# Peer

# Characterization of the morphology and complete mitochondrial genomes of *Eupteryx minusula* and *Eupteryx* gracilirama (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae) from Karst area, Southwest China

Zhouwei Yuan<sup>1</sup>, Kangning Xiong<sup>1</sup>, Ni Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Can Li<sup>2</sup> and Yuehua Song<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Guizhou Normal University, School of Karst Science, Guiyang, Guizhou, The People's Republic of China

<sup>2</sup> Guiyang University, Guizhou Provincial Key Laboratory for Rare Animal and Economic Insect of the Mountainous Region, Guiyang, Guizhou, The People's Republic of China

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** The hemipteran insect family Cicadellidae (leafhoppers) includes >2,600 valid genera and >22,000 valid species worldwide, including >2,000 species in China. Typhlocybinae, second largest subfamilies of Cicadellidae, is widely distributed in the six major zoogeographic regions of the world, including >4,000 species worldwide and >1,000 species in China. Previously, morphological analysis are often effective to the way of taxonomy, but it did not combine with molecular biology. Therefore, morphology and mitochondrial genomes (mitogenomes) of two leafhopper species, *Eupteryx* (*Eupteryx*) minuscula Lindberg, 1929 and Eupteryx (*Stacla*) gracilirama Hou, Zhang & Huang, 2016 were studied and analyzed. This study analyzed the morphological and molecular characteristics of the two leafhoppers, and showed whether the results of the two identifications were consistent.

**Methods:** Based on the method of comparison, mitogenomes and morphology were analyzed to prove the relationship between the two leafhoppers.

**Results:** Although two focal species are classified in two different subgenera of the same genus, they still share many morphological features, such as the moderately produced crown fore margin; the milky yellow apical part of scutellum; the pronotum, basal triangles of scutellum, and forewing are dark with several colorless patches on the surface; the light yellow face, without any spots or stripes, and so on. The circular mitogenomes are 16,944 bp long in *E. minuscula* (GenBank: MN910279) and 17,173 bp long in *E. gracilirama* (GenBank: MT594485). All of the protein-coding genes are starting with ATN, except for some in mitogenome, which has a single T or TAN as a stop codon. All tRNAs have the typical cloverleaf-shaped structure except for *trnS1* (AGN) (*E. minuscula*) which has a reduced DHU arm. Moreover, these two mitogenomes have *trnR* with an unpaired base in the acceptor stem. The phylogenetic relationships between *E. minuscula* and

Submitted 3 April 2021 Accepted 26 October 2021 Published 24 November 2021

Corresponding author Yuehua Song, songyuehua@163.com

Academic editor Joseph Gillespie

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 18

DOI 10.7717/peerj.12501

Copyright 2021 Yuan et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

*E. gracilirama* in respect to related lineages were reconstructed using Maximum likelihood and Maximum parsimony analyses. **Discussion:** The result showed that the tribe Typhlocybini is a sister to the tribes Erythroneurini and Empoascini, and five genera, *Bolanusoides, Typhlocyba, Eupteryx, Zyginella* and *Limassolla* are forming a single clade. *E. minuscula* and *E. gracilirama* are clustered together, supporting the monophyly of the genus *Eupteryx.* The above conclusions are consistent with the traditional classification of the subfamily.

Subjects Entomology, Genomics, Molecular Biology, Taxonomy Keywords Typhlocybinae, Mitochondrial genome, Morphology, Characterization

#### INTRODUCTION

The hemipteran insect family Cicadellidae (leafhoppers) includes >2,600 valid genera and >22,000 valid species worldwide, including >2,000 species in China (*Oman, Knight & Nielson, 1990; Dietrich, 2005*). Typhlocybinae, second largest subfamilies of Cicadellidae, is widely distributed in the six major zoogeographic regions of the world, including >4,000 species worldwide and >1,000 species in China (*Dmitriev, 2003*-onward). The leafhopper genus *Eupteryx* was established by *Curtis (1829)* with *Cicada atropunctata* Goeze, 1778 as its type species by subsequent designation by *Curtis (1837)*. It includes two subgenera *Eupteryx* and *Stacla*, and comprises 123 known species (*Dmitriev, 2003*-onward). All leafhoppers are phytophagous, feeding exclusively on plants; they are abundant in forests and grasslands (*Morris, 1971; Hamilton, 1996*). Some species are important agricultural pests and vectors of plant pathogens (*Miao & Han, 2008; Zhu, He & Wei, 2012*).

The insect mitogenomes are closed, double-stranded DNA molecule, which measures approximately 14–19 kb in size and contains 13 protein-coding genes (PCGs), 22 transfer RNA (tRNA) genes, two ribosomal RNA (rRNA) genes, and a noncoding region (*Boore, 1999; Wang et al., 2015*). Although, mitogenomes are being more and more extensively used for evolutionary, phylogenetic, and population genetic studies (*Nardi et al., 2003; Cook, Yue & Akam, 2005; Cameron, 2014; Li et al., 2017*), the mitogenomes of leafhoppers, especially those Typhlocybini species are remaining rarely explored, particularly that of the phylogenetic relationship of Typhlocybini and Zyginellini. This two tribes were traditionally distinguished based on differences in hind wing venation. Recently the latter was considered to be a junior synonym of the former (*Dietrich, 2013*). The relationships and phylogenetic status of these two tribes have not yet been tested by previous analyses of DNA sequence data and morphological characteristics (*Dietrich, 2004; Dietrich et al., 2017*). So, these should be used to further investigate their phylogenetic relationships.

In this study, we present and analyze the complete mitogenomes and the external morphology of two leafhopper species, *E. minuscula Lindberg*, 1929 and *E. gracilirama Hou, Zhang & Huang, 2016*, including the gene order, nucleotide composition, codon

# Peer.

| Table 1 Taxonomic information and Genbank accession numbers for the species used in this study. |  |               |                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Subfamily/Tribe   | Species  | Accession no. | Reference                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Empoascini  | Ghauriana sinensis (Qin & Zhang, 2011)                   | MN699874      | Shi, Yu & Yang (2020)      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Empoascini  | Empoasca vitis (Göthe, 1875)                             | KJ815009      | Zhou et al. (2016)         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Empoascini  | Empoasca flavescens                                      | MK211224      | Luo et al. (2019)          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Empoascini  | Empoasca sp.15062818                                     | MK251093      | Song, Zhang & Zhao (2019)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Empoascini  | Kybos pura (Stål, 1858)                                  | MK251088      | Song, Zhang & Zhao (2019)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Empoascini  | Empoasca onukii (Matsuda, 1952)                          | MK251089      | Song, Zhang & Zhao (2019)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Empoascini  | Empoasca sp. C2591422                                    | MK251111      | Song, Zhang & Zhao (2019)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Empoascini  | Empoasca sp.   | MK251099      | Song, Zhang & Zhao (2019)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Zyginellini   | Limassolla lingchuanensis (Chou & Zhang, 1985)           | MN605256      | Yuan et al. (2020)         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Zyginellini   | Zyginella minuta (Yang, 1965)                            | MT488436      | Han et al. (2020)          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Typhocybini   | Bolanusoides shaanxiensis (Huang & Zhang, 2005)          | MN661136      | Yan et al. (2019)          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Typhocybini   | Typhlocyba sp. EMHAU 15062510                            | KY039138      | Song, Cai & Li (2017)      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Typhocybini   | Eupteryx (Stacla) gracilirama (Hou, Zhang & Huang, 2016) | MT594485      | This study                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Typhocybini   | Eupteryx (Eupteryx) minuscula (Lindberg, 1929)           | MN910279      | Yang et al. (2020)         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Erythroneurini  | Eratoneura flexibilis (Knull, 1949)                      | MK251094      | Song, Zhang & Zhao (2019)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Erythroneurini  | Mitjaevia protuberanta (Song, Li & Xiong, 2011)          | MN627216      | Yuan, Li & Song (2020)     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Erythroneurini  | Empoascanara dwalata (Dworakowska, 1971)                 | MT350235      | Chen, Li & Song (2021)     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Erythroneurini  | Empoascanara sipra (Dworakowska, 1980)                   | MN604278      | Tan et al. (2020)          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Erythroneurini  | Illinigina sp. EMHAU 15062817                            | KY039129      | Song, Cai & Li (2017)      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Erythroneurini  | Erythroneura vitifex (Fitch, 1856)                       | MK251100      | Song, Zhang & Zhao (2019)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Typhlocybinae/Erythroneurini  | Zygina ordinaria (Ribaut, 1936)                          | MK251104      | Song, Zhang & Zhao (2019)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outgroup  | Macrosteles quadrilineatus (Forbes, 1885)                | KY645960      | Mao, Yang & Bennett (2017) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outgroup  | Japananus hyalinus (Osborn, 1900)                        | KY129954      | Du et al. (2017)           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outgroup  | Yanocephalus yanonis (Matsumura, 1902)                   | KY039113      | Song, Cai & Li (2017)      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

11 1 77 10 п . .1 .

> usage, gene overlaps, intergenic regions, non-coding regions, the body habitus, and the characters of male/female genitalia. Using newly obtained sequences, along with previously published mitogenomes of Typhlocybinae (Table 1), the phylogenetic relationships were reconstructed based on the concatenated nucleotide sequences of 13 PCGs.

# **MATERIALS & METHODS**

#### **Ethics statement**

Collections were not done from any national park or another protected area of land or sea, or on any private land, hence no permission was required. No specific permissions were required for any of the collection localities/activities, as the collections were done in or around the demonstration area of Karst rocky desertification Control, Guizhou Province, China. The field studies did not involve any endangered or protected species. Specimens were collected by sweeping a net from different locations in this area and processed by a series of steps such as sorting, cleaning, and mounting.

#### Morphology

Complete specimens of leafhoppers were taken out of the 95% ethanol solution. The excess of ethanol was sucked dry with qualitative filter paper, and the posture was adjusted. Leafhoppers were photographed in dorsal, lateral, and ventral views using KEYENCE VHX-5000C. Male/female specimens were selected under a stereoscope, the entire abdomen of the specimens was removed and soaked in 10% NaOH solution or 10% KOH solution for 15–20 h. After that, the abdomen was rinsed with clean water, drained with qualitative filter paper of the excess water and transferred to a clean glass dripping with glycerine. An Olympus SZX16 dissecting microscope was used for specimen study and Olympus BX53 stereoscopic microscope was used for taking pictures of the dissected male genitalia and female genitalia. The rest of the specimen with a label was stored in 95% ethyl alcohol and placed in a refrigerator at -20 °C.

# **MITOCHONDRIAL GENOMICS**

#### Samples and DNA extraction

All of the studied specimens were collected in Huajiang Town, Guizhou Province, China, from May to October, 2019. Species were identified following *Hou, Zhang & Huang (2016)* and *Lindberg (1929)*. The specimens were preserved in ethanol and stored in the insect specimen storage room of Guizhou Normal University with the accession numbers GZNU-ELS-2019011 and GZNU-ELS-2019012. The leafhoppers were washed twice by vortexing in absolute ethanol and dried at room temperature before DNA extraction. Genomic DNA was extracted by using a tissue rapid Extraction Kit (VWI). The insects were incubated at 56 °C for 6 h to lyse completely and total genomic DNA was eluted in 50  $\mu$ l double-distill water (ddH<sub>2</sub>O), while the remaining steps were conducted following the manufacturer's protocol. Genomic DNA was stored at -20 °C.

#### Primer design, PCR amplification and sequencing

Primers were designed based on conserved regions sequences and used to amplify short fragments of mitogenome sequences using PCR methodology. The PCR reaction was performed using the LA Taq polymerase and following conditions: pre-denaturation 94 °C for 2 min, then 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 55 °C for 30 s, and extension at 72 °C for 1 min/kb, followed by the final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The final concentration of the forward and reverse primers was 0.2–1.0 mM, and that of MgCl<sub>2</sub> was 2.0 mM. The PCR products were sequenced directly, or if needed first cloned into a pMD18-T vector (Takara, JAP) and then sequenced, by the dideoxy nucleotide procedure, using an ABI 3730 automatic sequencer (Sanger sequencing) and the same set of primers.

#### Assembly, gene annotation and sequence analysis

After quality-proofing of the obtained fragments, the complete mitogenome sequences were assembled manually using DNA star v7.1 software (*Burland*, 2000). Mitogenomes were annotated roughly following the procedure described before (*Zhang et al.*, 2018).

The assembled mitogenomes were compared with those of other shibing species and identified by BLAST searches in the NCBI database (*Altschul et al., 1997*) to ensure that the sequences were correct. The locations of PCGs were annotated using ORF Finder *via* NCBI with the selection of invertebrate mitogenome sequence. Abnormal start codons and stop codons were determined based on comparisons with other invertebrate insects. The position and secondary structure of 22 tRNA genes were annotated using tRNAscan-SE version 1.21 (*Lowe & Eddy, 1997*) and ARWEN version 1.2 (*Laslett & Canbäck, 2008*). The *16sRNA* genes and *12sRNA* genes were determined based on the locations of adjacent tRNA genes and by comparisons with other hemipterans. Circular mitogenome maps were drawn using CG View (http://stothard.afns.ualberta.ca/cgview\_server/) (*Grant & Stothard, 2008*) and Photoshop CC 2020. Codon usage, composition, skewness of wases, and relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) were analyzed using PhyloSuite v1.1.15 (*Zhang et al., 2018*). Strand asymmetry was calculated using the formulae: AT skew = (A – T)/(A + T); and GC skew = (G – C)/(G + C) (*Perna & Kocher, 1995*).

#### **Phylogenetic analysis**

So far, about 30 complete or near-complete mitogenomes of Typhlocybinae have been published in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database. Mitogenomes of 21 Typhlocybinae species were selected, containing eight species from Empoascini, seven species from Erthroneurini, four species from Typhlocybini, two species from Zyginellini. *Macrosteles quadrilineatus, Japananus hyalinus* and *Yanocephalus yanonis* were regarded as an outgroup (Table 1). PCGs genes were aligned using the MAFFT v 7.313 (*Wong, Suchard & Huelsenbeck, 2008*). The phylogenetic tree was reconstructed based on Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Maximum Parsimony (MP) in phyML (*Guindon et al., 2005*) and Mega X (*Sudhir et al., 2018*). For the ML analysis, the best model selection was done with Model test 3.7 (*Posada & Crandall, 1998*) and the GTR+G+I model was optimal for analysis with nucleotide alignment.

# **RESULTS**

#### Taxonomy based on morphology

Head in dorsal view bluntly produced, somewhat narrower than pronotum. Male pygofer lobe with macrosetae at cephalo-ventral angle, with anal tube processes, and with or without various sclerotized process along posterior margin. Subgenital plate with single macrosetae basally. Style with long blade-like apex. Connective Y-shaped, with robust stem. Aedeagal shaft usually with paired processes; preatrium well developed. So far, the genus *Eupteryx* consists of two subgenera *Eupteryx* and *Stacla*. The former pygofer side with various processes at hind margin; basal single macroseta on subgenital plate shorter and the latter pygofer side without any process at hind margin; basal single macroseta on subgenital plate longer. Both of them can be distinguished with the characteristics mentioned above.



**Figure 1** The morphological characteristics of male *E. (Eupteryx) minuscula.* (A) Dorsal view. (B) lateral view. (C) Face. (D) Pygofer, lateral view. (E) Connective & styles. (F) Abdominal apodemes. (G) Pygofer lobe. (H) Subgenital plate. (I) Aedeagus, later view. Full-size 🖾 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.12501/fig-1

#### Eupteryx (Eupteryx) minuscula Lindberg, 1929

*Eupteryx minuscula Lindberg, 1929*: 12; Vilbaste, 1968: 90; Dworakowska, 1970: 363; Anufriev, 1978:154; Dworakowska, 1982: 170. *Eupteryx ussuriensis* Vilbaste, 1966: 63

**Description.** Body dull yellow. Crown roundly produced medially, somewhat narrower than pronotum. Vertex brownish yellow and eyes grey. Pronotum with symmetrical large brown patches medially. Mesonotum with brown lateral triangles and milky yellow apex (Fig. 1A). Forewing dark brown, with several irregular whitish patches on surface, color pattern very similar to another species, *Eupteryx* (*Stacla*) gracilirama (Fig. 1B). Abdomen light brown in dorsal view and yellow in ventral view, except for somewhat darker apical segment (Fig. 1C). Abdominal apodemes extending to 4th sternite (Fig. 1F).

**Male genitalia.** Pygofer lobe rounded, with several small rigid setae apically; ventral appendage sclerotized, tapering towards apex and curved upward (Figs. 1D, 1G). Subgenital plate long and slender, expanded at base and with row of microsetae at upper margin apically (Fig. 1H). Style with foot-like apex (Fig. 1E), with heel little larger than in *Eupteryx* (*Stacla*) gracilirama. Aedeagal shaft with pair of long and slender apical processes (Fig. 1I), processed directed basad, reaching the base of shaft, recurving dorsad at middle to reach the tip of aedeagus, forming U or V-shaped curve in lateral view. Connective with broad and short arms and distinct central lobe (Fig. 1E).

**Female genitalia.** First valvula of ovitositor tapered from base to apex with subapical ventral 'heel' and strigate dorsal sculpture (Fig. 2B); second valvulae asymmetrical with jaggies on dorsal margin apically (Fig. 2C); third valvula with several comb teeth on dorsal margin apically (Fig. 2A).



Figure 2The morphological characteristics of female E. (Eupteryx) minuscula.(A) Valvula III.Valvula I. (C) Valvulae II.Full-size 🖬 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.12501/fig-2

Measurement. Body length of 2.7–3.1 mm; Q3.1–3.3 mm.

**Specimen examined.** CHINA: 32♂♂, 69♀♀, Huajiang, 2019, coll. Zhouwei Yuan & Chao Tan.

**Distribution.** China (Sichuan, Shaanxi, Hubei, Jiangsu, Gansu, Guizhou), Far East of Russia, North Korea, Japan.

Host plant. Artemisia (Asteraceae).

# *Eupteryx* (*Stacla*) *gracilirama Hou, Zhang & Huang, 2016 Eupteryx* (*Stacla*) *gracilirama Hou, Zhang & Huang, 2016*: 597

**Description.** Body dull yellow. Vertex and pronotum dark brown to black, with symmetrical yellow spots and stripes. Vertex with three yellow spots anteriorly and one posteriorly. Eyes black. Pronotum with five nearly parallel longitudinal yellow stripes. Mesonotum with dark brown lateral triangles and milky yellow apex (Fig. 3A). Forewing dark, with two yellowish patches in basal half and several whitish patches in apical half (Fig. 3B). Abdomen brown in dorsal view and light yellow in ventral view, except for darker apical segments (Fig. 3C). Abdominal apodemes extending to 5th sternite (Fig. 3F).

**Male genitalia.** Hind margin of pygofer lobe slightly produced, with several small rigid setae apically, and row of rigid setae at baso-lateral angle (Figs. 3D, 3G). Subgenital plate expanded basally, with row of microsetae at upper margin apically (Fig. 3H). Style with foot-like apex (Fig. 3E). Aedeagal shaft with pair of long branched apical processes extending based to lower half of shaft; smaller branches forming comb-like structure on ventral surface of each process (Fig. 3I). Connective Y-shaped, with lateral arms little longer than in previous specie; stem well developed (Fig. 3E).



**Figure 3 The morphological characteristics of male** *E.* (*Stacla) gracilirama.* (A) Dorsal view. (B) lateral view. (C) Face. (D) Pygofer, lateral view. (E) Connective & styles. (F) Abdominal apodemes. (G) Pygofer lobe. (H) Subgenital plate. (I) Aedeagus, later view. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.12501/fig-3



Figure 4 The morphological characteristics of female E. (Stacla) gracilirama. (A) Valvula III. (B)Valvula I. (C) Valvulae II.Full-size 🖬 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.12501/fig-4

Female genitalia. Female ovipositor similar to E. minuscula (Figs. 4A-C).

Measurement. Body length J3.06 mm; Q3.07 mm.

**Specimen examined.** CHINA: 11♂♂, 9♀♀, Shibing, 2019, coll. Zhouwei Yuan, Xiaowei Yuan & Chao Tan.

Distribution. China (Yunnan, Guizhou).

Host plant. Lamiaceae, Artemisia (Asteraceae).



Figure 5 Organization of the complete mitogenome of *E*. (*Eupteryx*) minuscula and *E*. (Stacla) gracilirama protein-coding genes and codon usage. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.12501/fig-5

#### Genome organization and composition

The complete mitogenomes of *E. minuscula* (Genbank: MN910279) and *E. gracilirama* (Genbank: MT594485) are double-stranded plasmids with 16,944 bp and 17,173 bp respectively (Figs. 5). Both of them contain the usual 13 PCGs, 22 tRNA genes, two rRNA genes, and a control region. The genome organization and nucleotide composition of the two studied species are similar to *Limassolla lingchuanensis*, *Mitjaevia protuberanta*, *Empoascanara sipra* and *Parathailocyba orla* (*Yuan et al., 2020; Chen, Li & Song, 2021; Jiang et al., 2020; Tan et al., 2020*). In the *E. minuscula* mitogenome, gene overlaps were found at 11 gene junctions and involved a total of 41 bp, the longest 10 bp overlapping located between *cox3* and *trnG*; intergenic spacer sequences were found at 12 gene junctions and involved a total of 72 bp, the longest 20 bp intergenic spacer sequences were located between *nad5* and *trnH*. The mitogenome of *E. gracilirama* is relatively compact, with gene overlaps at 13 gene junctions, involving a total of 87 bp. The longest overlap of 41 bp occurs between *cox2* and *trnK*. Genetic interval at 13 gene junctions, involving a total of 116 bp. The longest interval of 48 bp occurs between *trnL2* (CUN) and *cox2* (Table 2).

The nucleotide composition of the whole mitogenome of *E. minuscula* and *E. gracilirama* was as follows: (A) 43.6% and 44.9%, (T) 35.2% and 35.6%, (G) 9.8% and 8.4%, (C) 11.4% and 11.1%, the AT content was 78.8% and 80.5 %, the GC content was 21.2% and 19.5% respectively, thus exhibiting a strong A/T bias. Besides, AT skew, and GC skew were calculated for the mitogenomes of *E. minuscula* and *E. gracilirama* (Table 3).

Both of *E. minuscula* and *E. gracilirama* mitogenomes contained 13 PCGs, the total length is 10,934 bp and 10,937 bp, respectively (Table 2). Among the 13 PCGs, the largest

Peer

| Gene           | Location      | Size          | Intergenic  |       | Start codon | Stop codon | Strand |     |     |     |       |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---|-------|-------------|------------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
|                |               |               | pteryx minuscula (EI   Intergenic nucleotides   EM EG   65 70   69 69   960 978   66 67   61 61   65 66   1,536 1,539   66 67   679 672   72 72   65 62   153 153   651 651   789 778   60 62   354 354 |       |             |            |        |     |     |     |       |
|                | EM            | EG            | EM  | EG    | EM          | EG         | EM     | EG  | EM  | EG  | EM/EG |
| trnI           | 1–65          | 1–70          | 65  | 70    |             |            |        |     |     |     | N     |
| trnQ           | 63–131        | 68–136        | 69  | 69    | -3          | -3         |        |     |     |     | J     |
| <i>trnM</i>    | 131-199       | 136-204       | 69  | 69    | -1          | -1         |        |     |     |     | Ν     |
| nad2           | 200-1,159     | 205-1,182     | 960   | 978   |             |            | ATA    | ATA | TAA | TAG | Ν     |
| trnW           | 1,179–1,244   | 1,187-1,253   | 66  | 67    | 19          | 4          |        |     |     |     | Ν     |
| trnC           | 1,237–1,297   | 1,246-1,306   | 61  | 61    | -8          | -8         |        |     |     |     | J     |
| trnY           | 1,300–1,364   | 1,313–1,378   | 65  | 66    | 2           | 6          |        |     |     |     | J     |
| cox1           | 1366-2,901    | 1,380-2,918   | 1,536   | 1,539 | 1           | 1          | ATG    | ATG | TAA | TAA | Ν     |
| trnL2          | 2,905–2,970   | 2,914-2,980   | 66  | 67    | 3           | -5         |        |     |     |     | Ν     |
| cox2           | 2,971-3,649   | 3,029-3,700   | 679   | 672   | 0           | 48         | ATT    | ATA | Т   | TAA | Ν     |
| trnK           | 3,650-3,721   | 3,660-3,731   | 72  | 72    | 0           | -41        |        |     |     |     | Ν     |
| trnD           | 3,722-3,786   | 3,734-3,795   | 65  | 62    | 0           | 2          |        |     |     |     | Ν     |
| atp8           | 3,786-3,938   | 3,796-3,948   | 153   | 153   | -1          | 0          | TTG    | TTG | TAA | TAA | Ν     |
| atp6           | 3,932-4,582   | 3,942-4,592   | 651   | 651   | -6          | -7         | ATG    | ATG | TAA | TAA | Ν     |
| cox3           | 4,587-5,375   | 4,597-5,374   | 789   | 778   | 4           | 4          | ATG    | ATG | TAG | Т   | Ν     |
| trnG           | 5,366-5,425   | 5,375-5,436   | 60  | 62    | -10         | 0          |        |     |     |     | Ν     |
| nad3           | 5,428-5,781   | 5,437-5,790   | 354   | 354   | 2           | 0          | ATT    | ATT | TAA | TAA | Ν     |
| trnA           | 5,782-5,843   | 5,796-5,858   | 62  | 63    | 0           | 5          |        |     |     |     | Ν     |
| trnR           | 5,847-5,914   | 5,857-5,924   | 68  | 68    | 3           | -2         |        |     |     |     | Ν     |
| trnN           | 5,913-5,977   | 5,923-5,987   | 65  | 65    | -2          | -2         |        |     |     |     | Ν     |
| trnS1          | 5,977-6,041   | 5,987-6,053   | 65  | 67    | -1          | -1         |        |     |     |     | Ν     |
| trnE           | 6,048-6,114   | 6,075-6,139   | 67  | 65    | 6           | 21         |        |     |     |     | Ν     |
| trnF           | 6,115-6,178   | 6,141-6,204   | 64  | 64    | 0           | 1          |        |     |     |     | J     |
| nad5           | 6,179–7,829   | 6,205–7,855   | 1,651   | 1,651 |             |            | TTG    | ATT | Т   | Т   | J     |
| trnH           | 7,850–7,911   | 7,874–7,937   | 62  | 64    | 20          | 18         |        |     |     |     | J     |
| nad4           | 7,911-9,230   | 7,937–9,256   | 1,320   | 1,320 | -1          | -1         | ATG    | ATG | TAA | TAA | J     |
| nad4L          | 9,224–9,499   | 9,250-9,525   | 276   | 276   | -6          | -7         | ATG    | ATG | TAA | TAA | J     |
| trnT           | 9,502–9,568   | 9,528–9,592   | 67  | 65    | 2           | 2          |        |     |     |     | Ν     |
| trnP           | 9,569–9,634   | 9,593–9,658   | 66  | 66    |             |            |        |     |     |     | J     |
| nad6           | 9,637–10,131  | 9,661-10,155  | 495   | 495   | 2           | 2          | ATT    | ATA | TAA | TAA | Ν     |
| cytb           | 10,124–11,260 | 10,148–11,284 | 1,137   | 1,137 | 8           | -8         | ATG    | ATG | TAG | TAA | Ν     |
| trnS2          | 11,259–11,323 | 11,287–11,351 | 65  | 65    | -2          | 2          |        |     |     |     | Ν     |
| nad1           | 11,324–12,256 | 11,351–12,283 | 933   | 933   | 0           | -1         | ATT    | ATT | TAA | TAA | J     |
| trnL1          | 12,257–12,322 | 12,284–12,354 | 66  | 71    |             |            |        |     |     |     | J     |
| rrnL           | 12,323-13,483 | 12,355-13,519 | 1,161   | 1,165 |             |            |        |     |     |     | J     |
| trnV           | 13,484–13,549 | 13,520–13,584 | 66  | 65    |             |            |        |     |     |     | J     |
| rrnS           | 13,550-14,283 | 13,585–14,321 | 734   | 737   |             |            |        |     |     |     | J     |
| AT-rich region | 14,284–16,945 | 14,322–17,173 | 2,662   | 2,852 |             |            |        |     |     |     |       |

| Table 3 Skewed nucleotide compositions of Eupteryx minuscula and Eupteryx gracilirama mitogenomes. |       |      |             |      |       |     |       |      |        |      |        |      |         |       |         |        |
|--|-------|------|-------------|------|-------|-----|-------|------|--------|------|--------|------|---------|-------|---------|--------|
| Region   | A (%) |      | A (%) T (%) |      | G (%) |     | C (%) |      | AT (%) |      | GC (%) |      | AT skew |       | GC skew |        |
|  | EM    | EG   | EM          | EG   | EM    | EG  | EM    | EG   | EM     | EG   | EM     | EG   | EM      | EG    | EM      | EG     |
| Whole  | 43.6  | 44.8 | 35.2        | 35.6 | 9.8   | 8.4 | 11.4  | 11.1 | 78.8   | 80.4 | 21.2   | 19.5 | 0.107   | 0.114 | -0.075  | -0.138 |
| PCGs   | 42.6  | 44.2 | 33.5        | 34.1 | 12.8  | 9.3 | 11.1  | 12.4 | 76.1   | 78.3 | 23.9   | 21.7 | 0.12    | 0.129 | 0.071   | -0.143 |
| tRNA   | 41.4  | 42.9 | 37.1        | 37.3 | 9.8   | 8.7 | 11.7  | 11.1 | 78.5   | 81.2 | 21.5   | 19.8 | 0.055   | 0.7   | -0.088  | -0.121 |
| rRNA   | 47.1  | 47.8 | 35.4        | 35.9 | 6.8   | 6   | 10.7  | 10.3 | 82.5   | 83.7 | 17.5   | 16.3 | 0.142   | 0.142 | -0.223  | -0.264 |
| CR   | 46.5  | 47.9 | 40.5        | 401  | 7.2   | 4.8 | 5.8   | 7.2  | 87     | 88   | 13     | 12   | 0.069   | 0.089 | 0.108   | -0.2   |

is *nad5* gene and the smallest is *apt8* gene. The nine genes (*cox1*, *cox2*, *cox3*, *atp6*, *atp8*, *nad2*, *nad3*, *nad6*, and *cytb*) are located on the J-strand, whereas the other four genes (*nad1*, *nad4*, *nad4L* and *nad5*) are located on the N-strand. The proportion of A, T, G, C is 42.6%, 33.5%, 12.8%, 11.1% in *E. minuscula* mitogenome and 44.2%, 34.1%, 12.4%, 9.3% in *E. gracilirama* mitogenome, the A+T content of the PCGs in *E. minuscula* mitogenome (76.1%) is lower than in *E. gracilirama* mitogenome (78.3%). The start and stop codons of the 13 PCGs are shown in Table 2.

Most of PCGs started with ATN, except for some mitogenome. In *E. minuscula* mitogenome, all 13 PCGs utilize ATG (*cox1*, *cox3*, *atp6*, *nad4*, *nad4L*, *cytb*), ATT (*cox2*, *nad1*, *nad3*, *nad6*), TTG (*atp8*, *nad5*) and ATA (*nad2*) as start codon. Nine PCGs (*nad1*, *nad2*, *nad3*, *nad4*, *nad4L*, *nad6*, *cox1*, *atp6*, *atp8*) has TAA as stop codon, whereas the *cox2* and *nad5* genes use a single T, and the *cox3*, *cytb* genes use TAG. However, in *E. gracilirama* mitogenome, six PCGs are terminated by ATG (*cox1*, *cox3*, *atp6*, *nad4*, *nad4L* and *cytb*), three PCGs are terminated by ATT (*nad3*, *nad5*, *nad1*), *nad2*, *nad6*, *cox2* used ATA, *atp8* utilize TTG. Ten genes use TAA as a stop codon, the *nad2* gene utilize TAG, and the *cox3* and *nad5* genes use a single T.

The 13 PCGs in *E. minuscula* comprised 3,644 codons and those in *E. gracilirama* mitogenome comprised 3,645 codons. The behavior of the codon families were determined in the PCGs, which showed the codon usage in the *E. minuscula* mitogenome, which the five most frequently used codons are Leu, Lys, Asn, Met, and Ile. *E. gracilirama* mitogenome, where the five most frequently used codons are Leu, Met, Lys, Ile, and Asn (Fig. 6). Relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) is summarized in Fig. 6, indicating that the most frequently utilized amino acids are Leu, Lys, Ile, Asn and Met. One newly sequenced includes 63 available codons but the codons Ala (GCG) are absent in *E. minuscula*, another includes 61 available codons but the codons Ala (GCG), Arg (CGC) and Gly (GGC) are absent in *E. gracilirama*.

#### Transfer RNA and ribosomal RNA genes

The mitogenomes of *E. minuscula* and *E. gracilirama* contain 22 tRNAs. Fourteen tRNA genes are oriented on N-strand, others are transcribed on the J-strand (Table 2). The tRNA of the two species have a positive AT and negative GC skew (Table 3), and range from 60 to 72 bp. In *E. minuscula* mitogenome, the lengths ranged between 60 bp (*trnG*) and 72 bp (*trnK*). In *E. gracilirama* mitogenome, the largest is 72 bp (*trnK*) and the





smallest is 61 bp (*trnC*). In *E. minuscula*, *trnS1* (AGN) lacks the dihydrouridine arm forming a simple loop, other tRNAs are not, which lacks as commonly found in other insect mitogenomes (*Cameron*, 2014; *Li et al.*, 2017). The total number of unmatched base pairs found was 40 and 31 in *E. minuscula* and *E. gracilirama*, and types of mismatches were A-A, A-C, U-U, C-U and G-U (Figs. 7, 8). Moreover, these two mitogenomes have *trnR* with an unpaired base in the acceptor stem. In *E. minuscula*, *16sRNA* gene (1,161 bp) is located between *trnL1* (UUR) and *trnV*, *12sRNA* gene (1,165 bp) is located between *trnL1* (UUR) and *trnV* and A+T rich region. In *E. gracilirama*, *16sRNA* gene (1,165 bp) is located between *trnL1* (UUR) and *trnV* and A+T rich













region. The rRNA genes had a positive AT skew and negative GC skew, and presented a heavy AT nucleotide bias, with A+T content 82.5% in *E. minuscula* and 83.7% in *E. gracilirama* (Table 3).

#### A+T rich region

The A+T rich region is located between *12sRNA* and *trnI*, which is the longest in mitogenomes of *E. minuscula* and *E. gracilirama*. The A+T rich region of *E. minuscula* is from 14,284 bp to 16,945 bp, and 2,662 bp in length with an A+T content of 87.0%. The A+T-rich region of *E. gracilirama* is from 14,322 bp to 17,173 bp, and it measured 2,852 bp in length with an A+T content of 88.0%. The nucleotide composition of the A+T rich region of *E. minuscula* and *E. gracilirama* are as follows: (A) 47.9% and 46.5%, (T) 40.1% and 40.5%, (G) 4.8% and 7.2%, (C) 7.2% and 5.8%, respectively (Table 3).

# **Phylogenetic relationships**

By examining the base heterogeneity of mitogenome dataset used to construct phylogenetic tree, we can determine whether the underlying heterogeneity of each dataset will lead to significant errors during tree construction (*Sheffield et al., 2009; Li et al., 2015; Timmermans et al., 2015*). On the basis of the calculation results obtained from the AliGROOVE (*Kück et al., 2014*) software, the heterogeneity of PCG data sets in the mitogenome data of Cicadellidae is weak (Fig. 9). So, the dataset could be used to construct a phylogenetic tree.





In recent years, molecular sequencing technology has been widely used in phylogenetic analysis, which can test and verify the results of different levels of more morphology based traditional classifications. Within Typhlocybinae, only a few studies have used the combination of morphological characteristics and molecular data to construct phylogenetic relationships. Song, Yuan & Li (2020) used 13PCGs of eight species of Typhlocybinae to construct a phylogenetic tree and obtained the following topology: Empoascini + (Typhlocybini + (Erythroneurini + Zyginellini)). In this study, the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 10) was erected based on 13 PCGs mitogenomes data of 21 leafhopper species of Typhlocybinae. The most phylogenetic relationships in this subfamily were highly supported. The both tribes of Typhlocybini and Zyginellini are sister groups, and merged into a large branch. The result supports the combination of Typhlocybini and Zyginellini as a tribe. And the results obtained the following topology: Empoascini + ((Typhlocybini + Zyginellini) + Erythroneurini). It differs slightly from the traditional classification system (Fig. 10). Because the limited number of species data are available in our study, so adding more Typhlocybine species and representatives of other major leafhopper groups in Cicadellidae will be needed to solve the problem of the phylogenetic relationship of Typhlocybinae very well.

#### DISCUSSION

In this study, we redescribed the external features of the two species. The main diagnostic features of the genus *Eupteryx* are the following: male hind margin of pygofer lobe with or without sclerotized process; several enlarged macrosetae forming group at baso-ventral angle of lobe; subgenital plate extremely broad at base, with single long macroseta on outer margin subbasally; aedeagus usually with pair of processes at apex. *E. minuscula* and *E. gracilirama* are representatives of two subgenera, *Eupteryx* and *Stacla* respectively. The main difference between the two species is the shape of pygofer lobe, which has well developed ventral process in *E. minuscula* or without process in *E. gracilirama*. Style, subgenital plate, Y-shaped connective, and aedeagus (with paired long apical processes) are comparable in both species and differentiated only in minor features.

In addition, we analyzed the mitogenomes composition and structure of *E. minuscula* and *E.* gracilirama. And the location, secondary structure, PCGs, tRNA genes, rRNA genes, and control regions, and compares them with other Typhlocybine mitogenomes. These two species closely favor those of most other sequenced leafhoppers in various structural and compositional aspects. Moreover, Phylogenetic trees inferred by Maximum likelihood and Maximum parsimony based on the PCGs of 21 species. Empoascini and Erythroneurini were recovered as monophyletic while Zyginellini and Typhlocybini gathered into a single branch. The conclusion of leafhopper phylogeny of this paper supports Dietrich's view (2013), Zyginellini is a junior synonym of Typhlocybini, that is to say, the two tribes should be placed into the same taxon as a monophyletic group. There is some different from the traditional classification system, the tribe Zyginellini evolved from the tribe Typhlocybini and was in a higher evolutionary position in the subfamily Typhlocybinae (*Zhang, 1990*).

# CONCLUSIONS

Based on the current and previous studies, the classification of the tribes of Typhlocybinae is not yet fully resolved with respect to Typhlocybini and Zyginellini, because of the very limited molecular data of the leafhopper species of Zyginellini in this study. The new data provided here will facilitate future comparative studies of Typhlocybine leafhopper mitogenomes. So, more sequencing data is needed to build a more complete phylogenetic tree or combine the traditional morphological classification for the better phylogenetic analysis of Typhlocybinae.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We give our sincere thanks to Dr. Dmitry A. Dmitriev (Illinois Natural History Survey, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA) for revising the manuscript. Thanks also to Tan Chao, Yang Xiao and Yuan Xiaowei for their contribution in collecting the specimens used in this study.

# **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

#### Funding

This work was supported by the World Top Discipline Program of Guizhou Province: Karst Ecoenvironment Sciences (No.125 2019 Qianjiao Keyan Fa), the Guizhou Provincial Science and Technology Foundation [[2018]1411], the Guizhou Science and Technology Support Project [[2019]2855], the Science and Technology Project of Guiyang City ([2020] 7-18), the Innovation Group Project of Education Department of Guizhou Province ([2021]013), the Training Program for High-level Innovative Talents of Guizhou Province [[2016]4020], and the Project for Regional Top Discipline Construction of Guizhou Province: Ecology in Guiyang University [Qian Jiao Keyan Fa [2017]85]. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### **Grant Disclosures**

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: World Top Discipline Program of Guizhou Province: Karst Ecoenvironment Sciences: 125 2019 Qianjiao Keyan Fa. Guizhou Provincial Science and Technology Foundation: [[2018]1411]. Guizhou Science and Technology Support Project: [[2019]2855]. Science and Technology Project of Guiyang City: [2020]7-18. Innovation Group Project of Education Department of Guizhou Province: [2021]013. Training Program for High-level Innovative Talents of Guizhou Province: [[2016]4020]. Project for Regional Top Discipline Construction of Guizhou Province: Ecology in Guiyang University: [Qian Jiao Keyan Fa [2017]85].

#### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### **Author Contributions**

- Zhouwei Yuan conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Kangning Xiong analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Ni Zhang analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Can Li analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Yuehua Song conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

#### **DNA Deposition**

The following information was supplied regarding the deposition of DNA sequences:

The group II intron/IEP sequences are available at GenBank: MN910279 and MT594485.

#### **Data Availability**

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw measurements are available in the Supplementary Files.

#### Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.12501#supplemental-information.

#### REFERENCES

- Altschul S, Madden T, Schäffer A, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman D. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Research* 25(17):3389–3402 DOI 10.1093/nar/25.17.3389.
- Boore JL. 1999. Animal mitochondrial genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research* 27(8):1767–1780 DOI 10.1093/nar/27.8.1767.
- Burland TG. 2000. DNASTAR's Lasergene sequence analysis software. *Methods in Molecular Biology* 132:71–91 DOI 10.1385/1-59259-192-2:71.
- **Cameron SL. 2014.** Insect mitochondrial genomics: implications for evolution and phylogeny. *Annual Review of Emtomology* **59(1)**:95–117 DOI 10.1146/annurev-ento-011613-162007.
- **Chen XX, Li C, Song YH. 2021.** The complete mitochondrial genomes of two erythroneurine leafhoppers (Hemiptera, Cicadellidae, Typhlocybinae, Erythroneurini) with assessment of the phylogenetic status and relationships of tribes of Typhlocybinae. *ZooKeys* **1037**:137–159 DOI 10.3897/zookeys.1037.63671.
- **Cook CE, Yue QY, Akam M. 2005.** Mitochondrial genomes suggest that hexapods and crustaceans are mutually paraphyletic. *Proceedings Biological Sciences* **272(1569)**:1295–1304 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2004.3042.
- **Curtis J. 1837.** Homoptera. In: A guide to an arrangement of British insects: being a catalogue of all the named species hitherto discovered in Great Britain and Ireland. 2nd edition. London: Printed for the author.
- **Curtis J. 1829.** A guide to an arrangement of British insects; being a catalogue of all the named species hitherto discovered in Great Britain and Ireland. London: F. Westley and AH Davis, 1–256.
- **Dietrich CH. 2004.** Phylogeny of the leafhopper subfamily Evacanthinae with a review of Neotropical species and notes on related groups (Hemiptera: Membracoidea: Cicadellidae). *Systematic Entomology* **29(4)**:455–487 DOI 10.1111/j.0307-6970.2004.00250.x.
- Dietrich CH. 2005. Keys to the families of Cicadomorpha and subfamilies and tribes of Cicadellidae (Hemiptera: Auchenorrhyncha). *Florida Entomologist* 88(4):502–517 DOI 10.1653/0015-4040(2005)88[502:KTTFOC]2.0.CO;2.
- Dietrich CH. 2013. South American leafhoppers of the tribe Typhlocybini (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae). *Zoologia* 30(5):519–568 DOI 10.1590/S1984-46702013000500008.
- Dietrich CH, Allen JM, Lemmon AR, Lemmon EM, Takiya DM, Evangelista O, Walden KK, Grady PG, Johnson KP, Wiegmann B. 2017. Anchored hybrid enrichment-based phylogenomics of leafhoppers and treehoppers (Hemiptera: Cicadomorpha: Membracoidea). *Insect Systematics and Diversity* 1(1):57–72 DOI 10.1093/isd/ixx003.
- **Dmitriev DA. 2003.** 3i world auchenorrhyncha database. *Available at http://dmitriev.speciesfile.org* (accessed 20 December 2020).

- **Du Y, Zhang C, Dietrich CH, Zhang Y, Dai W. 2017.** Characterization of the complete mitochondrial genomes of *Maiestas dorsalis* and *Japananus hyalinus* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) and comparison with other Membracoidea. *Scientific Reports* 7(1):14197 DOI 10.1038/s41598-017-14703-3.
- Grant JR, Stothard P. 2008. The CG view server: a comparative genomics tool for circular genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research* 36:181–184 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkn179.
- Guindon S, Lethiec F, Duroux P, Gascuel O. 2005. PHYML Online-A web server for fast maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic inference. *Nucleic Acids Research* 33:557–559 DOI 10.1093/nar/gki352.
- Hamilton KGA. 1996. Are bugs endangered? Abstracts, 23rd Natural Areas. In: 15th North American Prairie and Indiana Dunes Ecosystems Conferences. 39e40.
- Han C, Yan B, Yu XF, Yang MF. 2020. Complete mitochondrial genome of Zyginella minuta (Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae: Zyginellini) from China, with its phylogenetic analysis. *Mitochondrial DNA B Resources* 5(3):2795–2796 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2020.1787274.
- Hou X, Zhang Y, Huang M. 2016. A taxonomic study of the genus *Eupteryx* Curtis (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae), with description of five new records and one new species from China. *Zootaxa* 4117(4):591–599 DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.4117.4.10.
- Jiang J, Yuan XW, Yuan ZW, Song YH. 2020. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Parathailocyba orla* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part Resources* 5(2):1981–1982 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2020.1756952.
- Kück P, Meid SA, Groß C, Wägele JW, Misof B. 2014. AliGROOVE-visualization of heterogeneous sequence divergence within multiple sequence alignments and detection of inflated branch support. *BMC Bioinformatics* 15(1):294 DOI 10.1186/1471-2105-15-294.
- Laslett D, Canbäck AB. 2008. ARWEN: a program to detect tRNA genes in metazoan mitochondrial nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 24(2):172–175 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm573.
- Li H, Leavengood JM, Chapman EG, Burkhandt D, Song F, Liu JP, Zhou XG, Cai WZ. 2017. Mitochondrial phylogenomics of Hemiptera reveals adaptive innovations driving the diversification of true bugs. *Proceedings of the Royal Society Biological Sciences* 284(1862):20171223 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2017.1223.
- Li H, Shao RF, Song N, Song F, Jiang P, Li ZH, Cai WZ. 2015. Higher-level phylogeny of paraneopteran insects inferred from mitochondrial genome sequences. *Scientific Reports* 5(1):8527 DOI 10.1038/srep08527.
- Lindberg H. 1929. Zur Kenntnis der ostasiatischen Homopteren. Weitere Ergebnisse einer von y. Wuorentaus im Jahre 1917 unternommenen Forschungsreise. *Commentationes Biologicae*. *Societas Scientiarum Fennica* 3(6):1–14.
- Lowe TM, Eddy SR. 1997. TRNA scan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. *Nucleic Acids Research* 25:955–964 DOI 10.1093/nar/25.5.955.
- Luo X, Chen Y, Chen C, Pu D, Tang X, Zhang H, Lu D, Mao J. 2019. Characterization of the complete mitochondrial genome of *Empoasca sp.* (Cicadelliae: Hemiptera). *Mitochondrial DNA Part B Resources* 4(1):1477–1478 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2019.1579066.
- Mao M, Yang X, Bennett G. 2017. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Macrosteles quadrilineatus* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part B Resources* 2(1):173–175 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2017.1303347.
- Miao J, Han BY. 2008. DC-EPG analysis on effect of tea plant induced by methyl salicylate against feeding of tea green leafhopper. *Acta Phytophylacica Sinica* **35(2)**:143–147 DOI 10.13802/j.cnki.zwbhxb.2008.02.008.

- **Morris MG. 1971.** Differences between the invertebrate faunas of grazed and ungrazed chalk grassland, IV. Abundance and diversity of Homoptera-Auche-norrhyncha. *Journal of Applied Entomology* **8(1)**:37e52 DOI 10.2307/2402126.
- Nardi F, Spinsanti G, Boore JL, Carapelli A, Dallai R, Frati F. 2003. Hexapod origins: monophyletic or paraphyletic? *Science* 299(5614):1887–1889 DOI 10.1126/science.1078607.
- **Oman PW, Knight WJ, Nielson MW. 1990.** Leafhoppers (Cicadellidae): a bibliography, generic check-list and index to the world literature 1956–1985. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **51**:53–89 DOI 10.1093/aesa/84.5.569.
- Perna NT, Kocher TD. 1995. Patterns of nucleotide composition at fourfold degenerate sites of animal mitochondrial genomes. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 41(3):353–358 DOI 10.1007/BF00186547.
- **Posada D, Crandall KA. 1998.** MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics* **14(9)**:817–818 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.817.
- Sheffield NC, Song HJ, Cameron SL, Whiting MF. 2009. Nonstationary evolution and compositional heterogeneity in beetle mitochondrial phylogenomics. *Systematic Biology* 58:381–394 DOI 10.1093/sysbio/syp037.
- Shi R, Yu XF, Yang MF. 2020. Complete mitochondrial genome of *Ghauriana sinensis* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part B Resources* 5(2):1367–1368 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2020.1735952.
- Song N, Cai W, Li H. 2017. Deep-level phylogeny of *Cicadomorpha* inferred from mitochondrial genomes sequenced by NGS. *Scientific Reports* 7(1):10429 DOI 10.1038/s41598-017-11132-0.
- **Song Y, Yuan X, Li C. 2020.** The mitochondrial genome of *Paraahimia luodianensis* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae), a new genus and species from China. *Mitochondrial DNA Part B Resources* **5(2)**:1351–1352 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2020.1735280.
- Song N, Zhang H, Zhao T. 2019. Insights into the phylogeny of Hemiptera from increased mitogenomic taxon sampling. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 137:236–249 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2019.05.009.
- Sudhir K, Glen S, Michael L, Christina K, Koichiro T. 2018. MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology & Evolution* 35(6):1547–1549 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msy096.
- Tan C, Chen XX, Li C, Song YH. 2020. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Empoascanara sipra* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae) with phylogenetic consideration. *Mitochondrial DNA Part B Resources* 5(1):260–261 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2019.1698990.
- Timmermans MJ, Barton C, Haran J, Ahrens D, Culverwell CL, Ollikainen A, Vogler AP, Dodsworth S, Foster PG, Bocak L, Vogler AP. 2015. Family- level sampling of mitochondrial genomes in Coleoptera: compositional heterogeneity and phylogenetics. *Genome Biology and Evolution* 8:161–175 DOI 10.1093/gbe/evv241.
- Wang Y, Chen J, Jiang LY, Qiao GX. 2015. Hemipteran mitochondrial genomes: features, structures and implications for phylogeny. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 16(6):12382–12404 DOI 10.3390/ijms160612382.
- Wong KM, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP. 2008. Alignment uncertainty and genomic analysis. *Science* 319:473–476 DOI 10.1126/science.1151532.
- Yan B, Li HX, Yu XF, Yang MF. 2019. Mitochondrial genome of Bolanusoides shaanxiensis (Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae: Typhlocybini), with its phylogenetic analysis. *Mitochondrial DNA B Resources* 5(1):490–491 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2019.1698991.

- Yang X, Yuan ZW, Li C, Song YH. 2020. Complete mitochondrial genome of *Eupteryx* (Stacla) minusula (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae) from China. Mitochondrial DNA Part B Resources 5(3):2375–2376 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2020.1775146.
- Yuan XW, Li C, Song YH. 2020. Characterization of the complete mitochondrial genome of *Mitjaevia protuberanta* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part B Resources* 5(1):601–602 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2019.1710601.
- Yuan XW, Xiong KN, Li C, Song YH. 2020. The complete mitochondrial genome of Limassolla lingchuanensis (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Typhlocybinae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part B Resources* 5(1):229–230 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2019.1698354.
- **Zhang YL. 1990.** *A taxonomic study of leafhoppers in China (Homoptera: Cicadellidae).* Hong Kong: Tianze Press, 139–144.
- Zhang D, Gao FL, Li WX, Jakovlic I, Zou H, Zhang J, Wang GT. 2018. PhyloSuite: an integrated and scalable desktop platform for streamlined molecular sequence data management and evolutionary phylogenetics studies. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 20(1):348–355 DOI 10.1111/1755-0998.13096.
- Zhou N, Wang M, Cui L, Chen X, Han B. 2016. Complete mitochondrial genome of *Empoasca vitis* (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part A* 27(2):1052–1053 DOI 10.3109/19401736.2014.928863.
- **Zhu HH, He H, Wei Z. 2012.** Oviposition and control of Cryptotympana atrata (Fabricius) in apple orchards of Weibei Region, Shaanxi. *China Forest Pest and Disease* **31(6)**:8–12.