# Successful childhood obesity management in primary care in Canada: What are the odds?

Stefan Kuhle, Rachel Doucette, Helena Piccinini-Vallis, Sara FL Kirk

**Background:** The management of a child presenting with obesity in a primary care setting can be viewed as a multi-step behavioral process with many perceived and actual barriers for families and primary care providers. In order to achieve the goal of behavior change and, ultimately, clinically meaningful weight management outcomes in a child who is considered obese, all steps in this process should ideally be completed. We sought to review the evidence for completing each step, and to estimate the population effect of secondary prevention of childhood obesity in Canada. Methods: Data from the 2009/2010 Canadian Community Health Survey and from a review of the literature were used to estimate the probabilities for completion of each step. A flow chart based on these probabilities was used to determine the proportion of children with obesity that would undergo and achieve clinically meaningful weight management outcomes each year in Canada. **Results:** We estimated that the probability of a child in Canada who presents as obese achieving clinically meaningful weight management outcomes through secondary prevention in primary care is around 0.6% per year, with a range from 0.01% to 7.2% per year. The lack of accessible and effective weight management programs appeared to be the most important bottleneck in the process. **Conclusions:** In order to make progress towards supporting effective pediatric obesity management, efforts should focus on population-based primary prevention and a systems approach to change our obesogenic society, alongside the allocation of resources toward weight management approaches that are comprehensively offered, equitably distributed and robustly evaluated.

1	Successful childhood obesity management in primary care in Canada: What are the odds?
2	
3	Stefan Kuhle, MD, PhD
4	Perinatal Epidemiology Research Unit, Departments of Obstetrics & Gynaecology and
5	Pediatrics, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada
6	
7	Rachel Doucette
8	Perinatal Epidemiology Research Unit, Departments of Obstetrics & Gynaecology and
9	Pediatrics, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada
10	
11	Helena Piccinini-Vallis, MD, MSc
12	Department of Family Medicine, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada
13	
14	Sara F.L. Kirk, PhD (corresponding author)
15	School of Health and Human Performance and Atlantic Health Promotion Research Centre,
16	Dalhousie University Halifax, NS, Canada
17	
18	Corresponding Author:
19	Dr. Sara F.L. Kirk
20	School of Health and Human Performance, Dalhousie University
21	1318 Robie Street, PO BOX 15000, Halifax, NS, B3H 4R2, Canada
22	Phone: 1-902-494-8440
23	Email: Sara.Kirk@dal.ca

#### 25 INTRODUCTION

26 Nearly one third of children in Canada is considered overweight or obese [1]. Obesity in 27 childhood often tracks into adulthood [2] and increases the lifetime risk of cardiovascular, 28 respiratory, orthopedic, gastrointestinal, and metabolic disease, among others [3]. Obesity is also 29 shaped by a complex constellation of factors within the broader environment, which cannot by 30 easily addressed through approaches aimed at individuals [4]. Examples include the ready 31 availability of energy dense, nutrient-poor foods and increasingly sedentary lifestyles that make 32 it challenging to adopt the behaviors required for weight loss to occur [5]. Early identification, 33 diagnosis, and management of childhood obesity (known as secondary prevention) are therefore 34 important actions for reducing the burden of chronic disease and disability in adulthood [6]. 35 Primary care providers (PCP) play an important role in the diagnosis, education, and 36 management of children who are obese as they commonly constitute the first point of contact 37 within the health care system. A number of guidelines for the identification and management of 38 children (and adults) with excess weight have been published over the last decade [5-8]. 39 However, the management of excess weight in childhood is very complex, since the diagnosis 40 may be associated with social stigma and challenging to discuss with the family [9]. 41 Interventions are also more complex than a regular dose of medication, and the evidence for the 42 effectiveness of interventions is still limited [9]. 43

Based on existing best practice guidelines for pediatric obesity management [5-7, 7, 8], the steps
involved in the assessment, diagnosis, and treatment of obesity in children within primary care

46 are typically conceptualized as follows (Figure 1):

47 1. The family of a child with obesity has a regular PCP.

- 48 2. The child sees a PCP.
- 49 3. The PCP assesses the child's weight status.

50 4. The weight status assessment identifies the child as obese.

5. The PCP engages the family in discussion about weight management strategies.

52 6. The PCP initiates office-based weight management or refers the family to a weight

53 management program.

54 7. The child and the family adhere to the intervention and the intervention is effective in

55 changing the child's health behaviors and/or stabilizing/reducing the child's weight.

56

In order for a child with obesity to fully benefit from weight management support, all steps in this process should be completed. This raises the question "what is the probability of achieving clinically meaningful weight management outcomes after completion of a weight management intervention in a primary care setting in Canada?". We sought to review the evidence for the completion of each step of this process, and to estimate the population effect of secondary prevention of childhood obesity in Canada, so as to support decision-making regarding the successful management of pediatric obesity within primary health care.

64

#### 65 MATERIALS & METHODS

#### 66 *Review of available evidence*

67 Given the paucity of available datasets within clinical settings, we used the Public Use Microdata

- 68 File of the Statistics Canada 2009/2010 Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS)[10], a
- 69 representative cross-sectional survey of Canadian residents aged 12 and older (n = 124,188).
- 70 Analyses were performed in children and youth aged 12 to 17 years to estimate the proportion of

children with obesity that have a PCP and the annual incidence of primary care visits (selfreported) in children who are obese (Steps 1 and 2).

73

74 For steps 3-7, we searched the literature using PubMed for English language articles on the 75 assessment, diagnosis, initiation of intervention, and completion of weight management 76 interventions in childhood published between January 2000 and April 2014. PubMed's "Related 77 citations" search was performed on relevant articles to identify additional studies. This process 78 was repeated until no further relevant studies were identified. Studies were deemed relevant if 79 they 1) were performed in Canada; 2) included participants between 5 and 18 years of age; 3) 80 provided rates or proportions for the assessment, diagnosis, management, or treatment of 81 childhood obesity in primary care. The full search strategy is available as a supplementary file. 82

#### 83 Determination of the population effect of secondary obesity prevention

84 Probability estimates for completion of each step were calculated as described above (Steps 1 85 and 2) or were extracted or estimated from the existing literature (Steps 3-7) by two of the 86 authors (SK and SFLK). We converted annual rates of physician visits to probabilities using the standard formula  $p = 1 - e^{(-rate \ x \ time)}$  [11]. In addition to the probability estimate for each step, we 87 88 also used clinical judgment to choose two probabilities above and below the respective estimate 89 so as to create a best and a worst case scenario. We calculated the cumulative probability of 90 changing the child's health behaviors and/or stabilizing/reducing the child's weight by 91 multiplying the probabilities at each stage within each of the four possible paths (see Figure 1) 92 and then calculating the sum of these four probabilities. This process was repeated using the

- 93 worst and best case estimates. All analyses were performed in the statistical software package R
- 94 [12].
- 95

#### 96 **RESULTS**

- 97 The steps in the weight management process
- 98 Step 1: The family has a regular primary care provider
- 99 The families of children who are obese who do not have a regular PCP will likely see PCPs only
- 100 for acute care and therefore may never enter into the weight management process. According to
- 101 the CCHS 2009/2010, 87% of children who are obese have a regular PCP. We used 95% and
- 102 80% as best and worst case estimates, respectively.
- 103
- 104 Step 2: The child sees a primary care provider
- 105 Children in the CCHS 2009/2010 who were obese reported on average 2 PCP visits over the past
- 106 year. We used 3 and 1 visit(s) per year as best and worst case estimates.
- 107
- 108 Step 3: The primary care provider assesses the child's weight status

Body mass index (BMI) calculation and comparison to age-related reference values is considered
the recommended method to screen for excess weight in children, according to Canadian clinical

- 111 practice guidelines [6, 8]. Self-reported data by Canadian PCPs shows that 60% of pediatricians
- and 30% of general practitioners use BMI based on measured height and weight to assess weight
- 113 status in children and youth [13]. A review of growth charts of children at five family physicians'
- 114 offices in Ontario found height and weight recorded in 59% of children between ages 2-6 years
- 115 but only 39% had more than one BMI entry recorded [14]. Other methods to assess body weight

116 include visual inspection, waist circumference, and weight-for-age [15, 16] but there are no 117 estimates available on their frequency of use in Canada. Canadian guidelines for obesity 118 management recommend measuring the waist circumference in adults if the BMI is > 25 and < 119  $35 \text{ kg/m}^2$  [6] but there is no such recommendation for children, possibly due to a lack of age-120 related reference values for waist circumference in children of all ages. We estimated that 50% 121 of children would be likely to have their BMI measured at a PCP visit with 60% and 30% used 122 as best and worst case estimates. We further estimated that 20% of children would have a visual 123 assessment of their weight status (best case: 30%; worst case 10%). 124 125 Step 4: The weight status assessment identifies the child as obese 126 The current recommendation of the Canadian Pediatric Society is to use the WHO growth charts 127 for BMI [17] to identify obesity [18]. However, BMI may not adequately account for the amount 128 of visceral fat, which is more closely associated with cardiovascular disease markers and 129 outcomes. The sensitivity of BMI (based on IOTF, WHO, or CDC obesity cutoffs) for 130 identifying children with excess visceral fat reported in the literature ranges from approximately 131 70% to 95% [19-23]. Based on the literature, we therefore used an 85% probability of correctly 132 identifying a child with excess visceral fat mass, with 95% and 70% as the best and worst case 133 estimates.

134

135 Step 5: The PCP engages the family in discussion about weight management strategies

136 After a diagnosis of obesity has been established, the PCP needs to raise the issue with the family

137 to explore family attitudes towards and options for weight management. However, advice given

138 during this discussion typically focuses on the provision of information (what to eat, how much

139	to exercise, etc.) and does not constitute a formal weight management intervention. A recent
140	Canadian survey of PCPs in Canada showed that 85% of physicians who responded to the survey
141	routinely give parents of children who are obese and overweight advice about diet and physical
142	activity during office visits [13]. Since the authors excluded missing responses from the analysis
143	and there is potential for social desirability bias and selection bias, this is likely an overestimate.
144	Therefore, we used a probability of 50%, with 80% and 20% as the best and worst case
145	estimates, for engaging the family in discussion about weight management.
146	
147	Step 6: The primary care provider initiates office-based weight management or refers the family
148	to a weight management program
149	Canadian clinical practice guidelines on the management and prevention of obesity recommend a
150	lifestyle intervention that aims at improving diet and physical activity as the first line of
151	management for pediatric obesity [6, 8]. An intervention can be administered by the PCP or
152	through enrollment in a weight management program. There is no information available on how
153	many PCPs in Canada have the necessary training for delivering the intervention or how often a
154	structured, tested, and effective approach is used. We estimated that only 20% of PCP has the
155	training, time, and resources to initiate a structured in-office lifestyle intervention (best case
156	50%, worst case 10%).
157	
158	The options for referring a child with obesity to a weight management program are very limited.

159 Within Canada, there are 23 childhood obesity management programs registered with the

160 Canadian Obesity Network's Weight Management Registry as of 2014 [24]. All programs use a

161 multidisciplinary approach with a combination of behavioral lifestyle interventions, structured

162 exercise plans, energy reduced diets, pharmacotherapy, or bariatric surgery. There is a lack of 163 standardization among intervention components and program structure, and currently few programs have published evaluations [25-27]. The 15/23 programs that reported enrollment 164 165 figures treat between 10 and 3000 patients annually with the mean and median number of 166 patients per program being 304 and 68 [24]. The number of children between 5 and 17 years of 167 age in Canada who were considered obese in 2013 was approximately 568,000 [1, 28]. Of these, 168 approximately 121,000 (20.6%) would complete the first five steps, resulting in a probability of 169 1.3% (median of 68 spots per year x 23 centres / 121,000) for being referred (and admitted) to a 170 weight management program. We used 2.8% (150 spots per year) and 0.6% (30 spots per year) 171 as best and worst case estimates. 172 173 Step 7: The child and the family adhere to the intervention and the intervention is effective in 174 changing the child's health behaviors and/or reducing or stabilizing the child's weight 175 Weight management interventions have traditionally been evaluated based on participants' 176 reductions in BMI after completion of the intervention. More recently, program evaluations have 177 begun to focus on sustainable changes in health behaviors and markers of chronic disease as 178 indicators of effectiveness. Thus, for the purposes of this study it is difficult to determine from 179 the available literature what proportion of children actually achieve clinically meaningful weight 180 management outcomes after completion of a weight management intervention. 181 182 Evidence on the effectiveness of office-based lifestyle counseling is limited given the often non-

183 standardized approach. As there are no Canadian data on the effectiveness of office-based

184 counseling for children or adults who are obese, we used the "5-2-1-0" strategy developed in the

185 US as a best practice model of a structured approach to office-based lifestyle counseling that has 186 been applied within primary care and is described in the literature [29, 30]. This strategy 187 encourages children to meet evidence-based behavioral targets daily within a family focused 188 intervention: eat at least five servings of fruit or vegetables per day; limit screen time to less than 189 two hours per day; participate in physical activities for at least one hour per day; consume zero 190 or minimal sweetened drinks [30]. Data from 12 PCP intervention sites in urban and rural areas 191 of Maine demonstrated behavior changes between 12% to 26% based on parental report [30]. 192 Two studies from Minnesota that used the "5-2-1-0" behavioral modification program in primary 193 care reported adherence numbers for the full program of 6/68 (9%) [31] and 40/70 (57%) 194 respectively [32]. In the former study, average BMI reduction was 0.43% of baseline BMI, while 195 decreases in BMI were reported for 28% of the children in the latter study. A recent Cochrane 196 review identified 17 interventions for childhood obesity in primary care, 12 of which reported a 197 significant effect immediately following the intervention. Seven out of 17 studies maintained the 198 effect for months to years post intervention [33]. Effect sizes ranged from small decreases in 199 dietary sugar intake to substantial drops in overweight prevalence. Based on the above literature, 200 we estimated that the probability of achieving clinically meaningful weight management 201 outcomes as a result of a structured or unstructured lifestyle intervention in the PCP office is 202 15% with best and worst case estimates of 25% and 5%.

203

Four Canadian weight management programs have published evaluations, which demonstrated statistically significant, clinically moderate weight loss effects but high rates of attrition [26, 27, 34, 35]. The Centre for Healthy Weights Shapedown BC obesity management program reports a significant change from weight gain to weight loss at the end of the program. Measured as

208 monthly percentage weight change, youth in this program went from 0.89% weight gain at the 209 start of the program to 0.37% weight loss at completion. There were also significant 210 improvements in fasting insulin levels, physical activity levels and measures of mental 211 wellbeing. However, only 32.8% of participants (39/119) attended all 10 weekly group sessions 212 [27]. A randomized controlled trial of two one-on-one lifestyle interventions in a weight 213 management clinic in Edmonton, Alberta, showed modest short-term decreases in BMI-z scores 214 of 3.9% and 6.5% compared to a wait list control group. Attrition rates in both intervention 215 groups were around 40% over the 16-20 week program, and were highest shortly after the 216 initiation of the program. However, those who completed the program had a high degree of 217 participation [34]. A family-focused, behavior-based education program in Calgary had 78% 218 completion rate (271/345) over the course of 8-12 weeks. Participant BMI z-scores on average 219 decreased from 2.14 to 2.08 [26]. Program attrition in an interdisciplinary, individualized care 220 weight management program in Edmonton, Alberta, was 49 and 73% at 7 and 11 months, with 8 221 and 5% of children showing BMI decreases at these time-points [35]. Given the considerable 222 heterogeneity between studies with regard to sample characteristics, settings, interventions, and 223 outcome measures, we estimated the probability of weight loss after completion of a lifestyle 224 intervention at 30% with 10% and 50% as worst and best case estimates.

225

#### 226 Cumulative probability of successful childhood obesity management

The estimated probabilities from the literature for the completion of each step of the weight management process are shown in Figure 1. We estimated that the probability of a child in Canada who is considered obese achieving a healthy weight or improved health behaviors through secondary prevention in primary care to be around 0.6% per year, with a range from

0.01% to 7.2% per year. By way of example, out of 1000 Canadian children, on average 117 will
be obese [1], and of these, one child (worst case: none, best case: eight children) is estimated to
achieve a healthy weight or improved health behaviors each year through primary care-initiated
weight management intervention.

235

#### 236 **DISCUSSION**

237 In this present study, we have examined the process of weight management in primary care and 238 have proposed a model to describe this process. Based on estimates derived from a review of the 239 literature, the probability of positively changing health behaviors in obese children and/or 240 achieving clinically meaningful weight loss is currently very low. Our study has identified 241 multiple targets for improvement of weight management outcomes but there are some limitations 242 that should be acknowledged. Most importantly, the estimates for successful weight management 243 presented in this study, while based on evidence available from the literature, may not be 244 accurate as the probabilities for completion of each step were difficult to estimate due to 245 heterogeneity of study populations, interventions, and outcome measures that are currently 246 presented in the literature. Our calculation of the net population effect of secondary obesity 247 prevention does not take into account factors that may modify the chance of success, such as 248 actual BMI, existence of comorbidities, age, gender, parental weight status, area of residence, or 249 access to care. Considering these factors would require a complex microsimulation model, 250 incorporating more detailed data than is currently available and therefore relying on more assumptions. Whether this would change the conclusion reached in our approach - that childhood 251 252 obesity management under the current primary care model will only help a very small number of 253 children - is therefore not known. The underlying assumption of our calculations - that the

probabilities for completion of each step are independent from each other - is likely not the case in actuality. However, this analysis has further highlighted the complexity of childhood weight management, the potential for failure at multiple points in the process, and the potential for substantial impact on outcomes should all the steps outlined in theory actually be completed in practice.

259

260 Relational continuity of care is critical for the effective and successful management of childhood 261 obesity in primary care as it allows PCPs to integrate the proximal and distal contexts of the 262 child and family into the management plan. For 13% of Canadian families, who access primary 263 care through walk-in clinics, emergency rooms, or who may regularly change GPs, the lack of 264 continuity of care provides the first major barrier for the management of childhood obesity (and 265 other health conditions, for that matter). While the data from the CCHS do not provide 266 information on the reason for attending a PCPs office, visits that are primarily motivated by 267 concerns about the child's weight are likely rare. Data from Canada [36] and other countries [37-268 39] show that parents are often incorrect in their perception of their child's weight status and tend 269 to underestimate their child's weight, especially if they are overweight or obese themselves [36, 270 37]. Jeffery et al. suggested that the lack of awareness may be due to denial or a desensitization 271 to excess weight given the high prevalence of obesity [37]. Parents are "critical partners" [37] for 272 PCPs in the management of childhood obesity, and their lack of awareness or concern with their 273 child's weight status constitutes a major barrier to identification of obesity as well as to initiating 274 and sustaining a change in lifestyle behaviors.

275

276 Calculation of BMI based on measured height and weight in children and adults during PCP 277 visits has been recommended by various medical professional bodies and task forces in Canada 278 [6, 8, 15, 40] but the implementation in clinical practice has been limited. Of the children who do 279 have a regular PCP, 60% or fewer will have their weight status measured or recorded during a 280 visit [13, 14]. In primary care practices where BMI is not regularly recorded, physicians named a 281 lack of familiarity with BMI, lack of agreement with the use of BMI as a screening tool, limited 282 time during appointment, and skepticism about treatment effectiveness as barriers [41]. There is 283 some evidence that rates of BMI measurement may improve through provider education, clinical 284 practice tools, and the use of electronic medical records that prompt for regular weight 285 measurements or automatically calculate the BMI percentile [42-46].

286

287 The most important barrier to managing pediatric obesity in primary care, as identified by more 288 than 70% of respondents in the survey of survey of Canadian PCPs by He et al., was the 289 'obesogenic environment' [13]. An obesogenic environment is typically defined as 'the sum of 290 influences that the surroundings, opportunities, or conditions of life have on promoting obesity in 291 individuals or populations' [47]. Other barriers included time constraints, lack of training, 292 support, and options for referral, parents with obesity who are perceived to be poor role models, 293 lack of patient motivation to change behaviors, and poor compliance with recommendations for 294 change. Physicians were also concerned that they may interfere with family function, contribute 295 to stereotypes, negatively influence a patient's self esteem, or precipitate an eating disorder in a 296 child that is obese [13]. These barriers and concerns are echoed in studies from other countries 297 [48-50], highlighting a pressing need for a more holistic approach to obesity management and 298 prevention, that recognizes the complex constellation of factors in its etiology.

299

300 We believe that engaging the family in discussion about the child's weight and initiating office-301 based counseling are distinct issues and hence we separated the two in our process model. While 302 the majority (85%) of PCPs in the survey by He et al. stated that they routinely give parents of 303 children who are obese advice about diet and physical activity during office visits [13], this 304 likely does not constitute a formal weight management intervention. Our literature search did not 305 identify any studies that provided information on how often a formal office-based behavior 306 modification intervention is initiated by PCPs in Canada. If we use documentation of a diagnosis 307 of obesity, either on the chart or on the billing form, as a proxy indicator for discussing the issue 308 with the family, the actual proportion of children who are obese that receive an office-based 309 weight management intervention is likely very low. A Canadian study linking a population-based 310 survey with physician billing data showed that only 10% of children aged 10-11 years with a 311 BMI, based on measured height and weight, that identified them as obese received an ICD code 312 diagnosis of obesity during the same year, with a quarter of obese children that did not have an 313 obesity diagnosis having a BMI between 28.5 and 44.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup> [51]. According to US studies, 314 documentation of a diagnosis of obesity on the charts ranged from 18 to 66% of children who 315 were identified as obese based on their measured BMI [52-55]. There is also a need to consider 316 whether an additional step be included, given that there is increasing evidence that parents may 317 not accurately perceive the weight status of their child(ren). For example, a recent large meta-318 analysis [56] revealed that half the parents included in the studies reviewed underestimated their 319 children's overweight/obese status and a significant minority underestimated children's normal 320 weight. This has important implications because a substantial number of children might not even 321 have the opportunity to enter into the weight management process outlined.

322

323 One of the main barriers reported by the majority of respondents in the survey of PCPs in 324 Canada was the lack of options for referral [13]. The programs registered with the Canadian 325 Obesity Network are distributed in major cities and are mostly associated with hospitals and 326 academic centers, which provides a barrier to access for people living outside the urban core. For 327 example, one in five patients referred to a weight management program in Edmonton, AB, lives 328 more than a one-hour drive away from the program location [57]. There are programs in most 329 Canadian provinces, with the exception of Saskatchewan, Nova Scotia, and Prince Edward 330 Island, and there are currently no programs in any of the three territories, highlighting that 331 programs are lacking in regions where they are likely needed the most [58, 59]. The limited 332 access to weight management programs in Canada puts the onus on PCPs to initiate lifestyle 333 interventions in the office. It also highlights the need for population health interventions that 334 have a greater chance of impact on the behaviors (i.e., diet and physical activity interventions) 335 that influence health across whole populations rather than focusing on obesity only. 336

337 There are limitations to our approach that should be considered. First, we did not consider 338 pharmacotherapy or bariatric surgery as intervention options in our model as they are only 339 indicated in a small proportion of children with obesity and their long-term success is dependent 340 on concurrent support by an interdisciplinary weight management program [60]. The outcomes 341 of the weight management program evaluations reported in the literature vary considerably and 342 include health behaviors, cardiovascular disease markers, and various measures of body fatness, 343 which hampered any meaningful estimation of what could be considered to be a successful 344 outcome. Moreover, we found no consistent definition of what success in pediatric weight

345 management actually means or any studies that considered weight maintenance as an outcome. 346 Moreover, the follow-up period of the studies was often limited to a few weeks or months and since there are no data available on the sustainability of the outcomes of Step 7, the long-term 347 348 success rates may even be lower than indicated. Although the steps upon which we based our 349 estimates are derived from existing guidelines and recommendations, they are open to criticism, 350 since there are likely many other approaches to management of obesity in childhood; this is a fast 351 moving field where evidence is emerging constantly. There remain a number of challenges with 352 defining successful weight management for pediatric obesity, and a lack of data to inform this 353 debate. We do not seek to provide the "only approach", but to illustrate the flaws within the steps 354 that are typically considered on the management pathway for childhood obesity, particularly in 355 Canada, and upon which existing management guidelines are typically based. Other approaches 356 are in existence, e.g., the 4-step approach outlined by Spear et al [61]. Ours is just one approach 357 that we hope will stimulate discussion regarding the capacity of the primary (and other 358 components of the) health care system to address pediatric obesity, and to what extent each of 359 the steps listed might be operationalized. We also acknowledge that other countries and 360 jurisdictions may have different experiences that could alter these conclusions, although there is 361 evidence from European studies that suggest similar barriers are encountered within primary care 362 [62, 63].

363

#### 364 CONCLUSIONS

Within the prevailing model of care, and as outlined in the model described in this paper, we predict that only a very small fraction of children who are obese will achieve a healthy weight through a primary care weight management intervention in Canada. The lack of accessible and

- 368 effective weight management programs appears to be the most important bottleneck in the
- 369 process. While the optimal process to manage childhood obesity in primary care remains open to
- 370 debate, our findings point to the need for greater effort to be focused on population-based
- 371 primary prevention and a systems approach to change our obesogenic society. There is also a
- 372 need to allocate additional resources toward evidence-based obesity management initiatives for
- 373 children that are comprehensively offered, equitably distributed and robustly evaluated.

#### **374 REFERENCES**

- 375 1. Roberts KC, Shields M, de Groh M, Aziz A, Gilbert JA. Overweight and obesity in
- 376 children and adolescents: results from the 2009 to 2011 Canadian Health Measures Survey.
- *Health Rep* 2012; **23**: 37-41.
- 2. Singh AS, Mulder C, Twisk JW, van Mechelen W, Chinapaw MJ. Tracking of childhood
- 379 overweight into adulthood: a systematic review of the literature. *Obes Rev* 2008; 9: 474380 488.
- 381 3. Thompson D, Edelsberg J, Colditz GA, Bird AP, Oster G. Lifetime health and economic
  382 consequences of obesity. *Arch Intern Med* 1999; 159: 2177-2183.
- Kopelman P, Jebb SA, Butland B. Executive summary: Foresight 'Tackling Obesities:
  Future Choices' project. *Obes Rev* 2007; 8 Suppl 1: vi-ix.
- 385 5. August GP, Caprio S, Fennoy I, Freemark M, Kaufman FR, Lustig RH, Silverstein JH,
- 386 Speiser PW, Styne DM, Montori VM. Prevention and treatment of pediatric obesity: an
- 387 endocrine society clinical practice guideline based on expert opinion. *J Clin Endocrinol*
- 388 *Metab* 2008; **93**: 4576-4599.
- 389 6. Lau DC, Douketis JD, Morrison KM, Hramiak IM, Sharma AM, Ur E. 2006 Canadian
- 390 clinical practice guidelines on the management and prevention of obesity in adults and
- 391 children [summary]. *CMAJ* 2007; **176**: S1-13.
- 392 7. Barlow SE. Expert committee recommendations regarding the prevention, assessment, and
- 393 treatment of child and adolescent overweight and obesity: summary report. *Pediatrics*
- 394 2007; **120 Suppl 4**: S164-92.
- 395 8. Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. Recommendations for growth monitoring,
- 396 and prevention and management of overweight and obesity in children and youth in

397 primary care. *CMAJ* 2015; **187**: 411-421.

- 398 9. Oude Luttikhuis H, Baur L, Jansen H, Shrewsbury VA, O'Malley C, Stolk RP, Summerbell
- 399 CD. Interventions for treating obesity in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009; Jan

400 21;(1):CD001872. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD001872.pub2.

- 401 10. Statistics Canada. Canadian Community Health Survey Annual Component: User Guide
- 402 2010. Ottawa, ON: Statistics Canada; 2011.
- 403 11. Fleurence RL, Hollenbeak CS. Rates and probabilities in economic modelling:
- 404 transformation, translation and appropriate application. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2007; **25**: 3-6.
- 405 12. R Core Team: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. http://www.R-
- 406 project.org <accessed on Sep 11, 2015>
- 407 13. He M, Piche L, Clarson CL, Callaghan C, Harris SB. Childhood overweight and obesity
- 408 management: A national perspective of primary health care providers' views, practices,

409 perceived barriers and needs. *Paediatr Child Health* 2010; **15**: 419-426.

- 410 14. He M, Sutton J. Using routine growth monitoring data in tracking overweight prevalence in
  411 young children. *Can J Public Health* 2004; **95**: 419-423.
- 412 15. Dietitians of Canada, Canadian Paediatric Society, College of Family Physicians of
- 413 Canada, Community Health Nurses Association of Canada. The use of growth charts for
- 414 assessing and monitoring growth in Canadian infants and children. *Can J Diet Pract Res*
- 415 2004; **65**: 22-32.
- 416 16. Spurrier NJ, Magarey A, Wong C. Recognition and management of childhood overweight
  417 and obesity by clinicians. *J Paediatr Child Health* 2006; **42**: 411-418.
- 418 17. de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E, Siyam A, Nishida C, Siekmann J. Development of a
- 419 WHO growth reference for school-aged children and adolescents. *Bull World Health*

420 *Organ* 2007; **85**: 660-667.

421 18. Marchand V, Members of the Collaborative Statement Advisory Group Nutrition and

- 422 Gastroenterology Committee. Promoting optimal monitoring of child growth in Canada:
- 423 Using the new World Health Organization growth charts Executive Summary. *Paediatr*

424 *Child Health* 2010; **15**: 77-83.

- 425 19. Harrington DM, Staiano AE, Broyles ST, Gupta AK, Katzmarzyk PT. BMI percentiles for
- 426 the identification of abdominal obesity and metabolic risk in children and adolescents:
- 427 evidence in support of the CDC 95th percentile. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2013; **67**: 218-222.
- 428 20. Neovius MG, Linne YM, Barkeling BS, Rossner SO. Sensitivity and specificity of
- 429 classification systems for fatness in adolescents. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004; **80**: 597-603.
- 430 21. Mei Z, Grummer-Strawn LM, Wang J, Thornton JC, Freedman DS, Pierson RNJ, Dietz
- 431 WH, Horlick M. Do skinfold measurements provide additional information to body mass
- 432 index in the assessment of body fatness among children and adolescents? *Pediatrics* 2007;
  433 **119**: e1306-13.
- 434 22. Freedman DS, Ogden CL, Berenson GS, Horlick M. Body mass index and body fatness in
  435 childhood. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 2005; 8: 618-623.
- 436 23. Deurenberg-Yap M, Niti M, Foo LL, Ng SA, Loke KY. Diagnostic accuracy of
- 437 anthropometric indices for obesity screening among Asian adolescents. Ann Acad Med
- 438 *Singapore* 2009; **38**: 3-6.
- 439 24. Canadian Obesity Network: Canadian Pediatric Weight Management Programs.
- 440 http://www.obesitynetwork.ca/maps/maps.aspx?map=1 <accessed on Sep 11, 2015>
- 441 25. Ball GD, Ambler KA, Chanoine JP. Pediatric weight management programs in Canada:
- 442 where, what and how? *Int J Pediatr Obes* 2011; **6**: e58-61.

443	26.	Watson-Jarvis K, Johnston C, Clark C. Evaluation of a family education program for
444		overweight children and adolescents. Can J Diet Pract Res 2011; 72: 191-196.
445	27.	Panagiotopoulos C, Ronsley R, Al-Dubayee M, Brant R, Kuzeljevic B, Rurak E, Cristall A,
446		Marks G, Sneddon P, Hinchliffe M, Chanoine JP, Masse LC. The centre for healthy
447		weightsshapedown BC: a family-centered, multidisciplinary program that reduces weight
448		gain in obese children over the short-term. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2011; 8: 4662-
449		4678.
450	28.	Statistics Canada: Table 051-0001. Estimates of population, by age group and sex for July
451		1, Canada, provinces and territories. http://www5.statcan.gc.ca/cansim/a37 <accessed on<="" td=""></accessed>
452		Sep 11, 2015>
453	29.	Chang DI, Gertel-Rosenberg A, Drayton VL, Schmidt S, Angalet GB. A statewide strategy
454		to battle child obesity in Delaware. Health Aff (Millwood) 2010; 29: 481-490.
455	30.	Polacsek M, Orr J, Letourneau L, Rogers V, Holmberg R, O'Rourke K, Hannon C,
456		Lombard KA, Gortmaker SL. Impact of a primary care intervention on physician practice
457		and patient and family behavior: keep ME Healthythe Maine Youth Overweight
458		Collaborative. Pediatrics 2009; 123 Suppl 5: S258-66.
459	31.	Kwapiszewski RM, Lee Wallace A. A pilot program to identify and reverse childhood
460		obesity in a primary care clinic. Clin Pediatr (Phila) 2011; 50: 630-635.
461	32.	Tucker SJ, Ytterberg KL, Lenoch LM, Schmit TL, Mucha DI, Wooten JA, Lohse CM,
462		Austin CM, Mongeon Wahlen KJ. Reducing pediatric overweight: nurse-delivered
463		motivational interviewing in primary care. J Pediatr Nurs 2013; 28: 536-547.
464	33.	Sargent GM, Pilotto LS, Baur LA. Components of primary care interventions to treat
465		childhood overweight and obesity: a systematic review of effect. Obes Rev 2011; 12: e219-

- 467 34. Ball GD, Mackenzie-Rife KA, Newton MS, Alloway CA, Slack JM, Plotnikoff RC, Goran
- 468 MI. One-on-one lifestyle coaching for managing adolescent obesity: Findings from a pilot,
- 469 randomized controlled trial in a real-world, clinical setting. *Paediatr Child Health* 2011;
- **16**: 345-350.
- 471 35. Avis JL, Ambler KA, Jetha MM, Boateng H, Ball GD. Modest treatment effects and high
  472 program attrition: The impact of interdisciplinary, individualized care for managing
  473 paediatric obesity. *Paediatr Child Health* 2013; 18: e59-63.
- 474 36. Chaimovitz R, Issenman R, Moffat T, Persad R. Body perception: do parents, their
- 475 children, and their children's physicians perceive body image differently? *J Pediatr*476 *Gastroenterol Nutr* 2008; **47**: 76-80.
- 477 37. Jeffery AN, Voss LD, Metcalf BS, Alba S, Wilkin TJ. Parents' awareness of overweight in
- themselves and their children: cross sectional study within a cohort (EarlyBird 21). *BMJ*2005; **330**: 23-24.
- 480 38. West DS, Raczynski JM, Phillips MM, Bursac Z, Heath Gauss C, Montgomery BE.
- 481 Parental recognition of overweight in school-age children. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2008;
  482 16: 630-636.
- Wake M. Issues in obesity monitoring, screening and subsequent treatment. *Curr Opin Pediatr* 2009; 21: 811-816.
- 485 40. Fitzpatrick Lewis D, Ciliska D, Peirson L, Members of the Canadian Task Force on
- 486 Preventive Health Care: Primary and Secondary Prevention of Overweight/Obesity in
- 487 Children and Youth.
- 488 http://canadiantaskforce.ca/perch/resources/ctfprotocolobesitychildren2-0.pdf <accessed on

- 489 Sep 11, 2015>
- 490 41. Flower KB, Perrin EM, Viadro CI, Ammerman AS. Using body mass index to identify
- 491 overweight children: barriers and facilitators in primary care. *Ambul Pediatr* 2007; 7: 38-
- 492 44.
- 493 42. Piccinini-Vallis H. Diagnosis Management of Obesity: A Survey of General Practitioners'
- 494 Awareness of Familiarity with the 2006 Canadian Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Can J Diab*495 2011; **35**: 269-273.
- 496 43. Dunlop AL, Leroy Z, Trowbridge FL, Kibbe DL. Improving providers' assessment and
- 497 management of childhood overweight: results of an intervention. *Ambul Pediatr* 2007; 7:
  498 453-457.
- 499 44. Savinon C, Taylor JS, Canty-Mitchell J, Blood-Siegfried J. Childhood obesity: Can
- electronic medical records customized with clinical practice guidelines improve screening
  and diagnosis? *J Am Acad Nurse Pract* 2012; 24: 463-471.
- 502 45. Keehbauch J, Miguel GS, Drapiza L, Pepe J, Bogue R, Smith-Dixon A. Increased
- 503documentation and management of pediatric obesity following implementation of an EMR
- 504 upgrade and education. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)* 2012; **51**: 31-38.
- 505 46. Bode DV, Roberts TA, Johnson C. Increased adolescent overweight and obesity
- documentation through a simple electronic medical record intervention. *Mil Med* 2013;
- **178**: 115-118.
- 508 47. Swinburn B, Egger G, Raza F. Dissecting obesogenic environments: the development and
- 509 application of a framework for identifying and prioritizing environmental interventions for
- 510 obesity. *Prev Med* 1999; **29**: 563-570.
- 511 48. Story MT, Neumark-Stzainer DR, Sherwood NE, Holt K, Sofka D, Trowbridge FL, Barlow

- 512 SE. Management of child and adolescent obesity: attitudes, barriers, skills, and training
- 513 needs among health care professionals. *Pediatrics* 2002; **110**: 210-214.
- 514 49. Gage H, Erdal E, Saigal P, Qiao Y, Williams P, Raats MM. Recognition and management
- 515 of overweight and obese children: a questionnaire survey of general practitioners and
- 516 parents in England. *J Paediatr Child Health* 2012; **48**: 146-152.
- 517 50. Dettori H, Elliott H, Horn J, Leong G. Barriers to the management of obesity in children -
- 518 A cross sectional survey of GPs. *Aust Fam Physician* 2009; **38**: 460-464.
- 519 51. Kuhle S, Kirk SF, Ohinmaa A, Veugelers PJ. Comparison of ICD code-based diagnosis of
- 520 obesity with measured obesity in children and the implications for health care cost
- 521 estimates. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2011; **11**: 173.
- 522 52. O'Brien SH, Holubkov R, Reis EC. Identification, evaluation, and management of obesity
  523 in an academic primary care center. *Pediatrics* 2004; 114: e154-9.
- 524 53. Dorsey KB, Mauldon M, Magraw R, Valka J, Yu S, Krumholz HM. Applying practice
- 525 recommendations for the prevention and treatment of obesity in children and adolescents.
- 526 *Clin Pediatr (Phila)* 2010; **49**: 137-145.
- 527 54. Dilley KJ, Martin LA, Sullivan C, Seshadri R, Binns HJ. Identification of overweight status
- 528 is associated with higher rates of screening for comorbidities of overweight in pediatric
- 529 primary care practice. *Pediatrics* 2007; **119**: e148-55.
- 530 55. Patel AI, Madsen KA, Maselli JH, Cabana MD, Stafford RS, Hersh AL. Underdiagnosis of
- pediatric obesity during outpatient preventive care visits. *Acad Pediatr* 2010; **10**: 405-409.
- 532 56. Lundahl A, Kidwell KM, Nelson TD. Parental underestimates of child weight: a meta-
- 533 analysis. *Pediatrics* 2014; **133**: e689-703.
- 534 57. Ambler KA, Hagedorn DW, Ball GD. Referrals for pediatric weight management: the

535 importance of proximity. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2010; **10**: 302.

- 536 58. Shields M, Tjepkema M. Regional differences in obesity. *Health Rep* 2006; 17: 61-67.
- 537 59. Statistics Canada: Canadian Community Health Survey: A first look.
- 538 http://www.statcan.gc.ca/daily-quotidien/020508/dq020508a-eng.htm <accessed on Sep 11,
- 539 2015>
- 540 60. Davies DA, Hamilton J, Dettmer E, Birken C, Jeffery A, Hagen J, Anvari M, Langer JC.

Adolescent bariatric surgery: the Canadian perspective. *Semin Pediatr Surg* 2014; 23: 3136.

- 543 61. Spear BA, Barlow SE, Ervin C, Ludwig DS, Saelens BE, Schetzina KE, Taveras EM.
- 544 Recommendations for treatment of child and adolescent overweight and obesity. *Pediatrics*545 2007; **120 Suppl 4**: S254-88.
- 546 62. van Gerwen M, Franc C, Rosman S, Le Vaillant M, Pelletier-Fleury N. Primary care

547 physicians' knowledge, attitudes, beliefs and practices regarding childhood obesity: a

548 systematic review. *Obes Rev* 2009; **10**: 227-236.

- 549 63. Mazur A, Matusik P, Revert K, Nyankovskyy S, Socha P, Binkowska-Bury M,
- 550 Grzegorczyk J, Caroli M, Hassink S, Telega G, Malecka-Tendera E. Childhood obesity:
- knowledge, attitudes, and practices of European pediatric care providers. *Pediatrics* 2013;

552 **132**: e100-8.

553

#### 554 FIGURE

555

- 556 Figure 1. The steps involved in the assessment, diagnosis, and treatment of childhood
- 557 **obesity in primary care.** Numbers indicate the estimated probabilities (and "worst case"/"best
- 558 case" estimates) for completion of the respective step based on a literature review.

559

560

### Figure 1(on next page)

Figure 1

