Peer

Structural and immunological characterization of an epitope within the PAN motif of ectodomain I in *Babesia bovis* apical membrane antigen 1 for vaccine development

Amarin Rittipornlertrak¹, Boondarika Nambooppha¹, Anucha Muenthaisong¹, Veerasak Punyapornwithaya², Saruda Tiwananthagorn³, Yang-Tsung Chung⁴, Bumduuren Tuvshintulga⁵, Thillaiampalam Sivakumar⁵, Naoaki Yokoyama⁵ and Nattawooti Sthitmatee³

¹ Graduate School of Veterinary Sciences, Chiang Mai University, Muang, Chiang Mai, Thailand

² Department of Food Animal Clinic, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Muang, Chiang Mai, Thailand

³ Department of Veterinary Bioscience and Veterinary Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Muang, Chiang Mai, Thailand

⁴ Department of Veterinary Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung, Taichung, Taiwan

⁵ National Research Center for Protozoan Diseases, Obihiro University of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Obihiro, Hokkaido, Japan

ABSTRACT

Background: Bovine babesiosis caused by Babesia bovis (B. bovis) has had a significant effect on the mobility and mortality rates of the cattle industry worldwide. Live-attenuated vaccines are currently being used in many endemic countries, but their wide use has been limited for a number of reasons. Although recombinant vaccines have been proposed as an alternative to live vaccines, such vaccines are not commercially available to date. Apical membrane antigen-1 (AMA-1) is one of the leading candidates in the development of a vaccine against diseases caused by apicomplexan parasite species. In Plasmodium falciparum (P. falciparum) AMA-1 (PfAMA-1), several antibodies against epitopes in the plasminogen, apple, and nematode (PAN) motif of PfAMA-1 domain I significantly inhibited parasite growth. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to predict an epitope from the PAN motif of domain I in the B. bovis AMA-1 (BbAMA-1) using a combination of linear and conformational B-cell epitope prediction software. The selected epitope was then bioinformatically analyzed, synthesized as a peptide (sBbAMA-1), and then used to immunize a rabbit. Subsequently, in vitro growth- and the invasion-inhibitory effects of the rabbit antiserum were immunologically characterized.

Results: Our results demonstrated that the predicted BbAMA-1 epitope was located on the surface-exposed α -helix of the PAN motif in domain I at the apex area between residues 181 and 230 with six polymorphic sites. Subsequently, sBbAMA-1 elicited antibodies capable of recognizing the native BbAMA-1 in immunoassays. Furthermore, anti-serum against sBbAMA-1 was immunologically evaluated for its growth- and invasion-inhibitory effects on *B. bovis* merozoites *in vitro*. Our results

Submitted 2 April 2021 Accepted 22 June 2021 Published 16 July 2021

Corresponding author Nattawooti Sthitmatee, nattawooti.s@cmu.ac.th

Academic editor Mario Alberto Flores-Valdez

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 14

DOI 10.7717/peerj.11765

Copyright 2021 Rittipornlertrak et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

demonstrated that the rabbit anti-sBbAMA-1 serum at a dilution of 1:5 significantly inhibited (p < 0.05) the growth of *B. bovis* merozoites by approximately 50–70% on days 3 and 4 of cultivation, along with the invasion of merozoites by approximately 60% within 4 h of incubation when compared to the control groups. **Conclusion:** Our results indicate that the epitope predicted from the PAN motif of BbAMA-1 domain I is neutralization-sensitive and may serve as a target antigen for vaccine development against bovine babesiosis caused by *B. bovis*.

Subjects Microbiology, Molecular Biology, Parasitology, Veterinary Medicine **Keywords** Apical membrane antigen 1, Babesia bovis, Invasion-inhibition, Growth-inhibition, Synthetic peptide

INTRODUCTION

Bovine babesiosis caused by *Babesia bovis* (*B. bovis*), which is transmitted by the cattle tick (*Rhipicephalus microplus*), has had a significant effect on the cattle industry worldwide. Importantly, morbidity and mortality rates vary greatly. Degrees of mortality can reach 50–100% in untreated animals infected with this organism (*Spickler, 2018*). The asexual multiplication of *B. bovis* merozoites in bovine red blood cells (RBCs) is known to result in hemolytic anemia. In addition, the adherence of parasitized RBCs to the endothelial cells of the capillaries of the brain and lungs manifests as respiratory and nervous signs, which then can lead to a fatal form of babesiosis known as cerebral babesiosis (*Bock et al., 2004*). In general, the control of bovine babesiosis, including that which is caused by *B. bovis*, would need to be based upon effective tick control, chemotherapy, and vaccinations (*Bock et al., 2004*; *Gohil et al., 2013*). With a number of published reports focusing on the drug resistance of *B. bovis* and the development of acaricide resistance in ticks to *B. bovis* (*Tuvshintulga et al., 2019*), vaccination is considered to be an efficient method of control (*Moreau et al., 2015*).

Currently, live attenuated vaccines are being used to immunize cattle against B. bovis in a number of endemic countries (Bock et al., 2004). Nevertheless, the production process system can be time-consuming because the donor cattle must be prepared at least 2 months in advance before the production process can be initiated. In addition, bloodderived live vaccines are further required to test the safety and potency of the vaccine by cattle inoculation and clinical follow up before it can be released for commercial use. Moreover, the possibility of contamination with other blood-borne pathogens is a major risk that is associated with the use of blood-based vaccines. There has been evidence of bovine leucosis virus contamination being associated with the bovine babesiosis vaccine. Thus, vaccines need to undergo strict quality controls in order to avoid pathogen dissemination and to meet the relevant standards of conduct for good manufacturing practices. Even though vaccinations with live vaccines confer significant levels of protection, convincing evidence of *B. bovis* vaccine failures has been reported and confirmed during the period of 1985–1990. These failures have been associated with strain variations between the vaccine itself and breakthrough strains in immunized animals. As has been mentioned previously, the wide use of such live attenuated vaccines is limited in endemic regions (De Vos & Bock, 2000; Bock et al., 2004; De Waal & Combrink, 2006; Shkap et al., 2007). Therefore, the use of novel vaccines, such as recombinant vaccines or subunit vaccines, have been proposed to overcome some of these limitations (Suarez et al., 2019). The current approach relies on high-throughput technologies in the fields of genomic, proteomic, immunomic, and bioinformatic tools for the identification and design of new conserved candidate vaccine antigens (Kennedy & Poland, 2011; Lemaire, Barbosa & Rihet, 2012) in order to overcome certain limitations especially with regard to the genetic variations that cause the vaccine to breakdown. Antigens containing conserved epitopes would help to address issues of ethnicity when compared to the variable epitopes (Chong & Khan, 2019a; Chong & Khan, 2019b). Importantly, recombinant vaccines are products of genetic engineering, where a harmless agent is programed to produce antigens of harmful pathogens. Therefore, this approach has substantial advantages over traditional vaccines in terms of safety because they are made up of highly purified and well-defined components and lack the ability to replicate, which will allow researchers to avoid the use of unwanted materials that are capable of initiating a deleterious host response (Hudu, Shinkafi & Shuaibu, 2016). In the last decade, several B. bovis antigens have been studied as candidates for the development of recombinant vaccines. Among them, the apical membrane antigen 1 (AMA-1), which is a microneme protein (MIC) that had been extensively studied as a promising malaria vaccine candidate (*Remarque et al.*, 2008), was identified as a neutralization-sensitive antigen in B. bovis (Gaffar et al., 2004). The exact functional role of AMA-1 is still unknown. However, previous investigations have suggested that AMA-1 plays an important role in the invasion of Plasmodium falciparum (P. falciparum) merozoites into RBCs (Triglia et al., 2000; Yap et al., 2014). AMA-1 forms moving junctions between the merozoite and the RBC, and thereby facilitates the invasion of merozoite into the RBCs (Srinivasan et al., 2011). Importantly, antibodies to P. falciparum AMA-1 (PfAMA-1) were able to detect native antigens in immunoassays and inhibit the growth and invasion of merozoites in vitro (Hodder, Crewther & Anders, 2001; Dutta et al., 2002; Malkin et al., 2005). In immunized monkeys, AMA-1-induced protection against P. falciparum blood stage infection was found to be superior to the protection provided by several other antigens (Stowers et al., 2002; Srinivasan et al., 2017). Remarkably, the results from human trials indicated that the AMA-1 formulated in different adjuvants was safe, well-tolerated, and immunogenic (Dicko et al., 2007; Thera et al., 2010; Sirima et al., 2017).

However, the use of AMA-1 in malaria vaccine formulations is constrained due to its high genetic diversity (*Escalante et al., 2001; Drew et al., 2012*). In contrast, *B. bovis* AMA-1 (BbAMA-1) is highly conserved in *B. bovis* (*Ramos et al., 2012; Rittipornlertrak et al., 2017*), and therefore is a target for diagnostic assays (*Barreda et al., 2020*). BbAMA-1 is composed of three domains of ectodomain (domain I–III) (*Gaffar et al., 2004*). Previous studies have demonstrated that the antibodies raised against a recombinant protein could effectively encode the conserved central region against synthesized peptides based on the epitopes present in domains II and III, while the N-terminal region of domain I significantly inhibited the *in vitro* growth and invasion of *B. bovis* merozoites (*Gaffar et al., 2013*). In *P. falciparum*, it was suggested that the plasminogen, apple,

and nematode (PAN) domain is presumably known to mediate the adhesion of other proteins or carbohydrate receptors to AMA-1 (*Pizarro et al., 2005*). There are several known antibodies against the epitopes in the PAN motif of PfAMA-1 domain I that could significantly inhibit parasite growth (*Coley et al., 2001, 2006; Harris et al., 2005; Maskus et al., 2016*). Therefore, we have hypothesized that the epitopes in the PAN motif of the BbAMA-1 domain I are neutralization-sensitive. However, the epitopes in the PAN motif of BbAMA-1 have not yet been investigated for their vaccine potential.

To test this hypothesis, in the present study, we predicted an epitope in the PAN motif of the BbAMA-1 domain I, using linear and conformational B-cell epitope prediction softwares (*Rittipornlertrak et al., 2017*). The predicted epitope was synthesized and the resultant synthetic peptide (sBbAMA-1) was used to immunize a rabbit. Subsequently, the effect of the obtained antiserum on the growth and invasion of *B. bovis* merozoites was evaluated *in vitro*.

MATERIALS & METHODS

In vitro cultivation of B. bovis

The Texas (T2Bo) strain of *B. bovis* was maintained with purified bovine RBCs in serum-free GIT culture medium (WAKO Pure Chemical Industrial, Ltd., Osaka, Japan) supplemented with antibiotic-antimycotic solution (Sigma–Aldrich, Tokyo, Japan). Parasites were continuously cultured in an atmosphere of 5% O_2 and 5% CO_2 at 37 °C. The culture medium was changed daily (*Tuvshintulga et al., 2019*).

Prediction, analysis, and synthesis of a B-cell epitope

A BbAMA-1 amino acid sequence retrieved from the UniProtKB database (entry no. A7ASF6) was subjected to bioinformatic analysis to predict both linear and conformational B-cell epitopes within the PAN motif of the BbAMA-1 domain I (residue 147–305) as has been described by *Rittipornlertrak et al. (2017)*. B-cell linear epitopes were predicted using a combination of immunoinformatic methods including the ABCpred Prediction Server (http://www.imtech.res.in/raghava/abcpred), the BepiPred 2.0 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/BepiPred/ or http://tools.iedb.org/bcell/) and the LBtope server (http://crdd.osdd.net/raghava/lbtope/). In addition, conformational epitopes, mostly of the B-cell epitope form, were predicted using CBtope (http://www. imtech.res.in/raghava/cbtope/). The epitopes with a high prediction score that was above the threshold setting value located within the PAN motif were choosen from each server to generate a final epitope by combining the results of all immunoinformatic tools. Finally, the region that contained the greatest consensus of epitopes predicted by the four immunoinformatic tools was chosen as the final potential epitope region. The genetic diversity of the predicted epitope was visualized by aligning the corresponding amino acid sequences from various B. bovis isolates and other apicomplexan parasites using the MUSCLE algorithm (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/muscle/) (Edgar, 2004). An analysis of the predicted secondary structures was conducted with the I-TASSER server (https://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/I-TASSER/) (Yang & Zhang, 2015) and compared to sequences obtained from *Babesia* spp. and other apicomplexan parasites. Moreover,

the predictive model of the complete BbAMA-1 structure was analyzed using the Phyre2 (http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre2/html/page.cgi?id=index) (*Kelley et al., 2015*) and I-TASSER servers. The crystal structure of *Babesia divergens* (*B. divergens*) AMA-1 (BdAMA-1) was used as a template to generate a theoretical model (*Tonkin et al., 2013*). To render the protein structure and localize the predicted epitope, the EzMol software Version 1.20 was applied (*Reynolds, Islam & Sternberg, 2018*). Finally, the selected epitope was synthesized as a peptide (sBbAMA-1) (GenScript, China).

Animals

Two 3–6-month-old New Zealand White female rabbits (Mlac:NZW) were obtained from the National Laboratory Animal Center, Mahidol University, Nakhon Pathom, Thailand and kept individually in cages without bedding at a temperature of 20–24 °C at a humidity of 55 ± 10% with a 12/12-h light/dark cycle. The rabbits were housed at the laboratory building, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Thailand and were maintained with *ad libitum* access to food and water. Due to the final blood collection of the rabbits, generalized anesthesia was administered by intravenous injection of pentobarbitone sodium (Nembutal[®], Columbus, OH, USA, 20 mg/kg). Blood collection was done using a 1-inch long, 18-gauge needle to penetrate the jugular vein. Blood was taken until the volume reached 50 ml and the rabbits were then euthanized by intravenous injection of overdosage pentobarbitone sodium (Nembutal[®], Columbus, OH, USA, 90 mg/kg).

Production of rabbit polyclonal anti-sBbAMA-1 antibody

To obtain rabbit polyclonal anti-sBbAMA-1 antibody, one New Zealand white rabbit was intramuscularly immunized with 100 µg of sBbAMA-1 formulated with MontanideTM (Seppic, Paris, France; 1:1 v/v (one ml)) four times at 2-week intervals. To obtain a negative control serum, the other rabbit was intramuscularly immunized with 0.5 ml of Montanide adjuvant only. Adverse events including pain, swelling at the injection site, and behavioral changes were monitored throughout the course of the experiment. Every week, serum samples were collected from immunized rabbits in order to determine the antibody titer using an indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Briefly, each well in 96-well immuno plates (Nunc-Immuno Plate MaxiSorp, Intermed, Roskildes, Denmark) was coated with 10 ng of sBbAMA-1 in a coating buffer (0.05 M carbonate bicarbonate buffer, pH 9.6) and then incubated overnight at 4 °C. The plates were washed thrice with a washing buffer (Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.05% Tween-20) and then blocked with a blocking buffer (5% skim milk in PBS). Rabbit serum samples at a dilution of 1:100 in the blocking buffer was added to the wells and they were then incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. After being washed thrice with washing buffer, horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (KPL, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) was added at a dilution of 1:2,000 in the blocking buffer and the samples were then incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. After being washed thrice with washing buffer, 3,3',5,5'tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate (SeraCare Life Sciences, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) was added and the samples were incubated at room temperature in dark. The reaction was stopped with 2 M H_2SO_4 after 15 min of incubation. Absorbance at 450 nm was measured using an Accu Reader Microplate reader M965 (Metertech, Taipei, Taiwan R.O.C.). Two weeks after the last immunization, a final blood sample was collected.

Recognition of native BbAMA-1 by Western blot analysis

The *B. bovis* lysate was prepared by following the method described by *Yokoyama et al.* (2002) with minor modifications. Briefly, B. bovis infected RBCs obtained from in vitro cultures were treated with 0.83% NH_4Cl solution for 10 min at 37 °C and then washed thrice with cold PBS. The pellets containing the parasites were suspended in one ml of a lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6), 0.1% Triton X-100, 150 mM NaCl, 20% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 10 μ g/ml pepstatin A and 10 μ g/ml leupeptin), incubated on ice for 20 min, and then centrifuged at 18,000×g for 30 min at 4 $^{\circ}$ C. The supernatant of the clarified lysate was dialyzed overnight using a dialysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6), 150 mM NaCl, 20% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF and 1 mM DTT). The dialysate was then centrifuged at 18,000×g for 30 min at 4 °C. Subsequently, the supernatant was analyzed to identify native BbAMA-1 by Western blot analysis. The lysate was separated by 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) gel. The proteins obtained from SDS-PAGE gel were electrically transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Merck MilliporeTM, Merck KGaA[©], Darmstadt, DEU). The blotting time was 60 min at a constant voltage of 20 V. The membrane was then incubated with a blocking buffer (5% skim milk in PBS) for 1 h at room temperature with gentle shaking. After being washed thrice with washing buffer (PBS containing 0.05% Tween-20), the membrane was incubated with rabbit polyclonal anti-sBbAMA-1 serum (1:50 dilution) at 4 °C overnight. The membrane was probed with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (1:4,000 dilution; KPL, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). The membrane was incubated with gentle shaking at room temperature for 1 h and then washed three times with washing buffer. Finally, the reactions were visualized using a solution containing 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂; Merck, Germany).

Immunofluorescence antibody test (IFAT)

The immunofluorescence antibody test was carried out as has been described in previous studies (*Terkawi et al., 2011*) with minor modifications. *B. bovis*-infected RBCs were coated on indirect IFAT slides (Matsunami Glass Ind., Ltd, Osaka, Japan), air-dried, and then fixed in cold absolute acetone at -20 °C for 5 min. Ten microliters of the rabbit anti-sBbAMA-1 serum diluted at 1:40 in the blocking buffer (5% fetal bovine serum (FBS) in PBS) was added as the first antibody on the fixed smears and then incubated for 1 h at 37 °C in a moist chamber. After being washed once with PBST, Alexa-Fluor[®] 488 conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was subsequently applied (1:200 dilution in the blocking buffer) as a secondary antibody and then incubated for 30 min at 37 °C. The slides were washed thrice with PBST, mounted in 50% glycerol-PBS, and then examined using a fluorescent microscope (E400 Eclipse, Nikon, Kawasaki, Japan).

Preparation of free merozoites by cold treatment

The method described by *Ishizaki et al. (2016)* was used to isolate free merozoites liberated from infected RBCs. A five ml sample of an *in vitro* culture of *B. bovis* with 30% parasitemia was incubated on ice for 2 h. The culture was then resuspended in five ml of GIT medium. The suspension was slowly overlaid onto two ml of 30% (1.043 g/ml density) Percoll/PBS solution (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) at the bottom of a 15 ml centrifuge tube (Corning, Corning, NY, USA). The tube was centrifuged at $280 \times g$ for 5 min and then at $330 \times g$ for 20 min at 4 °C. The medium along with the free merozoite layers were transferred carefully to a new tube and then centrifuged at $1,500 \times g$ for 5 min at 4 °C. The pellets containing free merozoites were washed twice with 20 ml of GIT medium and then suspended in one ml of GIT medium. A concentration of purified merozoites was calculated with a disposable hemo-cytometer (AR Brown, Tokyo, Japan). The viability of the merozoites was determined after they were stained with 6-carboxyfluorescein diacetate (6-CFDA; Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA, USA) and propidium iodide (PI; Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan).

In vitro growth-inhibition assay

Growth-inhibition assay was performed in 96-well culture plates (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) as described by *Salama et al. (2013)*. One hundred and eighty microliters of GIT medium, GIT medium containing anti-sBbAMA-1 serum at 1:5, 1:10 and 1:20, or rabbit anti-Montanide adjuvant serum (negative control) at a 1:5 dilution was added into each well in triplicate. Then, 20 µl of bovine RBCs with 1% parasitemia was added into each of the wells. The plates were incubated for 4 days. The culture medium was replaced everyday with fresh medium containing an indicated dilution of antiserum. Giemsa-stained thin blood smears were prepared every 24 h and parasitemia was monitored by counting the infected RBCs among approximately 1,000 total RBCs using a light microscope. The percentage of growth inhibition was calculated as the rate of parasitemia reduction in the antibody-treated cultures when compared to the GIT-control. The assay was repeated twice.

In vitro invasion-inhibition assay

The RBC invasion-inhibition assay was performed in triplicate in 96-well plates (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark, Europe) according to the method employed by *Ishizaki et al. (2016)* with some modifications. Briefly, purified free merozoites (obtained from *B. bovis in vitro* culture) together with uninfected bovine RBCs with a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 4.2 were added to the GIT medium containing rabbit anti-sBbAMA-1 serum at dilutions of 1:5 and 1:10. Rabbit anti-Montanide adjuvant serum at a dilution of 1:5 and GIT medium were used as the control. The culture plate was incubated and Giemsa-stained thin blood smears were prepared at 1, 2 and 4 h post-incubation. The percentage of parasitemia was evaluated under a light microscope based on approximately 3,000 observed RBCs. The percentage of invasion-inhibition was calculated based on the parasitemia reduction in the antibody-treated cultures when compared to the GIT-control. Experiments were carried out in two separate trials.

Statistical analyses

Growth- and invasion-inhibition rates were analyzed by independent-samples Student's *t* test. *P* values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant when compared to the control groups.

RESULTS

Potential epitope of BbAMA-1 predicted in this study

Based on the BbAMA-1 sequence obtained from the Texas strain of *B. bovis*, an epitope, KTRGSSSVTAAKLSPVSAKDLRRWGYEGNDVANCSEYASNLIPASDKTTK, located between residues 181 and 230 was predicted within the PAN motif of ectodomain I using a combination of immunoinformatic tools. Multiple alignment of AMA-1 sequences obtained from different *B. bovis* isolates identified 6 polymorphic sites at residues 188, 189, 199, 221, 227 and 228 (Fig. 1A). Homology modelling indicated that BbAMA-1 is most structurally similar to B. divergens AMA-1 (BdAMA-1) (PDB: 4apm) with 65% identity, 56% coverage, and 0.558 of TM-score. Analysis of the BbAMA-1 protein structure revealed that the epitope residues were localized at the apex region of BbAMA-1 (Fig. 2A). According to the 3D model and predicted secondary structure of BbAMA-1, this epitope forms a surface-exposed α -helix of the PAN motif of the BbAMA-1 domain I (Fig. 2B). In *P. falciparum*, the invasion-inhibitory peptide R1 could inhibit the interaction between AMA-1 (PfAMA1) and rhoptry neck proteins (RON) (PfRON2), and thereby inhibit merozoite invasion (Harris et al., 2005). Interestingly, I-TASSER modelling indicated that the ligand-binding site of the invasion-inhibitory peptide R1 (PDB: 3srjB) is associated with the predicted epitope on BbAMA-1 (Fig. 2C). The possible binding sites for invasion-inhibitory peptide R1 in our epitope are the residues 204, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 229 and 230 as are shown in Fig. 1B.

Recognition of native protein by anti-sBbAMA-1 antibody

In the Western blot analysis, the rabbit antiserum against sBbAMA-1 reacted with native proteins at sizes of approximately 82-, 69- and 45-kDa in the parasite lysate but not in the normal RBCs lysates (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, the anti-sBbAMA-1 antibody reacted strongly with *B. bovis* merozoites in IFAT, while no reactivity was observed when the negative control serum was used (Fig. 3B). These results indicate that the anti-sBbAMA-1 antibody was able to recognize native BbAMA-1 confirming the antigenicity of the predicted B-cell epitope.

In vitro growth-inhibitory effect and morphology changes

To investigate the growth inhibitory effect of anti-sBbAMA-1 antibody, *B. bovis* was cultivated in media containing rabbit anti-sBbAMA-1 serum at dilutions of 1:5, 1:10 and 1:20. Subsequently, the parasitemia was monitored daily. The findings indicate that the anti-sBbAMA-1 antibody inhibited the parasite growth in a dilution-dependent manner, *i.e.*, a dilution of 1:5 exhibited a higher inhibitory effect than the dilutions of 1:10 and 1:20, respectively (Fig. 4). The rates of growth inhibition induced by anti-sBbAMA-1 serum at a dilution of 1:5 were >50% and >70% on days 3 and 4 post-cultivation,

A)

	T2Bo-USA TECOMAN-MEXICO SOUTHEAST-BRAZIL THAILAND3-THAILAND GON-ISRAEL P32-SRI LANKA MH-ISRAEL	181 KTRGSSSVTAAKLSPVSAKDLRRWGYEGNDVANCSEYASNLIPASDKTTKYRYPFVFDSD 240 181 KTRGSSSVTAAKLSPVSAKDLRRWGYEGNDVANCSEYASNLIPASDKTTKYRYPFVFDSD 240 181 KTRGSSSVTAAKLSPVSAKDLRRWGYEGNDVANCSEYASNLIPASDKTTKYRYPFVFDSD 240 181 KTRGSSSVTAAKLSPVSAKDLRRWGYEGNDVANCSEYASNLIPASDKTTKYRYPFVFDSD 240 181 KTRGSSVTAAKLSPVSAKDLRRWGYEGNDVANCSEYASNLIPASDKTTKYRYPFVFDSD 240 181 KTRGSSSAAKLSPVSAKDLRRWGYEGNDVANCSEYASNLIPASDKTTKYRYPFVFDSD 240 181 KTRGSSSASAAKLSPVSAKDLRRWGYEGNDVANCSEYASNLIPASDRSTKYRYPFVFDSD 240 181 KTRGSSSASAAKLSPVSAKDLRRWGYEGNDVANCSEYASNLIPASDRSTKYRYPFVFDSD 240 181 KTRGSSSASAAKLSPVSAKDLRRWGYEGNDVANCSEYASNLIPASDRSTKYRYPFVFDSD 240 181 KTRGSSSASAKLSPVSAKDLRRWGYEGNDVANCSEYASNLIPASDRSTKYRYPFVFDSD 240	
B)			
	a		
	Structl	<u>KE</u>	
	Struct2	EE	
	N caninum		
	T. gondii	OPDRPPYRNNUEDVPTEKEY-KOSCNPLPGGFNLNFVTPSGORISPFP 175	
	P. falciparum	NSNTTFLTPVATGNOYLKDGGFAFPPTEPLMSPMT 194	
	P. vivax	NSDVSFLRPVATGDQKLKDGGFAFPNANDHISPMT 139	
	B. bovis	NGADFLDPISSEDPSYRCLAFPE-TAVDSNIPTQPKTRGSSSVTAAKLSPVS 197	
	B. divergens	NNADFINRISAENPQDRCLAFED-TAVAVTRNSNARNRAAAEKTEIILSEVS 199	

	Struct1	HHHHHHEEEEEEEE	
	Struct2		
	Struct3	ННННННЕЕЕЕ	
	N. caninum	MELLEKSSKIKASTELGKCAEFAYKTTAMDKSNQATQVRYEFVYDSKRRLCYILSV 225	
	T. gondii	MELLEKNSNIKASTDLGRCAEFAFKTVAMDKNNKATKYRYEFVYDSKKRLCHILYV 231	
	P. falciparum	LDEMRHFYKDNKYVKNLDELTLCSRHAGNMIPDNDKNSNYKYPAVYDDKDKKCHILYI 252	
	P. vivax	LANLKERYKDNVEMMKLNDIALCRTHAASFVMAGDQNSSYRHEAVYDEKEKTCHMLYL 197	
	B. bovis	AKDLRRWGYEGNDVANCSEYA-SNLIPASDKTTKYRYEFVFDSDNQMCYILYS 249	
	B. divergens	AADLVRWGYDGNDVANGAEYA-GNIIPASDTATKYRYECVYDAKEEMCHIUFT 251	

	Struct1	EEEHHHEEEEHHHH	
	Struct2	EEEEE-HHHEEEE	
	Struct3	EEEEEHHHH	
	N. caninum	SMORMEGSKYCSTNDDPVNLTWYCFEPQKSPTANHNLIFGSAYVGKDPDAFLTKCPNQ 283	
	T. gondii	SMOLMEGKKYCSVKGEPPDLTWYCFKPRKSVTENHHLIYGSAYVGENPDAFISKCPNQ 289	
	P. falciparum	AAOENNEPRYCNKDESKRNSMFCFRPAKDISFQN-YTYLSKNVVDNWEKVCPRK 305	
	P. VIVAX	SAGENMGPRYCSPDAQNRDAVFCFKPDKNESFEN-LVYLSKNVRNDWDKKCPRK 250	
	B. DOVIS B. diwarrana	ALUINQGNANGDNDGSSEEGTSSLLOMKPYKSAEDAH-LYYGSAKVDPDWEENCPMH 305	
	B. arvergens	LUCIUMCOMPONDO20052200000000000000000000000000000000	

Figure 1 Sequence alignment of the BbAMA-1 with those sequences available in data base. (A) An alignment showing polymorphisms in the epitope predicted in the PAN motif of BbAMA-1 domain I (181–230) using immunoinformatics tools. The following sequences from GenBank were used to generate the alignment; XP_001611043, ASR91672, ACM44020, BAN78782, ANW86202, ANW86201 and QDK56771. The epitope region is highlighted in blue. (B) Alignment of the apicomplexan AMA-1 sequences correspond to PAN motif of PfAMA-1 domain I (*Bai et al., 2005*) from various apicomplexan parasite species. The GenBank-derived AMA-1 sequences of *Plasmodium vivax* (Q9TY14), *P. falciparum* (Q7KQK5), *Neospora caninum* (B6KAM0), *Toxoplasma gondii* (A2A114), *Babesia divergens* (C0IR59) and *B. bovis* (A7ASF6) were used in the alignment. The aligned secondary structure of AMA-1 from *P. falciparum* (Struct1, PDB: 4r1b), *P. vivax* (struct2, PDB: 1w8k) and *B. divergens* (Struct3, PDB: 4apm) are indicated as "E" for β strand and "H" for α helix. The epitope predicted in this study is indicated by a black bar. The epitope mapped by MAb 1F9 is indicated by asterisks (*). The MHC-restricted CD8+ T cell epitope in *P. falciparum* is highlighted with green letters. The residues associated with the invasion-ninhibitory peptide R1 predicted by I-TASSER are highlighted with red letters.

Full-size 🖾 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.11765/fig-1

respectively. In addition, parasite growth was significantly impeded at 1:10 and 1:20 antisBbAMA-1 dilutions on day 4 of cultivation.

The microscopic examination of Giemsa-stained blood smears prepared from antisBbAMA-1 serum-treated cultures revealed a gradual increase in the number of



Figure 2 Homology modelling of BbAMA-1. (A) A homology model of the complete BbAMA-1 was constructed based on BdAMA-1 using Phyre2 and I-TASSER (Left). The final model of BbAMA-1 was construct by I-TASSER (Right). The structure showed the domain I of BbAMA-1 are represented by blue which contains the epitope areas predicted in this study indicated by red. The domain II-III are represented by grey. (B) The secondary structure of predicted epitope of BbAMA-1 form a-helix (Left) incorporated into the PAN motif of BbAMA-1 domain I represented by red based on BdAMA-1 structure (Right). (C) The invasion-inhibitory peptide R1 (PDB: 3srj, green atoms) associated predicted epitope residues (blue) analyzed by I-TASSER. The grey color structure is the BbAMA-1 constructed by I-TASSER. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.11765/fig-2



Figure 3 Recognition of native BbAMA-1 protein by rabbit polyclonal anti-sBbAMA-1 antibody. (A) Western blot assay was conducted to assess the reactivity of anti-sBbAMA-1 antibody with the native BbAMA-1. Lane 1: *B. bovis*-infected RBC lysate; lane 2: non-infected RBC lysate. (B) The immunofluorescence antibody test was performed to confirm the binding of sBbAMA-1 to the surface of *B. bovis* merozoites. The rabbit polyclonal anti-sBbAMA-1 serum (upper panel) or rabbit negative serum (lower panel) was incubated with *B. bovis*-infected RBSs at 1:5 dilution. Inset in upper panel show enlargement of *B. bovis* merozoites reacting with anti- sBbAMA-1. Scale bars = 20 μ m.





Figure 4 The growth-inhibitory effect of rabbit anti -sBbAMA-1 antibody on *B. bovis* merozoites. The *in vitro* growth inhibition assay was conducted with different dilution of anti-sBbAMA-1 serum and rabbit negative serum. The percentage of growth inhibition was calculated based on the parasitemia reduction in treated cultures compared to GIT-control. Asterisk indicates a significant difference (p < 0.05) in the percentage of growth inhibition in anti-sBbAMA-1 treated cultures compared to negative serum control. Full-size \square DOI: 10.7717/peerj.11765/fig-4



Figure 5 Light microscopic examination of blood smears from *in vitro* cultures treated with rabbit polyclonal anti-sBbAMA-1 antibody. Blood smears prepared with the *B. bovis*-infected RBCs from cultures treated with anti-sBbAMA-1serum (upper panel) or rabbit negative serum (lower panel) at 1:5 dilution were observed under a light microscope. D1, D2, D3 and D4 indicate days 1, 2, 3 and 4 post-cultivation. Note the presence of pyknotic extracellular merozoites in the treated cultures indicated by black arrow at day 3. Scale bars = $20 \ \mu m$. Full-size \square DOI: 10.7717/peerj.11765/fig-5

extracellular merozoites and pyknotic forms when compared to the control cultures (Fig. 5). In particular, these changes were prominent in the cultures that contained anti-sBbAMA-1 serum at a dilution of 1:5.

In vitro invasion-inhibitory effect

To investigate the effects of anti-sBbAMA-1 antibody on the merozoite invasion into RBCs, purified free merozoites isolated from *B. bovis in vitro* cultures were incubated together with fresh bovine RBCs in culture medium containing anti-sBbAMA-1 serum at dilutions of 1:5 and 1:10, while the parasitemia was monitored at 1, 2 and 4 h. The anti-sBbAMA-1 serum had a dilution-dependent effect on the invasion of merozoites into the RBCs. As compared to the GIT-control, we found that the merozoite invasion was significantly inhibited (p < 0.05) by approximately 60% in the cultures that contained anti-sBbAMA-1 serum at a dilution of 1:5 dilution after 4 h of incubation (Fig. 6). Furthermore, the degree of invasion-inhibition caused by dilutions of 1:10 and 1:20 at 2 and 4 h was approximately 45%, which was significantly higher when compared with the negative control culture (p < 0.05).

DISCUSSION

Here, we have predicted an epitope from the PAN motif in BbAMA-1 ectodomain I and evaluated its vaccine potential. Interestingly, the sequence alignment of the PAN motif of PfAMA-1 domain I and the corresponding region from BbAMA-1 demonstrated that the BbAMA-1 epitope extends along the conformational epitope region of PfAMA-1 (*Bai et al., 2005*) and the ligand-binding site of the invasion-inhibitory peptide R1 (*Harris et al., 2005*). In *P. falciparum*, the PAN motif of PfAMA-1 domain I has been previously identified as the major target of the 1F9 monoclonal antibody (MAb 1F9) (residue 191–247) (*Coley et al., 2001, 2006, 2007*) and the human anti-AMA1 antibody



Figure 6 The evaluation of the inhibitory effect of rabbit anti-sBbAMA-1 antibody against *B. bovis* merozoite invasion. Purified *B. bovis* merozoites were incubated together with fresh bovine RBCs in GIT medium containing rabbit anti-sBbAMA-1 serum at 1:5 and 1:10 dilution or rabbit negative serum at 1:5 dilutions. The percentage of invasion inhibition was calculated based on the parasitemia reduction in anti-sBbAMA-1 antibody-treated cultures compered to GIT-control. Asterisk indicates a significant difference (p < 0.05) compared to negative serum control. Full-size \square DOI: 10.7717/peerj.11765/fig-6

(humAbAMA1) (residue 194–206) (*Maskus et al., 2016*), as well as to serve as the partial target of the invasion-inhibitory peptide R1 (*Harris et al., 2005; Richard et al., 2010; Vulliez-Le Normand et al., 2012; Lim et al., 2014*). These results imply the possibility that the selected BbAMA-1 epitope, which is a target of immune recognition, could induce a protective immunity against *B. bovis* infection.

In the present study, the rabbit antiserum obtained from a rabbit immunized with the synthetic form of the selected epitope reacted positively with *B. bovis* merozoites in IFAT suggesting that the epitope elicits antibodies capable of recognizing the native AMA-1. In Western blot analysis, in agreement with *Gaffar et al.* (2004), the rabbit antisBbAMA-1 antibody reacted specifically with approximately 82-kDa of *B. bovis* protein representing an unprocessed full-length AMA-1. Moreover, consistent with the findings of a previous study (*Salama et al.*, 2013), we detected two additional bands at 69- and 45-kDa which had not been reported by *Gaffar et al.* (2004). The use of the antibodies that targeted different regions of the BbAMA-1 might be the reason for this discrepancy (*Salama et al.*, 2013). The proteolytic processing of AMA-1 upon merozoite invasion, as demonstrated in several apicomplexan species (*Howell et al.*, 2001; *Montero et al.*, 2009; *Moitra et al.*, 2015; *AbouLaila et al.*, 2019), might explain the presence of multiple bands.

AMA-1 has been extensively studied as an antigen for vaccine development against apicomplexan parasites, especially *P. falciparum*. Several antibodies, such as MAb 1F9 (*Coley et al., 2006*), humAbAMA1 (*Maskus et al., 2016*) and the invasion-inhibitory peptide R1 (*Harris et al., 2005*) raised against the conformational epitopes within the PAN motif of PfAMA-1 domain I, significantly inhibited the invasion of *P. falciparum* into human RBCs. Likewise, in the present study, the rabbit polyclonal antibody raised against the sBbAMA-1 epitope, which is located in the PAN motif of BbAMA-1 domain I and

characterized by an α -helix secondary structure, significantly inhibited the growth (50-70% inhibition) and invasion (60% inhibition) of B. bovis merozoites. Our results are consistent with those of previous studies (Salama et al., 2013) which found an inhibitory effect of the purified antibody against recombinant BbAMA-1 derived from the central region and ectodomains I and II. The purified IgG at a concentration of 1 mg/ml significantly inhibited the growth and invasion of *B. bovis* merozoites by approximately 40-50% and 60-70%, respectively. Our results are also in agreement with those of a previous study in which a synthetic peptide derived from the N-terminal region of BbAMA-1 domain I significantly inhibited B. bovis growth (65% inhibition) (Gaffar et al., 2004). Taken together, these results indicate that the epitope we predicted in the PAN domain I of BbAMA-1 is neutralization-sensitive. The antibodies against sBbAMA-1 may either block some specific functions of AMA-1, be involved in the inhibition of proteolytic processing (Dutta et al., 2003; Gaffar et al., 2004), or block the interaction of AMA1 with its receptor (Montero et al., 2009). This outcome could be a result of merozoite invasion inhibition as evidenced by an increase in the pyknotic forms of the extracellular merozoites in the *in vitro* growth-inhibition assay. Not only is AMA-1 secreted onto the merozoite surface upon invasion, but it is also released at or around the time of merozoite egression (Narum & Thomas, 1994). Therefore, anti-sBbAMA-1 may block merozoite release. This is one possible explanation for the occurrence of pyknotic forms of the intracellular merozoites that reside in infected RBC. The multiple alignment of the BbAMA-1 sequences from various B. bovis isolates identified 6 polymorphic sites in the predicted epitope. Therefore, further studies are essential to investigate whether the observed polymorphism results in a degree of strain-specific immunity.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study demonstrated that the rabbit polyclonal antibody against a synthetic peptide derived from the PAN motif of BbAMA-1 domain I is capable of inhibiting the *in vitro* growth and invasion of erythrocytes by *B. bovis* merozoites. Our data suggest that the predicted epitope of the PAN motif of BbAMA-1 domain I may serve as a target antigen for the development of vaccines against bovine babesiosis caused by *B. bovis*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our deep appreciation to all members of the staff of the Babesia Group, National Research Center for Protozoan Diseases, Obihiro University of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido, Japan for generously providing materials, facilities, and technical assistance.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This work was supported by the Royal Golden Jubilee Ph.D. Programme scholarship (No. PHD/0224/2560), the Center of Excellence for Veterinary Bioscience, Chiang Mai

University (No. 018/2562). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Royal Golden Jubilee Ph.D. Programme scholarship: PHD/0224/2560. Center of Excellence for Veterinary Bioscience, Chiang Mai University: 018/2562.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Amarin Rittipornlertrak conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Boondarika Nambooppha performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Anucha Muenthaisong performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, and approved the final draft.
- Veerasak Punyapornwithaya conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Saruda Tiwananthagorn conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Yang-Tsung Chung conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Bumduuren Tuvshintulga conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Thillaiampalam Sivakumar conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Naoaki Yokoyama conceived and designed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Nattawooti Sthitmatee conceived and designed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

Animal Ethics

The following information was supplied relating to ethical approvals (*i.e.*, approving body and any reference numbers):

The Animal Care and Use Committee (FVM-ACUC), Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Chiang Mai University provided full approveal for this research (S28/2560).

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability: Raw measurements are available in the Supplemental Files.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.11765#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

- AbouLaila M, Terkawi M, Menshawy S, Yokoyama N, Igarashi I. 2019. Identification, characterization, and protective effect of the apical membrane antigen-1 (AMA-1) homolog from the Argentina strain of *Babesia bigemina*. *Annals of Clinical Investigation* 1:1001.
- Bai T, Becker M, Gupta A, Strike P, Murphy VJ, Anders RF, Batchelor AH. 2005. Structure of AMA1 from *Plasmodium falciparum* reveals a clustering of polymorphisms that surround a conserved hydrophobic pocket. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 102(36):12736–12741 DOI 10.1073/pnas.0501808102.
- Barreda D, Hidalgo-Ruiz M, Hernandez-Ortiz R, Ramos JA, Galindo-Velasco E, Mosqueda J. 2020. Identification of conserved peptides containing B-cell epitopes of *Babesia bovis* AMA-1 and their potential as diagnostics candidates. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases* 67(S2):60–68 DOI 10.1111/tbed.13213.
- Bock R, Jackson L, De Vos A, Jorgensen W. 2004. Babesiosis of cattle. *Parasitology* 129(S1):S247–S269 DOI 10.1017/s0031182004005190.
- Chong LC, Khan AM. 2019a. Identification of highly conserved, serotype-specific dengue virus sequences: implications for vaccine design. *BMC Genomics* 20(S9):S7 DOI 10.1186/s12864-019-6311-z.
- Chong LC, Khan AM. 2019b. Vaccine target discovery. *Encyclopedia of Bioinformatics and Computational Biology* 3:241–251 DOI 10.1016/B978-0-12-809633-8.20100-3.
- Coley AM, Campanale NV, Casey JL, Hodder AN, Crewther PE, Anders RF, Tilley LM, Foley M.
 2001. Rapid and precise epitope mapping of monoclonal antibodies against *Plasmodium falciparum* AMA1 by combined phage display of fragments and random peptides. *Protein Engineering, Design and Selection* 14(9):691–698 DOI 10.1093/protein/14.9.691.
- **Coley AM, Gupta A, Murphy VJ, Bai T, Kim H, Anders RF, Foley M, Batchelor AH. 2007.** Structure of the malaria antigen AMA1 in complex with a growth-inhibitory antibody. *PLOS Pathogens* **3(9)**:1308–1319 DOI 10.1371/journal.ppat.0030138.
- Coley AM, Parisi K, Masciantonio R, Hoeck J, Casey JL, Murphy VJ, Harris KS, Batchelor AH, Anders RF, Foley M. 2006. The most polymorphic residue on *Plasmodium falciparum* apical membrane antigen 1 determines binding of an invasion-inhibitory antibody. *Infection and Immunity* 74(5):2628–2636 DOI 10.1128/IAI.74.5.2628-2636.2006.
- De Vos AJ, Bock RE. 2000. Vaccination against bovine babesiosis. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **916(1)**:540–545 DOI 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2000.tb05333.x.
- De Waal DT, Combrink MP. 2006. Live vaccines against bovine babesiosis. *Veterinary Parasitology* **138(1–2)**:88–96 DOI 10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.01.042.
- Dicko A1, Diemert DJ, Sagara I, Sogoba M, Niambele MB, Assadou MH, Guindo O, Kamate B, Baby M, Sissoko M, Malkin EM, Fay MP, Thera MA, Miura K, Dolo A, Diallo DA, Mullen GE, Long CA, Saul A, Doumbo O, Miller LH. 2007. Impact of a Plasmodium falciparum AMA1 vaccine on antibody responses in adult Malians. *PLOS ONE* 2:e1045 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0001045.
- Drew DR, Hodder AN, Wilson DW, Foley M, Mueller I, Siba PM, Dent AE, Cowman AF, Beeson JG. 2012. Defining the antigenic diversity of *Plasmodium falciparum* apical membrane

antigen 1 and the requirements for a multi-allele vaccine against malaria. *PLOS ONE* **7(12)**:e51023 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0051023.

- Dutta S, Haynes JD, Moch JK, Barbosa A, Lanar DE. 2003. Invasion-inhibitory antibodies inhibit proteolytic processing of apical membrane antigen 1 of *Plasmodium falciparum* merozoites. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 100(21):12295–12300 DOI 10.1073/pnas.2032858100.
- Dutta S, Lalitha PV, Ware LA, Barbosa A, Moch JK, Vassell MA, Fileta BB, Kitov S, Kolodny N, Heppner DG, Haynes JD. 2002. Purification, characterization, and immunogenicity of the refolded ectodomain of the *Plasmodium falciparum* apical membrane antigen 1 expressed in *Escherichia coli. Infection and Immunity* **70(6)**:3101–3110 DOI 10.1128/iai.70.6.3101-3110.2002.
- Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space complexity. *BMC Bioinformatics* 5(1):113 DOI 10.1186/1471-2105-5-113.
- Escalante AA, Grebert HM, Chaiyaroj SC, Magris M, Biswas S, Nahlen BL, Lal AA. 2001. Polymorphism in the gene encoding the apical membrane antigen-1 (AMA-1) of *Plasmodium falciparum*. X. Asembo Bay Cohort Project. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology* 113(2):279–287 DOI 10.1016/s0166-6851(01)00229-8.
- Gaffar FR, Yatsuda AP, Franssen FFJ, de Vries E. 2004. Erythrocyte invasion by *Babesia bovis* merozoites is inhibited by polyclonal antisera directed against peptides derived from a homologue of *Plasmodium falciparum* apical membrane antigen 1. *Infection and Immunity* 72(5):2947–2955 DOI 10.1128/iai.72.5.2947-2955.2004.
- Gohil S, Herrmann S, Günther S, Cooke BM. 2013. Bovine babesiosis in the 21st century: advances in biology and functional genomics. *International Journal for Parasitology* 43(2):125–132 DOI 10.1016/j.ijpara.2012.09.008.
- Harris KS, Casey JL, Coley AM, Masciantonio R, Sabo JK, Keizer DW, Lee EF, McMahon A, Norton RS, Anders RF, Foley M. 2005. Binding hot spot for invasion inhibitory molecules on *Plasmodium falciparum* apical membrane antigen 1. *Infection and Immunity* 73(10):6981–6989 DOI 10.1128/IAI.73.10.6981-6989.2005.
- Hodder AN, Crewther PE, Anders RF. 2001. Specificity of the protective antibody response to apical membrane antigen 1. *Infection and Immunity* **69(5)**:3286–3294 DOI 10.1128/IAI.69.5.3286-3294.2001.
- Howell SA, Withers-Martinez C, Kocken CH, Thomas AW, Blackman MJ. 2001. Proteolytic processing and primary structure of *Plasmodium falciparum* apical membrane antigen-1. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 276(33):31311–31320 DOI 10.1074/jbc.M103076200.
- Hudu SA, Shinkafi SH, Shuaibu U. 2016. An overview of recombinant vaccine technology, adjuvants and vaccine delivery methods. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences* 8(11):19–24 DOI 10.22159/ijpps.2016v8i11.14311.
- Ishizaki T, Sivakumar T, Hayashida K, Tuvshintulga B, Igarashi I, Yokoyama N. 2016. RBC invasion and invasion-inhibition assays using free merozoites isolated after cold treatment of *Babesia bovis in vitro* culture. *Experimental Parasitology* **166(Suppl. l)**:10–15 DOI 10.1016/j.exppara.2016.03.010.
- Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJ. 2015. The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nature Protocols* 10(6):845–858 DOI 10.1038/nprot.2015.053.
- Kennedy RB, Poland GA. 2011. The top five game changers in vaccinology: toward rational and directed vaccine development. *OMICS: A Journal of Integrative Biology* **15(9)**:533–537 DOI 10.1089/omi.2011.0012.

- Lemaire D, Barbosa T, Rihet P. 2012. Coping with genetic diversity: the contribution of pathogen and human genomics to modern vaccinology. *Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research* 45:376–385 DOI 10.1590/S0100 879X2011007500142.
- Lim SS, Yang W, Krishnarjuna B, Kannan Sivaraman K, Chandrashekaran IR, Kass I, MacRaild CA, Devine SM, Debono CO, Anders RF, Scanlon MJ. 2014. Structure and dynamics of apical membrane antigen 1 from *Plasmodium falciparum* FVO. *Biochemistry* 53(46):7310–7320 DOI 10.1021/bi5012089.
- Malkin EM, Diemert DJ, McArthur JH, Perreault JR, Miles AP, Giersing BK, Mullen GE, Orcutt A, Muratova O, Awkal M, Zhou H. 2005. Phase 1 clinical trial of apical membrane antigen 1: an asexual blood-stage vaccine for *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. *Infection and Immunity* 73(6):3677–3685 DOI 10.1128/IAI.73.6.3677-3685.2005.
- Maskus DJ, Królik M, Bethke S, Spiegel H, Kapelski S, Seidel M, Addai-Mensah O, Reimann A, Klockenbring T, Barth S, Fischer R. 2016. Characterization of a novel inhibitory human monoclonal antibody directed against *Plasmodium falciparum* apical membrane antigen 1. *Scientific Reports* 6(1):39462 DOI 10.1038/srep39462.
- Moitra P, Zheng H, Anantharaman V, Banerjee R, Takeda K, Kozakai Y, Lepore T, Krause PJ, Aravind L, Kumar S. 2015. Expression, purification, and biological characterization of *Babesia microti* apical membrane antigen 1. *Infection and Immunity* **83(10)**:3890–38901 DOI 10.1128/IAI.00168-15.
- Montero E, Rodriguez M, Oksov Y, Lobo CA. 2009. *Babesia divergens* apical membrane antigen 1 and its interaction with the human red blood cell. *Infection and Immunity* 77(11):4783–4793 DOI 10.1128/IAI.00969-08.
- Moreau E, Bonsergent C, Al Dybiat I, Gonzalez LM, Lobo CA, Montero E, Malandrin L. 2015. *Babesia divergens* apical membrane antigen-1 (BdAMA-1): a poorly polymorphic protein that induces a weak and late immune response. *Experimental Parasitology* 155(2):40–45 DOI 10.1016/j.exppara.2015.04.024.
- Narum DL, Thomas AW. 1994. Differential localization of full-length and processed forms of PF83/AMA-1 an apical membrane antigen of *Plasmodium falciparum* merozoites. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology* 67:59–68 DOI 10.1016/0166- 6851(94)90096-5.
- Pizarro JC, Vulliez-Le Normand B, Chesne-Seck ML, Collins CR, Withers-Martinez C, Hackett F, Blackman MJ, Faber BW, Remarque EJ, Kocken CH, Thomas AW. 2005. Crystal structure of the malaria vaccine candidate apical membrane antigen 1. *Science* 308:408–411 DOI 10.1126/science.1107449.
- Ramos CA, Araújo FR, Alves LC, de Souza IIF, Guedes DS Jr, Soares CO. 2012. Genetic conservation of potentially immunogenic proteins among Brazilian isolates of *Babesia bovis*. *Veterinary Parasitology* 187:548–552 DOI 10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.01.020.
- Remarque EJ, Faber BW, Kocken CH, Thomas AW. 2008. Apical membrane antigen 1: a malaria vaccine candidate in review. *Trends in Parasitology* 24:74–84 DOI 10.1016/j.pt.2007.12.002.
- **Reynolds CR, Islam SA, Sternberg MJ. 2018.** EzMol: a web server wizard for the rapid visualization and image production of protein and nucleic acid structures. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **430**:2244–2248 DOI 10.1016/j.jmb.2018.01.013.
- Richard D, MacRaild CA, Riglar DT, Chan JA, Foley M, Baum J, Ralph SA, Norton RS, Cowman AF. 2010. Interaction between *Plasmodium falciparum* apical membrane antigen 1 and the rhoptry neck protein complex defines a key step in the erythrocyte invasion process of malaria parasites. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 285(19):14815–14822 DOI 10.1074/jbc.M109.080770.

- Rittipornlertrak A, Nambooppha B, Simking P, Punyapornwithaya V, Tiwananthagorn S, Jittapalapong S, Chung YT, Sthitmatee N. 2017. Low levels of genetic diversity associated with evidence of negative selection on the *Babesia bovis* apical membrane antigen 1 from parasite populations in Thailand. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution* 54:447–454 DOI 10.1016/j.meegid.2017.08.009.
- Salama AA, Terkawi MA, Kawai S, Aboulaila M, Nayel M, Mousa A, Zaghawa A, Yokoyama N, Igarashi I. 2013. Specific antibody to a conserved region of *Babesia* apical membrane antigen-1 inhibited the invasion of *B. bovis* into the erythrocyte. *Experimental Parasitology* 135(3):623–628 DOI 10.1016/j.exppara.2013.09.017.
- Shkap V, De Vos AJ, Zweygarth E, Jongejan F. 2007. Attenuated vaccines for tropical theileriosis, babesiosis and heartwater: the continuing necessity. *Trends in Parasitology* 23(9):420–426 DOI 10.1016/j.pt.2007.07.003.
- Sirima SB, Durier C, Kara L, Houard S, Gansane A, Loulergue P, Bahuaud M, Benhamouda N, Nebié I, Faber B, Remarque E, Launay O. 2017. Safety and immunogenicity of a recombinant *Plasmodium falciparum* AMA1-DiCo malaria vaccine adjuvanted with GLA-SE or Alhydrogel[®] in European and African adults: a phase 1a/1b, randomized, double-blind multi-centre trial. *Vaccine* 35(45):6218–6227 DOI 10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.09.027.
- **Spickler AR. 2018.** Bovine babesiosis. *Available at http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/DiseaseInfo/ factsheets.php* (accessed 5 December 2020).
- Srinivasan P, Baldeviano GC, Miura K, Diouf A, Ventocilla JA, Leiva KP, Lugo-Roman L, Lucas C, Orr-Gonzalez S, Zhu D, Villasante E. 2017. A malaria vaccine protects Aotus monkeys against virulent *Plasmodium falciparum* infection. *npj Vaccines* 2(1):1–10 DOI 10.1038/s41541-017-0015-7.
- Srinivasan P, Beatty WL, Diouf A, Herrera R, Ambroggio X, Moch JK, Tyler JS, Narum DL, Pierce SK, Boothroyd JC, Haynes JD. 2011. Binding of *Plasmodium merozoite* proteins RON2 and AMA1 triggers commitment to invasion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108(32):13275–13280 DOI 10.1073/pnas.1110303108.
- Stowers AW, Kennedy MC, Keegan BP, Saul A, Long CA, Miller LH. 2002. Vaccination of monkeys with recombinant *Plasmodium falciparum* apical membrane antigen 1 confers protection against blood-stage malaria. *Infection and Immunity* 70(12):6961–6967 DOI 10.1128/iai.70.12.6961-6967.2002.
- Suarez CE, Alzan HF, Silva MG, Rathinasamy V, Poole WA, Cooke BM. 2019. Unravelling the cellular and molecular pathogenesis of bovine babesiosis: is the sky the limit? *International Journal for Parasitology* 49(2):183–197 DOI 10.1016/j.ijpara.2018.11.002.
- Terkawi MA, Huyen NX, Shinuo C, Inpankaew T, Maklon K, Aboulaila M, Ueno A, Goo YK, Yokoyama N, Jittapalapong S, Xuan X, Igarashi I. 2011. Molecular and serological prevalence of *Babesia bovis* and *Babesia bigemina* in water buffaloes in the northeast region of Thailand. *Veterinary Parasitology* **178(3-4)**:201–207 DOI 10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.01.041.
- Thera MA, Doumbo OK, Coulibaly D, Laurens MB, Kone AK, Guindo AB, Traore K, Sissoko M, Diallo DA, Diarra I, Kouriba B, Daou M, Dolo A, Baby M, Sissoko MS, Sagara I, Niangaly A, Traore I, Olotu A, Godeaux O, Leach A, Dubois MC, Ballou WR, Cohen J, Thompson D, Dube T, Soisson L, Diggs CL, Takala SL, Lyke KE, House B, Lanar DE, Dutta S, Heppner DG, Plowe CV. 2010. Safety and immunogenicity of an AMA1 malaria vaccine in Malian children: results of a phase 1 randomized controlled trial. *PLOS ONE* 5(2):e9041 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0009041.

- **Tonkin ML, Crawford J, Lebrun ML, Boulanger MJ. 2013.** *Babesia divergens* and *Neospora caninum* apical membrane antigen 1 structures reveal selectivity and plasticity in apicomplexan parasite host cell invasion. *Protein Science* **22(1)**:114–127 DOI 10.1002/pro.2193.
- Triglia T, Healer J, Caruana SR, Hodder AN, Anders RF, Crabb BS, Cowman AF. 2000. Apical membrane antigen 1 plays a central role in erythrocyte invasion by *Plasmodium* species. *Molecular Microbiology* 38(4):706–718 DOI 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2000.02175.x.
- Tuvshintulga B, Sivakumar T, Yokoyama N, Igarashi I. 2019. Development of unstable resistance to diminazene aceturate in *Babesia bovis*. *International Journal for Parasitology: Drugs and Drug Resistance* 9:87–92 DOI 10.1016/j.ijpddr.2019.02.001.
- Vulliez-Le Normand B, Tonkin ML, Lamarque MH, Langer S, Hoos S, Roques M, Saul FA, Faber BW, Bentley GA, Boulanger MJ, Lebrun M. 2012. Structural and functional insights into the malaria parasite moving junction complex. *PLOS Pathogens* 8(6):e1002755 DOI 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002755.
- Yang J, Zhang Y. 2015. I-TASSER server: new development for protein structure and function predictions. *Nucleic Acids Research* 43(W1):W174–W181 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkv342.
- Yap A, Azevedo MF, Gilson PR, Weiss GE, O'Neill MT, Wilson DW, Crabb BS, Cowman AF. 2014. Conditional expression of apical membrane antigen 1 in *Plasmodium falciparum* shows it is required for erythrocyte invasion by merozoites. *Cellular Microbiology* 16(5):642–656 DOI 10.1111/cmi.12287.
- Yokoyama N, Suthisak B, Hirata H, Matsuo T, Inoue N, Sugimoto C, Igarashi I. 2002. Cellular localization of *Babesia bovis* merozoite rhoptry-associated protein 1 and its erythrocyte-binding activity. *Infection and Immunity* **70(10)**:5822–5826 DOI 10.1128/iai.70.10.5822-5826.2002.