Impact of Umbilical Cord Arterial pH, Gestational Age, and Birth Weight on Neurodevelopmental Outcomes for Preterm Neonates

6 Roksana Malak[1], Dorota Sikorska[1], Marta Rosołek[2], Ewa Baum[3], Ewa Mojs[4], Przemysław
7 Daroszewski[5], Monika Matecka[6], Brittany Fechner[1], and Włodzimierz Samborski[1]

9 [1] Department and Clinic of Rheumatology, Rehabilitation and Internal Diseases, Poznań
10 University of Medical Sciences, Poznań, Poland
11 [2] Department of Physiotherapy, Poznań University of Medical Sciences, Poznań, Poland
12 [3] Department of Social and Human Science, Poznań University of Medical Sciences, Poznań,
13 Poland
14 [4] Department of Clinical Psychology, Poznań University of Medical Sciences, Poznań, Poland
15 [5] Department of Organization and Management in Healthcare, Poznań University of Medical
16 Sciences, Poznań, Poland
17 [6] Department of Geriatrics and Gerontology, Poznań University of Medical Sciences, Poznań,
18 Poland
19
20 Corresponding Author:
21 Roksana Malak[1] https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0521-5249
22 Collegium Maius, 28 Czerwca 1956 r. 135/147 61-544, Poznań, Poland
23 We have no conflict of interest to disclose.
24 Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Roksana Malak,
25 Email address: rmalak@ump.edu.pl

26 **Abstract**

27 **Background.** The aim of this study was to determine the impact of umbilical cord arterial pH,

28 gestational age, and birth weight on neurodevelopmental outcomes for preterm neonates.

29 **Methods.** We examined 112 neonates. Inclusion criteria were: Saturations greater than 88%, and

30 heart rates between 100–205 beats per minute.

31 **Measurements.** We assessed several neurodevelopmental factors as part of the Brazelton

32 Neonatal Behavioral Assessment Scale (NBAS), 4th edition, such as asymmetric tonic neck

33 reflex (ATNR), motor maturity, response to sensory stimuli, habituation, and state regulation.

34 Initial assessment parameters such as APGAR score and umbilical cord arterial pH were used to

35 assess neonates.

36 **Results.** We found a strong correlation between the presence of the sucking reflex and umbilical

37 cord arterial pH (r =0.32; p = 0.018981). Umbilical cord arterial pH was also correlated with the

38 presence of asymmetric tonic neck reflex (r=0.27; p = 0.047124), cost of attention (r=0.31; p =

39 0.025381) and general motor maturity (r=0.34; p = 0.011741).

40 **Conclusions.** We found that the sucking reflex may be affected in infants with low umbilical

41 cord arterial pH values. Practitioners and parents can use the NBAS to help determine

42 neurodevelopmental factors and outcomes in preterm infants, possibly leading to safer and more

43 effective feeding practices and interventions.

44

Introduction

45

46   Feeding problems are one of the most common issues encountered in pediatric care,

47   occurring in approximately one-fourth of healthy children and up to 90% of children at risk for

48   developmental delay (Barton et al., 2018; Clawson et al., 2008). The discharge of infants from

49   hospital neonatal units is often postponed or delayed due to an infant's inability to suck and feed

50   safely (Lau, 2015). Although the sucking reflex appears initially in fetal life, not all neonates

51   present a sucking reflex after delivery. Feeding problems in premature infants vary, appearing in

52   26.8% of low birthweight infants and 40% of premature infants aged between 25–37 weeks

53   gestation (Harding et al., 2018). The inability to orally feed can delay discharge from a neonatal

54   care unit (Poore et al., 2008).

55   Preterm infants tend to have problems with the coordination of breathing, sucking, and

56   swallowing, which can lead to respiratory difficulties with feeding (Gewolb et al., 2001; Harding

57   et al., 2018). According to Greene, et al. (2016), an infant's breathing pattern is not only essential

58   for effective sucking but also for the ability to coordinate the muscles of the jaw, lips, tongue,

59   palate, pharynx, upper trunk muscle tone, and normal sensory function. The Neonatal Behavioral

60   Assessment Scale (NBAS) can be used to measure some of the aforementioned abilities.

61   Erlandsson et al. (2007) used the NBAS in their study and found that early tactile stimulation by

62   skin-to-skin contact can help develop an infant's prefeeding behavior.

63   According to Foster, et al. (2016) and Greene et al. (2016), nonnutritive sucking allows

64   for a faster transition to nutritive sucking, or full oral feeding, for an infant. Thanks to the

65   diagnosis of neurobehavioral problems including sucking behavior (for instance by, the NBAS),

66   a therapist can plan and apply proper and direct therapeutic interventions such as improving

67   muscle tone, sensory function, and coordination to properly develop sucking and eating. It has

68   been shown that at about the 12th week of gestation a fetus can swallow and at about the 15th

69   week of gestation the fetus can suck (Reissland et al., 2012; Zhang et al. 2017). Posterior-

70   anterior movements of the tongue and jaw are observed at 18 to 24 weeks gestation and are

71   important for developing the sucking response (da Costa et al., 2008).

72   The coordination of sucking and swallowing appears at about 32 to 40 weeks of

73   gestation, while the coordination between sucking, swallowing and breathing appears between

74   34- and 42-weeks post-conception (da Costa et al., 2008; Gewolb et al., 2001; Gewolb et al.

75   2006). However, clinicians and researchers have found that premature infants born before 37

76   weeks of gestation can be successfully bottle fed, despite neurological immaturity (Simpson et

77   al., 2002). Knowledge surrounding the diagnosis of feeding problems should be improved in

78   order to facilitate proper feeding therapy (Gewolb et al., 2001). The oral-motor maturation

79   process correlates with the emergence of motor pathways and the development of the central

80   nervous system. Furthermore, Kirk et al. (2007) found that in order to achieve earlier attainment

81   of full oral feeding, they could apply infant behavioral readiness signs and hunger cues to a more

82   general population of premature infants. Feeding readiness is an important part of infant feeding

83   development. Factors such as birth weight and gestational age may relate to feeding readiness

84   and efficiency and should be investigated and taken into consideration prior to instituting an oral

85   feeding intervention. This is a situation in which a physical therapy intervention might not be

86   recommended due to a neonate's immaturity (Gewolb et al., 2001).

87   Feeding readiness behaviors (FRBs) may have an effect on future feeding efficiency in

88   preterm infants (White-Traut et al., 2005). It is crucial for therapists to recognize neurological

89   immaturity, neurodevelopmental delays, and neurobehavioral stability as a foundation for

90   complex neuromotor activity such as oral feeding (Desai & Lim, 2019). Strengthening and

91   developing a neonate's neurodevelopment may improve his or her oral feeding ability, but an

92   intervention should be applied after first assessing his or her development and maturity (Li et al.,

93   2020). Neonates are classified as preterm infants when early gestational age presents insufficient

94   behavioral abilities and oral feeding difficulties (Li et al., 2020). Even if an infant is at about 26

95   weeks of gestation or older, a child will still improve in his or her neurodevelopment (Ohnishi et

96   al., 2016). Other infant characteristics such as congenital heart defects, early surgical

97   intervention, postsurgical complications, difficulties in achieving stability in physiological and

98   behavioral subsystems, poor arousal, muscle tone abnormalities, and poor state regulation may

99   affect a neonate's ability to achieve oral feeding readiness (Desai & Lim, 2019).

100   The sucking reflex is just one of approximately 50 primitive neonatal reflexes (PNRs).

101   Other PNRs include the rooting reflex and jaw jerk reflex which give valuable information

102   regarding the neurological condition of the neonate. However, the sucking reflex has been

103   identified as the most important for feeding. Other neurodevelopmental aspects of neurobehavior

104   such as the asymmetric tonic neck reflex, the Glabellar reflex, the plantar reflex, the Galant

105   reflex, the walking reflex, and the grasping reflex should be also considered during the

106   assessment of a neonate (Salandy et al., 2019; Zaferiou, 2004).

107   However, problems with sucking may be a risk factor for the neonate potentially

108   experiencing adverse neurodevelopmental issues, such as problems with feeding (Harding et al.,

109   2018; Zhang et al., 2017). Sucking might provide a predictive value for short-term

110   neurodevelopmental outcomes (McGrath & Braescu, 2004; Zhang et al., 2017). In the first two

111   years of life, despite many factors that may interfere with an infant's feeding behavior, sucking

112   behavior is an early marker of abnormal developmental outcomes (Wolthuis-Stigter et al., 2015).

113   It is not only reflexes that are essential for effective feeding. Immature motor skills, hypotonia,

114   an immature neurological system, and sensory development can contribute to underdeveloped

115   sucking skills (Harding et al., 2018). Furthermore, the following factors are important when

116   introducing oral interventions with infants: Overall development, gestational age, developing

117   swallowing skills before sucking, physiological stability, health status, the development and

118   interpretation of infant oral readiness signs, and early communication (Dodrill et al., 2008;

119   Harding et al., 2018). The NBAS is able to assess all of the above-mentioned factors. One

120   advantage of the NBAS is that it takes into consideration the behavior of a child, including non-

121   nutritive sucking which should be assessed while preparing an infant to be fed (Dodrill et al.,

122   2008; Kirk et al., 2007).

123   Additionally, proper positioning of the infant plays an important role during feeding time

124   (Colson et al., 2008). The most recommended position during feeding time is the elevated side-

125   lying position (Clark et al, 2007). Further studies may be warranted regarding proper positioning

126   of the infant, for example using the chin-tuck position. The chin-tuck position and chin tuck

127   against resistance (CTAR) exercise may help in preventing dysphagia because the position

128   enables the proper suprahyoid muscle contraction necessary for the proper working of the tongue

129   (Park et al., 2020; Redstone & West, 2004; The Emily Center at Phoenix's Children's Hospital,

130   2016; Yoon et al., 2014). The most important benefit of the chin-tuck position is that it has been

131   widely used to prevent aspiration difficulties in patients with dysphagia. Ra et al. (2014)

132   performed a study in order to investigate the effectiveness of feeding and the degree of optimal

133   neck flexion thanks to the chin-tuck position. Aspiration was reduced or eliminated when the

134   chin-tuck position was used with the study's participants which was shown in their

135   Videofluoroscopic Swallow Study (VFSS). The proper position with clear respiratory and

136   digestive tracts (good position of the esophagus and larynx) helped with swallowing in a safe

137   way. The chin-tuck position reduced the distance between the thyroid and the hyoid and the

138   distance between the mandible and the hyoid (Bond et al., 2020; Bülow et al., 2001; Ra et al.,

139   2014; Versfeld, 2018; Welch et al., 1993). Therefore, the chin-tuck position is important for

140   ensuring that the infant is in a safe position in order to breathe and suck at the same time.

141   Assessment tools such as the NBAS are recommended to assess an infant's

142   neurodevelopment and potentially find any underlying somatic disorders. Infants who present

143   with somatic disorders may encounter challenges with the sucking reflex due to being less

144   reactive to external stimuli (Belot et al., 2021). It should be noted that if the infant being assessed

145   becomes overreactive to stimuli or experiences other medical issues this may interfere with the

146   implementation of the NBAS assessment (Brazelton & Nugent, 2011). The aim of this study was

147   to determine the impact of umbilical cord arterial pH, gestational age, and birth weight on

148   neurodevelopmental outcomes for preterm neonates.

Materials & Methods

150   We examined a cohort of 112 infants hospitalized at the Gynecology and Obstetrics

151   Clinical Hospital, all of whom presented with feeding problems. Parents were given informed

152   consent the day of the assessment. All parents provided their written informed consent prior to

153   the assessment of their child. According to the physician's and neonatologist's report, neonates

154   who were included in the study were considered neurodevelopmentally immature and presented

155   problems with feeding. Common comorbidities among study participants included:

156   Intraventricular hemorrhage (6 patients), bronchopulmonary dysplasia (66 patients), and patients

157   after an intrauterine infection (18 patients). These comorbidities are the reason why they were

158   initially hospitalized. The range of gestational age was wide: Between 22 and 40 weeks of

159   gestation, and the gestational age at the assessment ranged between 30 and 41 weeks.

160   Inclusion criteria included a peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO_2) between 88–95%, and a

161   heart rate between 100–205 beats per minute as measured by the Nellcor OxiMax N-600x pulse

162   oximeter. Pulse oximetry was measured on the sole of the foot (Sink et al., 2011). Any infants

163   manifesting with clinical instability such as a high temperature, fatigue or deviation of SpO_2 or a

164   heart rate outside of the aforementioned parameters were excluded from the study. The exclusion

165   criteria therefore included desaturation ($SpO_2 < 88\%$), a heart rate < 100 or > 205 beats per

166   minute, active inflammation, sepsis, bone replacement, tumor, encephalopathy, hypotension and

167   lethal birth defects (e.g. Edwards, Patau etc.) Following the application of inclusion and

168   exclusion criteria, 100 subjects were recruited to the study. Twelve neonates did not meet

169   inclusion crtieria, since their oxygen saturaion was below 88 bpm and their heart rate was heart

170   rate was < 100 or > 205 beats per minute. Although there were 112 neonates recruited at the

171   beginning of the study, just 100 met inclusion criteria, and 84 of these 100 neonates exhibited

172   insufficient sucking behavior.

173   We assessed the study's participants using the NBAS just one time in the span of three

174   months, which was not the routine in the neonatal unit. We used the NBAS to check the

175   neonates' maturity and neurobehavior, which including sucking behavior. The average age of

176   gestation was 32 ± 6 weeks (minimum 22 weeks postmenstrual age and maximum 40 weeks

177   postmenstrual age). The average gestational age of the infants during the time of the NBAS

178   assessment was 35 ± 3 and varied between 30 and 41 weeks, postmenstrual age. The average

179   birth weight was 1808g $\pm$ 679g.

180   ***Measurements***

181   We assessed infant neurobehavior, including sucking, using the Brazelton Neonatal

182   Behavioral Assessment Scale (NBAS), 2ND edition as other researchers have done (Tokunaga et

183   al., 2019). Our research study did not evaluate the nutritive sucking development for the study's

184   participants due to infants being born premature, not being at the age at which to safely feed

185   orally, and presenting concerns with sucking, swallowing, and breathing coordination (Gewolb et

186   al., 2001; Harding et al, 2018). We chose to use the NBAS since it takes into consideration the

187   following factors that influence feeding behaviors in infants: habituation, social interaction,

188   motor system, state regulation and organization, autonomic nervous system, reflexes and

189   supplementary items (Sakalidis & Geddes, 2016). A researcher who had completed a specialist

190   NBAS assessment course at the Cambridge Brazelton Centre UK performed the NBAS

191   assessment with the study's participants. Each neonate was assessed and then placed on a flat,

192   comfortable surface of an incubator cot. Other parameters considered during the study were

193   umbilical cord arterial pH (collected at birth), birth weight, gestational age at birth, and age at

194   assessment.

195   *Analytic Strategy*

196   Statistical analysis was performed using Statistica Version 13 software (TIBCO Software,

197   Tulsa, USA). The correlation between samples was measured using Spearman's rank

198   correlation, and a p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. A non-parametric

199   Mann-Whitney U test was used to test for group differences in continuous variables.

200   The study was approved by the Bioethics Committee, consent ref. no. 734/19.

201   **Results**

202   According to the results from the NBAS assessment as shown in in Table 1, the sucking

203   reflex was present in 84 out of the 100 children assessed. There was a statistically significant

204   correlation between the presence of the sucking reflex and the value of umbilical cord arterial pH

205   ($p = 0.018$; r = 0.32). However, there was no correlation found between other factors such as

206 gestational age at birth, age during the assessment, birth weight, and presence of the sucking

207 reflex (Table 1). We also observed that umbilical cord arterial pH was correlated with the

208 presence of asymmetric tonic neck reflex (ATNR), cost of attention, and motor maturity (Table

209 2). Other items from the NBAS such as habituation to sensory stimuli (i.e., Animate auditory,

210 animate visual, and inanimate visual), social interaction, state regulation and organization, as

211 well as autonomic nervous system items and most of the reflexes except for ATNR and other

212 supplementary items did not correlate with umbilical cord arterial pH value and the sucking

213 reflex.

214 **Discussion**

215   Understanding the sucking reflex in neonates is an important matter, as it forms part of

216 the coordination of sucking, swallowing and breathing essential for breast or bottle feeding

217 (Sakalidis & Geddes, 2016). There are many factors that may influence the process of feeding an

218 infant. Factors known to be correlated with a delay in development of the sucking reflex include

219 prematurity or low birth weight (Kumar et al., 2017; Lau et al., 2000; Sakalidis et al., 2013).

220 However, these correlations are not demonstrated in the current study. The reason is that our

221 study is the relatively small size of the study group, therefore the results need to be confirmed in

222 the future on a larger study group and with multivariate analysis.

223   Sucking behavior must be coordinated with swallowing and respiration in order to ensure

224 the safe transport of food content from the mouth to the stomach (Lau, 2015). Such a complex

225 activity as bottle or breast feeding may be disrupted or unsuccessful following low umbilical

226 cord arterial pH which may lead to hypoxia or acidosis. An umbilical cord arterial pH lower than

227 7 may indicate poor tissue perfusion and is associated with a higher incidence of intraventricular

228 hemorrhage (IVH) and cystic periventricular leukomalacia (Levene et al., 1982). An umbilical

229   cord arterial pH lower than 7 can also indicate critical hypoxia, particularly of the brain.

230   Furthermore, this can lead to poor motor outcomes and neurodevelopmental disorders

231   (Robertson & Perlman, 2006).

232   Our analysis indicates a correlation between umbilical cord arterial pH and the presence

233   of the sucking reflex. Umbilical cord arterial pH may be correlated with several neonatal

234   complications (Mitra et al., 2019). Primitive reflexes and postural reactions help to assess central

235   nervous system integrity (Hankins & Speer, 2003; Mandich et al., 1994). Therefore, it is

236   essential to assess the sucking reflex as an element of neurobehavior in infants. Many aspects of

237   infant behavior may influence the sucking reflex, such as gestational age (Franco et al., 2004).

238   Pineda et al. (2019) showed that stable sucking pressure was related to feeding success only at

239   the 38th week of gestation despite the research group consisting of neonates born before the 32nd

240   week of gestation. In our research group most of the children were younger than 38 weeks of

241   gestation. The average week of gestation was 31,8 (approximately the 32nd week) and the

242   average age during the study was 34 weeks of gestation. This may attribute to the fact that there

243   was no significant difference found between age at birth and age at assessment. Another variable

244   of our research group that we suspected to differ in the presence of the sucking reflex was birth

245   weight. We found that the frequency of sucking behavior increased with gestational age as in the

246   study conducted by Cunha et al. (2009). However, we identified no correlation between sucking

247   behavior and birth weight, as similar to the findings from the study of Psaila et al. (2014).

248   We suspected that poor reaction to sensory stimuli would be associated with a lack of a

249   sucking reflex. It is well known that preterm neonates tend to present problems with behavioral

250   responses to sensory stimuli (Rahkonen et al., 2015). Sucking and swallowing reflexes are

251   complex and involve sensory afferent nerve fibers. The integration of sensory and motor

252   functions is essential to the development of normal sucking and later feeding skills (Neel et al.,

253   2019; Stevenson & Allaire, 1991). Most of the infants in our study group were born preterm.

254   This is why the assessed response to sensory stimuli as the element of habituation and social

255   interactive items were similar and did not affect the correlation with sucking. However, we know

256   that the development of feeding skills is an extremely complex process influenced by multiple

257   factors, including social factors. Atypical early sensory experiences in the neonatal unit alters

258   others developmental processes such as state regulation (Litt et al., 2019; Neel et al., 2019). The

259   NBAS enables us to assess an infant's state, which is essential when development feeding

260   programs. The misinterpretation of an infant's state, for instance crying, may lead to problems

261   with feeding including breastfeeding (Neifert & Bunik, 2013). However, in the present study

262   most of the neonates were born preterm and had problems with state regulation. This is why we

263   suspect that the state regulation itself did not affect sucking ability.

264   Immaturity of the nervous system, including the autonomic nervous system (ANS),

265   characterizes preterm infants (Malak et al., 2020). Franco et al. (2004) showed that non-nutritive

266   sucking regulated ANS parameters. Lappi et al. (2007) demonstrated that sucking behavior

267   imposed physical strain on the baby, with a specific ANS response. However, our study did not

268   show any relationship between sucking behavior and ANS items of the NBAS. The preterm

269   infants in this study very often presented with hyperstimulation of sympathetic ANS. Due to

270   almost all of the infants in our study being born preterm, out study population was homogeneous

271   due to the immaturity of the ANS and poor state regulation.

272   Asymmetric tonic neck reflex (ATNR) is another component of neurobehavior related to

273   the primitive development of coordination. ATNR should appear at birth or in the first month of

274   life and disappears after six months of life. ATNR is demonstrated with the infant in a supine

275   position. When the head is rotated, extension of the limbs is seen on the side to which the face is

276   turned, and flexion of the limbs is seen on the contralateral side (Zafeiriou, 2004). Our study

277   showed a correlation between umbilical cord arterial pH and the appearance of ATNR.

278   Observation of ATNR can also reveal reciprocal activity (Tokunaga et al., 2019). This is

279   evidence that adequate neuromuscular coordination is required for ATNR (Figueras et al., 2011;

280   Zafeiriou, 2004).

281   Other research has also shown that a relationship exists between automatic postural

282   reactions and motor development (Mandich et al., 1994; Mitra et al., 2019). Similarly, motor

283   maturity has been shown to be correlated with an umbilical cord arterial pH ≥ 7.00 (Figueras et

284   al., 2011). When an infant is being assessed using the NBAS, is it important to note if

285   movements are smooth and balanced with free arcs (45–90 degrees) or whether movement is

286   jerky with restricted arcs which can indicate immaturity. A higher NBAS score means that the

287   infant presents mature movements (Tokunaga et al., 2019). In this study, a higher NBAS score

288   and greater motor maturity was correlated with a higher umbilical cord arterial pH. Other studies

289   have also shown that umbilical cord arterial pH may be a determinant of motor development

290   (Baschat, 2011).

291   Another aspect of NBAS which was correlated with umbilical cord arterial pH was the

292   cost of attention. These are features which may appear in the neonate which should be

293   considered as state overloading. Cost of attention includes observing if the infant is extremely

294   pale or if he or she shows acrocyanosis or mottled skin. Motor exhaustion, flaccidity,

295   hypertonicity and tremors should also be taken into consideration, particularly if an infant's

296   smooth movements turn into jerky and ineffective movements. The correlation between cost of

297   attention and umbilical cord arterial pH is not surprising if we assume that an umbilical cord

298 arterial pH ≤ 7.00 is a predictor of adverse neonatal outcomes and is an accurate screening test

299 for neonatal hypoxia (Robertson & Perlman, 2006).

300   Infant motor development includes not only reflexes but also perception, planning and

301 motivation. More and more evidence demonstrates that, from birth onwards, babies are subjects

302 who act on the world (Baschat, 2011). Delays in motor development, including reflexes, such as

303 sucking, are associated with the presence of neurodevelopmental disorders (Diamond, 2000).

304 Capilouto et al. (2017) found that the sucking reflex was a marker for later neurodevelopmental

305 outcomes and is an early marker of overall central nervous system integrity. The lack of an

306 effective sucking reflex was associated with an observed motor developmental delay at 18

307 months, two years and even three years (Capilouto et al., 2017).

308 **Limitations**

309   One limitation of our study is the relatively small sample size of the study group.

310 Therefore, the results need to be confirmed in the future to a larger study group and with

311 multivariate analysis.

312 **Conclusions**

313   Observation of an infant's neurobehavior, including the sucking reflex, is essential to

314 planning and approaching therapeutic feeding interventions, particularly when an infant is

315 discharged home. The NBAS allows the practitioner and parent to observe and assess the

316 abilities and communication of a neonate, and increase his or her ability to engage in appropriate

317 feeding behaviors. This has an added benefit of decreasing the stress of the parent during times

318 when the neonate must feed. Additionally, different aspects should be considered in the

319 assessment of sucking behavior such as ATNR, motor maturity, and cost of attention.

320   The NBAS enables specialists to appreciate aspects of neurobehavior such as the sucking

321   reflex in the first moments of life and identify any disorders. Clinicians can then communicate

322   findings to the parents and explain aspects that need facilitation. A priority in neonatal care

323   should be allowing parents to spend time with their child in a safe way (Fonfe et al., 2021).

324   Moreover, it is important to shorten the length of time a child is separated from his or her family.

325   This is of particular importance during the COVID-19 pandemic when parents cannot be present

326   in the neonatal ward. The NBAS helps parents to identify the neonatal state. Although not all

327   infants who leave neonatal care will go home fully orally fed, the NBAS will support parents in

328   identifying infant states as an important part of oral feeding and oral care development (Harding

329   et al., 2015).

330   **Acknowledgements**

331   This study was undertaken with the contribution of nurses and neonatologists from the

332   neonatology clinic who were involved in the preparation of each neonate for neurobehavioral

333   assessment. Special thanks to the nurses and neonatologists at the Gynecology and Obstetrics

334   Hospital in Poznań.

335 **References**

336 Barton, C., Bickell, M., & Fucile, S. (2018). Pediatric oral motor feeding assessments: A

337   systematic review. *Physical & Occupational Therapy in Pediatrics, 38*(2), 190–209.

338   https://doi.org/10.1080/01942638.2017.1290734

339 Baschat, A. A. (2011). Neurodevelopment following fetal growth restriction and its relationship

340   with antepartum parameters of placental dysfunction. *Ultrasound in Obstetrics and*

341   *Gynecology, 37*(5), 501–514. https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.9008

342 Belot, R. A., Bouteloup, M., Mariage, A., Candilis-Huisman, D., Mottet, N., & Mellier, D.

343   (2021). Relevance of Neonatal Behavior Assessment Scale for infants with somatic

344   disorders: Comparison on one matched group of control. *Frontiers in Pediatrics, 8,*

345   506384. https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2020.506384

346 Bond, L. E., Mayerl, C. J., Stricklen, B. M., German, R. Z., & Gould, F. (2020). Changes in the

347   coordination between respiration and swallowing from suckling through weaning.

348   *Biology Letters, 16*(4), 20190942. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2019.0942

349 Brazelton, T. B. & Nugent, J. K. (2011). *Neonatal Behavioral Assessment Scale (4th Edition).*

350   Mac Keith Press.

351 Bülow, M., Olsson, R., & Ekberg, O. (2001). Videomanometric analysis of supraglottic swallow,

352   effortful swallow, and chin tuck in patients with pharyngeal dysfunction.

353   *Dysphagia, 16*(3), 190–195. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-001-0065-9

354 Capilouto, G. J., Cunningham, T. J., Mullineaux, D. R., Tamilia, E., Papadelis, C., & Giannone,

355   P. J. (2017). Quantifying neonatal sucking performance: Promise of new methods.

356   *Seminars in Speech and Language, 38*(2), 147–158. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0037-

357   1599112

358   Clark, L., Kennedy, G., Pring, T., & Hird, M. (2007). Improving bottle feeding in preterm

359   infants: Investigating the elevated side-lying position. *Infant, 3*(4), 154-158.

360   Clawson, B., Selden, M., Lacks, M., Deaton, A. V, Hall, B., & Bach, R. (2008). Complex

361   pediatric feeding disorders: using teleconferencing technology to improve access to a

362   treatment program. *Pediatric Nursing, 34*(3), 213–216.

363   Colson, S. D., Meek, J. H., & Hawdon, J. M. (2008). Optimal positions for the release of

364   primitive neonatal reflexes stimulating breastfeeding. *Early Human Development, 84*(7),

365   441–449. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2007.12.003

366   Cunha, M., Barreiros, J., Gonçalves, I., & Figueiredo, H. (2009). Nutritive sucking pattern—

367   from very low birth weight preterm to term newborn. *Early Human Development, 85*(2),

368   125–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2008.07.003

369   da Costa, S. P., van den Engel-Hoek, L., & Bos, A. F. (2008). Sucking and swallowing in infants

370   and diagnostic tools. *Journal of Perinatology, 28*(4), 247–257.

371   https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jp.7211924

372   Desai, H., & Lim, A. N. (2019). Neurodevelopmental intervention strategies to improve oral

373   feeding skills in infants with congenital heart defects. *Perspectives of the ASHA Special

374   Interest Groups, 4*, 1492-1497. https://doi.org/10.1044/2019_PERS-SIG13-2019-

375   0017

376   Diamond, A. (2000). Close interrelation of motor development and cognitive development and

377   of the cerebellum and prefrontal cortex. *Child Development, 71*(1), 44–56.

378   https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00117

379   Dodrill, P., McMahon, S., Donovan, T., & Cleghorn, G. (2008). Current management of

380   transitional feeding issues in preterm neonates born in Queensland, Australia. *Early

381   *Human Development*, *84*(10), 637–643.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2008.04.004

382 Erlandsson, K., Dsilna, A., Fagerberg, I., & Christensson, K. (2007). Skin-to-skin care with the

383   father after cesarean birth and its effect on newborn crying and prefeeding behavior. *Birth*

384   *(Berkeley, Calif.), 34*(2), 105–114. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-536X.2007.00162.x

385 Figueras, F., Cruz-Martinez, R., Sanz-Cortes, M., Arranz, A., Illa, M., Botet, F., Costas-

386   Moragas, C., & Gratacos, E. (2011). Neurobehavioral outcomes in preterm, growth-

387   restricted infants with and without prenatal advanced signs of brain-sparing. *Ultrasound*

388   *in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 38*(3), 288–294. https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.9041

389 Fonfe, A., Clements, D., & Mckechnie, L. (2021) Parental access to neonatal units:

390   Inconsistency during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Infant Journal, 17*(2), 71-75.

391 Foster, J. P., Psaila, K., & Patterson, T. (2016). Non-nutritive sucking for increasing physiologic

392   stability and nutrition in preterm infants. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic*

393   *Reviews*, *10*(10), CD001071. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001071.pub3

394 Franco, P., Chabanski, S., Scaillet, S., Groswasser, J., & Kahn, A. (2004). Pacifier use modifies

395   infant's cardiac autonomic controls during sleep. *Early Human Development, 77*(1–2),

396   99–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2004.02.002

397 Gewolb, I. H., & Vice, F. L. (2006). Maturational changes in the rhythms, patterning, and

398   coordination of respiration and swallow during feeding in preterm and term infants.

399   *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology, 48*(7), 589-594. doi:

400   10.1017/S001216220600123X

401 Gewolb, I. H., Vice, F. L., Schweitzer-Kenney, E. L., Taciak, V. L., & Bosma, J. F. (2001).

402   Developmental patterns of rhythmic suck and swallow in preterm infants. *Developmental*

403   *Medicine & Child Neurology, 43*(1), 22-27. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0012162201000044

404   Greene, Z., O'Donnell, C. P., & Walshe, M. (2016). Oral stimulation for promoting oral feeding

405   in preterm infants. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, *9*(9), CD009720. doi:

406   10.1002/14651858.CD009720.pub2

407   Hankins, G. D. & Speer, M. (2003). Defining the pathogenesis and pathophysiology of neonatal

408   encephalopathy and cerebral palsy. *Obstetrics and Gynecology, 102*(3), 628–636.

409   https://doi.org/10.1016/s0029-7844(03)00574-x

410   Harding, C., Cockerill, H., Cane, C., & Law, J. (2018). Using non-nutritive sucking to support

411   feeding development for premature infants: A commentary on approaches and current

412   practice. *Journal of Pediatric Rehabilitation Medicine, 11*(3), 147-152. doi: 10.3233/prm-

413   170442

414   Harding, C., Frank, L., Botting, N., & Hilari, K. (2015). Assessment and management of infant

415   feeding. *Infant, 11*(3), 85-89.

416   Kirk, A. T., Alder, S. C., & King, J. D. (2007). Cue-based oral feeding clinical pathway results in

417   earlier attainment of full oral feeding in premature infants. *Journal of Perinatology:*

418   *Official Journal of the California Perinatal Association, 27*(9), 572–578.

419   https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jp.7211791

420   Kumar, R. K., Singhal, A., Vaidya, U., Banerjee, S., Anwar, F., & Rao, S. (2017). Optimizing

421   nutrition in preterm low birth weight infants-Consensus wummary. *Frontiers in*

422   *Nutrition, 4*, 20. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2017.00020

423   Lappi, H., Valkonen-Korhonen, M., Georgiadis, S., Tarvainen, M. P., Tarkka, I. M., Karjalainen,

424   P. A., & Lehtonen, J. (2007). Effects of nutritive and non-nutritive sucking on infant

425   heart rate variability during the first 6 months of life. *Infant behavior &*

426   *development, 30*(4), 546–556. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infbeh.2007.04.005

427   Lau, C. (2015). Development of suck and swallow mechanisms in infants. *Annals of Nutrition*

428   *and Metabolism, 66*, 7–14. https://doi.org/10.1159/000381361

429   Lau, C., Alagugurusamy, R., Schanler, R. J., Smith, E. O., & Shulman, R. J. (2000).

430   Characterization of the developmental stages of sucking in preterm infants during bottle

431   feeding. *Acta Paediatrica, International Journal of Paediatrics, 89*(7), 846–852.

432   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.2000.tb00393.x

433   Levene, M. I., Fawer, C. L., & Lamont, R. F. (1982). Risk factors in the development of

434   intraventricular haemorrhage in the preterm neonate. *Archives of Disease in Childhood,*

435   *57*(6), 410–417. https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.57.6.410

436   Li, X. L., Liu, Y., Liu, M., Yang, C. Y., & Yang, Q. Z. (2020). Early premature infant oral motor

437   intervention improved oral feeding and prognosis by promoting

438   neurodevelopment. *American Journal of Perinatology, 37*(6), 626–632.

439   https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0039-1685448

440   Litt, J. S., Ho, T., Obregon, E., Patel, P., Ziyeh, T., & McCormick, M. C. (2019). Characterizing

441   early state regulation in preterm infants. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral*

442   *Pediatrics, 40*(4), 293–300. https://doi.org/10.1097/DBP.0000000000000659

443   Malak, R., Kozłowska, Z., Owsiańska, Z., Sikorska, D., Andrusiewicz, M., Szymankiewicz-

444   Bręborowicz, M., Samborski, W., & Szczapa, T. (2020). Cerebral tissue oxygenation

445   during cranial osteopathic CV4 procedure in newborns. *Advances in Clinical and*

446   *Experimental Medicine*, 29(10), 1187–1191. https://doi.org/10.17219/acem/126048

447   Mandich, M., Simons, C. J., Ritchie, S., Schmidt, D., & Mullett, M. (1994). Motor

448   development, infantile reactions and postural responses of preterm, at-risk infants.

449   *Developmental Medicine and Child Neurology, 36*(5), 397–405.

450   https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8749.1994.tb11865.x

451   McGrath, J. M. & Braescu, A. V. (2004). State of the science: Feeding readiness in the preterm

452   infant. *The Journal of Perinatal & Neonatal Nursing, 18*(4), 353–370.

453   https://doi.org/10.1097/00005237-200410000-00006

454   Mitra, S., Kendall, G. S., Bainbridge, A., Sokolska, M., Dinan, M., Uria-Avellanal, C., Price, D.,

455   Mckinnon, K., Gunny, R., Huertas-Ceballos, A., Golay, X., & Robertson, N. J. (2019).

456   Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy lactate/N-acetylaspartate within 2 weeks of birth

457   accurately predicts 2-year motor, cognitive and language outcomes in neonatal

458   encephalopathy after therapeutic hypothermia. *Archives of Disease in Childhood. Fetal*

459   *and Neonatal Edition, 104(*4), F424–F432. https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2018-

460   315478

461   Neel, M. L., Yoder, P., Matusz, P. J., Murray, M. M., Miller, A., Burkhardt, S., Emery, L.,

462   Hague, K., Pennington, C., Purnell, J., Lightfoot, M., & Maitre, N. L. (2019).

463   Randomized controlled trial protocol to improve multisensory neural processing,

464   language and motor outcomes in preterm infants. *BMC pediatrics, 19*(1), 81.

465   https://doi.org/10.1186/s12887-019-1455-1

466   Neifert, M. & Bunik, M. (2013). Overcoming clinical barriers to exclusive

467   breastfeeding. *Pediatric Clinics of North America, 60*(1), 115–145.

468   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcl.2012.10.001

469   Ohnishi, S., Ichiba, H., Tanaka, Y., Harada, S., Matsumura, H., Kan, A., Asada, Y., & Shintaku,

470   H. (2016). Early and intensive nutritional strategy combining parenteral and enteral

471   feeding promotes neurodevelopment and growth at 18 months of corrected age and 3

472   years of age in extremely low birth weight infants. *Early Human Development, 100,* 35–

473   41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2016.03.014

474   Park, S., Cho, J. Y., Lee, B. J., Hwang, J. M., Lee, M., Hwang, S. Y., Kim, K., Lee, K. H., &

475   Park, D. (2020). Effect of the submandibular push exercise using visual feedback from

476   pressure sensor: An electromyography study. *Scientific Reports, 10*(1), 11772.

477   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-68738-0

478   Pineda, R., Dewey, K., Jacobsen, A., & Smith, J. (2019). Non-nutritive sucking in the preterm

479   infant. *American Journal of Perinatology, 36*(3), 268–276. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-

480   0038-1667289

481   Poore, M., Zimmerman, E., Barlow, S. M., Wang, J., & Gu, F. (2008). Patterned orocutaneous

482   therapy improves sucking and oral feeding in preterm infants. *Acta Paediatrica:*

483   *Nurturing the Child, 97(*7), 920-927. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.2008.00825.x

484   Psaila, K., Foster, J. P., Richards, R., & Jeffery, H. E. (2014). Non-nutritive sucking for gastro-

485   oesophageal reflux disease in preterm and low birth weight infants. *The Cochrane*

486   *Database of Systematic Reviews,* (10), CD009817.

487   https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD009817.pub2

488   Ra, J. Y., Hyun, J. K., Ko, K. R., & Lee, S. J. (2014). Chin tuck for prevention of aspiration:

489   Effectiveness and appropriate posture. *Dysphagia, 29*(5), 603–609.

490   https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-014-9551-8

491   Rahkonen, P., Lano, A., Pesonen, A. K., Heinonen, K., Räikkönen, K., Vanhatalo, S., Autti, T.,

492   Valanne, L., Andersson, S., & Metsäranta, M. (2015). Atypical sensory processing is

493   common in extremely low gestational age children. *Acta paediatrica (Oslo, Norway*

494   *: 1992), 104*(5), 522–528. https://doi.org/10.1111/apa.12911

495   Redstone, F. & West, J. (2004). The importance of postural control for feeding. *Pediatric*

496   *Nursing, 30*(2). 97-100.

497   Reissland, N., Mason, C., Schaal, B., & Lincoln, K. (2012). Prenatal mouth movements: Can

498   we identify co-ordinated fetal mouth and LIP actions necessary for feeding?

499   *International Journal of Pediatrics,* 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/848596

500   Robertson, C. M. T., & Perlman, M. (2006). Follow-up of the term infant after hypoxic-

501   ischemic encephalopathy. *Paediatrics and Child Health, 11*(5), 278–282.

502   https://doi.org/10.1093/pch/11.5.278

503   Sakalidis, V. S. & Geddes, D. T. (2016). Suck-swallow-breathe dynamics in breastfed infants.

504   *Journal of Human Lactation: Official Journal of International Lactation Consultant*

505   *Association, 32*(2), 201–211; quiz 393–395. https://doi.org/10.1177/0890334415601093

506   Sakalidis, V. S., Williams, T. M., Hepworth, A. R., Garbin, C. P., Hartmann, P. E., Paech, M. J.,

507   Al-Tamimi, Y., & Geddes, D. T. (2013). A comparison of early sucking dynamics during

508   breastfeeding after cesarean section and vaginal birth. *Breastfeeding Medicine: The*

509   *Official Journal of the Academy of Breastfeeding Medicine,* 8(1), 79–85.

510   https://doi.org/10.1089/bfm.2012.0018

511   Salandy, S., Rai, R., Gutierrez, S., Ishak, B., & Tubbs, R. S. (2019). Neurological

512   examination of the infant: A comprehensive review. *Clinical Anatomy (New York,*

513   *N.Y.), 32*(6), 770–777. https://doi.org/10.1002/ca.23352

514   Simpson, C., Schanler, R. J., & Lau, C. (2002). Early introduction of oral feeding in preterm

515   infants. *Pediatrics, 110*(3), 517–522. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.110.3.517

516   Sink, D. W., Hope, S. A. E., & Hagadorn, J. I. (2011). Nurse: Patient ratio and achievement of

517   oxygen saturation goals in premature infants. *Archives of Disease in Childhood – Fetal*

518   *and Neonatal Edition, 96*(2), F93–F98. https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.2009.178616

519   Stevenson, R. D., & Allaire, J. H. (1991). The development of normal feeding and swallowing.

520   *Pediatric Clinics of North America, 38*(6), 1439–1453. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0031-

521   3955(16)38229-3

522   The Emily Center at Phoenix's Children's Hospital. (2016). Positions to help your baby drink

523   from a bottle. https://www.phoenixchildrens.org/files/inline-files/Positions-to-Help-Your-

524   Baby-Drink-From-a-Bottle-219.pdf

525   Tokunaga, A., Akiyama, T., Miyamura, T., Honda, S., Nakane, H., Iwanaga, R., & Tanaka, G.

526   (2019). Neonatal behavior and social behavior and sensory issues in 18-month toddlers.

527   *Pediatrics International, 61*(12), 1202–1209. https://doi.org/10.1111/ped.14033

528   Versfeld, P. (2018, January 1). *Task oriented movement therapy: Development of head control:*

529   *Neonate-3 months.* Skills for Action. https://tomt.skillsforaction.com/blog/development-

530   head-control

531   Welch, M. V., Logemann, J. A., Rademaker, A. W., & Kahrilas, P. J. (1993). Changes in

532   pharyngeal dimensions effected by chin tuck. *Archives of Physical Medicine and*

533   *Rehabilitation, 74*(2), 178–181.

534   White-Traut, R. C., Berbaum, M. L., Lessen, B., McFarlin, B., & Cardenas, L. (2005).

535   Feeding readiness in preterm infants: the relationship between preterm behavioral

536   state and feeding readiness behaviors and efficiency during transition from gavage to

537   oral feeding. *MCN: The American Journal of Maternal/Child Nursing, 30*(1), 52-59.

538   Wolthuis-Stigter, M. I., Luinge, M. R., da Costa, S. P., Krijnen, W. P., van der Schans, C. P.,

539   & Bos, A. F. (2015). The association between sucking behavior in preterm infants and

540   neurodevelopmental outcomes at 2 years of age. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, *166*(1),

541   26–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2014.09.007

542   Yoon, W. L., Khoo, J. K., & Rickard Liow, S. J. (2014). Chin tuck against resistance (CTAR):

543   New method for enhancing suprahyoid muscle activity using a Shaker-type

544   exercise. *Dysphagia, 29*(2), 243–248. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00455-013-9502-9

545   Zafeiriou, D. I. (2004). Primitive reflexes and postural reactions in the neurodevelopmental

546   examination. *Pediatric Neurology, 31*(1), 1–8.

547   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2004.01.012

548   Zhang, X., Zhou, M., Yin, H., Dai, Y., & Li, Y. (2017). The predictive value of early oral motor

549   assessments for neurodevelopmental outcomes of moderately and late preterm infants.

550   *Medicine (United States), 96*(50). https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000009207

The relationship between sucking and perinatal data.

2   Table 1. The relationship between sucking and perinatal data.

Interview data	r Spearman value	*p* value
Gestation age	0.11	0.337654
The age during the assessment	0.05	0.795217
Umbilical cord artery pH	0.32	0.018981
The birth weight	0.13	0.201785

The relationship between items of Neonatal Behavioral Assessment Scale and the level of umbilical cord artery.

1   Table 2. The relationship between items of Neonatal Behavioral Assessment Scale and the level

2   of umbilical cord artery.

Items of Neonatal Behavior Assessment Scale	*p* - value	r Spearman
Asymmetric Tonic Neck Reflex	0,04	0,27
Cost of attention	0,02	0,30
Motor maturity	0,01	0,34
Autonomic system, tremulousness	0,73	0,04
Autonomic system, startless	0,11	0,22
Autonomic system, lability of skin colour	0,42	0,11
State regulation	0,72	0,04
Lability of state	0,29	0,29
Animate auditory	0,52	0,08
Animate visual	0,50	0,09
Inanimate visual	0,26	0,15