A spotlight on non-host resistance to plant viruses

Avanish Rai [1], **Palaiyur N Sivalingam** [2], **Muthappa Senthil-Kumar** [Corresp. 3]

[1] National Institute of Plant Genome Research, New Delhi, India

[2] ICAR-National Institute of Biotic Stress Management, Raipur, India

[3] National Institute of Plant Genome Research, New Delhi, Delhi, India

Corresponding Author: Muthappa Senthil-Kumar
Email address: skmuthappa@nipgr.ac.in

Plant viruses encounter a range of host defenses including non-host resistance (NHR), leading to the arrest of virus replication and movement in plants. Viruses have limited host ranges, and adaptation to a new host is atypical phenomenon. The entire genotypes of plant species imperceptive to every single isolate of a genetically variable virus species are described as non-hosts. NHR is the non-specific resistance manifested by an innately immune non-host due to pre-existing and inducible defense responses, which cannot be evaded by yet-to-be adapted plant viruses. NHR to plant viruses is widespread, but the phenotypic variation is often not detectable within plant species. Therefore, molecular and genetic mechanisms of NHR need to be systematically studied to enable exploitation in crop protection. This article comprehensively describes the possible mechanisms of NHR against plant viruses. Also, the previous definition of NHR to plant viruses is insufficient, and the main aim of this article is to sensitize plant pathologists to the existence of NHR to plant viruses and to highlight the need for immediate and elaborate research in this area.

1   **Mini-review**

3   **A spotlight on non-host resistance to plant viruses**

5   Avanish Rai[1], Palaiyur Nanjappan Sivalingam[2], and Muthappa Senthil-Kumar[1,]*

6   [1]National Institute of Plant Genome Research, Aruna Asaf Ali Marg, P.O. Box No.

7   10531, New Delhi 110 067, India

8   [2]ICAR-National Institute of Biotic Stress Management, Baronda, Raipur 493 225, India

9   *Corresponding author

10   Muthappa Senthil-Kumar
11   National Institute of Plant Genome Research,
12   Aruna Asaf Ali Marg,
13   P.O. Box No. 10531,
14   New Delhi 110 067, India
15
16   *E-mail: skmuthappa@nipgr.ac.in
17

19   **Abstract**

21   Plant viruses encounter a range of host defenses including non-host resistance (NHR),

22   leading to the arrest of virus replication and movement in plants. Viruses have limited

23   host ranges, and adaptation to a new host is atypical phenomenon. The entire

24   genotypes of plant species imperceptive to every single isolate of a genetically variable

25   virus species are described as non-hosts. NHR is the non-specific resistance

26   manifested by an innately immune non-host due to pre-existing and inducible defense

27   responses, which cannot be evaded by yet-to-be adapted plant viruses. NHR to plant

28   viruses is widespread, but the phenotypic variation is often not detectable within plant

29 species. Therefore, molecular and genetic mechanisms of NHR need to be
30 systematically studied to enable exploitation in crop protection. This article
31 comprehensively describes the possible mechanisms of NHR against plant viruses.
32 Also, the previous definition of NHR to plant viruses is insufficient, and the main aim of
33 this article is to sensitize plant pathologists to the existence of NHR to plant viruses and
34 to highlight the need for immediate and elaborate research in this area.

35
36 **Introduction**
37
38   The International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) lists 1,000 different
39 species of plant viruses, and among them, approximately 450 species are categorized
40 as plant pathogenic viruses (Soosaar *et al.,* 2005; King *et al.,* 2011). Viral diseases of
41 crop plants threaten global agricultural production. Plant viruses are unable to penetrate
42 the intact plant cuticle and the cell wall; therefore, they enter the plant cell by
43 mechanical injury or are transmitted by insects or nematodes that feed on them or by
44 parasitic agents such as fungi. Most of the plant viruses are having positive sense RNA
45 as their genome, depend on plant cell machinery for transcription and translation, and
46 they replicate through intermediate negative sense RNA strand by RNA dependent RNA
47 polymerase. Similarly negative strand RNA viruses replicate by making positive sense
48 RNA as intermediate for multiplication and protein synthesis which depends on host
49 machinery. Single stranded DNA viruses replicate through double stranded DNA
50 (dsDNA) using host DNA polymerase and this dsDNA is utilized for transcription and
51 translation using host machinery. Thus, plant viruses modulate the intracellular milieu of
52 the host plant, critical for the development of the viral infection, and interfere with
53 antiviral defenses.

54

55   Viruses encode movement protein (MP), which aids in viral particle movement

56 from cell to cell through the increased plasmodesmatal size exclusion limit (Lefeuvre *et*

57 *al.,* 2019). Host translational factors (eukaryotic translation initiation factors; eIFs) are

58 involved in regulating the replication and systemic intercellular movement of viruses

59 (Nieto *et al.,* 2011; Shopan *et al.,* 2020). Plants neutralize viral infection with a highly

60 refined innate immune response, encompassing pathogen-associated molecular pattern

61 (PAMP)-triggered immunity (PTI) and effector-triggered immunity (ETI), which

62 resembles that of pathogenic cellular systems (Calil and Fontes 2017). Viruses have

63 small genomes, encoding roughly 6–10 proteins, hence requiring host factors to

64 complete the infectious life cycle (Campbell 1996; Whitham and Wang 2004). The

65 reliance on host factors raises the possibility that plants can develop resistance

66 mechanisms to ameliorate the effects of viral infections during the course of evolution.

67 This resistance mechanism of an entire plant species to a particular virus and all of its

68 strains can be referred to as non-host resistance (NHR). This term is widely used in

69 referring resistance to bacterial and fungal pathogens. NHR is the broad-spectrum

70 resistance manifested by an innately immune non-host due to inducible and

71 multilayered defense responses. In the past, inadequate progress has been made to

72 elucidate the non-host defense mechanisms and understanding the molecular

73 mechanisms of NHR remains poor (Harris et al., 2020). The main aim of this article is to

74 provide an overview of the role of NHR through innate immune response, role of virus-

75 vector interaction, underlying molecular mechanism of NHR and their utilization in

76 facilitating broad-spectrum resistance to plant viruses.

77

Rationale, intended audience and contribution to the field

79 Generally, non-host plants do not allow the virus to replicate, which

80 phenotypically fails to show any symptoms, systemic or local. In asymptomatic plant

81 species inoculated with certain viruses, the progeny virus are not detectable, and such

82 plants can be considered as non-hosts. The resistance owing to the early sensing of

83 plant viruses, which completely restricts viral multiplication in the non-host plant, can be

84 referred to as "true NHR." However, recent evidence shows that some non-host plant

85 species allow the multiplication of the virus till a minimal level in single or a few

86 inoculated cells but further arrest the multiplication and cell-to-cell movement (Sardaru

87 et al. 2018); this can be referred to as "apparent NHR." Molecular analysis has revealed

88 the presence of negligible levels of virus replication, accumulation, and systemic

89 movement in certain combinations of a non-host plant and viral pathogen. Such

90 scenario underpin that the apparent NHRmight be more common than NHR (extreme

91 resistance or complete absence of virus replication; Sardaru *et al.,* 2018; Baruah *et al.,*

92 2020).

93

94 Non-host species mount efficient defense responses and possess diversified

95 antiviral mechanisms that confer NHR to plant viruses. Through this brief review, we

96 attempt to apprise plant scientists of the existence of NHR of plants to viruses and draw

97 attention to the need to re-examine NHR to viruses from various angles. We also

98 provide insights into NHR and "apparent NHR", which can be exploited for providing

99 broad-spectrum resistance. A clearer understanding of NHR will help in developing

100 resistant crops and refining strategies and resources to achieve efficient control of plant

101 viral diseases. Interestingly, this article delves into some critical and fundamental

102 aspects that are often questioned by plant virologists. Except for Baruah et al., 2020,

103 that only describes introductory to the NHR, no attempt has been made to discuss this

104 topic among plant virologists. Importantly, we discuss the role of insect vectors in non-

105 host & thereby virus host range/resistance development. We present the summary and

106 emphasis the need for research focus in the area of NHR. We hope this will take a step

107 forward in discussing the topic among virologists and plant scientists in general.

108

109 **Survey methodology**

110   Several search engines including PubMed, web of science advanced search,

111 Google scholar and specific journal web sites were used and search was performed

112 based on key words specifically designed for the topic. The search keys included virus

113 names, select plant species, non-host, virus resistance, virus co-evolution, RNA

114 interference, silencing suppressors, effector and PAMP triggered immunity, host range,

115 *R*-gene resistance, virus transmission, insect vectors and others. Literature was

116 retrieved and sorted based on the relevance of the topic. The literature was mined to

117 extract data and information from relevant articles and was used to support the

118 hypothesis behind the review article. Various citations from these articles were back

119 referred to obtain elaborate information. Together, the compiled information was

120 processed by the authors to write the manuscript. Relevant concepts were incorporated

121 based on the author's expertise in this field of research. The self and non-self

122 recognition and topics under above key words were included. However, the literature

123 related to animals in this regard were excluded.

124

125 **How non-host plants recognize the viruses?**

126 The presence of nucleic acid in a cellular compartment triggers immunity in

127 mammals and plants. Therefore, the accumulation of non-self DNA and RNA cytosolic

128 fragments indicates infection (Heil and Vega-Muñoz, 2019). In mammals, single-

129 stranded or double-stranded RNA is sensed by endosomal Toll-like receptors (TLRs),

130 whereas dsDNA is recognized by several cytosolic receptors (Heil and Vega-Muñoz,

131 2019). In non-host plants, resistance to cellular pathogens such as fungi and bacteria is

132 known as plant resistance to all pathovars or genetic variants of the species, while NHR

133 against viruses should be operating at species (or even genus) level (Baruah *et al.,*

134 2020). It is possible that direct recognition of virus by the host may not take place in the

135 apoplast (de Ronde *et al.,* 2014; Sardaru *et al.,* 2018).

136

137 **PAMP recognition**

138 The virus elicitors or PAMPs were recognized in a BRI1-associated kinase 1 (BAK1)

139 manner, which believed to be the central regulator of pattern triggered immunity (PTI)

140 (Kørner *et al.,* 2013). NHR against viral pathogens may involve recognition of viral

141 elicitors by immune signaling components which may results in host shifts or widening

142 of host range. In such scenario, the defense related genes may get transferred across

143 plant species to enhance disease resistance (Panstruga and Moscou 2020).

144 Virus-derived nucleic acid might be recognized by PAMP recognition receptors, which

145 help in the activation of the downstream NUCLEAR SHUTTLE
146 PROTEIN-INTERACTING KINASE 1 (NIK1)-dependent antiviral signaling pathway
147 suppressing host translation (Teixeira *et al.,* 2019). In begomoviruses, virulent nuclear
148 shuttle protein (NSP) was found to be targeted by NIK1 in the defense signaling
149 pathway (Zorzatto *et al.,* 2015).

150   RNA viruses interact strongly with the components of host translational
151 machinery, such as host eIF-encoded genes (Calil and Fontes, 2017). In both RNA and
152 DNA viruses, virus-derived PAMPs, dsRNA, RNA, and DNA are encoded by viral
153 genomes that trigger antiviral PTI by activating a downstream signaling cascade
154 through the recognition of the co-receptors BAK and SERK1 (Teixeira *et al.,* 2019). The
155 host immune sensors recognize viruses through direct and/or indirect association of
156 viral proteins, which triggers the downstream defense signaling cascade to avert viral
157 replication and movement within the plants (Meier *et al.,* 2019). The molecular
158 mechanism underlying NHR critically depends on the signal transduction pathways
159 similar to other types of plant immunity. Among the set of genes, some may turn out be
160 bottlenecks for certain pathogens on a specified plant species or under particular
161 conditions (Panstruga and Moscou 2020). The molecular studies on viral recognition
162 suggest that the basal defense is suppressed in the compatible interaction between viral
163 pathogens and host plants. However, the level of suppression of basal defense may be
164 reduced in non-host plants against viral pathogens.

165

166 **Non-host, host range and resistance mechanism**

167 *(i) Host jump & host range*

168   Viruses have limited host ranges, and adaptation to a new host is atypical phenomenon.

169   Overcoming species barriers requires mutations in several viral-encoded proteins

170   involved in cellular movement and replication. The adaptation of the virus to relatively

171   new species is highly complex; therefore, a host jump by the virus is a very rare

172   occurrence. Host jumps occur when pathogens encounter new hosts, followed by

173   infection and successful multiplication in that host plant.

174   Host jumps occur when pathogens encounter new hosts, followed by infection

175   and successful multiplication in that host plant. Recently, a novel coronavirus (SARS-

176   CoV-2) crossed species barriers (its natural hosts being Chinese horseshoe bats and

177   intermediate hosts being civet cats and raccoon dogs) to infect humans and was

178   efficiently transmitted among humans, leading to a global pandemic (Hoffman et al.

179   2020). Therefore, timely prediction of the emergence of viral pathogens is beneficial for

180   both human health and agriculture.

181   Virus transmitting insect vectors and the three-way interaction among virus-

182   vector-plant also plays a role in virus host range. Determinants of host range have been

183   identified in genomes of plant viruses in various studies (Nieto et al., 2011; Sardaru et

184   al., 2018; Shan et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020). In spite of that, the frequency of host

185   jumps and its consequences, such as fitness and pathogenicity are unknown for plant

186   viruses (Poulicard *et al.,* 2012). Host jumps are reported for mitoviruses, the families

187   Rhabdoviridae and Reoviridae, and the order Bunyavirales, all of which infect both plant

188   and insect genera (Gilbert and Parker 2016). Moreover, in several plants, the

189   mitochondrial genome contains sequences of *Mitovirus*, a genus within the

190   Narnaviridae.

191

192

193   *(ii) Host cellular factors*

194   Plant viruses move long distances through vascular systems to infect tissues (roots and

195   young leaves), and the entire infection cycle, i.e., virus replication and movement, is

196   genetically controlled by host cellular factors (Garcia-Ruiz 2019). Incompatible

197   interactions between viral pathogens and plants are characterized by the arrest of

198   infection processes by plants. In nature, most viral pathogens fail to establish virulence

199   in plants without triggering a canonical immune response, and such an antiviral defense

200   mechanism refers to NHR (Fraser 1990; Baruah *et al.,* 2020). The antiviral defense

201   mechanisms in non-host involve unsuccessful viral replication, incompatible interaction

202   between host susceptibility factors and viral proteins resulting into inhibition of

203   translation and RNA silencing (Table 1) (Ding 2010; Nieto *et al.,* 2011; Baruah *et al.,*

204   2020).

205

206   The incompatibility or the absence of cellular host factors essential for replication,

207   multiplication, and systemic movement of viral pathogens might be one of the plant

208   resistance mechanisms against non-adapted viruses (Ishibashi *et al.,* 2009; Nieto *et al.,*

209   2011). This shows that the resistance mechanism underlying NHR is challenging to

210   explain, as it operates at the local or systemic level, or even in the single cell. The

211   identification and characteristics of non-host factors that control NHR to viruses and

212   determinants of host range are lesser known due to the difficulty in dissecting the

213   underlying molecular and genetic mechanisms. Accordingly, the terminology of 'true

214   NHR' and 'apparent NHR' were defined earlier (Baruah *et al.,* 2020).

215

216   The incompatible interaction between viral particles and host susceptibility

217   proteins has been reported to be one of the factors responsible for generating NHR in

218   tomato, a non-host for *Tobacco mild green mosaic virus* and *Pepper mild mottle virus*

219   (belonging to the *Tobamovirus* genus) (Ishibashi *et al.,* 2009). In tobacco, NHR against

220   non-adapted *Melon necrotic spot virus* is a consequence of incompatible interaction

221   between the virus cap-independent translational enhancer and the host eIF4E, which

222   inhibit the protein synthesis machinery required for replication (Nieto *et al.,* 2011).

223   These results showed that the host cellular factors inhibiting the multiplication of the

224   viral pathogen might be present in plants for conferring NHR against non-adapted

225   viruses.

226

227   Helper component proteinase play major role in virus multiplication and

228   determining host range specificity. For instance, *Soybean mosaic virus*-N (SMV-N) is

229   capable of infecting cultivated soybean and wild soybean, whereas it seems to be

230   undetectable in broad bean. In contrast, *Clover yellow vein virus* shows compatibility

231   towards broad bean for systemic infection; whereas it is capable of infecting soybean

232   locally (Wang *et al.,* 2020). The lack of systemic infection by *Soybean mosaic virus* and

233   *Clover yellow vein virus* in broad bean and soybean, respectively, was suggested to be

234   due to the incompatible interaction of multifunctional helper component proteinase, HC-

235   Pro cistrons with the respective host components (Table 1). Removal of the P1 leader

236 protease antagonistic domain facilitates the replication of potyvirus, which strongly

237 increases localized infection in a non-permissive *Cucumis sativus* host (Shan *et al.,*

238 2018). To counteract non-host antiviral defense, P1 leader processing resulted in the

239 release of a functional silencing suppressor, elucidating the adaptation and evolution of

240 NHR to potyvirus (Shan *et al.,* 2018). *Bean pod mottle virus* (BPMV) overcomes two

241 layers of defense to move systemically in *N. benthamiana* non-hosts plants. Hence, the

242 complete anti-BPMV defense in the non-host *N. benthamiana* consists of two layers of

243 active defense: interference with RNA2 replication and RNA silencing (Lin, 2013).

244 Previously, it has been shown that the viral P3 protein (C-terminal region) is an

245 important NHR determinant in Ethiopian mustard against *Turnip mosaic virus* isolate

246 JPN 1, and the resistance mechanism inferred in that study was apparent NHR

247 (Sardaru *et al.,* 2018). This is the only report available in which the term "apparent NHR"

248 has been used. However, there are many examples available in literature to put under

249 this category. Further, the inability of *Pea seed-borne mosaic potyvirus* (PSbMV) to

250 infect *N. tabacum*, and of *Potato virus Y* to infect pea, could be due to inhibition of

251 replication of viruses in mechanically inoculated cells (Bak *et al.,* 1998). Moreover, it has

252 been shown that *Cacao swollen shoot virus* (CSSV) is able to complete its life cycle in

253 the non-host plants belonging to Brassicaceae and Solanaceae families when CSSV

254 viral genome is stably transformed into plant genome (Friscina *et al.,* 2017). This

255 suggests that the non-host plants have all the necessary factors responsible for the

256 replication of CSSV. The above examples clearly showed that the incompatible

257 interactions between viral and host proteins or the lack of functional host susceptibility

258 factors are critical determinants that inhibit viral multiplication, which makes plants non-

259 hosts to viral pathogens.

260

261 *(iii) RNA silencing*

262 The molecular mechanism of NHR against virus are both active (defense) and passive

263 (lack of susceptibility factors) in nature (Fan and Doerner 2012). Antiviral silencing is the

264 first immune response encounter by viruses while invading non-host plants. The

265 incompatibity between a virus and a non-host plant can be inferred by the multiple

266 layers of antiviral defense, which consist of active suppression of viral pathogenesis and

267 passive escape from viral multiplication (Pallas and Garcia, 2011).

268

269 RNA silencing is an important defense mechanism targeting viral nucleic acid and

270 controls viral RNA detection and degradation using Dicer-like and Argonaute (AGO)

271 proteins (Ding and Voinnet, 2007). For instance, *Potato virus X,* which cannot infect

272 *Arabidopsis thaliana* is capable of infecting Dicer-like mutants in Arabidopsis when co-

273 infected with a *Pepper ringspot virus*. Moreover, *Pepper ringspot virus* has virus

274 suppressor of RNA silencing (VSR), which contributes to the gained infectivity of PVX.

275 This implies that RNA-mediated antiviral silencing is responsible for NHR against PVX

276 (Jaubert *et al.,* 2011). A post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS) suppressor in plant

277 veins, *Tomato bushy stunt virus* (TBSV) p19, has a crucial role in systemic invasion in

278 the host. Moreover, *Tobacco etch virus* (TEV) helper component protease (HC-Pro)

279 represses PTGS in tobacco but not in Arabidopsis, a non-host to TEV (Scholthof *et al.,*

280 1995).

281

282   *(iv) R gene-mediated resistance in NHR*

283   *R* genes grouped into two different classes of genes: The first class belong to the genes

284   that encode proteins which are involved in regulation of different stages of virus life

285   cycle. The other class belong to the genes encoding NLR (Nucleotide-Binding and

286   Leucine-Rich Repeat domain) proteins, whichplays significant role in plant-viral defense

287   (Meier *et al*., 2019). Plants have gradually developed a complex innate immune system

288   in response to viral pathogens and such responses are often controlled by NLR

289   proteins. For instance, *PVR4* gene from *Capsicum annum* confers resistance against

290   several potyviruses such as *Pepper mottle virus*, and *Pepper severe mosaic virus*

291   suggesting that both defense response and hypersensitive response can be activated

292   by NLR proteins (Kim et al., 2015).

293

294   Non-host resistance against plant viruses is conferred by several identified *R*

295   genes, for instance, NHR may be governed by dominant/recessive genes such as *Ty-1*

296   against *Tomato leaf curlvirus* (ToLCV) (Verlaan *et al.,* 2013) or non-availability of

297   translation initiation factor (eIF4E/eIF4G) in case of potyviruses (Kang et al., 2005; Nieto

298   *et al.,* 2011; Hashimoto et al., 2016). Also, NHR to *Brome mosaic virus* is due to

299   *Constitutive PR expression 5* (CPR5), a protein that represses *R* gene-mediated

300   resistance (Fujisaki *et al.,* 2009). The gene encoding *R* proteins also activate ETI by

301   interacting with RNAi suppressors protein, for instance, Tm-1 protein interacts with viral

302   suppressors proteins such as methylase (Ishibashi *et al*., 2007; Hashimoto *et al.,* 2016).

303

Virus and insect vector interaction in non-host plants

304

305

306 Insect vectors play a significant role in expansion of geographical regions and the host

307 ranges as evident from the case study of *Tomato chlorosis virus* (ToCV) – an emerging

308 virus that cause economic loss of tomato (Fiallo-Olivé and Navas-Castillo, 2019). ToCV

309 was first reported in Florida, USA in the mid-1990s. By 2019 this virus was reported

310 from about 35 countries and no resistance or tolerant tomato plants are commercially

311 available. Also, this virus infects 84 dicot plant species belonging to 25 botanical

312 families. This kind of massive spread of virus spread is due to insect vector, whitefly.

313 Virus also modulate hormonal signaling and insect vector perception in the infected

314 plant as increased vector foraging and movement among plant species broaden the

315 host ranges and also enhance the chances of virus survival (Bera *et al.,* 2020). This has

316 been reported in *Pea enation mosaic virus* (PEMV), pea aphids (*Acyrthosiphon pisum*),

317 and pea (*Pisum sativum*), wherein oxylipin signaling pathway was identified to be

318 necessary for aphid attraction. Moreover, the CMV 2a RNA-directed RNA polymerase of

319 *Cucumber mosaic virus* (CMV) seems to contain PAMP activity. The activated PTI may

320 induce production of phytoalexins that negatively affect the host and insect vector

321 interaction, which in turn affect CMV transmission (Carr *et al*. 2018). Habitat

322 manipulation by introducing a non-host plant through anthropogenic means has been

323 adapted in some cases to control the spread of vector transmissible viral pathogens

324 (Hooks and Fereres, 2006). Such plants are non-hosts to the virus as well as vector and

325 act as a barrier for the non-persisted vector transmissible viruses. The virus transmitting

326 insects spend more amount of time and energy probing on the surface of non-hosts

327   plants compared to their host plants (Powell *et al.,* 1995) and this could be a strategy

328   used for checking viral infections. For instance, some pulse crops, which act as non-

329   hosts to the TYLCV, are used as barrier to effectively reduce virus spread to tomato

330   (Campbell *et al.,* 2017). In host plants, viral pathogens can modify insect feeding

331   behavior in which insects prefer to feed on infected rather healthy hosts. For instance,

332   *Tomato spotted wilt virus* (TSWV) belonging to Bunyaviridae family changes the feeding

333   behavior of thrips vector, *Frankliniella occidentalis* upon infection (Stafford *et al.,* 2011).

334   The TSWV infected male thrips was able to spread the virus by three fold than

335   uninfected male thrips. It has been observed that viral pathogen spread in hosts with a

336   particular phenotype and infection stage can be changed due to vector feeding

337   behavior. For instance, the preference of vector containing viral pathogens and vector

338   alone largely reduce pathogen spread when diverse host species are available

339   (Shoemaker *et al.,* 2019). In addition to pathogenicity determination and modulation of

340   vector feeding characteristic, most of the viral factors (CMV-2b, βC1 and C2) also have

341   RNA silencing suppressor activity (Ziegler-Graff, 2020). Such multiple functions of viral

342   factors make these proteins more potent in viral transmission and highlight the

343   importance of further exploring molecular complexity present in the interactions among

344   viral pathogens, plant non-host and insect vectors.

345

346   Hosts and insect vectors reacted to viral pathogens through the activation of

347   immune response. RNAi, apoptosis and autophagy are the molecular mechanisms that

348   facilitate antiviral immunity for insect vectors in response to viral infection. In the virus-

349   transmitting insect vectors, virus replication is restricted due to the presence of

350   autophagic components that represent a crucial antiviral cellular response (Chen and

351   Wei, 2020). For instance, TYLCV infection induces autophagy pathway in whiteflies that

352   affect viral replication process and its exit from the insect cells (Wang et al., 2016; Chen

353   and Wei, 2020).

354

355   In summary, the preference of insect vector foraging either on host or non-host

356   plant is likely to depend on differences in chemical cues, plant architectural differences,

357   and vector density. Molecular components of pathogenicity determinants – a consistent

358   feature present in the virus-vector and virus-plant interactions. Understanding such

359   interactions can reinforce approaches that defend plants from viruses by modulating

360   viral pathogen transmission. In conclusion, unraveling the specific regulatory

361   components of defense signaling and autophagy pathways in virus-transmitting vectors

362   is needed for the understanding NHR mechanisms.

363

364   **Update on NHR-based research in plant virology**

365   Current research findings indicate that the RNA silencing, host susceptibility factors and

366   SA-mediated inducible defense facilitate broad-spectrum defense response in non-host

367   plants and the same is briefly shown in the model (Figure 1). Detailed research

368   programs are warranted to fill the gaps in unraveling molecular mechanisms of NHR

369   against plant viruses for better exploitation in crop protection. The use of agrochemicals

370   against virus vectors is increasingly being discouraged from the ecological perspective.

371   Therefore, crop protection based on naturally occurring virus resistance is an important

372   solution to curtail crop destruction and accomplish sustainable crop yields (Yang *et al.,*

373   2014). In organisms other than plants, NHR has been employed to control viral load; for

374   example, the abundance of the virus PgV-07T, which infects the marine alga

375   *Phaeocystis globosa*, was significantly reduced by using non-host marine organisms

376   such as crabs, cockles, oysters, sea squirts, and sponges (Welsh *et al.,* 2020).

377

378   Understanding of the underlying mechanisms involving variability, shifts, and

379   evolution of host range are needed. In fact, NHR in plants depends on the affinity

380   between plant cellular proteins or susceptibility factors (tm-1, eIFs, and AGO2) and viral

381   proteins (Ishibashi *et al.,* 2009; Nieto *et al.,* 2011; Jaubert *et al.,* 2011). Non-hosts serve

382   as a buffer in ecology and are necessary for balanced host occupancy and spread.

383   Since these host factors are relatively conserved, the capacity of a given viral pathogen

384   to overcome barriers of infection in various host plants decreases with the degree of

385   divergence between these host factors and, consequently, with the divergence time

386   between these plants. In contrast to other pathogens, viruses have host ranges, which

387   could be functionally determined by arthropod vectors (Gilbert *et al.,* 2012).

388

389   The host range of viruses is a significant determinant of disease emergence and

390   can also be used in designing strategies for disease control. A recent study unraveled

391   the diversity and frequency of changes in non-host/host ranges over the evolutionary

392   time scales of potyviruses and further determined the distribution of these changes

393   among potyvirus and plant diversity (Moury and Desbiez 2020). The study suggested

394   that NHR to plant viruses results from a series of inducible defenses in non-host plants

395   that viruses cannot overcome by faster co-evolution. In addition, host adaptation to

396 vertically passaged viruses is traded-off against diminished resistance to the non-

397 evolved viruses, and this mode of spread and host–virus co-evolution is a major factor

398 in determining the evolution of virulence (Pagan *et al.,* 2014). NHR is robust and

399 multigenic trait involving layers of distinct processes (Maule *et al.,* 2007), which could be

400 exploited for the development of virus-resistant plants. To achieve this, detailed

401 molecular analyses are required for understanding multilayered constitutive and

402 inducible defense mechanisms involved in NHR to plant viruses. Viruses have the ability

403 to evolve rapidly to counter host resistance; therefore, it is necessary to understand

404 determinants that influence the robustness of resistance traits to maximize their

405 endurance. Wild relatives of cultivated plants may represent rich resources of resistance

406 genes for elucidating the molecular mechanism of NHR to plant viruses and

407 understanding the robustness of resistance traits (Ishibashi *et al.,* 2007). For example,

408 Tm-1 protein was identified from *Solanum habrochaites*, a wild relative of tomato, and it

409 cannot bind to TMV replication proteins or inhibit its multiplication (Ishibashi *et al.,* 2007;

410 2009). These opportunities are exploitable for generating novel resistance, as well as

411 for providing an understanding of broad-spectrum NHR to plant viruses through

412 clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR-Cas9) targeted

413 genome engineering, which can be utilized to integrate dominant resistance traits at a

414 single locus for the development of virus-resistant plants and crop improvement (Zhao

415 *et al.,* 2020). Several viral proteins have the capability to suppress JA-regulated gene

416 expression, for instance, begomovirus satellite-βC1, *Cucumber mosaic virus* 2b, and

417 TuMV HC Pro proteins (Wu and Ye, 2020). Moreover, the activation of SA signaling has

418 proven effective against different plant viruses, e.g., SA-inducible *RdRP* from *Nicotiana*

419 *tabacum* is required for defense against members of the *Tobamovirus* genus but not

420 CMV or PVX (Yang *et al.,* 2004). In another study it has been shown that the

421 reconstituting Rdr1 activity in *N. benthamiana* provided protection against extreme

422 weather conditions (Bally et al., 2015). Moreover, knocking out the functional allele of

423 Rdr1 in a wild strain turned it into hypersusceptible TMV-U1 (Bally et al., 2015). The

424 host cellular factors inhibiting the multiplication of the viral pathogen might be present in

425 plants for conferring NHR against non-adapted viruses (Figure 1). Additionally,

426 recognition of viral proteins by plant susceptibility factors may induce canonical defense

427 pathways involving SA and JA signaling, which may restrict the growth of non-adapted

428 viral pathogens. However, the role of SA/JA signaling pathways conferring NHR to plant

429 viruses is poorly understood, and further molecular evidence is needed to corroborate

430 the role of phytohormones in NHR against viruses.

431

432 **Conclusions**
433

434 NHR against plant viruses differ from resistance to other plant pathogens. Lack of host

435 factors, incompatible interaction of host and viral factors, restriction in movement and

436 spread, RNAi silencing, to some extent *R* gene mediated, and other mechanisms are

437 part of NHR for plant viruses. The evolutionary interaction between virus, vector and

438 plants prepares a plant to NHR or host jump. Understanding NHR against plant viruses

439 and classifications are at infancy stage and need much more systematic study. The

440 apparent NHR looks promising for utilizing in resistance enhancement program, which

441 can utilize recent genome editing tools like CRISPR on recessive host factors. We

442 further need to understand the mechanistic diversity of antiviral defense in non-host

443   plants and this article will help in building novel research strategies and resources for

444   the utilization of NHR to achieve adequate control of viral infections.

445
446
447
448   **References**
449

450   Bak, S., Poulsen, G.B., and Albrechtsen, M. (1998) Two Cases of Non-host
451   Resistance against Potyviruses Operating at the Single Cell Level. *Journal*
452   *of Phytopathology* 146:623-629.

453   Baruah, A., Sivalingam, P.N., Fatima, U. and Senthil-Kumar, M. (2020) Non-host
454   resistance to plant viruses: what do we know? *Physiological and Molecular Plant*
455   *Pathology* 101506.

456   Bally, J., Nakasugi, K., Jia, F., Jung, H., Ho, S.Y., Wong, M., Paul, C.M., Naim, F.,
457   Wood, C.C., Crowhurst, R.N. and Hellens, R.P. (2015) The extremophile
458   *Nicotiana benthamiana* has traded viral defence for early vigour. *Nature Plants* 1:
459   1-6.

460   Bera, S., Blundell, R., Liang, D., Crowder, D.W., and Casteel, C.L. (2020) The oxylipin
461   signaling pathway is required for increased aphid attraction and retention on
462   virus-infected plants. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 46(8).

463   Calil, I. P., and Fontes, E. P. (2017) Plant immunity against viruses: anti-viral immune
464   receptors in focus. *Annals of Botany* 119:711-723.

465   Campbell, P.R., Cremer, J.E., Roach, R.L., Steele, V., Subramaniam, S.,
466   Sivasubramaniam, V., Monsour, C., Mullins, T., Persley, D.M. and Gambley, C.F
467   (2017) Towards area wide management of insect vectored viruses of tomatoes in
468   the Bowen district. *Virus Research* 241: 228-235.

469   Campbell, R.N. (1996) Fungal transmission of plant viruses. *Annual Review of*
470   *Phytopathology* 34:87-108.

471   Carr, J.P., Donnelly, R., Tungadi, T., Murphy, A.M., Jiang, S., Bravo-Cazar, A., Yoon,
472   J.Y., Cunniffe, N.J., Glover, B.J. and Gilligan, C.A. (2018). Viral manipulation of

473   plant stress responses and host interactions with insects. *Advances in Virus*
474   *Research* 102:177-197.

475 Chen, Q., and Wei, T. (2020). Cell biology during infection of plant viruses in insect
476   vectors and plant hosts. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 33:18-25.

477 de Ronde, D., Butterbach, P., and Kormelink, R. (2014) Dominant resistance against
478   plant viruses. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 5:307.

479 Ding, S. W. (2010) RNA-based anti-viral immunity. *Nature Reviews Immunology* 10:
480   632-644.

481 Ding, S.W., and Voinnet, O. (2007) Anti-viral immunity directed by small
482   RNAs. *Cell* 130:413-426.

483 Fan, J., and Doerner, P. (2012) Genetic and molecular basis of non-host disease
484   resistance: complex, yes; silver bullet, no. *Current Opinion in Plant*
485   *Biology* 15:400-406.

486 Fiallo-Olivé, E, and Navas-Castillo, J. (2019) Tomato chlorosis virus, an emergent plant
487   virus still expanding its geographical and host ranges. *Molecular Plant*
488   *Pathology* 20:1307-1320.

489 Fraser, R.S.S. (1990) The genetics of resistance to plant-viruses. *Annual Review of*
490   *Phytopathology* 28:179-200.

491 Friscina A, Chiappetta L, Jacquemond M, Tepfer M. (2017) Infection of non-host model
492   plant species with the narrow-host-range *Cacao swollen shoot virus*. *Molecular*
493   *Plant Pathology* 18:293-297.

494 Fujisaki, K., Iwahashi, F., Kaido, M., Okuno, T., and Mise K. (2009) Genetic analysis
495   of a host determination mechanism of bromoviruses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.
496   *Virus Research* 140:103-111.

497 Garcia-Ruiz, H. (2019) Host factors against plant viruses. *Molecular Plant Pathology*
498   20:1588-1601.

499 Gilbert, G.S., Magarey, R., Suiter, K., and Webb, C.O. (2012) Evolutionary tools for
500   phytosanitary risk analysis: phylogenetic signal as a predictor of host range of
501   plant pests and pathogens. *Evolutionary Applications* 5:869-878.

502 Gilbert, G.S., and Parker, I.M. (2016) The evolutionary ecology of plant disease: A
503   phylogenetic perspective. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 54:549–578.

504 Harris, J.M., Balint-Kurti, P., Bede, J.C., Day, B., Gold, S., Goss, E.M., Grenville-Briggs,
505   L.J., Jones, K.M., Wang, A., Wang, Y. and Mitra, R.M. (2020) What are the top
506   10 unanswered questions in molecular plant-microbe interactions? *Molecular*
507   *Plant-Microbe Interactions* 33:1354-1365.

508 Hashimoto, M., Neriya, Y., Yamaji, Y., and Namba, S. (2016). Recessive resistance to
509   plant viruses: potential resistance genes beyond translation initiation factors.
510   *Frontiers in Microbiology* 7:1695.

511 Heil, M., and Vega-Muñoz, I. (2019) Nucleic acid sensing in mammals and plants:
512   facts and caveats. *International Review of Cell and Molecular Biology* 345:
513   225-285.

514 Hoffmann, M., Kleine-Weber, H., Schroeder, S. et al., 2020. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry
515   depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease
516   inhibitor. Cell 181:271-280.

517 Hooks, C.R., and Fereres, A. (2006) Protecting crops from non-persistently aphid-
518   transmitted viruses: a review on the use of barrier plants as a management
519   tool. *Virus Research* 120:1-16.

520 Ishibashi, K., Masuda, K., Naito, S., Meshi, T., and Ishikawa, M. (2007) An inhibitor
521   of viral RNA replication is encoded by a plant resistance gene. *Proceedings*
522   *of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 104:
523   13833-13838.

524 Ishibashi, K., Naito, S., Meshi, T., and Ishikawa, M. (2009). An inhibitory interaction
525   between viral and cellular proteins underlies the resistance of tomato to
526   nonadapted tobamoviruses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
527   *Sciences of the United States of America* 106:8778-8783.

528 Jaubert, M., Bhattacharjee, S., Mello, A. F., Perry, K. L., and Moffett, P. (2011)
529   ARGONAUTE2 mediates RNA-silencing anti-viral defenses against *Potato*
530   *virus X* in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* 156:1556-1564.

531 Kang, B.C., Yeam, I., and Jahn, M.M. (2005) Genetics of plant virus
532   resistance. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 43:581-621.

533 Kim, S.B., Lee, H.Y., Seo, S., Lee, J.H., and Choi, D. (2015). RNA-dependent RNA
534   polymerase (NIb) of the potyviruses is an avirulence factor for the broad-

535 spectrum resistance gene Pvr4 in Capsicum annuum cv. CM334. PLoS One,
536 10(3), e0119639.

537 King, A. M., Lefkowitz, E., Adams, M. J., and Carstens, E. B. (Eds.). (2011) Virus
538 taxonomy: ninth report of the international committee on taxonomy of
539 viruses (Vol. 9). Elsevier.

540 Kørner, C.J., Klauser, D., Niehl, A., Domínguez-Ferreras, A., Chinchilla, D., Boller,
541 T., *et al.,* 2013. The immunity regulator BAK1 contributes to resistance
542 against diverse RNA viruses. *Molecular Plant Microbe Interactions* 26:1271–
543 1280.

544 Lefeuvre, P., Martin, D. P., Elena, S. F., Shepherd, D. N., Roumagnac, P., and
545 Varsani, A. (2019) Evolution and ecology of plant viruses. *Nature Reviews*
546 *Microbiology* 17:632-644.

547 Lin, J. (2013) Non-host resistance to *Bean pod mottle virus* in *Nicotiana*
548 *benthamiana*. D. Phil. Thesis, The Ohio State University.

549 Maule, A.J., Caranta, C., Boulton, M.I. (2007) Sources of natural resistance to plant
550 viruses: status and prospects. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 8:223-31.

551 Meier, N., Hatch, C., Nagalakshmi, U., and Dinesh-Kumar, S.P. 2019. Perspectives
552 on intracellular perception of plant viruses. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 20:1185-
553 1190.

554 Moury, B., and Desbiez, C. (2020) Host range evolution of potyviruses: A global
555 phylogenetic analysis. *Viruses* 12:111.

556 Nieto, C., Rodriguez-Moreno, L., Rodriguez-Hernandez, A.M., Aranda, M.A., and
557 Truniger V. (2011) *Nicotiana benthamiana* resistance to nonadapted *Melon*
558 *necrotic spot virus* results from an incompatible interaction between virus   RNA
559 and translation initiation factor 4E. *Plant Journal* 66:492-501.

560 Pagan, I., Montes, N., Milgroom, M.G., and Garcia-Arenal, F. (2014) Vertical
561 transmission selects for reduced virulence in a plant virus and for increased
562 resistance in the host. *PLoS Pathogens* 10: e1004293.

563 Pallas, V., and Garcia, J. A. (2011) How do plant viruses induce disease? Interactions
564 and interference with host components. *Journal General Virology* 92:2691-2705.

565 Panstruga, R., and Moscou, M.J. (2020) What is the molecular basis of nonhost
566   resistance? *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 33:1253-1264.

567 Poulicard, N., Pinel-Galzi, A., Traoré, O., Vignols, F., Ghesquière, A., *et al.,*
568   (2012) Historical contingencies modulate the adaptability of *Rice Yellow Mottle*
569   *Virus*. *PLoS Pathogens* 8:e1002482

570 Powell, G., Pirone, T. and Hardie, J. (1995) Aphid stylet activities during potyvirus
571   acquisition from plants and an in vitro system that correlate with subsequent
572   transmission. *European Journal Plant Pathology* 101:411–420.

573 Sardaru, P., Sinausía, L., López-González, S., Zindovic, J., Sánchez, F., and Ponz,
574   F. (2018) The apparent non-host resistance of Ethiopian mustard to a
575   radish-infecting strain of Turnip mosaic virus is largely determined by the
576   C-terminal region of the P3 viral protein. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 19:1984-
577   1994.

578 Scholthof, H.B., Scholthof, K.B., and Jackson, A.O. (1995) Identification of *tomato*
579   *bushy stunt virus* host-specific symptom determinants by expression of
580   individual genes from a potato virus X vector. *The Plant Cell* 7:1157-1172.

581 Shan, H., Pasin, F., Tzanetakis, I. E., Simón-Mateo, C., García, J.A., and Rodamilans,
582   B. (2018) Truncation of a P1 leader proteinase facilitates potyvirus replication in
583   a non-permissive host. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 19:1504-1510.

584 Shoemaker, L.G., Hayhurst, E., Weiss-Lehman, C.P., *et al.,* (2019) Pathogens
585   manipulate the preference of vectors, slowing disease spread in a multi-host
586   system. *Ecology Letters* 22:1115-1125.

587 Shopan, J., Lv, X., Hu, Z., Zhang, M., and Yang, J. (2020) Eukaryotic translation
588   initiation factors shape RNA viruses resistance in plants. *Horticultural Plant*
589   *Journal* 6:81-88.

590 Soosaar, J.L., Burch-Smith, T.M., and Dinesh-Kumar, S.P. (2005) Mechanisms of
591   plant resistance to viruses. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 3:789-798.

592 Stafford, C.A., Walker, G.P. and Ullman, D.E. (2011) Infection with a plant virus
593   modifies vector feeding behavior. *Proceedings of National Academy of*
594   *Sciences USA* 108:9350–9355.

595 Teixeira, R.M., Ferreira, M.A., Raimundo, G.A., Loriato, V.A., Reis, P.A., and
596   Fontes, E.P. (2019) Virus perception at the cell surface: revisiting the roles
597   of receptor-like kinases as viral pattern recognition receptors. *Molecular Plant*
598   *Pathology* 20:1196-1202.

599 Verlaan, M. G., Hutton, S. F., Ibrahem, R. M., Kormelink, R., Visser, R. G., Scott, J. W.,
600   et al. (2013) The tomato yellow leaf curl virus resistance genes Ty-1 and Ty 3 are
601   allelic and code for DFDGD-class RNA–dependent RNA polymerases. *PLoS*
602   *Genetics*, 9.

603 Wang, L.L., Wang, X. R., Wei, X. M., Huang, H., Wu, J. X., Chen, X. X., Liu, S. S.,
604   and Wang, X. W. (2016). The autophagy pathway participates in resistance to
605   tomato yellow leaf curl virus infection in whiteflies. *Autophagy* 12:1560-1574.

606 Wang, Y., Xu, W., Abe, J., Nakahara, K. S., and Hajimorad, M. R. (2020). Precise
607   exchange exchange of the helper-component proteinase cistron between
608   soybean mosaic virus and Clover yellow vein virus: Impact on virus viability and
609   host range specificity. *Phytopathology* 110: 206-214.

610 Welsh, J. E., Steenhuis, P., de Moraes, K. R., van der Meer, J., Thieltges, D. W., and
611   Brussaard, C.P. (2020) Marine virus predation by non-host organisms. *Scientific*
612   *Reports* 10:1-9.

613 Whitham, S.A. and Wang, Y. (2004) Roles for host factors in plant viral
614   pathogenicity. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 7:365–371.

615 Wu, X., and Ye, J. (2020) Manipulation of jasmonate signaling by plant viruses and
616   their insect vectors. *Viruses* 12:148.

617 Yang, S. J., Carter, S. A., Cole, A. B., Cheng, N. H., and Nelson, R. S. (2004) A
618   natural variant of a host RNA-dependent RNA polymerase is associated   with
619   increased susceptibility to viruses by Nicotiana benthamiana.   *Proceedings*
620   *of the National Academy of Sciences of the United   States   of   America* 101:
621   6297-6302.

622 Yang, P., Lüpken, T., Habekuss, A., Hensel, G., Steuernagel, B., Kilian, B., et   al.,
623   (2014) PROTEIN DISULFIDE ISOMERASE LIKE 5-1 is a susceptibility factor to
624   plant viruses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences   of   the*
625   *United States of America* 111:2104-2109.

626 Zorzatto, C., Machado, J. P. B., Lopes, K. V., Nascimento, K. J., Pereira, W. A.
627   Brustolini, and O. J. Gouveia, B. C. et al., (2015) NIK1-mediated translation
628   suppression functions as a plant anti-viral immunity mechanism. *Nature* 520:
629   679-682.
630 Zhao, Y., Yang, X., Zhou, G., and Zhang, T. (2020) Engineering plant virus
631   resistance: from RNA silencing to genome editing strategies. *Plant*
632   *Biotechnology Journal* 18:328.
633 Ziegler-Graff V. (2020). Molecular insights into host and vector manipulation by plant
634   viruses. *Viruses*12:263. https://doi.org/10.3390/v12030263
635
636
637
638
639

Examples of determinants of NHR in plants

1 **Table 1. Examples of determinants of NHR in plants**

Sl. No.	Host factors	Virus	Description	References
1	Eukaryotic translation initiation factors (eIF4E)	*Melon necrotic spot virus*	Incompatible interaction between virus RNA and eIF4E leads to *Nicotiana benthamiana* resistance against non-adapted *Melon necrotic spot virus*	Nieto *et al.* 2011
2	ARGONAUTE2	*Potato virus X*	RNA silencing mediated antiviral defense in Arabidopsis against PVX	Jaubert *et al.* 2011
3	*Tm-1*	*Tomato mosaic virus*	*Tm-1* encodes a protein that binds to and inhibits the functioning of the replication proteins of *Tomato mosaic virus*	Ishibashi *et al.* 2007
4	Constitutive PR expression 5 (CPR5)	*Brome mosaic virus*	*BMV* multiplies efficiently in cpr5-2 Arabidopsis mutant, which depended on the functions of RNA1 and RNA2.	Fujisaki *et al.*2009
	Viral proteins			
5	Helper-component proteinase (HC-Pro)	*Soybean mosaic virus* and *Clover yellow vein virus*	Although HC-Pro cistrons are functionally compatible in infection, they	Wang *et al.* 2020

			are not involved in determination of host range specificity	
6	P1 leader proteinase	Potyvirus	Removal of a P1 leader proteinase promotes potyvirus replication in a non-adapted host	Shan *et al.* 2018
7	P3 viral protein	*Turnip mosaic virus*	Facilitate apparent NHR in Ethiopian mustard against *Turnip mosaic virus*	Sardaru *et al.* 2018

Figure 1

Figure 1. A generalized overview of the potential molecular mechanisms of non-host resistance to viruses.

(A) The incompatible interaction between viral factors and host proteins or the members of non-functional viral susceptibility proteins, such as eIF, translation initiation factor; NLR, nucleotide-binding domain leucine-rich repeat; and RDR, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, can provide resistance to viruses by inhibiting viral multiplication. (B) A simplified mechanism showing antiviral silencing in which RDR creates an amplification loop in the process of RNA degradation, mediated by the dsRNA-specific endo-ribonuclease Dicer-like (DCL) family of proteins. DCLs detect and process dsRNA into small interfering RNAs (siRNAs). Argonaute (AGO) proteins play a major role in RNA silencing which further functions distinctively in transcriptional and post-transcriptional gene silencing. Viral suppressors for RNA silencing (VSR) repress RNA silencing, having evolved to be encoded by plant viruses to neutralize resistance mechanisms by antiviral silencing in plants. (C) Salicylic acid (SA) significantly induces the expression of RDR in the presence of TMV infections, which shows a critical link between RNA silencing and the nonexpressor of PR-1 (NPR1)-dependent SA signaling pathway. Abbreviations: COI, CORONATINE INSENSITIVE-1; JAZ, jasmonate ZIM domain; NSP, non-structural proteins

A. Host-virus incompatible interactions or non functional viral susceptibility factors

VIRUS

Viral factor | Host protein

incompatible interaction

eIFs, NLR, RDR

No Replication

B. Antiviral silencing

VIRUS

Replication

Genome

Viral RNA degradation

AGO

VSR

siRNAs

DCL   RDR

Sense or antisense RNA

Suppression of viral invasion

C. Defense signaling pathways

SA

NPR1

TGA2

Defense gene   Promoter

PR

JA-Ile

COI1

JAZ   MYC2

C2, βC1, 2b   NSP

ToLCV   CMV   TSWV

SA signaling pathway

Resistance against cellular and viral pathogens

Role in NHR ?