

Three new species of *Byrsopteryx* Flint microcaddisflies from Peru (Insecta: Trichoptera) including DNA-based larval associations

Allan P. M. Santos¹, Daniela Maeda Takiya²

¹ Departamento de Zoologia, Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro – UNIRIO, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

² Departamento de Zoologia, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro – UFRJ, Brazil

Corresponding Author:

Allan Santos¹

Av. Pasteur 458, sala 309A, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 22290-240, Brazil

Email address: allanpms@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we have described and illustrated three new species of *Byrsopteryx* from Peru: *Byrsopteryx inti* **sp. nov.**, *Byrsopteryx mamaoclo* **sp. nov.**, and *Byrsopteryx mancicapac* **sp. nov.**. Larvae of the latter two were also associated to male specimens based on comparison of a fragment of COI gene and pharate male identification. *Byrsopteryx inti* **sp. nov.** and *Byrsopteryx mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** share a unique feature: a semi-dome process formed by a thickened area on male forewings. The three species can be easily identified by wing coloration and male genitalia. Furthermore, *Byrsopteryx inti* **sp. nov.** can be recognized by its sternum VIII with a median digitate process on posterior margin, slightly capitate; and by long dorsolateral processes from segment VIII, which cross each other apically in dorsal view. *Byrsopteryx mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** can be distinguished by sternum VIII bearing a pair of short, posterior, spinelike processes, which are curved inwards and bordered by a rounded, membranous structure, and by a pair of short, heavily sclerotized, dorsolateral processes. *Byrsopteryx mancicapac* **sp. nov.** can be distinguished by strong spine-like processes arising dorsally from subgenital plate and by sternum VIII with posterior margin divided into two plate-like lobes. Larvae of *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** and *B. mancicapac* **sp. nov.** are similar to other *Byrsopteryx* larvae known. They can be distinguished from each other by the shape of the operculum formed by terga VIII and IX, and number of setae on the second abdominal pleurite. Maximum likelihood analyses of 20 COI sequences, including nine *Byrsopteryx* species, placed *B. inti* **sp. nov.** and *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** as sister species and related to a clade including *B. gomezi*, *B. tapanti*, and *B. esparta*, while *B. mancicapac* **sp. nov.** was found as sister to *B. abrelata*. Despite the close phylogenetic relationship found between *B. inti* **sp. nov.** and *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.**, they are separated by

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

14.9% minimum K2P divergence of COI. The highest intraspecific distance observed was 1.4% for *B. mancicapac* **sp. nov.** individuals.

Introduction

Hydroptilidae, or microcaddisflies, constitute the most diverse family in the order Trichoptera, with over 2,500 named species **in 74 genera** (Morse et al., 2019). Microcaddisflies are recorded from all zoogeographic regions, except Antarctic, and are particularly diverse in the Neotropics, where almost a thousand species are currently known (Holzenthal & Calor, 2017, Morse et al., 2019). Despite their diversity, microcaddisflies are usually neglected by taxonomists due to their **small** body size, which makes difficult to manipulate specimens and to observe morphological features.

Current family classification derives mostly from the comprehensive revision provided by Marshall (1979), including 46 genera known at the time. Six subfamilies are recognized in Hydroptilidae, defined as tribes by Marshall (1979), all of them recorded from the Neotropics (Holzenthal & Calor, 2017). Leucotrichiinae are restricted to the New World, with most species recorded from the Neotropical Region. The subfamily delimitation has been revised by Santos et al. (2016) based on a phylogenetic hypothesis, and now it includes two tribes: Alisotrichiini and Leucotrichiini, with respectively six and ten genera (Santos et al., 2016). *Byrsopteryx* Flint, 1981 belongs to the Alisotrichiini and its monophyly has been consistently supported by morphological and molecular data (Harris & Holzenthal, 1994; Santos et al., 2016).

Among neotropical microcaddisflies, adults of *Byrsopteryx* are easily recognized by their coloration, with white spots over a black background (Harris & Holzenthal, 1994; Santos & Nessimian, 2010). **They also differ from most caddisfly species due to their behavior, being mostly active under bright sunlight, when adults can be seen running fast over rocks or large leaves of riparian vegetation in a zigzag or in a more erratic way** (Flint, 1981; Harris & Holzenthal, 1994; Santos & Nessimian, 2010). They intercalate frantic running with moments when they seem frozen in a position or when they start to revolve around the axis of their own heads (Flint, 1981; Harris & Holzenthal, 1994; Santos & Nessimian, 2010). When disturbed, they just fly off to find another place and start these movements again. Thus, adults of *Byrsopteryx* are more easily collected with aspirators or directly with a finger moistened in alcohol. They occasionally come to light and Malaise traps, but in relatively low numbers, especially compared to other neotropical caddisflies.

***Byrsopteryx* larvae build purse-like portable cases, like those built by *Celaenotrichia* Mosely, 1934 larvae, another genus of Alisotrichiini.** Larval case is weakly sealed dorsally, made mainly of silk and usually with mineral grains and/or algae filaments added (Holzenthal & Harris, 1991; Santos & Nessimian, 2010). Larvae are typically macicolous, being found in waterfalls, living in the spray and splash zone or in small streams on boulders (Holzenthal & Harris, 1991; Harris & Holzenthal, 1994; Santos & Nessimian, 2010). They seem to feed mainly on diatoms, which they apparently scrape from the substrate along with associated periphyton (Holzenthal & Harris, 1991; Santos & Nessimian, 2010). Pupae are fixed to the rocky substrate by a short peduncle,

Commented [ICR1]: To be clear on how small they can be, I suggest specifying the variation in body size of individuals in this family.

Commented [ICR2]: As it is an important information that allows us to identify *Byrsopteryx* adults in the field, I believe it is necessary to briefly explain the general habit and behavior seen in most caddisflies.

Commented [ICR3]: I suggest specifying here how many and which species have their larvae described.

and are commonly found in aggregation above the waterline in pits or small depressions (Holzenthal & Harris, 1991; Santos & Nessimian, 2010).

Currently, 16 species are assigned to *Byrsopteryx* and they occur from southern Mexico; in Central America, including the Caribbean; through northwestern and southeastern South America (Holzenthal & Calor, 2017). Just recently, typical larvae of *Byrsopteryx* were recorded from Colombia (Vásquez-Ramos et al. 2020). Santos et al. (2016) listed three undetermined *Byrsopteryx* species from Peru among the examined material used in for phylogenetic analyses, but no species of *Byrsopteryx* is recorded from the country so far. Peru has a highly diverse fauna of caddisflies, with around 320 known species, almost a third of them being microcaddisflies (Holzenthal & Calor, 2017). Important works on this fauna include those of Flint (1975, 1980, 1996) and Flint & Reyes (1991), and those focusing on hydroptilids of Flint & Bueno-Soria (1998, 1999) and Harris & Davenport (1992, 1999). However, many new species of caddisflies from Peru remain in collections to be described, indicating that this fauna is still very underworked. Here we describe three new species of *Byrsopteryx* from Peru, including larval descriptions for two of them. Larval associations for these species are based on comparison of a fragment of the mitochondrial gene cytochrome oxidase I (COI) from males and larvae and also, for one of them, comparison among cases and structures of pharate males and larvae.

Materials & Methods

Specimen collecting and morphological study

Adults and immatures of *Byrsopteryx* studied here were collected with aspirators, with finger moistened in alcohol (adults) or with aid of entomological forceps (immatures). In addition, adults were also collected with a Malaise trap set at one of the collecting sites. Specimens were collected under permit RD-0297-2012-AG-DGFFS-DGEFFS, issued by Dirección General Forestal y de Fauna Silvestre, Perú. Larvae and most adults were fixed and preserved in 96% ethanol, however some adults were killed in jars with ethyl acetate and pinned dry, to better preserve coloration. *Byrsopteryx* specimens were found in four sites, in Cusco and Puno provinces, Peru. Collecting sites exhibited the typical environment for *Byrsopteryx* species: rocky streams and small waterfalls (Fig. 1).

To observe genital structures, abdomen of males and females were removed and cleared in a heated solution of 10% KOH for about 20 min. Then, abdomens were mounted in temporary slides, used to draw pencil sketches on a compound microscope equipped with camera lucida. Vector graphics were traced in Adobe Illustrator (Adobe Systems Inc.) using pencil sketches as templates. Adult male holotypes and larvae were photographed with a digital camera attached to a Leica stereomicroscope. Photographs at different focal planes were obtained and then stacked with Leica Application Suite or Helicon focus Lite software and then edited in Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems Inc.). After observation, specimens and their respective body parts were stored in microvials with 96% ethanol. Terminology used here follows that of Harris & Holzenthal (1994), unless otherwise noted. Holotypes of the newly described species are deposited at Museo de Historia Natural, Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Lima (MUSM). Paratypes are

Commented [ICR4]: Check this information.

Commented [ICR5]: According to Harris & Holzenthal (1994), the inferior appendages of *Byrsopteryx* are typically fused into a **ventral tubular structure**. If I understand correctly, when describing the new species, you consider this structure as the **subgenital plate**, and the dorsolateral processes of segment IX (according to Harris & Holzenthal, 1994) as the true inferior appendages. These changes were not noted in the Taxonomy section.

in the same institution and also in Coleção Prof. José Alfredo Pinheiro Dutra, Departamento de Zoologia, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro (DZRJ) and Coleção de Invertebrados, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Manaus (INPA). Extracted DNA solutions are deposited at DZRJ.

DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing

DNA was extracted from the entire body of specimens using the Wizard SV Genomic DNA Purification System (Promega Corporation, Madison, USA), without tissue maceration. After extraction, specimens were returned to ethanol and deposited in the original collection as a DNA voucher. COI fragments were amplified using different pair of primers (Folmer et al., 1994; Simon et al., 1994): HCO-2198 (5'-TAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA) in combination with LCO-1490 (5'-GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG); HCO-2198 with C1-J-1718 (5'-GGAGGATTGGAAATTGATTAGTTCC); or Ron (5'-GGATCACCTGATATAGCATTCCC) and Nancy (5'-CCCGGTAAAATTTAAATATAAACTTC).

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) conditions were as follows: when using HCO-2198 and LCO-1490, initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min; 5 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 1 min, annealing at 45 °C for 1,5 min, and extension at 72 °C for 1 min, then 35 cycles of 95 °C for 40 s, 51 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 1 min; and final extension at 72 °C for 7 min. When using other primer combinations, initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min; then 35 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 50 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 2 min; and final extension at 72 °C for 7 min. PCR products were sent to Macrogen Inc., Seoul, for purification and sequencing reactions.

Sequence editing, alignment, and analyses

Forward and reverse electropherograms of each sample were assembled and manually edited in Sequencher 4.1 (Gene Codes, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA). Consensus sequences were verified with the Blast tool in GenBank to check for contamination. Additional sequences of *Byrsopteryx* and of *Celaenotrichia edwardsi* Mosely, 1934 as outgroup, were obtained from Bold Systems (Table 1). COI sequences were aligned using ClustalW algorithm implemented in MEGA X (Kumar et al., 2018) and translated into amino-acid sequences to check for stop codons. Final alignment resulted in a matrix with 653 bp and 20 sequences (Supplementary File 1). All COI sequences were publicly available in GenBank (accession numbers are provided in Table 1). MEGA X was also used to Kimura 2-Parameter (K2P) divergences (Kimura, 1980), with pairwise deletion of missing information. A maximum likelihood analysis was conducted in RAxML 8.2.11 (Stamatakis 2014) with 500 search replicates and model GTR+G+I, as selected by AIC (Akaike 1974) in jModeltest 2 (Darriba et al. 2012) using the Akaike Information Criterion (Akaike 1974). Branch support tree was assessed with 1,000 pseudoreplicates of non-parametric bootstrap (BS, Felsenstein, 1985).

New species names

Commented [ICR6]: Methods of phylogenetic analysis start from this point. The above information should be in a separate topic, or the topic name must be changed. See suggestion above.

The electronic version of this article in Portable Document Format (PDF) will represent a published work according to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), and hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix <http://zoobank.org/>. The LSID for this publication is: [urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:71531CB9-F919-4DB9-8885-B8207F0A82F4]. The online version of this work is archived and available from the following digital repositories: PeerJ, PubMed Central and CLOCKSS.

Results

Phylogenetic analysis and species divergences

The maximum likelihood tree (-lnL= 3322.784548, Fig. 2) recovered two of the new species described herein, *B. inti* **sp. nov.** and *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.**, as sister species (BS= 79) and related to a clade (BS= 52) including *B. gomezi*, *B. tapanti*, and *B. esparta*. The other new species described, *B. mancocapac* **sp. nov.**, was found as sister to *B. abrelata*, but with no significant bootstrap support.

Concerning species interspecific COI K2P divergences, *Byrsopteryx* species varied from 12.3-26.1% (see Supplementary Table 1), minimum divergence (K2P distances) was between *B. tapanti* and *B. gomezi*, both from Costa Rica. Among the Peruvian species, minimum interspecific divergence was 14.9%, between *B. inti* **sp. nov.** and *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.**. Furthermore, maximum K2P intraspecific distances observed were 1.4% for *B. mancocapac* **sp. nov.** (n= 8), 0.7% for *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** (n=3), and 0% for *B. gomezi* (n=2). Based on these divergences and phylogenetic placement (Fig. 2), we were able to associate males and larval specimens of *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** and *B. mancocapac* **sp. nov.** (n= 8).

Taxonomy

Byrsopteryx inti new species

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:9B5FAC2D-A98F-4B88-97BC-CE7CA5105535

- *Byrsopteryx* sp. PE1 Santos et al. 2016:461. Phylogenetic placement.

Figs. 3-5

Description. Adult male. *Coloration.* General color dark brown; head dorsum and antennal base densely covered with white setae; mesoscutum mostly covered with white setae; forewing with four distinct maculae of white setae: an oval band at base of medial area, a trapezoidal macula near midcostal margin, a small subapical spot near posterior margin of wing, and an apical spot (Figs. 3a, 3b). *Length.* Total length 2.4-2.6 mm (n=3). *Head.* Unmodified. Antennae 19-articulated. Ocelli 3. Maxillary palpi 5-articulated; articles I and II very short and globular, article III very long, as long as articles IV and V combined; articles IV and V with similar lengths, each one about twice as long as wide. *Thorax.* Forewing venation strongly reduced; with

199 small, oblique basal lobe; line of weakness distinct, bordered by row of very long, thin setae;
 200 with semi-dome formed by a thickening of wing membrane, to which apices of very long setae
 201 converge; retinaculum distinct (Fig. 4a). Hind wing venation reduced; frenulum distinct, with
 202 row of four short, hooked setae (Fig. 4b). Tibial spur formula 0, 3, 4. *Abdomen*. Segment VII
 203 without ventromesal process. *Male genitalia*. Segment VIII shorter dorsally than ventrally.
 204 Sternum with median digitate process posteriorly, slightly capitate (Fig. 5a); with pair of
 205 posteroventral processes, diverging apically in ventral view (Fig. 5a), slightly upturned in lateral
 206 view (Fig. 5d), each one with a thin rounded membrane at apex (Figs. 5a, 5b, 5d); and with pair
 207 of dorsolateral processes, crossing each other apically in dorsal view (Fig. 5c), upturned in lateral
 208 view (Fig. 5d). Very long stout setae covering apical portions of both sternum and tergum.
 209 Segment IX recessed within segment VIII, projecting anteriorly through posterior portion of
 210 segment VII; open ventrally; with deep mesal incision anteriorly in dorsal and ventral views
 211 (Figs. 5a, 5c); narrowing anteriorly in lateral view (Fig. 5d). Subgenital plate slender, with
 212 rounded apex in ventral view (Fig. 5a), downturned and with apical semicircular incision (Fig.
 213 5d). Inferior appendages positioned dorsolaterally; elongate, digitate, converging apically in
 214 ventral and dorsal view (Figs. 5a, 5c); downturned in lateral view (Fig. 5d); covered with very
 215 short setae. Tergum X membranous; covered with minute setae; with sclerotized lateral bars.
 216 Phallus tubular, with slight constriction between basal and apical portions; basal portion short,
 217 about half length of apical portion and slightly wider; sclerotized region of apex with deep
 218 median incision in dorsal view, with pair of short triangular projections at basal margin of the
 219 incision (Fig. 5e), with a V-shaped incision in lateral view (Fig. 5f); membranous at apex.
 220 Adult female. Unknown.
 221 Larva. Unknown.
 222 **Type material.** Holotype male. PERU: Cusco, 19 rd km W Quincemil, Río Araza tributary.
 223 13°20'10"S 70°50'57"W 874 m. 23-28.viii.2012. Malaise trap. RR Cavichioli, JA Rafael, APM
 224 Santos, DM Takiya leg. Alcohol (MUSM). Paratypes. Same data as holotype, except 1 male,
 225 pinned (DZRJ); same data as holotype, manual collecting, 2 males, in alcohol (MUSM), 1 male,
 226 in alcohol (DZRJ).
 227 **Etymology.** The species name (used as a noun in apposition) is an allusion to the popular Inca
 228 god Apu Inti, the sun god. The Inca people appeared in the Andes region and established the Inca
 229 Empire in pre-Colombian America. Cusco, where the type material comes from, was the center
 230 of the Inca Empire.
 231 **Distribution.** Peru (Cusco).
 232 **Remarks.** Among the three new species described here from Peru, *Byrsopteryx inti* **sp. nov.** was
 233 the most rarely collected. Only 5 male specimens were collected; ~~and,~~ although *Byrsopteryx*
 234 larvae and adults were abundantly seen and collected in explored localities, both female and
 235 immature specimens of this new species were not found and remain unknown.
 236 Males of *B. inti* **sp. nov.** share with *B. mamaocillo* **sp. nov.** ~~the new species described~~
 237 ~~below~~ a feature not observed in any other species in the genus: presence of a sclerotized semi-
 238 dome on forewings (Figs. 3a, 4a). Also, the general aspect of the male genitalia of *B. inti* **sp.**

Commented [ICR7]: According to my interpretation, "new species described below" may include both *B. mamaocillo* sp. nov. and *B. mancocapac* sp. nov..

nov. (Figs. 5b, 5d) is also most similar to that of *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** (Figs. 8b, 8d) in that they share both a pair of dorsolateral and a pair of posteroventral processes on sternum VIII. Other *Byrsopteryx* species that also have dorsolateral processes on segment VIII are *B. chaconi* Harris & Holzenthal, 1994, *B. cuchilla* Harris & Holzenthal, 1994, *B. esparta* Harris & Holzenthal, 1994, *B. solisi* Harris & Holzenthal, 1994, *B. tapanti* Harris & Holzenthal, 1994, and *B. tica* Harris & Holzenthal, 1994. However, *B. inti* **sp. nov.** can be further distinguished from all others based on (1) the sternum VIII with a median digitate process, slightly capitate posteriorly (Fig. 5a); and (2) longer dorsolateral processes from segment VIII, which cross each other apically in dorsal view (Fig. 5c).

***Byrsopteryx mamaoclo* new species**

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:2F8A72D9-FE9C-4C82-BFA4-26238FBEEBB7

- *Byrsopteryx* sp. PE2 Santos et al. 2016:461. Phylogenetic placement.

Figs. 6-10

Description. Adult male. *Coloration.* General color dark brown; head dorsum and antennal base densely covered with white setae; mesoscutum mostly covered with white setae; forewing with three maculae of white setae, a longitudinal band along basal third of costal margin, a subapical spot near posterior margin of wing, and an apical spot (Figs. 6a, 6b). *Length.* Total length 2.6-3.0 mm (n=15). *Head.* Unmodified. Antennae 20-articulated. Ocelli 3. Maxillary palpi 5-articulated; articles I and II very short and globular, article III very long, as long as articles IV and V combined; articles IV and V with similar lengths, each one about twice as long as wide. *Thorax.* Forewing venation strongly reduced; with small, oblique basal lobe; line of weakness distinct, bordered by row of very long, thin setae; with semi-dome formed by thickening of wing membrane (absent in females), to which apices of very long setae converge; retinaculum distinct (Fig. 7a). Hind wing venation reduced; frenulum distinct, with row of five short, hooked setae (Fig. 7b). Tibial spur formula 0, 3, 4. *Abdomen.* Segment VII without ventromesal process. *Male genitalia.* Segment VIII shorter dorsally than ventrally. Sternum with a pair of short spinelike posteroventral processes, curved inwards in ventral and caudal views (Figs. 8a, 8b), upturned in lateral view (Fig. 8d), each one with a thin rounded membrane (Fig. 8a); and with pair of short dorsolateral digitate processes, slightly upturned in lateral view (Fig. 8d). Very long stout setae covering apical portions of both sternum and tergum. Segment IX recessed within segment VIII, projecting anteriorly through posterior portion of segment VII; with deep mesal incision anteriorly in ventral (Fig. 8a) and dorsal views (Figs. 8a, 8c); narrowing anteriorly in lateral view (Fig. 8d). Subgenital plate subtriangular in ventral and dorsal views, apex with a small darkened digitate projection (Figs. 8a, 8c); apex downturned in lateral view (Fig. 8d). Inferior appendages positioned dorsolaterally; elongate, almost straight, parallel in dorsal and ventral views (Figs. 8a, 8c); apex downturned in lateral view (Fig. 8d); covered with very short setae. Tergum X membranous; covered with minute setae or sensilla; with sclerotized lateral bars. Phallus tubular; with slight constriction between basal and apical portions; basal portion short, about half length

Formatted: Font: Italic

Commented [ICR8]: According to figures 6a and 6b the longitudinal band appears to reach the distal third of the wing.

278 of apical portion; sclerotized region of apex rounded in dorsal view, with a short bifid projection
 279 subapically in dorsal view (Fig. 8e), trifold in lateral view (Fig. 8f); membranous at apex.
 280 Adult female. Coloration and general morphology of head and thorax as in male, except
 281 forewing simple, without semi-dome structure or any other modification. *Length*. Total length
 282 2.8–3.8 mm (n=13). *Abdomen*. Segment VI without ventromesal process. Segment VII elongate,
 283 ventrally with short plumose setae (Fig. 9a). Segment VIII slightly longer than wide, with row of
 284 long setae on posterior margin, internally with pair of elongate lateral apodemes extending to
 285 middle of segment VII (Fig. 9a). Segment IX short, slightly sclerotized, internally with pair of
 286 elongate lateral apodemes extending to anterior margin of segment VIII (Fig. 9a). Segment X
 287 very short, narrowed apically, with a pair of digitate papillae (Fig. 9a). Vaginal apparatus slightly
 288 sclerotized, inconspicuous in cleared specimens; elongate, with anterior region rounded (Fig.
 289 9b).

290 Larva (final instar). Length 1.8–2.5 mm (n=29). Head brown to dark brown, unpigmented around
 291 eyes; quadrangular; frontoclypeal and coronal sutures indistinct; dorsum with reticulate pattern
 292 formed by microscopic setae; setae 9 very long; antennae 1-articulated, apical seta indistinct;
 293 mandibles without distinct teeth. Thoracic nota strongly sclerotized, brown, with lateral and
 294 posterior margins dark brown, with short stout setae (Figs. 10a–10c); pro- and mesonota with
 295 transverse mesal sclerotized ridge, less developed on metanotum; pronotum with middorsal
 296 ecdysial line, pair of anterolateral depressed areas (Fig. 10c); meso- and metanota slightly
 297 broader than long, without middorsal ecdysial lines (Fig. 10c); meso- and metathoracic pleural
 298 sclerite large, sclerotized; ventrally, prothorax with two pairs of thin, elongate sclerites, which
 299 converge medially; with a ventral thin intersegmental sclerite between meso- and metathorax;
 300 thoracic legs short, stout, similar in size, shape, and setation (Fig. 10b). Abdomen slightly
 301 compressed (Figs. 10b, 10c); all abdominal segments with sclerotized tergites: segments I and II
 302 with large tergite, covering most of dorsal area of the segment (Fig. 10c); segments III–VII with
 303 transverse tergite, lightly sclerotized, bearing many setae; segments VIII and IX with platelike
 304 tergite, heavily sclerotized, setose, forming a dorsal, almost circular operculum (Fig. 10d), which
 305 has a typical color pattern, with each tergite with a pair of oval lighter areas (Fig. 10d);
 306 operculum closing posterior opening of the case. Pleurites of segments I and II small, with three
 307 and two setae respectively (Fig. 10b, in detail); those of segments III–VIII absent, but region with
 308 two small setae each one. Anal proleg short, setose with pair of long posterior setae; anal claw
 309 stout, curved to approximately 90°, with basal peglike setae.

310 Laval case. Length 1.6–2.8 mm (n=29). Made entirely of silk with bits of mineral material
 311 incorporated, translucent; slightly compressed laterally; with poorly closed dorsal seam (Fig. 10a);
 312 dorsal margin irregular; anterior and posterior openings circular.

313 **Type material**. Holotype male. PERU: Cusco, 20 rd km W Quincemil, Pte. Saucipata, Río
 314 Araza tributary, 13°20'13"S 70°51'15"W 893 m. 22.viii.2012. APM Santos, DM Takiya leg.,
 315 pinned (MUSM). Paratypes. Same data as holotype, except 5 males, 7 females, pinned (DZRJ);
 316 Same data as holotype, except 5 males, in alcohol (MUSM); PERU: Cusco, 19 rd km W
 317 Quincemil, Río Araza tributary, 13°20'10"S 70°50'57"W 874 m. 23–28.viii.2012. APM Santos,

Commented [ICR9]: In figure 9a, segment VIII appears to be slightly wider than long.

Commented [ICR10]: These depressed areas cannot be clearly visualized in the figure. I suggest putting it in detail as you did with the pleurites of the abdominal segments I and II in the figure 10b.

Commented [ICR11]: It is not clear what is the color pattern of the segments VIII and IX.

Commented [ICR12]: If known, specify the method of collection adopted at each locality.

DM Takiya leg., 16 males, 8 females, in alcohol (MUSM); same data, except 5 males, in alcohol (DZRJ); same data, except malaise trap, 4 males, in alcohol (INPA); PERU: Cusco, 3 rd km E Quincemil, 13°13'03"S 70°43'40"W 633 m. 20.viii.2012. APM Santos, DM Takiya leg., 1 female, pinned (DZRJ); PERU: Puno, 6 rd km W Mazuko, Pte. La Cigarra 13°08'27"S 70°23'14"W 353 m. 01.ix.2012. APM Santos, DM Takiya leg., 5 females, pinned (DZRJ); same data, except 1 female, in alcohol (MUSM).

Additional material examined. Same data as holotype, 3 larvae, in alcohol (MUSM); PERU: Cusco, 19 rd km W Quincemil, Rio Araza tributary, 13°20'10"S 70°50'57"W 874 m. 23-28.viii.2012. APM Santos, DM Takiya leg., 11 larvae, in alcohol (INPA); same data, except 18 larvae, 2 male pharate adult, in alcohol (DZRJ); same data, except 10 larvae, in alcohol (MUSM).

Etymology. The species name is an allusion to the Inca goddess Mama Ocllo (used as a noun in apposition), the "mother fertility". According to Inca mythology, Mama Ocllo was daughter of Apu Inti and Mama Quilla, and she married her brother Manco Capac. Together, Mama Ocllo and Manco Capac founded Cusco and guided the people, enabling the beginning of the Inca civilization.

Distribution. Peru (Cusco, Puno).

Remarks. In the field, *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** can be recognized from other two Peruvian species of *Byrsoteryx* by the color pattern, with a long longitudinal white band on each forewing (Fig. 6). *Byrsoteryx mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** can be easily distinguished from other *Byrsoteryx* species also by its male genitalia; with segment VIII bearing a pair of short, posterior, spinelike processes on sternum, which are curved inwards and bordered by rounded, membranous structure (Figs. 8a, 8b); and a pair of short, heavily sclerotized, dorsolateral processes (Figs. 8a&8c, 8d). See further comments on above Remarks of *B. inti* **sp. nov.**

Larvae of *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** differ from those of *B. mancocapac* **sp. nov.** by the: (1) almost circular operculum with two pairs of oval lighter areas, a pair on tergite VIII and another on IX (Fig. 10d), in *B. mancocapac* **sp. nov.** larvae the operculum is more rectangular (Fig. 14d); (2) case generally smaller than larva (Fig. 10a), in *B. mancocapac* **sp. nov.** its case is as long as larva (Fig. 14a); and (3) abdominal segment II with small pleurite bearing two setae (Fig. 10b), whereas in *B. mancocapac* **sp. nov.**, it has three setae (Fig. 14b).

Byrsoteryx mancocapac new species

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:1D7BEBF4-38D5-4A54-8545-A48B7A066E4A

- *Byrsoteryx* sp. PE3 Santos et al. 2016:461. Phylogenetic placement.

Figs. 11-14

Description. Adult male. *Coloration.* General color dark brown; head dorsum and mesoscutum densely covered with black setae, with no white setae; forewing with four distinct maculae of white setae: a longitudinal oval band at base of medial area, a trapezoidal macula near midcostal margin, a subapical spot near posterior margin of wing, and an apical spot, spreading towards costal margin (Figs. 11a, 11b). *Length.* Total length 2.6-3.6 mm (n=23). *Head.* Unmodified.

Commented [ICR13]: I expected to read remarks from adult female, which have been described for other species in the genus.

358 Antennae 18-articulated. Ocelli 3. Maxillary palpi 5-articulated; articles I and II very short and
 359 globular, article III very long, as long as articles IV and V combined; articles IV and V with
 360 similar lengths, each one about twice as long as wide. *Thorax*. Forewing venation strongly
 361 reduced; with distinct line of weakness; basal lobe apparently absent; semi-dome or other wing
 362 modification absent; retinaculum distinct (Fig. 12a). Hind wing venation strongly reduced;
 363 frenulum distinct, with two rows of four or five short, hooked setae (Fig. 12b). Tibial spur
 364 formula 0, 3, 4. *Abdomen*. Segment VII without ventromesal process. *Male genitalia*. Segment
 365 VIII shorter dorsally than ventrally. Sternum with deep mesal incision posteriorly, forming pair
 366 of platelike lobes in ventral view, each one with rounded corners and bearing longer setae on the
 367 posterolateral quadrant and smaller setae surrounding the internal margin (Fig. 13a). Tergum
 368 with transverse row of long and stout setae near posterior margin (Fig. 13b). Segment IX
 369 recessed within segment VIII, projecting anteriorly through posterior portion of segment VII;
 370 with deep mesal incision anteriorly in dorsal and ventral views (Figs. 13a, 13b); narrowing
 371 anteriorly in lateral view (Fig. 13c); open dorsally; with pair of small, rounded posterior
 372 projections in ventral view, each one with acute beak on internal margin (Fig. 13a). Subgenital
 373 plate with lateral arms converging posteriorly to a single darkened apex, strongly downturned in
 374 lateral view (Fig. 13c); with strong horn-like process emerging from base of each arm (Figs. 13a,
 375 13c). Inferior appendages positioned dorsolaterally; elongate, slender, almost parallel in ventral
 376 and dorsal views (Figs. 13a, 13b); in lateral view, each one with dorsal platelike projection at
 377 basal portion, narrowing to apex and downturned (Fig. 13c). Tergum X with a basal trapezoid
 378 sclerite, with shallow incision in anterior margin in dorsal view (Fig. 13b); posterior portion
 379 conical and membranous. Phallus tubular, with slight constriction between basal and apical
 380 portions; basal portion short, less than half length of apical portion; with an acute sclerotized
 381 apical projection (Figs. 13c, 13d); membranous at apex (Fig. 13c).

382 Adult female. Unknown.

383 Larva (final instar). Length 2.0–3.8 mm (n=28). Head brown to dark brown, unpigmented around
 384 eyes; quadrangular; frontoclypeal and coronal sutures indistinct; dorsum with reticulate pattern
 385 formed by microscopic setae; setae 9 very long; antennae 1-articulated, apical seta indistinct;
 386 mandibles without distinct teeth. Thoracic nota strongly sclerotized, brown, with lateral and
 387 posterior margins dark brown, with short stout setae; pro- and mesonota with transverse mesal
 388 sclerotized ridge, less developed on metanotum; pronotum with middorsal ecdysial line, pair of
 389 anterolateral depressed areas (Fig. 14c); meso- and metanota slightly broader than long, without
 390 middorsal ecdysial lines (Fig. 14c); meso- and metathoracic pleural sclerite large, sclerotized
 391 (Fig. 14b); ventrally, prothorax with two pairs of thin, elongate sclerites, which converge
 392 medially; with ventral thin intersegmental sclerite between meso- and metathorax; thoracic legs
 393 short, stout, similar in size, shape, and setation (Fig. 14b). Abdomen slightly compressed (Figs.
 394 14b, 14c); all abdominal segments with sclerotized tergites: segments I and II with large tergite,
 395 covering most of dorsal area of the segment (Fig. 14c); segments III–VII with transverse tergite,
 396 lightly sclerotized, bearing many setae; segments VIII and IX with platelike tergite, heavily
 397 sclerotized, setose, forming a dorsal, subrectangular operculum (Fig. 14d), which has a typical

Commented [ICR14]: I suggest putting it in detail in the figure 14c.

398 color pattern, with mesal, longitudinal darker area on tergite VIII and darker line near
 399 anterolateral margins, and darker border on anterior and lateral margins of tergite IX (Fig. 14d);
 400 operculum closing posterior opening of case. Pleurites of segments I and II small, each one with
 401 three setae (Fig. 14b, in detail); those of segments III-VIII absent, but region with two small
 402 setae each one. Anal proleg short, setose with pair of long posterior setae; anal claw stout, curved
 403 to approximately 90°, with basal peglike setae.
 404 Laval case. Length 1.8–4.0 mm (n=28). Made entirely of silk with bits of mineral material
 405 incorporated, slightly compressed laterally, with poorly closed dorsal seam (Fig. 14a); dorsal
 406 margin irregular; anterior and posterior openings circular.

Commented [ICR15]: The typical color pattern of segments VIII and IX in *Byrsopteryx* is not describe in this work.

407
 408 **Type material.** Holotype male. PERU: Cusco, 20 rd km W Quincemil, Pte. Saucipata, Río
 409 Araza tributary, 13°20'13"S 70°51'15"W 893 m. 22.viii.2012. APM Santos, DM Takiya leg.,
 410 pinned (MUSM). Paratypes. Same data as holotype, except 9 males, pinned (DZRJ); same data
 411 as holotype, except 7 males, in alcohol (MUSM); same data as holotype, except 1 male, in
 412 alcohol (DZRJ). PERU: Cusco, 3 rd km E Quincemil, 13°13'03"S 70°43'40"W 633 m.
 413 20.viii.2012. APM Santos, DM Takiya leg., 3 males, in alcohol (DZRJ). PERU: Puno, 6 rd km
 414 W Mazuko, Pte. La Cigarra, 13°08'27"S 70°23'14"W 353 m. 01.ix.2012. APM Santos, DM
 415 Takiya leg., 4 males, pinned (DZRJ), 4 males, pinned (MUSM); same data, except, 3 males, in
 416 alcohol (INPA), 6 males, in alcohol (DZRJ).

Commented [ICR16]: If known, specify the method of collection adopted at each location.

417 **Additional material examined.** Same data as holotype, except 4 larvae, in alcohol (MUSM), 3
 418 larvae, in alcohol (INPA). PERU: Cusco, 19 rd km W Quincemil, Rio Araza tributary,
 419 13°20'10"S 70°50'57"W 874 m. 26.viii.2012. APM Santos, DM Takiya leg., 8 larvae, in alcohol
 420 (DZRJ), 7 larvae, in alcohol (MUSM), 2 larvae, in alcohol (INPA). PERU: Puno, 6 rd km W
 421 Mazuko, Pte. La Cigarra, 13°08'27"S 70°23'14"W 353 m. 01.ix.2012. APM Santos, DM Takiya
 422 leg., 4 larvae, in alcohol (DZRJ); same data, except 15 larvae, in alcohol (DZRJ); 15 larvae, in
 423 alcohol (INPA); 18 larvae, in alcohol (MUSM)

424 **Etymology.** The species name is an allusion to Manco Capac (used as a noun in apposition), son
 425 of Inti and Mama Quilla, according to some legends. Manco Capac and Mama Ocllo, his sister
 426 and wife, were sent to Earth to find the best place to begin a civilization. They travelled to the
 427 region which later became Cusco, where they established the center of the Inca Empire.

428 **Remarks.** *Byrsopteryx mancocapac* **sp. nov.** is very distinctive from the previous and other
 429 species described in the genus. In the field, this new species can be easily recognized from others
 430 occurring at same site by its coloration, whereas adults from both *B. inti* **sp. nov.** and *B.*
 431 *mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** have head and mesoscutum densely covered by white setae (Figs. 3, 6), and
 432 adults of *B. mancocapac* **sp. nov.** show only black setae on head and mesoscutum (Fig. 11).

433 ~~Among the three species described here, m~~Furthermore, males of *B. mancocapac* **sp. nov.** do not
 434 show the wing modification which is seen in males of both *B. inti* **sp. nov.** and *B. mamaoclo* **sp.**
 435 **nov.** Male genitalia are also unique among *Byrsopteryx* species, particularly due to the
 436 conspicuous spine-like, curved processes projecting from dorsal region of subgenital plate (Figs.
 437 13b, 13c). These processes superficially resemble those of *B. loja* Harris & Holzenthal, 1994, but

in *B. loja* the paired processes arise from segment IX, instead of subgenital plate, as in the new species described here. In addition to the spine-like processes, this new species is also easily distinguished from *B. loja* and other *Byrsopteryx* species by sternum VIII with posterior margin divided into two plate-like lobes (Fig. 13a). Although a relatively high number of males and larvae of *B. mancocapac* **sp. nov.** were collected, females were not found.

Larvae of this new species show typical features of *Byrsopteryx*, for example, lateral depressed areas on pronotum, tergites VIII and IX large, forming an operculum, and case made of silk, poorly sealed dorsally (Fig. 14). Comparing with larvae of *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.**, the only other *Byrsopteryx* larva known from Peru, the larvae of *B. mancocapac* **sp. nov.** can be recognized by the following features, as mentioned before: (1) operculum subrectangular, with rounded corners (Fig. 14d); (2) case usually as long as larva and, when compared with that of *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.**, opaquer (Fig. 14a); and (3) abdominal segment II with a small pleurite bearing three setae, instead of two in *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** (Fig. 14b).

Discussion

Hydroptilids represent the most diverse caddisfly family (Morse et al. 2019), yet many undescribed species remain unnamed in entomological collections. Here, we provided descriptions for three new species of *Byrsopteryx* from Peru. Despite relevant works on Peruvian caddisfly fauna, such as Flint (1975, 1980, 1996), Flint & Reyes (1991), Flint & Bueno-Soria (1998, 1999), and Harris & Davenport (1992, 1999), no *Byrsopteryx* species had been described or recorded from the country so far. As indicated by studies in other South American countries, we have a very limited knowledge on caddisfly diversity in the Neotropics (e.g., Ríos-Touma et al. 2017 – Ecuador; Santos et al. 2020 – Brazil).

Byrsopteryx is a relatively small genus, now with 19 described species. The genus has been recorded from Mexico, Central America, north of South America, and south portion of Brazil (Holzenthal & Calor 2017; Vásquez-Ramos et al. 2020). Species usually show a limited geographic distribution, being associated to waterfalls and/or rocky streams. Adults are commonly seen active during daylight and usually they are not collected in high numbers using light (Harris & Holzenthal 1994) or Malaise traps. During collecting days, we saw many adults and larvae in the localities where we found *Byrsopteryx*, but very few specimens were collected by several days of Malaise trapping in the same site. Interestingly, the three species described here co-occur in these localities, but adults of *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** were much more seen and collected than the other two species, and *B. inti* **sp. nov.** being rarely represented among sampled specimens. In addition, despite the relatively high numbers of specimens of *Byrsopteryx mancocapac* **sp. nov.**, we were not able to associate any female to this species.

Species described here are very distinctive morphologically from other *Byrsopteryx* species, but they are typical members of this genus. The three new species, though unnamed, were previously included in the phylogenetic analyses presented by Santos et al. (2016), which recovered the genus as monophyletic, as indicated earlier by Harris & Holzenthal (1994).

Commented [ICR17]: However, they are quite similar in the larval stage (see Santos & Nessimian 2010).

Commented [ICR18]: As you did for the larvae, I suggest including here the typical features of the *Byrsopteryx* adults (in addition to the coloration).

In the present phylogenetic hypothesis *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** grouped with *B. inti* **sp. nov.**, although in the Bayesian analysis of Leucotrichiinae based on combined data (Santos et al. 2016), *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** was recovered as sister to *B. mirifica* Flint, 1981, the type species. However, the latter clade was not recovered by parsimony analysis of the same dataset nor it was statistically supported, possibly due to the lack of molecular data for *B. mirifica*. Furthermore, in Santos et al. (2016), *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** + *B. mirifica* was found related to *B. inti* **sp. nov.** in both analyses and with moderate support. The sister group relationship of these two new species is also herein supported by strong morphological evidence (not shared by *B. mirifica* according to Harris & Holzenthal, 1994): the presence of a semi-dome thickening on male forewings (Figs. 5a, 6a), a feature unique among *Byrsoteryx* species. Females of *Byrsoteryx inti* **sp. nov.** are unknown, but those of *Byrsoteryx mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** do not show this wing modification, and since this structure is associated with very long and specialized setae (Figs. 4a, 7a), it could be related to pheromone communication. Species of Leucotrichiinae can show different wing modifications, but they are commonly seen among species in the tribe Leucotrichiini. Among the Alisotrichiini, wing modifications are found in species of *Cerasmatrachia* Flint, Harris, and Botosaneanu, 1994 (Flint et al. 1994), with more sclerotized or inflated areas, but the feature described here for these two new species is unique.

So far, only four *Byrsoteryx* species had their larvae described (Holzenthal & Harris 1992, Santos & Nessimian 2010) and here we describe larvae for two of the new species. These larvae show typical features known for the genus, particularly the case poorly sealed dorsally; prothorax with a pair of anterolateral depressed areas; and all abdominal segments with distinct tergites, with tergites VIII and IX forming an operculum to close posterior opening of the case. Both larvae herein described were associated to adult males based on comparison of COI sequences, and additionally, pharate adults were assigned to *B. mamaoclo* **sp. nov.** due to the general aspect of the case. Caddisfly larvae are conspicuous components of freshwater ecosystems and are much more used in ecological or biomonitoring studies than adults. However, Pes et al. (2018) pointed out that only 9.0% of neotropical caddisfly species have their immatures described, an indicative that more taxonomic studies should be developed in this diverse and poorly known region, in particular, those including larva-adult associations.

Conclusions

The present paper is a contribution to the knowledge of neotropical caddisflies, providing descriptions of three new species of *Byrsoteryx* from Peru. We were able to associate larvae and adults of two new species based on comparison of a fragment of COI gene. Combining different sources of information, e.g., morphological and molecular data, results in a better understanding of biodiversity, especially of a megadiverse region. The present study highlights the demand for taxonomic work on caddisflies from the neotropics, a region increasingly threatened by deforestation due to urbanization and uncontrolled exploration of natural resources.

Acknowledgements

Commented [ICR19]: To discuss: According to Harris & Holzenthal (1994), there is no sexual dimorphism in color in *Byrsoteryx*.

Peruvian collecting permit was obtained with the help of G. Melo and A. Asenjo (UFPR) and we are very grateful for field assistance provided by J. Rafael (INPA) and R. Cavichioli (UFPR).

References

Akaike H. 1974. A new look at the statistical model identification. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control 19(6):716–723.

Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nature methods, 9(8): 772–772.

Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39:783–791. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2408678>

Flint OS, Jr., Bueno-Soria J. 1998. Studies of Neotropical caddisflies LVI: descriptions of five new species of the genus *Metrichia* Ross (Trichoptera: Hydroptilidae) from Pakitza, Peru, with a checklist and bibliography of the described species of the genus. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 100: 489–496.

Flint OS, Jr., Bueno-Soria J. 1999. Studies of Neotropical caddisflies LVIII: new species of the genus *Ochrotrichia* Mosely (Trichoptera: Hydroptilidae) from Peru. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 101: 729–736.

Flint OS, Jr., Reyes L. 1991. Studies of Neotropical caddisflies, XLVI: the Trichoptera of the Río Moche Basin, Department of La Libertad, Peru. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 104: 474–492.

Flint OS, Jr. 1975. Studies of Neotropical caddisflies, XX: Trichoptera collected by the Hamburg South-Peruvian Expedition. Entomologische Mitteilungen aus dem Zoologischen Museum Hamburg 4: 565–573.

Flint OS, Jr. 1980. VI. Trichoptera. In: Roback SW (Ed) The Results of the Catherwood Foundation Bolivian-Peruvian Altiplano Expedition Part I Aquatic Insects except Diptera. Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, 132:213–217.

Flint OS, Jr. 1981. Studies of Neotropical caddisflies, XXVIII: The Trichoptera of the Río Limón Basin, Venezuela. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 330: 1–61. <https://doi.org/10.5479/si.00810282.330>

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Portuguese (Brazil)

Formatted: Font: Italic

556 Flint OS, Jr. 1996. The Trichoptera collected on the expeditions to Parque Manu, Madre de Dios,
557 Peru. In: Wilson DE, Sandoval A (Eds) Manu, the biodiversity of southeastern Peru.
558 Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C., 369–430.
559

560 Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of
561 mitochondrial cytochrome C oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Molecular
562 Marine Biology and Biotechnology 3:294–299.
563

564 Harris SC, Davenport LJ. 1992. New species of microcaddisflies from the Amazon region, with
565 especial reference to northeastern Peru (Trichoptera: Hydroptilidae). Proceedings of the
566 Entomological Society of Washington 94:454–470.
567

568 Harris SC, Davenport LJ. 1999. New species of Hydroptilidae (Trichoptera) from the Amazon
569 region of northeastern Peru. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 101:26–
570 38.
571

572 Harris SC, Holzenthal RW. 1994. Hydroptilidae (Trichoptera) of Costa Rica and the Neotropics:
573 Systematics of the genus *Byrsoteryx* Flint (Stactobiini). Journal of the New York Entomological
574 Society 102:154–192.
575

576 Holzenthal RW, Calor AR. 2017. Catalog of the Neotropical Trichoptera (Caddisflies). Zookeys,
577 654:1–566. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.654.9516>
578

579 Holzenthal RW, Harris SC. 1991. The larva of *Byrsoteryx mirifica* Flint, with an assessment of
580 the phylogenetic placement of the genus within the Leuchotrichiini (Trichoptera: Hydroptilidae).
581 In: Tomaszewski C (Ed) Proceedings of the 6th International Symposium on Trichoptera. Adam
582 Mickiewicz University Press, Poznan, Poland, 403–407.
583

584 Kimura M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions
585 through comparative studies nucleotides sequences. Journal of Molecular Evolution 16:111–120
586 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01731581>.
587

588 Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. 2018. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary
589 Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. Molecular Biology and Evolution 35(6): 1547–
590 1549. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096>
591

592 Marshall JE. 1979. A review of the genera of the Hydroptilidae (Trichoptera). Bulletin of the
593 British Museum (Natural History) Entomology series 39:135–239.
594

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

595 Morse JC, Frandsen PB, Graf W, Thomas JA. 2019. Diversity and ecosystem services of
596 Trichoptera. *Insects*, 10, 125. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects10050125>
597
598 Ríos-Touma B, Holzenthal RW, Huisman J, Thomson R, Rázuri-Gonzales E. 2017. Diversity
599 and distribution of the Caddisflies (Insecta: Trichoptera) of Ecuador. *PeerJ* 5: e2851.
600 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2851>
601
602 Santos APM, Nessimian JL. 2010. Description of a new species of *Byrsoteryx* (Trichoptera:
603 Hydroptilidae) from Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil, including its immature stages. *Zootaxa* 2668:
604 44–54.
605
606 Santos APM, Nessimian JL, Takiya DM. 2016. Revised classification and evolution of
607 leucotrichiine microcaddisflies (Trichoptera: Hydroptilidae) based on morphological and
608 molecular data. *Systematic Entomology* 41:458–480. <https://doi.org/10.1111/syen.12168>
609
610 Santos APM, Dumas LL, Henriques-Oliveira AL, Souza WRM, Camargos LM, Calor AR, Pes
611 AM. 2020. Taxonomic Catalog of the Brazilian Fauna: order Trichoptera (Insecta), diversity and
612 distribution. *Zoology* 37: e46392. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zoologia.37.e46392>
613
614 Simon C, Frati F, Beckenbach A, Crespi B, Liu H, Flook P. 1994. Evolution, weighting and
615 phylogenetic utility of mitochondrial gene sequences and a compilation of conserved polymerase
616 chain reaction primers. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 87:651–701.
617 <https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/87.6.651>.
618
619 Vásquez-Ramos JM, Osorio-Ramírez DP, Caro-Caro CI. 2020. First record of the larvae
620 *Byrsoteryx* Flint, 1981 (Trichoptera: Hydroptilidae) in Colombia. *Revista de la Academia*
621 *Colombiana de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales* 44(171):482–492.
622 <https://doi.org/10.18257/raccefyn.1025>
623
624

Formatted: Font: Italic

Figure captions

Figure 1. Collecting localities of specimens of new *Byrsopteryx* species described here. (A) Tributary of Río Araza, Cusco, Peru. (B) Pennsylvania trap being set up by APMS near Puente Saucipata, close to a tributary of Río Araza, Cusco, Peru. (C) Tributary of Río Araza, near Puente La Cigarra, Cusco, Peru. (D) Stream near Quincemil, Cusco, Peru.

Figure 2. Maximum likelihood ($-\ln L = 3322.784548$) tree of COI sequences of *Byrsopteryx* analyzed under GTR+G+I. Numbers above branches are bootstrap percentages. Details of specimen vouchers are in Table 1.

Figure 3. *Byrsopteryx inti* **sp. nov.**, adult. (A) Holotype male (pinned), lateral habitus. (B) Live adult on a rocky surface.

Figure 4. *Byrsopteryx inti* **sp. nov.**, male wings (paratype). (A) Forewing. (B) Hind wing.

Figure 5. *Byrsopteryx inti* **sp. nov.**, male genitalia (holotype). (A) Ventral view. (B) Sternum VIII, subgenital plate, and inferior appendages, caudal view. (C) Dorsal view. (D) Lateral view. (E) Phallus, dorsal view. (F) Phallus, lateral view.

Figure 6. *Byrsopteryx mamaoclo* **sp. nov.**, adult. (A) Holotype male (pinned), dorsal habitus. (B) Live adult in a small pit over rocky surface.

Figure 7. *Byrsopteryx mamaoclo* **sp. nov.**, male wings (paratype). (A) Forewing. (B) Hind wing.

Figure 8. *Byrsopteryx mamaoclo* **sp. nov.**, male genitalia (holotype). (A) Ventral view. (B) Posteroventral processes of segment VIII, caudal view. (C) Dorsal view. (D) lateral view. (E) Phallus, dorsal view. (F) Phallus, lateral view.

Figure 9. *Byrsopteryx mamaoclo* **sp. nov.**, female genitalia. (A) Segments VII, VIII, IX, and X, ventral view. (B) Vaginal apparatus, ventral view.

Figure 10. *Byrsopteryx mamaoclo* **sp. nov.**, larva. (A) Lateral habitus with case. (B) Lateral habitus without case. (C) Dorsal habitus. (D) Operculum, dorsocaudal view.

Figure 11. *Byrsopteryx mancicapac* **sp. nov.**, adult. (A) Paratype male (pinned), dorsal habitus. (B) Live adult on a rocky surface.

Figure 12. *Byrsopteryx mancicapac* **sp. nov.**, male wings. (A) Forewing. (B) Hind wing.

665 Figure 13. *Byrsopteryx mancicapac* **sp. nov.**, male genitalia (holotype). (A) Ventral view. (B)
666 Dorsal view. (C) Lateral view. (D) Phallus, dorsal view. (E) Phallus, lateral view.
667
668 Figure 14. *Byrsopteryx mancicapac* **sp. nov.**, larva. (A) Lateral habitus with case. (B) Lateral
669 habitus without case. (C) Dorsal habitus. (D) Operculum, dorsocaudal view.
670
671 Table 1. Species of *Byrsopteryx* and other Leucotrichiinae with COI sequences used in this
672 study, with respective information of voucher specimen and GenBank accession numbers.
673
674 Supplementary File 1. NEXUS matrix of COI sequences of *Byrsopteryx* and *Celaenotrichia*.
675
676 Supplementary Table 1. K2P distances among 20 COI sequences of nine *Byrsopteryx* species
677 sampled.
678