Preliminary needs assessment of mobile technology use for healthcare among homeless veterans

D Keith McInnes, Gemmae M Fix, Jeffrey L Solomon, Beth Ann Petrakis, Leon Sawh, David A Smelson

BACKGROUND: Homeless veterans have complex healthcare needs, but experience many barriers to treatment engagement. While information technologies (IT), especially mobile phones, are used to engage patients in care, little is known about homeless veterans' IT use. This study examines homeless veterans' access to and use of IT, attitudes toward health-related IT use, and barriers to IT in the context of homelessness.

METHODS: Qualitative interviews were conducted with 30 homeless veterans in different housing programs in Boston, MA. Inductive thematic analysis was used.

RESULTS: Most participants (90%) had a mobile phone and were receptive to IT use for health-related communications. A common difficulty communicating with providers was the lack of a stable mailing address. Some participants were using mobile phones to stay in touch with providers. Participants felt mobile-phone calls or text messages could be used to remind patients of appointments, prescription refills, medication taking, and returning for laboratory results. Mobile phone text messaging was seen as convenient, helped participants stay organized because necessary information was saved in text messages. Some reported concerns about the costs associated with mobile phone use (calls and texting), the potential to be annoyed by too many text messages, and not knowing how to use text messaging.

CONCLUSION: Homeless veterans use IT and welcome its use for health-related purposes. Technology-assisted outreach among this population may lead to improved engagement in care.

1 **Authors:**

2 D. Keith McInnes[1,2]

3 Gemmae M. Fix[1,2]

4 Jeffrey L. Solomon[1]

5 Beth Ann Petrakis[1]

6 Leon Sawh[3,4,5]

7 David A. Smelson[1,3,4]

9 [1]Department of Veterans Affairs, Edith Nourse Rogers VA Hospital, Bedford, MA

10 [2]Department of Health Care Policy, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA

11 [3]VA National Center on Homelessness among Veterans, Philadelphia, Bedford, MA

12 [4]Department of Psychiatry, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA

13 [5]School of Criminology and Justice Studies, University of Massachusetts, Lowell MA

16 **Acknowledgements.** Supported by the National Center for Homelessness Among Veterans, the

17 HIV/Hepatitis Quality Enhancement Research Initiative (HHQ 12-001) and the eHealth Quality

18 Enhancement Research Initiative (EHQ 52-009). In addition DK McInnes was supported by a

19 VA Career Development Award (CDA 09-016). We thank Kathryn O'Connor for help

20 conducting interviews.

23 **Key Words**: homeless persons, access to care, veterans, cellular phone, informatics,

INTRODUCTION

The health of homeless veterans is among the worst of any vulnerable group, which is concerning given that there are approximately 67,000 homeless veterans on the street[1] and homeless veterans comprise 11.1% of US homeless population.[2] Homeless veterans have high rates of chronic conditions. A study of urban homeless veterans receiving care in a Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) medical center found 53% suffering from degenerative joint disease or arthritis, 45% with hypertension, 35% with hyperlipidemia, and 28% with hepatitis.[3] The study also reported that mental health related conditions were highly prevalent, with 71% suffering from alcohol abuse, 67% with depression, 43% cocaine use, 37% anxiety, 17% heroin use, and 11% bipolar disorder.

Information technologies (IT) are increasingly being used to improve access to health care, make utilization of services more efficient, and improve health outcomes.[4, 5] IT such as mobile phone tools including texting and apps contribute to improved medication adherence,[6] increased clinic attendance rates,[7] increased vaccination rates,[8] and behavior changes such as reduction in smoking in non-homeless populations.[9] While some of this research examines use of information technologies with people with low incomes in low resource settings, such as in developing countries, there have been few interventions aimed at assisting homeless persons in their use of technologies for health-related purposes. Data from primarily non-veteran populations indicate that about half or more of homeless persons have mobile phones.[10-12] Therefore, we sought to understand homeless veteran's access to and use of information technologies, and whether using these technologies to communicate with health care providers would be acceptable to them.

46 METHODS

47 The current qualitative study was one component of a larger survey project that examined rates

48 of technology ownership among 106 homeless veterans living in an urban area of the Northeast

49 US.[13] In the current study in-depth interviews were conducted with 30 homeless veterans to

50 explore, access to, and perceptions of, information technologies; and, attitudes toward using

51 information technologies for health related purposes.

52

53 **Participants.** We sought to represent a variety of homeless veterans by recruiting from different

54 geographic locations and housing programs around the greater Boston metropolitan area. The 30

55 veterans recruited came from five locations representing four different types of housing

56 programs – domiciliary, transitional housing, grant-per-diem (GPD), and emergency shelter (we

57 recruited from two shelters that were in adjoining towns, but run by the same organization). Two

58 housing programs (domiciliary and transitional housing) were run by the US Department of

59 Veterans Affairs (VA) and two by a single local non-profit organization (GPD and the two

60 emergency shelters). The VA Domiciliary program has a maximum 100 day stay with a focus

61 on veterans with substance use disorders, while the VA transitional housing program allows

62 residence for up to two years. GPD refers to housing owned by a non-profit, with maintenance

63 and program costs partially subsidized by federal funding. Residents in the GPD program

64 described in this study can stay for up to two years and they receive supportive services

65 including case management and vocational training.

66

67 Researchers met with staff at the four programs to describe the study objectives and to enlist staff

68 assistance in notifying residents about the study. In addition, at the domiciliary and the

69   transitional housing facilities, investigators described the study directly to residents at the weekly

70   resident meetings and posted flyers about the research study. Interviews were conducted

71   between January and September 2012 at the program facilities. Prior to the interviews, a

72   qualitative team investigator (GF) conducted training for interviewers (BAP, KO, DKM) on

73   semi-structured interviewing techniques and taking field notes.

74

75   Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to the interviews. Participants

76   received $25 for study participation. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board

77   of the Edith Nourse Rogers Memorial Veterans Hospital, Bedford, Massachusetts (Approval

78   #0008).

79

80   **Data collection**. We conducted semi-structured qualitative interviews. In addition to collecting

81   demographic information, interview topics covered two broad areas, first, access to IT, including

82   ownership of a mobile phone, use of mobile phones, uses of other information technologies (e.g.

83   computer, Internet), and information technology use related to health care; and secondly,

84   perceptions of potential health-related interventions based on mobile phone calls or texting, such

85   as reminders (e.g. for appointments, medication-taking and refills, reminders, availability of lab

86   results). Interviewers recorded descriptive field notes immediately following interviews. They

87   consisted of a systematic summarization of participants' responses to interview questions.[14]

88   More detailed notes were added to the field notes when investigators (JS, BAP, KM) listened to

89   the audio-recordings. In addition verbatim interview transcripts were created and used by the

90   research team to extract participant quotes.

91

92 **Analysis.** An analysis team (BAP, JS, KM) led by a qualitative research expert (JS) conducted

93 an inductive thematic analysis to identify broad themes and subthemes from the field notes.[15]

94 Investigators used an iterative process to develop a preliminary list of themes and to develop

95 inter-coder reliability. Each member conducted a close reading of seven field notes and coded

96 them for preliminary themes. Through in-person meetings the team developed consensus on the

97 list of themes. Then, using a set of 5 field notes, team members did coding and discussed results

98 in order to achieve good inter-rater reliability. Meetings were used to discuss those themes,

99 determine whether they indicated the emergence of additional themes, and make a revised list of

100 themes. Through subsequent meetings some themes were collapsed and new themes were

101 added. The remaining 18 field notes were divided among the analysis team for coding, with

102 investigators meeting regularly to discuss the coding process and any potential new themes.

103 Throughout these discussions, investigators maintained a strong degree of consensus regarding

104 the themes. Themes and corresponding examples from field notes were documented and tracked

105 in a shared folder accessible to the analysis team.

106

107 Once all field notes had been coded in the shared coding document, each member of the analysis

108 team developed a written summary of the most salient themes. Salience was defined both by

109 frequency of codes supporting that theme and/or the degree that it addressed study goals. We

110 met to discuss the written summaries and come to consensus on the most important findings. In

111 a final phase, after developing preliminary interpretations, we searched the data for alternative

112 interpretations and rival conclusions.

113

114

115   RESULTS

116   **Description of participants**. The 30 participants ranged in age from 33 to 65 years. Most

117   (87%) were male and white (77%). Other race/ethnicities were black (17%) and Native

118   American (7%). Over half (60%) had some college education (but not 4-year degrees), 37% had

119   completed high school or equivalent, and 3% had not completed high school. Almost all (90%)

120   had a mobile phone at the time of the interview, 70% used the Internet, and 72% had an email

121   address. See Table 1. When asked about current health, commonly mentioned conditions were

122   depression, PTSD, substance and alcohol use disorders, anxiety, and hepatitis C.

123

124   Respondents received healthcare from a variety of sources. The VA-run homeless facilities

125   linked veterans to VA medical care – for those in the domiciliary it was on the same physical

126   campus, and thus relatively easy to access. For those in the VA transitional housing, there was

127   VA shuttle bus transportation to and from a VA medical center. One of the emergency shelters

128   had a nurse on site. Some participants relied on hospital clinics that specifically targeted

129   homeless patients.

130

131   **Overview of findings.** We have organized our findings into four main areas: 1) Barriers to

132   communication with health care providers; 2) Access and barriers to, and current use of,

133   information technologies, in general; 3) Current uses of information technologies for health-

134   related purposes; and, 4) Attitudes toward information technology use for new types of health-

135   related communications. Related to the fourth theme (attitudes toward information technology),

136   our interview questions covered specific uses of IT for appointment reminders, medication refill

137   reminders, medication adherence support, and laboratory result notification – all of which have

138   been tried in the general population, but not with homeless persons.   Findings from each of the

139   four main areas are described below.

140

141   **Barriers to communication with health care providers.**

142   Becoming homeless creates substantial disruption in a person's life that can be long lasting.

143   Communication with health care providers and systems becomes complicated. Some reported

144   that they no longer had a reliable mailing address, or the health system had an old address on file

145   and letters were going to that address. Thus they sometimes missed important letters containing

146   medical appointment reminders or changes, or laboratory results. Similarly, most no longer had

147   a landline phone as a result of their homelessness, causing them to miss telephone appointment

148   reminders from their healthcare providers. Cell phones replace landlines, but participants staying

149   in shelters reported that guests must hand in their mobile phones to staff in the late afternoon or

150   evening for safekeeping overnight.

151

152   **Access and barriers to, and current use of, information technologies, in general.**

153   Mobile phones and/or computers were used by almost all study participants. They used these

154   devices for many of the same reasons that non-homeless persons do, such as staying in touch

155   with family and friends, taking care of personal business (e.g. making appointments, checking

156   bank accounts, connecting with health care providers), entertainment, and gathering information,

157   including, to some extent, health-related information.

158

159   *Mobile phones.* Ninety percent of participants had mobile phones. Participants paid for the

160   devices and service in a number of ways. Some got their phones through government programs

161   that provide a free device and 250 free minutes per month, with some respondents noting they

162   had 2 such free phones in order to boost the number of monthly available minutes. Others had

163   inexpensive devices and bought pre-paid cards (e.g. $10 or $20) for phone and/or texting

164   services and reloaded cards as needed. Others were on contracts. Typically this was through a

165   relative, friend, spouse, or ex-spouse. Mobile phones were used for things like keeping in touch

166   with family and friends, tracking appointments on the calendar feature, and searching for work.

167   A number reported they used texting, while others did not, but indicated they would like to learn

168   how.

169

170   *Computers and internet. Use of* computers, the internet, and email were common. A few had

171   laptops, while others reported using computers at libraries and other locations that provided free

172   computer and internet access. Common uses were for reading the news, searching for jobs, and

173   entertainment, such as listening to music and playing games. Some used the Internet to find

174   places to stay. A few reported not knowing how to use the internet and similarly not having an

175   email account. However, there was interest among these respondents in learning how to use the

176   internet.

177

178   **Current uses of information technologies for health-related purposes.**

179   *General uses.* Many participants were using computers, Internet, and mobile phones for health-

180   related purposes. Table 2 summarizes our findings related to perceptions and use of IT for

181   health-related purposes. Some seemed to regularly use their mobile phones to keep in touch with

182   primary care and other health care providers, including psychiatrists, social workers, and case

183   managers.

184

185   *"I'd be lost [without my cell phone]...I keep in close communication with my social*

186   *worker, for my doctors, and everything. They always check on me..."*

187

188   A few used mobile phone text messaging to contact health care providers, including to find out

189   about upcoming appointments. Many respondents used the internet to look up information about

190   medical conditions, medications, side effects, and medication interactions. Some used email to

191   check upcoming appointments with health care providers. Few respondents reported ever having

192   used an electronic personal health record such as the VA's My HealtheVet system. One reported

193   using My HealtheVet to order medication refills and to check laboratory results, and several

194   others knew about My HealtheVet but had not used it. Quite a few had never heard of it.

195

196   *Appointment reminders, medication refill reminders, medication adherence, and laboratory.*

197   Respondents were asked about whether they currently received reminders via mobile phone or

198   Internet, about appointments, medication refills or medication taking, or if they received

199   notification that laboratory results were ready. Some respondents currently received phone call

200   reminders and liked them. There was variation in what kind of phone calls the respondents liked.

201   Several liked live (e.g. speaking directly to receptionist or nurse) reminders because they were

202   seen as more personal and allowed patients to ask questions. Several respondents received

203   automated calls, or "robo-calls", but they generally were not satisfied with them. They reported

204   they could be confusing, for example it would not be clear to which clinic or doctor the

205   appointment was for, and there was often no phone number given to call back. None were

206   currently receiving regular text message reminders for health related purposes.

207

208 No respondents reported receiving systematic reminders to refill a prescription or to take a dose

209 of a current medication. Laboratory results, respondents reported, were generally given to them

210 in-person when they came for health care visits. Respondents did not report receiving mobile

211 phone calls or text messages to let them know their laboratory results were ready.

212

213 **Attitudes toward information technology use for new types of health-related**

214 **communications.**

215 We explored with participants a variety of ways that healthcare providers might use mobile

216 phones to communicate with patients. We asked respondents about their interest in receiving 1)

217 appointment reminders, 2) medication-related reminders, 3) notifications that laboratory results

218 were ready, and 4) "checking-in" type outreach (either daily phone calls or texts to ask "how are

219 you", or phone calls or text messages to patients who had not been seen in the clinic in a long

220 time to encourage them to come for a visit). There was considerable support for healthcare

221 providers using mobile phone technology to communicate with veterans for these kinds of

222 purposes.

223

224 *Appointment reminders.* There was strong interest in receiving appointment reminders from

225 healthcare providers. Many respondents cited poor memory as one of the reasons that reminders

226 were appealing.

227

228   *"A lot of us vets our memories aren't that great and to receive something on a cell phone*

229   *like a text message letting me know two days from now I have an appointment, that way I*

230   *wouldn't forget about it. Yeah, that would be very helpful."*

231

232   Perhaps because of the familiarity with this mode (some used to receive phone call reminders on

233   landlines), there was considerable openness to greater use of mobile phone call reminders. As

234   mentioned above, several preferred live phone calls which were viewed as more personal and

235   allowed greater interactivity, even if they cost more than a text message or an automated call,

236   *"It's just more personal and you can ask questions".*   Automated calls, while acceptable to

237   some, were viewed more ambiguously by many others. Some referred to them disparagingly as

238   "robo-calls".

239

240   *When you answer it you can't shut it off and you're stuck with it and it eats up all your*

241   *time and you don't know who it is.*

242

243   Also, others noted automated calls can be confusing because they often do not indicate who is

244   calling, which doctor's office it is coming from, or which clinic to go to, and they often do not

245   provide a phone number to call if one has questions.

246

247   Mobile phone text message reminders were seen as practical and efficient. Respondents liked

248   the fact that they provide a written record that one can review as many times as needed. If

249   English is not the recipient's first language, noted one respondent, the recipient can show the text

250   message to a friend for help in understanding the message content.

251

252   *Well you have something solid in front of you. You don't have to write it down.*

253   *You can save it and it's there. I mean you have all your information right there.*

254

255 Conversely, a provider or receptionist may have an accent that is hard to understand, as noted

256 one respondent, and a text message would be preferable to voice communication that the patient

257 has a hard time understanding.

258

259 Participants liked the asynchronous nature of texting. One reported that he was not supposed to

260 receive phone calls during work, but with text messages he could read and respond to them

261 during breaks or after work. Other respondents suggested that text message reminders should

262 have the option for the recipient to respond, for example to confirm they will attend the

263 appointment, or to request rescheduling or cancellation.

264

265 Some in our sample were not sure of the value of text message appointment reminders. Some

266 did not want to receive any reminders (phone or text) on their mobile phone because of the cost.

267 Others were concerned about the potential annoyance of receiving too many text reminders,

268 while others reported they did not view their text in-box frequently enough for it to be valuable

269 as a reminder system for appointments coming in the next one to two days. Some respondents,

270 who lacked skills or confidence in texting, felt they would need to learn to use it because it was a

271 technology that, as one respondent said, is "here to stay".

272

273 *Medication related reminders.* Participants were asked about two kinds of medication-related

274 reminders – for prescription refills and for medication adherence.   Medication refill reminders

275 were generally positively seen, whether it was a live person making the reminder call, an

276 automated call, or a text message. Several participants expressed interest in reminders to assist

277 with medication adherence.

278

279 Participants were generally in favor of receiving mobile phone calls – live or automated – to

280 remind patients that it was time to refill a prescription. Here a respondent describes his

281 preference for live calls for appointment reminders, *"It's just more personal and you can ask*

282 *questions. It's more informative, just better to talk to a live person."*

283

284 There was also widespread support for text message reminders for medication refills.

285 Respondents proposed other ideas as well. One suggestion concerned hospital pharmacies.

286 When patients were on-site at the hospital or medical center waiting for their medication, the

287 pharmacy could text the patient that their prescription was ready to be picked up at the pharmacy

288 window. This would allow patients to leave the pharmacy waiting room to go to other parts of

289 the medical center, e.g. to cafeteria or store. Several respondents were supportive of text

290 messages used for medication taking, for example the sending of text messages each morning at

291 a specific time to remind a patient to take their pills.

292

293 Some respondents expressed concern about potentially receiving too many medication-related

294 reminders. Some said it would be annoying to receive reminders daily or more often, for

295 example before each dose of medication.

296

297   *If they do [text messages] more than once I would get annoyed. You know, … if they do it*

298   *like four, five times … I'll probably throw the phone up against the wall!*

299

300   *Laboratory results.* Participants felt it would be valuable to be notified via their mobile phone

301   that laboratory results were ready to be discussed with a healthcare provider, though this support

302   was not as strong as for appointment reminders. While several liked this idea, some were not

303   interested because the current system of receiving lab results from their clinician during office

304   visits worked fine for them, while others felt a text message saying their lab results were ready

305   would just make them feel nervous that the results were going to be bad. Similar to appointment

306   reminders, there was support, however, for text message reminders to have lab work done:

307

308   *[Text messages to remind you to have lab work done] would be very helpful because they*

309   *usually schedule [lab work] like months in advance. And if you don't write it down on the*

310   *calendar and you try to remember something like that, it's impossible. So that would be a*

311   *very good idea.*

312

313   *Caring Outreach.* We explored the idea of a check-in or caring outreach, by phone call (live or

314   automated) or text message. One type of message would target patients who had not been seen

315   by their healthcare team in a long time, for example one or two years. The content of such a

316   phone or text outreach was described as, "We were wondering how you are doing because we

317   haven't seen you in a while? It would be great to see you. Please call xxx-xxx-xxxx to set up an

318   appointment". Respondents supported this idea.

319

320 Interviewers also asked about daily outreach efforts delivered via mobile phone, such as "Are

321 you doing alright today?" This was also seen positively. Respondents indicated this would be

322 especially valuable for people who were having psychological difficulties.

323

324   *That would be a huge help and then if you say, "no," [I'm not doing well] well okay then*

325   *they transfer you and then either you're texting or phoning with somebody to try to help*

326   *get you immediate help. Um, yeah that would be huge.*

327

328 **DISCUSSION**

329 Through qualitative interviews with 30 homeless veterans living in a large Northeastern US

330 metropolitan area, we found that the majority had access to, and used, mobile phones and other

331 information technologies regularly, and also reported positive attitudes about health providers

332 using these technologies to connect with homeless veterans related to their healthcare needs.

333 Respondents viewed reminders especially favorably whether for upcoming health appointments,

334 medication refills, medication taking, or to receive laboratory results. There was also support for

335 mobile phone calls or text messages to reach out to individuals whose health was considered at

336 especially high risk.

337

338 Life is disrupted when one does not have a stable home and this interrupts health seeking

339 behavior.[16, 17] While some homeless shelters have clinics on site, or facilitate transportation to

340 health care services, in general the US health care system, including the VA health care system,

341 is designed for people who have stable housing, a dependable mailing address, a landline phone,

342   and easy access to the Internet. Homeless veterans lack many of these resources. However,

343   despite the economic, societal, situational and psychological barriers that affect homeless

344   veterans accessing healthcare, our results suggest that IT such as mobile phones can contribute to

345   improving access to outpatient health care services, which in turn may lead to improved health

346   outcomes. While these technologies may not directly address these serious underlying

347   challenges, IT does make it easier to connect with health care providers (e.g. text messages,

348   mobile phone calls, emails and secure messages), remember appointment times (e.g. using

349   calendar and reminder functions on one's phone, or receiving text message reminders from

350   health care team), and know when it is time to refill a medication (interactive voice response,

351   text messages or email refill reminders).

352

353   Treatment engagement is an area that can be addressed through mobile technologies as missed

354   visits and being lost to follow up present a significant problem for managing chronic conditions,

355   including homeless persons trying to manage high-risk health care needs such as HIV, substance

356   abuse, chronic pain, and depression.[18-25] Fortunately, there is evidence that even modest

357   interventions can help improve visit attendance for vulnerable populations, including brochures

358   and posters in exam and waiting rooms reminding patients about the importance of coming to all

359   clinic visits,[26] and text message appointment reminders which have been shown to increase

360   appointment attendance in a variety of health care settings with diverse populations.[7, 27] Our

361   finding that many homeless persons use mobile phones suggests this may be a worthwhile

362   approach to reducing missed visits in that population.

363

364   Another area ripe for innovations is medication adherence. Poor medication adherence is a

365   serious barrier to chronic disease management,[28] and low income populations are at especially

366   high risk for non-adherence.[29] Studies of homeless populations' medication adherence indicate

367   that both patients and providers recognize it is a major problem.[24] In a study of homeless and

368   unstably housed tuberculosis patients, 36% reported they expected to have difficulty regularly

369   taking their tuberculosis medications, and 30% said they had no one to help remind them to take

370   medications.[30] This illustrates the lack of social support networks available to many homeless

371   and unstably housed persons, and the potential role that IT, such as texting, emails, and social

372   media, can play in creating an electronically enhanced social network or system that can support

373   disease self-management. A number of studies in non-homeless populations, including

374   randomized trials, have indicated that text message medication adherence reminders contribute to

375   improved anti-retroviral medication adherence and reductions in viral load for persons with

376   HIV.[6] Other studies have shown that text messaging interventions can contribute to other

377   behavior changes, such as smoking cessation,[4, 9, 31, 32] blood glucose monitoring by diabetics,[33]

378   and weight loss behaviors.[34] While our respondents reacted favorably to the idea of mobile

379   phone medication-related reminders, they warned against over-utilization of such reminders.

380   Once-a-day text-messages seemed to be the maximum acceptable number for medication

381   adherence reminders and other health-related purposes.

382

383   It is important to underscore that the use of IT with vulnerable populations is not without

384   challenges. With a low-income population such as the homeless, cost will inevitably be an issue

385   – some of our study participants felt that things like appointment reminders would be a waste of

386   their monthly allotment of talk and text. Other barriers for this population and include the

387   difficulty keeping phones charged,[35] loss and theft of phones,[36] and, for those in some emergency

388   shelters, not having access to mobile phones in the evenings because shelters lock up the phones

389   at night. A broader health system issue regarding mobile phone texting relates to privacy. Some

390   health care settings, including the Department of Veterans Affairs, have not approved mobile

391   phone texting because it is considered unsecure.[37] These systems hope to avoid inadvertent

392   disclosure of sensitive information, for example a patient sending a text message to a provider

393   asking when HIV test results would be ready would be exposing him/herself to loss of privacy.[38]

394

395   There are several limitations to this study. As a small study, using a convenience sample of

396   homeless veterans from a single metropolitan area, the findings may not be generalizable to other

397   populations of homeless veterans in other parts of the country, or in rural areas. Additionally, we

398   did not interview any homeless veterans living on the streets, living doubled-up with friends or

399   relatives, or using single-room occupancy hotels. In addition, most participants were males, so

400   the findings may not apply to women. All data collected was via self-report, so the various uses

401   of IT were not verified in an objective manner. Social desirability bias may have caused

402   respondents to speak more favorably of proposed uses of technologies than they actually felt.

403

404   **Conclusion**: Many homeless veterans have mobile phones and regularly access the internet.

405   Mobile phones may represent an effective tool for communicating with homeless persons and

406   increasing their engagement in care and adherence to treatment. When asked about a variety of

407   kinds of communication, there was support for mobile phone calls and text messages, for

408   purposes such as appointment reminders, medication refill reminders, and reminders to take

409   medications. There was also support for outreach to bring back into care homeless patients who

410   had not been seen by their providers in a long time; and as a means of keeping regular contact

411   with individuals who may be susceptible to suicidality. Additional research is needed to evaluate

412   implementation of mobile phone communication systems for homeless persons in clinical

413   settings and to assess their impact on engaging homeless persons in health care services.

Table 1. Characteristics of study participants

	VA Domiciliary (n=9)		VA Transitional Housing (n=6)		Emergency Shelters (n=9)		Grant Per Diem (n=6)		Total (n=30)	
	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)
Male	78	(7)	83	(5)	100	(9)	83	(5)	87	(26)
Ethnicity										
Hispanic	0	(0)	0	(0)	11	(1)	17	(1)	0	(0)
Native Am	11	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	7	(2)
Black	0	(0)	50	(3)	11	(1)	17	(1)	17	(5)
White	89	(9)	50	(3)	78	(7)	67	(4)	77	(23)
Education				()						
Some HS	0	(0)	17	(1)	0	(0)	0	(0)	3	(1)
HS/GED	22	(2)	50	(3)	33	(3)	50	(3)	37	(11)
Some college	78	(7)	33	(2)	67	(6)	50	(3)	60	(18)
Have cell phone	78	(7)	100	(6)	89	(8)	100	(6)	90	(27)
Go online	78	(7)	67	(4)	56	(5)	83	(5)	70	(21)
Have email	67	(6)	67	(4)	56	(5)	100	(6)	70	(21)
Age, Mean (SD)	50.7 (10.16)		54.8 (5.12)		58.0 (4.64)		50.0 (10.49)		53.6 (8.34)	

VA=Department of Veterans Affairs; SD=standard deviation; HS=high school; GED=high school equivalency exam

Table 2. Perceptions and uses of IT for health-related purposes, summary of findings.

Topic	Perceptions and Uses
Current health-related uses of technology	• Receive cell-phone reminder calls for appointments • Use of mobile phone to stay in touch with health professionals • Some reported dislike for automated appointment reminder calls which can be confusing and use up valuable cell phone minutes.
Openness to using mobile phones for health	• Reminder text messages were seen positively (e.g. for appointments, medication refills, and medication taking) • Provider proactive checking on physical or emotional health was acceptable, e.g. receiving a text message: "How are you doing?"
Perceived benefits	• Convenience: information is retrievable, there is less need to write appointment information down, and the asynchronous communication is less intrusive. • Organization: reduces worry about losing slips of paper with appointment information, and forgetting to go to appointments
Possible barriers	• Cost: calls and texts cost the recipient money if they do not have an unlimited mobile phone plan • Annoyance: too many text messages become annoying instead of helpful • Lack of skills: some participants lacked text messaging skills

REFERENCES

1. US Department of Veterans Affairs. Facts About Veterans Homelessness. 2012. Available at: http://www.va.gov/HOMELESS/about_the_initiative.asp

2. Perl L. Veterans and Homelessness. Washington, DC: Congressional Research Service; 2011

3. O'Toole TP, Buckel L, Bourgault C, et al. Applying the chronic care model to homeless veterans: effect of a population approach to primary care on utilization and clinical outcomes. *American journal of public health*. 2010;100(12):2493-2499

4. Free C, Phillips G, Galli L, et al. The Effectiveness of Mobile-Health Technology-Based Health Behaviour Change or Disease Management Interventions for Health Care Consumers: A Systematic Review. *PLoS Med*. 2013;10(1)

5. Cole-Lewis H, Kershaw T. Text messaging as a tool for behavior change in disease prevention and management. *Epidemiol Rev*. 2010;32(1):56-69

6. Lester RT, Ritvo P, Mills EJ, et al. Effects of a mobile phone short message service on antiretroviral treatment adherence in Kenya (WelTel Kenya1): a randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2010;376(9755):1838-1845

7. Guy R, Hocking J, Wand H, Stott S, Ali H, Kaldor J. How effective are short message service reminders at increasing clinic attendance? A meta-analysis and systematic review. *Health services research*. 2012;47(2):614-632

8. Stockwell MS, Kharbanda EO, Martinez RA, Vargas CY, Vawdrey DK, Camargo S. Effect of a text messaging intervention on influenza vaccination in an urban, low-income pediatric and adolescent population: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association*. 2012;307(16):1702-1708

9. Free C, Knight R, Robertson S, et al. Smoking cessation support delivered via mobile phone text messaging (txt2stop): a single-blind, randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2011;378(9785):49-55

10. Eyrich-Garg KM. Mobile phone technology: a new paradigm for the prevention, treatment, and research of the non-sheltered "street" homeless? *J Urban Health*. 2010;87(3):365-380

11. Stennett CR, Weissenborn MR, Fisher GD, Cook RL. Identifying an effective way to communicate with homeless populations. *Public Health*. 2012;126(1):54-56

12. McInnes DK, Li AE, Hogan TP. Opportunities for engaging low-income, vulnerable populations in health care: a systematic review of homeless persons' access to and use of information technologies. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2013;103 Suppl 2:e11-24

13. McInnes DK, Sawh L, Petrakis BA, et al. The potential for health-related uses of mobile phones and internet with homeless veterans: Results from a multi-site survey. *Telemed J E Health*. 2014;(in press)

14. Bernard HR. Research Methods in Anthropology: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches. Walnut Creek, CA: Alta Mira; 2002

15. Patton M. Qualitative Research and Evaluation Methods, 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.; 2002

16. Gelberg L, Gallagher TC, Andersen RM, Koegel P. Competing priorities as a barrier to medical care among homeless adults in Los Angeles. *American journal of public health*. 1997;87(2):217-220

17. O'Toole TP, Conde-Martel A, Gibbon JL, Hanusa BH, Freyder PJ, Fine MJ. Where do people go when they first become homeless? A survey of homeless adults in the USA. *Health & social care in the community*. 2007;15(5):446-453

18. Giordano TP, Visnegarwala F, White AC, Jr., et al. Patients referred to an urban HIV clinic frequently fail to establish care: factors predicting failure. *AIDS Care*. 2005;17(6):773-783

19. Schluger N, Ciotoli C, Cohen D, Johnson H, Rom WN. Comprehensive tuberculosis control for patients at high risk for noncompliance. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*. 1995;151(5):1486-1490

20.   Karter AJ, Parker MM, Moffet HH, et al. Missed appointments and poor glycemic control: an opportunity to identify high-risk diabetic patients. *Medical care*. 2004;42(2):110-115

21.   Macharia WM, Leon G, Rowe BH, Stephenson BJ, Haynes RB. An overview of interventions to improve compliance with appointment keeping for medical services. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association*. 1992;267(13):1813-1817

22.   Haynes RB, McDonald HP, Garg AX. Helping patients follow prescribed treatment: clinical applications. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association*. 2002;288(22):2880-2883

23.   Mugavero MJ, Westfall AO, Zinski A, et al. Measuring retention in HIV care: the elusive gold standard. *Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes*. 2012;61(5):574-580

24.   Hwang SW, Wilkins E, Chambers C, Estrabillo E, Berends J, MacDonald A. Chronic pain among homeless persons: characteristics, treatment, and barriers to management. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2011;12:73

25.   Weiser SD, Riley ED, Ragland K, Hammer G, Clark R, Bangsberg DR. Brief report: Factors associated with depression among homeless and marginally housed HIV-infected men in San Francisco. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2006;21(1):61-64

26.   Gardner LI, Marks G, Craw JA, et al. A low-effort, clinic-wide intervention improves attendance for HIV primary care. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2012;55(8):1124-1134

27.   McInnes DK, Petrakis BA, Gifford AL, et al. Retaining homeless veterans in outpatient care: a pilot study of mobile phone text message appointment reminders. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2014;104 Suppl 4:S588-594

28.   Viswanathan M, Golin CE, Jones CD, et al. Interventions to Improve Adherence to Self-administered Medications for Chronic Diseases in the United States: A Systematic Review. *Ann Intern Med*. 2012

29.   Mojtabai R, Olfson M. Medication costs, adherence, and health outcomes among Medicare beneficiaries. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2003;22(4):220-229

30.   Craig GM, Booth H, Story A, et al. The impact of social factors on tuberculosis management. *Journal of advanced nursing*. 2007;58(5):418-424

31.   Free C, Whittaker R, Knight R, Abramsky T, Rodgers A, Roberts IG. Txt2stop: a pilot randomised controlled trial of mobile phone-based smoking cessation support. *Tob Control*. 2009;18(2):88-91

32.   Rodgers A, Corbett T, Bramley D, et al. Do u smoke after txt? Results of a randomised trial of smoking cessation using mobile phone text messaging. *Tob Control*. 2005;14(4):255-261

33.   Hanauer DA, Wentzell K, Laffel N, Laffel LM. Computerized Automated Reminder Diabetes System (CARDS): e-mail and SMS cell phone text messaging reminders to support diabetes management. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. 2009;11(2):99-106

34.   Patrick K, Raab F, Adams MA, et al. A text message-based intervention for weight loss: randomized controlled trial. *J Med Internet Res*. 2009;11(1):e1

35.   Le Dantec CA, Edwards WK. Designs on dignity: perceptions of technology among the homeless. *CHI '08: Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems*. 2008:627-636

36.   Bure C. Digital inclusion without social inclusion: The consumption of information and communication technologies (ICTs) within homeless subculture in Scotland. *The Journal of Community Informatics*. 2006;2(2):116-133

37.   Hassinen M, Laitinen P. End-to-end Encryption for SMS Messages in the Health Care Domain. Connecting Medical Informatics and Bio-Informatics: Proceedings of MIE2005 - The XIXth International Congress of the European Federation for Medical Informatics Amsterdam: IOS Press; 2005:316-321

38.   Lim MS, Hocking JS, Hellard ME, Aitken CK. SMS STI: a review of the uses of mobile phone text messaging in sexual health. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2008;19(5):287-290