

1      **Factors affecting livestock depredation by snow**  
2      **leopards (*Panthera uncia*) in the Himalayan region**  
3                                      **of Nepal**

4      **Ajay Karki<sup>1\*</sup>, Saroj Panthi<sup>2</sup>**

5      1 Ministry of Forests and Environment, Nepal

6      2 Ministry of Industry, Tourism, Forest and Environment, Gandaki Province, Pokhara, Nepal

7      \* Corresponding author; Email: [clickajaya@gmail.com](mailto:clickajaya@gmail.com)

8

## 9 Abstract

10 The snow leopard (*Panthera uncia*) found in central Asia is classified as vulnerable species by  
11 the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN). Every year, ~~remarkable~~large  
12 number of livestock are killed by snow leopards in Nepal, ~~leading to economic loss to local~~  
13 ~~communities and~~ making human-snow leopard conflict a major threat to snow leopard  
14 ~~conservation including economic loss to local communities.~~ We conducted formal and  
15 informal stakeholder's ~~discussion~~interviews to gather information related to livestock  
16 depredation with the aim to map the attack sites by the snow leopard. These sites were further  
17 validated by district forest office staffs to ~~check the biasness~~assess sources of bias, if any of  
18 ~~villagers and herders on it.~~ Attack sites ~~of more~~older than 3 years were removed from the  
19 ~~survey and.~~ We found a total of 109 attack sites ~~from past 3 years~~ and visited all the sites for  
20 geo location purpose (GPS points of all unique sites were taken). We maintained at least a 100  
21 m distance between attack locations to ensure that each attack location ~~was~~is unique, which  
22 ~~resulted in 86 unique locations out of 109 collected.~~ A total of 235 km<sup>2</sup> was assessed during  
23 ~~this study.~~ Using Maximum Entropy (MaxEnt) modeling, ~~this study reveals~~we found that  
24 distance to livestock sheds, distance to paths, aspect, and distance to roads ~~were~~are major  
25 contributing factors to the snow leopard's attacks. We identified 13.64 km<sup>2</sup> as risk zone for  
26 livestock depredation from snow leopards in the study area. Furthermore, ~~s~~Snow leopards  
27 ~~preferred~~to attack livestock near livestock shelters, far from human paths and at moderate  
28 distance from motor roads. ~~The probability of an attack on livestock is highest at western~~  
29 ~~aspect.~~ These identified attack zones should be managed both for snow leopard conservation  
30 and livestock protection in order to balance human livelihoods while protecting snow leopards  
31 and their habitats.

Commented [NY1]: what do you mean – does not make any sense to me

Commented [NY2]: what do you mean by assessed? This is the area used to define available sites in the MaxEnt study, right?

The snow leopard found in central Asia is classified as vulnerable species by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN). Every year, livestock are killed by snow leopards in Nepal, making human-snow leopard conflict a major threat to snow leopard conservation as well as causing economic harm to local communities. We prepared the list of herders in the study area, and then conducted a workshop of 5-8 herders and 3-5 villagers to gather information related to livestock depredation and finally mapping the attack sites. These sites were further validated by district forest office staffs to check the biasness, if any of villagers and herders. Attack sites of more than 3 years were removed from the survey and found a total of 109 attack sites from past 3 years and visited all the sites for geo location purpose (GPS points of all unique sites were taken). We maintained at least a 100 m distance between attack locations to ensure that each attack location was unique, resulting in 86 unique locations out of 109 collected. A total of 235 km<sup>2</sup> was assessed during this study. This study identified key variables contributing to snow leopard attacks on livestock in eastern Manang district, Nepal using Maximum Entropy (MaxEnt) modeling. We identified 13.64 km<sup>2</sup> as at risk for livestock depredation from snow leopards in the study area. The distance to livestock sheds, distance to paths, aspect, and distance to roads are the most important variables when modeling risk zones associated with snow leopard depredation. Snow leopards prefer to attack livestock near livestock shelters and at far from human paths and at moderate distance from motor roads. The probability of an attack on livestock is highest at western aspect. These identified attack zones should be managed both for snow leopard conservation and livestock protection in order to achieve optimal results for Nepalese communities and wildlife.

**Keywords: Conflict, snow leopard, livestock depredation, modeling, wildlife management**

Formatted: Justified

## 55 Introduction

56 The snow leopard (*Panthera uncia*) is a wild carnivore native to 12 countries in central Asia  
57 (China, Bhutan, Nepal, India, Pakistan, Afghanistan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, Kyrgyzstan,  
58 Kazakhstan, Russia, and Mongolia) (McCarthy et al., 2017). The home range of this species is  
59 124-207 km<sup>2</sup> (Johansson et al., 2016) but estimated at 11-37 km<sup>2</sup> in Nepal's Himalaya  
60 (Jackson; 1996). In Qilianshan National Nature Reserve, China, the density of snow leopard  
61 is 3.31 individuals per 100 km<sup>2</sup> (Alexander et al., 2015).~~Nepal has extremely variable~~  
62 population density; for example Langu valley has 10-12 animals per 100 km<sup>2</sup> and Manang has  
63 5-7 animals per 100 km<sup>2</sup> (DNPWC, 2017). The primary prey targeted by snow leopards include  
64 wild species such as blue sheep (*Pseudois nayaur*) and marmots (*Marmota caudate*) as well as  
65 domesticated livestock such as yak (*Bos grunniens*) and sheep (*Ovis* spp.) (Aryal et al., 2014;  
66 Weiskopf et al., 2016). Snow leopards co-exist with other Himalayan carnivores, such as red  
67 fox (*Vulpes vulpes*), grey wolf (*Canis lupus*), Eurasian lynx (*Lynx lynx*) and dhole (*Cuonal*  
68 *pinus*) (Alexander et al., 2016a; Bocci et al., 2017). Male snow leopards represent a greater  
69 threat to livestock than females (Chetri et al., 2017). While there are several studies  
70 characterizing snow leopards, their habits and habitats, there is a need for ~~greater~~more localized  
71 information to improve conservation management practices, ~~which is somehow provided by~~  
72 ~~this study.~~

73 Human-snow leopard conflict, especially ~~related to~~concerning livestock depredation,  
74 represents a major threat to snow leopards (Li et al., 2013; Mijiddorj et al., 2018; Suryawanshi  
75 et al., 2013; Ud Din et al., 2017; Wegge et al., 2012). Livestock grazing in snow leopard habitat  
76 has been seen to be a serious conservation threat to this species (Ghoshal et al., 2017; Khanal  
77 et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2015). One of the main stressors of snow leopard poaching was  
78 found to be retaliatory killing as a consequence of livestock depredation (Maheshwari and  
79 Niraj, 2018). Another important factor influencing snow leopard poaching is the illegal trade

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Norwegian (Bokmål)

Formatted: Norwegian (Bokmål)

of ~~the~~ body parts and pelts (Hussain, 2003), which is also on the rise (Li and Lu, 2014). Furthermore, impacts of climate change have ~~been~~ emerged as a primary threat to snow leopards; ~~reductions shrinkages to~~ their habitats are expected ~~to shrink~~ throughout their range (Aryal et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016).

Mitigating human-snow leopard conflict through community engagement is one of the major objectives of the snow leopard conservation action plan for Nepal (2017-2021) (DNPWC, 2017). Research has shown that visitors are willing to pay for snow leopard conservation in the Annapurna Conservation Area in Nepal (Schutgens et al., 2018) but more research is needed on snow leopard interactions with human activities to better understand the influence of snow leopards on livestock herding practices and vice-versa (Alexander et al., 2016b).

This study was conducted to identify the major factors affecting the risk of livestock depredation from snow leopards. We also identified the potential snow leopard attack risk zone within the study area. We hypothesized that anthropogenic variables are more ~~highly~~ correlated with livestock depredation risk from snow leopards than environmental and topographic factors.

## Materials and methods

### Study area

The study was conducted in the southeastern part of Manang District, Nepal covering a total area of 235 km<sup>2</sup> which is the jurisdiction of the District Forest Office (Now, Division Forest Office) (**Figure 1**). We chose extent of study area by making 3 km buffering from livestock sheds. ~~It is assumed that According to the herders, -livestock travel for grazing up to 3 km and some of them travel in valley, rocks and glacier too. Further, the glacier around the attack zone is not permanent which allows seasonal grazing of livestock. Alongside, in rocky area small~~

**Commented [NY3]:** A glacier is by definition permanent – do you mean snowfields that can disappear in some years or late in summer?

103 livestock like ~~Himalayan~~ goat and sheep roam easily, thus considered in the buffer. –The study  
104 area is rich in faunal and floral diversity. During the study, we recorded Himalayan pine (*Pinus*  
105 *wallichiana*), east Himalayan fir (*Abies spectabilis*), Himalayan birch (*Betula utilis*), yew  
106 (*Taxus baccata*), figwort (*Picrorhiza scrophulariiflora*), marsh orchid (*Dactylorhiza*  
107 *hatagirea*), caterpillar fungus (*Ophiocordyceps sinensis*), felworts (*Swertia chirata*), love  
108 apple (*Paris polyphylla*), sunpati (*Rhododendron anthopogon*), sea buckthorn (*Hippophae*  
109 spp.), lokta (*Daphne bholua*), lily (*Lilium nepalense*), black juniper (*Juniperus indica*) as the  
110 major plant species in the study area. Snow leopard (*Panthera uncia*), musk deer (*Moschus*  
111 *moschiferus*), common leopard (*Panthera pardus*), impeyan pheasant (*Lophophorus*  
112 *impejanus*), Himalayan goral (*Naemorhedus goral*), wolf (*Canis lupus*), Asiatic black bear  
113 (*Ursus thibetanus*), barking deer (*Muntiacus muntjac*), gray langur (*Semnopithecus*  
114 *schistaceus*) are the major wild animals found in the study area.

115 **Insert Figure-1 around here**

#### 116 **Plot design and data collection**

117 Firstly, we visited all possible risk zones<sup>1</sup> for the livestock depredation by snow leopard in the  
118 study area between April and June, 2018. We prepared the list of herders in the study area, and  
119 then conducted a workshop<sup>2</sup> of 5-8 herders and 3-5 villagers<sup>3</sup> to gather information related to  
120 livestock depredation by snow leopard and finally mapped the attack sites. Workshop with  
121 herders were conducted at livestock sheds and workshops with villagers were conducted at  
122 villages. These sites were further validated by district forest office (now division forest office)  
123 staffs to check the biasness, if any. The study area is the habitat of common leopard and Asiatic

<sup>1</sup> Possible risk zones were identified based on proximity to shed, grazing/browsing pastures of livestock, grazing area where herders are normally absent

<sup>2</sup> A total of 17 workshops were conducted and participants were replicated in some workshops

<sup>3</sup> Only villagers who received the compensation for livestock depredation were considered for workshops to make sure that participants know the real information about attack sites

124 black bear as well; however, they use lower elevation than snow leopard and there is no habitat  
125 overlap<sup>4</sup> in livestock attack zone. This was further confirmed by local herders, villagers and  
126 the forest staffs who regularly patrol there. Wolf generally hunt on pack (with group). Due to  
127 hunting patterns, information provided by herders and villagers, and verification by forest  
128 staffs, we confirmed that we collected locations attacked<sup>ed</sup> by snow leopard, not by other  
129 carnivores. A total of 109 attack sites ~~from past~~in the last 3 years were visited to record geo  
130 location (GPS points of all unique sites were taken). We maintained at least a 100 m distance  
131 between attack locations to ensure that each attack location is unique, resulting in 86 unique  
132 locations out of 109 collected.

Commented [NY4]: give the years (20xx-20xx)

#### 134 **Environmental variables**

##### 135 **Topographical variables**

136 Geographic factors are responsible for the spatial distribution of the snow leopard (Wolf and  
137 Ale, 2009). These geographic variables were used to model the habitat of this species and other  
138 Himalayan carnivores in Nepal (Aryal et al., 2016; Bista et al., 2018; Panthi, 2018). A Digital  
139 Elevation Model (DEM) with 30 m resolution was downloaded from the United States  
140 Geological Survey (USGS) (<https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/>). Slope and aspect were calculated  
141 from the DEM using ArcGIS software (Table 1).

##### 142 **Vegetative variables**

143 As the snow leopards are carnivores, their diet primarily consists of wild and domesticated  
144 herbivores (Aryal et al., 2014; Wegge et al., 2012; Weiskopf et al., 2016), making vegetative  
145 variables important to consider (Andersen et al., 2000). Therefore, forest cover of Global Forest  
146 Change (GFC) (<http://earthenginepartners.appspot.com/science-2013-global-forest>) was used

---

<sup>4</sup>Most of the attack zones are around 4000 m of altitude

147 as a vegetative variable (Hansen et al., 2013). We also included Enhanced Vegetation Index  
148 (EVI) to model the potential attack risk of snow leopards. We downloaded EVI time series  
149 images from 2015, 2016, and 2017 from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer  
150 (MODIS) sensor from the USGS. Then, we used Environment for Visualizing Images (ENVI)  
151 software to smooth the data by using an adaptive Savitzky-Golay filter in TIMESAT (Jönsson  
152 and Eklundh, 2004), which reduced the cloud effect and allowed us to obtain mean, maximum,  
153 minimum and standard deviation of EVI.

#### 154 **Anthropogenic variables**

155 Large numbers of livestock are killed in Nepal due to the proximity of human settlements to  
156 the natural range of snow leopards (Aryal et al., 2014; Wegge et al., 2012). Assessing  
157 anthropogenic factors leading to livestock predation by snow leopards is critical as these are  
158 the variables that represent the greatest degree of control from humans and would allow for  
159 achieving the stated goals of snow leopard conservation and decreased livestock mortality from  
160 depredation. During field data collection, human activities were documented in snow leopard  
161 habitat. We obtained the shape file of motor roads and paths inside the study area from  
162 Geofabrik (<https://www.geofabrik.de/data/shapefiles.html>). The locations of livestock  
163 ~~shelters~~shelter within snow leopard habitat were collected during field work. Distance raster  
164 files of livestock shelters, footpaths, and motor roads were created using ArcGIS. We  
165 downloaded land cover and land use from the International Centre for Integrated Mountain  
166 Development (Uddin et al., 2015) and included them in the model.

167

168 **Insert table 1 around here**

#### 169 **Modeling livestock depredation risk from snow leopards**

170 We used MaxEnt software to model the livestock depredation risk from snow leopard in the  
171 study area. Geo-referenced presence points of livestock attacks by snow leopard and the

environmental variables (**Table 1**) were used as input variables to the MaxEnt model to produce a predictive livestock depredation risk map (Elith et al., 2006; Phillips et al., 2017, 2006). The model was validated by the area under receiver-operator curve (AUC) (Pearce and Ferrier, 2000) and evaluated by True Skill Statistics (TSS) (Allouche et al., 2006). The multicollinearity between variables was less than 0.7, which is acceptable for modeling (Dormann et al., 2013). Seventy percent of the data were used to train the model and 30 % were used to validate the model. We used 10 replications, 1000 maximum iterations, and 1000 background points during the modeling using reference from Barbet-Massin et al. (2012). The threshold to maximize the sum of specificity and sensitivity was used to calculate TSS and to prepare the binary map from the continuous map (Liu et al., 2013).

## **Results**

### **Snow leopard attack risk zone**

We identified 13.64 km<sup>2</sup> as the potential risk zone for snow leopard attacks in the study area. The areas with the highest risk for snow leopard attacks were identified spatially using ArcGIS (**Figure 2**). The AUC and TSS of the model were 0.941 $\pm$ 0.013 and 0.862 $\pm$ 0.047, respectively. A threshold of 0.273 was used to prepare the risk map from the continuous probability map.

**Insert Figure -2, around here**

The most important variables found in the model to determine snow leopard attack risks are distance to livestock sheds, distance to path, aspect, and distance to motor road (**Figure 3**). Other variables have less information to model the snow leopard attack risk. In **figure 3**, the regularized gain of the model without distance to livestock shed was less than that of the model using other single variables, therefore this is a more useful variable to the model. Similarly, the regularized gain of the models without distance to path, aspect and distance to road are less,

196 which also demonstrates the high utility of these variables in modeling the snow leopard attack  
197 risk zone.

198 **Insert Figure-3, around here**

199 The regularized training gain of this figure explains how better the model distribution fits the  
200 presence data compared to a uniform distribution. “With all variables” indicates the outcomes  
201 of the model when all variables are used; “with only variable” denotes the effect of removing  
202 that single variable. “Without variable” denotes the result when only that variable is used  
203 (Phillips, 2017). See Table 1 for full variable names and descriptions.

204

205 Snow leopard attacks on livestock are much more likely at closer distances to the shed which  
206 is used to house the livestock (**Figure 4A**). Livestock are prone to attack by snow leopards far  
207 from foot paths and at a moderate distance to motor roads (**Figure 4B, D**). At western aspect,  
208 the probability of snow leopard attacks on livestock is high in comparison to other aspect  
209 (**Figure 4C**). Our study area was small, these kind of relationships may be different in other  
210 placeregions or in case of a larger study area.

211 **Insert Figure-4, around here**

## 212 Discussion

213 The southern and western sections of the study area are at the greatest risk of livestock  
214 depredation from snow leopards. A portion of the west side of the study area is situated inside  
215 the Annapurna Conservation Area (ACA) and other patches identified as risk zones are very  
216 near to the ACA, which likely indicates that snow leopards living in the ACA may come to  
217 these places to prey on livestock. We did not assess the proportion of the habitat used by snow  
218 leopard inside and outside the protected areas, however this study somehow supports the  
219 finding of Deguignet et al., (2014). Their study depicted that small proportion (14-19%) of the  
220 species ranges in protected areas and primarily share the landscape with livestock herders.  
221 These patches were also identified by the interviewed respondents too as the good areas for  
222 livestock grazing, which is further evidenced by the high occurrence of livestock shelters. We  
223 surveyed only 235 km<sup>2</sup>, since the home range of this species is 124-207 km<sup>2</sup> (Johansson et al.,  
224 2016), the total area may have ~~retaine~~~~contained~~ only 2-3 individuals. However, a study indicates  
225 that Manang has 5-7 animals per 100 km<sup>2</sup> (DNPWC, 2017), thus there might be more than 2-  
226 3 individuals in the study area.

227 Anthropogenic variables were identified as the most important factors influencing snow  
228 leopard attacks on livestock, and this finding is concurrent with existing literature. Multiple  
229 studies throughout the snow leopard's native range have recorded livestock depredation (Li et  
230 al., 2013; Mijiddorj et al., 2018; Suryawanshi et al., 2013; Ud Din et al., 2017; Wegge et al.,  
231 2012) and, in Nepal, the spatial distribution of snow leopard activities has been positively  
232 correlated with human activities (Wolf and Ale, 2009). Additionally, carnivore food  
233 requirement and spatial needs often conflict with human interest which is the major challenge  
234 for biodiversity conservation and maintaining the viable population (Treves and Karanth,  
235 2003), this is supported by this study too. Previous research has shown that the presence of  
236 livestock does not negatively affect the occurrence of snow leopards. Alongside, presence of

237 higher livestock in grazing areas may have affected the space used by wild prey which could  
238 have forced the snow leopard to prey on livestock, which is supported by Karimov et al.,  
239 (2018). In fact, snow leopards continue to hunt in the areas close to livestock herding  
240 (Alexander et al., 2016; Rovero et al., 2018). The same finding was supported by the results of  
241 this study. Alongside, Johansson et al., (2015); identified that snow leopard preys on livestock  
242 mainly on stragglers and rugged areas where herders can't pay attention for livestock.

243 Livestock predation by snow leopard is increased with livestock density (Suryawanshi et al.,  
244 2017). Similarly, our research shows that proximity to livestock shelters is the variable most  
245 closely associated with livestock depredation from snow leopards, which emphasizes the  
246 serious nature of human-snow leopard conflict. If snow leopards attack livestock far from  
247 livestock shelters, wildlife managers can restrict livestock from high risk areas and confine  
248 them to areas of relative safety using shelter. However, our findings indicate that the areas near  
249 to livestock shelter are at high risk of attack. Therefore, wildlife managers have to manage in  
250 such a way to allow for the co-existence of livestock and snow leopards in pastureland.

251 Our study also identified a higher likelihood of snow leopard attack far from foot trails and at  
252 a moderate distance from and motor roads. In the study area, there are many foot trails and a  
253 few motor roads. Generally, the foot trails and motor roads have a steady flow of traffic,  
254 resulting in few snow leopard attacks within direct proximity of foot trails and motor roads.

255  
256 In order to achieve the goals of increasing conservation for snow leopards as well as decreasing  
257 livestock depredation and economic loss from snow leopard attacks, the findings from this  
258 research should be applied by herders in high risk zones. Given the high occurrence of attacks  
259 close to livestock shelters in the risk model, it is imperative that livestock herders utilize  
260 leopard-proof sheds and that vigilant care is given, even near the shelters. The data indicatesing

261 that leopards are deterred from attacks in close proximity to humans, as shown by the lack of  
262 fit in the model at close spatial scales to footpaths and motor roads, demonstrate the efficacy  
263 of human presence in deterring snow leopard attacks. Further research is needed to determine  
264 if these results are applicable in areas beyond that studied in this investigation as well as  
265 identifying other factors and tactics that decrease human-snow leopard conflict.

266 It is noteworthy that MaxEnt software only considers the presence of livestock depredation by  
267 snow leopards and is therefore limited ~~in that because~~ the risk model cannot account for the  
268 absence of livestock attacks. Additionally, although we maintained at least 100 m in distance  
269 between livestock attacks to ensure their uniqueness, we did not fully avoid spatial  
270 autocorrelation ~~in a scientific manner~~. The ~~scientific-statistical~~ methods to identify the  
271 minimum distance to deal with spatial autocorrelation may be useful for a more robust model.  
272 We have collected presence locations of attack sites based mainly on information provided by  
273 the herders and villagers, it may be biased and influence the result. Therefore, the probability  
274 of showing attack sites of their proximity may be higher. Furthermore, the snow leopard attack  
275 risk zone is also zone of livestock presence and livestock are vulnerable to snow leopard  
276 depredation in these zones. Finally, while the distribution range of snow leopards is extensive  
277 in the Manang ~~d~~istrict of Nepal, our study area is small and only represented a small portion  
278 of the large and heterogeneous district.

## 279 **Conclusions**

280 This study identified the risk zone of snow leopard attacks in the Manang District of Nepal.  
281 The southwestern part of the study area was found as the most vulnerable to snow leopard  
282 attacks. The distance to livestock shelters, distance to paths, aspect, and distance to roads are  
283 the most important variables in defining the risk of snow leopard attacks in the study area.  
284 Snow leopards prefer to attack livestock near livestock shelters and at moderate distances from

285 roads. These identified risk patches should be managed to conserve both the snow leopard  
286 and to protect the livestock. The herders should be encouraged to protect their livestock through  
287 active caretaking, even in close proximity to livestock sheds, and keeping them in leopard proof  
288 sheds, which will result in less human-snow leopard conflict. Investigations of this nature  
289 should be conducted throughout the snow leopard's range to determine the factors affecting  
290 livestock depredation by snow leopards and to model snow leopard attack risk zones across its  
291 native range.

292

## 293 Acknowledgements

294 The study was conducted under the regular annual program of the Government of Nepal. We  
295 would like to acknowledge the Department of Forests in Nepal for allocating funding to this  
296 program. We would like to thank all of the staff of the District Forest office in Manang for  
297 their support during the entire study, especially during data collection, as well as the  
298 communities that participated in the study. We would like to thank Marcus E Taylor, Rajani  
299 Regmi and Shambhu Paudel for reviewing the English language of the manuscript.

300

## 301 Reference

- 302 Alexander, J.S., Cusack, J.J., Pengju, C., Kun, S., Riordan, P., 2016a. Conservation of snow  
303 leopards: spill-over benefits for other carnivores? *Oryx* 50, 239–243.  
304 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605315001040>
- 305 Alexander, J.S., Gopalaswamy, A.M., Shi, K., Riordan, P., Margalida, A., 2015. Face value:  
306 towards robust estimates of snow leopard densities. *PLoS ONE* 10, e0134815.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0134815>  
 Alexander, J.S., Zhang, C., Shi, K., Riordan, P., 2016b. A spotlight on snow leopard  
 conservation in China. *Integrative Zoology* 11, 308–321. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.12204>  
 Allouche, O., Tsoar, A., Kadmon, R., 2006. Assessing the accuracy of species distribution  
 models : prevalence , kappa and the true skill statistic (TSS). *Journal of Applied Ecology*  
 43, 1223–1232. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2006.01214.x>  
 Andersen, M.C., Watts, J.M., Freilich, J.E., Yool, S.R., Wakefield, G.I., McCauley, J.F.,  
 Fahnestock, P.B., 2000. Regression-tree modelling of desert tortoise habitat in the  
 central Mojave Desert. *Ecological Applications* 10, 890–900.  
[https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761\(2000\)010\[0890:RTMODT\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(2000)010[0890:RTMODT]2.0.CO;2)  
 Aryal, A., Brunton, D., Ji, W., Karmacharya, D., McCarthy, T., Bencini, R., Raubenheimer,  
 D., 2014. Multipronged strategy including genetic analysis for assessing conservation  
 options for the snow leopard in the central Himalaya. *Journal of Mammalogy* 95, 871–  
 881. <https://doi.org/10.1644/13-MAMM-A-243>  
 Aryal, A., Shrestha, U.B., Ji, W., Ale, S.B., Shrestha, S., Ingty, T., Maraseni, T., Cockfield,  
 G., Raubenheimer, D., 2016. Predicting the distributions of predator (snow leopard) and  
 prey (blue sheep) under climate change in the Himalaya. *Ecology and Evolution* 6,  
 4065–4075. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2196>  
 Barbet-Massin, M., Jiguet, F., Albert, C.H., Thuiller, W., 2012. Selecting pseudo-absences  
 for species distribution models: how, where and how many? *Methods in Ecology and*  
*Evolution* 3, 327–338. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00172.x>  
 Bista, M., Panthi, S., Weiskopf, S.R., 2018. Habitat overlap between Asiatic black bear *Ursus*  
*thibetanus* and red panda *Ailurus fulgens* in Himalaya. *Plos One* 13, e0203697.  
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203697>

332 Bocci, A., Lovari, S., Khan, M.Z., Mori, E., 2017. Sympatric snow leopards and Tibetan  
 333 wolves: coexistence of large carnivores with human-driven potential competition.  
 334 European Journal of Wildlife Research 63, 92. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-017-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-017-1151-0)  
 335 1151-0  
 336 Chetri, M., Odden, M., Wegge, P., 2017. Snow leopard and himalayan wolf: food habits and  
 337 prey selection in the central Himalayas, Nepal. PLoS ONE 12, e0170549.  
 338 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0170549>  
 339 DNPWC, 2017. Snow leopard conservation action plan for Nepal (2017-2021), Department  
 340 of National Parks and Wildlife Conservation.  
 341 Deguignet, M., Juffe-Bignoli, D., Harrison, J., MacSharry, B., Burgess, N., Kingston, N.,  
 342 2014. 2014 United Nations List of Protected Areas. UNEP-WCMC, Cambridge.  
 343 Dormann, C.F., Elith, J., Bacher, S., Buchmann, C., Carl, G., Carré, G., 2013. Collinearity: A  
 344 review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their performance.  
 345 Ecography 36, 027–046.  
 346 Elith, J., H. Graham, C., P. Anderson, R., Dudík, M., Ferrier, S., Guisan, A., J. Hijmans, R.,  
 347 Huettmann, F., R. Leathwick, J., Lehmann, A., Li, J., G. Lohmann, L., A. Loiselle, B.,  
 348 Manion, G., Moritz, C., Nakamura, M., Nakazawa, Y., McC. M. Overton, J., Townsend  
 349 Peterson, A., J. Phillips, S., Richardson, K., Scachetti-Pereira, R., E. Schapire, R.,  
 350 Soberón, J., Williams, S., S. Wisz, M., E. Zimmermann, N., 2006. Novel methods  
 351 improve prediction of species' distributions from occurrence data. Ecography 29, 129–  
 352 151. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2006.0906-7590.04596.x>  
 353 Ghoshal, A., Bhatnagar, Y.V., Pandav, B., Sharma, K., Mishra, C., Raghunath, R.,  
 354 Suryawanshi, K.R., 2017. Assessing changes in distribution of the endangered snow  
 355 leopard *Panthera uncia* and its wild prey over 2 decades in the Indian Himalaya through  
 356 interview-based occupancy surveys. Oryx 1–13.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605317001107>  
 Hansen, M.C.C., Potapov, P. V, Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S.A. a, Tyukavina, A.,  
 Thau, D., Stehman, S.V. V, Goetz, S.J.J., Loveland, T.R.R., Kommareddy, A., Egorov,  
 A., Chini, L., Justice, C.O.O., Townshend, J.R.G.R.G., Patapov, P.V., Moore, R.,  
 Hancher, M., Turubanova, S.A. a, Tyukavina, A., Thau, D., Stehman, S.V. V, Goetz,  
 S.J.J., Loveland, T.R.R., Kommareddy, A., Egorov, A., Chini, L., Justice, C.O.O.,  
 Townshend, J.R.G.R.G., 2013. High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover  
 change. *Science* 342, 850–853. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1244693>  
 Johansson, O., Rauset, G.R., Samelius, G., McCarthy, T., Andren, H., Tumursukh, L.,  
 Mishra, C., 2016. Land sharing is essential for snow leopard conservation. *Biological*  
*Conservation* 203, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.08.034>  
 Jönsson, P., Eklundh, L., 2004. TIMESAT - A program for analyzing time-series of satellite  
 sensor data. *Computers and Geosciences* 30, 833–845.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2004.05.006>  
 Karomov, K., Kachel, S.M., and Hackländer, K. 2018. Responses of snow leopards, wolves  
 and wild ungulates to livestock grazing in the Zorkul Strictly Protected Area, Tajikistan.  
*Plos One*, 13 (11), doi <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208329>  
 Khanal, G., Poudyal, L.P., Devkota, B.P., Ranabhat, R., Wegge, P., 2018. Status and  
 conservation of the snow leopard *Panthera uncia* in Api Nampa Conservation Area,  
 Nepal. *Oryx* 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605318000145>  
 Li, J., Lu, Z., 2014. Snow leopard poaching and trade in China 2000-2013. *Biological*  
*Conservation* 176, 207–211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.05.025>  
 Li, J., McCarthy, T.M., Wang, H., Weckworth, B. V., Schaller, G.B., Mishra, C., Lu, Z.,  
 Beissinger, S.R., 2016. Climate refugia of snow leopards in High Asia. *Biological*  
*Conservation* 203, 188–196. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.09.026>

382 Li, J., Yin, H., Wang, D., Jiagong, Z., Lu, Z., 2013. Human-snow leopard conflicts in the  
 383 Sanjiangyuan region of the Tibetan Plateau. *Biological Conservation* 166, 118–123.  
 384 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2013.06.024>  
 385 Liu, C., White, M., Newell, G., 2013. Selecting thresholds for the prediction of species  
 386 occurrence with presence-only data. *Journal of Biogeography* 40, 778–789.  
 387 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12058>  
 388 Maheshwari, A., Niraj, S.K., 2018. Monitoring illegal trade in snow leopards: 2003–2014.  
 389 *Global Ecology and Conservation* 14, e00387.  
 390 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2018.e00387>  
 391 McCarthy, T., Mallon, D., Jackson, R., Zahler, P., McCarthy, K.P., 2017. *Panthera uncia*. The  
 392 IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. [https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-](https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-2.RLTS.T22732A50664030.en)  
 393 [2.RLTS.T22732A50664030.en](https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2017-2.RLTS.T22732A50664030.en)  
 394 Mijiddorj, T.N., Alexander, J.S., Samelius, G., 2018. Livestock depredation by large  
 395 carnivores in the South Gobi, Mongolia. *Wildlife Research* 45, 237–246.  
 396 <https://doi.org/10.1071/WR18009>  
 397 Panthi, S., 2018. Predicting current and future habitat suitability for red pandas in Nepal.  
 398 MSc thesis. University of Twente, faculty of geoinformation and earth observation,  
 399 Enschede, Netherlands.  
 400 Pearce, J., Ferrier, S., 2000. Evaluating the predictive performance of habitat models  
 401 developed using logistic regression. *Ecological Modelling* 133, 225–245.  
 402 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800\(00\)00322-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3800(00)00322-7)  
 403 Phillips, S.J., 2017. A brief tutorial on Maxent. <https://doi.org/10.4016/33172.01>  
 404 Phillips, S.J., Anderson, R.P., Dudík, M., Schapire, R.E., Blair, M.E., 2017. Opening the  
 405 black box: an open-source release of Maxent. *Ecography* 40, 1–7.  
 406 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.03049>

407 Phillips, S.J., Anderson, R.P., Schapire, R.E., 2006. Maximum entropy modelling of species  
 408 geographic distributions. *Ecological Modelling* 190, 231–259.  
 409 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.03.026>  
 410 Schutgens, M.G., Hanson, J.H., Baral, N., Ale, S.B., 2018. Visitors’ willingness to pay for  
 411 snow leopard *Panthera uncia* conservation in the Annapurna conservation Area, Nepal.  
 412 *Oryx* 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605317001636>  
 413 Sharma, R.K., Bhatnagar, Y.V., Mishra, C., 2015. Does livestock benefit snow leopards.  
 414 *Biological Conservation* 190, 8–13.  
 415 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.04.026>  
 416 Suryawanshi, K.R., Bhatnagar, Y.V., Redpath, S., Mishra, C., 2013. People, predators and  
 417 perceptions: patterns of livestock depredation by snow leopards and wolves. *Journal of*  
 418 *Applied Ecology* 50, 550–560. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12061>  
 419 Suryawanshi, K.R., Redpath, S.M., Bhatnagar, Y.V., Ramakrishnan, U., Chaturvedi, V.,  
 420 Smout, S.C., Mishra, C., 2017. Impact of wild prey availability on livestock predation  
 421 by snow leopards. *Royal Society Open Science* 4. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.170026>  
 422 Treves, A and Karanth K.U., 2003. Human-Carnivore Conflict and Perspectives on Carnivore  
 423 Management Worldwide. *Conservation Biology*, 17 (6), 1491-1499, doi  
 424 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2003.00059.x>  
 425 Ud Din, J., Ali, H., Ali, A., Younus, M., Mehmood, T., Norma-Rashid, Y., Ali Nawaz, M.,  
 426 2017. Pastoralist-predator interaction at the roof of the world: Conflict dynamics and  
 427 implications for conservation. *Ecology and Society* 22, 32. [https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-](https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-09348-220232)  
 428 [09348-220232](https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-09348-220232)  
 429 Uddin, K., Shrestha, H.L., Murthy, M.S.R., Bajracharya, B., Shrestha, B., Gilani, H.,  
 430 Pradhan, S., Dangol, B., 2015. Development of 2010 national land cover database for  
 431 the Nepal. *Journal of Environmental Management* 148, 82–90.

432        <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.07.047>

433    Wegge, P., Shrestha, R., Flagstad, Ø., 2012. Snow leopard *Panthera uncia* predation on

434        livestock and wild prey in a mountain valley in northern Nepal: implications for

435        conservation management. *Wildlife Biology* 18, 131–141. [https://doi.org/10.2981/11-](https://doi.org/10.2981/11-049)

436        049

437    Weiskopf, S.R., Kachel, S.M., McCarthy, K.P., 2016. What are snow leopards really eating?

438        Identifying bias in food-habit studies. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*.

439        <https://doi.org/10.1002/wsb.640>

440    Wolf, M., Ale, S., 2009. Signs at the top: habitat features influencing snow leopard *Uncia*

441        *uncia* activity in Sagarmatha national park, Nepal. *Journal of Mammalogy* 90, 604–611.

442        <https://doi.org/10.1644/08-MAMM-A-002R1.1>

443