Diel gene expression improves software prediction of cyanobacterial operons

Philip Heller[1]

[1] Department of Computer Science, San Jose State University, San Jose, CA, USA

Corresponding Author:
Philip Heller[1] 1 Washington Sq., San Jose, CA 95192, USA
Email address: philip.heller@sjsu.edu

Abstract

Cyanobacteria are important participants in global biogeochemical process, but their metabolic processes and genomic functions are incompletely understood. In particular, operon structure, which can provide valuable metabolic and genomic insight, is difficult to determine experimentally, and algorithmic operon predictions probably underestimate actual operon extent. A software method is presented for enhancing current operon predictions by incorporating information from whole-genome time-series expression studies, using a machine learning classifier. Results are presented for the marine cyanobacterium *Crocosphaera watsonii*. 15 operon enhancements are proposed. Source code is publicly available.

Introduction

Photosynthesizing bacteria (Phylum *Cyanobacteria*) are significant participants in global biogeochemical cycles. They arose on Earth 3.5 billion years ago[1], and had oxygenated the atmosphere by 2.5 billion years ago[2]. Cyanobacteria participate in the ocean biological carbon pump[3], which transports atmospheric greenhouse carbon dioxide to sequestration in the deep ocean. Nitrogen reducing cyanobacteria (diazotrophs) annually convert approximately 200 Tg of atmospheric dinitrogen to bioavailable form[4][5]. Cyanobacteria are used to produce medicines[6][7][8], biofuels[9][10], fertilizers[11][12], cosmetics[13], and food[14].

Despite their ecological and commercial importance, the metabolic processes of many cyanobacteria have not been fully characterized; this is especially true for marine cyanobacteria, which are difficult to cultivate[15]. In particular, identification of operons (consecutive genes on the same DNA strand, controlled by a single promoter and expressed as a single transcript) appears to be incomplete. Operon identification provides clues for the inference of regulatory

39 pathways[16][17], supports interpretation of transcriptome experiments[18], and can guide annotation
40 of hypothetical genes. The expense of wet lab operon discovery has prompted the development
41 of algorithms for predicting operons from assembled genomes[16][18][19]; predictions from one of
42 these algorithms[19] for 1336 organisms are publicly available
43 (http://www.microbesonline.org/operons/OperonList.html). However, few of these predictions
44 have been experimentally verified and it is possible that operon sizes have been underestimated.

45

46 Information for honing *in silico* operon predictions can be extracted from time-series
47 measurements of gene expression. Many cyanobacterial genes are not expressed at constant
48 rates, but rather exhibit fluctuating transcript abundance in repeating patterns over a 24-hour
49 cycle. For example, production of light-harvesting photosystem II proteins, which are only useful
50 during daylight and whose half-lives are generally less than 12 hours[20][21], approximately
51 coincides with available light[22]. Since oxygen disables nitrogenase (the enzyme responsible for
52 nitrogen fixation), diazotrophic cyanobacteria segregate nitrogenase from the oxygen evolved by
53 photosynthesis[23][24]; segregation is sometimes temporal, with nitrogenase component proteins
54 produced hours out of phase from photosystem II proteins[25]. Diel cycling, defined as a transcript
55 abundance change of at least 2x over 24 hours, has been observed in 79% of genes of the
56 diazotrophic cyanobacterium *Crocosphaera watsonii*[26]. Since genes in an operon are expected to
57 have similar expression signatures[27][28], a high degree of diel expression similarity among
58 adjacent genes might indicate operon membership. Thus if two predicted operons are adjacent,
59 are on the same DNA strand, and exhibit similar diel expression, then the predicted operons may
60 in fact belong to a single common operon.

61

62 The approach presented here uses a machine learning classifier - specifically a Logistic Model
63 Tree[29][30] (LMT) - to determine when predicted operons in *Crocosphaera* should be merged. A
64 common metric for quantifying expression similarity is Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (PCC);
65 however, our earlier work[31] has determined that PCC has deficiencies when applied to the
66 current problem. The "Area Between Linear Interpolations of Measurements" (ABLIM) metric,
67 which we have presented elsewhere[31], is more appropriate and is the basis of the research
68 reported here. Based on the ABLIM metric, positive and negative example operons were located
69 in the *Crocosphaera watsonii* genome. 45 kinds of classifier (Supplemental Table 1) were
70 evaluated, and LMT was selected due to its high accuracy. Adjacent predicted operons were
71 identified as candidates for merging, and the expression similarity of all genes was analyzed by
72 the classifier. 15 pairs of candidate operon predictions are recommended for merging (Table 1).

73

74 Source code and instructions are available at https://github.com/PhilipHeller/Operons (DOI
75 10.5281/zenodo.5759925).

76

77

78

79

Materials & Methods

81 Computed operon predictions (hereafter the "prior predictions") for strain *Crocosphaera*
82 *watsonii* were downloaded from http://www.microbesonline.org/operons/. Log-expression
83 measurements for 4,407 *Crocosphaera* genes with 8 timepoints were retrieved from a study by
84 Shi et al.[26] For each gene, log-expressions were normalized to a mean of zero. A positive training
85 set of operons for the classifier was collected by identifying all prior predicted operons in which
86 at least one gene's expression exhibited diel variation. A negative training set for the classifier
87 was generated by identifying consecutive genes where at least one gene's expression exhibited
88 diel variation, and where at least one gene is on each DNA strand. (All genes of an operon must
89 be on the same strand, to allow correct translation of the transcribed operon.)

90

91 The classifier requires training and evaluation instances to be represented by vectors of numbers.
92 For each prior in the training sets (and, later, for each merge candidate to be classified), the
93 ABLIM distance between every pair of genes was computed; the instance was represented by a
94 4-vector consisting of the minimum, mean, standard deviation, and maximum of the ABLIM
95 distances. Gene distances within priors were not considered, as they did not fit a Gaussian
96 distributions and are therefore problematic. 45 classifiers (Supplemental Table 1) in the WEKA
97 software suite[32][33] were evaluated on the positive and negative sets using five-fold cross-
98 validation. The Logistic Model Tree (LMT) classifier gave the best accuracy on both the positive
99 and negative data, and was therefore selected for the remainder of the study. The classifier was
100 trained using all the positive and negative instances.

101

102 Pairs of prior predictions were identified as candidates for merging (Table 1) if there were no
103 intervening genes, if all genes lay on the same DNA strand and in the same contig, and if each
104 prior contained at least one gene whose expression exhibited diel variation. A 4-vector
105 representation of each candidate was computed as described above, and the representations were
106 evaluated on the trained LMT classifier to generate classification scores (Figure 1). A candidate
107 was accepted (i.e. all its genes are predicted to be in a single operon) if classifier score was > 0.5.
108 Note that this score is not to be interpreted as a probability that the classification is correct.

109

110 Software was developed on Eclipse 2020-12 (4.18.0) in Java SE-15 (and is compatible with Java
111 1.8), using version 3.5 of the WEKA library and version 3.6.1 of the Apache Commons
112 Mathematics Library.

113

Results

115 The positive training set consists of the 1195 operon predictions at
116 http://www.microbesonline.org/operons/. The negative training set is listed in Supplemental

117 Table 1. 45 classifiers in the WEKA software were evaluated on the training data. The Logistical
118 Model Tree (LMT) had the highest accuracy (Supplemental Table 1).
119
120 63 pairs of prior operon predictions were identified as candidates for merging. Each prior
121 consisted of two genes, at least one of which exhibited diel expression variation; all genes were
122 on the same DNA strand and in the same contig, and there were no intervening genes between
123 the priors. 15 pairs of priors were classified as belonging to a common operon (Table 1).
124

Discussion

126 Diel expression data was combined with prior operon predictions to compute 15 pairs of priors
127 (Table 1) that appear to belong to common operons. It is recommended that each of these pairs
128 be merged into a single prediction.
129
130 One reason for honing operon predictions is to gain insight into the function of unknown genes.
131 When unknown genes share an operon with genes of known function, the known function can
132 reasonably be hypothesized to relate to the unknown functions. In Table 1, unknown genes are
133 marked in bold. Six prior predictions include operons where no gene has known function; in all
134 these cases, the present analysis predicts that the prior prediction should be merged with another
135 prior containing at least one gene of known function. Predicted operon membership *per se* may
136 not be strong enough evidence to infer gene function, but it can provide the basis for
137 hypothesizing function, and the hypothesis can be strengthened by other evidence.
138
139 Each operon (training priors and merge candidates) was represented by a 4-vector consisting of
140 the minimum, mean, standard deviation, and maximum of the ABLIM distances among all gene
141 pairs in the operon. None of these statistics alone was sufficient for training an accurate
142 classifier. The LMT classifier had the best accuracy among the 45 classifiers that were evaluated
143 (Supplemental Table 1). However, this does not imply that LMT should be used when analyzing
144 other organisms. Future work on other organisms should repeat the classifier evaluation reported
145 here, and should choose the best classifier for the organism at hand.
146
147
148

Conclusions

150 The work presented here demonstrates that machine learning analysis of diel expression studies
151 can improve *in silico* predictions of operons. When a prior prediction is extended to include
152 genes of unknown function, the function of the known genes in the prior might elucidate the
153 function of the new unknown genes.
154
155 The approach presented here can be applied to other cyanobacteria for which diel studies and
156 prior predicted operons are available. Since the method is based on similarity of diel signatures,

157 best results should be expected from organisms whose genes exhibit strong and diverse diel
158 variation. Organisms with weak diel variation can be expected to perform poorly, because the 4-
159 vectors that describe operons to the classifier would all be similar. Experiments with a diel
160 study[34] of the minimal bacterium *Prochlorococcus marinus* produced poor results with the
161 approach presented here, possibly because the circadian clock mechanism is simplified in
162 *Prochlorococcus*[35] and its diel genes fluctuate more weakly than those of *Crocosphaera.*

163
164
165
166
167
168
169

170 ## Acknowledgements

171 The author is grateful to Jonathan Zehr, Josh Stuart, Irina Shilova, Rex Malmstrom, and
172 Laurence Nedelec for valuable discussions.

173
174
175
176

177 ## References

178 1.   Schopf, J. In: Whitton B, Potts M (eds) The fossil record: tracing the roots of the
179 cyanobacterial lineage. in *The Ecology of Cyanobacteria* 13–35 (Kluwer, 2002).
180 2.   Tomitani, A., Knoll, A. H., Cavanaugh, C. M. & Ohno, T. The evolutionary
181 diversification of cyanobacteria: Molecular-phylogenetic and paleontological perspectives.
182 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **103**, 5442–5447 (2006).
183 3.   Tréguer, P. *et al.* Influence of diatom diversity on the ocean biological carbon pump.
184 *Nature Geosci* **11**, 27–37 (2018).
185 4.   Wang, W.-L., Moore, J. K., Martiny, A. C. & Primeau, F. W. Convergent estimates of
186 marine nitrogen fixation. *Nature* **566**, 205–211 (2019).
187 5.   Tang, W., Li, Z. & Cassar, N. Machine Learning Estimates of Global Marine Nitrogen
188 Fixation. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* **124**, 717–730 (2019).
189 6.   Tan, L. T. Bioactive natural products from marine cyanobacteria for drug discovery.
190 *Phytochemistry* **68**, 954–979 (2007).
191 7.   Soni, B., Trivedi, U. & Madamwar, D. A novel method of single step hydrophobic
192 interaction chromatography for the purification of phycocyanin from Phormidium fragile and its
193 characterization for antioxidant property. *Bioresource Technology* **99**, 188–194 (2008).

194 8.   Zanchett, G. & Oliveira-Filho, E. Cyanobacteria and Cyanotoxins: From Impacts on
195 Aquatic Ecosystems and Human Health to Anticarcinogenic Effects. *Toxins* **5**, 1896–1917
196 (2013).
197 9.   Sakurai, H., Masukawa, H., Kitashima, M. & Inoue, K. How Close We Are to Achieving
198 Commercially Viable Large-Scale Photobiological Hydrogen Production by Cyanobacteria: A
199 Review of the Biological Aspects. *Life* **5**, 997–1018 (2015).
200 10.   Farrokh, P., Sheikhpour, M., Kasaeian, A., Asadi, H. & Bavandi, R. Cyanobacteria as an
201 eco-friendly resource for biofuel production: A critical review. *Biotechnol. Prog.* **35**, (2019).
202 11.   Singh, H., Khattar, J. S. & Ahluwalia, A. S. Cyanobacteria and agricultural crops.
203 *Vegetos- An Inter. Jour. of Plnt. Rese.* **27**, 37 (2014).
204 12.   Chittapun, S., Limbipichai, S., Amnuaysin, N., Boonkerd, R. & Charoensook, M. Effects
205 of using cyanobacteria and fertilizer on growth and yield of rice, Pathum Thani I: a pot
206 experiment. *J Appl Phycol* **30**, 79–85 (2018).
207 13.   Morone, J., Alfeus, A., Vasconcelos, V. & Martins, R. Revealing the potential of
208 cyanobacteria in cosmetics and cosmeceuticals — A new bioactive approach. *Algal Research* **41**,
209 101541 (2019).
210 14.   Khan, Z., Bhadouria, P. & Bisen, P. Nutritional and Therapeutic Potential of Spirulina.
211 *CPB* **6**, 373–379 (2005).
212 15.   Zehr, J. P. Nitrogen fixation by marine cyanobacteria. *Trends in Microbiology* **19**, 162–
213 173 (2011).
214 16.   Zheng, Y., Szustakowski, J. D., Fortnow, L., Roberts, R. J. & Kasif, S. Computational
215 Identification of Operons in Microbial Genomes. *Genome Research* **12**, 1221–1230 (2002).
216 17.   Westover, B. P., Buhler, J. D., Sonnenburg, J. L. & Gordon, J. I. Operon prediction
217 without a training set. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 880–888 (2005).
218 18.   Moreno-Hagelsieb, G. & Collado-Vides, J. A powerful non-homology method for the
219 prediction of operons in prokaryotes. *Bioinformatics* **18**, S329–S336 (2002).
220 19.   Price, M. N. A novel method for accurate operon predictions in all sequenced
221 prokaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Research* **33**, 880–892 (2005).
222 20.   Yao, D. C. I., Brune, D. C., Vavilin, D. & Vermaas, W. F. J. Photosystem II Component
223 Lifetimes in the Cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. Strain PCC 6803: SMALL Cab-LIKE
224 PROTEINS STABILIZE BIOSYNTHESIS INTERMEDIATES AND AFFECT EARLY STEPS
225 IN CHLOROPHYLL SYNTHESIS. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **287**, 682–692 (2012).
226 21.   Renger, G. *et al.* ON THE MECHANISM OF PHOTOSYSTEM II DETERIORATION
227 BY UV-B IRRADIATION. *Photochemistry and Photobiology* **49**, 97–105 (1989).
228 22.   Dodd, A. N. Plant Circadian Clocks Increase Photosynthesis, Growth, Survival, and
229 Competitive Advantage. *Science* **309**, 630–633 (2005).
230 23.   Bergersen, F. J. The Effects of Partial Pressure of Oxygen upon Respiration and Nitrogen
231 Fixation by Soybean Root Nodules. *Journal of General Microbiology* **29**, 113–125 (1962).
232 24.   Fay, P. Oxygen Relations of Nitrogen Fixation in Cyanobacteria. *MICROBIOL. REV.* **56**,
233 34 (1992).

234 25.   Tuit, C., Waterbury, J. & Ravizza, G. Diel variation of molybdenum and iron in marine
235 diazotrophic cyanobacteria. *Limnology and Oceanography* **49**, 978–990 (2004).
236 26.   Shi, T., Ilikchyan, I., Rabouille, S. & Zehr, J. P. Genome-wide analysis of diel gene
237 expression in the unicellular N2-fixing cyanobacterium Crocosphaera watsonii WH 8501. *The*
238 *ISME Journal* **4**, 621–632 (2010).
239 27.   Sabatti, C. Co-expression pattern from DNA microarray experiments as a tool for operon
240 prediction. *Nucleic Acids Research* **30**, 2886–2893 (2002).
241 28.   Lercher, M. J. Coexpression of Neighboring Genes in Caenorhabditis Elegans Is Mostly
242 Due to Operons and Duplicate Genes. *Genome Research* **13**, 238–243 (2003).
243 29.   Landwehr, N., Hall, M. & Frank, E. Logistic Model Trees. *Mach Learn* **59**, 161–205
244 (2005).
245 30.   Sumner, M., Frank, E. & Hall, M. Speeding Up Logistic Model Tree Induction. in
246 *Knowledge Discovery in Databases: PKDD 2005* (eds. Jorge, A. M., Torgo, L., Brazdil, P.,
247 Camacho, R. & Gama, J.) vol. 3721 675–683 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2005).
248 31.   Heller, P. & Baiju, Bharath. An Improved Distance Metric For Clustering Gene
249 Expression Time-Series Data. *Am J Adv Res* (2018) doi:10.5281/zenodo.1419822.
250 32.   Hall, M. *et al.* The WEKA Data Mining Software: An Update. **11**, 9 (2009).
251 33.   Frank, E., Hall, M. & Witten, I. The WEKA Workbench. in *Data Mining: Practical*
252 *Machine Learning Tools and Techniques* (Morgan Kaufmann, 2016).
253 34.   Zinser, E. R. *et al.* Choreography of the Transcriptome, Photophysiology, and Cell Cycle
254 of a Minimal Photoautotroph, Prochlorococcus. *PLoS ONE* **4**, e5135 (2009).
255 35.   Holtzendorff, J. *et al.* Genome Streamlining Results in Loss of Robustness of the
256 Circadian Clock in the Marine Cyanobacterium *Prochlorococcus marinus* PCC 9511. *J Biol*
257 *Rhythms* **23**, 187–199 (2008).
258

Pairs of predicted operons recommended for merging.

Each row presents a consecutive pair of previously predicted operons. The score is generated by a Logistic Model Tree classifier. Each pair presented here has classifier score > 0.5, and therefore should likely be merged into a single longer predicted operon. The numbers in the "Prior 1" and "Prior 2" columns are gene identifiers, truncated for formatting; prepend "CwatDRAFT_" to the numbers to generate the full identifier. In the "Gene Functions" columns, a question mark denotes a gene of unknown function; known function of other genes in a predicted operon can provide clues to the unknown function.

Score	Prior 1	Prior 1 Gene Functions	Prior 2	Prior 2 Gene Functions
0.868	2207	HAD-superfamily hydrolase, subfamily IA, var	**2209**	**No predicted function**
	2208	**No predicted function**	**2210**	**No predicted function**
0.834	**3078**	**No predicted function**	3080	Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase
	3079	**No predicted function**	3081	OpcA
0.673	4526	Hydrogenase expression/synthesis, HypA Hydrogenase	**4528**	**No predicted function**
	4527	accessory protein HypB	**4529**	**No predicted function**
0.672	3989	extracellular solute-binding protein, family 3 Amino acid	**3991**	**No predicted function**
	3990	ABC transporter, permease protein	**3992**	**No predicted function**
0.631	**5385**	**No predicted function**	5388	Cytochrome-c oxidase
	5386	Cytochrome c oxidase, subunit II:Cytochrome	5389	Cytochrome c oxidase, subunit 3
0.618	1168	3-isopropylmalate dehydratase small subunit	**1165**	**No predicted function**
	1169	DegT/DnrJ/EryC1/StrS aminotransferase	1166	Glutathione S-transferase, N-term
0.599	4216	Pentapeptide repeat	**4214**	**No predicted function**
	4217	**No predicted function**	4215	HEAT:PBS lyase HEAT-like rpt
0.576	2640	Phosphopantethiene-protein transferase	2638	K+ channel, pore region
	2641	ATP-binding region, ATPase-like:Histidin	2639	K+ channel, pore region
0.565	**6744**	**No predicted function**	6742	Competence-damaged protein:CinA, C-trmnl
	6745	**No predicted function**	6743	Uracil phosphoribosyltransferase
0.56	4082	Carbamoyltransferase	**4084**	**No predicted function**
	4083	**No predicted function**	**4085**	**No predicted function**
0.552	2855	Glycosyl transferase, group 1	2853	Phycobilisome linker polypeptide
	2856	**No predicted function**	2854	Ferredoxin-dependent bilin reductase
0.537	5116	GTP cyclohydrolase I	5114	Cobalamin synthesis protein/P47K:Cobalami
	5117	Cobalamin synthesis protein/P47K:Cobalami	5115	Dihydrouridine synthase TIM-barrel protein yjbN
0.537	2158	Ribosomal protein L33	2160	Exoribonuclease II
	2159	Ribosomal protein S18	**2161**	**No predicted function**
0.518	3464	Porphobilinogen synthase	**3466**	**No predicted function**
	3465	**No predicted function**	3467	Metallophosphoesterase
0.514	3440	Hemolysin-type calcium-binding region	**3438**	**No predicted function**
	3441	**No predicted function**	3439	Quinate/Shikimate 5-dehydrogenase

Figure 1

Classification algorithm.

Prior predicted operons (red, blue) are candidates for merging if their genes are consecutive,
if all genes are on the same DNA strand, and if at least one gene in each prior exhibits diel
expression.