported by the questionnaire study with pharmacists and pharmacy technicians. Additionally, 23 pharmacists and pharmacy technicians indicated that OTC injectable cefalosporins (37.7%) and injectable aminopenicillins (33,9%) were frequently sold by pharmacies for the treatment of mild/ moderate CAP. Doctors and particularly pharmacists in the questionnaire studies indicated choosing an injection was to avoid non-compliance problems. Conclusion: High levels of injectable prescribing of antibiotics were found in non-hospitalized patients with CAP in Mongolia. This prevalence level indicated that inappropriate injection prescribing is a public health hazard for Mongolia and requires consideration by the appropriate authorities.

1   **Cover page**

2   Title: A questionnaire study of injections prescribed and dispensed for patients diagnosed with

3   mild/moderate community-acquired pneumonia in Mongolia

4   Authors: Dr.Gereltuya Dorj (PhD)- Lecturer, School of Pharmacy and Biomedicine, Mongolian National

5   University of Medical Sciences, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia – **Corresponding author**

6   Tel: +976 95018988 Fax: +97611 326947, Email: gereltuya@gmail.com

7   Ms Delia Hendrie -Senior Research Fellow, School of Public Health Curtin University GPO Box U1987

8   Perth, Western Australia 6845 Australia

9   Tel: +618 9266 9068, Fax: +618 9266 2958, Email: D.V.Hendrie@curtin.edu.au

10   Dr. Richard Parsons (PhD)- Senior Lecturer, School of Pharmacy, Bentley, Curtin University, GPO Box

11   U1987, Western Australia 6845,

12   Tel: +618 9266 3691, Email: R.Parsons@curtin.edu.au

13   Professor Bruce Sunderland (PhD), School of Pharmacy, Bentley, Curtin University, GPO Box U1987,

14   Western Australia 6845,

15   Tel: +618 9266 7377, Fax: +618 9266 2769, Email: B.Sunderland@curtin.edu.au

16   **Abstract**

17   **Purpose:** The study aimed to determine the extent of and factors influencing the prescribing of

18   injections for the treatment of patients diagnosed with mild/moderate community acquired

19   pneumonia (CAP) in Mongolia.

20   **Methods:** Questionnaires were developed and administered to medication providers (34

21   Pharmacists, 27 pharmacy technicians) and prescribers (22 general doctors and 49 medical

22   specialists) working in Mongolia.

23   **Results:** Cefalosporins were prescribed for patients with mild pneumonia and doctors tended to

24   prescribe injectable cefalosporins (cefazolin) rather than oral dosage forms. This was supported

25   by the questionnaire study with pharmacists and pharmacy technicians. Additionally, 23

26   pharmacists and pharmacy technicians indicated that OTC injectable cefalosporins (37.7%) and

27   injectable aminopenicillins (33,9%) were frequently sold by pharmacies for the treatment of

28   mild/ moderate CAP. Doctors to some extent and particularly pharmacists in the questionnaire

29   studies indicated choosing an injection was to avoid non-compliance problems.

30   **Conclusion:** High levels of injectable prescribing of antibiotics were found in non-hospitalized

31   patients with CAP in Mongolia. These findings should inform the development of strategies to

32   decrease inappropriate use of injections for the treatment of mild/ moderate CAP in Mongolia.

Introduction

Medicines are commonly administered by injection in healthcare settings for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of various illnesses. Unsafe injection practices including the re-use of equipment without sterilization has been associated with a wide variety of procedures and settings and puts patients and healthcare providers at risk of infectious and non-infectious adverse events.[1]

The global burden of disease project (World Health Organization [WHO]) conducted a literature review and found that the annual number of single injections administered per person ranged from 1.7 to 11.3 per year.[2] A systematic review of studies from 13 developing countries regarding injection use and safety reported that for eight of those countries, 25-96% of outpatient visits resulted in at least one injection, and for five countries a majority of the administered injections was unnecessary.[3] An assessment of injection practices in Mongolia showed a high injection frequency rate; reporting an average of 13 injections per person per year amongst a small sample of 65 participants and the most common reason for an injection was community-acquired pneumonia (CAP).[4]

CAP accounts for 95% of all pneumonia cases in the world among children aged less than five years of age.[5]In a prospective study in five countries of factors of CAP caused by bacteraemic pneumococcal disease, death rates ranged from 6% in Canada to 20% in the USA, 13% in the UK and 8% in Sweden.[6]The mortality rate from CAP for children aged less than five was 34.4% in 2011 in Mongolia and was the second most common reason for all hospitalizations in 2011 amongst children (46%).[7]The general consensus is that pneumonia should be treated with antibiotics and for those with mild/moderate CAP oral administrationis appropriate.[8, 9]

55   The Mongolian standard treatment guidelines (STGs) for adult and paediatric patients are

56   available and widely accessible in the Mongolian language. For adult patients, the STGs

57   recommend oral amoxicillin (ampicillin) 500mg every 6 hours or erythromycin 500mg every 6

58   hours.[10] The treatment standard for paediatric pneumonia is classified into infants and children

59   aged up to five years old. The STGs for infant patients diagnosed with mild/ moderate CAP

60   recommends benzylpenicillin, aminoglycoside (gentamicin) injection. Treatment of children

61   aged up to five years old should include semi-synthetic penicillin (50mg/kg/4 times) plus

62   gentamicin 7.5mg/kg/once)-injection and other options include cephalosporin generations II-III.

63   In addition, any of the following could be prescribed for children aged up to five years can be

64   prescribed: salbutamol, euphyllin, epinephrine, prednisolone, dexamethasone, vitamin C, A or E,

65   if considered to be necessary.

66   The reasons for prescribing parenteral medications in developing countries include socio-

67   cultural, economic and structural factors. The belief in injections as a strong tool for restoring

68   and maintaining health is mutually supported by health professionals and community members in

69   many developing countries.[11] Previous studies have indicated poor knowledge of the associated

70   risks and burden of unsafe and unnecessary injection practices and easy access to parenteral

71   medication contributes to the popularity of injections in developing countries.[12-14]

Objective

73   The study aimed to determine the extent of, and factors influencing the prescribing of injections

74   for the treatment of patients diagnosed with mild/ moderate CAP in Mongolia

Materials and methods

76 Two questionnaires were developed using a WHO/SIGN guide.[15, 16] This guide included

77 information relevant to investigation of injection practices, their determinants and their

78 consequences. In addition, face validation of both questionnaires was performed with pharmacy

79 academics and practitioners from Australia and Mongolia, to ensure the validity of questions

80 exploring doctors' and pharmacist's practises of prescribing, dispensing and supplying injections

81 for the treatment of CAP in Mongolia. Content validation was carried out with three family

82 group practitioners and two specialists practising in a conveniently selected large central hospital

83 (for the doctor questionnaire). The one for pharmacists and pharmacy technicians was tested with

84 two pharmacists and two pharmacy technicians working in randomly selected pharmacies

85 (representing the pharmacists). The purpose of the piloting phase was to ensure that the

86 questions were clear, and achieved the objectives of the study. In addition it was important that

87 the instrument could be completed in a reasonable amount of time. Following their feedback and

88 discussion with local professionals, the wording and order of some the questions were modified.

89 The responses collected during the pilot studies were not used for further analyses. Respondents

90 could choose more than one option in questions regarding the prescribing and dispensing

91 practice of antibiotics and non-antibiotics for the treatment of mild/moderate CAP.

Selection of study sites

93 As recommended in the WHO guide,[16] three large urban districts (based on population size), two

94 sub-urban districts (Nalaikh, Baganuur) located in Ulaanbaatar known to be representative of all

95 socioeconomic groups in Mongolia were selected. There are three public central hospitals, eight

96 specialized centres, nine district hospitals, six private hospitals and 126 Family Group Practices

97 (FGPs) located in Ulaanbaatar.[17] Selection of health facilities was done purposively based on

98 their location and accessibility. For the study, three public central hospitals in large districts,

99 three district hospitals in urban and two district hospitals in sub-urban districts, three private

100 hospitals in sub-urban districts and 20 FGPs located in both large and small (sub-urban) districts

101 were purposively selected.

102 A purposive sample of forty community pharmacies was selected from the five districts to

103 represent a range of pharmacies based on their size, accessibility and distance from clinics,

104 following discussions with local professionals, and ensuring that no particular type of pharmacy

105 was excluded. These included 12 of the 22 pharmacies consenting to a previous study and a

106 further 28 pharmacies were approached.[18] In respect to their location, 25 community pharmacies

107 were located in three large districts and15were in two sub-urban districts.

108 Doctors working in the above-mentioned health facilities were randomly selected from the list of

109 actively working employees, provided by human resource offices in the selected sites.

110 Pharmacists and pharmacy technicians were conveniently selected from the pharmacies.

111 **Administration of the questionnaires**

112 After obtaining verbal consent, a self-administered questionnaire was distributed by hand to

113 eligible participants (pharmacist and/or pharmacy technician, doctor and/or specialist) working

114 in community pharmacies or hospitals in urban and sub-urban districts of Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia.

115 In order to improve the response rate, the survey was issued in the early mornings or when the

116 participants were able to focus on the survey. No more than two respondents were selected from

117 the same pharmacy or clinic and where there were two, they were a pharmacist and a pharmacy

118 technician or a doctor and a specialist. Respondents completed the questionnaire independently,

119 if there were more than one respondent at the same setting. The questionnaires were collected

120 from pharmacies and clinics by the researcher.

121 **Data analysis**

122 Standard descriptive statistics were used to summarize demographic data and responses to the

123 questionnaires. Questions regarding the frequency of dispensed/prescribed medicines for the

124 treatment of CAP were answered using a five-point Likert scale ranging from never to always.

125 For some analyses, the responses were condensed into three categories (never/rarely, sometimes,

126 and often/always). Responses using Likert scales that ranged from strongly agree to strongly

127 disagree were similarly formed into two groups, strongly agree/agree, and disagree/strongly

128 disagree. For other analyses, the Likert scale responses were coded from one to five, so that

129 mean scores and standard deviations could be calculated and used to compare differences

130 between groups.

131 The mean values of responses measured on a Likert scale may be assumed to be normally

132 distributed, as the number of respondents was large (>30) in each group, pharmacy and

133 pharmacy technicians-(61), doctors-(71) (Central Limit Theorem).[19]

134 Comparisons between groups based on route of administration (injection versus oral/other),

135 setting (private versus public) and professional level (pharmacist versus pharmacy technician)

136 were performed using the Chi-square test, logistic regression or Kruskal-Wallis test with a

137 pairwise comparison as appropriate. A p value of < 0.05 was taken as indicating a statistically

138 significant difference.

139 **Ethical considerations and confidentiality**

140   The study protocol was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee, Curtin University,

141   Western Australia (PH-11-2010).The questionnaire study was completed during January until

142   May, 2010.

143   **Data accessibility and sharing**

144   Extra data are available by emailing the corresponding author (GD).

145   **Results**

146   Questionnaires were distributed to 45 pharmacists and 35 pharmacy technicians (n=80). An

147   overall response of 61 (76.3%) completed survey forms were returned from the 'pharmacy

148   group'. Pharmacists (11) and pharmacy technicians (8) who did not consent were working in

149   pharmacies located in the large districts. The refusal was due to busy workload and

150   unwillingness to participate.

151   A majority of respondents was female (77.0%), and most were aged between 31and 50 years. A

152   little over half were pharmacists (55.7%), and most respondents had been working for one to five

153   years (65.6%).The study included one pharmacist, and/or pharmacy technician from each

154   pharmacy and accordingly they were visited in their working area. Where the two were at the

155   same pharmacy, they completed the questionnaire independently.

156   In addition, questionnaires were distributed to 80doctors of whom35 were general practitioners

157   (GPs) and 45were medical specialists. The response rate was 88.8% (71/80) and 83.1% were

158   female doctors, which is comparable to the gender distribution of all doctors in Mongolia

159   (79.1%).[7] Most respondents were working in public hospitals and about 70%were specialists. A

160   majority was over 30 years of age (63.4%) with a monthly income of over 300.000 MNT

161   (Mongolian National Tugrug, currency, 100 USD is equivalent to 130,000MNT at the time of

162   study)(Table 1).

163   Pharmacists and pharmacy technicians reported that injections were prescribed and dispensed for

164   the treatment of mild/moderate CAP. Commonly prescribed antibiotics that were dispensed were

165   ampicillin injections (52.5%), injectable cefalosporins (75.4%) and injectable quinolones

166   (55.7%). These were more frequently dispensed than the oral forms. Oral macrolides (50.8%)

167   were dispensed more frequently than an injection (Table 2).

168   According to the current regulations, only qualified medical doctors can prescribe prescription

169   medicines approved by the order of the Minister of Health to patients.[20] However, the provision

170   of over the counter (OTC) medicines, including injections occurs in Mongolian pharmacies.[21, 22]

171   Pharmacists and pharmacy technicians were asked to indicate their practice of providing OTC

172   antibiotics for the treatment of CAP. Most reported they never or rarely provided OTC medicines

173   (65.0%); on the other hand 13 pharmacists and pharmacy technicians (21.7%) provided OTC

174   antibiotics sometimes. However, when presented with questions related to medicines that were

175   provided OTC, the respondents indicated a higher frequency of commonly provided OTC

176   antibiotics, for example: injectable penicillins with extended spectrum (e.g. ampicillin)(36.1%).

177   Additionally, respondents indicated that OTC injectable (37.7%) and oral cefalosporins (31.1%)

178   were frequently provided. In contrast, injectable macrolides were less frequently provided

179   (10.9%) (Table 2).

180   Other medicines dispensed with a prescription for the treatment of CAP included mucolytics

181   (50.8%), oral vitamins (38.7%) and oral antihistamines (21%). Additionally, injectable

182   corticosteroids (29.5%) and injectable xanthins (22.0%) were frequently dispensed non-

183 antibiotics (Table 3).There was also some provision of oral or injectable non-antibiotic OTC

184 medicines from pharmacies with regards to corticosteroids (about 10%) and pyrazolones

185 (13%).Again, similar to the medicines dispensed with a prescription, the most common OTC

186 medicines provided for treatment of CAP were oral vitamins and oral xanthins(Table 3).

187 In order to gain an understanding the underlying reasons for prescribing and providing OTC

188 injections, respondents were asked to indicate the extent to which they agreed with issues that

189 influenced their provision practice of OTC medicines (Table 4).

190 Most respondents commonly reported that injections were provided if patients had severe CAP

191 (pharmacists and pharmacy technicians [79.3%,] doctors [47.9%]). A majority of pharmacists

192 and pharmacy technicians strongly agreed that injections were provided OTC to achieve better

193 patient compliance with treatment (68.4%), however this reason was supported by only eleven

194 doctors (15%).Male doctors tended to agree more than females with injections improving patient

195 compliance with a treatment regimen [p=0.011, (male M=3.0, STD=1.17, f: M=2.0, STD=0.9)].

196 Amongst respondents, a fairly high proportion of pharmacists and pharmacy technicians (69%)

197 and 44% of doctors specified that the clinical effect of injections was better than oral medicines.

198 No significant relationship was observed between pharmacists and pharmacy technicians

199 [p=0.59]. Additionally, the proportion of pharmacists supporting the idea that medication

200 outcomes from injections were better than tablets or capsules tended to be greater (62.5%) than

201 pharmacy technicians (53.8%), yet, it was not statistically significant: [p=0.51].Most

202 pharmacists, including pharmacy technicians (59%) and some doctors (41%) stated that the

203 pharmaceutical quality of injections was better than oral medicines.

204 About 70% of pharmacists including pharmacy technicians did not support the view that adverse

205 effects occurred more with oral medications than with therapeutic injections. Similarly, only

206 14% of doctors agreed that the prevalence of side effects was lower with injections than with oral

207 medicines. In addition,21 pharmacists and pharmacy technicians (36.2%) strongly agreed/agreed

208 that the cost of treatment with injections was higher than with oral medicines. However, only 15

209 doctors (21%) supported this.

210 A similar number of doctors (29%) and pharmacists plus pharmacy technicians (23.9%)

211 supported the statement that patients preferred oral medicines over injections (Table 4).

212 Discussion

213 This study was undertaken to quantitate the levels injection prescribing by doctors and provision

214 OTC by community pharmacies from their perspectives, for the treatment of mild/moderate CAP

215 in Mongolia. Importantly it has identified some factors influencing the prescribing of injections

216 which could lead to interventions to improve the underlying quality of prescribing and reduce

217 that public health hazards associated with the administration of injections.

218 Pharmacists and pharmacy technicians indicated that only 21.7% provided OTC antibiotics

219 sometimes. However, the frequency of OTC injectable antibiotics provided was reported as

220 higher elsewhere in the same questionnaire, possibly better indicating real practice when

221 compared with previous findings.[23] Oral and injectable aminopenicillins (ampicillin or

222 amoxicillin) were commonly prescribed[18] and this was confirmed by the questionnaire study

223 with pharmacists, including pharmacy technicians and doctors. This practice was in compliance

224 with the guidelines.[24] However, the guidelines allow for only oral aminopenicillins for adult and

225 pediatric patients yet27.9% of prescribing were injections. Pharmacists and pharmacy

226   technicians indicated a higher likelihood of supplying OTC oral or injectable aminopenicillins

227   (37.7% versus 33.9%). Cefalosporins were prescribed for patients with mild pneumonia and

228   doctors tended to prescribe injectable cefalosporins (cefazolin) rather than oral, and this was

229   supported by the questionnaire study with pharmacists and pharmacy technicians. OTC sales of

230   cefazolin were also reported in the questionnaire study, but the pharmacists and pharmacy

231   technicians did not indicate any preference for either of the dosage forms.

232   The range of non-antibiotic medicines prescribed for patients with mild/moderate CAP included

233   vitamins, mucolytics, corticosteroids and antihistamines.[18] The prescription results however

234   showed that only four injectable vitamins were prescribed. This is in contrast to the questionnaire

235   studies where doctors indicated a higher frequency of prescribed injectable vitamins (25.8%)

236   often/always for patients with mild CAP. The practice of selling by pharmacies of injectable

237   vitamins OTC was also found to be at a similar level (21%). The practice of providing vitamin

238   injections OTC is not consistent with current STGs. Detailed results from a previously reported

239   prescription analysis[18] and these questionnaire studies showed that vitamin C was frequently

240   prescribed and dispensed for the treatment of mild/moderate CAP. This could reflect a low fresh

241   food intake containing necessary vitamins, leading to micronutrient deficiencies in Mongolia.[25,

242   26] A Cochrane review of five trials suggested vitamin C was beneficial in both prevention and

243   treatment of pneumonia. However, caution must be exercised with generalizations made from

244   trials owing to the conditions in which the trials were conducted. But for patients who have low

245   plasma vitamin C levels, intake of vitamin C would be beneficial.[27]

246   The questionnaire studies with doctors and pharmacists including pharmacy technicians

247   indicated that they chose an injection if the patient was severely ill. This perspective is consistent

248   with guidelines and several findings from other countries.[28-30] Likewise, considering the period

249 of study (cold winter) and risk of deterioration of the patient, this practice may reflect clinical

250 concern. However, choosing an injection for adult patients with mild/moderate CAP is non-

251 compliant with current STGs.[31, 32]

252 Financial considerations are another important reason why injections were preferred by

253 prescribers and providers. In this study most respondents acknowledged that the cost of treatment

254 with injections was higher than with oral medicines. Economic incentives from prescribing an

255 injection were reported in a previous study where 19% of high rate injection prescribers admitted

256 having economic incentives for prescribing injections in Iran.[33] The large number of doctors on a

257 population basis practising in Mongolia maybe a potential factor for doctors to seek additional

258 income sources.

259 Additionally, one of the factors that contributed to the inappropriate use of injections in

260 developing countries has been the prescriber's perception that patients preferred them.[2, 29, 30, 33, 34]

261 In this study, approximately 24% of doctors and 29% of pharmacists, plus pharmacy technicians

262 strongly supported the notion that patients often/always preferred oral medications. This is

263 contrasted with findings that only 16% of community members always/often expected injections

264 to be prescribed.[35] A previous research study that investigated maternal perception of mild

265 pneumonia in an outpatient clinic found that 40% of mothers stated doctors should give their

266 child at least one injection. However, the generalization of that report to a larger population

267 might be questionable, due to a small number and poor understanding of the participants

268 (n=50).[36] Other literature has confirmed that injections were often not preferred by patients,

269 when they were advised about the clinical efficacy and potential risks associated with unsafe

270 injection practices.[34] Health workers in developing countries believed that patient's compliance

271 was better with injections than with oral medication [37, 38] and similarly, some doctors and

272   particularly pharmacists in this study have indicated choosing an injection was to avoid non-

273   compliance problems.

274   Limitations

275   The reliability of the study results involved a triangulation method, matching the prescription

276   data from an earlier report with questionnaire responses from doctors, pharmacists and pharmacy

277   technicians.[18] Despite the strengths of this study, some methodological aspects must be

278   considered when interpreting results.   Firstly,the selection of pharmacists and pharmacy

279   technicians was based on a purposive selection of 40 community pharmacies. Also, the relatively

280   small number of samples of health settings (eleven hospitals and 20 FGPs located in Ulaanbaatar

281   city) may lead to selection bias and imprecise estimates. However, the high response rate of

282   respondents in the questionnaire studies [pharmacists and pharmacy technicians (76%), doctors-

283   (89%)] was likely to avoid significant responder bias. In addition, the doctors were recruited

284   randomly from the list provided by the human resource department of each hospital. This study

285   recruited more specialists than general doctors, suggesting that the results may be more

286   generalizable to them. However, the study included twenty-two general doctors, also providing

287   information about their treatment of mild/moderate CAP patients.

288   In addition, the issue of compliance was only addressed in terms of whether it was an overall

289   factor influencing the prescribing of injections over oral preparations which is the only dosage

290   form recommended for adults. Compliance is a complex issue for children as an injectable

291   product (gentamicin) is included in the STGs for children. From a compliance perspective for

292   children there is a range of factors influencing compliance dependent on the child's age and

293   parental involvement and whether it is compliant with the daily dosing schedule or the duration

294   of the antibiotic or both. Hence this was too complex an issue to address fully in this study.

Conclusion

Non-compliancewithSTGs in respect to prescribing injections for mild/ moderate CAP was evident in Mongolia. In addition, the high prevalence of the prescribingof non-antibiotic injections was inappropriate for mild/ moderate CAP. The supply of antibiotic injectionsOTC from pharmacies although currently indicating a similar range of selections being made to those prescribed by physicians should be ceased unless this would markedly reduce access to treatment for poorer patients. Additional measures need to be put in place with the aim of reducing the high level of inappropriate injections prescribed in Mongolia. It is notable that the current standard treatment guidelines are followed by less than 40% of prescribers in Mongolia.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Ministry of Health, Division of Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices, staff at School of Pharmacy, Health Sciences University of Mongolia and for all participants help to implement this study in Mongolia and for providing their helpful comments.

Conflict of interests

None declared.

311 **References**

312 1.   World Health Organization, The SIGN alliance. Injection Safety. WHO; [updated
313 2001;   cited   7/9].   Available   from:
314 http://www.who.int/injection_safety/about/en/InjectionSafetyFirstDoNoHarm.pdf.
315 2.   Yvan J F Hutin, Anja M Hauri, Gregory L Armstrong. Use of injections in
316 healthcare settings worldwide, 2000: literature review and regional estimates. BMJ.
317 2003; 327(7423):1075. DOI:10.1136/bmj.327.7423.1075.
318 3.   Simonsen L, Kane A, Lloyd J, Zaffran M, Kane M. Unsafe injections in the
319 developing world and transmission of bloodborne pathogens: A review. Bull World
320 Health   Organ.   1999   [cited   15/05/2013];   77(10):789-800.   Available   from:
321 http://www.who.int/bulletin/archives/77%2810%29789.pdf.
322 4.   Logez Sophie, Soyolgerel Gochoo, Fields Rebecca, Luby Steve, Hutin Yvan. Rapid
323 assessment of injection practices in Mongolia. American Journal of Infection Control.
324 2004; 32(1):31-37. DOI:10.1016/j.ajic.2003.06.006.
325 5.   Wardlaw TM, Johansson EW, Hodge MJ. Pneumonia: the forgotten killer of
326 children. United Nations Publications; 2006.
327 6.   Kalin M, Örtqvist Å, Almela M, Aufwerber E, Dwyer R, Henriques B, Jorup C,
328 Julander I, Marrie TJ, Mufson MA. Prospective study of prognostic factors in
329 community-acquired bacteremic pneumococcal disease in 5 countries. Journal of
330 Infectious Diseases. 2000; 182(3):840-847. Available
331 7.   Ariuntuya S, Narantuya Kh, Davaajargal S, Enkhjargal Ts, Unurtsetseg T. Health
332 Indicators of Mongolia 2011. Ulaanbaatar Mongolia Health Department of Mongolia
333 8.   World   Health   Organization.   Dept.   of   Child   and   Adolescent   Health   and
334 Development. Technical updates of the guidelines on the Integrated Management of
335 Childhood   Illness   (IMCI) : evidence   and   recommendations   for   further   adaptations.
336 Geneva: World Health Organization; 2005; p. 38 p.
337 9.   Antibiotic   Expert   Group.   Therapeutic   guidelines: antibiotic. ,   2010.
338 Melbourne, Australia Therapeutic Guidelines Limited;
339 10.   Batsereedene B, Naidansuren T, Oyunbileg Ts. Standard Guideline for Diagnosis
340 and Management of Common Diseases In: Pneumonia Ulaanbaatar Mongolia: Ministry of
341 Health,   Mongolia;   2003   [cited   12/10].   Available   from:
342 https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B7V1FtlR1y5VZGFxcnBPN1ViaWM/view?usp=sharing.
343 11.   Berild Dag, Ringertz Signe Holta, Aabyholm Gunnar, Lelek Michaela, Fosse
344 Brita. Impact of an antibiotic policy on antibiotic use in a paediatric department.
345 Individual based follow-up shows that antibiotics were chosen according to diagnoses
346 and bacterial findings. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents. 2002;
347 20(5):333-338. DOI:10.1016/s0924-8579(02)00203-0.
348 12.   Birungi H. Injections and self-help: risk and trust in Ugandan health care.
349 Soc Sci Med. 1998; 47(10):1455-1462. Available
350 13.   Lakshman M, Nichter M. Contamination of medicine injection paraphernalia used
351 by registered medical practitioners in south India: an ethnographic study. Social
352 science & medicine (1982). 2000 [cited 10/06/2013]; 51(1):11-28. Available from:
353 http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0277953699004268.
354 14.   Reeler AV. Anthropological perspectives on injections : a review. Bull World
355 Health   Organ.   2000   [cited   17/06/2014];   78(4):135-143.   Available   from:
356 http://www.who.int/bulletin/pdf/2000/issue1/bu0130.pdf.
357 15.   Hulscher ME, Richard PTM Grol, Jos WM van der Meer., . Antibiotic prescribing
358 in hospitals: a social and behavioural scientific approach. The Lancet Infectious
359 Diseases. 2010; 10(3):8. Available
360 16.   The SIGN alliance. Injection Safety. WHO; [cited 7/9/2012]. Available from:
361 http://www.who.int/injection_safety/about/en/InjectionSafetyFirstDoNoHarm.pdf.

absent

362 17.   Information   and   Technology   Department   of   Ulaanbaatar.   Health   sector
363 Ulaanbaatar,   Mongolia   2013   [cited   13/05/2013].   Available   from:
364 http://www.ulaanbaatar.mn.
365 18.   Dorj G, Hendrie D, Parsons R, Sunderland B. An evaluation of prescribing
366 practices for community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) in Mongolia. BMC Health Services
367 Research. 2013; 13(1):379. Available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-
368 6963/13/379.
369 19.   Lumley T, Diehr P, Emerson S, Chen L. The Importance of the Normality
370 Assumption in Large Public Health Data Sets. Annual Review of Public Health. 2002;
371 23(1):151-169. DOI:doi:10.1146/annurev.publhealth.23.100901.140546.
372 20.   Ministry of Health Mongolia. Guideline for ambulatory care 2010
373 21.   Margaret Cobey. Knowledge, attitudes and practices of therapeutic injection
374 use in Mongolia [M.P.H thesis]. U S A: University of Alaska

375 2011.
376 22.   Tsolmongerel Tsivaajav, Evlegsuren Ser-Od, Bulganchimeg Baasai, Ganbat
377 Byambaa, Shagdarsuren. O. Health Systems in Transition   Mongolia Health System
378 Review Issue 2, 2013
379 23.   Dorj Gereltuya, Sunderland Bruce, Hendrie Delia. Evaluation of prescribing
380 practices for treatment of mild/moderate community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) in
381 Mongolia [Ph.D thesis]. Perth, Western Australia: Curtin University; 2014.
382 24.   Agvaandorj D, Galbadrakh L, Chinzorigt D, Chimgee G. Health care technology.
383 Diagnosis and treatment of common diseases in children In: Pneumonia. Ulaanbaatar,
384 Mongolia: Mongolian Centre for Standardization and Measurement; 2005 [cited 10/11].
385 Available from: http://www.estandard.gov.mn/file.php?sid=951.
386 25.   Kachondham Y, Dhanamitta S, Oyunbileg M, Brown L. Child health and nutritional
387 status in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia: a preliminary assessment. Asia-Pacific Journal of
388 Public Health. 1992; 6(4):226-232. Available
389 26.   Lander RL, Tserennadmid Enkhjargal, Jamiyan Batjargal, Karl B. Bailey, Sarah
390 Diouf, Timothy J. Green, C. Murray Skeaff, and Rosalind S. Gibson. Multiple
391 micronutrient deficiencies persist during early childhood in Mongolia. Asia Pac J
392 Clin Nutr. 2008; 17(3):429-40. Available
393 27.   Hemilä H, Louhiala P. Vitamin C for preventing and treating pneumonia.
394 Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2007; DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD005532.pub2.
395 28.   Chowdhury AA, Tapash Roy, A. B. M. Faroque, Sitesh C. Bachar, Muhammad
396 Asaduzzaman, Nishat Nasrin, Nahid Akter. A comprehensive situation assessment of
397 injection practices in primary health care hospitals in Bangladesh. Bmc Public
398 Health. 2011; 11 DOI:Artn 779

399 Doi 10.1186/1471-2458-11-779.
400 29.   McIntosh K. Community-acquired pneumonia in Children. New England Journal of
401 Medicine. 2002; 346(6):8. Available
402 30.   Vong S, Joseph F. Perz, Srun Sok, Seiharath Som, Susan Goldstein, Yvan Hutin,
403 and James Tulloch. Rapid assessment of injection practices in Cambodia, 2002. Bmc
404 Public Health. 2005 [cited 16/1/2014]; 5(1):56. Available from:
405 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15929800.
406 31.   Agvaandorj D, Galbadrakh L, Chinzorigt D, Chimgee G. Pneumonia. Health care
407 technology. Diagnosis and treatment of common diseases in children Issue 25, 2008.
408 Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia: Mongolian Centre for Standardization and Measurement;
409 32.   Mongolian National Center for Standardization and Metrology. Standard for
410 prescription (MNS 5376:2008), 2008. Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia
411 33.   Ismaeilzadeh A, Nikfar S, Rahimi W. Physicians' Attitude Toward Injectable
412 Medicines. Journal of Pharmacology and Toxicology. 2006 [cited 17/08/2013]; 1(1):33-
413 39.   Available   from:
414 http://www.academia.edu/2957828/Physicians_Attitude_Toward_Injectable_Medicines.

415 34.   Hadiyono JEP, Suryawati S, Danu SS, Santoso B. Interactional group discussion:
416 results of a controlled trial using a behavioral intervention to reduce the use of
417 injections in public health facilities. Soc Sci Med. 1996 [cited 15/05/2014];
418 42(8):1177-1183.   Available   from:
419 http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0277953695003916#.
420 35.   Srinivasan Arjun. A survey of knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs of house staff
421 physicians from various specialties concerning antimicrobial use and resistance.
422 Archives of Internal Medicine. 2004; 164(13):5. Available
423 36.   Malik Kundi MZ, Anjum M, Mull DS, Dennis Mull J. Maternal perceptions of
424 pneumonia and pneumonia signs in Pakistani children. Soc Sci Med. 1993; 37(5):649-
425 660. DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536(93)90104-C.
426 37.   Michelle Kermode. Unsafe injections in low-income country health settings:
427 need for injection safety promotion to prevent the spread of blood-borne viruses.
428 Health Promotion International. 2004; 19(1):95-103. DOI:DOI 10.1093/heapro/dah110.
429 38.   AnneLoes van S, Anita H. Injection practices in the developing world : a
430 comparative review of field studies in Uganda and Indonesia. Geneva: World Health
431 Organization; 1996; p. 127 p.

432

433   **Table legends**

434

435   **Table 1 Demographic characteristics of respondents**

Variable [a]	Category		Pharmacists and pharmacy technicians (N=61)	Doctors (N=71)
			n (%)	n (%)
Age (years)	20-30		22 (36.1)	26 (36.6)
	31-50		23 (37.7)	45 (63.4) [c]
	≥51		16 (26.2)	
Gender	Male		14 (23.0)	12 (16.9)
	Female		47 (77.0)	59 (83.1)
Practice setting	Owner	Public hospital	12 (19.7)	54 (76.1)
	Employee	Private setting (including FGPs and others)	49 (80.3)	17 (23.9)
Professional level	Pharmacist	General doctor	34 (55.7)	22 (31.0)
	Pharmacy technician	Specialist	27 (44.3)	49 (69.0)
Years of working experience	1-5		40 (65.6)	34 (47.9)
	6-10		11 (18.0)	12 (16.9)
	≥11		10 (16.4)	25 (35.2)
Monthly income (MNT) [b]	90.000-200.000		9 (15.0)	12 (17.1)
	201.000-300.000		13 (21.7)	28 (40.0)
	≥301.000-400.000		23 (38.3)	30 (42.9) [d]
	≥401.000		15 (25.0)	

436   [a] Some responses were missing for each category

437   [b] Mongolian National Tugrug, (MNT), currency, 100 USD was equivalent to 130000MNT at the time of study

438   [c] The number represents respondents aged ≥31 years old

439   [d] The number represents respondents with a monthly income of ≥301.000MNT and higher

440   **Table 2 Questionnaire percentage frequencies of antibiotics dispensed with prescription or**

441   **provided OTC for treatment of CAP, orally and injection by pharmacists and pharmacy**

442   **technicians (N=61)**

ATC classification [a]	With prescription [b]			Provided OTC [b]		
	Never/ Rarely n (<10%)	Sometimes n (11-40%)[b]	Often/ Always n (>40%)	Never/ Rarely n (<10%)	Sometimes n (11-40%)[b]	Often/ Always n (>40%)
Aminopenicillins, oral	77 (31.6)	70 (28.7)	97 (39.8)	79 (32.4)	73 (29.9)	92 (37.7)
Aminopenicillins, injection	55 (30.1)	51 (27.9)	77 (27.9)	77 (42.1)	44 (24.0)	62 (33.9)
Quinolone, oral	53 (43.4)	28 (23.0)	41 (33.6)	67 (54.9)	30 (24.6)	25 (20.5)
Quinolone, injection	13 (21.3)	14 (23.0)	34 (55.7)	30 (49.2)	13 (21.3)	18 (29.5)
Cefalosporin, oral	16 (26.2)	15 (24.6)	30 (49.2)	28 (45.9)	14 (23.0)	19 (31.1)
Cefalosporin, injection	7 (1.5)	8 (13.1)	46 (75.4)	28 (45.9)	10 (16.4)	23 (37.7)
Macrolides, oral	40 (21.9)	50 (27.3)	93 (50.8)	77 (42.1)	53 (29.0)	53 (29.0)
Macrolides, injection	131 (71.6)	26 (14.2)	26 (14.2)	134 (73.2)	29 (15.8)	20 (10.9)
Tetracycline, oral	103 (84.4)	16 (13.1)	3 (2.5)	91 (74.6)	19 (15.6)	12 (9.8)
Sulfonamid, oral	18 (29.5)	19 (31.1)	24 (39.3)	17 (27.9)	18 (29.5)	26 (42.6)

443   [a]Some responses were missing for each category

444   [b] Respondents could choose more than option

445 **Table 3Frequencies of non-antibiotic medicines dispensed with prescription and provided**

446 **OTC for treatment of CAP, by pharmacists and pharmacy technicians (N=61)**

Other medicines [a]	With prescription [b]			Provided OTC [b]		
	Never/ Rarely n (<10%)	Sometimes n (11-40%)	Often/ Always n (>40%)	Never/ Rarely n (<10%)	Sometimes n (11-40%)	Often/ Always n (>40%)
Corticosteroid, oral	31 (50.8)	19 (31.1)	11 (18.0)	35 (59.3)	16 (27.1)	8 (13.6)
Corticosteroid, injection	19 (31.1)	24 (39.3)	18 (29.5)	28 (48.3)	24 (41.4)	6 (10.3)
Vitamin, oral	31 (26.1)	42 (35.3)	46 (38.7)	28 (23.7)	30 (25.4)	60 (50.8)
Vitamin, injection	88 (49.4)	56 (31.5)	34 (19.1)	97 (55.1)	42 (23.9)	37 (21.0)
Antihistamin, oral	58 (48.7)	36 (30.3)	25 (21.0)	63 (53.4)	24 (20.3)	31 (26.3)
Antihistamin, injection	37 (62.7)	15 (25.4)	7 (11.9)	41 (70.7)	11 (19.0)	6 (10.3)
Xanthin,[c] oral	18 (30.0)	25 (41.7)	17 (28.3)	21 (35.0)	15 (25.0)	24 (40.0)
Xanthin, injection	27 (45.8)	19 (32.2)	13 (22.0)	34 (57.6)	12 (20.3)	13 (22.0)
Pyrazolone, oral	45 (76.3)	7 (11.9)	7 (11.9)	35 (60.3)	13 (22.4)	10 (17.2)
Pyrazolone, injection	44 (75.9)	9 (15.5)	5 (8.6)	37 (63.8)	13 (22.4)	8 (13.8)

447 [a]Some responses were missing for each category

448 [b] Respondents could choose more than option

449 [c]Xanthin is euphyllin

450   **Table 4 Percentage frequencies of characteristics that influence the practice of providing**

451   **medicines and prescribing for the treatment of CAP**

Characteristic [a]	Pharmacists and pharmacy technicians (N=61)			Doctors (N=71)		
	D/SD n (%)	SA/A n (%)	NR n (%)	Never/ Rarely n (<10%)	Sometimes n (11-40%)	Often/ Always n (>40%)
The clinical effect of injections is more potent than oral medicines'	14 (24.1)	40 (68.9)	4 (6.9)	16 (22.5)	24 (33.8)	31 (43.7)
The pharmaceutical quality of injections is better than tablets/ capsules	17 (29.3)	34 (58.6)	7 (12.1)	15 (21.1)	27 (38.0)	29 (40.8)
Adverse events occur with oral drugs more than with injections	32 (55.2)	16 (27.6)	10 (17.2)	39 (54.9)	22 (31.0)	10 (14.1)
The dosage form of injection is chosen for better compliance of a patient	9 (15.8)	39 (68.4)	9 (15.8)	34 (47.9)	6 (36.6)	11 (15.5)
The injection requires new syringes and needles	8 (13.8)	48 (82.8)	2 (3.4)	2 (2.8)	7 (9.9)	62 (87.3)
Training promotes more about treatment with an injection than oral medicines	38 (65.5)	12 (20.7)	8 (13.8)	52 (73.2)	15 (21.1)	4 (5.6)
There is lot of advertisement about injections by drug companies	38 (65.5)	11 (19.0)	9 (15.5)	35 (49.3)	27 (38.0)	9 (12.7)
Cost of treatment by oral medicines is more than the treatment cost with injections (including cost of syringes and needles)	31 (56.9)	21 (36.2)	4 (6.9)	45 (63.4)	11 (15.5)	15 (21.1)
If patients are prescribed an injection, they are required to visit a pharmacy/hospital several times	32 (55.1)	23 (39.7)	3 (5.2)	8 (11.2)	19 (26.8)	44 (62.0)
Patients prefer to use tablets rather than injection	35 (60.3)	17 (29.3)	6 (10.3)	30 (42.3)	24 (33.8)	17 (23.9)
When dispensing injections, patient's age, gender are important	10 (17.2)	44 (75.9)	4 (6.9)	8 (11.3)	16 (22.5)	47 (66.2)
Injection is chosen if patient had severe CAP	10 (17.2)	46 (79.3)	2 (3.4)	16 (22.5)	21 (29.6)	34 (47.9)

452   SA- Strongly agree, A-Agree, D- Disagree, SD- Strongly disagree, NR- No response

453   ªSome responses were missing for each category

Table 1- Demographic characteristics of respondents

Demographic characteristics of respondents

1   **Table 1 Demographic characteristics of respondents**

Variable [a]	Category		Pharmacists and pharmacy technicians (N=61)	Doctors (N=71)
			n (%)	n (%)
Age (years)	20-30		22 (36.1)	26 (36.6)
	31-50		23 (37.7)	45 (63.4) [c]
	≥51		16 (26.2)	
Gender	Male		14 (23.0)	12 (16.9)
	Female		47 (77.0)	59 (83.1)
Practice setting	Owner	Public hospital	12 (19.7)	54 (76.1)
	Employee	Private setting (including FGPs and others)	49 (80.3)	17 (23.9)
Professional level	Pharmacist	General doctor	34 (55.7)	22 (31.0)
	Pharmacy technician	Specialist	27 (44.3)	49 (69.0)
Years of working experience	1-5		40 (65.6)	34 (47.9)
	6-10		11 (18.0)	12 (16.9)
	≥11		10 (16.4)	25 (35.2)
Monthly income (MNT) [b]	90.000-200.000		9 (15.0)	12 (17.1)
	201.000-300.000		13 (21.7)	28 (40.0)
	≥301.000-400.000		23 (38.3)	30 (42.9) [d]
	≥401.000		15 (25.0)	

2   [a] Some responses were missing for each category

3   [b] Mongolian National Tugrug, (MNT), currency, 100 USD was equivalent to 130000MNT at the time of study

4   [c] The number represents respondents aged ≥31 years old

5   [d] The number represents respondents with a monthly income of ≥301.000MNT and higher

Table 2- Questionnaire percentage frequencies of antibiotics

Questionnaire percentage frequencies of antibiotics

1   **Table 1 Questionnaire percentage frequencies of antibiotics dispensed with prescription or**

2   **provided OTC for treatment of CAP, orally and injection by pharmacists and pharmacy**

3   **technicians (N=61)**

ATC classification [a]	With prescription [b]			Provided OTC [b]		
	Never/ Rarely n (1-10%)	Sometimes n (11-40%) [b]	Often/ Always n (41-80%)	Never/ Rarely n (1-10%)	Sometimes n (11-40%) [b]	Often/ Always n (41-80%)
Aminopenicillins, oral	77 (31.6)	70 (28.7)	97 (39.8)	79 (32.4)	73 (29.9)	92 (37.7)
Aminopenicillins, injection	55 (30.1)	51 (27.9)	77 (27.9)	77 (42.1)	44 (24.0)	62 (33.9)
Quinolone, oral	53 (43.4)	28 (23.0)	41 (33.6)	67 (54.9)	30 (24.6)	25 (20.5)
Quinolone, injection	13 (21.3)	14 (23.0)	34 (55.7)	30 (49.2)	13 (21.3)	18 (29.5)
Cefalosporin, oral	16 (26.2)	15 (24.6)	30 (49.2)	28 (45.9)	14 (23.0)	19 (31.1)
Cefalosporin, injection	7 (1.5)	8 (13.1)	46 (75.4)	28 (45.9)	10 (16.4)	23 (37.7)
Macrolides, oral	40 (21.9)	50 (27.3)	93 (50.8)	77 (42.1)	53 (29.0)	53 (29.0)
Macrolides, injection	131 (71.6)	26 (14.2)	26 (14.2)	134 (73.2)	29 (15.8)	20 (10.9)
Tetracycline, oral	103 (84.4)	16 (13.1)	3 (2.5)	91 (74.6)	19 (15.6)	12 (9.8)
Sulfonamid, oral	18 (29.5)	19 (31.1)	24 (39.3)	17 (27.9)	18 (29.5)	26 (42.6)

4   [a] Some responses were missing for each category

5   [b] Respondents could choose more than option

Table 3- Frequencies of non-antibiotic medicines dispensed with prescription and provided OTC for treatment of CAP, by pharmacists and pharmacy technicians (N=61)

Frequencies of non-antibiotic medicines dispensed with prescription and provided OTC for treatment of CAP, by pharmacists and pharmacy technicians

1 **Table 1 Frequencies of non-antibiotic medicines dispensed with prescription and provided**

2 **OTC for treatment of CAP, by pharmacists and pharmacy technicians (N=61)**

Other medicines [a]	With prescription [b]			Provided OTC [b]		
	Never/ Rarely n (1-10%)	Sometimes n (11-40%)	Often/ Always n (41-80%)	Never/ Rarely n (1-10%)	Sometimes n (11-40%)	Often/ Always n (41-80%)
Corticosteroid, oral	31 (50.8)	19 (31.1)	11 (18.0)	35 (59.3)	16 (27.1)	8 (13.6)
Corticosteroid, injection	19 (31.1)	24 (39.3)	18 (29.5)	28 (48.3)	24 (41.4)	6 (10.3)
Vitamin, oral	31 (26.1)	42 (35.3)	46 (38.7)	28 (23.7)	30 (25.4)	60 (50.8)
Vitamin, injection	88 (49.4)	56 (31.5)	34 (19.1)	97 (55.1)	42 (23.9)	37 (21.0)
Antihistamin, oral	58 (48.7)	36 (30.3)	25 (21.0)	63 (53.4)	24 (20.3)	31 (26.3)
Antihistamin, injection	37 (62.7)	15 (25.4)	7 (11.9)	41 (70.7)	11 (19.0)	6 (10.3)
Xanthin,[c] oral	18 (30.0)	25 (41.7)	17 (28.3)	21 (35.0)	15 (25.0)	24 (40.0)
Xanthin, injection	27 (45.8)	19 (32.2)	13 (22.0)	34 (57.6)	12 (20.3)	13 (22.0)
Pyrazolone, oral	45 (76.3)	7 (11.9)	7 (11.9)	35 (60.3)	13 (22.4)	10 (17.2)
Pyrazolone, injection	44 (75.9)	9 (15.5)	5 (8.6)	37 (63.8)	13 (22.4)	8 (13.8)

3 [a] Some responses were missing for each category

4 [b] Respondents could choose more than option

5 [c] Xanthin is euphyllin

Percentage frequencies of characteristics that influence the practice of providing and prescribing for treatment of CAP

Table 4. Percentage frequencies of characteristics that influence the practice of providing medicines and prescribing for treatment of CAP

1   **Table 1 Percentage frequencies of characteristics that influence the practice of providing**

2   **medicines and prescribing for the treatment of CAP**

Characteristic [a]	Pharmacists and pharmacy technicians (N=61)			Doctors (N=71)		
	D/SD n (%)	SA/A n (%)	NR n (%)	Never/ Rarely n (1-10%)	Sometimes n (11-40%)	Often/ Always n (41-80%)
The clinical effect of injections is more potent than oral medicines'	14 (24.1)	40 (68.9)	4 (6.9)	16 (22.5)	24 (33.8)	31 (43.7)
The pharmaceutical quality of injections is better than tablets/ capsules	17 (29.3)	34 (58.6)	7 (12.1)	15 (21.1)	27 (38.0)	29 (40.8)
Adverse events occur with oral drugs more than with injections	32 (55.2)	16 (27.6)	10 (17.2)	39 (54.9)	22 (31.0)	10 (14.1)
The dosage form of injection is chosen for better compliance of a patient	9 (15.8)	39 (68.4)	9 (15.8)	34 (47.9)	6 (36.6)	11 (15.5)
The injection requires new syringes and needles	8 (13.8)	48 (82.8)	2 (3.4)	2 (2.8)	7 (9.9)	62 (87.3)
Training promotes more about treatment with an injection than oral medicines	38 (65.5)	12 (20.7)	8 (13.8)	52 (73.2)	15 (21.1)	4 (5.6)
There is lot of advertisement about injections by drug companies	38 (65.5)	11 (19.0)	9 (15.5)	35 (49.3)	27 (38.0)	9 (12.7)
Cost of treatment by oral medicines is more than the treatment cost with injections (including cost of syringes and needles)	31 (56.9)	21 (36.2)	4 (6.9)	45 (63.4)	11 (15.5)	15 (21.1)
If patients are prescribed an injection, they are required to visit a pharmacy/hospital several times	32 (55.1)	23 (39.7)	3 (5.2)	8 (11.2)	19 (26.8)	44 (62.0)
Patients prefer to use tablets rather than injection	35 (60.3)	17 (29.3)	6 (10.3)	30 (42.3)	24 (33.8)	17 (23.9)
When dispensing injections, patient's age, gender are important	10 (17.2)	44 (75.9)	4 (6.9)	8 (11.3)	16 (22.5)	47 (66.2)
Injection is chosen if patient had severe CAP	10 (17.2)	46 (79.3)	2 (3.4)	16 (22.5)	21 (29.6)	34 (47.9)

3   SA- Strongly agree, A-Agree, D- Disagree, SD- Strongly disagree, NR- No response

4   [a] Some responses were missing for each category