

Effectiveness of physical activity on immunity markers and quality of life in cancer patient: A systematic review

Sameer Badri AL-MHANNA¹, Wan Syaheedah Wan Ghazali^{Corresp., 1}, Mahaneem Mohamed¹, Ali A. Rabaan², Eman Y. Santali³, Jeehan H. Alestad⁴, Enas Y. Santali⁵, Sohaib Arshad⁶, Naveed Ahmed⁷, Hafeez Abiola Afolabi⁸

¹ Department of Physiology, School of Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kubang Kerian, Kelantan, Malaysia

² Molecular Diagnostic Laboratory, Johns Hopkins Aramco Healthcare, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

³ Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia

⁴ Immunology and Infectious Microbiology, Glasgow G1 1XQ, Glasgow, United Kingdom

⁵ Pediatric oncology department, Maternity and children Hospital, Makkah, Saudi Arabia

⁶ Periodontics Unit, School of Dental Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kubang Kerian, Malaysia

⁷ Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology School of Medical Science, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kubang Kerian, Malaysia

⁸ Department of General Surgery, School of Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kubang Kerian, Malaysia

Corresponding Author: Wan Syaheedah Wan Ghazali
Email address: syaheeda@usm.my

Background: Cancer is a huge group of diseases that can affect various body parts of humans but also has a psychological, societal, and economic impact. Physical activity can improve the quality of life (QOL) and immunity, while moderate intensity exercise can reduce the probability of this lethal disease. The current study aimed to determine the effect of physical activity on immune markers and QOL in cancer patients as well as to evaluate cancer-related fatigue (CRF) and its association with physical activity.

Methodology: Before starting the study, the study protocol was registered in PROSPERO (registration number CRD42021273292). An electronic literature search was performed by combining MeSH terminology and keywords used with the Boolean operators “OR” and “AND” to find relevant published studies on PubMed, Scopus, Cochrane, and ScienceDirect databases. The Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) critical evaluation checklist was used to assess the quality of selected studies, while the GRADE approach was used to see the quality of evidence. **Results:** A total of 13931 studies were retrieved after the search on databases. After the scrutiny of studies by reading the title of articles and the inclusion/exclusion criteria, a total of 54 studies were selected for further screening by reading the full texts. In the final, a total of 9 studies were selected for the current systematic review and proceeded for data extraction. The patients who were doing different exercises showed improvements in immunity, QOL, and reduction in CRF. A significant reduction in tumour necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), C reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-8 (IL-8), IL-6, and an increase in natural killer (NK) cells levels was also observed. **Conclusions:** The exercise

program is safe and beneficial to improve the quality of life and immunity markers before, during, and after cancer treatment. Physical exercise may also help patients to overcome the adverse effects of the treatment and to reduce the chance of developing new tumours in the future.

Review

Title: Effectiveness of physical activity on immunity markers and quality of life in cancer patient: A systematic review

Sameer Badri AL-Mhanna ¹, Wan Syaheedah Wan Ghazali ^{1,*}, Mahaneem Mohamed¹, Ali A. Rabaan ², Eman Y. Santali ³, Jeehan H. Alestad ⁴, Enas Y. Santali ⁵, Sohaib Arshad ⁶, Naveed Ahmed ⁷, and Hafeez Abiola Afolabi ⁸

¹ Department of Physiology, School of Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kubang Kerian 16150, Kelantan, Malaysia; sameerbadri9@gmail.com (S.B.A); syaheeda@usm.my (W.S.G); mahaneem@usm.my (M.M.);

² Molecular Diagnostic Laboratory, Johns Hopkins Aramco Healthcare, Dhahran 31311, Saudi Arabia; arabaan@gmail.com

³ Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, Taif University, P.O. Box 11099, Taif 21944, Saudi Arabia; eysantali@tu.edu.sa

⁴ Immunology and Infectious Microbiology, Glasgow G1 1XQ, United Kingdom; Jeehanalostad@gmail.com

⁵ Pediatric oncology department, Maternity and Children Hospital, Makkah, P.O. Box 13255, Makkha 21955, Saudi Arabia; dr_pinapple26@hotmail.com

⁶ Periodontics Unit, School of Dental Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Health campus, Kubang Kerian, Kota Bharu 16150, Kelantan, Malaysia; ehab_arshad@hotmail.com

⁷ Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology School of Medical Science Universiti Sains Malaysia Kubang Kerian 16150 Kelantan Malaysia. namalik288@gmail.com

⁸ Department of General Surgery, School of Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kubang Kerian 16150, Kelantan, Malaysia. afolabihafeez68@gmail.com

* Correspondence: syaheeda@usm.my

Abstract: Background: Cancer is a huge group of diseases that can affect various body parts of humans but also has a psychological, societal, and economic impact. Physical activity can improve the quality of life (QOL) and immunity, while moderate intensity exercise can reduce the probability of this lethal disease. The current study aimed to determine the effect of physical activity on immune markers and QOL in cancer patients as well as to evaluate cancer-related fatigue (CRF) and its association with physical activity. Methodology: Before starting the study, the study protocol was registered in PROSPERO (registration number CRD42021273292). An electronic literature search was performed by combining MeSH terminology and keywords used with the Boolean operators “OR” and “AND” to find relevant published studies on PubMed, Scopus, Cochrane, and ScienceDirect databases. The Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) critical evaluation checklist was used to assess the quality of selected studies, while the GRADE approach

was used to see the quality of evidence. Results: A total of 13931 studies were retrieved after the search on databases. After the scrutiny of studies by reading the title of articles and the inclusion/exclusion criteria, a total of 54 studies were selected for further screening by reading the full texts. In the final, a total of 9 studies were selected for the current systematic review and proceeded for data extraction. The patients who were doing different exercises showed improvements in immunity, QOL, and reduction in CRF. A significant reduction in tumour necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), C reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-8 (IL-8), IL-6, and an increase in natural killer (NK) cells levels was also observed. Conclusions: **The exercise program is safe and beneficial to improve the quality of life and immunity markers before, during, and after cancer treatment. Physical exercise may also help patients to overcome the adverse effects of the treatment and to reduce the chance of developing new tumours in the future.**

Keywords: Rehabilitation; Physiotherapy; patient care; palliative care; interprofessional

1. Introduction

Cancer is a vast group of diseases that can affect any part of the human being [1]. Other terms that can describe cancer are neoplasms and malignant tumours. A specific hallmark of cancer is the rapid development of anomalous cells that expand beyond their normal bounds, permitting them to infiltrate other body regions and expand to other tissues and organs; this is known as metastasis [2]. Cancer is a significant cause of death worldwide in 2020; and the most common cancers were lung, colon and rectum, liver, stomach, and breast cancers [3, 4].

Physical activities can be explained as skeletal, muscular ambulation, or work that leads to energy consumption [5]. Occupational, sports, conditioning, domestic and other types of physical activity can be seen throughout daily life. At the same time, exercise is a type of physical activity that is scheduled, structured, and done with the intention of improving or sustaining physical fitness as a final or intermediate aim. Physical exercise has been shown to enhance patients' physical capacity and minimize illness and treatment-related adverse effects such as lower body strength, fatigue, QOL, and functional performance in patients with advanced-stage cancer [6]. Moreover, regular exercise helps to slow the progression of illness and decrease inflammation in people with chronic inflammatory disorders, and improve immunity markers [7]. Exercise regimens for breast cancer patients have been shown to impact the survival rates positively [8]. Increased physical exercise has been suggested a potential to significantly lower prostate cancer-specific and total death rates [7]. In addition to increased survival, many studies have shown an association between physical activity and improvement in QOL after a cancer diagnosis, including physical, functional, psychological, and emotional well-being [9-11].

The world health organization states that exercise improves QOL, and moderate intensity exercise decreases the risk of cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and cancer. Exercise may positively impact cancer outcomes by lowering obesity and adipokine levels, lowering insulin, glucose, and sex hormone levels, increasing intestinal motility, lowering inflammation, and stimulating the immune system [12-14]. Cancer incidence and immune system dysfunction can also be as a result of age-related changes that can be mitigated to some extent by exercise [15]. The immune reaction can be reduced by partial inactivation of antigen-specific B and T cells, dendritic cells, neutrophils,

macrophages, and NK cells [16, 17]. Therefore, pro and anti-inflammatory cytokines are engaged in immune system regulation and play a critical role in cancer inflammatory processes [18, 19]. Nevertheless, the molecular mechanisms that explain exercise multi-level effects in cancer patients are mainly unknown. Moreover, in rodents and cell culture research, many putative underlying mechanisms have been explored. However, human evidence is insufficient, and the clinically relevant cut-off points of the exercise-induced immuno-inflammatory response still require more investigation in cancer patients [20, 21]. In addition, although it is established that physical activity has anti-inflammatory benefits in healthy individuals, its anti-inflammatory effects in cancer patients suffering from CRF have not yet been identified [22]. Currently, it is unclear what kind of exercise is required to provide the best outcomes related to QOL and immune function [23, 24]. The study aimed to determine the effect of physical activity on immune markers and QOL in cancer patients. Also, to evaluate CRF in cancer patients and its association with physical activity.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Protocols and Registration

The study protocol was registered in PROSPERO with the registration number CRD42021273292. Before proceeding further with the systematic review and its registration in PROSPERO, the formal search using individual keywords against eligibility criteria was conducted in order to make sure the availability of enough studies to be included in the current review.

2.2. Research Question

Studies about the effectiveness of physical activity on immunity and QOL amongst cancer patients were selected based on the “PICOS” (PRISMA-P 2016) technique:

“PICOS”

P (population) = Cancer patients

I (Intervention) = Exercise

C (Comparison) = Control

O (Outcome) = Improvement of immunity, QOL, and CRF

S (Study design) = Clinical studies

2.3. Search Strategies

A few of the databases and search engines were used in this review as shown in Supplementary Tables S1.

2.4. Sources of data search

In order to validate the search strategy, two of the authors (S.A and H.A) have performed a literature search on PubMed, Scopus, Cochrane, and ScienceDirect on 15-02-2022 by combining the MeSH terminologies and keywords with the help of Boolean operators “OR” and “AND” to find out the relevant literature and to apply appropriate filters. The keywords “Neoplasm”, “-

Malignancy”, “Immunology”, “Natural killer cells”, “Physical Activity”, “Exercise training” and “Quality of life” To obtain the required literature, the logical operations “OR” and “AND” were combined with the appropriate filters and the logical operators “OR” and “AND”. Supplementary Table 1 shows the complete search method as well as the number of articles that resulted from it.

2.5. Criteria for eligibility of studies to be included

After a literature search, all studies that investigated the effects of physical activity on cancer patients' immune markers, QOL, and CRF on cancer patients published till February 15th, 2022 were retrieved. Two authors (S.B and S.A) used the PICOS technique to examine the complete texts of the remaining publications and determine inclusion and exclusion criteria. In case of any conflicts, the third author (N.A) was approached until a final decision was reached.

2.5.1. Inclusion criteria

1. Studies that have physical activity/exercise intervention on cancer patients
2. Diagnosed cancer patients with no age limit.
3. Publication in English with full text available
4. Original clinical studies

2.5.2. Exclusion criteria

1. All review articles, case reports, commentary, letters, and short communication.

2.6. Criteria for selection of Studies

The selection of studies was done by two of the authors (S.B and S.A) based on inclusion and exclusion criteria, linear evaluation of titles, abstracts, and complete texts. In case of any discrepancies, a discussion with a third author (N.A) was done before reaching the decision of either inclusion or exclusion of articles for the current systematic review.

2.7. Data Extraction

Two authors (S.B. and S.A.) performed independent sample data extraction from eligible studies after reading the entire text. The initial data extraction includes the first author's name, journal name, population, year of publication, type of cancer, gender, Method (exercise name, duration, intensity, sets, reps, timing of the intervention, duration of the study immunity and QOL parameter) outcomes and the conclusion of studies.

2.8. Quality Assessment

The Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) critical assessment checklist was used to appraise the quality of the included studies [25]. This checklist assessed nine items: (i) appropriate sampling frame, (ii) proper sampling technique, (iii) adequate sample size, (iv) study subject and setting description, (v) sufficient data analysis, (vi) use of valid methods for the identified conditions, (vii) valid measurement for all the participants, (viii) using appropriate statistical analysis, and (ix) adequate response rate. Answers as yes, no, unclear, or not applicable are assigned to each item. The 'yes' response received a 1 score, whereas the 'no' and 'unclear' responses received 0 ratings. Finally,

the average score for each item was computed. The quality of studies with scores below and above the mean was then classified as good and poor quality, respectively. The study was included or excluded based on the methodological quality assessment. (Supplementary Table S2).

2.9. Quality of evidence

The Cochrane Collaboration's Grades of Recommendation, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) approach was used to assess the quality of evidence in included studies. The GRADE system provides four levels of quality, with randomized trial evidence being the highest. Depending on the existence of four elements, it might be degraded to moderate, low, or even extremely poor-quality evidence: (i) constraints in study design and implementation; (ii) indirectness of evidence; (iii) unexplained heterogeneity or inconsistency of results; and (iv) imprecision of outcomes. The GRADE pro was used to represent the quality of evidence for each specific outcome [26]. (Supplementary Table S3).

3. Results

3.1. Study selection results

A total of 13931 studies were retrieved from PubMed, Scopus, Cochrane, and ScienceDirect using all MeSH keywords. After identifying duplicate articles (384), a total of 13547 studies have proceeded for further selection. After reading the title and abstract of the articles, a total of 12440 articles were excluded according as per general inclusion and exclusion criteria. The remaining 1107 articles were proceeded for further selection by reading the full texts, out of which 1053 were excluded. Data extraction was done from the 9 studies that purely met the whole eligibility criteria. (Figure 1)

3.2. Study features:

Table 1 and 2 summarises the main features of the studies that were included in this systematic review. The studies selected were carried out in different countries during various time durations. Each of the included studies was published in a good, reputed journal. The technical characteristics were population, type of cancer, gender, type of exercise, duration of exercise, intensity, sets, repeats, the timing of the intervention, duration of the study immunity, and QOL parameters. In summary, in the included studies a total of 475 subjects were clinically assessed. The duration of each study was approximately 12 weeks. The studies were conducted on the head and neck cancers, breast cancer, hematologic cancers, small cell lung carcinoma, colorectal carcinoma, prostate carcinoma, liver carcinoma, cancer related to the bile duct, oesophageal cancer, and central nervous system, skin, urogenital, Hodgkin lymphoma and non-metastatic cancers. Cancer patients were introduced to the combination of different exercises like progressive AE and RT, RT alone, flexibility exercise, and rehabilitation program at home or hospital setup. (Table 1 and Table 2)

The result showed that combined progressive aerobic exercise (AE) and resistance training (RT) in prostate cancer patients undergoing androgen suppression therapy showed significant improvement in QOL, decreased tiredness, and lower C-reactive protein (CRP) levels [27]. Combined progressive AE and RT in prostate cancer patients one week before radiotherapy, revealed a significant decrease in pro-inflammatory cytokine levels, fatigue, and improved QOL

[22]. Combined progressive AE and RT in prostate cancer patients during and after radiotherapy and androgen deprivation therapy reduced pro-inflammatory cytokine levels and improved QOL, Fatigue, and TNF- α levels. There was no significant difference in TNF- α , IL-1, and IL-6 levels [28]. Rehabilitation program for deafferents types of cancer after anticancer therapy revealed significant improvements in CRP level, QOL, and Fatigue (Table 2) [29]. Combined AE and RT improved QOL in patients with prostate and breast cancer who were undergoing radiotherapy [30]. Another study reported that, AE, RT, and flexibility exercise in thyroid cancer patients who are taking thyroid hormone medicine significantly decrease fatigue and anxiety, improve QOL, and significantly increase NK cells [31]. After breast cancer treatments, AE and RT resulted in significant reductions in IL-8 and an increase in Monocyte chemotactic protein-1 levels [32]. Aerobic endurance training in cancer patients undergoing haematopoietic stem cell transplantation showed significant improvement in QOL [33]. Combined AE and RT in non-metastatic cancer patients during chemotherapy, a decline in the CRP was observed in the intervention group. Associations reaching significance were observed in IL-1, IL-15, and leptin. A lower IL-6/IL-10 ratio and an improvement in QOL [34].

The duration of exercise varies in different studies ranging from 15 to 70 minutes/ session. The improvement in the immunity observed in blood samples of the patients doing exercise at home revealed a significant reduction in TNF- α , CRP, IL-8, and an increase in NK cell levels which ultimately give advantages to the cancer patients. Furthermore, questionnaires on QOL reflects a reduction in CRF and improvement in the QOL among patients who were doing regular exercise. The technical characteristics of the studies have been shown in Table 2.

3.3. *Quality of the evidence*

The quality of evidence of the included studies was very low certainty. For most studies in most domains, there was a low risk of bias. There was no evidence of selective reporting bias and the performance bias was judged to have a low risk of bias. There was considerable heterogeneity, no change in the impact estimate was seen, and the result remained significant even though the 95% CI was broader in all cases. The overall level of evidence contributing to this review as assessed using the GRADE approach was a considerable quality.

4. Discussion

The study aimed to determine the effect of physical activity on immune markers and QOL in cancer patients. Also, to evaluate CRF and its association with physical activity. This review observed significant improvements in QOL, fatigue, and immunity markers such as NK cells and other cytokine profiles following exercise. The presence of NK cells in the body confers immunity that helps to fend or kill cancer cells by secreting perforins and granzymes [35]. Upon contact with cancerous cells, NK cells form immune synapses to deliver the lethal hit. These findings are consistent with those of other studies involving a well-trained study population [24, 36] and asthma patients [37]. These significant findings could be related to the different types of exercise performed by different cancer patients, indicating that immunity of the cancer patients can be increased with many types of exercise.

TNF- α levels are linked to a wide range of diseases and chronic inflammatory processes and have been associated with delayed wound healing [38]. The outcome of the present study showed that TNF- α , as well as other inflammatory markers such as IL-6 and CRP, were found to be significantly reduced following exercise intervention. This was likely due to the anti-inflammatory effects of the physical activity performed by the exercise group [39]. The IL-6 has been associated with fatigue in breast cancer survivors [40, 41], the most prevalent and debilitating symptom among cancer survivors, and some studies showed that physical activity reduces fatigue in people with breast cancer specifically [42, 43], and people with cancer in general [44, 45]. In addition to being linked to fatigue, IL-6 has been shown to predict survival in patients with breast cancer [46]. Therefore, this result can help in understanding the positive trend in survival due to physical activity in various cancer populations. Indeed, since chronic inflammation is well known to play a critical role in cancer progression, development, and survival, the finding of decreases in a variety of cytokines (particularly IL-6, and TNF- α) may have similar implications [47, 48]. Previous studies comparing long-term yoga practitioners to non-yoga practitioners found that long-term practitioners had significantly lower levels of TNF- α , CRP, and IL-6 than non-yoga practitioners [49, 50]. Huffman, Slentz [51] concluded that exercise training reduces the expression of some proinflammatory cytokines, including TNF- α and IL-6, by reducing adipose tissue. These findings suggest that consistent reductions in circulating pro-inflammatory markers can be achieved through regular exercise.

Early integration of palliative care in the course of disease for patients with incurable cancers has gradually drawn interest as a viable and effective strategy not only to enhance QOL and mood, but also to prolong survival [7, 52]. According to Hojan et al., (2016) [22], frequent, moderate-intensity exercise improves functional ability, reduces inflammatory markers and fatigue, and improves QOL in high-risk prostate cancer patients undergoing radiation. Similarly, Kim et al., (2018) [31] found that a home-based exercise-program can help patients receiving thyroid hormone replacement after thyroid surgery reduce fatigue and anxiety, improve QOL, and boost immunological function. Similar previous studies on different cancer subjects also reported that the exercise-programs are safe and helpful in improving QOL and reducing depression in cancer patients who have completed their treatments [53-57].

Ergun et al., (2013) [32] conducted research looking at the effects of exercise on angiogenesis and apoptosis-related markers, QOL, fatigue, and depression in breast cancer survivors. In that study, 63 patients with breast carcinoma were divided into three groups: supervised exercise, home exercise, and education. It was found that IL-8 and neutrophil-activating protein-78 levels in the home and the supervised exercise group were substantially lower after the exercise-program. Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 levels increased significantly in the education group. Depression and fatigue were significantly lower after the intervention in the supervised exercise group. Both home and supervised exercise groups showed improvement in QOL after the exercise-programs, while no differences were reported in the education group. This might be due to the use of various quality of life scales and the variances in the type, duration, and intensity of exercise [58, 59].

Cancer rehabilitation is a procedure that helps people work within their physical, social, psychological, and vocational limitations [60, 61]. Physical symptoms (fatigue and physical

endurance), nutritional symptoms (poor appetite, unintentional weight loss, and nutritional deterioration), psychological symptoms (anxiety, depression, and nervousness), and overall QOL have been shown to improve with post-rehabilitation [20, 22, 62]. In a previous study, patients who had undergone a cancer rehabilitation program qualitatively said that rehabilitation was a significant stepping stone in their recovery and that physical and psychological treatment was an important mix [61]. Apart from the advantages mentioned above, the physical activity results differ depending on the stage of the disease or the research patients with incurable advanced disease (palliative care patients) [2, 63]. In patients with prostate cancer undergoing radiation, long-term supervised exercise training is more beneficial than educational materials on physical activity to lower cardiovascular risk and improve functional status [21, 28].

According to the systematic studies, exercise enhanced QOL in cancer patients with significant evidence, particularly in breast cancer [1, 64, 65]. The QOL scores in exercising cancer patients were shown to be higher when compared to controls or baseline values [66, 67]. Some studies demonstrate exercise does not affect QOL in breast cancer patients who have completed the treatment [60, 68-70]. This might be due to the use of various QOL scales/inventory in studies, the multifactorial nature of QOL, variances in the type, length, and intensity of the exercise, a scarcity of high-quality research, or discrepancies in study populations. After the exercise program, the functional and general health scores of the EORTC QOL -C30 in the supervised exercise group and the functional score of the EORTC QOL-C30 in the home-exercise group were significantly improved [71]. In similar observational research, individuals with a lengthy period following diagnosis had better baseline function and QOL scores [56].

Fatigue is a common early symptom of cancer that can develop before therapy and worsen throughout treatment [15]. Fatigue affects almost all patients, with a reported frequency of 99% [72]. While some studies have shown that walking, AE, and resistive exercise-programs can reduce CRF [57, 65, 66]. Physical activity may help to lower CRF by activating neuromuscular function and causing hemodynamic changes, as well as by decreasing social isolation [73, 74]. However, results from Ji et al., exhibited that there was no significant effect of the exercise programs on CRF [75]. According to Parent-Roberge et al., 2020 [34], exercise may have had a beneficial effect on CRF that was not mediated by changes in the pro-inflammatory cytokine profile.

To examine the process of changes in QOL, fatigue, and depression in cancer patients in response to different exercise programs, requires a larger scale survey. The beneficial effect of physical activity on cancer therapy can improve systemic immunity and cancer prognosis through adequately structured physical activity, thus, this would be an excellent public-health intervention for reducing the impact of cancer at relatively low cost and risk. Psychosocial support can also be another relevant topic to be investigated in future studies. Finally, the longitudinal follow-up should be planned to determine whether better QOL would help to improve the severity of diseases in patients or not.

Study limitations: Only those studies included in the present systematic review that published in the English language which may result in the leftover of some studies. Furthermore, the case reports, short communications, and conference papers were also excluded. Another limitation of the current study was the heterogeneity of included studies, because of which it is difficult to say

anything about one specific type of cancer. Apart from this, there was no such limitation for the review process.

5. Conclusions

From the outcome of the present study, it was found that physical exercise can reduce cancer incidence by improving immunity markers such as TNF- α , IL-6, IL-10, and NK cells. However, only through an indebted understanding of the impact of physical activity on the growth and mechanisms of tumour cells, metabolic pathways, and systemic immune functions might help to fully understand the correlation between exercise, cancer prevention, and treatment. In the future, this may also enable adequate administration of personalized exercise treatment plans for patients with cancer. However, no single study can solely identify a particular form of exercise as the most prudent or futile approach to improving the QOL, fatigue, and immunity markers in cancer patients. Hence, investigations on the effects of various forms of exercise on QOL and immunity in cancer patients are required.

Supplementary material:

The supplementary materials (1) contain Table S1 showing Sources of information and search strategies, and Table S2 showing the Quality of included studies by the JBI critical appraisal checklist for studies reporting prevalence data. Table S3 shows the quality of evidence using the GRADE approach.

The supplementary materials (2) contain the Justification and rationale of the systematic review.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.B.A., M.M and W.S.G., and A.A.R., methodology, S.A., and N.A.; validation, W.S.G., M.M., E.Y.S., J.H.A., E.Y.S and H.A; data curation, S.B.A.; writing—original draft preparation, S.B.A., S.A, and N.A writing—review and editing, M.M., W.S.G., A.A.R., E.Y.S., J.H.A and E.Y.S.; supervision, W.S.G; project administration, S.B.A., and H.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to Taif University Research Supporting project number (TURSP-2020/330).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All the data has been included in the study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Perez-Tejada, J., et al., *Distress, proinflammatory cytokines and self-esteem as predictors of quality of life in breast cancer survivors*. Physiology & Behavior, 2021. **230**: p. 113297.
- Lanser, L., et al., *Inflammation-induced tryptophan breakdown is related with anemia, fatigue, and depression in cancer*. Frontiers in immunology, 2020. **11**: p. 249.

3. Ferlay, J., et al., *Global cancer observatory: cancer today*. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2018. 2020. 344 345
4. Hasham, K., N. Ahmed, and B. Zeshan, *Circulating microRNAs in oncogenic viral infections: potential diagnostic biomarkers*. SN Applied Sciences, 2020. **2**(3): p. 1-13. 346 347
5. Condello, G., et al., *Using concept mapping in the development of the EU-PAD framework (EUropean-Physical Activity Determinants across the life course): a DEDIPAC-study*. 2016. **16**(1): p. 1-16. 348 349 350
6. Albrecht, T.A. and A.G.J.C.j.o.o.n. Taylor, *Physical activity in patients with advanced-stage cancer: a systematic review of the literature*. 2012. **16**(3): p. 293. 351 352
7. Shephard, R.J.J.S.M., *Physical activity and prostate cancer: an updated review*. 2017. **47**(6): p. 1055-1073. 353 354
8. Higgins, K.A., et al., *Exercise-induced lung cancer regression: Mechanistic findings from a mouse model*. 2014. **120**(21): p. 3302-3310. 355 356
9. Courneya, K.S. and C.M.J.A.o.B.M. Friedenreich, *Physical exercise and quality of life following cancer diagnosis: a literature review*. 1999. **21**(2): p. 171-179. 357 358
10. Pinto, B.M., S. Dunsiger, and M.J.P.O. Waldemore, *Physical activity and psychosocial benefits among breast cancer patients*. 2013. **22**(10): p. 2193-2199. 359 360
11. Voskuil, D., et al., *Maintenance of physical activity and body weight in relation to subsequent quality of life in postmenopausal breast cancer patients*. 2010. **21**(10): p. 2094-2101. 361 362 363
12. Gleeson, M., et al., *The anti-inflammatory effects of exercise: mechanisms and implications for the prevention and treatment of disease*. 2011. **11**(9): p. 607-615. 364 365
13. McTiernan, A.J.N.R.C., *Mechanisms linking physical activity with cancer*. 2008. **8**(3): p. 205-211. 366 367
14. Prieto-Hontoria, P.L., et al., *Role of obesity-associated dysfunctional adipose tissue in cancer: a molecular nutrition approach*. 2011. **1807**(6): p. 664-678. 368 369
15. Jones, L.W., et al., *Exercise therapy across the lung cancer continuum*. 2009. **11**(4): p. 255-262. 370 371
16. Senchina, D.S. and M.L.J.C.i.i.a. Kohut, *Immunological outcomes of exercise in older adults*. 2007. **2**(1): p. 3. 372 373
17. Bukhari, B., et al., *A comparison between organic and inorganic nanoparticles: Prime nanoparticles for tumor curation*. Nano, 2021: p. 2130011. 374 375
18. Loprinzi, P.D. and B.J.J.B.C. Cardinal, *Self-efficacy mediates the relationship between behavioral processes of change and physical activity in older breast cancer survivors*. 2013. **20**(1): p. 47-52. 376 377 378
19. Al-Hatamleh, M.A., et al., *Applications of Alginate-Based Nanomaterials in Enhancing the Therapeutic Effects of Bee Products*. Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences, 2022. **9**: p. 865833. 379 380 381
20. Pedersen, L., et al., *Voluntary running suppresses tumor growth through epinephrine-and IL-6-dependent NK cell mobilization and redistribution*. 2016. **23**(3): p. 554-562. 382 383
21. Hojman, P., et al., *Molecular mechanisms linking exercise to cancer prevention and treatment*. 2018. **27**(1): p. 10-21. 384 385
22. Hojan, K., et al., *Physical exercise for functional capacity, blood immune function, fatigue, and quality of life in high-risk prostate cancer patients during radiotherapy: a prospective, randomized clinical study*. 2016. **52**(4): p. 489-501. 386 387 388
23. Brown, J.C., et al., *Cancer, physical activity, and exercise*. 2012. **2**(4): p. 2775. 389

24. Kruijsen-Jaarsma, M., et al., *Effects of exercise on immune function in patients with cancer: a systematic review*. 2013. **19**. 390 391
25. Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI), *Checklist for Prevalence Studies*; Joanna Briggs Institute: Adelaide, Australia. 2017. 392 393
26. GRADEpro, G.J.M.U.G.G.H.M.U., *Computer program*. 2015. 394
27. Galvao, D.A., et al., *Combined resistance and aerobic exercise program reverses muscle loss in men undergoing androgen suppression therapy for prostate cancer without bone metastases: a randomized controlled trial*. 2010. **28**(2): p. 340-347. 395 396 397
28. Hojan, K., et al., *Inflammation, cardiometabolic markers, and functional changes in men with prostate cancer*. 2017. **127**(1): p. 25-35. 398 399
29. Chasen, M., et al., *An interprofessional palliative care oncology rehabilitation program: effects on function and predictors of program completion*. 2013. **20**(6): p. 301-309. 400 401
30. Sprod, L.K., et al., *Exercise, sleep quality, and mediators of sleep in breast and prostate cancer patients receiving radiation therapy*. 2010. **7**(10): p. 463. 402 403
31. Kim, K., et al., *Efficacy of a home-based exercise program after thyroidectomy for thyroid cancer patients*. 2018. **28**(2): p. 236-245. 404 405
32. Ergun, M., et al., *Effects of exercise on angiogenesis and apoptosis-related molecules, quality of life, fatigue and depression in breast cancer patients*. 2013. **22**(5): p. 626-637. 406 407
33. Baumann, F., et al., *A controlled randomized study examining the effects of exercise therapy on patients undergoing haematopoietic stem cell transplantation*. 2010. **45**(2): p. 355-362. 408 409 410
34. Parent-Roberge, H., et al., *Effects of combined exercise training on the inflammatory profile of older cancer patients treated with systemic therapy*. 2020. **2**: p. 100016. 411 412
35. Zuo, W. and X. Zhao, *Natural killer cells play an important role in virus infection control: Antiviral mechanism, subset expansion and clinical application*. *Clinical Immunology*, 2021. **227**: p. 108727. 413 414 415
36. Kawada, S., et al., *Cystine and theanine supplementation restores high-intensity resistance exercise-induced attenuation of natural killer cell activity in well-trained men*. 2010. **24**(3): p. 846-851. 416 417 418
37. McFarlin, B.K., et al., *Knowledge of carbohydrate consumption does not alter natural killer cell activity following an acute bout of high-intensity aerobic exercise*. 2008. **33**(5): p. 1007-1012. 419 420 421
38. Ashcroft, G.S., et al., *Tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) is a therapeutic target for impaired cutaneous wound healing*. 2012. **20**(1): p. 38-49. 422 423
39. Petersen, A.M.W. and B.K. Pedersen, *The anti-inflammatory effect of exercise*. *Journal of applied physiology*, 2005. **98**(4): p. 1154-1162. 424 425
40. Schubert, C., et al., *The association between fatigue and inflammatory marker levels in cancer patients: a quantitative review*. 2007. **21**(4): p. 413-427. 426 427
41. Saligan, L., H.J.B. Kim, behavior, and immunity, *A systematic review of the association between immunogenomic markers and cancer-related fatigue*. 2012. **26**(6): p. 830-848. 428 429
42. Battaglini, C.L., et al., *Twenty-five years of research on the effects of exercise training in breast cancer survivors: a systematic review of the literature*. 2014. **5**(2): p. 177. 430 431
43. Zou, L.-Y., et al., *Effects of aerobic exercise on cancer-related fatigue in breast cancer patients receiving chemotherapy: a meta-analysis*. 2014. **35**(6): p. 5659-5667. 432 433

44. Meneses-Echávez, J.F., E. González-Jiménez, and R.J.B.c. Ramírez-Vélez, *Effects of supervised exercise on cancer-related fatigue in breast cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis*. 2015. **15**(1): p. 1-13. 434 435 436
45. Meneses-Echavez, J.F., E. Gonzalez-Jimenez, and R.J.J.o.p. Ramirez-Velez, *Supervised exercise reduces cancer-related fatigue: a systematic review*. 2015. **61**(1): p. 3-9. 437 438
46. Salgado, R., et al., *Circulating interleukin-6 predicts survival in patients with metastatic breast cancer*. 2003. **103**(5): p. 642-646. 439 440
47. Coussens, L.M. and Z.J.N. Werb, *Inflammation and cancer*. 2002. **420**(6917): p. 860-867. 441
48. Pierce, B.L., et al., *Elevated biomarkers of inflammation are associated with reduced survival among breast cancer patients*. 2009. **27**(21): p. 3437. 442 443
49. Kiecolt-Glaser, J.K.J.P.m., *Stress, food, and inflammation: psychoneuroimmunology and nutrition at the cutting edge*. 2010. **72**(4): p. 365. 444 445
50. Vijayaraghava, A., et al., *Effect of yoga practice on levels of inflammatory markers after moderate and strenuous exercise*. 2015. **9**(6): p. CC08. 446 447
51. Huffman, K.M., et al., *Relationships between adipose tissue and cytokine responses to a randomized controlled exercise training intervention*. 2008. **57**(4): p. 577-583. 448 449
52. Thornton, L.M., B.L. Andersen, and W.E. Carson, *Immune, endocrine, and behavioral precursors to breast cancer recurrence: a case-control analysis*. *Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy*, 2008. **57**(10): p. 1471-1481. 450 451 452
53. Spence, R.R., K.C. Heesch, and W.J.J.C.t.r. Brown, *Exercise and cancer rehabilitation: a systematic review*. 2010. **36**(2): p. 185-194. 453 454
54. Pacheco, J.M., et al., *Natural history and factors associated with overall survival in stage IV ALK-rearranged non-small cell lung cancer*. 2019. **14**(4): p. 691-700. 455 456
55. Battaglini, C.L., et al., *The effects of an exercise program in leukemia patients*. *Integrative cancer therapies*, 2009. **8**(2): p. 130-138. 457 458
56. Daly, L.E., et al., *Determinants of quality of life in patients with incurable cancer*. *Cancer*, 2020. **126**(12): p. 2872-2882. 459 460
57. Williams, A.M., et al., *Fatigue, anxiety, and quality of life in breast cancer patients compared to non-cancer controls: a nationwide longitudinal analysis*. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, 2021. **187**(1): p. 275-285. 461 462 463
58. Demark-Wahnefried, W., et al., *Lifestyle intervention development study to improve physical function in older adults with cancer: outcomes from Project LEAD*. 2006. **24**(21): p. 3465. 464 465 466
59. Cadmus, L.A., et al., *Exercise and quality of life during and after treatment for breast cancer: results of two randomized controlled trials*. 2009. **18**(4): p. 343-352. 467 468
60. Basen-Engquist, K., et al., *Randomized pilot test of a lifestyle physical activity intervention for breast cancer survivors*. *Patient education and counseling*, 2006. **64**(1-3): p. 225-234. 469 470
61. Cho, O.H., Y.S. Yoo, and N.C. Kim, *Efficacy of comprehensive group rehabilitation for women with early breast cancer in South Korea*. *Nursing & health sciences*, 2006. **8**(3): p. 140-146. 471 472 473
62. Guinan, E.M., E.M. Connolly, and J.J.P.T.R. Hussey, *Exercise training in breast cancer survivors: a review of trials examining anthropometric and obesity-related biomarkers of breast cancer risk*. 2013. **18**(2): p. 79-89. 474 475 476
63. Mustian, K.M., et al., *A 4-week home-based aerobic and resistance exercise program during radiation therapy: a pilot randomized clinical trial*. *The journal of supportive oncology*, 2009. **7**(5): p. 158. 477 478 479

64. Boivin, M.J., et al., *Preliminary study on the effects of treatment for breast cancer: immunological markers as they relate to quality of life and neuropsychological performance*. BMC Women's Health, 2020. **20**: p. 1-14. 480 481 482
65. Inglis, J.E., et al., *Excess Body Weight and Cancer-Related Fatigue, Systemic Inflammation, and Serum Lipids in Breast Cancer Survivors*. Nutrition and Cancer, 2021. **73**(9): p. 1676-1686. 483 484 485
66. Husson, O., et al., *Fatigue among short-and long-term thyroid cancer survivors: results from the population-based PROFILES registry*. Thyroid, 2013. **23**(10): p. 1247-1255. 486 487
67. Sadeghi, N., et al., *The effect of curcumin supplementation on clinical outcomes and inflammatory markers in patients with ulcerative colitis*. Phytotherapy Research, 2020. **34**(5): p. 1123-1133. 488 489 490
68. Benoy, I., et al., *Serum interleukin 6, plasma VEGF, serum VEGF, and VEGF platelet load in breast cancer patients*. Clinical breast cancer, 2002. **2**(4): p. 311-315. 491 492
69. Brown, J.C., et al., *The efficacy of exercise in reducing depressive symptoms among cancer survivors: a meta-analysis*. PloS one, 2012. **7**(1): p. e30955. 493 494
70. Burnham, T.R. and A. Wilcox, *Effects of exercise on physiological and psychological variables in cancer survivors*. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 2002. 495 496
71. Cramp, F. and J. Byron-Daniel, *Exercise for the management of cancer-related fatigue in adults*. Cochrane database of systematic reviews, 2012(11). 497 498
72. DeSantis, C.E., et al., *International variation in female breast cancer incidence and mortality rates*. 2015. **24**(10): p. 1495-1506. 499 500
73. Schubert, C., et al., *The association between fatigue and inflammatory marker levels in cancer patients: a quantitative review*. Brain, behavior, and immunity, 2007. **21**(4): p. 413-427. 501 502 503
74. Bower, J.E., et al., *Fatigue in breast cancer survivors: occurrence, correlates, and impact on quality of life*. Journal of clinical oncology, 2000. **18**(4): p. 743-743. 504 505
75. Lee, J.I., et al., *Decreased health-related quality of life in disease-free survivors of differentiated thyroid cancer in Korea*. Health and quality of life outcomes, 2010. **8**(1): p. 1-10. 506 507 508 509

Table 1(on next page)

Table 1. General characteristics of included studies in the current systematic review.

Table 1. General characteristics of included studies in the current systematic review.

1

Reference	Study Design	Population	Name of Journal	Year of publication
Galvao et al [57]	RCT	Australian	Journal of clinical oncology	2010
Hojan et al [24]	RCT	Poland	European Journal of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine	2016
Hojan et al [45]	RCT	Poland	Polish archives of internal medicine	2017
Chasen et al [58]	*****	Canada	Current Oncology	2013
Sprod et al [59]	Pilot study	America	Community Oncology	2010
Kim et al [35]	Experimental study	Korea	Thyroid	2018
Ergun et al [39]	RCT	Turkey	European Journal of Cancer Care	2013
Baumann et al [60]	RCT	Germany	Bone Marrow Transplantation	2010
Parent-Roberge et al [56]	Pilot study, A single-blind, two arms RCT	Canada	Brain, Behaviour, and Immunity-Health	2020

2

Table 2 (on next page)

Table 2. The technical characteristics of included studies in the current systematic review.

Table 2. The technical characteristics of included studies in the current systematic review.

1

Reference	Sample Size	Cancer Type	Gender	Method (exercise name, duration, intensity, sets, reps).	Timing of intervention	duration of the study	immunity and quality of life parameter or Immune component examined	Results	Conclusion
[1]	57 EX= 29 Co =28	Prostate	Male	patients undergo combined progressive AE & RT. RT included (upper- and lower-body 8 EX, 2-4 sets, 12-6RM) & AE (Progressive cycling and walking 2/w) 15-20 M, 65-80% HR peak and 11-13 RPE	More than 2 MO after starting with androgen deprivation therapy	12 W	general health; QLQ-C30 and C-RP	Significant improvement in QOL: pre-EX: 66.0 ± 23.1 , post-EX: 71.4 ± 17.5 , P-value = 0.02. CRP: pre-EX: 2.7 ± 3.2 , post-EX: 1.8 ± 1.1 , P-value = 0.008	Improve well-being and overall QOL of patients. The CRP significantly decreased following EX.
[2]	54 EX=27 CO =27	Prostate	Male	The intervention group undergoes AE for 30 M and 15 M of RT (sets of 8 reps at 70% to 75% of their estimated 1RM). Both EX was about 50 to 55 M at 65-70% VO2M for 5/D/W. The CO group revised only usual care.	EX started one W before radiotherapy	8 W	TNF- α , pro-inflammatory cytokines (interleukin IL-1 β , IL-6) F, and QOL	Significantly decreased pro-inflammatory cytokine levels: IL-6: pre-EX: 43461.35 ± 1824.8 , post-EX: $907.5 + 2460.7$, TNF- α : pre-EX: 50.01 ± 20.63 , post-EX: $61.74 + 39.12$, and IL-1 β : pre-EX: 98.57 ± 180.12 , post-EX: 96.72 ± 134.03 , P-value = < 0.05. Decreased F: pre-EX: 42.7 ± 2.1 , post-EX: 43.9 ± 5.0 , P-value = < 0.05 Improved QOL: pre-EX: 70.7 ± 2.1 , post-EX: 72.3 ± 6.3 , P-value = < 0.05	Improves inflammatory markers (by decreasing their levels), decreases F, and improves QOL in high-risk PC patients after radiation therapy.

[3]	66 EX=35 CO =31	Prostate	Male	<p>The intervention groups undergo AE; 5/S/W for 8W and 3/D/W for the next 10 MO for 30 M.</p> <p>& RT; (2 sets of 8 reps at 70% to 75% for 25 M of their estimated 1RM). Both EX was about 65 to 70 M. with 65% to 70% VO2M. After radiation therapy, the intervention group did the same program, 3/W, but 1.5 H/D for 40 M of AE & 35M of RT. with 70% to 80% of heart rate reserve. The CO received only usual care</p>	during and after radiotherapy and androgen deprivation therapy	12- M0	Inflammatory factors, FACT-G score, and QLQ-C30	<p>Improve all the aspects of QOL: pre-EX: 70.7 ±2.1, post-EX: 65.91 ±4.8, P-value = 0.001.</p> <p>F: Pre: 42.7 ±2.1, Post: 39.8 ±3.7, p-value= 0.001.</p> <p>No significant difference in IL- 1: pre-EX:106.6 ±226.6, post-EX: 150.6 ±1933.8, P-value = 0.18, and TNF-α levels: Pre-EX: 32.8 + 161.1, Post-EX: 84.6 ±262.7, P-value= 0.71</p> <p>Significant difference in IL- 6: Pre-EX: 3158.1 ± 1675.2 and Post-EX: 150.6 ±1933.8, P-value = < 0.001.</p>	EX improved QOL and reduce pro-inflammatory cytokine levels in patients with PC undergoing radiotherapy and androgen deprivation therapy.
-----	-----------------------	----------	------	---	--	--------	---	--	---

[4]	67	Head and neck, Breast, Hematologic=, Non-small cell lung, Small cell lung, Colorectal, Prostate, Liver, bile duct, Esophageal, Central nervous system, Skin, Unknown primary, Urogenital, Hodgkin lymphoma	Male and female	67 patients engaged in Rehab program for 8 W at the hospital gym 2D/ W under the direction of an Occupational Therapist	after anticancer therapy	8w	Esas, CRP, F and QOL	<p>A significant relationship between normal serum CRP and program completion, pre-EX: 3.15±2.97, post-EX: 3.68±3.23, P-value = 0.02.</p> <p>Significant improvement in QOL: pre-EX: 4.85±2.62, post-EX: 3.89±2.41, P-value = 0.01.</p> <p>F: pre-EX: 4.89±2.6, post-EX: 3.81±2.26, P-value = 0.001.</p>	Patients with different advanced types of cancers showed considerable improvements in functioning and QOL across several categories. The study concluded that an average amount of C-RP could indicate program completion.
[5]	38 EX= 19 CO= 19	Prostate and breast	Male and female	AE: (walking) increasing with 5-20% of steps at 3-5 RPE & RT: 11 EX, increasing towards 4 sets 15 reps: Low to moderate intensity. Patients were engaged in daily EX.	following primary diagnosis, starting radiotherapy of at least 6 W	4W	PSQI scores, IL-6, and sTNF-R	<p>Improvement in sleep quality: pre-EX: 7.06 ± 4.26, post-EX: 6.00 ± 3.87, P-value = 0.37.</p> <p>IL-6: pre-EX: 1.08 (range 0.06- 2.98), post-EX: 1.38 (range 0.29-6.41) were increased, P-value = 0.31. While sTNF-R was decreased: pre-</p>	Changes in sleep measurements and inflammatory markers were not associated with the intervention group. The relationship was found between TNF-α and subjective sleep quality as well as TNF-α sleep delay, patients had a better subjective sleep quality, and sleep

								EX: 760.62 (range 448.64–1,476.21), post-EX: 680.52 (range 361.68–1,319.53). P-value = 0.59.	efficiency was linked with higher levels of sTNF-R and IL-6p, respectively.
[6]	43, EX=22 CO=21	thyroid cancer	Male and female	Patients were asked to perform AE (walking, 3-5 D/W for at least 150 M/W), RT (upper body EX for 2/W for more than 2 sets/ times) & flexibility EX (5 M before and after AE and RT). 30-40 M of EX were considered appropriate.	during taking thyroid hormone medicine	12 W	HADS-A, EORTC QLQ-C30, NKCA and NKCC	<p>Anxiety: pre-EX: 13.86±3.31, post-EX: 11.32±2.59, and F: pre-EX: 4.48±1.46, post-EX: 3.52±1.74 were significantly decrease, P-value = 0.001.</p> <p>Improvement in QOL: pre-EX: 70.51±12.33, post-EX: 82.73±10.49, P-value = 0.001.</p> <p>NKCA cytotoxicity: pre-EX:11.09±7.71, post-EX: 14.46±8.28, and NKCC cell: pre-EX: 10.93 ± 5.05, post-EX: 12.65 ± 5.86, in the intervention group were significantly increased, P-value = 0.004.</p>	In thyroid cancer patients, a home-based EX program is beneficial in lowering F and anxiety, enhancing QOL, and boosting immunological function. A home-based EX program can be implemented for cancer patients.

[7]	60	breast	female	patients were divided into three groups: 1 – supervised EX group (AE + RT + education programme, n = 20); performed 45 M/D for 3 D/W under the direction of a professional doctor. 2 – Home EX group (AE + education programme, n = 20); performed brisk walking for 30 M/D for 3 A/W. groups 1 and 2 undergo 12 W of EX. 3 – education programme (n = 20) followed up for 12 W.	following breast cancer treatments.	12 W	IL-8, TNF- α , ENA-78, EORTC QLQ-C30, BFI and BDI.	Post-treatment IL-8: pre-EX: 10.37 ± 3.60 , post-EX: 7.76 ± 3.10 , and ENA-78: pre-EX: 19.64 ± 3.81 , post-EX: 7.34 ± 5.29 , and TNF- α : pre-EX: 13.01 ± 6.72 , post-EX: 11.60 ± 4.71 levels were significantly decreased in the home EX group P-value = 0.03. BDI: pre-EX: 7.75 ± 6.69 , post-EX: 4.70 ± 4.10 , and BFI: pre-EX: 3.44 ± 2.23 , post-EX: 2.86 ± 2.02 , and QOL: pre-EX: 80.35 ± 11.22 , post-EX: 85.67 ± 8.07 , were significantly decreased following the EX, P-value = 0.004.	EX-induced changes in angiogenesis and apoptosis-related molecules imply that these parameters may be affected by EX. Patients with breast cancer who have completed their treatments have improved their QOL and reduced their depression.
[8]	64 EX=32 CO=32	malignant with the indication haematopoietic	Male and female	EX group undergo aerobic endurance training 2/D on a bicycle ergometer 10-20 M during hospitalization & activities of daily living include walking on the hospital's corridor every D (except weekend). CO group undergoes 20 M/D under the professional therapist at all times.	undergoing haematopoietic stem cell transplantation	approximately 6 W	EORTC QLQ-C30, haematological parameters (leucocytes, plts, Hb)	All haematological measures show significant declines in the intervention group: leucocytes: pre-EX: 6.7 ± 6.1 , post-EX: 4.0 ± 1.6 , Plts: pre-EX: 157.8 ± 98.1 , post-EX: 83.6 ± 69.5 , and Hb: pre-EX: 10.8 ± 2.2 , post-EX: 9.4 ± 1.4 , P-value = 0.001. Significant differences in favour of the EX-group concerning the QOL: pre-EX: 75.8 ± 21.8 , post-EX: 61.6 ± 22.7 , P-value = 0.006.	EX might have some favourable impacts on the patient's physiological, psychological, and psychosocial levels during the full duration of the Hematopoietic stem cell transplant. During the EX-period, the majority of the patients were found to have steady neutrophil engraftment. Patients who underwent hematopoietic stem cell transplantation were not exposed to any additional risks, and on the contrary, the training program appeared to have aided in the patient's recovery process.

[9]	20 EX=10 CO= 10	non- metastatic cancer	EX group undergo combined AE and RT. AE was 20 to 40 M (+5 M of warm-up and 5 M cool-down with 50% to 75%) intensity of estimated heart rate reserve (VO2M) & RT intensity was from 1 set of 12–15 reps to 2–3 sets of 10–15 RM. Patients participated 3/S/W, with 2/S under the supervision of the EX-physiologists. While the CO performed 2/S/W of supervised static stretching 30–45/M/S	During chemotherapy	12W	Inflammatory profile pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6 and IL-1 β), anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-10, IL-1ra, and IL-15), and CRP. FACIT-F and PASE questionnaire	The intervention group showed no deterioration of the inflammatory profile and cancer-related F following the intervention, IL-1 β : pre-EX: 0.9 (0.4–1.1), post-EX: 1 (0.4–1.6), IL-10: pre-EX: 1.7 (0.5–9.2), post-EX: 8.2 (4.5–16.4), IL-15: pre-EX: 2.0 (1.8–4.6), post-EX: 2.5 (1.8–6.6), and IL-6: pre-EX: 0.7 (0.2–3.7), post-EX: 0.5 (0.1–3.3). P-value = 0.40. A decline in the CRP: pre-EX: 4.7 (3.8–5.8), post-EX: 3.1 (2.0–8.1) was observed in the intervention group. associations reaching significance were observed for the delay in D post-intervention in IL-1 β , IL-15, and leptin.. A lower IL-6/IL-10 ratio: pre-EX: 0.47 (0.3–0.67), post-EX: 0.73 (0.18–3.2) in the intervention group was also found, P-value = 0.03. There was a trend for a significant increase of 4 points on the FACIT-F scale in the intervention group scores following the EX, P-value = 0.10.	The study concluded that, in breast cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy, no rise in pro-inflammatory markers was shown, EX had a favourable effect on cancer-related F and pain. EX might be a positive factor to improve QOL and decreased F. For cancer patients in the early stages of therapy, combined EX training appears to have a beneficial effect on cancer-related F without altering the fasting systemic pro-inflammatory profile.
-----	-----------------------	------------------------------	--	---------------------	-----	---	--	---

EX= exercise; CO= control; MO= Month; RT= Resistance training; AE= Aerobic exercise; W= Weeks; M = Minute; VO2M= Maximal heart rate. S= Session; H = Hour; D = Day; The Edmonton Symptom assessment system = RM= Repetition maximum; RPE= Rating of perceived; FACT-F = Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy–Fatigue; FACT-G = Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-General; EORTC QLQ-C30 = European Organization for the Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire; HADS-A =Hospital Anxiety-Depression Scale-Anxiety; NKCA= Natural killer cell activity; NKC= Natural killer cell; ENA-78= Epithelial neutrophil activating protein 78; VEGF= Vascular endothelial growth factor; GRO= Growth related oncogene alpha; RANTES= Regulated upon activation, normal T-cell expressed, and secreted; ANG= Angiogenin; PDGF= Platelet derived growth factor; TRO = thrombopoetin; MCP 1= Monocyte chemotactic

2
3
4
5
6

protein-1; MCP2= Monocyte chemotactic protein-2; MCP3= Monocyte chemotactic protein-3; BFI= The brief fatigue inventory; BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; CRP= C-reactive protein; TNF- α = Tumour necrosis factor alpha; F= fatigue; Esas =The edmonton symptom assessment system.

1. Galvao, D.A., et al., *Combined resistance and aerobic exercise program reverses muscle loss in men undergoing androgen suppression therapy for prostate cancer without bone metastases: a randomized controlled trial*. 2010. **28**(2): p. 340-347. 10 11
2. Hojan, K., et al., *Physical exercise for functional capacity, blood immune function, fatigue, and quality of life in high-risk prostate cancer patients during radiotherapy: a prospective, randomized clinical study*. 2016. **52**(4): p. 489-501. 12 13
3. Hojan, K., et al., *Inflammation, cardiometabolic markers, and functional changes in men with prostate cancer*. 2017. **127**(1): p. 25-35. 14
4. Chasen, M., et al., *An interprofessional palliative care oncology rehabilitation program: effects on function and predictors of program completion*. 2013. **20**(6): p. 301-309. 15 16
5. Sprod, L.K., et al., *Exercise, sleep quality, and mediators of sleep in breast and prostate cancer patients receiving radiation therapy*. 2010. **7**(10): p. 463. 17 18
6. Kim, K., et al., *Efficacy of a home-based exercise program after thyroidectomy for thyroid cancer patients*. 2018. **28**(2): p. 236-245. 19
7. Ergun, M., et al., *Effects of exercise on angiogenesis and apoptosis-related molecules, quality of life, fatigue and depression in breast cancer patients*. 2013. **22**(5): p. 626-637. 20 21
8. Baumann, F., et al., *A controlled randomized study examining the effects of exercise therapy on patients undergoing haematopoietic stem cell transplantation*. 2010. **45**(2): p. 355-362. 22 23
9. Parent-Roberge, H., et al., *Effects of combined exercise training on the inflammatory profile of older cancer patients treated with systemic therapy*. 2020. **2**: p. 100016. 24 25

26

Figure 1

PRISMA flowchart for search strategy.

