

# Quantification of Water Requirement of Some Major Crops under Semi-Arid Climate in Turkey

Yusuf Aydın Corresp. 1

1 Nizip Vocational Training School Department of Plant and Animal Production Organic Farming Programme Samandöken Village-Nizip/GAZİANTEP, Gaziantep University, Nizip, Gaziantep, Turkey

Corresponding Author: Yusuf Aydın Email address: yusufaydın056@gmail.com

South East Anatolian Region of Turkey is located in semi arid climate zone and therefore requires an efficient water use. A well planned irrigation with optimum water required by the crops is essential for limited water resources of the region. The numerical tool CROPWAT of FAO is used for modelling efficient irrigation of local crops pistachio, olive, almond and grape without reducing the yield. Local climatic, soil, plant and rainfall information are used as inputs to CROPWAT model to predict the reference evapotranspiration (ET<sub>o</sub>) values. The crop water requirement (CWR) for pistachio, olive, almond, and grape was calculated as 1294.0 mm, 659.4 mm, 790.2 mm, and 752.0 mm respectively, The number of irrigation needed during growth stages was determined as 8 for pistachio, 3 for olive, 6 for almond and 5 for grape.



### Quantification of Water Requirement of Some Major Crops under Semi-Arid Climate in Turkey

3
4 Yusuf Aydın\*
5
6 Gaziantep University, Nizip Vocational Training School
7 Department of Plant and Animal Production
8 Organic Farming Programme
9 Samandöken Village-Nizip/GAZİANTEP
10
\*Corresponding author: Yusuf Aydın

12 Email address: yaydin@gantep.edu.tr



14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

### ABSTRACT

South East Anatolian Region of Turkey is located in semi arid climate zone and therefore requires an efficient water use. A well planned irrigation with optimum water required by the crops is essential for limited water resources of the region. The numerical tool CROPWAT of FAO is used for modelling efficient irrigation of local crops pistachio, olive, almond and grape without reducing the yield. Local climatic, soil, plant and rainfall information are used as inputs to CROPWAT model to predict the reference evapotranspiration (ET<sub>0</sub>) values. The crop water requirement (CWR) for pistachio, olive, almond, and grape was calculated as 1294.0 mm, 659.4 mm, 790.2 mm, and 752.0 mm respectively, The number of irrigation needed during growth stages was determined as 8 for pistachio, 3 for olive, 6 for almond and 5 for grape.

2425

26 27

**Keywords:**Crop water requirement, CROPWAT 8.0, reference evapotranspiration, CLIMWAT 2.0, irrigation scheduling, pistachio, olive, almond, grape

293031

28

### INTRODUCTION

Accurate estimation of the crop evapotranspiration (ET<sub>c</sub>) is vital in the management and 32 33 development of water resources. The scarcity of fresh water resources or the misuse of water in agriculture has made the efficient and profitable use of water even more important. Gaziantep 34 province has a transition climate characteristic between Mediterranean and continental climate. 35 36 Therefore, the rainfall regime of the Mediterranean climate zone differs in the region, and most of the rainfall occurs in the winter months. In addition, the evaporation, which increases with the 37 38 high temperature in the summer months, increases the ET<sub>c</sub> and the need for irrigation water 39 (Aydın, 2021). Effective and efficient use of water resources requires a good planning, 40 development and operating system and cannot be considered independent of the vegetation pattern of the region. Accurate estimation or determining the ET<sub>c</sub> and amount of irrigation water 41 42 for the plants in the crop pattern enable to develop appropriate irrigation programs and can also 43 prevent irrigation-induced yield reductions. The main purpose of irrigation is to ensure continuity in ET<sub>c</sub> and to provide sufficient moisture in the root zone of the crops under less 44 45 rainfed conditions. Since water is an important input for crop production, it should be well planned and distributed effectively. The expected benefit from irrigation can be increased and 46 47 irrigation efficiencies can be improved with the appropriate modifications of conventional 48 irrigation systems (Balasaheb and Biswal, 2020). Water resources should be operated at an 49 optimum level in terms of irrigation parameters such as ET<sub>c</sub>, crop water requirement (CWR) and net irrigation water (NIR). Calculation of CWR is very important in regional balancing of water 50 51 resource, correct planning and operation of water resources, determination of possible water 52 demands that may occur in the future, increasing irrigation efficiency, operating irrigation 53 systems and maintaining water supply-demand balance. ET<sub>c</sub> and CWR values vary depending on climate, planting area, planting time, soil type (Sharma and Tare, 2021) and can be estimated by 54 55 using direct or indirect measurement methods and meteorological data. The direct measuring method of ET<sub>c</sub> is often difficult and costly. Therefore the ET<sub>o</sub> can be calculated using the 56 57 Penman-Monteith equation in the windows-based CROPWAT 8.0 software developed by Food 58 and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the World. Factor K<sub>c</sub> is used to calculate ET<sub>c</sub> from ET<sub>o</sub>.



59

60

61 62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

In addition, estimations of irrigation water requirements and yield decreases in response to critical reductions in irrigation water allow improvement of irrigation programs (Bhat et al, 2017). The irrigation water requirement for different crop patterns can be calculated with this model (using soil, plant and climate data), and reliable estimates can be carried out.

The FAO-CROPWAT model has been successfully used in many parts of the world for different crop patterns under irrigated as well as rainfed conditions (Alhassan et al, 2015). Many studies have been conducted to determine the crop evapotranspiration (ET<sub>c</sub>) and crop water requirement (CWR) for different plants in different climate and soil conditions. The CROPWAT 8.0 software has been widely used in different geographical regions and climatic conditions to calculate ET<sub>c</sub> and irrigation water requirements (IWR) of field crops (Mehta and Pandey, 2016; Veeranna and Mishra, 2017; Bhat et al, 2017), vegetables (Memon and Jamsa, 2018) and rice (Nithya et al, 2015; Mehta and Pandey, 2016; Verma et al, 2019) in Egyptian territories, (Gabr, 2021a; Gabr, 2021b; Sharma and Tare, 2021), and also of *Jatropha curcas L*. which is a tree or shrub used for biofuel production (Moseki et al, 2017).

72 The model was preferred due to reliable results reported in studies conducted in semi-arid 73

climatic conditions such as Gaziantep region, without causing a decrease in yield or under 74

75 controlled reduction conditions. The aim of this study was to determine the ET<sub>c</sub> and crop water

requirements (CWR) for pistachio, olive, grape and almond, (which are the main crops of 76 77

Gaziantep province), to determine appropriate irrigation programs and the priority order in

78 irrigation planning.

79 80

81

### **MATERIALS&METHODS**

### **Study Area and Data Handling**

Study Area 82

The study was carried out in Gaziantep provinces of the Southeastern Anatolia Region. 83

Gaziantep province is located between 36° 28' and 38° 0' east longitudes and 36° 38' and 37° 32' 84 north latitudes (Figure 1). 85

of a

86 87

#### **INSERT FIGURE 1**

88 89

90

91

92 93

94

95 96

97 98

99

100

Gaziantep province has the characteristics of transition climate between Mediterranean and the continental climate. The winter season is cold and rainy, while the summer months are quite hot and dry. The highest and lowest temperatures of the year vary between 44°C and -17.5°C, and the annual average temperature is 15.1 °C. Long-term annual average rainfall is 463 mm of which most rainfall occurs in winter. The rainfall is quite low in the summer season when evapotranspiration is high and the plant water requirement is at the most (Aydın, 2004). Total land area of Gaziantep province is 682 280 ha, and 50.6% (345 415 ha) of the lands consists of agricultural lands. Orchards have the largest share (62%) in agricultural lands, followed by field crops (35%) and vegetables fields (3%). Pistachio has the largest share (65%) in orchards, followed by olive (21%), vineyard (8%) and other fruit (6%) growing lands (Anonymous, 2021a). Therefore, pistachio, olive, grape and almond cultivation has an important place in the regional economy (Table 1). The province ranks the first in the coverage area of pistachio orchards and the amount of pistachio production in Turkey (Aslan, 2017).

101 102 103

#### **INSERT TABLE 1**

## **PeerJ**

104 105	Description of CROPWAT 8.0 Model
106	The CROPWAT 8.0 model is a windows-based software used to determine ET <sub>c</sub> , developed by
107	the contributions of the Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO), Land and Water
108	Development Division of Italy, Irrigation and Development Studies of Southampton and Egypt
109	National Water Research Centre (Balasaheb and Biswal, 2020). The model allows to carry out
110	simulations under water stress conditions and to estimate possible yield decrease in response to a
111	decrease in irrigation water (Verma et al, 2019). The model is effectively used as a "decision
112	support system" in irrigation project design studies and in the development of irrigation
113	programs due to ease of use. Likewise, this model is used in different ecological conditions to
114	estimate the evapotranspiration rate, to determine irrigation requirements and deficit irrigation
115	for different crops (Moseki et al., 2017). In addition to the calculation of crop water requirement,
116	the model can be used to prepare irrigation programs under different irrigation conditions and
117	different plant growth conditions, to develop more efficient irrigation methods, and to evaluate
118	crop yield under rainfed conditions or adequate irrigation conditions (Kartal et al. 2019).
119	The agronomists, irrigation and water resources engineers engaged in agricultural production can
120	also use the same model to calculate ET <sub>o</sub> (Mehta and Pandey, 2015). The aforementioned model
121	considers the grass as the reference plant, which does not have water restrictions, fully covers the
122	ground surface and has good growth. ET <sub>c</sub> values of the crop are calculated by using Equation 2
123	where the ET <sub>o</sub> values are multiplied by the factor K <sub>c</sub> for the local crops under study. ET <sub>o</sub> values
124	are derived by the CROPWAT 8.0 model based on the Penman-Monteith method given in
125	Equation 1.
126	Data Requirements
127	The CROPWAT 8.0 model requires 4 basic data groups including climate parameters
128	(maximum, minimum and average temperatures, relative humidity, sunshine, wind speed),
129	rainfall, soil (heavy, moderate, light), and the characteristics of the crop studied. The climate data
130	required for the model can be obtained using the CLIMWAT 2.0 software, which includes the
131	climate data measured from different parts of the world, as well as the data of local meteorology
132	stations (Ewaid et al, 2019).
133	Other parameters, required for the CROPWAT 8.0 model, like crop coefficient (K <sub>c</sub> ), yield
134	response factor (K <sub>y</sub> ), crop growth period values provided in Table 2-3 for Pistachio were
135	compiled from local studies (Aydın, 2004). In addition to aforementioned properties, others such
136	as rooting depth and critical depletion fraction (P) for olive, almond and grape plants were
137	manually compiled from FAO56 and other related literature (Anonymous, 2002 and 2017). The
138	climate and soil parameters of Gaziantep province including rainfall and soil properties and the
139	data on the plant provided in Tables 2 and 3, were used in the CROPWAT and CLIMWAT softwares to calculate the ET <sub>0</sub> and CWR values,
140 141	The agricultural soils of Gaziantep province have a medium-heavy texture (Kalkancı and
141	Şimşek, 2020); thus, soil texture for the agricultural soils in this study were considered as
142	medium textured in the solution of the CROPWAT software.
143 144	medium extered in the solution of the CRO1 wA1 software.
145	INSERT TABLE 2
146	

Crop Evapotranspiration (ET<sub>c</sub>)





were

The The actual ET<sub>c</sub> values of the crops studied are calculated by the use of two parameters namely the ET<sub>o</sub> and the factor crop coefficient (K<sub>c</sub>) as given in Equation 2. ET<sub>o</sub> values were calculated bythe CROPWAT 8.0 model using the Penman-Monteith equation (Allen et al, 1998). Most parameters in the FAO56-Penman-Monteith model can be measured directly, while some parameters can be calculated using related equations of the subjects.

153

154

$$ET_{o-PM} = \frac{0.408\Delta * (R_n - G) + \gamma (\frac{900}{T_{mean} + 273}) u_2(e_s - e_a)}{\Delta + \gamma (1 + 0.34u_2)}$$
Eq.1

155156157

158159

where; ET<sub>o</sub> is the reference crop evapotranspiration (mm d<sup>-1</sup>); R<sub>n</sub> is the net radiation (MJ m<sup>-2</sup>), G is the soil heat flux (MJm<sup>-2</sup>), T<sub>mean</sub> is the average air temperature (°C), U<sub>2</sub> is the wind speed at 2 m height (m s<sup>-1</sup>),  $e_s$  is the saturation vapor pressure (kPa),  $\Delta$  is the slope of vapor pressure curve (kPa.°C<sup>-1</sup>),  $\gamma$  is the psychrometric constant (kPa °C<sup>-1</sup>)

160161162

$$ET_c = K_c \times ET_o$$
 Eq.2

163164

165

The coefficient  $K_c$ , used to determine  $ET_c$ , is the result of combined effects of transpiration from the plant surface and evaporation from the soil surface and reflects the common characteristics of both surfaces (Shah et al., 2017).

166167

168

Crop Coefficient  $(K_c)$ 

- The crop coefficient ( $K_c$ ) is defined as the ratio of the  $ET_c$  to the  $ET_o$  (Anonymous, 2002). The
- 170 FAO-56 model is based on the concepts of ET<sub>o</sub> and crop coefficient (Poblete-Echeverría and
- Ortega Farias, 2013). Four important and different periods of crops are taken into account in the
- 172 calculations of K<sub>c</sub> based on ET<sub>c</sub>. (i) The initial stage is the period from seed sowing to
- germination and 10% ground coverage, (ii) The growth period is between the end of the initial
- stage and the period when the crop completes the growth and the percentage of ground cover
- reaches 70-80% (iii) Mid-term is the stage when crop growth is completed or the crop fully
- grows and matures with the onset of flowering, (iv) The period between the maturation stage and the harvest is considered the end period (Çetin, 2013).
- The K<sub>c</sub> coefficients were used to calculate ET<sub>c</sub> values via ET<sub>o</sub> values based on the FAO56-PM equation (Eq. 1). The K<sub>c</sub> values of local crops pistachio (Aydın, 2004), olive, grape and almond
- 180 (Anonymous, 2017), were compiled from the literature considering the plant growth stages
- 181 (initial, mid, end stages).

182183

### **INSERT TABLE 3**

184 185

Crop Water Requirement (CWR)

- 186 Semi arid climate zone characteristics of the region in mind, Pistachio, olive, grape and almond
- are the main agricultural and economical crops of Southeastern Anatolia Region of Turkey and
- require an efficient irrigation program. The CWR including the losses and the ET<sub>c</sub>, the amount of



net irrigation water requirement (NIRs) and irrigation schedules were determined for the same 190 crops by using CROPWAT 8.0 software. The inputs of the model are; long-term annual average rainfall and effective rainfall values both obtained by the USSC method, and other soil and plant 192 parameters like K<sub>c</sub>, K<sub>v</sub> etc. The monthly ET<sub>o</sub> values calculated with the CROPWAT model using Gaziantep climate data are given in Table 4. 193

194 195

189

191

#### **INSERT TABLE 4**

196 197

- Irrigation Scheduling and Net Irrigation Requirement
- The expected benefit from irrigation can be obtained only if the amount of water needed by the 198
- crops and proper irrigation program are determined in advance. The irrigation schedules of 199
- 200 pistachio, olive, grape and almond are shown in Figures 2-5. Net irrigation water requirement
- 201 (NIR) of crops is the amount of water required for crops to fully develop or to bring the
- 202 decreased available soil water content in the plant root zone back to the field capacity. The NIR
- varies with the crop pattern and climate characteristics of the region. 203
- Net irrigation water requirements are calculated using the following equation; 204

$$NIR = ET_c - P_{eff}$$
 Eq. 3

where; ET<sub>c</sub> is the crop evapotranspiration, and P<sub>eff</sub> is the effective rainfall. 206

207 208

209

The CROPWAT model uses the water budget technique detailed by Allen et al. (1998) to determine irrigation water demand (IR), For this purpose, the following equation (Eq.4) is used in IR calculation.

210 211

 $D_c = D_p + ET_c - P - I + SRO + DP$ 212 Eq.4

- Where; D<sub>c</sub>: current day's soil water deficit (net irrigation requirement) in the root zone; D<sub>n</sub>: 214
- previous day soil water deficit; ET<sub>c</sub>: current day crop evatranspiration rate; P: actual gross 215
- precipitation; I: amount of final irrigation injected into the field today; SRO: water loss by runoff 216
- 217 from the soil surface, DP: deep percolation. (Sharma and Tare, 2021)
- The amount of water to be diverted from the source to the irrigation area is calculated by the 218
- ratio of NIR to the irrigation efficiency. Total irrigation efficiency is one of the most essential 219
- 220 factors in determining irrigation modules (flow, l/sec/ha) in irrigation projects.
- During the transmission of water from the source to the plant root zone in the irrigation area, 221
- 222 some part of water is lost through mechanisms of transmission losses, deep percolation,
- 223 infiltration and surface runoff. In addition to the aforementioned losses, some of irrigation water
- 224 is needed for soil leaching, sowing and planting. Therefore, water losses must be taken into
- account and the total irrigation efficiency must be calculated to apply the amount of net irrigation 225
- 226 water to the root zone and to bring the water content to the field capacity.
- In irrigation process, there are some definitions like RAM&TAM etc. Readily available moisture 227
- (RAM) is the amount of water that plants can easily take in the root zone without encountering 228
- any water stress. TAM expresses the total amount of moisture contained in the soil around plant 229
- root zone. The RAM value is also defined as a certain percentage of the TAM. 230
- 231 Therefore, in irrigation applications, water reductions in the root zone are allowed until the
- 232 readily available moisture (RAM) level, and after this point, irrigation is started and recycled



until the root zone moisture level reaches to the field capacity. TAM is also defined as the 233 difference between soil moisture content (SMC) at field capacity and permanent wilting point 234 (PWP) by Kanber, 2015. 235 236 Effective rainfall  $(P_{eff})$ 237 238 In arid or semi-arid climatic conditions, agricultural production is highly dependent on the 239 rainfall. However, the full amount of rainfall is not always usefull for crops use. Some of rainfall is lost through deep infiltration and surface flow. Moseki et al, (2017) explains that as "The 240 amount of water available to plants after all the losses have taken place is referred to as the 241 242 effective rainfall. Estimation of effective rainfall values depend on the location of the study area and the amount of rainfall occurs in the region. The equations 5&6 below, recommended for 243 244 CROPWAT 8.0 by the US Soil Conservation Service, were used to determine the monthly 245 effective rainfall values (Balasaheb and Biswal, 2020).  $P_{eff} = \frac{P * (125 - 0.2 * P)}{125} for P \le 250 mm$ 246 247  $P_{eff} = 125 + 0.1 * P for P > 250 mm$ 248 Eq.6 249 Where, Peff is the effective rainfall in mm, and P is the total rainfall in mm. 250 251 Effective rainfall values are calculated by using equations 5&6 based on the total rainfall values. In 252 cases or periods where effective rainfall values are higher than the ET<sub>c</sub> values, there is no need for any irrigation. Therefore, CWR values are calculated based on the cases where ET<sub>c</sub> values are higher 253 254 than effective rainfall values. 255 **RESULTS** The parameters such as ET<sub>o</sub>, CWR, effective rainfall and total irrigation water requirement for 256 the crops studied were calculated using the CROPWAT model. The climate characteristics of 257 258 Gaziantep province calculated with CROPWAT are given in Table 4, and the other outputs of the 259 model are given in Tables 5-9 and Figures 2-5. 260 261 Estimates of Reference Evapotranspiration and Effective Rainfall 262 263 The monthly average ET<sub>o</sub> values were quite low (0.82 mm d<sup>-1</sup>) in winter months, and reached the highest value (7.52 mm d<sup>-1</sup>) especially in July with the increase in maximum temperatures and 264 265 accordingly evaporation. Low rainfall and high evaporation in summer months causes a decrease in the soil moisture content, and the need for irrigation becomes more evident in this period. The 266 267 differences in ET<sub>o</sub> values can be associated with the transitional climate of Gaziantep province 268 between the temperate Mediterranean and the colder continental climate. ET<sub>c</sub> also increases in 269 dry seasons under high air temperature, high wind speed and low relative humidity conditions

271 Crop Water Requirements (CWR) of Pistachio, Olive, Grape and Almond

(Ewaid et al, 2019).



The CWR values for pistachio, olive, grape and almond plants are given in Table 5-8. The ET<sub>c</sub> 272 values were calculated considering the growth stages of plants. The ET<sub>c</sub> values increased from 273 274 the initial stage to the growth stage, but the ET<sub>c</sub> values decreased towards the last stage. The 275 differences in ET<sub>c</sub> values are due to the difference in the K<sub>c</sub> coefficients that reflect the characteristics of the crops (Table 3). The value of coefficient K<sub>c</sub> is not constant throughout the 276 crop growth stages, therefore cause differences in the seasonal irrigation water requirement of 277 the crops. Similar differences are observed in the effective rainfall values due to the irregular 278 279 rainfall regime. All rainfall values (throughout the year) cannot be used to determine the CWR. The amounts of effective rainfalls are very low during the maximum growth periods of the crops 280 (Table 5-8). Therefore, the amount of effective rainfalls, in arid and semi-arid climate regions, 281 282 can be neglected in CWR calculations to prevent possible risks in crop growth (Kanber, 2015). 283 In this study, only the total amount of the annual effective rain (provided in Table 4 calculated using Eq.4 and Eq.5 as 484.7 mm), was used in the calculation of CWR during the vegetation 284 period of the studied plants. 285

### **INSERT TABLE 5**

287 288 289

290291

292

293294

286

In addition to climate parameters, many factors such as soil structure, genetic characteristics of crops, geographic location, and conventional or modern agricultural technologies used have a direct influence on ET<sub>c</sub>. Therefore, the CWR values of the crops investigated in this study were significantly different between the growth stages of the plants. The CWR values based on 10-day interval are listed as:

pistachio (1293.9 mm)>almond (790.2 mm)>grape (752 mm)>olive (659.4 mm) and provided also in Tables 5-8.

295296297

298

INSERT TABLE 6 INSERT TABLE 7 INSERT TABLE 8

299300301

The irrigation schedules of pistachio, olive, grape and almond are shown in Table 9 and Figures 2-5.

302303304

305306

307

308309

310

311312

#### **INSERT TABLE 9**

The flow values in the last column of Table 9 are the irrigation module values calculated for the crops studied. The irrigation module is calculated by considering the highest ET<sub>c</sub> value. The calculated peak module is used to determine the channel capacities in irrigation systems (Kanber, 2015). Peak modulus values for pistachio, grape and almond were calculated as 1.9, 1.08 and 1.13 l/sec/ha, respectively, in the last decade of July, and 0.81 l/sec/ha for olive in the first decade of September. The amount of water to be diverted from the source and the diversion times in irrigation programs can be easily determined by using the findings of this study for Gaziantep province where water resources are limited and insufficient.

313 INSERT FIGURE 2
314 INSERT FIGURE 3
315 INSERT FIGURE 4
316 INSERT FIGURE 5



317318

319

320

321

322

323

Net irrigation water requirements (NIR) and gross irrigation water requirements for full irrigations calculated by Eq.3 changed between 179.2 and 256.0 mm for pistachio, 284.7 and 406.7 mm for olive, 155.3 and 221.9 mm for grape, and 142.2 and 203.2 mm for almond. The number of irrigation application determined in irrigation program was 8 times for pistachio, 3 times for olive, 5 times for grape and 6 times for almond. Irrigation starting times were determined as 3<sup>rd</sup> of June for pistachio, and 12<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup> and 11<sup>th</sup> of June for olive, grape and almond, respectively.

324325326

### **DISCUSSION**

327328

329

330331

332

333

334

335336

337

338339

340

341

342343

344

345

346

347

348349

350

351

352

The literature review showed that the irrigation program based on ET<sub>c</sub> studies have not previously been carried out for olive, grape and almond in province of Gaziantep. Whereas, the number of irrigation and frequency of irrigation for Gemlik olive variety, were reported as 10 times and 21-day intervals in neighbouring province Sanliurfa (Anlagan Tas et al. 2016). The total irrigation water requirement of Gemlik variety was determined as 749 mm and irrigation starting and ending dates were determined as the first half of April and the second half of October (Anlagan Tas et al. 2016). The number of irrigation, frequency of irrigation and the amount of total irrigation stated for Sanliurfa province are quite different from Gaziantep province. The differences can be attributed to the fact that the Gemlik olive variety is more sensitive to water deficiency, as well as the different climatic characteristics of Gaziantep & Sanliurfa provinces. A similar condition has been observed for grape. In Tarsus-Adana conditions, the number of irrigation for grape was determined as 10-11, the total irrigation water was between 599 and 671 mm, and the irrigation was recommended between second half of May and second half of July (Kanber et al, 2017). In the present study, the results revealed that irrigation should be applied 5 times between June and October and total amount of irrigation water was determined as 752 mm,. The differences in the amount of irrigation, the number of irrigation and the irrigation period can be attributed to the differences in climate, altitude and geographic locations of Gaziantep & Adana provinces. A limited number of studies have been found on pistachio irrigation using different irrigation methods. While Kanber (1992) determined the ET<sub>c</sub> value of pistachio as 803 mm in the 20-days irrigation interval, Bilgel and Dağdeviren (1999) calculated ET<sub>c</sub> value as 841 mm in the 15-days irrigation interval. Surface irrigation methods were used in both studies. In another study, conducted by Aydın (2004) on pistachios irrigated with drip irrigation, the ET<sub>c</sub> value was determined as 813 mm for 20 days irrigation interval and it is reported that irrigation of pistachios in Gaziantep province should start at the beginning of June. The findings of Aydın (2004) are in accordance with the starting time of irrigation determined in the present study.

353354355

### CONCLUSIONS

In the present study, main objectives were to determine both the amounts of ET<sub>c</sub> values and the crop water requirement (CWR) values for four different crops (pistachio, almond, grape and olive) of South East Anatolian Region of Turkey, in paricular Gaziantep province.

359

The CROPWAT 8.0 model of FAO was used to determine ET<sub>c</sub> and CWR values. The input parameters to the model such as climate and rainfall parameters are provided by CLIMWAT 2.0 program.





363 364	According to the results obtained from the model, in a fixed period of duration (from March to November), CWR values were determined as 1294 mm for pistachios, 790.2 mm for almonds,
365	752.0 mm for grapes and 659.4 mm for olives. Therefore, the order of crop irrigation priority
366 367	follows as:
368	Pistachio > Almond > Grape > Olive
369	The higher the CWR values, the more frequent irrigation is required: pistachio 8 times, almonds
370	6 times grapes 5 times and olive 3 times from March to November.
371	
372	Based on the data provided and the fact that harvesting time of the three crops (pistachio, grape
373	and almond) is the summer time, it is decided that the most critical crop in Gaziantep province is
374	the pistachio regarding irrigation requirement.
375	r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r
376	
377	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
378	
379	The author thanks to Melih AKAY, Teknotar Irrigation Company Manager in Gaziantep, for his
380	technical support and to Prof. Nihat YILDIRIM from Gaziantep University for editing the text



382

### **REFERENCES**

- Allen, R., L. Pereira., D. Raes., M. Smith. 1998. *Crop Evapotranspiration (guidelines for computing crop water requirements)*. Rome: FAO-Irrigation and Drainage Paper-No.56.
- Alhassan, I., Ibrahim, A., Maunde, M.M., Vahyala, I.E., 2015. Water requirement and irrigation schedule for tomato in northern guinea savanna zone, Nigeria. *Azarian Journal of Agriculture*. *AJA*. Vol (2) Issue 3, 2015: 65-70
- Anonymous, 2002. Crop Evapotranspiration (Guidelines for Computing Crop Water Requirements). Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) Irrigation and Drainage Paper No.56)
- Anlağan Taş, M., Nacar, AS., Değirmenci, V., Sakar, E., Bahçeci Alsan, P., 2016. The Effect of Irrigation on Periodicity and Oil Quality of Gemlik Olive (Oolea europea) cultivar in Southeastern Anatolia Region. Directorate of Agricultural Research Institute of Southeastern Anatolia Project Region (GAP), Project Number: TAGEM-BB-090201J1, Şanlıurfa/Turkey (in Turkish)
- Anonymous, 2017. Plant Water Consumption of irrigated plants in Turkey. Gida Tarım ve Hayvancılık Bakanlığı. ISBN: 978-605-9175-93-7, Ankara/Türkiye (in Turkish)
- Anonymous, 2021a. Gaziantep Directorate of Provincial Agriculture and Forestry, Briefing Report of 2021. (in Turkish)
- 400 <u>https://gaziantep.tarimorman.gov.tr/Belgeler/2022/Brifing\_2021%20son%20pdf.pdf</u>
- Anonymous, 2021b. 2020-2021 Production Season Table Olive and Olive Oil Yield National
  Official Inspection Committee Report. National Olive and Olive oil Counsil. 15 Oct. 2020.
  (in Turkish)
- 404 <a href="https://www.ctb.org.tr/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/45-2020-2021-uretim-sezonu-sofralik-2021/uretim-sez
- Aslan, N., 2017. Pistachio Production in the World and in Turkey. Journal of Pistachio Research.
   V:6, p:2-5 Gaziantep-Turkey (in Turkish)
- Aydın, Y., 2004. The effect of different irrigation water and nitrogen levels on yield and alternate bearing in Pistachio. Çukurova University, Institute of Natural and Applied Sciences, Department of Agricultural Structures and Irrigation, PhD Thesis. P:145, Adana/Turkey (in Turkish)
- Aydın Y. 2021. Assessing of evapotranspiration models using limited climatic data in Southeast
   Anatolian Project Region of Turkey. PeerJ 9:e11571 DOI 10.7717/peerj.11571
- Bilgel, L., Dağdeviren, İ., Nacar, A. S. (1999): Determination of water consumption and irrigation program of pistachio (Siirt cultivar) in Harran Plain conditions of the GAP
- region. III. Horticultural Plant Congress of Turkey, Sep, 14-17, Ankara, pp. 252-257 (in Turkish). Bhat,
   S.A., Pandit, B.A., Khan, J. N., Kumar, R., Jan, R., 2017. Water Requirements and Irrigation
   Scheduling of Maize Crop using CROPWAT Model. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci* (2017)
   6(11): xx-xx
- Balasaheb, K.S., Sudarsan Biswal, S., 2020. Study of Crop Evapotranspiration and Irrigation
   Scheduling of Different Crops Using Cropwat Model in Waghodia Region, India.
   *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci* (2020) *9*(5): 3208-3220
- 423 Çetin, Ö., 2013. Adaptation of Crop Coefficients Using Local Climate Data (from FAO-56).
- Turkey Water Consumption Guide Preparation Training. 11-13 of September,
- 425 Menemen/İZMİR (in Turkish)



- Ewaid, S.H., Abed, S.A., Al-Ansari, N., 2019. Crop Water Requirements and Irrigation
- Schedules for Some Major Crops in Southern Iraq. *Water* **2019**, 11, 756;
- doi:10.3390/w11040756, www.mdpi.com/journal/water
- Kalkancı, N., Şimşek, T., 2020. Fertility Status of Gaziantep Soils. Journal of Pistachio
- 430 Research. Number: 8, 8-12, Gaziantep/Türkiye (in Turkish)
- Kanber, R., Önder, S., Köksal, H. (1992): Investigation of pistachio yield and water consumption in
- southeastern Anatolia conditions. First International Symposium on Pistachio of Turkey,
- Gaziantep, pp. 145-160 (in Turkish). Kanber, R., 2015. Irrigation. ÇU. Faculty of Agriculture,
- Department of Agricultural Structure and Irrigation. General Publication Number: 174,
- Publication No: A-52, Adana/TÜRKİYE (in Turkish)
- Kanber, R., Ünlü, M., Aydınşakir, K., Aydın, O., Küçükcoşkun, Ş., 2017. Guide to Irrigation
- Techniques of Cultural Plants Grown in Turkey. Republic of Türkiye Ministry of Food
- Agriculture and Forestry (Updated edition), General Directorate of Agricultural Research,
- 439 Ankara/Turkey (in Turkish)
- Kartal, S., Bozkurt Çolak, Y., Gönen, E., Özfidaner, M., 2019. Establishment of Irrigation
- Program for Eggplant in Tarsus Region using CROPWAT 8.0 Program. *Turkish Journal of*
- 442 Agricultural and Natural Sciences, 6(2): 332–342, 2019.
- 443 <u>https://doi.org/10.30910/turkjans.557129</u> (in Turkish)
- 444 Memon, A.V., Jamsa, S., 2018. Crop Water Requirement and Irrigation scheduling of Soybean
- and Tomato crop using CROPWAT 8.0. International Research Journal of Engineering and
- 446 Technology (IRJET.) Volume: 05 Issue: 09. e-ISSN: 2395-0056, p-ISSN: 2395-0072,
- 447 <u>www.irjet.net</u>
- Mehta, R., Pandey, V., 2015. Reference evapotranspiration (ET<sub>o</sub>) and crop water requirement
- (ETc) of wheat and maize in Gujarat. Journal of Agrometeorology 17 (1): 107-113 (June
- 450 2015)
- Mehta, R., Pandey, V., 2016. Crop water requirement (ET<sub>c</sub>) of different crops of middle Gujarat.
- 452 *Journal of Agrometeorology 18 (1) : 83-87 (June 2016)*
- 453 Gabr, ME, 2021a. Modelling net irrigation water requirements using FAO-CROPWAT 8.0 and
- 454 CLIMWAT 2.0: a case study of Tina Plain and East South ElKantara regions, North Sinai,
- Egypt, Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science, DOI: 10.1080/03650340.2021.1892650 **To**
- 456 **link to this article:** https://doi.org/10.1080/03650340.2021.1892650
- 457 Gabr, ME, 2021b. Management of irrigation requirements using FAO-CROPWAT 8.0 model: A
- case study of Egypt. Modeling Earth Systems and Environment.
- 459 https://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-021-01268-4
- 460 Moseki O, Murray-Hudson M, Kashe K (2019) Crop water and irrigation requirements of
- Jatropha curcas L. in semi-arid conditions of Botswana: applying the CROPWAT model.
- 462 Agric Water Manag 20(225):105754 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2019.105754
- Nithya B.K., Shreedhara, R., Shivapur, AV., 2015. Water Requirements of Selected Crops in
- Kunigal Command Area. i-manager's Journal on Civil Engineering, Vol. 5, No. 2 March-
- 465 *May 2015*
- Poblete-Echeverría, C.A. and Ortega-Farias, S.O., 2013. Evaluation of single and dual crop
- coefficients over a drip-irrigated Merlot vineyard (*Vitis vinifera* L.) using combined
- 468 measurements of sap flow sensors and an eddy covariance system. Australian Society of
- 469 Viticulture and Oenology Inc. doi: 10.1111/ajgw.12019




## **PeerJ**

470	Sharma, D.N., Tare, V., 2021. Assessment of irrigation requirement and scheduling under canal
471	command area of Upper Ganga Canal using CropWat model. Modeling Earth Systems and
472	Environment. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-021-01184-7
473	Shah, I.L., Suryanarayana, T.M.V., Parekh, F.P., 2017. Determination of Crop Water
474	Requirement and Irrigation Scheduling for Banana. IJSRD - International Journal for
475	Scientific Research & Development Vol. 4, Issue 11, 2017   ISSN (online): 2321-0613
476	Şimşek, M., Gülsoy, E., 2017. An Overview of the Potential of Almond ( <i>Prunus amygdalus L.</i> )
477	in the Southeastern Anatolia Region. Iğdır Univ. Iğdır Univ. J. Inst. Sci. & Tech. 7(3): 19-
478	29, 2017
479	Yılmaz, A., Okay, Y., 2021. Almond in Turkey, Its Problems and Solution Suggestions Journal
480	of Tarım Gündem, V:64, p:62-67 <a href="http://tarimgundemdergisi.com/project/tarim-gundem-">http://tarimgundemdergisi.com/project/tarim-gundem-</a>
481	dergisi-sayi-64/ Accessing Date: Jan 30, 2022 (in Turkish)
482	Verma, R., Gangwar, A., Kumar, M., Verma, RK., 2019. Study on Water Requirement of Rice
483	using CROPWAT Model for Lucknow Division of Uttar Pradesh. Journal of AgriSearch,
484	6(1):44-49, ISSN: 2348-8808 (Print), 2348-8867 (Online),
485	https://doi.org/10.12921/jas.v6i1.14920
486	Veeranna, J., Mishra, A., 2017. Estimation of evapotranspiration and irrigation scheduling of
487	lentil using CROPWAT 8.0 Model for Anantapur District, Andhra Pradesh, India. Journal of
488	AgriSearch 4(4):255-258, ISSN: 2348-8808 (Print), 2348-8867 (Online),
489	http://dx.doi.org/10.21921/jas.v4i04.10204
490	




Gaziantep Province and its locational view in Turkey






Irrigation schedules for Pistachio






Irrigation schedules for Olive





### Irrigation schedules for Grape





### Irrigation schedules for Almond






Table 1(on next page)

Data for four crops breading in Gaziantep province



### Table 1:

### 2 Data for four crops in Gaziantep province

Crops	Acreages, (he)	Production (ton)	Average yield (kg tree <sup>-1</sup> )	Number of trees	Source
Pistachio	133 5 39*	75 298	4.2**	21 982 745	Aslan, 2017; **Aydın, 2004
Olive	44 6 46*	54 632	6	10 273 943	Anonymous, 2021b
Grape	16 239*	125 928*			*Anonymous, 2021a
Almond	116.6	3 629	19	230 140	Yılmaz and Okay, 2021

<sup>3 \*</sup>Values have been taken from Anonymous, 2021a; \*\*Dry red shell fruit yield value



Table 2(on next page)

Data for the four crops in the study

1

### Table 2:

### Data for the four crops in the study

			Critical		Crop Growth Periods (Days)*					
Crops	Scientific Name	Planting and Harvesting Date	Depletion Fraction (p)	Rooting Dept. ** (cm)	Initial	Crop Develop ment	Mid- season	Late season	Total Growing Period, (days)	
Pistachio	Pistacia vera L.	15 Mar- 25 Oct	0.40	120-150	20	69	80	60	229	
Olive (oil)	Olea europaea	15 Mar-25 Oct	0.65	90-150	30	80	55	80	245	
Grape (Table)	Vitis vinifera	15 Mar-25 Oct	0.35	50-120	22	54	83	65	224	
Almond	Prunus Amygdalus	15 Mar-25 Oct	0.40	60-120	28	50	95	50	223	

<sup>\*</sup>Anonymous, 2002, p:165

<sup>\*\*</sup>Anonymous, 2017



Table 3(on next page)

Crop coefficients for four crops in the study

## **PeerJ**

1 2

### Table 3:

Crop coefficients for four crops in the study

Crop Type	K <sub>c</sub> coefficients							
Crop Type	K <sub>c-ini</sub>	$K_{c-mid}$	$K_{c-end}$					
Pistachio*	0.42	1.51	0.39					
Olive (oiler)	0.66	0.72	0.68					
Grape (Table)	0.63	0.86	0.43					
Almond	0.40	0.90	0.61					

\*Aydın, 2004



## Table 4(on next page)

Climate Characteristics, rainfall and ETo of Gaziantep Province obtained using the CLIMWAT tool attached to the CROPWAT software.

## **PeerJ**

Table 4:
 Climate Characteristics, rainfall and ET<sub>o</sub> of Gaziantep Province obtained using the CLIMWAT tool attached to the CROPWAT software.

Month	Min Temp	Max Temp	Humidity	Wind	Sun	Rad	ETo	Rain	Eff rain
WIOIIII	°C	°C	%	km/day	hours	MJ/m²/day	mm/day	mm	mm
January	-0.8	7.3	79	121	2.5	6.5	0.82	99	83.3
February	0.4	9.2	73	130	2.5	8	1.14	77	67.5
March	3.1	14	64	138	5.4	13.8	2.08	79	69.0
April	7.2	19.7	59	138	7.2	18.7	3.25	52	47.7
May	11.7	25.7	51	130	9.8	23.9	4.66	34	32.2
June	16.7	31.2	43	181	12.1	27.7	6.5	6	5.9
July	20.8	35.3	37	199	12.5	28	7.52	2	2.0
August	20.6	35.1	37	164	11.5	25.1	6.67	1	1.0
September	15.9	31.2	41	121	10	20.3	4.78	2	2.0
October	9.8	24.2	50	78	7.5	14.1	2.67	37	34.8
November	4.4	16.4	68	78	4.9	8.9	1.42	63	56.6
December	1.3	9.9	76	104	2.3	5.7	0.91	98	82.6
Average	9.3	21.6	56	132	7.3	16.7	3.54	550	484.7



Table 5(on next page)

Crop water requirement for Pistachio



Table 5:Crop water requirement for Pistachio

Month	Danada	Stage*	K <sub>c</sub>	ET <sub>c</sub>	ETc	Eff rain	Irr. Req.
Month	Decade	Stage*	coeff	mm/day	mm/dec	mm/dec	mm/dec
March	2	Init	0.42	0.87	5.2	14.4	0.0
March	3	Init	0.42	1.04	11.4	21.3	0.0
April	1	Deve	0.46	1.33	13.3	18.1	0.0
April	2	Deve	0.62	2.01	20.1	15.6	4.5
April	3	Deve	0.78	2.9	29.0	14.0	15.0
May	1	Deve	0.94	3.94	39.4	12.7	26.6
May	2	Deve	1.1	5.12	51.2	11.2	40.1
May	3	Deve	1.27	6.69	73.6	8.1	65.5
June	1	Deve	1.44	8.46	84.6	4.2	80.3
June	2	Mid	1.52	9.91	99.1	1.0	98.2
June	3	Mid	1.52	10.43	104.3	0.9	103.4
July	1	Mid	1.52	11.15	111.5	1.0	110.5
July	2	Mid	1.52	11.77	117.7	0.6	117.2
July	3	Mid	1.52	11.23	123.6	0.5	123.1
August	1	Mid	1.52	10.7	107.0	0.4	106.5
August	2	Mid	1.52	10.31	103.1	0.3	102.8
August	3	Late	1.52	9.29	102.2	0.4	101.8
September	1	Late	1.4	7.58	75.8	0.1	75.7
September	2	Late	1.21	5.8	58.0	0.0	58.0
September	3	Late	1.02	4.17	41.7	2.0	39.7
October	1	Late	0.83	2.82	28.2	8.3	19.9
October	2	Late	0.65	1.72	17.2	12.1	5.1
October	3	Late	0.47	1.05	9.4	11.8	0.0
					1426.7	159	1293.9

<sup>\*</sup>Init: initinal; Deve: development; Eff. Rain: effective rain; Irr. Req: Irrigation requirements

4



Table 6(on next page)

Crop water requirement for Olive



1 Table 62 Crop water requirement for Olive

March         2         Init         0.66         1.37         8.2         14.4         0.0           March         3         Init         0.66         1.63         17.9         21.3         0.0           April         1         Init         0.66         1.89         18.9         18.1         0.8           April         2         Deve         0.66         2.15         21.5         15.6         5.9           April         3         Deve         0.66         2.15         21.5         15.6         5.9           April         3         Deve         0.66         2.15         21.5         15.6         5.9           April         3         Deve         0.67         2.5         25         14.0         11.0           May         1         Deve         0.68         2.85         28.5         12.7         15.8           May         2         Deve         0.69         3.21         32.1         11.2         21.0           May         3         Deve         0.70         3.69         40.6         8.1         32.4           June         1         Deve         0.71         4.17         41.	Month	Danada	Stage	K <sub>c</sub>	ET <sub>c</sub>	ET <sub>c</sub>	Eff rain	Irr. Req.
March         3         Init         0.66         1.63         17.9         21.3         0.0           April         1         Init         0.66         1.89         18.9         18.1         0.8           April         2         Deve         0.66         2.15         21.5         15.6         5.9           April         3         Deve         0.67         2.5         25         14.0         11.0           May         1         Deve         0.68         2.85         28.5         12.7         15.8           May         2         Deve         0.69         3.21         32.1         11.2         21.0           May         3         Deve         0.70         3.69         40.6         8.1         32.4           June         1         Deve         0.71         4.17         41.7         4.2         37.5           June         2         Deve         0.72         4.67         46.7         1.0         45.7           July         1         Mid         0.73         5.36         53.6         1.0         52.6           July         2         Mid         0.73         5.4         59.4 <th>Month</th> <th>Decade</th> <th>Stage</th> <th>coeff</th> <th>mm/day</th> <th>mm/dec</th> <th>mm/dec</th> <th>mm/dec</th>	Month	Decade	Stage	coeff	mm/day	mm/dec	mm/dec	mm/dec
April         1         Init         0.66         1.89         18.9         18.1         0.8           April         2         Deve         0.66         2.15         21.5         15.6         5.9           April         3         Deve         0.67         2.5         25         14.0         11.0           May         1         Deve         0.68         2.85         28.5         12.7         15.8           May         2         Deve         0.69         3.21         32.1         11.2         21.0           May         3         Deve         0.70         3.69         40.6         8.1         32.4           June         1         Deve         0.71         4.17         41.7         4.2         37.5           June         2         Deve         0.72         4.67         46.7         1.0         45.7           June         3         Deve         0.73         4.97         49.7         0.9         48.9           July         1         Mid         0.73         5.36         53.6         1.0         52.6           July         3         Mid         0.73         5.4         59.4	March	2	Init	0.66	1.37	8.2	14.4	0.0
April         2         Deve         0.66         2.15         21.5         15.6         5.9           April         3         Deve         0.67         2.5         25         14.0         11.0           May         1         Deve         0.68         2.85         28.5         12.7         15.8           May         2         Deve         0.69         3.21         32.1         11.2         21.0           May         3         Deve         0.70         3.69         40.6         8.1         32.4           June         1         Deve         0.71         4.17         41.7         4.2         37.5           June         2         Deve         0.72         4.67         46.7         1.0         45.7           June         3         Deve         0.73         4.97         49.7         0.9         48.9           July         1         Mid         0.73         5.36         53.6         1.0         52.6           July         3         Mid         0.73         5.4         59.4         0.5         58.9           August         1         Mid         0.73         5.14         51.4	March	3	Init	0.66	1.63	17.9	21.3	0.0
April         3         Deve         0.67         2.5         25         14.0         11.0           May         1         Deve         0.68         2.85         28.5         12.7         15.8           May         2         Deve         0.69         3.21         32.1         11.2         21.0           May         3         Deve         0.70         3.69         40.6         8.1         32.4           June         1         Deve         0.71         4.17         41.7         4.2         37.5           June         2         Deve         0.72         4.67         46.7         1.0         45.7           June         3         Deve         0.73         4.97         49.7         0.9         48.5           July         1         Mid         0.73         5.36         53.6         1.0         52.6           July         3         Mid         0.73         5.66         56.6         0.6         56.0           July         3         Mid         0.73         5.4         59.4         0.5         58.9           August         1         Mid         0.73         5.4         59.4	April	1	Init	0.66	1.89	18.9	18.1	0.8
May         1         Deve         0.68         2.85         28.5         12.7         15.8           May         2         Deve         0.69         3.21         32.1         11.2         21.0           May         3         Deve         0.70         3.69         40.6         8.1         32.4           June         1         Deve         0.71         4.17         41.7         4.2         37.5           June         2         Deve         0.72         4.67         46.7         1.0         45.7           June         3         Deve         0.73         4.97         49.7         0.9         48.9           July         1         Mid         0.73         5.36         53.6         1.0         52.6           July         3         Mid         0.73         5.66         56.6         0.6         56.0           July         3         Mid         0.73         5.4         59.4         0.5         58.9           August         1         Mid         0.73         5.14         51.4         0.4         51.0           August         2         Mid         0.73         4.96         49.6	April	2	Deve	0.66	2.15	21.5	15.6	5.9
May         2         Deve         0.69         3.21         32.1         11.2         21.0           May         3         Deve         0.70         3.69         40.6         8.1         32.4           June         1         Deve         0.71         4.17         41.7         4.2         37.5           June         2         Deve         0.72         4.67         46.7         1.0         45.7           June         3         Deve         0.73         4.97         49.7         0.9         48.9           July         1         Mid         0.73         5.36         53.6         1.0         52.6           July         2         Mid         0.73         5.66         56.6         0.6         56.0           July         3         Mid         0.73         5.4         59.4         0.5         58.9           August         1         Mid         0.73         5.14         51.4         0.4         51.0           August         2         Mid         0.73         4.96         49.6         0.3         49.3           August         3         Late         0.73         4.47         49.1 <td>April</td> <td>3</td> <td>Deve</td> <td>0.67</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>25</td> <td>14.0</td> <td>11.0</td>	April	3	Deve	0.67	2.5	25	14.0	11.0
May         3         Deve         0.70         3.69         40.6         8.1         32.4           June         1         Deve         0.71         4.17         41.7         4.2         37.5           June         2         Deve         0.72         4.67         46.7         1.0         45.7           June         3         Deve         0.73         4.97         49.7         0.9         48.5           July         1         Mid         0.73         5.36         53.6         1.0         52.6           July         2         Mid         0.73         5.66         56.6         0.6         56.0           July         3         Mid         0.73         5.4         59.4         0.5         58.9           August         1         Mid         0.73         5.14         51.4         0.4         51.0           August         2         Mid         0.73         4.96         49.6         0.3         49.3           August         3         Late         0.73         4.47         49.1         0.4         48.7           September         1         Late         0.72         3.91         39	May	1	Deve	0.68	2.85	28.5	12.7	15.8
June         1         Deve         0.71         4.17         41.7         4.2         37.5           June         2         Deve         0.72         4.67         46.7         1.0         45.7           June         3         Deve         0.73         4.97         49.7         0.9         48.9           July         1         Mid         0.73         5.36         53.6         1.0         52.6           July         2         Mid         0.73         5.66         56.6         0.6         56.0           July         3         Mid         0.73         5.4         59.4         0.5         58.9           August         1         Mid         0.73         5.14         51.4         0.4         51.0           August         2         Mid         0.73         5.14         51.4         0.4         51.0           August         2         Mid         0.73         4.96         49.6         0.3         49.3           August         3         Late         0.73         4.47         49.1         0.4         48.7           September         1         Late         0.72         3.91	May	2	Deve	0.69	3.21	32.1	11.2	21.0
June         2         Deve         0.72         4.67         46.7         1.0         45.7           June         3         Deve         0.73         4.97         49.7         0.9         48.9           July         1         Mid         0.73         5.36         53.6         1.0         52.6           July         2         Mid         0.73         5.66         56.6         0.6         56.0           July         3         Mid         0.73         5.4         59.4         0.5         58.9           August         1         Mid         0.73         5.14         51.4         0.4         51.0           August         2         Mid         0.73         4.96         49.6         0.3         49.3           August         3         Late         0.73         4.47         49.1         0.4         48.7           September         1         Late         0.72         3.91         39.1         0.1         39.1           September         2         Late         0.71         2.88         28.8         2.0         26.8           October         1         Late         0.69         1.84	May	3	Deve	0.70	3.69	40.6	8.1	32.4
June         3         Deve         0.73         4.97         49.7         0.9         48.9           July         1         Mid         0.73         5.36         53.6         1.0         52.6           July         2         Mid         0.73         5.66         56.6         0.6         56.0           July         3         Mid         0.73         5.4         59.4         0.5         58.9           August         1         Mid         0.73         5.14         51.4         0.4         51.0           August         2         Mid         0.73         4.96         49.6         0.3         49.3           August         3         Late         0.73         4.47         49.1         0.4         48.7           September         1         Late         0.72         3.91         39.1         0.1         39.1           September         2         Late         0.71         2.88         28.8         2.0         26.8           October         1         Late         0.7         2.35         23.5         8.3         15.2           October         2         Late         0.68         1.53	June	1	Deve	0.71	4.17	41.7	4.2	37.5
July         1         Mid         0.73         5.36         53.6         1.0         52.6           July         2         Mid         0.73         5.66         56.6         0.6         56.0           July         3         Mid         0.73         5.4         59.4         0.5         58.9           August         1         Mid         0.73         5.14         51.4         0.4         51.0           August         2         Mid         0.73         4.96         49.6         0.3         49.3           August         3         Late         0.73         4.47         49.1         0.4         48.7           September         1         Late         0.72         3.91         39.1         0.1         39.1           September         2         Late         0.71         3.42         34.2         0.0         34.2           September         3         Late         0.71         2.88         28.8         2.0         26.8           October         1         Late         0.69         1.84         18.4         12.1         6.3           October         2         Late         0.68         1.53 <td>June</td> <td>2</td> <td>Deve</td> <td>0.72</td> <td>4.67</td> <td>46.7</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>45.7</td>	June	2	Deve	0.72	4.67	46.7	1.0	45.7
July         2         Mid         0.73         5.66         56.6         0.6         56.0           July         3         Mid         0.73         5.4         59.4         0.5         58.9           August         1         Mid         0.73         5.14         51.4         0.4         51.0           August         2         Mid         0.73         4.96         49.6         0.3         49.3           August         3         Late         0.73         4.47         49.1         0.4         48.7           September         1         Late         0.72         3.91         39.1         0.1         39.1           September         2         Late         0.71         3.42         34.2         0.0         34.2           September         3         Late         0.71         2.88         28.8         2.0         26.8           October         1         Late         0.7         2.35         23.5         8.3         15.2           October         2         Late         0.69         1.84         18.4         12.1         6.3           November         1         Late         0.67         1.2	June	3	Deve	0.73	4.97	49.7	0.9	48.9
July         3         Mid         0.73         5.4         59.4         0.5         58.9           August         1         Mid         0.73         5.14         51.4         0.4         51.0           August         2         Mid         0.73         4.96         49.6         0.3         49.3           August         3         Late         0.73         4.47         49.1         0.4         48.7           September         1         Late         0.72         3.91         39.1         0.1         39.1           September         2         Late         0.71         3.42         34.2         0.0         34.2           September         3         Late         0.71         2.88         28.8         2.0         26.8           October         1         Late         0.7         2.35         23.5         8.3         15.2           October         2         Late         0.69         1.84         18.4         12.1         6.3           November         1         Late         0.67         1.23         12.3         16.4         0.0           November         2         Late         0.66 <t< td=""><td>July</td><td>1</td><td>Mid</td><td>0.73</td><td>5.36</td><td>53.6</td><td>1.0</td><td>52.6</td></t<>	July	1	Mid	0.73	5.36	53.6	1.0	52.6
August       1       Mid       0.73       5.14       51.4       0.4       51.0         August       2       Mid       0.73       4.96       49.6       0.3       49.3         August       3       Late       0.73       4.47       49.1       0.4       48.7         September       1       Late       0.72       3.91       39.1       0.1       39.1         September       2       Late       0.71       3.42       34.2       0.0       34.2         September       3       Late       0.71       2.88       28.8       2.0       26.8         October       1       Late       0.7       2.35       23.5       8.3       15.2         October       2       Late       0.69       1.84       18.4       12.1       6.3         November       3       Late       0.68       1.53       16.8       14.4       2.5         November       1       Late       0.67       1.23       12.3       16.4       0.0         November       2       Late       0.66       0.94       3.8       7.5       0.0	July	2	Mid	0.73	5.66	56.6	0.6	56.0
August       2       Mid       0.73       4.96       49.6       0.3       49.3         August       3       Late       0.73       4.47       49.1       0.4       48.7         September       1       Late       0.72       3.91       39.1       0.1       39.1         September       2       Late       0.71       3.42       34.2       0.0       34.2         September       3       Late       0.71       2.88       28.8       2.0       26.8         October       1       Late       0.7       2.35       23.5       8.3       15.2         October       2       Late       0.69       1.84       18.4       12.1       6.3         October       3       Late       0.68       1.53       16.8       14.4       2.5         November       1       Late       0.67       1.23       12.3       16.4       0.0         November       2       Late       0.66       0.94       3.8       7.5       0.0	July	3	Mid	0.73	5.4	59.4	0.5	58.9
August       3       Late       0.73       4.47       49.1       0.4       48.7         September       1       Late       0.72       3.91       39.1       0.1       39.1         September       2       Late       0.71       3.42       34.2       0.0       34.2         September       3       Late       0.71       2.88       28.8       2.0       26.8         October       1       Late       0.7       2.35       23.5       8.3       15.2         October       2       Late       0.69       1.84       18.4       12.1       6.3         October       3       Late       0.68       1.53       16.8       14.4       2.5         November       1       Late       0.67       1.23       12.3       16.4       0.0         November       2       Late       0.66       0.94       3.8       7.5       0.0	August	1	Mid	0.73	5.14	51.4	0.4	51.0
September         1         Late         0.72         3.91         39.1         0.1         39.1           September         2         Late         0.71         3.42         34.2         0.0         34.2           September         3         Late         0.71         2.88         28.8         2.0         26.8           October         1         Late         0.7         2.35         23.5         8.3         15.2           October         2         Late         0.69         1.84         18.4         12.1         6.3           October         3         Late         0.68         1.53         16.8         14.4         2.5           November         1         Late         0.67         1.23         12.3         16.4         0.0           November         2         Late         0.66         0.94         3.8         7.5         0.0	August	2	Mid	0.73	4.96	49.6	0.3	49.3
September         2         Late         0.71         3.42         34.2         0.0         34.2           September         3         Late         0.71         2.88         28.8         2.0         26.8           October         1         Late         0.7         2.35         23.5         8.3         15.2           October         2         Late         0.69         1.84         18.4         12.1         6.3           October         3         Late         0.68         1.53         16.8         14.4         2.5           November         1         Late         0.67         1.23         12.3         16.4         0.0           November         2         Late         0.66         0.94         3.8         7.5         0.0	August	3	Late	0.73	4.47	49.1	0.4	48.7
September         3         Late         0.71         2.88         28.8         2.0         26.8           October         1         Late         0.7         2.35         23.5         8.3         15.2           October         2         Late         0.69         1.84         18.4         12.1         6.3           October         3         Late         0.68         1.53         16.8         14.4         2.5           November         1         Late         0.67         1.23         12.3         16.4         0.0           November         2         Late         0.66         0.94         3.8         7.5         0.0	September	1	Late	0.72	3.91	39.1	0.1	39.1
October         1         Late         0.7         2.35         23.5         8.3         15.2           October         2         Late         0.69         1.84         18.4         12.1         6.3           October         3         Late         0.68         1.53         16.8         14.4         2.5           November         1         Late         0.67         1.23         12.3         16.4         0.0           November         2         Late         0.66         0.94         3.8         7.5         0.0	September	2	Late	0.71	3.42	34.2	0.0	34.2
October         2         Late         0.69         1.84         18.4         12.1         6.3           October         3         Late         0.68         1.53         16.8         14.4         2.5           November         1         Late         0.67         1.23         12.3         16.4         0.0           November         2         Late         0.66         0.94         3.8         7.5         0.0	September	3	Late	0.71	2.88	28.8	2.0	26.8
October         3         Late         0.68         1.53         16.8         14.4         2.5           November         1         Late         0.67         1.23         12.3         16.4         0.0           November         2         Late         0.66         0.94         3.8         7.5         0.0	October	1	Late	0.7	2.35	23.5	8.3	15.2
November         1         Late         0.67         1.23         12.3         16.4         0.0           November         2         Late         0.66         0.94         3.8         7.5         0.0	October	2	Late	0.69	1.84	18.4	12.1	6.3
November 2 Late 0.66 0.94 3.8 7.5 0.0	October	3	Late	0.68	1.53	16.8	14.4	2.5
	November	1	Late	0.67	1.23	12.3	16.4	0.0
827.4 185.5 659.	November	2	Late	0.66	0.94	3.8	7.5	0.0
027.1 100.0 009.						827.4	185.5	659.4



Table 7(on next page)

Crop water requirement for Grape



### 1 **Table 7:**

### 2 Crop water requirement for Grape

F	1		- T				
Month	Decade	Stage	K <sub>c</sub>	ET <sub>c</sub>	ET <sub>c</sub>	Eff rain	Irr. Req.
	Decade	Stage	coeff	mm/day	mm/dec	mm/dec	mm/dec
March	2	Init	0.63	1.3	7.9	14.4	0.0
March	3	Init	0.63	1.6	17.1	21.3	0.0
April	1	Deve	0.64	1.8	18.2	18.1	0.1
April	2	Deve	0.68	2.2	22.0	15.6	6.4
April	3	Deve	0.72	2.7	26.8	14.0	12.8
May	1	Deve	0.77	3.2	32.1	12.7	19.4
May	2	Deve	0.81	3.8	37.8	11.2	26.6
May	3	Mid	0.86	4.5	49.7	8.1	41.6
June	1	Mid	0.87	5.1	51.3	4.2	47.1
June	2	Mid	0.87	5.7	56.7	1.0	55.7
June	3	Mid	0.87	6.0	59.7	0.9	58.8
July	1	Mid	0.87	6.4	63.8	1.0	62.8
July	2	Mid	0.87	6.7	67.3	0.6	66.8
July	3	Mid	0.87	6.4	70.7	0.5	70.2
August	1	Mid	0.87	6.1	61.2	0.4	60.8
August	2	Mid	0.87	5.9	59.0	0.3	58.7
August	3	Late	0.83	5.1	55.8	0.4	55.4
September	1	Late	0.76	4.1	41.1	0.1	41.0
September	2	Late	0.69	3.3	33.1	0.0	33.1
September	3	Late	0.62	2.5	25.4	2.0	23.4
October	1	Late	0.56	1.9	18.8	8.3	10.5
October	2	Late	0.49	1.3	13.0	12.1	0.9
October	3	Late	0.44	1.0	4.0	5.2	0.0
					892.4	152.5	752



Table 8(on next page)

Crop water requirement for Almond



### Table 8:

### 2 Crop water requirement for Almond

1	1						
Month	Decade	Stage	K <sub>c</sub>	ET <sub>c</sub>	ET <sub>c</sub>	Eff rain	Irr. Req.
IVIOIIIII	Decade	Siage	coeff	mm/day	mm/dec	mm/dec	mm/dec
March	2	Init	0.40	0.83	5.0	14.4	0.0
March	3	Init	0.40	0.99	10.9	21.3	0.0
April	1	Init	0.40	1.14	11.4	18.1	0.0
April	2	Deve	0.45	1.45	14.5	15.6	0.0
April	3	Deve	0.55	2.04	20.4	14.0	6.4
May	1	Deve	0.65	2.73	27.3	12.7	14.5
May	2	Deve	0.75	3.51	35.1	11.2	24.0
May	3	Deve	0.86	4.54	50.0	8.1	41.9
June	1	Mid	0.91	5.38	53.8	4.2	49.5
June	2	Mid	0.91	5.94	59.4	1.0	58.4
June	3	Mid	0.91	6.25	62.5	0.9	61.6
July	1	Mid	0.91	6.68	66.8	1.0	65.8
July	2	Mid	0.91	7.05	70.5	0.6	70.0
July	3	Mid	0.91	6.73	74.0	0.5	73.6
August	1	Mid	0.91	6.41	64.1	0.4	63.7
August	2	Mid	0.91	6.18	61.8	0.3	61.5
August	3	Mid	0.91	5.57	61.3	0.4	60.9
September	1	Late	0.9	4.84	48.4	0.1	48.4
September	2	Late	0.83	3.99	39.9	0.0	39.9
September	3	Late	0.77	3.15	31.5	2.0	29.4
October	1	Late	0.71	2.39	23.9	8.3	15.6
October	2	Late	0.64	1.72	17.2	12.1	5.1
October	3	Late	0.60	1.36	4.1	3.9	0.0
					913.8	151.2	790.2



Table 9(on next page)

Irrigation Schedules for Pistachio, Olive, Grape and Almond

## **PeerJ**

### 1 Table 9. Irrigation Schedules for Pistachio, Olive, Grape and Almond

Crops	Data	Dorr	Store	Rain	Ks	Eta	Depl	Net Irr	Deficit	Loss	Gr. Irr	Flow
Crops	Date	Day	Stage	mm	fract.	%	%	mm	mm	mm	mm	1/s/ha
	3 Jun	81	Deve	2.2	1	100	41	175.9	0	0	251.2	0.36
	22 Jun	100	Mid	0	1	100	40	176.1	0	0	251.6	1.53
0	9 Jul	117	Mid	0	1	100	42	182.4	0	0	260.5	1.77
Pistachio	25 Jul	133	Mid	0	1	100	42	184.2	0	0	263.2	1.90
ista	11 Aug	150	Mid	0	1	100	42	184.0	0	0	262.9	1.79
щ	29 Aug	168	Mid	0	1	100	40	175.7	0	0	251.0	1.61
	26 Sep	196	End	0	1	100	41	176.3	0	0	251.9	1.04
	29 Oct	End	End	0	1	0	8	39.3				
o	12 Jul	120	Mid	0	1	100	66	285.2	0	0	407.4	0.39
Olive	8 Sep	178	End	0	1	100	65	284.1	0	0	405.9	0.81
	14 Nov	End	End	0	1	0	18	90.0				
	10 Jun	88	Mid	0	1	100	36	156.7	0	0	223.9	0.29
e	6 Jul	114	Mid	0	1	100	35	152.4	0	0	217.7	0.97
Grape	30 Jul	138	Mid	0	1	100	36	156.0	0	0	222.9	1.08
0	26 Aug	165	End	0	1	100	36	156.1	0	0	223.0	0.96
	24 Oct	End	End	0	1	100	30	130.8				
	11 Jun	89	Mid	0	1	100	42	144.9	0	0	207.1	0.27
-	4 Jul	112	Mid	0	1	100	40	140.4	0	0	200.6	1.01
Almond	25 Jul	133	Mid	0	1	100	41	142.9	0	0	204.2	1.13
	16 Aug	155	Mid	0	1	100	40	140.7	0	0	201.1	1.06
	12 Sep	182	End	0	1	100	41	142.0	0	0	202.8	0.87
	23 Oct	End	End	7.7	1	100	22	84.0				

3