

The relationship between the female athlete triad and injury rates in collegiate female athletes

Mutsuaki Edama^{Corresp., 1, 2}, Hiromi Inaba^{1, 3}, Fumi Hoshino³, Saya Natsui³, Sae Maruyama², Go Omori^{1, 4}

¹ Athlete Support Research Center, Niigata University of Health and Welfare, Niigata, Japan, Niigata, Niigata, Japan

² Institute for Human Movement and Medical Sciences, Niigata University of Health and Welfare, Niigata, Japan, Niigata, Niigata, Japan

³ Department of Health and Nutrition, Niigata University of Health and Welfare, Niigata, Japan, Niigata, Japan

⁴ Department of Health and Sports, Niigata University of Health and Welfare, Niigata, Japan, Niigata, Niigata, Japan

Corresponding Author: Mutsuaki Edama
Email address: edama@nuhw.ac.jp

Background. This study aimed to clarify the relationship between the triad risk assessment score and the sports injury rate in 116 female college athletes (average age, 19.8 ± 1.3 years) in 7 sports at the national level of competition; 67 were teenagers, and 49 were in their 20s. **Methods.** Those with menstrual deficiency for >3 months or <6 menses in 12 months were classified as amenorrheic athletes. Low energy availability was defined as adolescent athletes having a body weight $<85\%$ of ideal body weight, and for adult athletes in their 20s, a body mass index ≤ 17.5 kg/m². Bone mineral density (BMD) was measured on the heel of the right leg using an ultrasonic bone densitometer. Low BMD was defined as a BMD Z-score < -1.0 . The total score for each athlete was calculated. And the cumulative risk assessment was defined as follows: low risk (a total score of 0-1), moderate risk (2-5), and high risk (6). The injury survey recorded injuries referring to the injury survey items used by the International Olympic Committee. **Results.** In swimming, significantly more athletes were in the low-risk category than in the moderate and high-risk categories ($p=0.004$). In long-distance athletics, significantly more athletes were in the moderate-risk category than in the low and high-risk categories ($p=0.004$). In the moderate and high-risk categories, significantly more athletes were in the injury group, whereas significantly more athletes in the low-risk category were in the non-injury group ($p=0.01$). Significantly more athletes at moderate and high-risk categories had bone stress fractures and bursitis than athletes at low risk ($p=0.023$). **Discussion.** These results suggest that athletes with relative energy deficiency may have an increased injury risk.

1 **The relationship between the female athlete triad and injury rates in collegiate female**
2 **athletes**

3

4 Mitsuaki Edama^{1,2}, Hiromi Inaba^{1,3}, Fumi Hoshino³, Saya Natsui³, Sae Maruyama², Go Omori^{1,4}

5

6

7 ¹ Athlete Support Research Center, Niigata University of Health and Welfare

8 ² Institute for Human Movement and Medical Sciences, Niigata University of Health and

9 Welfare

10 ³ Department of Health and Nutrition, Niigata University of Health and Welfare

11 ⁴ Department of Health and Sports, Niigata University of Health and Welfare

12

13

14 Corresponding author: Mitsuaki Edama

15 Institute for Human Movement and Medical Sciences Niigata University of Health and Welfare

16 1398 Shimami-cho, Kita-ku, Niigata City, Niigata 950-3198, Japan

17 E-mail address: edama@nuhw.ac.jp

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 **Abstract**

26 **Background.** This study aimed to clarify the relationship between the triad risk
27 assessment score and the sports injury rate in 116 female college athletes (average age, $19.8 \pm$
28 1.3 years) in 7 sports at the national level of competition; 67 were teenagers, and 49 were in their
29 20s.

30 **Methods.** Those with menstrual deficiency for >3 months or <6 menses in 12 months
31 were classified as amenorrheic athletes. Low energy availability was defined as adolescent
32 athletes having a body weight $<85\%$ of ideal body weight, and for adult athletes in their 20s, a
33 body mass index ≤ 17.5 kg/m². Bone mineral density (BMD) was measured on the heel of the
34 right leg using an ultrasonic bone densitometer. Low BMD was defined as a BMD Z-score <-1.0 .
35 The total score for each athlete was calculated. And the cumulative risk assessment was defined
36 as follows: low risk (a total score of 0-1), moderate risk (2-5), and high risk (6). The injury
37 survey recorded injuries referring to the injury survey items used by the International Olympic
38 Committee.

39 **Results.** In swimming, significantly more athletes were in the low-risk category than in
40 the moderate and high-risk categories ($p=0.004$). In long-distance athletics, significantly more
41 athletes were in the moderate-risk category than in the low and high-risk categories ($p=0.004$). In
42 the moderate and high-risk categories, significantly more athletes were in the injury group,
43 whereas significantly more athletes in the low-risk category were in the non-injury group
44 ($p=0.01$). Significantly more athletes at moderate and high-risk categories had bone stress
45 fractures and bursitis than athletes at low risk ($p=0.023$).

46 **Discussion.** These results suggest that athletes with relative energy deficiency may have

47 an increased injury risk.

48

49 **Key words:** RED-S; low energy availability; Female Athlete Triad Cumulative Risk

50 Assessment; injury

51

52 Introduction

53 The female athlete triad (hereafter referred to as triad) has three components: (1) low
54 energy availability (LEA) with or without disordered eating (DE)/eating disorder (ED); (2)
55 menstrual dysfunction; and (3) low bone mineral density (BMD) (De Souza et al. 2014a). An
56 IOC consensus group has recently introduced a new umbrella term, that is, ‘Relative Energy
57 Deficiency in Sport’ (RED-S), to describe the physiological and pathophysiological effects of
58 energy deficiency in male and female athletes (Mountjoy et al. 2014). The authors assert that
59 “RED-S is required to more accurately describe the clinical syndrome originally known as the
60 Female Athlete Triad” that is a “more comprehensive, broader term for the overall syndrome,
61 which includes what has so far been called the ‘Female Athlete Triad’ (Mountjoy et al. 2014).”
62 RED-S is based on a relative energy deficit that is reported to affect various factors (Mountjoy et
63 al. 2018). However, RED-S is insufficiently supported by scientific research to warrant adoption
64 at this time. Recently, there have been many studies of bone stress fractures and amenorrhea, but
65 their relationships with the occurrence of sports injury have not been examined. It was
66 previously reported that the frequency of sports injuries was higher in women than in men,
67 suggesting a relationship between the menstrual cycle and sports injuries (Hewett et al. 2007). It
68 has been suggested that there is a strong relationship between the risk of both RED-S and sports
69 injuries.

70 The advances in our understanding of risk factors and management of the triad are
71 reflected in evidence based guidelines developed by the Female Athlete Triad Coalition in 2014
72 to help guide medical decision making for female athletes (De Souza et al. 2014a). The resulting
73 Female Athlete Triad Cumulative Risk Assessment includes the following 6 items scored on a
74 scale from 0 to 2: low LEA with or without DE/ED; low body mass index (BMI); delayed

75 menarche; oligomenorrhea or amenorrhea; low BMD; and prior stress fracture (De Souza et al.
76 2014a). The resulting risk assessment score is used to classify an athlete into 1 of 3 categories:
77 low risk (0-1 points), moderate risk (2-5 points), or high risk (6 points) (De Souza et al. 2014a).

78 Using risk assessment scores to help manage treatment for athletes is important,
79 especially considering the evidence for adverse health consequences resulting from the triad. For
80 example, a higher number of triad risk factors is associated with an increased risk for bone stress
81 injuries and low BMD (Barrack et al. 2014; Tenforde et al. 2013). Furthermore, for female
82 athletes who have one component of the triad, the risk of developing bone stress fractures is
83 about 3 times higher than that of athletes with no components of the triad; the risk is about 5
84 times higher for those with two or more components (Mallinson & De Souza 2014). In addition,
85 collegiate athletes with triad risk factors including oligomenorrhea/amenorrhea or increased risk
86 assessment scores had higher grade bone stress fractures on MRI and longer return to play
87 (Nattiv et al. 2013).

88 Therefore, this study aimed to clarify the relationship between the triad risk assessment
89 score and the number of sports injuries. The hypothesis of this study was that the moderate and
90 high-risk groups have higher injury rates than the low-risk group on the triad risk assessment
91 score.

92

93 **Materials & methods**

94 **Recruitment**

95 A total of 116 female college athletes (average age, 19.8 ± 1.3 years) were investigated;
96 67 were teenagers, and 49 were in their 20s. They were involved in 7 sports (swimming, athletics
97 sprint, athletics long-distance, athletics throwing/jumping, soccer, basketball and volleyball). All

98 sports were at the national level of competition. Approval was obtained from the Ethics
99 Committee of The Niigata University of Health and Welfare to carry out this study within its
100 facilities (approval no. 18032). Written, informed consent was obtained from all participants.

101

102 **Medical examinations**

103 Medical examinations and anthropometry were conducted from August 2018 to January
104 2019. The participants were asked about age at menarche, date of last menstrual period, number
105 of menstrual cycles per 12 months, history of bone stress fracture (site and times), dietary
106 restriction, and present or past history of ED/DE using the questionnaire form. Those with
107 menstrual deficiency for >3 months (definition of the Japan Society of Obstetrics and
108 Gynecology) or <6 menses in 12 months were classed as amenorrheic athletes (De Souza et al.
109 2014a). Data were collected by physical therapists (M.E.) and nutritionists (H.I. and F.H.).

110

111 **Anthropometry**

112 Height (m) and body weight (kg) were measured using a body composition monitor
113 (DC150, TANITA, Tokyo, Japan). BMD was measured on the heel of the right leg using an
114 ultrasonic bone densitometer (AOS-100SA, Hitachi Aloka Medical, Tokyo, Japan). A BMD Z-
115 score of < -1.0 in the heel is defined as low BMD (as defined by the Triad coalition in 2014). The
116 Triad is defined as energy intake minus energy expenditure of exercise relative to fat-free mass
117 (FFM) <30 kcal/kg of FFM/d, but it is very difficult to calculate energy balance this way during
118 medical examinations. Therefore, the American College of Sports Medicine defines LEA in
119 adolescent athletes as a body weight <85% of ideal body weight (IBW), and for adult athletes in
120 their 20s, a BMI ≤ 17.5 kg/m². Therefore, these criteria were used in the present study (De Souza

121 et al. 2014a). BMI was calculated as body weight (kg)/height (m²). To calculate IBW, the
122 formula recommended by The Japanese Society for Pediatric Endocrinology was used.

123

124 **The Female Athlete Triad Cumulative Risk Assessment**

125 The Female Athlete Triad Cumulative Risk Assessment was used. The following six
126 factors were scored: (1) LEA with or without DE /ED; (2) low BMI; (3) delayed menarche; (4)
127 oligomenorrhea and/or amenorrhea; (5) low BMD; and (6) stress reaction/fractures. With respect
128 to LEA, athletes who received treatment by a psychiatrist received a score of 2, those with some
129 dietary restriction as evidenced by self-report or low/inadequate energy intake on diet logs
130 received a score of 1, and those with no history received a score of 0. BMI was scored for
131 athletes over 20 years of age, but IBW was used for teenagers. Athletes with a BMI ≤ 17.5 kg/m²
132 or IBW $< 85\%$ received a score of 2, and athletes with a BMI between 17.6 and 18.4 kg/m² or
133 IBW $< 90\%$ received a score of 1. A score of 0 was given to athletes with a BMI ≥ 18.5 kg/m² or
134 IBW $\geq 90\%$. For delayed menarche, athletes who had their menarche at age > 16 years received a
135 score of 2, athletes who had their menarche at age 15-16 years received a score of 1, and those
136 with menarche at under 15 years received a score of 0. Athletes with amenorrhea (> 3 months or
137 < 6 menses in 12 months) were scored 2, 6-9 menses in 12 months were scored 1, and
138 eumenorrheic athletes (> 9 menses in 12 months) were scored 0. For low BMD, athletes with a Z-
139 score ≤ -2 were scored 2, and those between -1 and -2 were scored 1; a score of 0 was given to
140 those over -1 . For a history of stress fractures, those with a history of 2 or more stress fractures
141 or trabecular bone stress fractures were scored 2, those with only one past stress fracture were
142 scored 1, and those with no stress fractures were scored 0. Next, the total score for each athlete
143 was calculated, and the cumulative risk assessment was defined as follows: low risk (a total score

144 of 0-1), moderate risk (a score of 2-5), and high risk (a score of 6) (De Souza et al. 2014a).

145

146 **Number of injuries**

147 An injury survey during sports activities was conducted for one season from April 2018
148 to March 2019. The injury survey collected injuries that resulted in failure to participate in
149 practice and competition for more than 24 hours after injury, referring to the injury survey items
150 used by the IOC (Junge et al. 2008). Injured body part location and type of injury diagnosis were
151 recorded. Data were collected by seven physical therapists and a medical doctor on the field and
152 in the hospital. Serious illnesses such as stress fractures were diagnosed by a medical doctor at
153 the hospital using X-rays and MRI.

154

155 **Statistical analysis**

156 Fisher's exact test was used for comparisons of differences in the risk categories for
157 each sport, to compare differences in the number of injuries by risk categories for each sport,
158 and to compare differences in injured body part-location and the type of injury diagnosis by
159 risk category.

160 Multiple comparisons were performed using the Ryan nominal level for post hoc testing.

161

162 Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (Version 26.0; SPSS Japan Inc., Tokyo, Japan).

163 The level of significance was $P < .05$.

164

165 **Results**

166 **Athletes' characteristics**

167 The sports undertaken by the participants were swimming (n=11), athletics sprint
168 (n=19), athletics long-distance (n=8), athletics throwing/jumping (n=8), soccer (n=27),
169 basketball (n=26), and volleyball (n=17).

170

171 **The three triad components**

172 There were 4/116 (3.4%) athletes with LEA (defined as actual body weight of IBW
173 <85% for adolescent athletes and a BMI ≤ 17.5 kg/m² for adult athletes), 6/116 (5.2%) athletes
174 with amenorrhea (>3 months or <6 menses in 12 months), and 0/116 (0.0%) athletes had low
175 BMD (Z-score <-1.0). No players had all three triad components (Figure 1).

176

177 **Prevalence in the 7 events for 116 athletes assigned to triad risk categories**

178 In swimming, there were significantly more in the low-risk category than in the
179 moderate and high-risk categories (p=0.004). In athletics long-distance, there were significantly
180 more in the moderate-risk category than in the low and high-risk categories (p=0.004) (Table 1).
181 In each scoring category, there was a high proportion (41/116, 35.3%) with a history of bone
182 stress fracture, particularly in athletics long-distance (7/8, 87.5%) (Table 2).

183

184 **Number of injuries by triad risk categories (Table 3)**

185 Since there was only one participant in the high-risk category, the high and moderate-
186 risk categories were combined for the analysis. The number of injuries was 65 (n=41) in one year.
187 In the moderate and high-risk categories, there were significantly more in the injury group than
188 in the non-injury group (p=0.01). In the low-risk category, there were significantly more in the
189 non-injury group than in the injury group (p=0.01).

190

191 **Injured body part location and type of injury diagnosis by risk category**

192 There was no significant difference in the injured body part location (Table 4). For
193 stress fracture and bursitis, there were significantly more in the moderate and high-risk
194 categories than in the low-risk category at injury diagnosis ($p=0.023$) (Table 5).

195

196 **Discussion**

197 This study clarified the relationship between the triad risk assessment score and the one-
198 year sports injury rate for female college students involved in multiple sports. To the best of our
199 knowledge, there have been no studies of the relationship between the triad risk assessment score
200 and the number of sports injuries.

201 Regarding the type of injury diagnosis by risk category, bone stress fracture and
202 bursitis were significantly higher in the moderate and high-risk category than in the low-risk
203 category. This result supported the hypothesis of this study. In previous studies, a higher number
204 of triad risk factors was associated with an increased risk for bone stress injuries and low BMD
205 (Barrack et al. 2014; Tenforde et al. 2013). Furthermore, for athletes with component of the triad,
206 the risk of developing bone stress fractures was about 3-5 times higher than that of athletes with
207 no components of the triad (Mallinson & De Souza 2014). Therefore, this study was considered
208 to have supported the results of the previous studies. However, it is necessary to examine bursitis
209 in greater detail in the future.

210 In the present study, there were 4/116 (3.4%) athletes with LEA with or without DE/ED,
211 6/116 (5.2%) with amenorrhea, and 0/116 (0.0%) with low BMD. No athletes had all three triad
212 components. In previous study of elite Japanese athletes, the number of athletes with LEA was

213 42/300 (14.0%), with amenorrhea was 117/300 (39.0%), and with low BMD was 68/300 (22.7%).
214 Seventeen athletes (5.7%) had both amenorrhea and LEA, whereas 39 (13%) had both
215 amenorrhea and low BMD, and two (0.7%) had low BMD and LEA. Sixteen (5.3%) had all three
216 components of the triad (Nose-Ogura et al. 2019). In previous study of American collegiate
217 athletes, the number of athletes with LEA was 2/323 (0.6%), the number with oligomenorrhea or
218 amenorrhea was 64/239 (26.8%), and the number with low BMD was 19/323 (5.9%) (Tenforde
219 et al. 2017). The cause for the differences may be related to the differences in competition level
220 and measurement methods.

221 In swimming, the number in the low-risk category was significantly higher than in the
222 moderate and high-risk categories. In athletics long-distance, the number in the moderate-risk
223 category was significantly higher than in the low-risk category. In a previous study, athletics
224 (64/86; 74.4%) (Nose-Ogura et al. 2019), track (0/4; 0.0%) (Tenforde et al. 2017), cycling (3/4;
225 75.0%) (Nose-Ogura et al. 2019), swimming (7/11; 63.6%) (Nose-Ogura et al. 2019), gymnastics
226 (7/7; 100.0%) (Nose-Ogura et al. 2019) (9/16; 56.2%) (Tenforde et al. 2017), rhythmic
227 gymnastics (31/35; 88.6%) (Nose-Ogura et al. 2019), and cross-country (23/47; 48.9%)
228 (Tenforde et al. 2017) were in the moderate or high-risk categories. Although there is no clear
229 consensus, it was considered that there were many endurance and aesthetic sports athletes in the
230 middle- and high-risk categories.

231 In addition, for each scoring category, there was a large proportion (41/116, 35.3%)
232 with a history of bone stress fractures, particularly in athletics long-distance (7/8; 87.8%). In
233 previous studies, female athletes were at a higher risk of bone stress fractures than male athletes
234 (De Souza et al. 2014a. ; Nose-Ogura et al. 2019). It has also been reported that the frequency of
235 bone stress fractures among 1616 female Japanese athletes and 537 controls (non-athletes) was

236 22.6% for athletes competing at the international level, 23.3% for athletes competing at the
237 national level, 20.8% for athletes competing at the local level, 18.8% for athletes competing at
238 other levels, and 4.3% for controls (Takamatsu & Kitawaki 2016). Therefore, the athletes in the
239 present study had a high rate of bone stress fractures. Furthermore, careful consideration should
240 be given to the reason why significantly more athletes were in the moderate-risk category than in
241 the low-risk category in athletics long-distance.

242 Several limitations must be considered in this study. First, the 1000 athlete exposures
243 could not be calculated. Second, the survey of injuries during sports activities was conducted for
244 one season from April 2018 to March 2019, but medical examinations and anthropometry were
245 conducted from August 2018 to January 2019. Therefore, this was not a prospective study. In the
246 future, prospective research will be needed. Third, the actual Triad, defined as energy intake
247 minus energy expenditure of exercise relative to fat-free mass <30 kcal/kg of FFM/d, was not
248 measured in this study.

249

250 **Conclusions**

251 In this study, regarding the number of injured athletes by risk category, in the moderate
252 and high-risk categories, there were significantly more athletes in the injury group than in the
253 non-injury group. In addition, there were significantly more athletes in the moderate and high-
254 risk categories than in the low-risk category with bone stress fractures and bursitis. These results
255 suggest that athletes in the moderate and high-risk categories of the Triad may be at increased
256 risk of injury.

257

258 **Acknowledgements**

259 This study was supported by Commissioned by the Japan Sports Agency (Female Athletes
260 Development and Support Projects 2020).

261 **References**

262 Barrack MT, Gibbs JC, De Souza MJ, Williams NI, Nichols JF, Rauh MJ, and Nattiv A. 2014.

263 Higher incidence of bone stress injuries with increasing female athlete triad-related risk
264 factors: a prospective multisite study of exercising girls and women. *Am J Sports Med*
265 42:949-958. 10.1177/0363546513520295

266 De Souza MJ, Nattiv A, Joy E, Misra M, Williams NI, Mallinson RJ, Gibbs JC, Olmsted M,
267 Goolsby M, and Matheson G. 2014a. 2014 Female Athlete Triad Coalition Consensus
268 Statement on Treatment and Return to Play of the Female Athlete Triad: 1st International
269 Conference held in San Francisco, California, May 2012 and 2nd International
270 Conference held in Indianapolis, Indiana, May 2013. *Br J Sports Med* 48:289.
271 10.1136/bjsports-2013-093218

272 De Souza MJ, Williams NI, Nattiv A, Joy E, Misra M, Loucks AB, Matheson G, Olmsted MP,
273 Barrack M, Mallinson RJ, Gibbs JC, Goolsby M, Nichols JF, Drinkwater B, Sanborn C,
274 Agostini R, Otis CL, Johnson MD, Hoch AZ, Alleyne JM, Wadsworth LT, Koehler K,
275 VanHeest J, Harvey P, Kelly AK, Fredericson M, Brooks GA, O'Donnell E, Callahan LR,
276 Putukian M, Costello L, Hecht S, Rauh MJ, and McComb J. 2014b. Misunderstanding
277 the female athlete triad: refuting the IOC consensus statement on Relative Energy
278 Deficiency in Sport (RED-S). *Br J Sports Med* 48:1461-1465. 10.1136/bjsports-2014-
279 093958

280 Hewett TE, Zazulak BT, and Myer GD. 2007. Effects of the menstrual cycle on anterior cruciate
281 ligament injury risk: a systematic review. *Am J Sports Med* 35:659-668.

- 282 10.1177/0363546506295699
- 283 Junge A, Engebretsen L, Alonso JM, Renström P, Mountjoy M, Aubry M, and Dvorak J. 2008.
284 Injury surveillance in multi-sport events: the International Olympic Committee approach.
285 *Br J Sports Med* 42:413-421. 10.1136/bjism.2008.046631
- 286 Mallinson RJ, and De Souza MJ. 2014. Current perspectives on the etiology and manifestation of
287 the "silent" component of the Female Athlete Triad. *Int J Womens Health* 6:451-467.
288 10.2147/ijwh.S38603
- 289 Mountjoy M, Sundgot-Borgen J, Burke L, Carter S, Constantini N, Lebrun C, Meyer N, Sherman
290 R, Steffen K, Budgett R, and Ljungqvist A. 2014. The IOC consensus statement: beyond
291 the Female Athlete Triad--Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (RED-S). *Br J Sports*
292 *Med* 48:491-497. 10.1136/bjsports-2014-093502
- 293 Mountjoy M, Sundgot-Borgen J, Burke L, Carter S, Constantini N, Lebrun C, Meyer N, Sherman
294 R, Steffen K, Budgett R, and Ljungqvist A. 2015. Authors' 2015 additions to the IOC
295 consensus statement: Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (RED-S). *Br J Sports Med*
296 49:417-420. 10.1136/bjsports-2014-094371
- 297 Mountjoy M, Sundgot-Borgen JK, Burke LM, Ackerman KE, Blauwet C, Constantini N, Lebrun
298 C, Lundy B, Melin AK, Meyer NL, Sherman RT, Tenforde AS, Klungland Torstveit M,
299 and Budgett R. 2018. IOC consensus statement on relative energy deficiency in sport
300 (RED-S): 2018 update. *Br J Sports Med* 52:687-697. 10.1136/bjsports-2018-099193
- 301 Nattiv A, Kennedy G, Barrack MT, Abdelkerim A, Goolsby MA, Arends JC, and Seeger LL.
302 2013. Correlation of MRI grading of bone stress injuries with clinical risk factors and
303 return to play: a 5-year prospective study in collegiate track and field athletes. *Am J*
304 *Sports Med* 41:1930-1941. 10.1177/0363546513490645

- 305 Nattiv A, Loucks AB, Manore MM, Sanborn CF, Sundgot-Borgen J, and Warren MP. 2007.
306 American College of Sports Medicine position stand. The female athlete triad. *Med Sci*
307 *Sports Exerc* 39:1867-1882. 10.1249/mss.0b013e318149f111
- 308 Nose-Ogura S, Yoshino O, Dohi M, Kigawa M, Harada M, Hiraie O, Onda T, Osuga Y, Fujii T,
309 and Saito S. 2019. Risk factors of stress fractures due to the female athlete triad:
310 Differences in teens and twenties. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 29:1501-1510.
311 10.1111/sms.13464
- 312 Takamatsu K, and Kitawaki J. 2016. Annual report of the Women's Health Care Committee,
313 Japan Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2016. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* 42:1419-1438.
314 10.1111/jog.13130
- 315 Tenforde AS, Carlson JL, Chang A, Sainani KL, Shultz R, Kim JH, Cutti P, Golden NH, and
316 Fredericson M. 2017. Association of the Female Athlete Triad Risk Assessment
317 Stratification to the Development of Bone Stress Injuries in Collegiate Athletes. *Am J*
318 *Sports Med* 45:302-310. 10.1177/0363546516676262
- 319 Tenforde AS, Sayres LC, McCurdy ML, Sainani KL, and Fredericson M. 2013. Identifying sex-
320 specific risk factors for stress fractures in adolescent runners. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*
321 45:1843-1851. 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3182963d75

322

323 **Legends**

324 **Figure 1 Percentage of athletes with the female athlete Triad.**

325 A bone mineral density Z-score of < -1.0 in the heel is defined as low bone mineral density. The
326 Triad is defined as energy intake minus energy expenditure of exercise relative to <30 kcal/kg
327 of fat-free mass/d, but it is very difficult to calculate energy balance this way during medical
328 examinations. Therefore, the American College of Sports Medicine defines low energy
329 availability in adolescent athletes as a body weight $<85\%$ of ideal body weight, and for adult
330 athletes in their 20s, a body mass index ≤ 17.5 kg/m². Therefore, these criteria were used in the
331 present study. Body mass index was calculated as body weight (kg)/height (m²). To calculate
332 ideal body weight, the formula recommended by The Japanese Society for Pediatric
333 Endocrinology was used.

334

335 **Table 1: Prevalence in the 7 events for 116 athletes assigned to triad risk categories.**

336 Data presented as n (%).

337 ^ap=0.004 vs. moderate and high-risk category

338 ^bp=0.004 vs. low and high-risk category

339

340 **Table 2: Number of athletes in each event by female athlete triad coalition scoring category.**

341 Data presented as n (%).

342

343

344 **Table 3: Number of injuries by triad risk category.**

345 Data presented as n (%).

346 ^ap=0.01, Non-injury group with moderate risk (%)

347 ^bp=0.01, Injury group with low risk (%)

348

349 **Table 4: Injured body part location by risk category.**

350 Data presented as n (%).

351 Only injuries that occurred are listed.

352

353 **Table 5: Injury diagnosis by triad risk category.**

354 Data presented as n (%).

355 Only injuries that were diagnosed are listed.

356 ^ap=0.023 vs. low-risk category for stress fracture

357 ^bp=0.023 vs. low-risk category for bursitis

Table 1 (on next page)

Table 1: Prevalence in the 7 events for 116 athletes assigned to triad risk categories.

1 **Table 1: Prevalence in the 7 events for 116 athletes assigned to triad risk categories.**

Sport	No. of athletes	Low risk	Moderate risk	High risk
Swimming	11	11 (100.0) ^a	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Athletics sprint	19	11 (57.9)	8 (42.1)	0 (0.0)
Athletics long-distance	8	2 (25.0)	5 (62.5) ^b	1 (12.5)
Athletics throwing/jumping	8	7 (87.5)	1 (12.5)	0 (0.0)
Soccer	27	19 (70.4)	8 (29.6)	0 (0.0)
Basketball	26	21 (80.8)	5 (19.2)	0 (0.0)
Volleyball	17	14 (82.4)	3 (17.6)	0 (0.0)
Total	116	85 (73.3)	30 (25.9)	1 (0.8)

2 Data presented as n (%).

3 ^ap=0.004 vs. moderate and high-risk category4 ^bp=0.004 vs. low and high-risk category

5

Table 2 (on next page)

Table 2: Number of athletes in each event by female athlete triad coalition scoring category.

1 Table 2: Number of athletes in each event by female athlete triad coalition scoring category.

Category and risk	Swimming (n=11)	Athletics sprint (n=19)	Athletics long-distance (n=8)	Athletics throwing/ju mping (n=8)	Soccer (n=27)	Basketball (n=26)	Volleyball (n=17)	Total (n=116)
Low energy availability								
Low	9 (7.8)	16 (13.8)	6 (5.2)	8 (6.9)	25 (21.6)	25 (21.6)	16 (13.8)	105 (90.5)
Moderate	2 (1.7)	3 (2.6)	2 (1.7)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.7)	1 (0.9)	1 (0.9)	11 (9.5)
High	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Body mass index or ideal body weight								
Low	11 (9.5)	14 (12.1)	7 (6.0)	7 (6.0)	25 (21.6)	25 (21.6)	16 (13.8)	105 (90.5)
Moderate	0 (0.0)	3 (2.6)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.9)	1 (0.9)	1 (0.9)	1 (0.9)	7 (6.0)
High	0 (0.0)	2 (1.7)	1 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (3.4)
Age at menarche								
Low	11 (9.5)	17 (14.7)	3 (2.6)	7 (6.0)	23 (19.8)	21 (18.1)	15 (12.9)	97 (83.6)
Moderate	0 (0.0)	2 (1.7)	4 (3.4)	1 (0.9)	4 (3.4)	4 (3.4)	2 (1.7)	17 (14.7)
High	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.7)
Oligomenorrhea/amenorrhea								
Low	11 (9.5)	13 (11.2)	6 (5.2)	7 (6.0)	24 (20.7)	21 (18.1)	16 (13.8)	98 (84.5)
Moderate	0 (0.0)	5 (4.3)	1 (0.9)	1 (0.9)	1 (0.9)	2 (1.7)	0 (0.0)	10 (8.6)
High	0 (0.0)	1 (0.9)	1 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.7)	3 (2.6)	1 (0.9)	8 (6.9)
Low bone mineral density								
Low	11 (9.5)	19 (16.4)	8 (6.9)	8 (6.9)	27 (23.3)	26 (22.4)	17 (14.7)	116 (100.0)

Moderate	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
High	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Stress								
reaction/fracture								
Low	11 (9.5)	10 (8.6)	1 (0.9)	6 (5.2)	18 (15.5)	20 (17.2)	9 (7.8)	75 (64.7)
Moderate	0 (0.0)	8 (6.9)	4 (3.4)	2 (1.7)	9 (7.8)	6 (5.2)	7 (6.0)	36 (31.0)
High	0 (0.0)	1 (0.9)	3 (2.6)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.9)	5 (4.3)

2 Data presented as n (%).

Table 3 (on next page)

Table 3: Number of injuries by triad risk category.

1 **Table 3: Number of injuries by triad risk category.**

Kind of sport	Injury group (n=41)		Non-injury group (n=75)	
	Low risk (%)	Moderate and high risk (%)	Low risk (%)	Moderate and high risk (%)
Swimming	3 (7.3)	0 (0.0)	8 (10.7)	0 (0.0)
Athletics sprint	0 (0.0)	1 (2.4)	13 (17.3)	5 (6.7)
Athletics long-distance	0 (0.0)	3 (7.3)	2 (2.7)	3 (4.0)
Athletics throwing/jumping	2 (4.9)	1 (2.4)	5 (6.7)	0 (0.0)
Soccer	12 (29.3)	6 (14.6)	8 (10.7)	1 (1.3)
Basketball	5 (12.2)	2 (4.9)	16 (21.3)	3 (4.0)
Volleyball	4 (9.8)	2 (4.9)	10 (13.3)	1(1.3)
Total	25 (61.0)	16 (39.0) ^a	62 (82.7) ^b	13 (17.3)

2 Data presented as n (%).

3 ^ap=0.01, Non-injury group with moderate risk (%)4 ^bp=0.01, Injury group with low risk (%)

Table 4 (on next page)

Table 4: Injured body part location by risk category .

1 **Table 4: Injured body part location by risk category.**

Injured body part	Low risk	Moderate and high risk
Face (incl. eye, ear, nose)	1 (1.5)	0 (0.0)
Head	3 (4.6)	1 (1.5)
Neck / cervical spine	1 (1.5)	0 (0.0)
Lumbar spine / lower back	3 (4.6)	1 (1.5)
Shoulder / clavicle	2 (3.1)	0 (0.0)
Elbow	1 (1.5)	0 (0.0)
Wrist	1 (1.5)	0 (0.0)
Finger	1 (1.5)	0 (0.0)
Thumb	1 (1.5)	0 (0.0)
Hip	0 (0.0)	2 (3.1)
Thigh	4 (6.2)	2 (3.1)
Knee	10 (15.4)	3 (4.6)
Lower leg	3 (4.6)	2 (3.1)
Ankle	8 (12.3)	9 (13.8)
Foot/toe	3 (4.6)	0 (0.0)
Others (heatstroke)	2 (3.1)	1 (1.5)
Total	44 (67.7)	21 (32.3)

2 Data presented as n (%).

3 Only injuries that occurred are listed.

Table 5 (on next page)

Table 5: Injury diagnosis by triad risk category.

1 **Table 5: Injury diagnosis by triad risk category.**

Injury diagnosis	Low risk	Moderate and high risk
Concussion	4 (6.2)	1 (1.5)
Fracture	2 (3.1)	0 (0.0)
Stress fracture	0 (0.0)	4 (6.2) ^a
Other bone injuries	0 (0.0)	1 (1.5)
Dislocation, subluxation	5 (7.7)	1 (1.5)
Ligamentous rupture	2 (3.1)	0 (0.0)
Sprain	8 (12.3)	6 (9.2)
Lesion of meniscus or cartilage	3 (4.6)	0 (0.0)
Strain / muscle rupture / tear	4 (6.2)	0 (0.0)
Contusion / hematoma/ bruise	6 (9.2)	0 (0.0)
Tendinosis / tendinopathy	4 (6.2)	2 (3.1)
Bursitis	1 (1.5)	4 (6.2) ^b
Muscle cramps or spasm	1 (1.5)	1 (1.5)
Nerve injury / spinal cord injury	1 (1.5)	0 (0.0)
Others (nail trouble, heatstroke)	3 (4.6)	1 (1.5)
Total	44 (67.7)	21 (32.3)

2 Data presented as n (%).

3 Only injuries that occurred are listed.

4 ^ap=0.023 vs. low-risk category for stress fracture5 ^bp=0.023 vs. low-risk category for bursitis

Figure 1

Figure 1 Percentage of athletes with the female athlete Triad.

A bone mineral density Z-score of < -1.0 in the heel is defined as low bone mineral density. The Triad is defined as energy intake minus energy expenditure of exercise relative to <30 kcal/kg of fat-free mass/d, but it is very difficult to calculate energy balance this way during medical examinations. Therefore, the American College of Sports Medicine defines low energy availability in adolescent athletes as a body weight $<85\%$ of ideal body weight, and for adult athletes in their 20s, a body mass index ≤ 17.5 kg/m². Therefore, these criteria were used in the present study. Body mass index was calculated as body weight (kg)/height (m²). To calculate ideal body weight, the formula recommended by The Japanese Society for Pediatric Endocrinology was used.

