

replication_notebook_white_2020

August 18, 2020

1 Review and Replication Report for White et al (2020) submitted to PeerJ

[3]:	DOI	\			
0	10.1001/jama.2017.7219				
1	10.1001/jamacardio.2017.0175				
2	10.1001/jamacardio.2017.2941				
3	10.1001/jamapediatrics.2017.1579				
4	10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.4234				
...	...				
12925	10.19153/cleiej.20.1.2				
12926	10.1037/xge0000268				
12927	10.1177/0961463X17701955				
12928	10.1080/13676261.2017.1316363				
12929	10.5194/tc-11-949-2017				
	Evidence	Licence	OA	Status	\
0	open (via free pdf)	NaN		bronze	
1	oa repository (via OAI-PMH doi match)	NaN		green	
2		NaN		closed	
3	open (via free pdf)	NaN		bronze	
4	open (via free pdf)	NaN		bronze	
...		
12925	oa journal (via doaj)	cc-by		diamond	
12926	oa repository (via pmcid lookup)	NaN		green	
12927		NaN		closed	
12928		NaN		closed	
12929	open (via page says license)	cc-by		gold	
	Title	\			
0	Effect of Robotic-Assisted vs Conventional Lap...				
1	Effect of Monthly High-Dose Vitamin D Suppleme...				
2	Vitamin D Supplementation and Cardiovascular D...				
3	Association of Neonatal Glycemia With Neurodev...				
4	Paternal Depression Symptoms During Pregnancy ...				
...	...				
12925	Comparison of Two Forced Alignment Systems for...				

12926 Once a frog-lover, always a frog-lover?: Infan...
 12927 The tyranny of clock time? Debating fatigue in...
 12928 Youth studies, citizenship and transitions: to...
 12929 How accurate are estimates of glacier ice thic...

	Authors	Author count	\
0	Jayne, David; Pigazzi, Alessio; Marshall, Hele...	16.0	
1	Scragg, Robert; Stewart, Alistair W.; Waayer, ...	9.0	
2	Scragg, Robert; Camargo, Carlos A.	2.0	
3	Mckinlay, Christopher J. D.; Alsweller, Jane M...	15.0	
4	Underwood, Lisa; Waldie, Karen E.; Peterson, E...	7.0	
...	
12925	Flores Sol\\U00F3Rzano, Sof\\U00Eda; Coto-Sola...	2.0	
12926	Martin, Alia; Shelton, Catharyn C.; Sommervill...	3.0	
12927	Snyder, Benjamin H	1.0	
12928	Wood, Bronwyn Elisabeth	1.0	
12929	Farinotti, Daniel; Brinkerhoff, Douglas J.; Cl...	37.0	

	Author count>20	Journal	Year	...	\
0	No	JAMA	2017	...	
1	No	JAMA Cardiology	2017	...	
2	No	JAMA Cardiology	2017	...	
3	No	JAMA Pediatrics	2017	...	
4	No	JAMA Psychiatry	2017	...	
...	
12925	No	CLEI electronic journal	2017	...	
12926	No	Journal of Experimental Psychology: General	2017	...	
12927	No	Time & Society	2019	...	
12928	No	Journal of Youth Studies	2017	...	
12929	Yes	The Cryosphere	2017	...	

	APC charged in DOAJ	DOAJ Currency	DOAJ APC	Flourish APC (USD)	\
0	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
1	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
2	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
3	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
4	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
...	
12925	No	NaN	NaN	0.0	
12926	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
12927	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
12928	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
12929	Yes	EUR	1000.0	0.0	

	Publisher Currency	Publisher	APC USD	APC	\
0	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
1	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	

2	NaN	NaN	NaN
3	NaN	NaN	NaN
4	NaN	NaN	NaN
...
12925	NaN	NaN	0.0
12926	NaN	NaN	NaN
12927	USD	3000.0	3000.0
12928	USD	2950.0	2950.0
12929	NaN	NaN	1132.0

	Funders \
0	Chief Scientist Office in Scotland; National I...
1	Health Research Council of New Zealand [10/400...
2	Health Research Council of New Zealand; Accide...
3	Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of C...
4	New Zealand Ministries of Social Development H...
...	...
12925	NaN
12926	NICHHD
12927	National Science Foundation Doctoral Dissertat...
12928	New Zealand Ministry of Education Teaching and...
12929	Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF); Nati...

	Subjects	NZ Reprint	author
0	General & Internal Medicine		No
1	Cardiovascular System & Cardiology		Yes
2	Cardiovascular System & Cardiology		Yes
3	Pediatrics		Yes
4	Psychiatry		Yes
...
12925	NaN		No
12926	Psychology		Yes
12927	Social Sciences - Other Topics		Yes
12928	Social Sciences - Other Topics		Yes
12929	Physical Geography; Geology		No

[12930 rows x 37 columns]

1.1 Some basic analysis and confirmation of the results from the spreadsheet

There appear to be 12,930 rows vs 12,016 articles mentioned. It's not clear to me how you would get to 12,016 from the data here. The DOIs appear to be unique.

[4]: 12226

Confirming the approximate levels of OA. Paper says 59% closed which is close to what I get here and the differences almost certainly lie in issue with the count of articles. Broadly speaking this confirms the approximate percentages given in the article and Table 5

```
[5]:
```

	counts	percent
OA Status		
bronze	1102	9.013578
closed	7253	59.324391
diamond	265	2.167512
gold	1704	13.937510
green	1269	10.379519
hybrid	633	5.177491

```
[6]: 12226
```

Confirm the figures as a percentage of the open articles

```
[7]:
```

	counts	percent	percent_of_open
OA Status			
bronze	1102	9.013578	22.159662
closed	7253	59.324391	145.847577
diamond	265	2.167512	5.328775
gold	1704	13.937510	34.265031
green	1269	10.379519	25.517796
hybrid	633	5.177491	12.728735

Repeat the analysis for those cases where there is an NZ corresponding author

```
[8]:
```

	counts	percent	percent_of_open
OA Status			
bronze	421	7.855943	23.337029
closed	3555	66.337003	197.062084
diamond	95	1.772719	5.266075
gold	696	12.987498	38.580931
green	438	8.173167	24.279379
hybrid	154	2.873670	8.536585

Average citations by OA type I seem to have a quite significant disagreement with the article. Am I missing something about the way this is calculated? Presumably 'average' is the mean?

```
[9]:
```

	counts	av_cites
OA Status		
bronze	1102	5.183303
closed	7253	4.443816
diamond	265	1.788679
gold	1704	5.142019
green	1269	6.921986
hybrid	633	7.917852

Repeat for NZ reprint Authors

```
[10]:          counts  av_cites
OA Status
bronze         421  4.976247
closed        3555  3.650070
diamond         95  1.452632
gold           696  4.744253
green          438  5.082192
hybrid         154  6.785714
```

1.2 Calculating APCs

The paper only reports on APCs for those articles with a NZ author. It might be interesting to look at various implementations of the CAUL approach for APC calculation to see how much difference that makes.

There are minor variations here that presumably relate to the same slight issues with numbers as above.

```
[11]:          counts  av_cites  known_apcs  total_apcs      av_apcs
OA Status
gold           696  4.744253          696  1171529.0  1683.231322
hybrid         154  6.785714          110   281378.0  2557.981818
```

1.3 Embargo Periods

```
[12]:          counts      percent
Archive accepted manuscript
12 months embargo          2116  60.113636
18 months embargo          318   9.034091
2 years embargo            171   4.857955
24 months embargo          41   1.164773
3 months embargo           3   0.085227
36 months embargo          1   0.028409
6 months embargo           73   2.073864
No information             143   4.062500
Permission must be obtained from the publisher 1   0.028409
Upon funder agreement with publisher          2   0.056818
can                        580  16.477273
cannot                     43   1.221591
unclear                     5   0.142045
unknown                    23   0.653409
```

1.4 Looking at Funders

First split out the table and determine the funder names and then create some new True/False columns for each funder.

It is not clear how the funders in Table 8 were filtered out. It seems like they might have focussed

only on precise string matching rather than looking for potential duplicates? There are some differences between what appear to be duplicated funders under different names and this should be investigated more fully. There are also some funders missing from Table 8 (or is the Marsden fund collected up under the Royal Society of New Zealand?).

There seems to be a trend for medical funders to have higher levels of OA than for others? It might be of value to examine this across the broader dataset as well. It might be interesting to look at a comparison between the more international articles that are excluded in general from this analysis to compare OA levels.

```
[15]:
```

	funder	count
4139	University of Otago	415
1335	Health Research Council	376
1912	Marsden Fund	304
1360	Health Research Council of New Zealand	292
4009	University of Auckland	291
3384	Royal Society of New Zealand	276
2171	Ministry of Business Innovation and Employment	231
4302	Victoria University	134
4306	Victoria University of Wellington	121
2019	Massey University	106
2879	New Zealand Ministry of Business Innovation an...	89
1829	MBIE	79
3500	Rutherford Discovery Fellowship	68
3398	Royal Society of New Zealand Marsden	67
2852	New Zealand Marsden Fund	67
1306	HRC	67
4093	University of Canterbury	58
203	Auckland Medical Research Foundation	58
3401	Royal Society of New Zealand Marsden Fund	57
2258	Ministry of Health	53
1491	Heart Foundation	51
3133	Otago Research Grant	48
1861	MacDiarmid Institute	47
4195	University of Otago Research Grant	46
1799	Lottery Health	45
2101	Medical Research Council	45
227	Auckland University	44
281	Australian Research Council	43
2787	New Zealand Government	43
4252	University of Waikato	43

```
/Users/266883j/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/ipykernel_launcher.py:2:
SettingWithCopyWarning:
A value is trying to be set on a copy of a slice from a DataFrame.
Try using .loc[row_indexer,col_indexer] = value instead
```

See the caveats in the documentation: <https://pandas.pydata.org/pandas->

[18]:

	funder	bronze	closed	\
0	0	0.0	0.0	
1	University of Otago	42.0	231.0	
2	Health Research Council	47.0	173.0	
3	Marsden Fund	31.0	169.0	
4	Health Research Council of New Zealand	38.0	136.0	
5	University of Auckland	29.0	190.0	
6	Royal Society of New Zealand	26.0	154.0	
7	Ministry of Business Innovation and Employment	21.0	155.0	
8	Victoria University	10.0	88.0	
9	Victoria University of Wellington	10.0	78.0	
10	Massey University	5.0	65.0	
11	New Zealand Ministry of Business Innovation an...	8.0	58.0	
12	MBIE	6.0	50.0	
13	Rutherford Discovery Fellowship	8.0	35.0	
14	Royal Society of New Zealand Marsden	8.0	35.0	
15	New Zealand Marsden Fund	8.0	35.0	
16	HRC	4.0	30.0	
17	University of Canterbury	6.0	34.0	
18	Auckland Medical Research Foundation	11.0	28.0	
19	Royal Society of New Zealand Marsden Fund	8.0	28.0	
20	Ministry of Health	6.0	25.0	
21	Heart Foundation	10.0	26.0	
22	Otago Research Grant	6.0	25.0	
23	MacDiarmid Institute	9.0	27.0	
24	University of Otago Research Grant	4.0	25.0	
25	Lottery Health	6.0	23.0	
26	Medical Research Council	6.0	15.0	
27	Auckland University	1.0	26.0	
28	Australian Research Council	2.0	22.0	
29	New Zealand Government	3.0	34.0	
30	University of Waikato	4.0	23.0	

	diamond	gold	green	hybrid	total	pc_closed	pc_open
0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	NaN	NaN
1	8.0	89.0	25.0	20.0	415.0	55.662651	44.337349
2	3.0	113.0	30.0	10.0	376.0	46.010638	53.989362
3	4.0	41.0	47.0	12.0	304.0	55.592105	44.407895
4	2.0	85.0	23.0	8.0	292.0	46.575342	53.424658
5	2.0	47.0	13.0	10.0	291.0	65.292096	34.707904
6	2.0	50.0	31.0	13.0	276.0	55.797101	44.202899
7	2.0	34.0	12.0	7.0	231.0	67.099567	32.900433
8	2.0	14.0	14.0	6.0	134.0	65.671642	34.328358
9	2.0	12.0	13.0	6.0	121.0	64.462810	35.537190

10	1.0	27.0	4.0	4.0	106.0	61.320755	38.679245
11	1.0	12.0	7.0	3.0	89.0	65.168539	34.831461
12	0.0	16.0	6.0	1.0	79.0	63.291139	36.708861
13	0.0	9.0	8.0	8.0	68.0	51.470588	48.529412
14	1.0	11.0	6.0	6.0	67.0	52.238806	47.761194
15	1.0	9.0	8.0	6.0	67.0	52.238806	47.761194
16	1.0	25.0	5.0	2.0	67.0	44.776119	55.223881
17	1.0	10.0	6.0	1.0	58.0	58.620690	41.379310
18	1.0	14.0	3.0	1.0	58.0	48.275862	51.724138
19	1.0	9.0	6.0	5.0	57.0	49.122807	50.877193
20	1.0	15.0	3.0	3.0	53.0	47.169811	52.830189
21	0.0	6.0	6.0	3.0	51.0	50.980392	49.019608
22	1.0	11.0	5.0	0.0	48.0	52.083333	47.916667
23	0.0	7.0	3.0	1.0	47.0	57.446809	42.553191
24	1.0	11.0	5.0	0.0	46.0	54.347826	45.652174
25	0.0	10.0	4.0	2.0	45.0	51.111111	48.888889
26	1.0	12.0	8.0	3.0	45.0	33.333333	66.666667
27	0.0	8.0	7.0	2.0	44.0	59.090909	40.909091
28	0.0	9.0	8.0	2.0	43.0	51.162791	48.837209
29	0.0	4.0	2.0	0.0	43.0	79.069767	20.930233
30	0.0	7.0	8.0	1.0	43.0	53.488372	46.511628

1.5 Quick validation against COKI dataset

For a further validation I pull data from an internal dataset for a quick comparison of OA and classes of OA for 2017 publications from the relevant universities. Query based on work by Rebecca Handcock.

Downloading: 100%| | 9292/9292 [00:01<00:00, 5308.57rows/s]

```
[21]:
      doi year is_oa gold_doaj green \
0      10.1186/s40638-017-0061-7 2017 True False True
1      10.1007/s00234-017-1816-0 2017 False False False
2      10.1016/j.ecoser.2016.10.013 2017 False False False
3      10.1016/j.joi.2017.10.004 2017 True False True
4      10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2017.01.009 2017 False False False
...
9287    10.1109/m2vip.2017.8211452 2017 False False False
9288    10.1016/j.xphs.2016.10.017 2017 False False False
9289    10.1109/peds.2017.8289250 2017 False False False
9290    10.2196/resprot.8522 2017 True True True
9291    10.1016/j.jempfin.2017.04.001 2017 True False True

      green_only hybrid bronze citations
0      False True False 4
1      False False False 19
2      False False False 5
3      True False False 7
```


4	False	False	False	1
...
9287	False	False	False	0
9288	False	False	False	8
9289	False	False	False	0
9290	False	False	False	0
9291	True	False	False	11

[9292 rows x 9 columns]

We get fewer overall articles which is not surprising as the local data collection should be better overall. Basic check on levels of different categories and citation counts. Note that for this analysis the terms 'gold_doaj' corresponds to the use of gold in the paper, and 'green_only' corresponds to the use of green in the paper.

```
is_oa 3522 37.90357296599225 7.424190800681431
gold_doaj 1178 12.67757210503659 7.077249575551782
hybrid 485 5.2195436934997845 10.245360824742267
bronze 815 8.770985794231597 6.411042944785276
green 2772 29.832113646147224 8.293650793650794
green_only 1044 11.235471373224279 7.295977011494253
closed 5770 62.09642703400775 4.151299826689774
```