Comments:

This study aimed to examine patterns and purposes for use of medical cannabis, and users' perceptions towards benefits and harms of cannabis and related policies in 2019-2020. The overall level of the paper is good: it is well written and some important considerations are highlighted. This paper has a potential to be accepted, but some important points have to be clarified as follows;

1.Abstract

The author should state **conclusion** instead of discussion.

2.Introduction: OK

Literature review relevance with the research title. Background and significance of the study were appropriate.

3.Method: OK

The methods are generally appropriate. Good methodology; instruments, materials.

4. Results: Please clarify

Table 1 Description of surveys and socio demographic characteristics of medical cannabis users, %(95%confidence interval)

Variables	North	Center	North-east	South	Total
Study period	Oct-Nov 2019	Nov2019-Feb	Nov 2019-	Oct 2019-Jan	
		2020	Feb 2020	2020	
Data	8 weeks	11 weeks	<u>16 weeks</u>	16 weeks	
collection					
period					
Age					
18-44 years					
45-65 years					
65 years or					
over					

- The study period in Center (Nov2019-Feb 2020) and Noth-east (Nov2019-Feb 2020) was the same time, but the data collection period is different (11 weeks vs. 16 weeks). Please adding an explanation why variables study period and data collection period of Center and North-east region is not the same.

-Age

65 years or over should change into >65 years

-Remarks under the Table 1 line 2-17 such as *Condition for use of......should be cut out.

5.Conclusion

The conclusion section should be short and specific results and interpreting the data (percent, 95% confidence interval) in detail. So it is more better to conclude from the objectives and results.

Objective

-patterns and purposes for use of medical cannabis, and users' perceptions (benefis and harms)

Results

-line 184 patterns of cannabis use

-line 195 Conditions treated with medical cannabis

-line 228 Perceptions of benefits and harms of canabis

6.Discussion

It was too long. The discussion should not be an in-depth review of the literature, but rather a discussion of the findings as they relate to published studies. A good rule of discussion is to aim for approximately 1000-1500 words. Finally, the author should provide the topic or the suggestions for future research.
