

# Do nurses and patient relatives have different perceptions about the critical care family needs? A cross-sectional study in a university hospital Turkey

Sibel Büyükçoban<sup>Corresp., 1</sup>, Zehra Mermi Bal<sup>2</sup>, Özlem Öner<sup>1</sup>, Necmiye Kılıçaslan<sup>1</sup>, Ali Necati Gökmen<sup>1</sup>, Meltem Çiçeklioğlu<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Dokuz Eylül University, Izmir, Turkey, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Intensive Care Unit, Düzce state hospital, Düzce, Turkey, Turkey

<sup>3</sup> Public Health, Ege University, Izmir, Turkey, Turkey

Corresponding Author: Sibel Büyükçoban

Email address: sibelbuyukcoban@yahoo.com

**Purpose:** This study aims to compare the perceptions of nurses and families on the needs of the relatives of the patients in Intensive Care Unit (ICU).

**Methods:** This cross-sectional study was conducted in the ICU of a university hospital. The study comprised 213 critical care patients' relatives and 54 nurses working in the same ICU. Data were collected using the Critical Care Family Needs Inventory (CCFNI) and a questionnaire on the characteristics of the participants. The difference between the perceptions of families and nurses was analyzed using Student t-test.

**Results:** CCFNI's assurance/proximity subscale mean scores ranked first among both patients and nurses. The item "To be assured the best care possible is being given to the patient" was the top priority for both groups. Mean assurance/proximity and information dimensions of relatives were significantly higher compared to nurses ( $p < 0.001$ ). No significant difference was found between the perception of patient relatives and nurses related to support and comfort dimensions ( $p > 0.05$ ). **Conclusion:** Patients' relatives needs are underestimated by nurses. This inhibited the performance of ICU nurses in line with the holistic care approach. Educational objectives that include the needs of ICU patients' relatives should be incorporated into the undergraduate and in-service training of nurses. Policies should be established to create space and time for effective relative-nurse communication.

1 **Title Page**

2 **The title**

3 Do Nurses and Patient Relatives Have Different Perceptions About the Critical Care Family  
4 Needs? A cross-sectional study in a University Hospital Turkey

5 **Authors**

6 Sibel Büyükçoban, MD. Anesthesiologist, Dokuz Eylül University Faculty of Medicine

7 Department of Anesthesiology, Izmir, Turkey. ORCID: 0000-0002-5756-980X

8 [sibelbuyukcoban@yahoo.com](mailto:sibelbuyukcoban@yahoo.com)

9 Zehra Mermi Bal, MD. Anesthesiologist, Düzce Atatürk State Hospital Intensive Care Unit,

10 Düzce, Turkey

11 ORCID:0000-0003-3691-7067

12 [zehramermi@gmail.com](mailto:zehramermi@gmail.com)

13 Özlem Öner, MD. Anesthesiologist, Dokuz Eylül University Faculty of Medicine Department of

14 Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Subdivision of Critical Care Medicine, Izmir, Turkey

15 ORCID:0000-0002-986-4118

16 [namdaroner@gmail.com](mailto:namdaroner@gmail.com)

17 Necmiye Kılıçarslan, Nurse, Dokuz Eylül University Faculty of Medicine Department of

18 Anesthesiology and Reanimation ORCID:0000 0001 9024 492X

19 [necmiye.kilicaslan@deu.edu.tr](mailto:necmiye.kilicaslan@deu.edu.tr)

20

21 Ali Necati Gökmen, MD. Professor, Dokuz Eylül University Faculty of Medicine, Department of  
22 Anesthesiology and Reanimation Subdivision of Critical Care Medicine, Izmir, Turkey

23 ORCID:0000-0002-3225-7666

24 necatigokmen@yahoo.com

25 Meltem Çiçeklioğlu MD. Professor, Ege University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Public  
26 Health, İzmir, Turkey ORCID:0000-0002-7059-7573

27 meltem.cicekli@gmail.com

28

## 29 **Corresponding author**

30 Sibel Büyükçoban, MD. Anesthesiologist, Dokuz Eylül University Faculty of Medicine

31 Department of Anesthesiology Izmir Turkey

32 E-mail: sibelbuyukcoban@yahoo.com

33 Phone number: +905056443197

34 Fax number:

35 ORCID: 0000-0002-5756-980X

36 Address requests for reprints: Dokuz Eylül University Araştırma ve Uygulama Hastanesi

37 İnciraltı Mahallesi Mithatpaşa Cd. No:1606 Postal code: 35330 Balçova / Izmir Turkey

## 38 **Funds**

39 No institutional departmental funds were received in the conduct of the study.

## 40 **Conflict of interest**

41 Authors have not disclosed any potential conflicts of interest

42 **Acknowledgement**

43 We are grateful for the support of the following staff: Uğur Koca, Elvan Öçmen, Hale Aksu

44 Erdost.

45 .

46 **Do Nurses and Patient Relatives Have Different Perceptions About the Critical Care**  
47 **Family Needs? A cross-sectional study in a University Hospital Turkey**

48 **Abstract**

49 **Purpose:** This study aims to compare the perceptions of nurses and families on the needs of the  
50 relatives of the patients in Intensive Care Unit (ICU).

51 **Methods:** This cross-sectional study was conducted in the ICU of a university hospital. The  
52 study comprised 213 critical care patients' relatives and 54 nurses working in the same ICU.  
53 Data were collected using the Critical Care Family Needs Inventory (CCFNI) and a  
54 questionnaire on the characteristics of the participants. The difference between the perceptions of  
55 families and nurses was analyzed using Student t-test.

56 **Results:** CCFNI's assurance/proximity subscale mean scores ranked first among both  
57 patients and nurses. The item "To be assured the best care possible is being given to the  
58 patient" was the top priority for both groups. Mean assurance/proximity and information  
59 dimensions of relatives were significantly higher compared to nurses ( $p < 0.001$ ). No  
60 significant difference was found between the perception of patient relatives and nurses  
61 related to support and comfort dimensions ( $p > 0.05$ ).

62 **Conclusion:** Patient' relatives needs are underestimated by nurses. This inhibited the  
63 performance of ICU nurses in line with the holistic care approach. **Educational objectives that**  
64 **include the needs of ICU patients' relatives should be incorporated into the undergraduate**  
65 **and in-service training of nurses. Policies should be established to create space and time for**  
66 **effective relative-nurse communication.**

67 **Key Words:** Intensive care, Critical Care Family Needs Inventory, Nurse, Family needs, Critical  
68 care

**69 Introduction**

70           The stress level of the relatives of the patients who are admitted to Intensive Care Unit  
71 (ICU) is quite high due to serious and unstable conditions of their patients (1,2). Moreover, as  
72 these patients are mostly unable to communicate due to sedation, mechanical ventilation,  
73 confusion, and coma, their family members are asked to make treatment decisions on the  
74 patient's behalf (3). Procedures such as tracheotomy, operation consent, and transfer to the  
75 service can become very serious sources of conflict with the health care professionals at the point  
76 where the patient relatives have all decision-making rights. ICU nurses are in close contact with  
77 patients and their families, so they can support family members to overcome this process. (2).  
78 However, ICUs, where confusion and uncertainty prevail, are quite dynamic environments for  
79 healthcare professionals. This necessitates taking, fast and correct decisions. Because of these  
80 conditions' healthcare professionals may neglects the needs of the patients' families (4). The  
81 negligence contradicts with the holistic care approach, which is one of the professional features  
82 of the profession. Family participation, which is at the center of the holistic care approach, is an  
83 important component of the patient's treatment process (5,3). Considering the fact that patient-  
84 centered care is directed towards family-based healthcare, it is important to evaluate the needs of  
85 patient families, especially in the ICU (1). Family members may experience extreme stress and  
86 anxiety, feel helpless and unable to cope with this situation (3). Fear of death of their loved one,  
87 uncertainty about prognosis, financial concerns, changes in family roles, limited access to the  
88 critical care environment trigger feelings of shock, anger, denial, and despair within 72 hours  
89 after admission to the ICU. They may even lead to feelings of guilt and depression in some cases  
90 (6,7).

91 Correct assessment of their needs is one of the first steps in providing appropriate health  
92 care to ICU patients and their families. *Molter* and *Leske* (1986) first described needs of the  
93 families of patients in critical care units under five dimensions; 1) support, 2) comfort, 3)  
94 information, 4) proximity, and 5) assurance (8,9). Nurses provide or coordinate requirements  
95 such as fulfilling the family's need for in these five dimensions through bedside family/patient  
96 interactions.

97 Problems in understanding these family needs may make it difficult to cope with the  
98 crisis, which may eventually affect the patient's response to treatment. (10).

99 Examining family members 'and nurses' perceptions of the needs of inpatient families in  
100 the ICU can provide an overview of the improvement of practices in this unit. Despite increasing  
101 evidence obtained from studies conducted in this area, the number of studies conducted in the  
102 Turkish society in the literature is very low. (11,12).

103 The socio-cultural and geographic contexts responsible for the diversity of family needs  
104 of ICU patients can be very important factors, so evidence from different cultures of the world is  
105 important. (13).

106 The objective of this study is to compare the perceptions of nurses and families on the  
107 needs of the relatives of the patients in a university hospital Intensive Care Unit (ICU).

108

## 109 **Methods**

### 110 *Setting and Samples*

111           The present cross-sectional study was carried out at the Anesthesia Intensive Care Unit of  
112 *Dokuz Eylül* University Medical Faculty Hospital, one of the two major university hospitals in  
113 Izmir. The unit has a capacity of 13 beds, average staffing is two patients per nurse and annual  
114 patient capacity ranges between 450 and 500. The ICU, which provides tertiary-level intensive  
115 care, offers services to postoperative cases as well as patients who require mechanical ventilation  
116 for reasons such as polytrauma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, sepsis, and head trauma.  
117 Three days a week, medical information is given to the patient's relatives by a critical care  
118 physician. Afterwards, the attending nurse gives a bedside briefing on the day of the visit about  
119 the necessary materials and nursing care, and the questions of the patient's relatives are  
120 answered.

121           In this study, the average number of patients per year was accepted as a population size of  
122 500, as only one family member was interviewed for each patient. The sample size representing  
123 the population was calculated as 278 using a 95% confidence interval, a 5% margin of error and  
124 unknown prevalence. A total of 213 family members of patients enrolled in the study, coverage  
125 rate was 76.6%. The study targeted all 57 nurses working in the same critical care unit, and  
126 94.7% (n:54) coverage was achieved.

127           Inclusion criteria for patient families included having a patient over 18 years of age in the  
128 ICU for at least 24 hours, being connected with the patient through blood or marriage, and being  
129 able to read and write. The inclusion criterion for nurses, on the other hand, was to be working in  
130 the Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation Intensive Care Unit for at least six months.

131

### 132 ***Data Collection Tools***

133           The research data were collected using a questionnaire form, where the characteristics of  
134 nurses and patients were questioned separately, and the Critical Care Family Need Inventory  
135 (CCFNI). The questionnaires given to patient families included items questioning the age,  
136 gender, diagnosis of the patient as well as the age, gender, and relationship with patient. The  
137 questionnaires applied to nurses included the age, the duration of work in the ICU, and the  
138 experience of being a relative of a patient previously admitted to a critical care unit.

139           The Critical Care Family Need Inventory adapted to Turkish by *Büyükçoban et. al.* was  
140 used in this study. The questionnaire developed by *Leske* comprised forty-five (45) items that  
141 formed five major family “need” dimensions, namely, support (15 items), information (8 items),  
142 proximity or closeness (9 items), assurance (7 items) and comfort (6 items). Participants were  
143 asked to indicate the level of importance of each item measured on a 4-point Likert scale as  
144 follows; 1) Not important; 2) Slightly important; 3) Important; 4) Very important. *Leske* reported  
145 that the Cronbach alpha internal consistency coefficient calculated for the reliability study ranged  
146 between 0.61 and 0.88 for subscales and was 0.92 for the whole inventory (9). Unlike the  
147 original CCFNI, the revised Turkish version of the Inventory consists of fewer items (40) and  
148 three dimensions rather than five. Dimensions of the Turkish adaptation are described as 'support  
149 and comfort' (20 items), 'proximity and assurance' (11 items) and 'information' (9 items). The  
150 Cronbach alpha coefficient calculated for the internal consistency of the Turkish inventory is  
151 0.93 for the whole inventory and between 0.83 and 0.92 for the sub scale (14).

152

### 153 ***Procedure***

154           Ethical approval was granted by the Dokuz Eylül University Non-Clinical Studies Ethics  
155 Committee, and a research permit was obtained from the Head of the Intensive Care Unit of the

156 Dokuz Eylül University Faculty of Medicine Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation  
157 (IRB number: 2666-GOA. 2016/12-10, 05.05.2016) Participants were verbally informed by an  
158 ICU physician about the objectives of the study and their written consent was obtained making  
159 clear that their participation would be on a voluntary basis. Confidentiality was preserved through  
160 anonymity of participants by refraining from questioning their names. Questionnaires were  
161 distributed to the participants by the same researcher, and they were asked to leave the completed  
162 questionnaires in the drop-off boxes placed in the waiting room. The research team explained the  
163 objectives of the study to the nurses, who were then given 30-35 minutes to fill in the  
164 questionnaires in-hospital during their break time. Eight patient relatives who failed to complete  
165 the questionnaires were excluded from the study.

166

### 167 *Data Analysis*

168 Data analysis was performed using SPSS 15.0 statistics package program. Independent t-  
169 test was used to evaluate the difference between perceptions of families and nurses. A p-value of  
170  $<0.05$  was regarded as statistically significant.

171

### 172 **FINDINGS**

173 The sociodemographic characteristics of the relatives and nurses are given in table 1. Half  
174 of the members of patient families participated in the study were women, three quarters of them  
175 are in upper secondary education, six out of ten were children of ICU patients (Table 1). Overall,  
176 6.6% of the relatives of the patients reported that they were in critical care units before and  
177 45.1% of the relatives were previously admitted to ICU. When the patient characteristics were  
178 examined 60.6% of patients were male and 61.1% were over 65 years of age. Reasons for

179 hospitalization among critical care patients were chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and post-  
180 operative care.

181         The mean age of the nurses was  $31,9 \pm 6,1$  and %81,5 was female. Nurses with critical  
182 care experience of five years or more accounted for 35.2%. While 7.4% (n=4) of the nurses  
183 reported that they were previously admitted to intensive care, 68.5% (n=37) stated that at least  
184 one of their relatives was in an ICU.

185         A comparison of the mean item scores of patient families and nurses based on their  
186 answers to CFFNI items is shown in Table 2. Patient relatives gave the highest rank scores to  
187 items “To be assured the best care possible is being given to the patient,”  
188 “To be called at home about changes in the patient’s condition”, and “To be assured it is alright  
189 to leave the hospital for a while”. The needs ranked in the first and third places by the family  
190 members were equally important for the nurses. On the other hand, the mean score of patient  
191 relatives for both items were higher than that of nurses at a statistically significant level. The  
192 other two items perceived among the most important five needs for patient relatives were “To  
193 feel that the hospital personnel care about the patient” and “To know specific facts concerning  
194 the patient’s progress”. As for the nurses’ perception of needs of patient relatives, the items  
195 ranked among the top five except for the two items cited above were “To have questions  
196 answered honestly” (second), “To know exactly what is being done to the patient” (fourth), and  
197 “To feel accepted by the hospital personnel” (fifth). Six of the ten most important needs ranked  
198 by patient relatives were also perceived among the top ten by nurses. Of the top ten needs  
199 perceived by relatives six items were related to assurance/proximity and four to information. Of  
200 the top ten needs of family members perceived by nurses seven items were related to  
201 assurance/proximity, two to comfort/support and one to information.

202 All the items in the last 10 among the needs of patient relatives were related to comfort  
203 and support subtitles. Also, there was no statistically significant difference between mean scores  
204 of family members and nurses in nine of these items.

205 There were statistically significant differences in 26 items in terms of scores provided by  
206 nurses and family members. Among these items, in 24 items that demonstrated significant  
207 differences, the mean scores of family members were higher. The mean scores of nurses were  
208 found to be higher in “To be alone at any time” and “To be told about chaplain services” items  
209 where a significant difference existed between the scores of patient families and nurses (Table  
210 2).

211 The mean score on assurance/proximity subscale was ranked first by both family  
212 members and nurses. No statistical significance existed between family members’ and nurses’  
213 perception of support/comfort needs. In terms of assurance/proximity and information, family  
214 members’ mean perceptions of needs and mean total scale scores were found to be significantly  
215 higher than those of nurses

216

## 217 **Discussion:**

218 This study evaluates the degree of coherence between the perceptions of the ICU nurses  
219 who assume the most important responsibility for fulfilling the needs of the patients as well as  
220 their families in ICU and the needs of patient relatives. For a more objective evaluation of the  
221 family needs in ICU, making predictions and forming possible opinions do not suffice. Instead, it  
222 is necessary to demonstrate evidence that will reveal the difference between the perceptions of  
223 healthcare professionals and the needs of patient relatives is required.

224 Coherent with the literature, the results of this study showed that there are similarities and  
225 differences in terms of family members and nurses' perceived need for patient relatives. (10, 15,  
226 16, and 17). Family scores were higher than those of nurses. This result is supported by the  
227 literature, which reports that nurses cannot adequately foresee the level of family needs, and  
228 shows that the total quality of the services provided to family members of critical care patients is  
229 an area that should be improved (18).

230 Assurance and proximity subscales in the CCFNI developed by *Leske* (9), which reflect  
231 the need of the family to be physically and emotionally close to their critically ill family  
232 members, and have confidence in the patient's future, were rephrased under a single title as  
233 assurance/proximity in the Turkish version of the scale (14). Although the mean  
234 assurance/proximity subscale of family members was higher than that of nurses, it was ranked as  
235 the most important need in both groups. The compassionate and honest attitude of intensive care  
236 nurses can play an important role in meeting family members' assurance and proximity need.  
237 (10). Therefore, it is very important that the nurses in our work group be fully aware of the needs  
238 of family members in terms of assurance/proximity needs.

239 In many studies, assurance dimension was perceived as the highest priority need for both  
240 groups (18, 19). In a literature review study, on the needs of family members, the assurance was  
241 found to be the top-ranked need regardless of the geographical region (20). Furthermore, in the  
242 same study, the assurance subscale item "To ensure that the patient is being given the best  
243 possible care", which is determined as the most important need for North American families, was  
244 ranked eleventh in Asian families (20). In our study, this was the item with the highest score both  
245 by nurses and family members. This item was ranked among the top five needs by both nurses

246 and family members in comparative studies conducted in the US (16), Belgium (7), Egypt (19),  
247 Turkey (11) and Iran (21).

248         Although the mean score of family members was higher, the second most important need  
249 dimension reported by both groups was 'information'. In addition, the most basic needs of  
250 patient's relatives, such as knowing what was done to the patient, what kind of treatment was  
251 applied and why these treatments were performed, and obtaining information about the changes  
252 in the patient's condition were not considered as priority by nurses. This finding showed that  
253 patients' need for information was not adequately perceived by nurses as described in the  
254 literature (7,22). Providing adequate information about the patient's condition, treatment and  
255 prognosis also fulfills the needs of families to trust the health system as well as healthcare  
256 employees (23). To fulfill patient families', need for information, structured in-depth information  
257 tours rather than quick bedside conferences should be made, and nurses should be encouraged to  
258 get more actively involved in this process. Considering that this information to be provided to  
259 family members may be time consuming, there should be a sufficient number of personnel  
260 especially during visiting hours (7).

261         The "support and comfort" dimension of patient relatives' needs was perceived as the  
262 least important factor by both nurses and patients as described in the literature (20, 23, 7). In the  
263 initial days of emotional distress and continuous search for information, it seems logical that  
264 comfort factors have a low priority (7).

265         Considering the fact that the study was performed in single center where the level of  
266 education of patient relatives is relatively high, it should be noted that the generalizability of our  
267 results to the population in Turkey is limited. However, the present study similar to other studies

268 in different ICUs in Turkey (11,12) in terms of priority needs of the patients. The low number of  
269 nurses that participated in the study should be noted as another limitation.

270 Our study results support the evidence that Turkish version of CCFNI is a valid tool that  
271 allows evaluation of the family's needs and nurses' perception on these needs (14). However, as  
272 highlighted in the literature, considering the nature of the concepts involving these needs, the  
273 results need to be expanded and analyzed in depth using qualitative methods. For the nurses to  
274 fulfill such needs of the family members, it is quite important to define and assess these needs  
275 accurately. The holistic care approach, which focuses on the patient, family, and life  
276 environment rather than the disease, has elevated nurses to a privileged position in critical care.  
277 In this framework, new strategies such as, flexible visiting hours, improved participation of  
278 nurses in 'visiting and “informing” hours and enhancing the quality of information / counseling  
279 processes for patient relatives should be addressed. (7,20). However, owing to *staff shortages*  
280 *and excessive workloads*, it makes it difficult for nurses to assume this role. For this reason, the  
281 health system as well as health institutions should create organizational conditions to support  
282 nurses (18).

283

#### 284 **Funds**

285 No institutional departmental funds were received in the conduct of the study.

#### 286 **Conflict of interest**

287 Authors have not disclosed any potential conflicts of interest.

#### 288 **Acknowledgement**

289 We are grateful to the family members and nurses of the Intensive care unit for their participation

290 and involvement in the study

291

292

293 **References**

294

295 1.Khoshnodi Z, Masouleh SR, Seyed Fazelpour SF. The Importance of fulfillment of family  
296 needs at critical care units. J Holist Nurs Midwifery. 2017; 27(3):67-73  
297 <http://doi.org/10.18869/acadpub.hnmj.27.3.67>

298

299 2.Al Ghabeesh SH, Abu-Snieneh H, Abu-Shahror L, Abu-Sneineh F, Alhawamdeh M. Exploring  
300 the self-perceived needs for family members having adult critically ill loved person: Descriptive  
301 study. Health 2014; 6:3005-3012. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/health.2014.621338>

302

303 3.Khatri Chhetri I, Thulung B. Perception of Nurses on Needs of Family Members of Patient  
304 Admitted to Critical Care Units of Teaching Hospital, Chitwan Nepal: A Cross-Sectional  
305 Institutional Based Study. Nurs Res Pract 2018; 2018: 1-7.

306 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/1369164>

307

308 4.Mendonca D, Warren NA : Perceived and unmet needs of critical care family members. Crit  
309 Care Nurs Q 1998; 21(1):58-67 <http://doi.org/10.1097/00002727-199805000-00009>.

310

311 5. Al-Mutair AS, Plummer V, O'Brien A, Clerehan R. Family needs and involvement in the  
312 intensive care unit: a literature review. *J Clin Nurs* 2013; 22(13-14):1805-1817.

313 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.12065>.

314

315 6. Azoulay E, Pochard F, Kentish-Barnes. Chevret S, Aboab J, Adrie C, Annane DN, et al. Risk  
316 of post-traumatic stress symptoms in family members of intensive care unit patients. *Am J*  
317 *Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; 171:987–994. <https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200409-1295OC>.

318

319 7. Bijttebier P, Vanoost S, Delva D, Ferdinande P, Franset E. Needs of relatives of critical care  
320 patients: Perceptions of relatives, physicians and nurses. *Intensive Care Med* 2001; 27:160–5.

321 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s001340000750>.

322

323 8. Molter N. Needs of relatives of critically ill patients: A descriptive study. *Heart Lung* 1979;  
324 8:332-339.

325

326 9. Leske JS: Internal psychometric properties of the Critical Care Family Needs Inventory. *Heart*  
327 *Lung* 1991; 20(3):236-244

328

329 10. Maxwell KE, MS, Stuenkel D, Saylor C. Needs of family members of critically ill patients: A  
330 comparison of nurse and family perceptions *Heart Lung* 2007; 36(5):367.

331 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrtlng.2007.02.005>.

332

333 11. Elay G, Tanriverdi M, Kadioglu M, Bahar I, Demirkiran O. The needs of the families whose  
334 relatives are being treated in intensive care units and the perspective of health personnel. *Ann*  
335 *Med Res* 2020; 27(3):825-829. <https://doi.org/10.5455/annalsmedres.2019.11.690>.

336

337 12. Ozbayira T, Tasdemir N, Ozsekera E. Intensive care unit family needs: Nurses' and families'  
338 perception. *East J Med* 2014; 19:137-14

339

340 13. Alsharari AF. The needs of family members of patients admitted to the intensive care unit.  
341 *Patient Prefer Adherence* 2019; 13: 465–473. <https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S197769>

342

343 14. Büyükçoban S, Ciceklioglu M, Demiral Yılmaz, Civaner M. Adaptation of the Critical Care  
344 Family Need Inventory to the Turkish population and its psychometric properties. *Peer J* 2015;3:  
345 e1208. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1208>.

346

347 15.Moggai F, Biagi S, Pompei. The Needs of Relatives of Patients Admitted to Italian critical  
348 units: A survey comparing relatives' and nurses' perceptions. *The World Crit Care Nurs* 2005; 4:  
349 23-26.

350

351 16-Hinkle JL, Fitzpatrick E. Needs of American relatives of intensive care patients: Perceptions  
352 of relatives, physicians and nurses. *Intensive Crit Care Nurs* 2011; 27: 2218-2225.  
353 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2011.04.003>

354

355 17.Alnajjar H, Elarousy W. Exploring family needs in neonatal and pediatric intensive care units  
356 at King Khaled Hospital- Jeddah. *Clin Med Invest* 2017; 2(4):1-7.

357 doi: [https://doi.org/ 10.15761/CMI.1000145](https://doi.org/10.15761/CMI.1000145).

358

359 18.Gundo R, Bodole F, Lengu E, Maluwa A. Comparison of nurses' and families' perception of  
360 family needs in critical care unit at referral hospitals in Malawi. *Open J Nurs* 2014; 4:312-320.

361 <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ojn.2014.44036>

362

363 19.Intessar MA. Comparison between nurses' and families' opinion about priorities of  
364 immediate patient's family needs. *J Nurs Pract* 2019; 9:113-121.

365 <https://doi.org/10.5430/jnep.v9n1p113>

366

367 20 Padilla CF. Most Important needs of family members of critical patients in light of the  
368 Critical Care Family Needs Inventory. *Invest Educ Enferm* 2014;32(2):306-316.

369 <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0120-53072014000200013>

370

371 21. Shorofi SA, Jannati Y, Moghaddam HS, Yazdani-Charati J. Psychosocial needs of families of  
372 intensive care patients: Perceptions of nurses and families. Niger Med J 2016; 57(1): 10–18.

373 <https://doi.org/10.4103/0300-1652.180557>

374

375 22. Al-Mutair AS, Plummer V, Clerehan R, O'Brien A. Needs and experiences of intensive care  
376 patients' families: A Saudi qualitative study. Nurs Crit Care 2014;19(3):135-44.

377 <https://doi.org/10.1111/nicc.12040>

378

379 23. Akhlak S, Shdaifat E. Needs of Families with relative in a critical care unit. Malaysian J  
380 Public Health Med 2016; 16 (3):75-81

381

**Table 1** (on next page)

Table 1

**Sociodemographic Characteristics of Patients and Relatives**

1 **Table 1: Sociodemographic Characteristics of Patients and Relatives**

2

Characteristics	Number (%)
<b>Relative Characteristics</b>	
Gender	
Female	107 (50.2)
Male	106 (49.8)
Age	
18-29	29 (13.6)
30-39	42 (19.7)
40-49	57 (26.8)
50-59	55 (25.8)
60 +	30 (14.1)
Level of Education	
Primary (5 year)	15 (7.0)
Secondary(8 year)	39 (18.3)
High School (12 year)	67 (31.5)
University +	92 (43.2)
Relation with Patient	
Child	125 (58.7)
Spouse	28 (13.1)
Parent	18 (8.5)
Sibling	18 (8.5)
Second-degree relative	24 (11.3)
<b>Patient Characteristics</b>	
Gender	
Female	84 (39.4)
Male	129 (60.6)
Age	
18-34	24 (11.3)
35-49	18 (8.5)
50-64	41 (19.2)
65-79	86 (40.4)
80 +	44 (20.7)
Disease Diagnosis	
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	76 (35.7)
Post-op care	40 (18.8)
<i>Polytrauma</i>	30 (14.1)
Cerebrovascular disease	28 (13.1)
Cardiovascular disease	13 (6.1)
Others	26 (12.2)

3

**Table 2** (on next page)

Table 2

Comparison of The Mean Item Scores of Patient Relatives and Nurses

1  
2  
3**Table 2. Comparison of The Mean Item Scores of Patient Relatives and Nurses**

Dimensions	Items	Relatives (Rank) Mean±SD	Nurse (Rank) Mean±SD	p
Asurance/Proxymity	To be assured the best care possible is being given to the patient	(1) 3.93±0.26	(1) 3.69±0.46	<0.001
Information	To be called at home about changes in the patient's condition	(2) 3.93±0.26	(12)3.35±0.68	0.000
Asurance/Proxymity	To be assured it is alright to leave the hospital for a while	(3) 3.93±0.28	(3) 3.52±0.50	<0.001
Asurance/Proxymity	To feel that the hospital personnel care about the patient	(4) 3.91±0.29	(6) 3.47±0.58	<0.001
Asurance/Proxymity	To know specific facts concerning the patient's progress	(5) 3.89±0.32	(8) 3.46±0.50	<0.001
Information	To know exactly what is being done for the patient	(6) 3.86±0.36	(4) 3.48±0.57	<0.001
Asurance/Proxymity	To have questions answered honestly	(7) 3.84±0.39	(2) 3.55±0.54	<0.001
Asurance/Proxymity	To feel there is hope	(8) 3.83±0.37	(13) 3.34±0.58	<0.001
Information	To know how the patient is being treated medically	(9) 3.83±0.41	(11)3.35±0.56	<0.001
Information	To know why things were done for patient	(10) 3.83±0.41	(14) 3.34±0.65	<0.001
Support/Comfort	To feel accepted by the hospital staff	(11) 3.80±0.44	(5) 3.48± 0.60	0.001
Asurance/Proxymity	To receive information about the patient at least once a day	(12) 3.80±0.45	(25) 3.00±0.75	<0.001
Information	To be told about transfer plans while they are being made	(13) 3.80±0.45	(22) 3.17±0.65	<0.001
Information	To know about types of staff members taking care of the patient	(14) 3.76±0.46	(16) 3.31±0.72	<0.001
Asurance/Proxymity	To talk to the doctor every day	(15) 3.75±0.46	(20) 3.20±0.71	<0.001
Information	To have a specific person to call at the hospital when unable to visit	(16) 3.75±0.54	(27) 2.96±0.87	<0.001
Asurance/Proxymity	To know which staff members could give what type of information	(17) 3.71±0.48	(9) 3.46± 0.57	0.005
Information	To talk about the possibility of the patient's death	(18) 3.55±0.59	(17) 3.30±0.54	0.005
Support/Comfort	To be told about other people that could help with problems	(19)3.55±0.63	(21) 3.17±0.55	<0.001
Asurance/Proxymity	To have directions as to what to do at the	(20) 3.52±0.59	(15) 3.33±0.78	0.111

	bedside			
Assurance/Proximity	To have explanations of the environment before going into the critical care unit for the first time	(21) 3.52±0.69	(7) 3.47± 0.69	0.671
Support/Comfort	To see the patient frequently	(22) 3.50±0.71	(36) 2.70±0.96	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Information	To have visiting hours started on time	(23) 3.45±0.59	(18) 3.30±0.60	0.087
Support/Comfort	To talk about feelings about what has happened	(24) 3.41±0.73	(10) 3.36±0.56	0.544
Support/ Comfort	To have friends nearby for support	(25) 3.34±0.68	(19) 3.28±0.59	0.553
Support/Comfort	To talk to the same nurse every day	(26) 3.21±0.73	(39) 2.37±0.94	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Support/Comfort	To have another person with you visiting the critical care unit	(27) 3.21±0.84	(29) 2.91±0.68	<b>0.007</b>
Support/Comfort	To visit at any time	(28) 3.17±0.82	(40) 2.35±1.01	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Support/Comfort	To have a bathroom near the waiting room	(29) 3.13±0.85	(23) 3.04±0.69	0.421
Support/Comfort	To help with the patient's physical care	(30) 2.99±0.84	(38) 2.65±0.91	<b>0.016</b>
Support/Comfort	To have comfortable furniture in the waiting room	(31) 2.92±0.97	(30) 2.87±0.95	0.738
Support/Comfort	To feel it is all right to cry	(32) 2.88±0.88	(26) 2.98±0.69	0.369
Support/Comfort	To have good food available in the hospital	(33) 2.86±0.96	(24) 3.02±0.86	0.279
Support/Comfort	To have a telephone near the waiting room	(34) 2.83±1.01	(33) 2.81±0.99	0.920
Support/Comfort	To be told about someone to help with family problems	(35)2.80±0.93	(31) 2.83±0.75	0.758
Support/Comfort	To have someone be concerned about with your health	(36) 2.78±0.94	(28) 2.94±0.71	0.168
Support/Comfort	To have a place to be alone while in the hospital	(37) 2.78±0.96	(35) 2.74±0.83	0.793
Support/Comfort	To be alone at any time	(38) 2.53±0.85	(34) 2.80±0.81	<b>0.038</b>
Support/Comfort	To have a pastor visit	(39) 2.37±1.01	(37) 2.65±0.89	0.066
Support/Comfort	To be told about chaplain services	(40) 2.35±1.02	(32) 2.81±0.85	<b>0.001</b>

**Table 3** (on next page)

Table 3

Comparison of Mean Subscale Dimension Scores of Patient Relatives and Nurses

1 Table 3. Comparison of Mean Subscale Dimension Scores of Patient Relatives and  
2 Nurses  
3  
4  
5

	<b>Relatives Mean(SD)</b>	<b>Nurse Mean(SD)</b>	<b>P</b>
9 Support/Comfort	3.03 (0.48)	2.88 (0.55)	0.060
10 Assurance/Proximity	3.79 (0.21)	3.43 (0.36)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
11 Information	3.76 (0.24)	3.28 (0.41)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
12 Total Score	3.40 (0.20)	3.12(0.42)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21