

# Influence of biological maturation status on kinanthropometric and physical fitness variables in adolescent male volleyball players.

Mario Albaladejo-Saura<sup>1</sup>, Raquel Vaquero-Cristóbal<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Juan Alfonso García-Roca<sup>2</sup>, Francisco Esparza-Ros<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> International Kinanthropometry Chair, UCAM, Universidad Católica de Murcia, Murcia, Spain.

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Sport Science, UCAM, Universidad Católica de Murcia, Murcia, Spain.

Corresponding Author:

Raquel Vaquero-Cristóbal<sup>1,2</sup>

Faculty of Sport Science, Universidad Católica de Murcia, Campus de Los Jerónimos, s/n Guadalupe, 30107, Murcia, Spain.

Email address: rvaquero@ucam.edu

## Abstract

**Background.** The identification of sport talent among adolescent athletes is a topic that in recent years has been a major focus of interest for both the scientific community and sport managers. In relation to the talent identification, both kinanthropometric variables and the analysis of physical performance through fitness tests have demonstrated to be key elements, together with the assessment of maturation status, due to the influence that maturation seems to have in the mentioned variables.

**Objective.** To analyse differences according to biological maturation status in anthropometric characteristics and performance in physical fitness tests, as well as to determine which variables could predict better performance in physical fitness tests in adolescent volleyball players.

**Methods.** A cross-sectional design was followed to collect the data. A total of 48 male sub-elite volleyball players (14.17±0.73 years) answered a socio-demographic and sports *ad hoc* questionnaire, underwent a kinanthropometric assessment including four basic measurements, eight skinfolds, four girths (arm relaxed, flexed and tensed arm, middle thigh and calf); five breadths (biacromial, biileocrestal, humerus, femur and bi-styloid); three lengths (acromiale-radiale, radiale-styilion and styilion-medio dactilion); and a height (ilioespinal) were measured, and physical fitness was assessed, including the sit-and-reach, back scratch, long jump, medicine ball throw, counter movement jump (CMJ), 20 meters sprint, and agility tests. Furthermore, maturity offset and age at peak height velocity (APHV) was calculated.

Commented [A1]: Typographical error

Commented [A2]: Re-word, sentence structure too long and does not read well

Commented [A3]: completed

Commented [A4]: sentence structure. Break into separate sentences.

39 **Results.** Significant differences were found in the basic kinanthropometric measurements, BMI,  
40 upper limb length, corrected muscle girths, fat-related variables, muscle and bone mass  
41 ( $p<0.001-0.030$ ), showing higher values the early maturers. In the physical fitness tests,  
42 significant differences were observed in the medicine ball throw and in CMJ power ( $p<0.001$ ).  
43 Regression models ~~showed-identified that~~ fat mass percentage predicted worse physical test  
44 performance ( $p<0.001$ ), while age, maturation offset, muscle and bone variables were predictors  
45 of better physical performance ( $p<0.001$ ).

Commented [A5]: Typographical error

46 **Conclusions.** Significant differences based ~~on-upon~~ the stages of biological maturation were  
47 found in the anthropometric and physical condition variables in favour of the players whose  
48 maturation process was more advanced, with the variables related to fat and adipose, muscle and  
49 bone development conditioning their performance in the physical condition tests.

Commented [A6]: List % improvement for each in parentheses

## 51 Introduction

52 The identification of sport talent among adolescent athletes is a topic that in recent years has  
53 been a major focus of interest for both the scientific community and sport managers (Hertzog et  
54 al., 2018). This interest is due to the fact that the implementation of early talent detection  
55 programmes can bring advantages to the clubs that carry them out, both in economic and  
56 sporting terms regarding the incorporation of young players into top-level teams or long-term  
57 economic security (Pion et al., 2015).

58 Both kinanthropometric variables and the analysis of physical performance through fitness tests  
59 have been key elements in sports talent identification programmes, as previous research has  
60 observed the influence they have on elite performance in different sport disciplines (Arede et al.,  
61 2019; López-Plaza et al., 2017b). However, it must be beared in mind that changes occur during  
62 the maturation stage that can affect both kinanthropometric and physical fitness variables, so in  
63 recent decades researchers have paid close attention to the relationship between biological  
64 maturation and these variables (Albaladejo-Saura et al., 2021).

Commented [A7]: Wording?

65 Biological maturation has been described as the time required and the process of change until the  
66 adult stage of development is reached (Malina & Bouchard, 1991). Among the methods for  
67 monitoring biological maturation, the calculation of the age at peak height velocity (APHV) is  
68 one of the most widely used indicators (Malina & Bouchard, 1991; Mirwald et al., 2002), and  
69 more specifically, the formulas that allow the calculation of APHV based on anthropometric  
70 measurements, being a widely used and validated method that have facilitated the assessment of  
71 biological maturation in a rapid and non-invasive way (Mirwald et al., 2002).

72 Previous studies in adolescent boys have indicated that biological maturation seems to have a  
73 significant relationship with kinanthropometric and fitness variables, with early maturers  
74 showing higher values in kinanthropometric variables and fitness tests, probably as a result of  
75 hormonal changes that occur during biological maturation (Albaladejo-Saura et al., 2021).

Commented [A8]: Define early, average and late maturers.

76 However, none of these studies have included-investogated volleyball players (Albaladejo-Saura  
77 et al., 2021), although these are fundamental aspects to analyse in this sport as, due to its  
78 characteristics and rules of play, having greater agility in changes of direction, speed in sprinting

Commented [A9]: Such as, list specifics

79 actions and greater jumping power, being taller, having a greater arm span or leg length are  
80 differentiating elements of top level players (Zhao et al., 2019). All of these aspects could be  
81 influenced by biological maturation, being necessary to carry out studies that cover this sport  
82 modality in order to know the influence of biological maturation in these aspects with the aim of  
83 adequately orienting the programmes for the detection of sporting talent in volleyball  
84 (Albaladejo-Saura et al., 2021).

85 Therefore, the aim of the present research was to analyse the differences according to biological  
86 maturation in anthropometric characteristics and performance in physical fitness tests, and to  
87 determine which kinanthropometric variables could predict better performance in physical fitness  
88 tests in adolescent volleyball players.

## 89 **Materials & Methods**

### 90 *Subjects*

91 Sample size calculation was performed with software Rstudio (version 3.15.0, Rstudio Inc.,  
92 Boston, MA, USA). Significance level was set *at a priori* at  $\alpha=0.05$ . The standard deviation (SD)  
93 was set according to the years from peak height velocity from previous studies (SD=0.65) (Arede  
94 et al., 2019). With an estimated error (d) of 0.184 years from peak height velocity, the sample  
95 size needed was 48 subjects. It was conducted a non-probabilistic convenience sampling,  
96 contacting the responsible Regional Federation, which allowed us to include the best four teams  
97 in the league classification. A total of 48 1<sup>st</sup> Regional Division players (age: 14.17±0.73 years)  
98 took part in the study.

99 Before starting the study, coaches, parents and players were informed of the measurement  
100 procedures and signed a written informed consent form. Inclusion criteria were: a) training  
101 volleyball regularly, at least two days per week; b) participating in federated competition; c)  
102 being between 12 and 15 years old; and d) having played volleyball at least two consecutive  
103 seasons at the time of measurement. Participants were excluded in case of: a) suffering an injury  
104 that prevented them from completing the *physical fitness* tests; and b) having missed more than  
105 25% of the training sessions in the last ~~3~~three months (Albaladejo-Saura et al., 2020).

### 106 *Design*

107 A cross-sectional design was followed, in accordance with the STROBE guidelines. The San  
108 Antonio Catholic University granted Ethical approval to carry out the protocol designed for data  
109 collection in accordance with the World Medical Association Code (Code number: CE061921).  
110 The Declaration of Helsinki statements were followed during the entire process. The  
111 measurements were carried out in the players' usual training sport hall.

### 112 *Methodology*

113 An *ad hoc* questionnaire was used to collect socio-demographic and sports information.

114 Questions about the information needed to know if the participants met the inclusion criteria  
115 were also included.

116 The kinanthropometric assessment was performed following the guidelines of the International  
117 Society for the Advancement in Kinanthropometry (ISAK) (Esparza-Ros et al., 2019). Four basic  
118 measurements; eight skinfolds; four girths (arm relaxed, flexed and tensed arm, middle thigh and

**Commented [A10]:** Elsewhere in the manuscript you have this as "armspan" be consistent

**Commented [A11]:** Sentence structure, needs to be rewritten

**Commented [A12]:** I would recommend the authors include in the Introduction definition and/or explanation of what they mean by "kinanthropometric"

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

**Commented [A13]:** Do you mean "sample size"? if so then state that please

119 calf.); five breadths (biacromial, biileocrestal, humerus, femur and bi-styloid); three lengths  
120 (acromiale-radiale, radiale-styilion and styilion-medio dactilion); and a height (ilioespinale) were  
121 measured. All the measurements were performed by level 2 and 3 anthropometrists accredited by  
122 ISAK. The intra- and inter-evaluator technical error of measurement (TEM) were calculated in a  
123 sub-sample. The intra-evaluator TEM was 0.04% in basic measures, lengths, heights and girths;  
124 and 1.05% in skinfolds; and the inter-evaluator TEM was 0.06% in basic measures lengths,  
125 heights and girths; and 2.87% in skinfolds.

126 To perform the kinanthropometric measurements a SECA 862 scale (SECA, Alemania) with an  
127 accuracy of 100 g for measuring body mass; a SECA stadiometer (SECA, Germany) with an  
128 accuracy of 0.1 cm for measuring height and sitting height; an arm spam meter (Smartmet,  
129 Mexico) with an accuracy of 0.1 cm for measuring arm spam; a skinfold caliper (Harpenden,  
130 UK) with an accuracy of 0.2 mm accuracy for measuring skinfolds; an inextensible tape  
131 (Lufkin, USA) with 0.1 cm accuracy for measuring girths; a segmometer (CESCORF, Brazil)  
132 with 0.1 cm accuracy for measuring heights and lengths; an anthropometer (Realmet, Spain) and  
133 a small girth sliding caliper (Holtain, UK) with 0.1 cm accuracy for measuring diameters were  
134 used.

135 The following measurements were calculated: Body ~~Mass-mass Index-index~~ (BMI), fat mass  
136 (Slaughter et al., 1988), muscle mass (Poortmans et al., 2005), bone mass (Matiegka, 1921),  
137 somatotype (Carter & Heath, 1990),  $\sum 6$  skinfolds (triceps, subscapular, supraespinale,  
138 abdominal, thigh and calf),  $\sum 8$  skinfolds ( $\sum 6$  skinfolds + biceps and iliac crest.), cormic index  
139 [(sitting height / height) \* 100], upper limb length [acromiale-radiale length + radiale-styilion  
140 length + styilion-mediodactilion length], arm corrected girth [relaxed arm girth - ( $\pi$ \*triceps  
141 skinfold)], thigh [middle thigh girth - ( $\pi$ \*thigh skinfold)] and leg [calf girth - ( $\pi$ \*calf skinfold)]  
142 and muscle-bone index [muscle mass / bone mass].

143 Maturity offset was calculated according to the procedures of Mirwald et al. (Mirwald et al.,  
144 2002), using the sex specific formula. The result was used to calculate the age at peak height  
145 velocity (APHV) for each subject using the following formula: APHV= chronological age -  
146 maturity offset result. The players were classified in three groups, according to the maturity  
147 status based on APHV, the early maturers group was composed of players whose APHV was -  
148 0.5 years or less with respect to the mean; the average maturers group, whose APHV was  $\pm 0.5$   
149 years with respect to the mean; and the late maturers group whose APHV was +0.5 years or more  
150 with respect to the mean of the group (Wickel & Eisenmann, 2007).

151 The physical fitness tests were selected according to previously described protocols and  
152 performed in the following order: sit-and-reach, back scratch test, long jump, medicine ball  
153 throw, counter movement jump (CMJ), 20 meters sprint, and agility test (9-3-6-3-9) (Arede et  
154 al., 2019; Castro-Piñeiro et al., 2013; Katić et al., 2006; López-Plaza et al., 2017b; Muyor et al.,  
155 2014). Before the warm-up, the subjects performed the flexibility tests (Díaz-Soler et al., 2015).  
156 This was followed by a standardised warm-up, consisting of 10 minutes of continuous running,  
157 joint mobility and familiarisation with the physical fitness tests. Two researchers with previous  
158 experience in the assessment of physical fitness tests were in charge of the familiarisation and

Commented [A14]: Spell out 1<sup>st</sup> time, then (ISAK)

Commented [A15]: List n of sub-sample size in parentheses

Commented [A16]: typo

159 assessment of these tests. The same researcher was always in charge of the same tests to avoid  
160 inter-evaluator error. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was 0.995 (95% confidence  
161 interval 0.989-0.997), and the coefficient of variation (CV) was 2.3%. The subjects made two  
162 attempts at each test, with a two-minute rest between ~~them~~ tests. ~~the~~ The mean of the two trials  
163 was used as final value for subsequent analysis.

164 The sit-and-reach test was performed with the Acuflex Tester III (Novel Products, U.S.A); the  
165 back scratch test with a millimetre ruler (GIMA, Italy); the long jump and medicine ball throw  
166 tests with a tape measure (HaeSt, Germany) of 0.1 cm accuracy; the CMJ with a force platform  
167 (MuscleLab, Norway); the sprint test (20 m) with MySprint (Apple Inc., USA); (Romero-Franco  
168 et al., 2017) and the agility test (9-3-6-3-9) with five photocells (Microgate, Italy).

### 169 *Statistical analysis*

170 The normal distribution of the sample was assessed with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, as well  
171 as kurtosis, asymmetry, and homogeneity with the Levene test. A descriptive analysis of the  
172 sample was carried out. The differences between the maturation groups in the kinanthropometric  
173 variables and the physical fitness tests were analyzed using an ANOVA test, as well as the main  
174 effects and interactions of the covariable age including it in an ANCOVA test. Effect size was  
175 calculated with partial eta squared ( $\eta^2_p$ ). Bonferroni's post hoc was used to analyse the pairwise  
176 differences between groups. The significance level was set *a priori* at  $p < 0.05$ . The correlations  
177 between maturity offset, age, anthropometric and fitness variables were assessed using Pearson's  
178 correlation test. After that, a stepwise multiple linear regression with the variables that had  
179 shown significant correlations was performed, to find out which variables could predict  
180 performance in the physical tests. All statistical analysis was performed with SPSS ~~v.23~~ software  
181 (ver 23, IBM, Endicott, NY, US).

### 182 **Results**

183 After calculating the APHV, the sample was divided into early (n=8), average (n=33) and late  
184 maturers (n=7). The descriptive statistics (mean $\pm$ SD) of each group for all measured variables, as  
185 well as the differences between maturity groups, the main effects of the covariate age and the  
186 interaction maturity group\*age can be observed in ~~table~~ Table 1.

187 Regarding anthropometric variables, significant differences were observed in basic  
188 measurements and BMI (F=6.003-20.828;  $p < 0.001$ -0.005); in upper limb length (F=9.959;  
189  $p < 0.001$ ); in all bone diameters (F=6.354-18.308;  $p < 0.001$ -0.004); in all corrected muscle  
190 perimeters (F=11.318-12.759;  $p < 0.001$ ); in the  $\Sigma 6$  and  $\Sigma 8$  skinfolds and fat mass in kg and  
191 percentage (F=8.876-4.368;  $p < 0.001$ -0.030); and in muscle and bone masses in kg (F=18.972-  
192 19.015;  $p < 0.001$ ). The inclusion of the covariable "age" showed significant effect in the model in  
193 the same variables (F=4.469-88.401;  $p < 0.001$ -0.040), except in the  $\Sigma 6$  and  $\Sigma 8$  skinfolds, in the  
194 fat percentage and in the bone mass (Kg). The interaction between variables showed that  
195 differences between maturity groups were influenced by age in the bone related variables  
196 (F=24.211-91.052;  $p < 0.001$ ), in the muscle related variables (F=5.248-22.974;  $p < 0.001$ -0.027)  
197 and in the percentages of body composition (F=4.566-36.879;  $p < 0.001$ -0.038).

Formatted: Font: Italic

Commented [A17]: typo

198 Pairwise comparisons after Bonferroni adjustment regarding the kinanthropometric variables can  
199 be seen in ~~tables-Tables~~ 2 and 3. The early maturers group obtained higher values in the  
200 anthropometric variables than the average and late maturers (tables 2 and 3), showing significant  
201 differences in all kinanthropometric variables ( $p < 0.001-0.030$ ), except in the  $\Sigma 6$  and  $\Sigma 8$   
202 skinfolds and in the fat mass percentage, where differences were found only between the early  
203 and average maturers ( $p = 0.020-0.030$ ). The interaction maturity group\*age showed that age had  
204 a significant influence in the pairwise differences in all kinanthropometric variables ( $p < 0.001-$   
205  $0.037$ ).

206 In the physical fitness tests (~~table-Table~~ 1), the ANOVA ~~showed-identified~~ significant  
207 differences between groups in the medicine ball throw ( $F = 10.191$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ) and in CMJ power  
208 ( $F = 16.978$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ). The inclusion in the ANCOVA of the covariate age showed, in addition to  
209 the previous tests, effect in the long jump, CMJ height, sprint and agility ( $F = 8.408-43.538$ ;  
210  $p < 0.001-0.006$ ), while the interaction maturity group\*age showed significant influence of the age  
211 in the differences found between groups in the medicine ball throw, CMJ power, sprint and  
212 agility ( $F = 10.719-103.792$ ;  $p < 0.001-0.008$ ).

213 The significant differences found after Bonferroni adjustment in the physical tests are shown in  
214 ~~table-Table~~ 4. In the medicine ball throw test and the CMJ power test, the early maturers group  
215 obtained better results than the average and late maturers groups ( $p < 0.001-0.007$ ); while the  
216 average group obtained better results than the late maturers group ( $p = 0.016-0.047$ ). The same  
217 differences between groups were found in these tests when age was included as a covariate in the  
218 model ( $p < 0.001-0.004$ ).

219 Tables 5 and 6 shows the correlations between anthropometric variables and physical  
220 performance variables. Both maturity offset and age showed moderate to high correlations with  
221 the physical fitness test ( $r = 0.238-0.810$ ;  $p < 0.001-0.021$ ). The horizontal jump test and the CMJ  
222 showed moderate positive correlations with height, sitting height, bi-styloid breadth, corrected leg  
223 girth and muscle mass percentage ( $r = 0.301-0.462$ ;  $p < 0.001-0.038$ ); and moderate negative  
224 correlations with both fat mass and fat percentage ( $r = -0.427, -0.511$ ;  $p < 0.001-0.002$ ). Medicine  
225 ball throw showed moderate to high positive correlations with all variables ( $r = 0.330-0.829$ ;  
226  $p < 0.001-0.022$ ), except with  $\Sigma 8$  skinfolds, fat mass percentage and muscle mass percentage.  
227 CMJ power showed moderate to high positive correlations with all anthropometric variables  
228 analysed, except for muscle mass percentage ( $r = 0.367-0.921$ ;  $p < 0.001-0.010$ ). Sprint time  
229 showed moderate positive correlations with the fat-related variables, BMI and with the  
230 musculoskeletal index ( $r = 0.400-0.670$ ;  $p < 0.001-0.005$ ), while the correlation with muscle mass  
231 percentage was moderate negative ( $r = -0.459$ ;  $p = 0.001$ ). The agility test showed moderate and  
232 low negative correlations with the variables height, arm spam, sitting height, upper limb length,  
233 iliospinale height, biacromial breadth and corrected leg circumference ( $r = -0.286, -0.488$ ;  
234  $p < 0.001-0.049$ ), while with fat mass variables the correlation was moderate positive ( $r = 0.333-$   
235  $0.357$ ;  $p = 0.013-0.021$ ).

236 Table 7 shows the linear regression models in relation to the fitness tests. Between one and three  
237 prediction models were found for the performance in the different fitness tests, which could

Commented [A18]: typo

Commented [A19]: accentuated e?

238 explain 47 to 88% of the cases based on the kinanthropometric variables ( $p < 0.001$ ). The most  
239 determinant anthropometric variables were height, sitting height, iliospinale height, the arm and  
240 calf corrected girths, muscle mass (kg) and fat mass percentage.

## 241 Discussion

242 One of the objectives of the present research was to analyse the differences between maturation  
243 groups in kinanthropometric variables. ~~In adolescent volleyball athletes.~~ Significantly higher  
244 values were found in early maturers compared to average and late maturers in body mass, height,  
245 armspan and sitting height. These results are consistent with previous studies carried out in the  
246 adolescent male athlete population, which also found that subjects whose maturation process was  
247 more advanced showed higher values in these variables (Arede et al., 2019; López-Plaza et al.,  
248 2017b). These differences found could be related to the hormonal changes that take place around  
249 APHV (Malina & Bouchard, 1991). Previous studies have observed that both sex hormones and  
250 growth hormone (GH) increase dramatically in concentration during this stage (Handelsman et  
251 al., 2018; Malina & Bouchard, 1991). Sex hormones play an important role in the accumulation  
252 of adipose tissue and lean mass (Handelsman et al., 2018), which could explain the differences  
253 found in body mass. On the other hand, height and sitting height is markedly influenced by GH  
254 (Saenger, 2003), which could explain the higher values obtained by the subjects in the early  
255 maturers group. Similarly, the early maturers group obtained higher results in armspan and upper  
256 limb length. While in the early stages of growth, children experience cephalo-caudal and  
257 proximal-distal development (Malina & Bouchard, 1991), during adolescence growth occurs first  
258 in the limbs (Malina & Bouchard, 1991). This distal-proximal order in development could  
259 explain the differences shown between the maturation groups.

260 Also, significant differences were observed in adiposity-related variables (fat mass and  
261 percentage, and  $\sum 6$  and  $\sum 8$  skinfolds), between the early maturers and average maturers, and  
262 between early and late groups (fat mass), with higher values in the more mature subjects. These  
263 results are similar to those found in previous studies in which it was observed that in the  
264 adolescent athlete population, early maturers had a greater amount of adipose tissue (Albaladejo-  
265 Saura et al., 2021). The accumulation and distribution of adipose tissue undergoes changes  
266 during the adolescent stage in relation to sex hormones (Sandhu et al., 2005). In this case, a  
267 greater accumulation of adipose tissue seems to be related to an earlier onset of maturation in  
268 males (Sandhu et al., 2005), this could explain why, in this study, the early maturers showed a  
269 higher fat mass.

270 Regarding the other tissues of body composition, it was found that the group of early maturers  
271 showed significantly higher values than the average and late maturers in the components related  
272 to muscle development (muscle mass and corrected girths) and bone development (upper limb  
273 length and breadths). Previous research has shown that early maturers also have higher fat free  
274 mass and muscle mass than their peers (López-Plaza et al., 2017b). Muscle mass has been shown  
275 to be of great importance in sports performance (Fitts et al., 1991). The development of muscle  
276 mass appears to be linked to biological maturation, as the increase in muscle mass during  
277 adolescence is related to the increase in circulating testosterone, which in the male population

Commented [A20]: Where is a prediction equation which is the focus of your study?

Commented [A21]: Explain what you are referring to by "These differences"

278 can be up to 30 times higher than baseline values (Handelsman et al., 2018). Bone tissue has also  
279 been shown to be of great use in sports performance, serving as a structure for muscle  
280 development (Holway & Garavaglia, 2009). Bone development occurs with a marked increase in  
281 the pubertal stage, influenced by GH, and then increases gradually into adulthood (Ohlsson et al.,  
282 1998). This increase in the hormones responsible for the increase in bone and muscle mass  
283 around APHV could be the explanation for the differences found between maturation groups in  
284 this study.

285 When age was introduced as a covariable, a significant influence was observed in the differences  
286 found between all groups in the basic measurements, bone variables and muscle variables, while  
287 in the fat variables only influence was observed in the differences between early and average  
288 maturers, with the early maturers group showing higher values. This phenomenon have been  
289 described in previous works analyzing the effect of age in the kinanthropometric variables.  
290 Valente dos Santos et al. (Valente-Dos-Santos et al., 2014) observed that height and fat free mass  
291 increased with age in all the maturation groups, with higher values in the more mature  
292 individuals, while the fat mass differences were smaller. In the present paper, the analyzed  
293 influence of age on the significant differences may be related to the fact that the sample of the  
294 present study had an age close to the APHV, which typically occurs in boys around  $13.8 \pm 1.0$   
295 years of age (Malina & Bouchard, 1991; Rommers et al., 2019; Sherar et al., 2005), as it is in this  
296 period that the most notable changes produced by growth spurt occur, with an increase in muscle  
297 and bone tissue as age progresses (Handelsman et al., 2018; Malina & Bouchard, 1991).

298 Taking into account that height, **arm span** and **leg length** are important factors in volleyball  
299 performance (Zhao et al., 2019), it could be hypothesized based on the results obtained that early  
300 maturers might have a competitive advantage in adolescent stages that could be neutralised by  
301 average and late maturers when they reach adult size. In that case, this is an issue that would  
302 need to be considered in volleyball talent identification models.

303 Another of the objectives of this article was to compare between groups in terms of performance  
304 in the physical fitness tests. It was shown that the early maturers performed better in the  
305 medicine ball throw and CMJ power than their peers in the average and late maturer groups, and  
306 that the average maturers performed better than the late maturers. Among the factors that  
307 positively affect the production of muscle power, it has been observed that one of the key factors  
308 is muscle mass, with a relationship existing between the increase of muscle mass and the  
309 production of power (Fitts et al., 1991). Since both tests are related to the amount of muscle  
310 mass, and in addition, the CMJ power is also related to body mass, the significant differences  
311 found in these anthropometric variables between maturation groups may help to understand why  
312 these differences were found in the physical fitness tests. On the other hand, the flexibility tests  
313 showed no significant differences between groups. This could be caused by flexibility is not a  
314 physical capacity highly influenced by the maturational process (Albaladejo-Saura et al., 2021),  
315 and yet it could be more influenced by the adaptations produced by volleyball training, as  
316 extensibility seems to be sensitive to the changes produced by training, improving it and  
317 producing morphological and neurological adaptations (Klaver et al., 2018), without the

**Commented [A22]:** Spelled armspan also elsewhere in the document, please be consistent



318 influence of the maturity status on this adaptations (Albaladejo-Saura et al., 2021). The results of  
319 the present research are in line with those found in male adolescents, in which it has been found  
320 that the early maturers groups have better results than the average and late maturers in muscle  
321 strength and power-~~dependant~~dependent tests, but there were no differences in the flexibility  
322 tests between maturation groups (Albaladejo-Saura et al., 2021). In this sense, Arede et al.  
323 (Arede et al., 2019), observed that, in a sample of basketball players of a similar age to the one  
324 included in our study, the more mature players performed better in the medicine ball throw and  
325 CMJ power, but not in CMJ jump height. Similarly, López-Plaza et al. (López-Plaza et al.,  
326 2017a), observed that more mature players in a sample of kayakers performed better in the  
327 aforementioned tests than their chronological age peers.

328 Inclusion of the covariate age showed a significant influence on differences in medicine ball  
329 throw, CMJ power, 20 m sprint and agility tests performance. However, the pairwise analysis of  
330 the differences only showed statistical significance in the medicine ball throw and CMJ power,  
331 obtaining better results the players whose maturation process was more advanced. The influence  
332 of age has been demonstrated in previous studies, in which it has been observed that as age  
333 advances, performance in physical fitness tests improves (Rommers et al., 2019; Valente-Dos-  
334 Santos et al., 2014), especially as young athletes approach to the APHV due to the physiological  
335 and morphological changes that occur around these stages (Handelsman et al., 2018; Malina &  
336 Bouchard, 1991).

337 As a result of the present research, sports talent ~~detection-identification~~ programmes could  
338 include assessments of these capacities, having to relativize exclusively the results of upper  
339 limbs and jumping power according to the adolescent's maturational state, as maturation does not  
340 seem to affect the other factors in isolation but rather in combination with age.

341 Another objective of the present research was to determine which of the variables analyzed could  
342 best predict performance in the physical fitness tests. It was found that fat mass percentage  
343 predicted worse performance in the long jump, sprint and agility tests. This may be due to the  
344 fact that in physical capacities characterized by explosive movements, added weight in the form  
345 of adipose tissue can weigh down performance by requiring greater effort for the displacements  
346 (Albaladejo-Saura et al., 2021). On the other hand, age, maturity offset and structural variables,  
347 such as height, sitting height and iliospinal height; and variables related to muscle development,  
348 such as muscle mass (kg) and corrected arm and calf circumferences, are predictors of better  
349 performance in the long jump, medicine ball throw, CMJ power and agility tests. Previous  
350 studies have already pointed out the importance of bone structure in physical performance, due  
351 to its relationship with the biomechanical parameters of strength execution and for providing the  
352 appropriate environment for better muscle development (Holway & Garavaglia, 2009). The  
353 muscle mass is a key factor to improve the performance in the physical abilities where body  
354 mass shifts in the horizontal or vertical plane, such as those that determine the volleyball  
355 performance (Sarro et al., 2019). Further research is needed to clarify if these variables related to  
356 bone and muscle mass allow the differentiation of the players according to their sport level once  
357 the adult development is reached.

**Commented [A23]:** I would also recommend that you comment that adipose tissue has no contribution to sports performance related to long-jump, ect

358 However, the present research has some limitations. Firstly, the method used to establish the  
359 maturity status of the players was not the wrist and hand x-ray, considered the gold standard  
360 (Malina & Bouchard, 1991). The equations developed by Mirwald et al. (2002), being based on  
361 regression equations, may introduce some error in the calculation of the maturity offset, limiting  
362 its use to some extent (Malina et al., 2016). Nevertheless, the reason it was used in this study was  
363 because it is a non-invasive method that is easy to apply in field research and has been widely  
364 used in recent sport science research (Albaladejo-Saura et al., 2021). Other limitations of the  
365 present study are the cross-sectional research design and the sample size. Future research could  
366 address these limitations in longitudinal research designs, with larger samples, studying the  
367 influence of biological maturation on kinanthropometric and physical fitness variables.

Commented [A24]: Can the authors speculate how much error?

### 368 **Conclusions**

369 Early maturers showed higher values in measures such as height, body mass, armspan, sitting  
370 height, bone diameters, muscle perimeters and fat, muscle and bone masses, as well as in  
371 distance achieved in the medicine ball throw and in CMJ power. These differences found in  
372 favour of players whose maturation process was more advanced could represent an advantage in  
373 volleyball sport performance during adolescence with respect to their chronological age peers.  
374 When assessing anthropometric variables and the physical condition of young players, biological  
375 maturation should be taken into account as early maturers may have a competitive advantage.  
376 Similarly, attention should be paid to variables such as height, sitting height, iliospinale height  
377 and muscle girths, as they have been shown to have a high predictive power for performance in  
378 physical fitness tests related to volleyball requirements. It should also be taken into account that  
379 when players' age is close to APHV, the differences found between maturation groups both in  
380 kinanthropometric and physical fitness performance are influenced by age.

### 381 **Acknowledgements**

382 The authors would like to thank the players, the clubs and the regional volleyball federation for  
383 their participation in this research project. Also, the authors would like to acknowledge the help  
384 provided by Malek, Nicolás, Aurora, Marvin and Elisa, UCAM students, supporting the main  
385 research team in the measurement sessions when needed.

### 386 **References**

- 387 Albaladejo-Saura, M., Vaquero-Cristóbal, R., González-Gálvez, N., & Esparza-Ros, F. (2021).  
388 Relationship between Biological Maturation, Physical Fitness, and Kinanthropometric  
389 Variables of Young Athletes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Int J Environ Res*  
390 *Public Health*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18010328>
- 391 Albaladejo-Saura, M., Vaquero-Cristóbal, R., Marcos-Pardo, P. J., & Esparza-Ros, F. (2020).  
392 Effect of an injury prevention program on the lower limb stability in young volleyball  
393 players. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. <https://doi.org/10.23736/S0022-4707.20.11477-4>
- 394 Arede, J., Paulo Ferreira, A., Gonzalo-Skok, O., & Leite, N. (2019). Maturational Development  
395 as a Key Aspect in Physiological Performance and National-Team Selection in Elite Male  
396 Basketball Players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*, 14(7), 902-910.  
397 <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2018-0681>
- 398 Carter, J. E. L., & Heath, B. H. (1990). *Somatotyping: Development and application*. Cambridge:  
399 Cambridge University Press.

400 Castro-Piñeiro, J., Girela-Rejón, M. J., González-Montesinos, J. L., Mora, J., Conde-Caveda, J.,  
401 Sjöström, M., & Ruiz, J. R. (2013). Percentile values for flexibility tests in youths aged 6  
402 to 17 years: Influence of weight status. *Eur J Sport Sci*, 13(2), 139-148.

403 Díaz-Soler, M. Á., Vaquero-Cristóbal, R., Espejo-Antúnez, L., & López-Miñarro, P. A. (2015).  
404 The effect of a warm-up protocol on the sit-and-reach test score in adolescent students.  
405 *Nutr Hosp*, 31, 2618-2623.

406 Esparza-Ros, F., Vaquero-Cristóbal, R., & Marfell-Jones, M. (2019). *International standards for*  
407 *anthropometric assessment*. Murcia: International Society for Advancement in  
408 Kinanthropometry.

409 Fitts, R. H., McDonald, K. S., & Schluter, J. M. (1991). The determinants of skeletal muscle  
410 force and power: their adaptability with changes in activity pattern. *J Biomech*, 24 Suppl  
411 1, 111-122. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9290\(91\)90382-w](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9290(91)90382-w)

412 Handelsman, D. J., Hirschberg, A. L., & Bermon, S. (2018). Circulating Testosterone as the  
413 Hormonal Basis of Sex Differences in Athletic Performance. *Endocr Rev*, 39(5), 803-  
414 829. <https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2018-00020>

415 Hertzog, M., Paul, D. J., Nassis, G. P., & Silva, J. R. (2018). Does Early Recruitment Predict  
416 Greater Physical Performance in Academy Soccer Players? *Sports (Basel)*, 6(4).  
417 <https://doi.org/10.3390/sports6040108>

418 Holway, F. E., & Garavaglia, R. (2009). Kinanthropometry of Group I rugby players in Buenos  
419 Aires, Argentina. *J Sports Sci*, 27(11), 1211-1220.  
420 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410903207408>

421 Katić, R., Grgantov, Z., & Jurko, D. (2006). Motor structures in female volleyball players aged  
422 14-17 according to technique quality and performance. *Coll Antropol*, 30(1), 103-112.

423 Klaver, M., de Blok, C. J. M., Wiepjes, C. M., Nota, N. M., Dekker, M. J. H. J., de Mutsert, R., .  
424 . . den Heijer, M. (2018). Changes in regional body fat, lean body mass and body shape in  
425 trans persons using cross-sex hormonal therapy: results from a multicenter prospective  
426 study. *Eur J Endocrinol*, 178(2), 163-171. <https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-17-0496>

427 López-Plaza, D., Alacid, F., Muyor, J. M., & López-Miñarro, P. (2017a). Differences in  
428 Anthropometry, Biological Age and Physical Fitness Between Young Elite Kayakers and  
429 Canoeists. *J Hum Kinet*, 57, 181-190. <https://doi.org/10.1515/hukin-2017-0059>

430 López-Plaza, D., Alacid, F., Muyor, J. M., & López-Miñarro, P. (2017b). Sprint kayaking and  
431 canoeing performance prediction based on the relationship between maturity status,  
432 anthropometry and physical fitness in young elite paddlers. *J Sports Sci*, 35(11), 1083-  
433 1090. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2016.1210817>

434 Malina, R. M., & Bouchard, C. (1991). *Growth, maturation, and physical activity*. Illinois:  
435 Human Kinetics Books.

436 Malina, R. M., Choh, A. C., Czerwinski, S. A., & Chumlea, W. C. (2016). Validation of Maturity  
437 Offset in the Fels Longitudinal Study. *Pediatr Exerc Sci*, 28(3), 439-455.  
438 <https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.2015-0090>

439 Matiegka, J. (1921). The testing of physical efficiency. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, 4, 223-230.

440 Mirwald, R. L., Baxter-Jones, A. D., Bailey, D. A., & Beunen, G. P. (2002). An assessment of  
441 maturity from anthropometric measurements. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 34(4), 689-694.

442 Muyor, J. M., Vaquero-Cristóbal, R., Alacid, F., & López-Miñarro, P. A. (2014). Criterion-  
443 related validity of sit-and-reach and toe-touch tests as a measure of hamstring  
444 extensibility in athletes. *J Strength Cond Res*, 28(2), 546-555.  
445 <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e31829b54fb>

446 Ohlsson, C., Bengtsson, B. A., Isaksson, O. G., Andreassen, T. T., & Słotweg, M. C. (1998).  
447 Growth hormone and bone. *Endocr Rev*, *19*(1), 55-79.  
448 <https://doi.org/10.1210/edrv.19.1.0324>

449 Pion, J., Segers, V., Franssen, J., Debuyck, G., Deprez, D., Haerens, L., . . . Lenoir, M. (2015).  
450 Generic anthropometric and performance characteristics among elite adolescent boys in  
451 nine different sports. *Eur J Sport Sci*, *15*(5), 357-366.  
452 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2014.944875>

453 Poortmans, J. R., Boisseau, N., Moraine, J. J., Moreno-Reyes, R., & Goldman, S. (2005).  
454 Estimation of total-body skeletal muscle mass in children and adolescents. *Med Sci*  
455 *Sports Exerc*, *37*(2), 316-322. <https://doi.org/10.1249/01.mss.0000152804.93039.ce>

456 Romero-Franco, N., Jiménez-Reyes, P., Castaño-Zambudio, A., Capelo-Ramírez, F., Rodríguez-  
457 Juan, J. J., González-Hernández, J., . . . Balsalobre-Fernández, C. (2017). Sprint  
458 performance and mechanical outputs computed with an iPhone app: Comparison with  
459 existing reference methods. *Eur J Sport Sci*, *17*(4), 386-392.  
460 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2016.1249031>

461 Rommers, N., Mostaert, M., Goossens, L., Vaeyens, R., Witvrouw, E., Lenoir, M., & D'Hondt,  
462 E. (2019). Age and maturity related differences in motor coordination among male elite  
463 youth soccer players. *J Sports Sci*, *37*(2), 196-203.  
464 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2018.1488454>

465 Saenger, P. (2003). Dose effects of growth hormone during puberty. *Horm Res*, *60*(Suppl 1), 52-  
466 57. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000071226>

467 Sandhu, J., Ben-Shlomo, Y., Cole, T. J., Holly, J., & Davey Smith, G. (2005). The impact of  
468 childhood body mass index on timing of puberty, adult stature and obesity: a follow-up  
469 study based on adolescent anthropometry recorded at Christ's Hospital (1936–1964). *Int J*  
470 *Obes*, *30*, 14-22. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0803156>

471 Sarro, K. J., Brioschi, F. R., Andre Barbosa de Lira, C., Andrade, M. D. S., & Vancini, R. L.  
472 (2019). Frequency and associated factors of poor knee alignment in young Brazilian  
473 volleyball players. *Phys Sportsmed*, *47*(3), 353-356.  
474 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00913847.2019.1590084>

475 Sherar, L. B., Mirwald, R. L., Baxter-Jones, A. D., & Thomis, M. (2005). Prediction of adult  
476 height using maturity-based cumulative height velocity curves. *J Pediatr*, *147*(4), 508-  
477 514. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2005.04.041>

478 Slaughter, M. H., Lohman, T. G., Boileau, R. A., Horswill, C. A., Stillman, R. J., Van Loan, M.  
479 D., & Bembem, D. A. (1988). Skinfold equations for estimation of body fatness in  
480 children and youth. *Hum Biol* *60*, 709-723.

481 Valente-Dos-Santos, J., Coelho-E-Silva, M. J., Vaz, V., Figueiredo, A. J., Capranica, L., Sherar,  
482 L. B., . . . Malina, R. M. (2014). Maturity-associated variation in change of direction and  
483 dribbling speed in early pubertal years and 5-year developmental changes in young  
484 soccer players. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*, *54*(3), 307-316.

485 Wickel, E. E., & Eisenmann, J. C. (2007). Maturity-related differences in physical activity  
486 among 13- to 14-year-old adolescents. *Pediatr Exerc Sci*, *19*(4), 384-392.  
487 <https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.19.4.384>

488 Zhao, K., Hohmann, A., Chang, Y., Zhang, B., Pion, J., & Gao, B. (2019). Physiological,  
489 Anthropometric, and Motor Characteristics of Elite Chinese Youth Athletes From Six  
490 Different Sports. *Front Physiol*, *10*, 405. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.00405>

491