1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Taxonomic revision, morphology, and genetic variability of <i>Holothuriophilus trapeziformis</i>
10	Nauck, 1880 (Decapoda: Pinnotheridae) from the Pacific coast of Mexico.
11	
12	
13	Fernando Cortés-Carrasco ¹ , Manuel Elías-Gutiérrez ^{1*} , María del Socorro García-Madrigal ²
14	
15	
16	
17 18 19 20 21 22	 ¹Departamento de Sistemática y Ecología Acuática, Zooplancton y Oceanografía. El Colegio de la Frontera Sur unidad Chetumal, Avenida Centenario km 5.5, Apdo. Postal 424, 77000, Chetumal, Quintana Roo, México. ²Laboratorio de Sistemática de Invertebrados Marinos (LABSIM), Universidad del Mar, Campus Puerto Ángel, Ciudad Universitaria, Apdo. Postal 47, 70902, Puerto Ángel, Oaxaca, México.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28 29 30	*Corresponding author: Manuel Elías-Gutiérrez melias@ecosur.mx
31	
32	
33	
34	

35 Abstract

Background. Holothuriophilus trapeziformis Nauck, 1880 is a holothurian-dweller Pinnotherid 36 37 crab and represents one of the two species of the genus, which is distributed along the Pacific coast 38 of America. Currently, only one morphological character separates both species because, since 39 1880, only the females were known. Furthermore, the original description of H. trapeziformis and 40 its subsequent descriptions are incomplete or ambiguous and genetic information for this species 41 does not exist. Our goal here is to describe for the first time the *H. trapeziformis* male morphology, 42 discuss the morphological variations observed and clarify the taxonomic status of the species, and provide a genetic comparison based on the DNA barcoding. 43

44 Methods. We used the integrative taxonomy to re-describe *Holothuriophilus trapeziformis*, 45 including a complete morphological description of the male and female. We also compared, the 46 intraspecific morphological variability and conducted a genetic analysis based on comparing of the 47 COI gene among different sequences of the related Pinnotheridae prepared by us and available 48 public databases.

49 Results. Holothuriophilus trapeziformis, as any decapod, has a strong sexual dimorphism. Fifty-50 five specimens collected on the Pacific coast of Mexico were examined, and the DNA barcodes 51 were compared. H. trapeziformis is confirmed as a different species from H. pacificus by the 52 general shape of the carapace, the previously known interdactilar gape condition, the ornamentation 53 of the pincers fingers, and by the shape of the male abdomen and its first gonopod, also the interspecific COI divergences are >3%. Morphological variations coincide with COI, and a 54 55 haplotype network resolution defined one clade and two subgroups. Genetic analyses determined a structure population with 22 haplotypes among regions with a gene flow of the possible ancestral 56 57 haplotype from south to north. An emerging allopatric differentiation process is showed by both 58 the species morphology and barcoding. Results coincided with the Barcode Index Number (BIN) assigned to this species (BOLD: ADE9974). Moreover, *H. trapeziformis* is recorded for the first
time within the intestine of its host, the sea cucumber *Holothuria (Halodeima) inornata* Semper,
and its distribution range on the Mexican Pacific coast was extended.

62 Introduction.

63 Pinnotherids (Crustacea: Pinnotheridae) are true decapod crabs, which show a conspicuous sexual 64 dimorphism, notably different morphological states of development and complex ecological 65 relationships with different invertebrates, and can also be found in free life (Schmitt et al. 1973; Ocampo et al. 2011; Becker & Türkay 2017). Thirteen species are known to be endobiotic with sea 66 cucumbers (Ng & Manning 2003). Of them, Holothuriophilus trapeziformis Nauck, 1880, is one 67 68 of the two species of pinnotherids crabs described for the genus (Manning 1993); however, his its 69 taxonomic status remains incomplete because male morphology is unknown and the available 70 information about the female illustrations shows some inconsistencies. These situations have 71 caused the differentiation of <u>H. trapeziformis from H. pacificus</u> both species to beis based on a 72 single morphological character ([please indicates the character here](Campos, Peláez-Zárate & 73 Solis-Marin 2012) that could be subjective. It should be added that, to date, there is no genetic 74 information related to H. trapeziformis. 75 Holothuriophilus Nauck, 1880 from the Pacific coast of America, was established with consists 76 of H. trapeziformis from Mazatlan, Mexico, and includes H. pacificus (Poeppig, 1836) from 77 Talcahuano, Chile (Manning 1993). Both species are associated with sea cucumbers (Garth 1957; Campos, Peláez-Zárate & Solís-Marín 2012). Also, Pinnaxodes mutuensis Sakai, 1939, from 78 79 Aomori Bay, Japan (Takeda & Masahito 2000) and P. tomentosus Ortmann, 1894, from Brazil have been considered as belonging to Holothuriophilus (Melo & Bohes 2004; Ng, Guinot & 80

81 Davie 2008). However, their definitive status is currently under revision due to differences in

コメントの迫加 [TN1]: Do you mean two species are described under as new species of *Holothuriophilus*? *H. trapeziformis* is the only species described as a new species of the genus. *H. pacificus* was originally described as *Leucosia*.

 コメントの追加 [TN2]: When compare with what?
 書式変更: フォント: 斜体, コンプレックス スクリプト 用のフォント: 斜体
 書式変更: コンプレックス スクリプト用のフォント: 斜体
 書式変更: フォント: 斜体, コンプレックス スクリプト 用のフォント: 斜体

- 82 diagnostic characters and for being associated with mollusks as a host (Campos, Peláez-Zárate &
- 83 Solís-Marín 2012).
- 84 *Holothuriophilus* is diagnosed by its transversally elongated carapace, wider anterior to middle
- 85 portion; its short, robust and compressed walking legs, with the dorsal margin cristate; and the
- 86 third maxilliped, with the ischiomerus indistinguishably fused (Garth 1957; Manning1993; Ng &
- 87 Manning 2003; Campos, Peláez-Zárate & Solís-Marín 2012).
- 88 So far, Holothuriophilus trapeziformis can only be differentiated from H. pacificus based on a
- single morphological character and by an ecological condition related to the host specificity. The
- 90 former species has a narrowed opening when the pincers' fingers are closed and its ecological
- 91 host is the sea cucumber Holothuria (Halodeima) inornata Semper, but in the latter species the
- 92 finger's gap is conspicuous and its host corresponds to Athyonidium chilensis (Semper) (=
- 93 Eucyclus chilensis), another sea cucumber (Garth 1957; Campos, Peláez-Zárate & Solís-Marín
- 94 2012; Honey-Escandón & Solís-Marín 2018).
- Also, Nauck (1880) did not designate a holotype when he described *H. trapeziformis*, the original
- 96 description did not provide enough information, and the host identity was erroneously
- 97 determined. Moreover, the female syntypes were deteriorated over time and the male was
- 98 unknown (Bürger 1895; De Man 1887; Ng & Manning 2003). Later, Manning (1993), Ng &
- 99 Manning (2003) and Ahyong & Ng (2007) examined the syntype series to complete the diagnosis
- 100 and designated a lectotype which was described and illustrated. However, there are
- 101 inconsistencies between their illustrations and the diagnostic characters are not informative when
- 102 considering the information available for *Holothuriophilus pacificus*. In addition, for 84 years H.
- 103 trapeziformis had not been collected again until Caso (1958, 1964, 1965). She collected four
- 104 pinnotherids determined as Pinnixa barnharti (not Pinnixa barnharti Rathbun, 1918) associated
- 105 with Holothuria inornata Semper and H. kefersteinii (Selenka) (= H. riojai Caso, 1964). Thirty-

コメントの追加 [TN3]: Campos et al. (2012) did mentioned that "The taxonomy and systematics of these species is currently being studied by the first author and will be published elsewhere.", but they did not made any actions on these two species, so they should also be treated as *Holothuriophilus* in this paper.

コメントの追加 [TN4]: Sentences from here forward are detailed version of previous sentences. Need to revise the structure to make it in a consice manner. Also need to indicate exactly what is the aim of this study.

106	four years later, one of Caso's specimens was determined as Holothuriophilus sp. by Campos,
107	Díaz & Gamboa-Contreras (1998). More recently Campos, Peláez-Zárate & Solís-Marín (2012)
108	updated the species diagnosis and made a review of the genus. Finally, Honey-Escandón & Solís-
109	Marín (2018) confirmed the ecological association between H. trapeziformis and Holothuria
110	inornata, but Caso's (1958, 1965) records of Holothuria kefersteinii as a host remains uncertain
111	because the field collection data does not correspond with the material reviewed by Honey-
112	Escandón & Solís-Marín (2018), and the location of these pinnotherids and their holothurian
113	hosts is unknown (F Solís-Marín, 2018, pers. comm.).
114	For Holothuriophilus trapeziformis there is currently no data on genetic information and on its
115	historical demography, contrary to H. pacificus that has information related to the COI gene
116	sequence for one specimen from the shoreline in southern Chile (CFAD062-11;
117	boldsystems.org). In this context, sequencing of approximately 650 bp region of the
118	mitochondrial Cytocrome Oxidase 1 gene (COI) has been promoted to conform a standardized
119	DNA barcode system with the aim of being one more tool for the identification of biological
120	species with many applications in diverse fields of knowledge (Hebert et al. 2003; Hajibabaei et
121	al. 2007). In spite of the difficulty to work with COI regarding the debate about the acceptance of
122	one molecular marker as an accurate character to delimit a species (Will & Rubinoff 2004), it has
123	been considered the best marker for identification in other decapods (Spielmann et al. 2019) and
124	the utility of the DNA Barcoding (COI sequence) has been useful to delimit other pinnotherids
125	(Ocampo et al. 2013; Perez-Miguel et al. 2019), brachyuran larvae (Brandão et al. 2016), and
126	other crustacean taxa (Costa et al. 2007; Matzen da Silva et al. 2011).
127	Considering that integrative taxonomy based on morphological and molecular data, is
128	increasingly useful to define and delimit biological species with greater certainty, the goal of this
129	study is, therefore, to define the taxonomic status of Holothuriophilus trapeziformis by

136	Morphology
135	Material & methods
134	separation of both species of Holothuriophilus from the Pacific coast of America.
133	Finally, this information will provide new diagnostic characters that will allow a clearer
132	baseline of genetic variability and historical demography based on the mitochondrial COI gene.
131	morphological variability in both sexes, updating the range of distribution, and establishing a
130	completing the information on the species with the description of the male, revising of the

- 137 Fifty-two crabs belonging to the *H. trapeziformis* were extracted from the coelom and intestine of
- 138 the sea cucumber Holothuria inornata. Hosts were manually collected through skin and SCUBA
- 139 diving at a maximum depth of 10 meters in Sinaloa, Guerrero, and Oaxaca, Mexico. The
- 140 collected material was labeled and fixed according to the Elías-Gutiérrez et al. (2018) protocol
- 141 for the tissue preservation. Furthermore, due to the size of the specimens and the thickness of the
- 142 cuticle, the preservative was injected into the body of the crabs and the hosts with individual
- 143 insulin syringes to preserve DNA quality for subsequent molecular studies described later in the
- 144 molecular data section.
- 145 All biological material (Table S1) was classified and deposited in the Scientific Collection of
- 146 Marine Invertebrates of the Laboratorio de Sistemática de Invertebrados Marinos (LABSIM)
- 147 from Universidad del Mar (UMAR), Oaxaca, Mexico (OAX-CC-249-11). Hosts were identified
- 148 with specialized literature (Solís-Marín et al. 2009; Honey-Escandón & Solís-Marín 2018).
- 149 For the analysis of the taxonomic status of Holothuriophilus trapeziformis specialized literature
- 150 from Nauck (1880), Manning (1993), Ng & Manning (2003), Ahyong & Ng (2007), and Campos,
- 151 Peláez-Zárate & Solís-Marine (2012) was reviewed. Likewise, for H. pacificus, Poeppig (1836),
- 152 Nobili (1901), Rathbun (1918) and Garth (1957), were reviewed.

Field permit for collections with non-comercial scientific research purposes was issued by
Secretaría de Agricultura, Ganadería, Desarrollo Rural, Pesca y Alimentación (SAGARPA) and
Comisión Nacional de Acuacultura y Pesca (CONAPESCA) (Collecting permit: PPF/DGOPA301/17).

157 The species description follows the terminology of Campos et al. (2012) and Davie, Guinot & Ng 158 (2015), this last one mostly for the general shape of the carapace, and setae terminology of Garm 159 & Watling (2013). Drawings were made with the help of a lucid camera and then digitalized in a 160 vector format. Pictures were taken with a digital camera Nikon D5100. Measures are given in 161 millimeters and the latitude and longitude were obtained from Google EarthTM. 162 Because we were only able to obtain nine specimens (three males and six females) from the type 163 locality, in contrast to 47 (six males and 41 females) from the southern region, and due to 164 morphological variability observed among individuals of the same sex and between them, as well 165 as within and among geographic regions, it was necessary to standardize the observations of the 166 variation with specimens at the same stage of development. The shared stage of development 167 between the three regions (Sinaloa, Guerrero, and Oaxaca) corresponded to males and ovigerous 168 females with a carapace width measurement equal to eight millimeters. To standardize the 169 observations, the specimen and the dissected pieces were mounted on a plastic clay base to make 170 the drawings. Punctually, for the carapace contour, these were mounted in such a way that the 171 dorsal view of the posterior margin line of the carapace still can be observed. For the Mxp3, an 172 attempt was made to extract it from its base to obtain both endopod and exopod, and to mount it 173 with the articles in the same perspective. The cutting edge of the fingers chelae were cleaned of 174 dirt in order to view all the teeth. The first gonopod was extracted from its base and the setae

175 cleaned of dirt.

176	Abbreviations used in the text: CL, carapace length (taken as the middle line from the frontal
177	margin to the posterior margin of the carapace); CW, carapace width (measured in its medium-
178	anterior portion); Mxp2, second maxilliped; Mxp3, third maxilliped; P2-5, walking legs 1 to 4.
179	Acronyms used in the text: BOLD, barcode of life database (boldsystems.org); BIN, barcode
180	index number (sensu Ratnasingham & Hebert, 2013); BOLD-ID, Specimen ID in the Barcode of
181	Life Data System; CNE-ICML-UNAM, National Collection of Echinoderms of the Institute of
182	Marine Sciences and Limnology of the National Autonomous University of Mexico; DC-NHM,
183	Division of Crustacea, Natural History Museum, Smithsonian Institution; SMF-ZMG,
184	Senckenberg Museum für Naturkunde, Zoologisches Museum Göttingen University, Humboldt
185	Universität, Berlin; UABC, Autonomous University of Baja California, Mexico; UMAR,
186	Universidad del Mar campus Puerto Angel, Oaxaca, Mexico.
187	Collectors: AEV, Aidé Egremy Valdés; AGF, Andrea Glockner Fagetti; CCA, Carlos Cruz
188	Antonio; AHM, Adanely Hernández Muñoz; FBV, Francisco Benítez Villalobos; FCC, Fernando
189	Cortés Carrasco; HMC, Humberto Mesa Castillo; KFL, Karen Lizbeth Flores López; KMB,
190	Karen Mesa Buendía; RGF, Rebeca Granja Fernández; VCH, Valeria Chavez García.
191	DNA extraction and PCR amplification
192	From the biological material collected in the field and some other taken from the OAX-CC-249-
193	11 collection, genomic DNA was extracted from different tissue samples. For the crabs, muscle
194	of the walking legs, chelae, or eggs were used. For the sea cucumber hosts, underlying muscle
195	from the dorsolateral body wall and/or internal longitudinal ventral muscle were used. Tissues
196	were placed into 96-well microplates with a drop of 96% ethanol, and DNA extraction was
197	carried out following the standard glass fiber method of a mix of Proteinase K with invertebrate
198	lysis buffer according to Ivanova, De Waard & Hebert (2006). Following DNA extraction, the
199	PCR mixture with a final volume of 12.5 µl, contain 2 µl of Hyclone ultrapure water (Thermo

200	Fisher Scientific), 6.25 μ l of 10% trehalose (previously prepared: 5 g D-(+)- trehalose dihydrate
201	(Fluka Analytical) in 50 ml of total volume of molecular grade ddH2O), 1.25 μ l of 10X PCR
202	Platinum Taq buffer (Invitrogen), 0.625 μl of 50 $\mu mol/L$ MgCl2 (Invitrogen), 0.0625 μl of 10
203	$\mu mol/L$ dNTP (KAPA Biosystems), 0.125 μl of each 10 $\mu mol/L$ primer, 0.06 μl of PlatinumTaq
204	DNA polymerase (Invitrogen) and 2 μl of DNA template. All specimens were amplified with the
205	Zooplankton primers (ZplankF1_t1 and ZplankR1_t1, see Prosser et al., 2013 for details). The
206	reactions were cycled at 94°C for 1 min, followed by five cycles of 94°C for 40 seconds, 45°C
207	for 40 seconds and 72°C for 1 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 40 seconds, 51°C for 40
208	seconds and 72°C for 1 min, with a final extension of 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were
209	visualized on a pre-cast 2% agarose gels (E-Gel [©] 96 Invitrogen), and the most intense positive
210	products were selected for sequencing.
211	Sequencing and DNA barcode
212	Selected PCR products were sequenced using a modified (Hajibabaei et al. 2005) $BigDye^{\circ}$
213	Terminator v.3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystem, Inc.), and then sequenced
214	bidirectionally on an ABI 3730XL automated capillary sequencer using M13F and M13R
215	sequence primers at the Biology Institute at the National Autonomous University of Mexico and
216	at the Eurofins Genomics Louisville Laboratory. Sequences were edited using $CodonCode^{\mathbb{G}}v$
217	3.0.1 (CodonCode Corporation, Dedham, MA, USA) and uploaded to BOLD. In some cases, the
218	original forward and reverse tracers uploaded to BOLD were checked again, consensus assembly
219	was generated, and edited manually with Sequencher $^{\odot}$ 4.1.4. (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann
220	Arbor, MI, USA), and then they were aligned using BioEdit [©] (Hall 1999).

221 Phylogeny and distance analysis

222 COI sequences generated for Holothuriophilus trapeziformis in this study were compared with

223 COI sequences from other pinnotherids collected in the Eastern Pacific coast of America,

224	considered as an outgroup and available in BOLD and/or GeneBank (Table S2). Sequence data,
225	trace files, and primer details for all H. trapeziformis specimens and for the outgroup species are
226	available under the dataset name PINMX1HT ("Htrapeziformis from Mexico"; DOI:
227	dx.doi.org/10.5883/DS-PINMX1HT) in the Barcode of Life Data System (barcodinglife.org).
228	Additionally, Holothuriophilus trapeziformis sequences were uploaded to GenBank
229	(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).
230	To infer the phylogenetic relationships, the best-fitting evolution model of nucleotide substitution
231	for distance based on COI alignments was established on the Maximum Likelihood (ML) for 24
232	different nucleotide substitution models, selected according to the Akaike (AIC) and Bayesian
233	(BIC) criterion (Darriba et al. 2011), and tested using jModelTest [©] 2.1.10 (Posada & Buckley
234	2004). The final phylogenetic topology was obtained with nodal support for the resulting
235	branches estimated with 1000 bootstrap replicates in MEGA [©] 6.0 (Tamura et al. 2013). Finally,
236	the resulting topology was edited, and a simplified tree was constructed using $FigTree^{\mathbb{C}}$ (Rambaut
237	2016). Also, interspecific COI genetic distances for the dataset were estimated using the Kimura-
238	2 parameters distance method in MEGA $^{\circ}$ 6.0 (Tamura et al. 2013). Values greater than 3% were
239	considered as threshold for the delimitation of species (Hebert et al. 2003).
240	Intraspecific DNA polymorphisms and historical demography
241	In order to determine the genetic variation within the Holothuriophilus trapeziformis group, 37
242	sequences from the Pacific coast of Mexico were aligned with MUSCLE routine, and
243	intraspecific genetic divergences were obtained using the Kimura 2-parameter substitution model
244	with a bootstrap method in $MEGA^{\odot}$ 6.0 (Tamura et al. 2013).
245	Genetic diversity was estimated with the haplotype diversity (Hd), number of haplotypes (H),
246	nucleotide diversity (π), number of polymorphic or segregating sites (S), average number of
247	nucleotide differences between pairs of sequences (k), guanine and cytosine content (G+C), and

- 248 all the haplotypes of the genetic variants of COI within species were obtained using DnaSP[©]
- 249 v6.12.01 (Rozas et al. 2003, 2017) (<u>http://www.ub.edu/dnasp/</u>).
- 250 The genetic differentiation between localities (Sinaloa, Guerrero, Oaxaca) was analyzed with the
- aid of a fixation index Phist (Φ_{ST} test; with a 10000 permutations for pairwise genetic distance)
- using Arlequin[©] v3.5.2.2 (Excoffier & Lischer 2015)
- 253 (http://cmpg.unibe.ch/software/arlequin35/). Phist values ranged from 0 to 1, and can be
- interpreted according to a scale range of 0.05 as low, 0.05 to 0.15 as moderate, 0.15 to 0.25 as
- great, and above 0.25 as very great; though there is no strict consensus about that scale range
- 256 (Hartl & Clark 1997). This metric considers the haplotype phylogenetic distance and is more
- 257 robust when the genetic diversity within the localities increases (Munguia-Vega et al. 2014).
- 258 Additionally, the spatial relationships between the sampled localities were analyzed through the
- 259 Spatial Analysis of the Molecular Variance (SAMOVA) evaluating the most likely number of
- 260 groups ranging from k=1 to K=2, and significance of Φ statistics was tested by 100000
- 261 permutations using SAMOVA 2.0 software (Dupanloup et al. 2002). The correlation between
- 262 genetic divergence and geographical distance among localities (isolation by distance) was tested
- 263 with a Mantel test performed in XLSTAT v. 2020.1 (https://www.xlstat.com/en/), the p-value
- 264 was estimated by 10000 Monte Carlo simulations.
- 265 Graphical explanation for biogeographical relationships of COI sequences was represented with a
- 266 PopART haplotype network (<u>http://popart.otago.ac.nz/index.shtml</u>) considering the parsimony
- criterion (Clement et al. 2002; Leigh & Bryant 2015).
- 268 Mismatch distribution obtained with DnaSP[©] was used to deduce if a population has undergone
- 269 sudden population expansion; a unimodal distribution indicates recent population expansion with
- 270 little lineage loss, whereas no defined multimodal distribution indicates a constant size growth
- 271 with stochastic lineage loss (Harpending et al. 1993). A goodness of fit between the mismatch

272	distributions was tested under a coalescent model with the Raggedness index (r) and R ₂ function
273	in Arlequin [®] , because these are considered powerful metrics to determine population change
274	when sample size is small (Harpending 1994; Ramos-Onsins & Rozas 2002). In order to examine
275	the signature of population demographic changes in Holothuriophilus trapeziformis sample, we
276	used the Tajima's D statistic with a 1000 coalescent permutations to infer if the data conformed
277	to expectations of neutrality model or if it departed from them; where a statistic near to zero
278	indicates a constant-size population, significant negative values indicate a sudden expansion, and
279	significant positive values indicate recent population bottleneck or a population subdivision
280	(Ramos-Onsins & Rozas 2002).
281	Results
282	Here we analyzed the morphology of 56 specimens coming from three coastal regions in the
283	Mexican Pacific in which the type locality is included; of them, only 51 were processed for the
284	molecular analysis. All the material is listed in Table S1. Detailed morphological revision
285	allowed us to determine notable variations, mostly on the carapace general shape, features of the
286	first male gonopod, and in the pincers chelae ornamentation. Northern type locality morphology
287	shows a notable variation in the general carapace outline shape and general appearance which
288	looks more stout and eroded with respect to that of the southern specimens; however, all
289	specimens show features that define Holothuriophilus trapeziformis according to Ng & Manning
290	(2003), Campos, Peláez-Zárate & Solís-Marín (2012). Besides that, previously undescribed
291	structures like the Mxp2 and male second gonopod plus the genetic data resolution, confirm that
292	all the revised material corresponds with the H. trapeziformis. Complete morphology description

- 293 of the male and discussion of character variations in both sexes are annotated in the Systematic
- 294 section and genetic analyses are annotated after that.
- 295 Systematics
- 296 Infraorder Brachyura Latreille, 1802
- 297 Family Pinnotheridae De Haan, 1833
- 298 Genus Holothuriophilus Nauck, 1880
- 299 Holothuriophilus. Manning, 1993: 225 (First genus diagnosis).
- 300 Diagnosis (modified from Manning 1993). Carapace broader than long, widest on mid
- 301 **anterior portion, transversely** subrectangular, subovate or subtrapezoidal. Third maxilliped
- with ischium and merus indistinguishable fused; exopod with one segmented flagellum;
- 303 endopod palp 3-segmented; propodus shorter than carpus, conical; subspatulate dactylus
- 304 articulates basally on propodus, extending beyond end of propodus. Dactyli of walking legs
- 305 similar and subequal, short. Abdomen of seven segments in both sexes.
- 306 Holothuriophilus trapeziformis Nauck, 1880
- 307 (Figs. 1A–G, 2A–D, 3A–F 4A–F, 5A–D)
- 308 Holothuriophilus trapeziformis Nauck, 1880: 24, 66 (Brief diagnosis of cephalothorax and Mxp3,
- 309 type locality Mazatlan, Mexico, indicates association with Holothuria maxima Semper).—De
- 310 Man 1887: 721–722 (Female syntype redescription, CW = 13.8 mm, CL = 10.5 mm).—Manning
- 311 1993: 524–528, Fig. 3C (Reinstates and diagnoses of the genus).—Ng & Manning 2003: 903,
- 312 916-918, Fig. 7C–F, (Designates female lectotype: SMF-ZMG 67/565a, CW = 7.7 mm, LC = 4.8
- 313 mm, illustration of Mxp3 and walking legs).—Ahyong & Ng 2007: 213-214, Fig. 20, (Illustrate
- the general shape of the body, cheliped and Mxp3 of the lectotype SMF-ZMG 170 (Go565a),
- 315 CW = 7.7 mm, CL = 4.8 mm).—Campos, Peláez-Zárate & Solís-Marín 2012: 57–62, Figs. 1A, B,
- 316 2A-D (Record specimens from Punta Tiburon, Mazatlan, Sinaloa, Mexico, associated with

コメントの追加 [TN5]: What do characters highlighted by bold mean???	
書式変更: 蛍光ペン	2
書式変更: 蛍光ペン	2

- 317 Holothuria lubrica and H. inornata and from Ixtapa, Guerrero, Mexico, associated with
- 318 Holothuria kefersteinii and H. inornata deposited in the CNE-ICMyL-UNAM Collection).
- 319 Pinnotheres trapeziformis Bürger 1895: 380–381, plate 9, Fig. 26, plate 10, Fig. 25 (Brief
- 320 description of one female (CW = 14 mm, CL = 10 mm) associated with *Holothuria maxima*
- 321 Semper from unknown locality, and one specimen erroneously determined as a male (CW = 5
- 322 mm, CL = 8.5 mm) associated with *Holothuria inornata* Semper, from Mazatlan, Mexico).—
- 323 Adensamer 1887: 107 (Provides the catalog number for the SMF).—Tesch 1918: 285 (list of
- 324 species).—Schmitt, McCain & Davidson 1973: 5, 13, 89 (Annotated checklist of the
- 325 Pinnotheridae from the DC-NHM).
- 326 Pinnoteres trapeziformis Balss 1957: 1417 (not 1956 fide Schmitt, McCain & Davidson 1973).
- 327 Pinnixa barnharti (no Rathbun, 1918) Caso 1958: 329 (First record since Nauck (1880) of a
- 328 specimen from playa El Almacen, Guerrero, identified by Rioja), 1965: 254–26 (Second record
- 329 of three specimens from playa Las Gatas, Guerrero, identified by Rioja).
- 330 Holothuriophilus sp. Campos, Díaz & Gambóa-Contrera 1998: 377, Fig. 1E (Corrects as
- 331 Holothuria sp. the name of a Pinnixa barnharti specimen recorded by Caso (1965) from
- 332 Guerrero, illustration of the Mxp3).
- 333 Material examined: 56 specimens: 25 ovigerous females, 22 females, nine males (Table S1).
- 334 General distribution: Tropical Eastern Pacific (Mexico).
- 335 Previous records: Mazatlan, Punta Tiburon (Sinaloa); Ixtapa (Guerrero).
- 336 New records: playa Pinitos (Sinaloa); playa Nudista, playa Zacatoso, playa Caleta de Chon
- 337 (Guerrero); playa Agua Blanca, playa Coral, playa Camaron, playa Panteón, playa Estacahuite,
- 338 playa La Tijera, bahia San Agustin, playa El Tejon (Oaxaca).
- 339 BIN: BOLD: ADE9974

コメントの追加 [TN6]: 1. Don't include memo of literature in synonymy list. 2. Including these literature in synonymy list means that you consider these records under respective names are positively of H. trapeziformis.

340	Size range (mm): Males: CW = 5.5–11, CL = 3.2-7; females: CW = 5.1–11, CL = 3–7;
341	ovigerous females: $CW = 7.3-13$, $CL = 5-8$.
342	Diagnosis (modified from Campos, Peláez-Zárate & Solís-Marín 2012). Carapace
343	transversally elongated, widest anterior to middle portion, broader than long, general shape
344	transversely suboval or subtrapezoidal; anterolateral margin cristate, with a hepatic notch
345	which has a vanished blunt tooth, notch sometimes visible only in lateral view; front under
346	postfrontal ridge, deflexed, emarginated, its margin scarcely visible in dorsal view. Third
347	maxilliped with ischium merus indistinguishably fused, palp 3-segmented; carpus subequal in
348	length to subtrapezoidal propodus; spoon-shaped or suboblong dactylus articulated on medial
349	ventral third of propodus; tip of dactylus slightly overreaching tip of propodus; exopod with one-
350	segment flagellum. Cheliped merus, carpus inner surface densely setose; propodus ventral
351	inner margin with a row of short seta; propodus and dactylus almost meeting when closed;
352	dactylus cutting edge with proximal denticles, a conspicuous tooth and a distal convex or
352 353	dactylus cutting edge with proximal denticles, a conspicuous tooth and a distal convex or acute projection. Walking legs robust, similar in shape, segments compressed, dorsal surface
352 353 354	dactylus cutting edge with proximal denticles, a conspicuous tooth and a distal convex or acute projection. Walking legs robust, similar in shape, segments compressed, dorsal surface cristate; merus dorsal surface of W1, 3 and 4 with setae, W2 without seta; carpi and propodi
 352 353 354 355 	dactylus cutting edge with proximal denticles, a conspicuous tooth and a distal convex or acute projection. Walking legs robust, similar in shape, segments compressed, dorsal surface cristate; merus dorsal surface of W1, 3 and 4 with setae, W2 without seta; carpi and propodi subequal; dactyli shorter than preceding articles, similar and subequal, last pair shorter than
 352 353 354 355 356 	dactylus cutting edge with proximal denticles, a conspicuous tooth and a distal convex or acute projection. Walking legs robust, similar in shape, segments compressed, dorsal surface cristate; merus dorsal surface of W1, 3 and 4 with setae, W2 without seta; carpi and propodi subequal; dactyli shorter than preceding articles, similar and subequal, last pair shorter than preceding. Abdomen with 6 somites plus free telson; on male, margin of somite 4 to 6 concave,
 352 353 354 355 356 357 	dactylus cutting edge with proximal denticles, a conspicuous tooth and a distal convex or acute projection. Walking legs robust, similar in shape, segments compressed, dorsal surface cristate; merus dorsal surface of W1, 3 and 4 with setae, W2 without seta; carpi and propodi subequal; dactyli shorter than preceding articles, similar and subequal, last pair shorter than preceding. Abdomen with 6 somites plus free telson; on male, margin of somite 4 to 6 concave, telson subrounded. Male first gonopod notably curved outward from its mid-distal portion.
 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 	dactylus cutting edge with proximal denticles, a conspicuous tooth and a distal convex or acute projection. Walking legs robust, similar in shape, segments compressed, dorsal surface cristate; merus dorsal surface of W1, 3 and 4 with setae, W2 without seta; carpi and propodi subequal; dactyli shorter than preceding articles, similar and subequal, last pair shorter than preceding. Abdomen with 6 somites plus free telson; on male, margin of somite 4 to 6 concave, telson subrounded. Male first gonopod notably curved outward from its mid-distal portion. Description: Male (Fig 1A, B, C; UMAR-DECA-308; CW = 11 mm, CL = 7 mm): Carapace,
 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 	dactylus cutting edge with proximal denticles, a conspicuous tooth and a distal convex or acute projection. Walking legs robust, similar in shape, segments compressed, dorsal surface cristate; merus dorsal surface of W1, 3 and 4 with setae, W2 without seta; carpi and propodi subequal; dactyli shorter than preceding articles, similar and subequal, last pair shorter than preceding. Abdomen with 6 somites plus free telson; on male, margin of somite 4 to 6 concave, telson subrounded. Male first gonopod notably curved outward from its mid-distal portion. Description: Male (Fig 1A, B, C; UMAR-DECA-308; CW = 11 mm, CL = 7 mm): Carapace, transversely subtrapezoidal, wider than long, CW/CL ratio ca. 1.4 to 1.6, mid-anterior portion
 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 	dactylus cutting edge with proximal denticles, a conspicuous tooth and a distal convex or acute projection. Walking legs robust, similar in shape, segments compressed, dorsal surface cristate; merus dorsal surface of W1, 3 and 4 with setae, W2 without seta; carpi and propodi subequal; dactyli shorter than preceding articles, similar and subequal, last pair shorter than preceding. Abdomen with 6 somites plus free telson; on male, margin of somite 4 to 6 concave, telson subrounded. Male first gonopod notably curved outward from its mid-distal portion. Description: Male (Fig 1A, B, C; UMAR-DECA-308; CW = 11 mm, CL = 7 mm): Carapace, transversely subtrapezoidal, wider than long, CW/CL ratio ca. 1.4 to 1.6, mid-anterior portion wider; anterolateral margins slightly projected, cristated, a hepatic notch with a blunt middle
 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 361 	dactylus cutting edge with proximal denticles, a conspicuous tooth and a distal convex or acute projection. Walking legs robust, similar in shape, segments compressed, dorsal surface cristate; merus dorsal surface of W1, 3 and 4 with setae, W2 without seta; carpi and propodi subequal; dactyli shorter than preceding articles, similar and subequal, last pair shorter than preceding. Abdomen with 6 somites plus free telson; on male, margin of somite 4 to 6 concave, telson subrounded. Male first gonopod notably curved outward from its mid-distal portion. Description: Male (Fig 1A, B, C; UMAR-DECA-308; CW = 11 mm, CL = 7 mm): Carapace, transversely subtrapezoidal, wider than long, CW/CL ratio ca. 1.4 to 1.6, mid-anterior portion wider; anterolateral margins slightly projected, cristated, a hepatic notch with a blunt middle tooth (Figs. 2A, B; bold arrow); dorsal surface convex, smooth, without defined regions; mid-
 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359 360 361 362 	dactylus cutting edge with proximal denticles, a conspicuous tooth and a distal convex or acute projection. Walking legs robust, similar in shape, segments compressed, dorsal surface cristate; merus dorsal surface of W1, 3 and 4 with setae, W2 without seta; carpi and propodi subequal; dactyli shorter than preceding articles, similar and subequal, last pair shorter than preceding. Abdomen with 6 somites plus free telson; on male, margin of somite 4 to 6 concave, telson subrounded. Male first gonopod notably curved outward from its mid-distal portion. Description: Male (Fig 1A, B, C; UMAR-DECA-308; CW = 11 mm, CL = 7 mm): Carapace, transversely subtrapezoidal, wider than long, CW/CL ratio ca. 1.4 to 1.6, mid-anterior portion wider; anterolateral margins slightly projected, cristated, a hepatic notch with a blunt middle tooth (Figs. 2A, B; bold arrow); dorsal surface convex, smooth, without defined regions; mid- posterior and posterolateral surface with microscopic pits of variable size and pilosity (Figs. 2A,

コメントの追加 [TN7]: Species diagnosis is a list of characters that separate its species from congeners. Are you sure all these characters are not shared with congeners?

コメントの追加 [TN8]: I guess redescribing this species is one of the major task of this study. You should redescribe it by yourself.

コメントの追加 [TN9]: Difficult to read – add line breaks accordingly. What are female characters?

(コメントの追加 [TN10]: How many males?

364	lines represent the enlarged schematic setae). Front bilobed, scarcely visible in dorsal view,
365	margin granulated, surface slightly pubescent (Fig. 2B; dots). Orbits small, completely filled by
366	eyes; eyes pigmented; ocular peduncle scarcely pubescent. Antennules robust; peduncle 2-
367	segmented, biflagellate, transversely folded into the fossae; superior flagellum 2-articles, second
368	article the longest, tapering distally, with six apical setae (Fig. 3Ba); inferior flagellum conic,
369	with four articles decreasing in size, article one to three with a transverse line of simple setae,
370	fourth article with two transverse lines of simple seta (Fig. 3Bb). Antennae long, slender, with 12
371	articles denuded of setae, last article with short apical setae (Fig. 3A). Pterygostomian region
372	pubescence (Fig. 2B; fine dots). Buccal frame trapezoidal, completely covered by the Mxp3.
373	Mxp2 endopod 5-articles, with setae (Fig. 3Ca), dactylus subrounded and shorter than propodus
374	(Fig. 3C; black arrowhead); exopod 1-article, wider distally, external surface with an elevated
375	ridge (Fig. 3Cb), flagellum with long apical setae (Fig. 3Cc), epipodite long, distal margin
376	rounded (Fig. 3Cd). Mxp3 ischiomerus fused without suture line, width-length ratio= 0.7,
377	external margin convex with setae, internal margin with a medial conspicuous projection (Fig.
378	3Da; white arrow); carpus subconial, external margin with short setae; propodus subconical (Fig.
379	3Dc); dactylus subspatuliform, wider distally (Fig. 3D; black arrowhead), slightly overreaching
380	propodus, external surface with short plumose setae, external margin with long plumose setae;
381	exopod 1-article, external margin and external surface with short simple setae, flagellum slender,
382	with plumose long setae (Fig. 3E). Sternal third plate with anterior margin sinuous, anterolateral
383	angles with crenu-denticulated margin (Fig. 2C; black arrow), surface scarcely pilose (Fig. 2C;
384	dots); fourth plate slightly globose, surface with microscopic pits (Fig. 2C; hollow circles), distal
385	external angle curved outward, margin crenu-denticulated (Fig. 2C; white arrow). Chelipeds
386	subequals (Figs. 1A-C); merus external surface and carpus anterior margin with plumose setae;
387	chelae width and length subequal, ventral margin microscopically granulated (Fig. 3F, 6C;

. 1 . 1 . 1

1

· コメントの追加 [TN11]: Always 12?

388	dashed arrow), dorsal margin slightly cristate and bent inwards; fingers wider than long, length
389	equal, spoon-tipped, tip acute (Fig. 3F), interdactylar gap narrow (vg. Fig. 6C); movable finger
390	shorter than fixed finger, crossed inward when the pincer is closed (vg. Fig. 6C), cutting edge
391	sinuous, with three medial teeth (Fig. 3F; bold arrow) and a mid-distal convex projection (Fig.
392	3F; white dashed arrow); fixed finger cutting edge with nine teeth, faint lamella over the smooth
393	portion of the cutting edge (Fig. 3F; doted arrow), ventral inner surface with short setae. Walking
394	legs similar, relative length W3>W2>W1>W4, segments short, robust, compressed, dorsal
395	margin cristate, ventral surface with plumose setae; merus dorsal margin on W1, W3, W4 with
396	plumose setae, on W2 without setae; dactylus curved, stout, tips acute; W1-W3, dactylus
397	subequal than propodus, of W4 shorter than its propodus (Figs. 1B, 2A). Abdomen symmetrical,
398	subtriangular, six free somites plus a telson, margin with short setae, lateral margin from
399	segments 4-6 slightly concave and narrowing, telson subrounded (Figs. 4B). First gonopod
400	slender, margins sinuous, mid-distal portion notably curved outwards, surface with abundant
401	plumose setae (Fig. 4D). Second gonopod small, flagellum curved outwards, slightly bent
402	inwards, tip pointing upwards, margins convex with a shallow notch (Fig. 2F; black arrow).
403	Color in life: Body beige or creamy white, dorsal surface of carapace and chelipeds carpus, and
404	on the external surface of the chelae with red patches. In fixed and preserved specimens this
405	pattern of color remains or it could change from red to light or dark brown (Fig. 1).
406	Habitat: Marine. Associated with the sea cucumber Holothuria (Halodeima) inornata, living in
407	its coelom and inside its intestine (Fig. 1G). This holothurian inhabits rocky-sand bottoms in
408	shallow waters (0–18 m).
409	Variation: The revised material showed three general outlines on the carapace shape. Between
410	males, a transversally subrectangular carapace shape was observed in 33% (three specimens) of

the revised material and comes from Sinaloa, a subovate shape was observed in 56% (five

411

コメントの追加 [TN12]: What is relationships between these outlines and size??

412 specimens) of the material and comes from Guerrero and Oaxaca, and a subtrapezoidal shape in 413 11% (one specimen) comes from Oaxaca. In females, the subrectangular shape was observed in 414 11% (five specimens) of the material and comes from Sinaloa, the subovate shape in 85% (40 415 specimens) and comes from Guerrero and Oaxaca, and the subtrapezoidal shape in 2% (two 416 specimen) and comes from Oaxaca.

417 The subrectangular shape (Figs. 4A, 5A) is defined by a straight and notably projected margin of 418 the frontal lobes, a straight anterior margin in which the hepatic notch in males is notably deeper, 419 eroded, and extended over the carapace (Fig 4A, white arrow) but in females is less conspicuous 420 (Fig. 5A, black arrow), and in the male by a truncated and scarcely projected lateral lobes in 421 which the anterior portion in the male is concave (Fig. 4A, black arrow) but in the female is 422 straight. Instead, the subovate shape (Figs. 4E, I, 5D, G) is defined by an entire even margin 423 which is outlined by the slightly oblique and scarcely projected frontal lobes, the convex 424 anterolateral margin continues smoothly to the lateral margin forming a notably convex lobe 425 (Figs. 4E, I, black arrows) in which the hepatic notch in the males is shallow, slightly eroded and 426 less extended over the carapace (Fig. 4E, I, 5 D, G, white arrows). Meanwhile, the subtrapezoidal 427 shape is defined by the scarcely projected margin of the frontal lobes, which continues evenly 428 and smoothly to the straight anterolateral margin forming notably projected lateral lobes (Fig. 429 1D, 6A). In all the females, the margin of the frontal lobes and the eyes are not visible in dorsal 430 view and only a slight notch is visible (Figs. 5A, D, G, white arrows), because the frontal-dorsal 431 surface is more convex than in males, but if the carapace is placed so that the posterior margin 432 line of the carapace cannot be seen, then the general carapace outline looks like the males from 433 Guerrero or Oaxaca (v.g. Figs. 4E, I). Also, in frontal view, the convexity of the frontal-dorsal 434 surface allows a pair of inflated and only drawn lobes on the surface to be seen. The remarkably 435 convex frontal-dorsal surface which obscures the frontal margin and the eyes in dorsal view, was

436	observed in 16 specimens (15 females, one male), and the less convex shape was observed in 39
437	specimens (31 females, eight males). This notably convex shape was more frequent in ovigerous
438	females (10 specimens, 67%) than in non-ovigerous ones (five specimens, 33%). Despite the
439	variation in the shape of the carapace in both sexes, in all cases the CW/CL ratio is the same;
440	plus, the length measured from the notch of the margin of the frontal lobes to the external orbital
441	angle, and that of the external orbital angle to the posterior angle of the hepatic notch, are the
442	same.

443 Regarding the Mxp3, the ischiomerus external margin appears notably convex on its mid-distal 444 portion or slightly even thoroughout its length, and its inner margin could have a concave or 445 sinuous mid-distal portion; even so, the inner margin always has a blunt or slightly acute 446 projection (Figs. 4 Ca, Ga, Ka, 5Ca, Fa, Ia; black arrow), but its width/length ratio is constant in 447 all the outlines' variations. The carpus is conical, the main appearance variation is its length and 448 the convexity or straightness of its dorsal margin, but that is only related with the drawing 449 perspective (Figs. 4Cb, Gb, Kb, 5Cb, Fb, Ib), yet in all cases there is a projected ridge on the 450 internal surface which has a conspicuous tuff of setae. The propodus also looks variable in its 451 width/length ratio and in its more acute or rounded distal margin, nevertheless that is the result of 452 the way in which the piece was mounted; despite that, its proximal ventral margin always forms a 453 straight angle in which the dactylus is jointed (Figs. 4Cc, Gc, Kc, 5Cc, Fc, Ic). Finally, the 454 dactylus shows two closely related outlines, one subspatulated and the other suboblong, the first 455 has a more expanded distal portion instead of a narrow shape as in the latter; nevertheless, its 456 distal margin always slightly overreaches the propodus (Figs. 4Cd, Gd, Kd, 5Cd, Fd, Id). 457 Variation in the ornamentation of the chelae fingers is observed. Between males, the cutting edge 458 of the movable finger has two to three proximal blunt or acute teeth (Figs. 4B, F, J, black

459 arrows), the medial tooth is simple (Figs. 4B, J, white arrow) or bicuspid (Fig. F, white arrow),

460	and the subdistal projection is acute (Figs. 4B, F, white dashed arrow) or blunt (Fig. 4J, white
461	dashed arrow); the fixed finger has six to nine blunt (Fig. 5B) or acute (Fig. 5F, J) teeth, and the
462	middle or more conspicuous tooth is always bicuspid (Figs. 4B, F, J, black arrow). Between
463	females, the movable finger shows two to three acute teeth (Fig. 5B, E, H, black arrow), an acute
464	(Figs, 5B, E, white arrow) or blunt (Fig. 5H, white arrow) medial tooth, and a blunt subdistal
465	projection (Figs. 5B, E, H, white dashed arrow); the fixed finger has four to thirteen teeth with a
466	bicuspid blunt medial tooth (Fig. B, E, black arrow) or a simple acute one (Fig. H, black arrow).
467	Only one specimen (DECA-1172) has different size chelae and a different teething pattern on the
468	cutting edge of the fixed finger (Fig. 5J, K).
469	The first gonopod of the males shows variation in the degree of curvature and the amount of the
470	distal portion that is curved, and also in the general outline shape of the gonopod tip, but may be
471	similar in different stages of development. In this sense, the general appearance in the abdominal
472	view, of males from Sinaloa and Oaxaca is more similar because the external and internal
473	margins are sinuous (Figs. 4D, L), the curvature degree is approximately 90° (Fig. 4D) and 75°
474	(Fig. 4L) respectively, the tip of the external margin is truncated (Figs. 4De-f, Le-f; white arrow),
475	and the ventral margin of the tip has a blunt projection (Figs. 4De, Le; black arrow); while in that
476	of Guerrero, the external and internal margins are les sinuous and the curvature degree is
477	approximately 65°(Fig. 4H), the tip of the external margin is convex (Fig. 4He-f; white arrow),
478	and the ventral margin of the tip has a pointed projection (Fig. 4He; black arrow). Also, in sternal
479	view, the ventral process shape of the internal margin tip is variable, in males from Sinaloa it is
480	obtuse (Fig. 4D-f; black arrow), while in those from Guerrero it is convex (Fig. 4H-f; black
481	arrow) and those from Oaxaca is oblique (Fig. 4L-f; black arrow), but this is variable also
482	between the sizes of the crabs.

483	Remarks: All the biological material examined shows phenotypic variation, particularly between
484	the individuals from the type locality in Mazatlan with respect to those of Guerrero and Oaxaca,
485	but molecular evidence show no differentiation. Now with the description of the male
486	morphology it is possible to differentiate Holothuriophilus trapeziformis from H. pacificus with
487	certainty because the carapace could be subrectangular (Fig. 4A, 5A), suboval (Fig. 4E, I, 5D, G)
488	or subtrapezoidal (Fig. 1A, D, 2A, 6A) in the former but it is subcuadrangular in the latter (Fig.
489	6E). H. trapeziformis has the Mxp3 dactylus with its distal portion notably expanded, the external
490	distal margin slightly truncated, and the flagellum of the exopod is long and robust (Figs. 6B, J,
491	K, 7A); but in <i>H. pacificus</i> the distal margin is rounded and the flagellum of the exopod is long
492	and slender (Figs. 6F, 7D). The first gonopod of H. trapeziformis has a more sinuous lateral
493	margins with a larger distal portion curved outwards with abundant setae (Fig. 7C); however, in
494	H. pacificus it is straight with only the distal portion slightly curved outwards, and with less
495	abundant setae (Fig. 7F). The abdomen of <i>H. trapeziformis</i> , in males, is subtriangular with lateral
496	margins narrowing from the fourth to the sixth somite, the third somite has notably convex lateral
497	margins, the sixth somite has notably concave lateral margins, and the telson is subrounded and
498	wider than long (Fig. 7B); yet in <i>H. pacificus</i> it is triangular, the lateral margins are almost
499	straight, the third and sixth somite lateral margins are concave, and the telson is subtriangular and
500	longer than wide (Fig. 7E). In the case of Holothuriophilus trapeziformis adult ovigerous and
501	non-ovigerous females, the abdomen is suboval and wider than long, the first somite has convex
502	lateral margins, the second somite has a sinuous distal margins, the third somite has an oblique
503	and downward lateral margins, the sixth somite has oblique and outward lateral margins, and the
504	telson has a length to width ratio ca. 0.2 (Fig. 6D); instead in <i>H. pacificus</i> it is suboval and longer
505	than wide, the first somite has concave lateral margins, the second somite has an almost straight

506	distal margins, the third somite has oblique and upwards lateral margins, the sixth somite has
507	convex lateral margins, and the telson has a length to width ratio ca. 0.3 (Fig. 6H).
508	Distribution and ecological comments: The present study allows us to increase the previous
509	known distribution range from Punta Tiburon, Sinaloa to playa Las Gatas, Guerrero, to the south,
510	615 km to playa Tejon, Oaxaca. We found crabs in the coelom cavity and near the cloaca of the
511	host, as mentioned by Manning (1993), Campos, Peláez-Zárate & Solís-Marín (2012) and
512	Honey-Escandón & Solís-Marín (2018) and, for the first time, it is registered within the intestine
513	(Fig. 1G).
514	Holothuria (Halodeima) inornata is distributed throughout the Tropical Eastern Pacific from the
515	Gulf of California, Mexico to Ecuador, and in the temperate island Lobos de Afuera, Peru
516	(Prieto-Rios et al. 2014; Honey-Escandón & Solís-Marín 2018). It also, represents an important
517	fishery resource throughout its distribution range (Santos-Beltrán & Salazar-Silva 2011), yet
518	there are no records for Holothuriophilus trapeziformis outside the Pacific coast of Mexico.
519	Molecular approach
520	DNA Barcodes
521	From the 56 examined crabs (Table S1), 51 were processed. The number of base pairs was
522	between 549 bp and 648 bp for 37 specimens with a sole Barcode Index Number (BIN;
523	Ratnasingham & Hebert 2013) in the BOLD database: ADE9974. Of those, 35 produced a high-
524	quality barcode. The 14 crabs that could not be amplified correspond to old museum material and
525	to recent collections that are not fixed according to the Elías-Gutiérrez et al. (2018) protocol. A
526	BLAST query in GenBank confirmed our sequences to belong to a brachyuran lineage. Finally,

527 in the case of the hosts, none could be amplified.

Phylogeny and distance analysis

529	The best nucleotide substitution model according to AIC and BIC criterion was General Time
530	Reversible under a gamma distribution (GTR+G) model (Nei & Kumar 2000). The Maximum-
531	Likelihood (ML) distance method under the selected model delimited the 37 sequences of
532	Holothuriophilus trapeziformis from the dataset (DS-PINMX1HT) in a single cluster; however,
533	two sub-groups are defined, one for Sinaloa (northern) and the other for Guerrero and Oaxaca
534	(southern). These two clusters are well separated from the sister group, H. pacificus, in the
535	maximum likelihood tree (ML) as can be seen in figure 8, with a 12 to 14% of divergence among
536	all specimens. Holothuriophilus is also related to the Calyptraeotheres clade, but far from other
537	species (Fig. 8) with an interspecific divergence ranging from 12 to 19%.
538	Intraspecific DNA polymorphisms and historical demography
539	Although the Holothuriophilus trapeziformis clade shows two well differentiated groups, its
540	intraspecific divergences ranged from 0 to 2.2% This is congruent with the BOLD distance
541	summary analyses which show an average distance of 0.73% and a maximum of 2.27% for
542	sequences with more than 500bp. For Holothuriophilus trapeziformis from the Pacific coast of
543	Mexico we identified 34 nucleotide substitutions (28 transitions, 6 transversions), and 33
544	polymorphic sites (14 parsimony informative sites and 19 singleton variables) that defined 22
545	unique COI haplotypes with a moderate mean number of nucleotide differences between pairs
546	(k= 3.775), and total genetic diversity estimations indicate a high haplotype diversity ($Hd =$
547	0.914) but a moderated nucleotide diversity (π = 0.007) (Table 1). Within-regions the haplotype
548	diversity was high in all localities (ranging from 0.874-0.964), and the nucleotide diversity
549	shows an increment along the considered latitudinal gradient from south to north (Oaxaca and
550	Guerrero with 0.003 and 0.006, respectively, and Sinaloa with 0.009) (Table 1).

551	Of the 22 haplotypes (Table 2), the H3 is the most abundant and is present in all sites.
552	Nevertheless, two haplogroups were well defined (Fig. 9); one haplogroup is formed by 18
553	haplotype related to Guerrero and Oaxaca localities from which H3 is most frequent, and the
554	other haplogroup is represented by four exclusive haplotypes from Sinaloa (H1, H2, H4, H5).
555	A genetic differentiation among sample sites was demonstrated by pairwise Φ_{ST} values. A low
556	value was observed between Guerrero vs. Oaxaca (Φ_{ST} = 0.06286, p= 0.027), while a high value
557	was shown between Sinaloa vs. Guerrero (Φ_{ST} = 0.44434, p= 0.004) and Sinaloa vs. Oaxaca
558	$(\Phi_{ST}=0.57864, p= \le 0.001).$
559	SAMOVA results indicated that genetic differentiation was better when considering two groups
560	(k = 2; Group 1: Sinaloa, Group 2: Guerrero-Oaxaca) because 53% of the variance is explained
561	(Table 3), in contrast to 44% of the variation when considering a single group ($k = 1$; Group 1:
562	Sinaloa-Guerrero-Oaxaca) (Table 4). This result confirmed the groups previously defined by the
563	haplotype network as haplogroup A (Guerrero-Oaxaca) and haplogroup B (Sinaloa) with a $\Phi_{\text{CT}}=$
564	0.53 ($p\leq0.001$) as shown in table 3. The Mantel test showed significant relationships among these
565	variables (r=0.604; $p < 0.0001$) suggesting patterns of isolation by distance.
566	Under the coalescent method, the overall Holothuriophilus trapeziformis mismatch distribution
567	indicates a significant ragged unimodal distribution (Fig. 10A; $r = 0.07780$, $p = 0.0280$; $R2 =$
568	0.11407, $p = 0.0000$). At the regional scale, in Sinaloa a multimodal distribution was observed
569	(Fig. 10B; $r = 0.22104$, $p = 0.57700$; $R2 = 0.20112$, $p = 0.0260$), whereas in Guerrero it was
570	bimodal (Fig. 10C; $r = 0.15286$, $p = 0.200800$, $R2 = 0.18034$, $p = 0.0260$), and in Oaxaca it was
571	unimodal (Fig. 10D; $r = 0.08442$, $p = 0.23400$; $R2 = 0.12867$, $p = 0.00600$).

572 The neutrality test of Tajima's D for the overall *H. trapeziformis* was negative and significant

573 (Tajima's D = -1.83464, p = 0.01100), pointing to a population expansion. When Fu's (Fs) is

574 taken into account, all the population levels were negative and significant, also indicating an

575	expansion. Finally, the raggedness index (r) indicates a population growth, as its values were low
576	but not significant in all the population levels, as well as the R2 that indicated an expansion
577	model in all cases (Table 1). We preferred to use the Tajima's D and the R_2 estimations, instead
578	of Fu's (Fs), because of the small sample size, and because it is known that these parameters are
579	particularly recommended when recombination levels are unknown (Ramos-Onsins & Rozas,
580	2002; Ramírez-Soriano et al., 2008)
581	Discussion
582	We detect high variability in some of the most external features in Holothuriophilus
583	trapeziformis. The general body appearance of the northern specimens from the type locality
584	(Mazatlan, Sinaloa) is more robust and eroded with shorter pereiopod segments than those of the
585	southern localities (Guerrero and Oaxaca). Taking into account that for pinnotherids taxonomy a
586	crucial goal is to provide a complete description with detailed illustrations of common and
587	unusual structures (Derby & Antema 1980; Ahyong, Komai & Watanabe 2012; Salgado-
588	Barragan 2015) for comparative purposes, then the selected material in this research is 2 mm less
589	than the female described by Bürger (1895) and 0.3 mm greater than the female lectotype
590	described by Ahyong & Ng (2007). Therefore, the morphological variation of the females could
591	be contrasted with the available illustrations, and the description of the species was completed
592	with the morphology of the male. In the available female illustrations, a presumable specimen
593	from the type locality shows a subrounded carapace shape (Bürger, 1895: 380-381, pl. 9, fig. 26,
594	plate 10, fig. 25; $CW = 14$ mm, $CL = 10$ mm) and the lectotype, also from the type locality,
595	shows it as subovate (Ahyong & Ng 2007: 214, Fig. 20A; CW = 7.7 mm, CL = 4.8 mm; in the
596	present document see Fig. 6I), but another from Guerrero has a subtrapezoidal shape (Campos,
597	Peláez-Zárate & Solís-Marín 2012: 60, fig. 2B; CW = 9.1 mm, CL = 5.2 mm). In the revised
598	females, variations of the carapace shape are due to the projection of the lateral lobes and by the

599	convexity of the front-dorsal surface; the revised males in contrast with the females, have less
600	expanded and projected lateral lobes, a more pilose pterigostomian region, and slightly less
601	abundant setae on the pereiopods. Additionally, the first gonopod of the males shows a different
602	appearance in the three geographic regions, but that from Sinaloa is more similar to that of
603	Oaxaca in its general shape, setae pattern, and degree of curvature of the apical portion, than to
604	that from Guerrero (see Fig. 4D, H, L) when the most developed stage is considered; however,
605	that can be variable within the same locality. In contrast, the second gonopod (Fig. 2F) shows no
606	differences between all the examined males. Variations in the Mxp3 between sexes is common,
607	but setae pattern and abundance correspond to that shown by Campos, Peláez-Zárate & Solís-
608	Marín (2012; Fig. 6K). We believe that the state of development and the position in which the
609	specimen was observed and drawn are the primary causes of the differences between the
610	available illustrations.
611	Despite the facts mentioned above, we can conclude that Holothuriophilus trapeziformis is
612	different from <i>H. pacificus</i> not only by the absence of a space when the fingers are closed (see
613	Figs. 6C, G) as pointed out by Campos, Peláez-Zárate & Solís-Marín (2012), but also because H.
614	pacificus does not have a convex mid-distal projection on the cutting edge of the mobile finger
615	(Fig. G) as <i>H. trapeziformis</i> does (Fig. 4B, F, J, 5B, E, H; dotted arrow). Additionally, these
616	species can be separated by the shape of the abdomen of both sexes (Figs. 6D, H, 7B, E), and by
617	the structure of male's first gonopod (Figs. 7C, F). Also, H. trapeziformis has a granulated ventral
618	surface on the palm of the chelae, mostly on larger sized mature crabs (Fig. 7 C; dashed arrow);
619	that condition is not documented for <i>H. pacificus</i> in Garth (1957; fig. 7G) and its synonyms
620	(=Leucosia pacifica Poeppig, 1983 =Pinnaxodes silvestrii (Nobili, 1901) =Pinnaxodes meinerti

621 Rathbun, 1904).

622	Regarding the molecular approach, Holothuriophilus trapeziformis did not have previous genetic
623	information. The success of the COI gene amplification of Holothuriophilus trapeziformis was
624	accomplished after the implementation of the chilled ethanol preservation protocol suggested by
625	Elías-Gutiérrez et al. (2018). Due to the thickness of the cuticle, we decide the injection of
626	ethanol inside the body of the crabs through the joints of the armature, as well as the use of
627	zooplankton primers (Prosser, Martínez-Arce & Elías-Gutíerrez 2013) instead of Folmer or other
628	generic primers. With these improvements, we obtained the amplification of 72% of the total
629	sample and a total of 69% sequencing success on a group that is considered difficult to work with
630	COI genes (Mantellato et al. 2016) and this allowed to us to obtain some basic genetic parameters
631	(Table 8). Those results allowed us to confirm the taxonomic status of <i>Holothuriophilus</i>
632	trapeziformis as a valid species since the different analyses based on the COI gene fragment (vg.
633	Barcode BIN, IDtree, and Maximum likelihood phylogenetic topology) indicated a divergence
634	ranging from 12 to 14% against <i>H. pacificus</i> . These values are above the 3% threshold proposed
635	by Hebert et al. (2003) as a tool to recognize taxonomic units. They also fall into the pairwise
636	distance ranges proposed for crustacean congeners (1.5% to 3.3%, average= 2.5%; Lefébure et al.
637	2006) and Decapoda congeners (4.92% to 31.39 %, average= 17.16%; Costa et al. 2007),
638	although these values are slightly lower, they fit within the ranges for pinnotherids (15.5% to
639	24.6%, average= 18.3%; Ocampo et al. 2013). Our Maximum-Likelihood tree agrees with that of
640	Palacios-Theil, Cuesta & Felder (2016) in regards with the association of the genus
641	Holothuriophilus and Calyptraeotheres.
642	Phenotype variation is a result of a plastic response to different environmental pressures,
643	particularly when the species shows a wide distribution in heterogeneous or geographically
644	isolated environments (Hurtado, Mateos & Santamaria 2010; Rossi & Mantelatto 2013); also,
645	recent or historical processes that limit the flow of genes determine a genetic structure in the

646	populations of the species, but it has been considered that in the marine province the species
647	exhibit low levels of differentiation even if there are environmental barriers (Wares, Gaines &
648	Cunningham 2001; Avise 2009). However, in brachyuran crabs, much evidence has been argued
649	against that, principally because of the particularities of the geographic areas, the habitat
650	peculiarities, and by the species life history as has been documented for grapsids (Cassone &
651	Boulding 2006), ocypodids (Laurenzano, Mantelato & Schubart 2013), pinnotherids (Ocampo et
652	al. 2013), sesarmids (Zhou et al. 2015), and varunids (Zhang et al. 2017).
653	Pinnotherid crabs are known to have a complex life cycle and ample time for their development
654	lasting from 26 to 30 days (Bousquette 1980; Hamel, Ng & Mercier 1999; Ocampo et al. 2011),
655	which allows them to maintain connectivity between populations throughout their geographical
656	distribution range (Ocampo et al. 2013); however, in this case, connectivity through larval
657	dispersal may be more restricted due to their symbiotic behavior and the specificity of the
658	relationship with their host than due to other environmental factors (Haines, Edmunds & Pewsey
659	1994; Hamel, Ng & Mercier 1999; Ocampo et al. 2012, 2013; Guilherme, Brustolin & de Bueno
660	2015; Becker & Türkay 2017). Holothuriophilus trapeziformis has been considered as an
661	endobiontic parasite of their host since its description (Nauck 1880), but no other related
662	reference confirms that type of interaction (Bürger 1895; De Man 1887; Ng & Manning 2003;
663	Ahyong & Ng 2007; Campos, Peláez-Zárate & Solís-Marín 2012). Of the thirteen pinnotherids
664	known to develop this endobiotic way of life, living near the respiratory trees, the coelomic
665	cavity, or the posterior part of the digestive gut, and could or could not cause detrimental effects
666	(Hamel, Ng & Mercier 1999; Ng & Manning 2003); only the life cycle of Holotheres haling has
667	been described in detail (Hamel, Ng & Mercier, 1999). In contrast, the life cycle of
668	Holothuriophilus trapeziformis is still unknown. As a starting point to generate information about
669	it, we only collected the holothurian species Holothuria (Halodeima) inornata in accordance to

670	Honey-Escandón & Solís-Marín (2018), but some other holothurians from the South Pacific coast	
671	of were also examined in search of the symbiont, with no success. Thus, we found the crab	
672	inhabiting in a membranaceous cyst through the coelomic cavity and inside of the gut, and never	
673	found more than one crab together.	
674	Besides the cyst cavity wound produced by the crab on the cloacal internal wall, we also found	
675	some crabs with pieces of the respiratory tree in their pincers and inside their buccal cavity.	
676	Holothuriophilus trapeziformis has spoon-tipped fingers but not much is known about the precise	
677	function of this kind of condition, but it is associated with feeding on detritus, scooping up mucus	
678	from corals, picking up soft foods, scraping off encrusting algae, effective gripping of	
679	filamentous algae, or scraping epilithic algae off coral rock (Davie, Guinot & Ng 2015); however,	
680	there is no information on this respect for pinnotherids with this condition. It is necessary to	
681	examine the stomach contents to corroborate that the crab feeds only on the host tissue or also on	
682	detritus of the intestine, and to evaluate in some way the physiological damage produced in the	
683	host to determine with certainty if the agonistic interaction corresponds to a parasitism or a	
684	commensalism.	
685	With this context, the Holothuriophilus trapeziformis morphological variation and significant	
686	genetic differentiation through its distribution range was indicated and supported by the	
687	haplotype network, the Φ_{ST} index, SAMOVA and Mantel test, mainly in the distinction between	
688	the northern and southern forms. But, the range of the intraspecific distance values corresponds to	
689	the thresholds proposed for crustaceans (Lefébure et al. 2006), for decapods (Costa et al. 2007),	
690	and for pinnotherids (Ocampo et al. 2013) to maintain the intraspecific delimitation. In addition,	
691	a sudden expansion of population growth was evidenced by a gene flow from the south to the	
692	north, due to the overall high haplotype and low nucleotide diversity detected with 22 haplotypes	
693	in 37 individuals from which all the haplotypes derived from a possible ancestral haplotype (H3)	

694	in Oaxaca. The overall unimodal mismatch distribution displayed, and the negative and
695	significant results of the neutrality Tajima's (D) and Fu's (Fs) test, support that scenario.
696	Nevertheless, the morphological difference and the pairwise genetic distance observed in Sinaloa
697	could represent a process of differentiation since the mismatch distribution there shows a
698	multimodal form that is statistically not significant (Fig. 10B; $r = 0.22104$, $p = 0.57700$; $R2 = 0.57700$
699	0.20112, p = 0.0260). Sample size is significant and correlated with the number of haplotypes
700	(Cassone & Boulding 2006) and probably has an effect over the mismatch distributions.
701	Additionally, in this northern area the presence of the Thermocline Cabo Corrientes Dome
702	(Gómez-Valdivia, Parés-Sierra & Flores-Morales 2015) probably acts as a physical barrier to the
703	flow of genes from the south. Northern specimens are genetically and morphologically different
704	to those of the southern Mexico, but correspond with the model of isolation by distance, in which
705	the differences between populations are due to limited gene flow because of a restricted
706	geographical dispersion, the near-surface marine circulation patterns, the discontinuity of
707	habitats, and the frequency of sexual reproduction. This kind of situation has been demonstrated
708	for other decapods with a complex life cycle (Rossi & Mantelatto 2013).
709	Considering the Holothuriophilus trapeziformis' way of life and its relationship with its host, the
710	environmental pressures determining the genetic connectivity correspond to geographical barriers
711	(extended estuarine areas and wide sandy beaches between the rocky shores) and oceanographic
712	processes (Mexican Coastal Current, Thermocline of Cabo Corrientes Dome, and Thermocline of
713	the Tehuantepec Bowl) through the Pacific coast of Mexico (Hurtado et al. 2007; Paz-García et
714	al. 2012; Gómez-Valdivia, Parés-Sierra & Flores-Morales 2015), which influence the gene flow.
715	This is important because the host, Holothuria (Halodeima) inornata, shows a wide distribution
716	range across the subtropical American Pacific coast, with two well defined genetic populations:
717	A Mexican one-Gulf of California to Oaxaca- and a Panamic one-from Chiapas, Mexico to

718	Peru, inhabiting rocky shores (Prieto-Rios et al. 2014). Despite the specificity of the association
719	with Holothuria (H.) inornata (Honey-Escandón & Solís-Marín 2018) no records of
720	Holothuriophilus trapeziformis south to Oaxaca are known. Until now, and with all the evidence
721	presented here, Holothuriophilus trapeziformis has the status of endemic species of Mexico;
722	therefore, in order to establish that status, it is necessary to confirm the presence or absence of the
723	species in the distribution range of Holothuria (H.) inornata outside of Mexico.
724	We can conclude that the taxonomic status of Holothuriophilus trapeziformis is now completed,
725	recognized based on the morphology of both sexes, and the genetic and demographic historical
726	analyses, that confirm the taxonomic status of all the revised material as the same species by
727	linking all the sequenced material with a new DNA barcode (BOLD:ADE9974) different from
728	that of H. pacificus (BIN, BOLD: ABV9743; boldsystems.org). We also suggest that
729	morphological plasticity is the result of an isolation by distance experienced by the individuals in
730	the considered regions after a sudden population expansion throughout their life history. In spite
731	of that, however, the specialized relationship with their host, the restricted habitat in which they
732	live, and local environmental barriers are perhaps the main forces that have caused this plasticity.
733	Acknowledgements
734	We are grateful to the Chetumal Node of the Mexican Barcode of Life (MEXBOLD) for support
735	for the genetic analysis, in particular to Alma Estrella García-Morales who assisted with the DNA
736	process of the biological samples. To J. Rolando Bastida-Zavala for the access to the collection
737	material of Laboratorio de Sistemática de Invertebrados Marinos (LABSIM) from Universidad
738	del Mar. To José Luis Villalobos-Hiriart and Fernando Álvarez-Noguera for the access to the
739	collection material of the Colección Nacional de Crustáceos (CNCR) del Instituto de Biología de
740	la Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. To Virgilio António Pérez and staff from Buceo
741	Huatulco for their support during the field work. ME-G and MSGM recognize the high

- authorities of the Mexican government for their effort to smother all aspects of science
- 743 progressively, particularly in the biodiversity conservation, as a motivation to produce and
- 744 conclude this work. We will continue training human resources in this area as a commitment to
- 745 Mexico and our planet.
- 746 References
- Adensamer T. 1887. Revision der Pinnotheriden in der Sammlung des K. K. Naturhistorischen
 Hofmuseums in Wien. *Annalen des K.K. Naturhistorischen Hofmuseums* 12:105–110.
- Ahyong ST, Ng PKL. 2007. The pinnotherid type material of Semper (1880), Nauck (1880) and
- Bürger (1895) (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura). *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology* Supplement
 16:191–226.
- Ahyong ST, Komai T, Watanabe T. 2012. First *Viridotheres* Manning, 1996, from Japan, with
 a key to the species (Decapoda, Brachyura, Pinnotheridae). In: Komatsu H, Okuno J,

754 Fukuoka K, eds. Studies on Eumalacostraca: A Homage to Masatsune Takeda,

- 755 Crustaceana Monographs 17:35–47 https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004202894_003
- Avise JC. 2009. Phylogeography: retrospect and prospect. *Journal of Biogeography* 36:3–15
- 757 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2008.02032.x</u>
- 758 Balss H. 1957. Decapoda. In: Bronns GH, ed. Klassen und Ordnungen des Tierreichs. Fünfter
- 759 Band 5, 1 Abteilung, 7 Buch, 12 Lieferung. pp. 1505–1672.
- 760 Becker C, Türkay M. 2017. Host specificity and feeding in European pea crabs (Brachyura,
- 761 Pinnotheridae). Crustaceana 90(7–10):819–844 https://doi.org/10.1163/15685403-
- 762 <u>00003661</u>
- 763 Brandão M, Freire AS, Burton RS. 2016. Estimating diversity of crabs (Decapoda: Brachyura)
- 764 in a no-take marine protected area of the SW Atlantic coast through DNA barcoding of

765	larva. Systematics and Biodiversity 14(3):288–302
766	https://doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2016.1140245
767	Bousquette GD. 1980. The larval development of Pinnixa longipes (Lockington, 1877)
768	(Brachyura: Pinnotheridae) reared in the laboratory. Biological Bulletin 159:592-605
769	https://doi.org/10.2307/1540825
770	Bürger O. 1895. Ein Beitrag zur kenntniss der Pinnotherinen. Zoologische Jahrbücher,
771	Abtheilung für Systematik, Geographie und Biologie der Thiere 8:361–390.
772	Campos E, Díaz V, Gamboa-Contreras JA. 1998. Notes on distribution and taxonomy of five
773	poorly known species of pinnotherid crabs from the eastern Pacific (Crustacea: Brachyura:
774	Pinnotheridae). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 111:372–381.
775	Campos E, Peláez-Zárate V, Solís-Marín FA. 2012. Rediscovery, host and systematics of
776	Holothuriophilus trapeziformis Nauck, 1880 (Crustacea, Brachyura, Pinnotheridae).
777	Zootaxa 3528:57–62 https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3528.1.4

- 778 Caso ME. 1958. Contribución al conocimiento de los holoturoideos de México. III. Algunas
- 779 especies de holoturoideos litorales de la costa Pacífica de México. Anales del Instituto de 780 Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México 28:309-338.
- Caso ME. 1964. Contribución al conocimiento de los holoturoideos de México. Descripción de 781
- 782 una n. sp. de Holothuria de un nuevo subgénero (Paraholothuria N. SG.). Anales del
- 783 Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México 34(1-2):367-380.
- 784 Caso ME. 1965. Estudio sobre Equinodermos de México. Contribución al conocimiento de los
- 785 holoturoideos de Zihuatanejo y de la Isla de Ixtapa (primera parte). Anales del Instituto de
- 786 Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México 36:253-291.

787 Cas	one JB. Bouldi	ng GE. 2006.	Genetic structure and	phylogeograp	hv of the	lined shore crab.
---------	----------------	--------------	-----------------------	--------------	-----------	-------------------

- 788 *Pachygrapsus crassipes*, along the northeastern and western Pacific coast. *Marine Biology*
- 789 149:213–226 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-005-0197-9</u>
- 790 Clement, M, Snell Q, Walker P, Posada D, Crandall K. 2002. TCS: Estimating gene
- 791 genealogies. Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium, International Proceedings
- 792 2:184 https://doi.org/10.1109/IPDPS.2002.1016585
- 793 Costa FO, De Waard JR, Boutillier J, Ratnasingham S, Dooh RT, Hajibabaei M, Hebert
- 794 PDN. 2007. Biological identification through DNA barcodes: the case of the Crustacea.
- 795 Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 64:272–295

796 https://doi.org/10.1139/f07-008

- 797 Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. 2011. jModelTest 2: more models, new
- 798 heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods* 9(8):772
- 799 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2109
- 800 Davie PJF, Guinot D, Ng PKL. 2015. Anatomy and functional morphology of Brachyura. In:
- 801 Castro, P., Davie, P.J.F., Guinot, D., Schram, F. & von Vaupel Klein, C. (Eds.) Treatise on
- 802 zoology anatomy, taxonomy, biology- The crustaceae, complementary to the volumes
- 803 translated from the French of the Traité de Zoologie, 9 (C) (I), Decapoda: Brachyura (Part
- 804 *I)*, Koninklijke Brill NV, Leiden, pp. 11–163 <u>https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004190832_004</u>
- Biological Bulletin 158:26–33 https://doi.org/10.2307/1540755
- 807 De Man JG. 1887. Uebersicht der Indo-pacifischen Arten der Gattung Sesarma Say, nebst einer
- 808 Kritik der von W. Hess und E. Nauck in den Jahren 1865 und 1880 beschriebenen
- 809 Decapoden. Zoologische Jahrbücher. Abteilung für Systematik, Geographie und Biologie
- 810 *der Tier* 2:639–722.

811	Dupanioup 1, Schneider S, Excortier L. 2002. A simulated annealing approach to define the	
812	genetic structure of populations. Molecular Ecology 11:2571-2581	
813	https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01650.x	
814	Elías-Gutiérrez M, Valdez-Moreno M, Topan J, Young MR, Cohulo-Colli JA. 2018.	
815	Improved protocols to accelerate the assembly of DNA barcode reference libraries for	
816	freshwater zooplankton. Ecology and Evolution 8:3002-3018	
817	https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3742	
818	Excoffier L, Lischer H. 2015. Arlequin ver 3.5 An integrated software package for population	
819	genetics data analysis. Available from: http://cmpg.unibe.ch/software/arlequin35 (accessed	
820	5 January 2019)	
821	Garth SJ. 1957. Reports of the Lund University Chile Expedition 1948–49, 29, The crustacea	
822	decapoda brachyura of Chile. Lunds Universitets Årsskrift. N.F. Avd. 2. Bd. 53. Nr. 7:1-	
823	134.	
824	Garm A, Watling L. 2013. The crustacean integument: setae, setules, and other ornamentation.	
825	In: Watling, L. & Thiel, M. (Eds.) Functional morphology and diversity. The natural	
826	history of crustacean series, 1. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp:167-198	
827	https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780195398038.003.0006	
828	Gómez-Valdivia F, Parés-Sierra A, Flores-Morales AL. 2015. The Mexican Coastal Current:	
829	A subsurface seasonal bridge that connects the tropical and subtropical Northeastern	
830	Pacific. Continental Shelf Research 110:100-107 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2015.10.010	
831	Guilherme PDB, Brustolin MC, de Bueno ML. 2015. Distribution of ectosimbiont crabs and	
832	their sand dollar host in a subtropical estuarine sandflat. International Journal of Tropical	
833	Biology, Revista de Biología Tropical 63(2):209–220	

1.

1 / 1

834 Haines CMC, Edmunds M, Pewsey AR. 1994. The pea crab, *Pinnotheres pisum* (Linnaeus

- 835 1767), and its association with the common mussel, *Mytilus edulis* (Linnaeus, 1758), in the
- 836 Solent (U.K.). Journal of Shellfish Research 13:5–10.
- 837 Hajibabaei M, De Waard JR, Ivanova NV, Ratnasingham S, Dooh RT, Kirk SL, Mackie
- 838 **PM, Hebert PDN.** 2005. Critical factors for assembling a high volume of DNA barcodes.
- 839 Philosophical Transactions of The Royal Society of London B Biological Science
- 840 360:1959–1967 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2005.1727
- 841 Hajibabaei M, Singer GAC, Hebert PDN, Hickey DA. 2007. DNA barcoding: how it
- 842 complements taxonomy, molecular phylogenetics and population genetics. TRENDS in
- 843 *Genetics* 23(4):167–172 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2007.02.001</u>
- 844 Hall TA. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis
- 845 program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* 41:95–98.
- 846 Hamel JF, Ng PKL, Mercier A. 1999. Life cycle of the pea crab *Pinnotheres halingi* sp. nov.,
- 847 and obligate symbiont of the sea cucumber *Holothuria scabra* Jaeger. *Ophelia* 50(3):149–
- 848 175 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00785326.1999.10409393</u>
- 849 Harpending H. 1994. Signature of ancient population growth in a low resolution mitochondrial
- 850 DNA mismatch distribution. *Human Biology* 66:591–600.
- 851 Harpending H, Sherry ST, Rogers AR, Stoneking M. 1993. The genetic structure of ancient
- human populations. *Current Anthropology* 34:483–496 <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/204195</u>
- 853 Hartl DL, Clark AG. 1997. Principles of population genetics. Sinauer Associates, Inc.
- 854 Sunderland, Massachusetts, 542 pp.
- 855 Hebert PND, Cywinska A, Ball SL, De Waard JR. 2003. Biological identification through
- 856 DNA Barcodes. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Science
- 857 270 (1512):313–321 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2002.2218

858	Honev-Escandón M	Solís-Marín FA. 2	018. A revision of Holoturia (Halodeima) kefersteinii
-----	------------------	-------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------

- 859 (Selenka, 1867) and the revival of *Holothuria inornata* Semper, 1868 from sea cucumbers
- 860 collected in Mexico and Central America. Zootaxa 4377(2):151–77
- 861 <u>https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4377.2.1</u>
- 862 Hurtado LA, Frey M, Guebe P, Pfeiler E, Markow TA. 2007. Geographical subdivision,
- 863 demographic history and gene flow in two sympatric species of intertidal snails, *Nerita*
- 864 *scabricosta* and *Nerita funiculate*, from the tropical eastern Pacific. *Marine Biology*
- 865 151:1863–1873 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-007-0620-5
- 866 Hurtado LA, Mateos M, Santamaria CA. 2010. Phylogeography of supralitoral rocky intertidal
- *Ligia* isopods in the Pacific region from Central California to Central Mexico. *PLoS ONE*5(7):e11633 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011633</u>
- 869 Ivanova NV, De Waard JR, Hebert, PDN. 2006. An inexpensive, automation-friendly protocol
- 870 for recovering high-quality DNA. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 6:998-1002
- 871 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2006.01428.x
- 872 Laurenzano C, Mantelatto FLM, Schubart CD. 2013. South American homogeneity versus
- 873 Caribbean heterogeneity: populations genetic structure of the western Atlantic fiddler crab
- 874 Uca rapax (Brachyura, Ocypodidae). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology
- 875 449:22–27 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2013.08.007
- 876 Lefébure T, Douady CJ, Gouy M, Gibert J. 2006. Relationship between morphological and
- 877 molecular divergence within Crustacea: Proposal of a molecular threshold to help species
- delimitation. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 40(2006):435–447
- 879 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2006.03.014
- 880 Leigh WJ, Bryant D. 2015. POPART: full-feature software for haplotype network construction.
- 881 *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 6:1110–1116 https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12410

882	Manning RB. 1993. Three genera remove from the synonymy of <i>Pinnotheres</i> Bosc, 1802
883	(Brachyura: Pinnotheridae). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 106(3):
884	523–531.
885	Mantelatto FL, Carvalho FL, Simões SM, Negri M, Souza-Carvalho EA, Terossi M. 2016.
886	New primers for amplification of cytochrome c oxidase subunit I barcode region designed
887	for species of Decapoda (Crustacea). Nauplius 24:e2016030 https://doi.org/10.1590/2358-
888	<u>2936e2016030</u>
889	Matzen da Silva J, Creer S, dos Santos A, Costa AC, Cunha MR, Costa FO, Carvalho GR.
890	2011. Systematic and evolutionary insights derived from mtDNA COI barcode Diversity in
891	the Decapoda (Crustacea: Malacostraca). PloS ONE 6(5):1-15, e19449
892	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019449
893	Melo GAS, BochsG. 2004. Rediscovery of Holothuriophilus tomentosus (Ortmann) comb. nov.
894	(Crustacea, Brachyura, Pinnotheridae) in the Brazilian coast. Revista Brasileira de
895	Zoologia 21(2): 229–232 https://doi.org/10.1590/S0101-81752004000200010
896	Munguia-Vega A, Jackson A, Marinone SG, Erisman B, Moreno-Baez M, Girón-Nava A,
897	Pfister T, Aburto-Oropeza O, Torre J. 2014. Asymmetric connectivity of spawning
898	aggregations of a commercially important marine fish using a multidisciplinary approach.
899	PeerJ 2:e511 https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.511
900	Nauck E. 1880. Das Kaugerüst der Brachyuren. Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Zoologie
901	(<i>Leipzig</i>) 34:1–69.
902	Nei M, Kumar S. 2000. Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics. Oxford University Press, New

903 York

904	Ng PKL, Manning RB. 2003. On two new genera of pea crabs parasitic in holothurians
905	(Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura: Pinnotheridae) from the Indo-West Pacific, with notes
906	on allied genera. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 116:901-919.
907	Ng PKL, Guinot D, Davie PJF. 2008. Systema brachyurorum: Part I. An annotated checklist of
908	extant brachyuran crabs of the world. The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology 17:1-286.
909	Nobili G. 1901. Decapodi raccolri dal Dr. Filippo Silvestri nell' America meridionale. Bollettino
910	del Musei di Zoologia ed Anatomia comparata della R. Univerith di Torino 16(402):1–16.
911	Ocampo EH, Nuñez JD, Cledón M, Baeza JA. 2012. Host-specific reproductive benefits, host
912	selection behaviour and host use pattern of the pinnotherid crab Calyptraeotheres granthi.
913	Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 429: 36–46
914	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2012.06.009
915	Ocampo EH, Nuñez JD, Lizarralde MS, Cledón M. 2011. Larval development of
916	Calyptraeotheres garthi (Fenucci, 1975) (Brachyura, Pinnotheridae) described from
917	laboratory-reared material, with notes of larval character use on Pinnotheridae systematics.
918	Helgoland Marine Research 65:347-359 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10152-010-0228-x
919	Ocampo EH, Robles R, Terossi M, Nuñez JD, Cledón M, Mantelato FL. 2013. Phylogeny,
920	phylogeography, and systematics of the American pea crab genus Calyptraeotheres,
921	Campos, 1990, inferred from molecular markers. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society
922	169:27-42 https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12045
923	Ortmann AE. 1894. Die Decapoden-Krebse des Strassburger Museums, mit besonderer
924	Berücksichtigung der von Herrn Dr. Döderlein bei Japan und bei den Liu-Kiu-Inseln
925	gesammelten und zur zeit im Strassburger Museum aufbewahrten Formen. Theil VII.
926	Abteilung Brachyura (Brachyura genuina Boas), II. Unterabteilung: Cancroidea, 2. Section:

927 Cancrinea, 1. Gruppe: Cyclometopa. In: Spengel, S.W. (Ed.) Zoologische Jahrbücher,

928	Abtheilung für Systematik,	Geographie und I	Biologie der Thiere	. Verlag Von Gustav
-----	----------------------------	------------------	---------------------	---------------------

- 929 Fischer, Jena. Pp. 411–495, pl. 17 <u>https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.24064</u>
- 930 Palacios-Theil E, Cuesta JA, Felder DL. 2016. Molecular evidence for non-monophyly of the
- 931 pinnotheroid crabs (Crustacea: Brachyura: Pinnotheroidea), warranting taxonomic

932 reappraisal. Invertebrate Systematics 30:1–27 https://doi.org/10.1071/IS15023

- 933 Paz-Garcia DA, Chávez-Romo HE, Correa-Sandoval F, Reyes-Bonilla H, López-Pérez A,
- 934 Medina-Rosas P, Hernández-Cortés MP. 2012. Genetic connectivity patterns of corals
- 935 Pocillopora damicornis and Porites panamensis (Anthozoa: Scleractinia) along the West
- 936 Coast of Mexico. Pacific Science 66(1): 43–61 https://doi.org/10.2984/66.1.3
- 937 Perez-Miguel M, Drake P, García Raso EJ, Mamán-Menéndez J, Navas IJ, Cuesta AJ.
- 938 2019. European Pinnotheridae (Crustacea, Decapoda, Brachyura): species, distribution,
- host use and DNA barcode. *Marine Biodiversity* 49:57–68 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-</u>
- 940 <u>017-0754-8</u>
- 941 Poeppig E. 1836. Crustacea Chilensia nova aut minus nota descripsit. Archiv Für
- 942 *Naturgeschichte* 2(1):133–145.
- 943 **Posada D, Buckley TR.** 2004. Model selection and model averaging in phylogenetics:
- 944 advantages of Akaike information criterion and Bayesian approaches over Likelihood Ratio
- 945 Test. Systematic Biology 53(5):739–808 https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150490522304
- 946 Prieto-Rios, E, Solís-Marín FA, Borrero-Pérez GH, Díaz-Jaimes P. 2014. Filogeografía de
- 947 Holothuria (*Halodeima*) inornata Semper, 1868 (Echinodermata: Holothuroidea). *Revista*948 *Peruana de Biología* 21(2):155–162 https://doi.org/10.15381/rpb.v21i2.9818
- 949 Prosser S, Martínez-Arce A, Elías-Gutiérrez M. 2013. A new set of primers for COI
- 950 amplification from freshwater microcrustaceans. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 13:1151–
- 951 1155 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12132</u>

- 952 Rambaut A. 2016. FigTree Tree figure drawing tool version 1.4.3. Institute of Evolutionary
- 953 Biology, University of Edinburgh. Available from: <u>http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/</u> (accessed 5
- 954 January 2019).
- 955 Ramírez-Soriano A, Ramos-Onsins SE, Rozas J, Calafell, F, Navarro A. 2008. Statistical
- 956 power analysis of neutrality test under demographic expansions, contractions and
- 957 bottlenecks with recombination. *Genetics* 179:555–567

958 https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.107.083006

- 959 Ramos-Onsins SE, Rozas J. 2002. Statistical properties of new neutrality test against population
- 960 growth. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 19:2092–2100
- 961 https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a004034
- 962 Rathbun MJ. 1904. Description of three new species of American crabs. Proceedings of the
- 963 Biological Society of Washington 4(17):161–162.
- 964 Rathbun MJ. 1918. The grapsoid crabs of America. Bulletin of the United States National
- 965 Museum 97:1–461 https://doi.org/10.5479/si.03629236.97.i
- 966 Ratnasingham S, Hebert PDN. 2013. A DNA-based registry for all animal species: The
- 967 Barcode Index Number (BIN) system. *PLoS ONE* 8(7):e66213

968 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066213

- 969 Rossi N, Mantelatto FL. 2013. Molecular analysis of the freshwater prawn Macrobrachium
- 970 *olfersii* (Decapoda, Palaemonidae) supports the existence of a single species throughout its
- 971 distribution. PLoS ONE 8(1):e54698 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0054698
- 972 Rozas J, Ferrer-Mata A, Sánchez-DelBarrio JC, Guirao-Rico S, Librado P, Ramos-Onsins
- 973 SE, Sánchez-García A. 2017. DnaSP v6: DNA Sequence Polymorphism Analysis of
- 974 Large Datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 34:3299–3302
- 975 <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx248</u>

976	Rozas J, Sánches-Delbarrio JC, Messeguer X, Rozas R. 2003 DnaSP, DNA polymorphism
977	analyses by the coalescent and other methods. Bioinformatics 19:2496-2497
978	https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg359
979	Salgado-Barragán J. 2015. A new species of <i>Pinnixa</i> (Crustacea: Brachyura: Pinnotheridae)
980	from Mazatlan, Sinaloa, Mexico. Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad 86(3):629-636
981	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rmb.2015.03.001
982	Santos-Beltrán C, Salazar-Silva P. 2011. Holothuroideos (Echinodermata: holoturoidea) de
983	playas rocosas, zona norte de Bahía Banderas, Nayarit, México. Ciencia y Mar 15(45):3-
984	11.
985	Sakai T. 1939. Studies on the crabs of Japan. IV. Brachygnatha. Brachyrhyncha. Yokendo Co.,
986	Ltd. pp. 365–741. pls. 42–111.
987	Schmitt WL, McCain JC, Davidson ES. 1973. Fam. Pinnotheridae, Brachyura I: Decapoda I.
988	In: Gruner HE, Holthuis LB, eds. Crustaceorum Catalogus 3:1-160.
989	Solís-Marín FA, Arriaga-Ochoa JA, Laguarda-Figueras A, Frontana-Uribe SC, Durán-
990	González A. 2009. Holoturoideos (Echinodermata: Holothuroidea) del Golfo de
991	California. CONABIO-UNAM-ICMyL, México, 177 pp.
992	Spielmann G, Diedrich J, Haszprunar G, Busch U, Huber I. 2019. Comparison of three DNA
993	marker regions for identification of food relevant crustaceans of the order Decapoda.
994	European Food Research and Technology, 245:987-995 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-
995	<u>018-3199-9</u>
996	Takeda M, Masahito P. 2000. Systematic notes on the pinnotherid crabs of the genus
997	Pinnaxodes (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura). Bulletin of Natural Science Museum of
998	<i>Tokyo Serie A</i> 26(3):99–112.

- 999 Tai	ara K	, Stecher	G,	Peterson D	, Fili	pski A.	, Kumar	S.	2013	. MEGA	16: N	Molecul	ar
-----------	-------	-----------	----	------------	--------	---------	---------	----	------	--------	-------	---------	----

- 1000 Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30:2725–
- 1001 2729 <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst197</u>
- 1002 Tesch JJ. 1918. The decapoda brachyura of the Siboga expedition Goneplacidae and
- 1003 Pinnotheridae. Siboga Expéditie 39:149–296 https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.10267
- 1004 Wares JP, Gaines SD, Cunningham, CW. 2001. A comparative study of asymmetric migration
- 1005 events across a marine biogeographic boundary. *Evolution* 55:295–306

1006 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2001.tb01294.x

- 1007 Will WK, Rubinoff D. 2004. Myth of the molecule: DNA barcodes for species cannot replace
- 1008 morphology for identification and classification. *Cladistics* 20:47–55
- 1009 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2003.00008.x
- 1010 Zhang C, Li Q, Wu X, Liu Q, Cheng Y. 2017. Genetic diversity and genetic structure of farmed
- 1011 and wild Chinese mitten crab (Eriocheir sinensis) populations from three major basins by
- 1012 mitochondrial DNA COI and Cyt b gene sequences. Mitochondrial DNA PART A 2-9
- 1013 https://doi.org/10.1080/24701394.2017.1404048
- 1014 Zhou H, Xu J, Yang M, Wu B, Yan B, Xiong Y. 2015. Population genetic diversity of sesarmid
- 1015 crab (Perisesarma bidens) in China based on mitochondrial DNA. Mitochondrial DNA,
- 1016 Early Online 1-8 https://doi.org/10.3109/19401736.2015.1015002
- 1017