

Model for Manuscript Review

Research articles

Manuscript title:

PEERJ-65033 - Impact of climatic conditions projected at the World Cup in Qatar 2022 on repeated maximal efforts in soccer players

Title	
Is it understandable and concise?	(x) Yes () Not
Reflects the content?	(x) Yes () Not
Abstract	
It includes: objectives, methodology, key findings and conclusions?	(x) Yes () Not
Introduccion	
The investigation was carried out in a suitable theoretical structure?	(x) Yes () Not
Clear leaves the questions you want to answer and objectives of the work?	(x) Yes () Not
The cited references are current and relevant?	(x) Yes () Not
Methods	
The methods presented are appropriate to achieve the proposed objectives?	(x) Yes () Not
The selection and composition of the sample are adequately described?	(x) Yes () Not
The data collection process and the tools used are described clearly?	(x) Yes () Not
The statistical analysis and the research design appropriate?	(x) Yes () Not
Results	
The presentation of the results clear?	(x) Yes () Not
The main results are highlighted without the inclusion of interpretation and comparisons?	(x) Yes () Not
The results evaluate the proposed objectives?	(x) Yes () Not
Tables and figures are properly numbered, labeled and explained?	(x) Yes () Not
Discussion and Conclusion	
The results are discussed based on the literature?	(x) Yes () Not
Author's interpretations show the safety and soundness?	(x) Yes () Not
The limitations of the work are presented?	(x) Yes () Not
The conclusions of the study are presented?	(x) Yes () Not
The conclusions respond to the objectives?	(x) Yes () Not

General comments:

Title

Are presented satisfactorily.

Abstract

Are presented satisfactorily.

Introduction

Are presented satisfactorily.

Methods

Are presented satisfactorily.

Results

Are presented satisfactorily.

Discussion

Are presented satisfactorily.

Conclusion

Are presented satisfactorily.

References

Are presented satisfactorily

Overview

The manuscript presented addresses a relevant research topic.

It would be advisable to do a general review.

Specific comments and suggestions:**Outcome evaluation**

- Accept unchanged (x)
- Accepted with minor changes ()
- Accepted with major changes ()
- Rejected ()