

Taxonomic description and phylogenetic placement of two new species of *Spalangiopelta* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae: Ceinae) from Eocene Baltic amber (#53763)

1

First submission

Guidance from your Editor

Please submit by **29 Nov 2020** for the benefit of the authors (and your \$200 publishing discount) .



Structure and Criteria

Please read the 'Structure and Criteria' page for general guidance.



Custom checks

Make sure you include the custom checks shown below, in your review.



Raw data check

Review the raw data.



Image check

Check that figures and images have not been inappropriately manipulated.

Privacy reminder: If uploading an annotated PDF, remove identifiable information to remain anonymous.

Files

Download and review all files from the [materials page](#).

8 Figure file(s)

3 Table file(s)

1 Other file(s)

! Custom checks

New species checks



Have you checked our [new species policies](#)?



Do you agree that it is a new species?



Is it correctly described e.g. meets ICZN standard?



Structure and Criteria

Structure your review

The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

1. BASIC REPORTING
2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
3. VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS
4. General comments
5. Confidential notes to the editor

 You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

When ready [submit online](#).

Editorial Criteria

Use these criteria points to structure your review. The full detailed editorial criteria is on your [guidance page](#).

BASIC REPORTING

-  Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
-  Intro & background to show context. Literature well referenced & relevant.
-  Structure conforms to [PeerJ standards](#), discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
-  Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
-  Raw data supplied (see [PeerJ policy](#)).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

-  Original primary research within [Scope of the journal](#).
-  Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
-  Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
-  Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.

VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

-  Impact and novelty not assessed. Negative/inconclusive results accepted. *Meaningful* replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
-  All underlying data have been provided; they are robust, statistically sound, & controlled.
-  Speculation is welcome, but should be identified as such.
-  Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.

Standout reviewing tips

3



The best reviewers use these techniques

Tip

Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources

Example

Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.

Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript

Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57- 86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).

Comment on language and grammar issues

The English language should be improved to ensure that an international audience can clearly understand your text. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 – the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult.

Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points

1. Your most important issue
2. The next most important item
3. ...
4. The least important points

Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions

I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC

Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript

I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be improved upon before Acceptance.

Taxonomic description and phylogenetic placement of two new species of *Spalangiopelta* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae: Ceinae) from Eocene Baltic amber

Marina Moser^{Corresp., 1, 2}, Roger A Burks³, Jonah M Ulmer^{1, 2}, John M Heraty³, Thomas van de Kamp^{4, 5}, Lars Krogmann^{Corresp., 1, 2}

¹ Department of Entomology, State Museum of Natural History Stuttgart, Stuttgart, Deutschland

² Institute of Biology, Systematic Entomology (190n), University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany

³ Department of Entomology, University of California Riverside, Riverside, California, USA

⁴ Institute for Photon Science and Synchrotron Radiation (IPS), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

⁵ Laboratory for Applications of Synchrotron Radiation, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany

Corresponding Authors: Marina Moser, Lars Krogmann

Email address: marina.moser@smns-bw.de, lars.krogmann@smns-bw.de

Spalangiopelta is a small genus of chalcid wasps that has received little attention despite the widespread distribution of its extant species. The fossil record of the genus is restricted to a single species from Miocene Dominican amber. We describe two new fossil species, *Spalangiopelta darlingi* sp. n. and *Spalangiopelta semialba* sp. n. from Baltic amber. The species can be placed within the extant genus *Spalangiopelta* based on the distinctly raised hind margin of the mesopleuron. 3D models reconstructed from μ CT data were utilized to assist in the descriptions. Furthermore, we provide a key for the females of all currently known *Spalangiopelta* species. The phylogenetic placement of the fossils within the genus is analyzed using parsimony analysis based on morphological characters. Phylogenetic and functional relevance of two wing characters, admarginal setae and the hyaline break, are discussed. The newly described Baltic amber fossils significantly extend the minimum age of *Spalangiopelta* to the Upper Eocene.

Taxonomic description and phylogenetic placement of two new species of *Spalangiopelta* (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae: Ceinae) from Eocene Baltic amber

Marina Moser^{1,2}, Roger A. Burks³, Jonah M. Ulmer^{1,2}, John M. Heraty³, Thomas van de Kamp^{4,5}, Lars Krogmann^{1,2}

¹ Department of Entomology, State Museum of Natural History Stuttgart, Rosenstein 1, 70191 Stuttgart, Germany

² Institute of Biology, Systematic Entomology (190n), University of Hohenheim, Schloss Hohenheim 1, 70599 Stuttgart, Germany

³ Department of Entomology, University of California Riverside, Riverside, CA, USA

⁴ Institute for Photon Science and Synchrotron Radiation (IPS), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, 76131 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

⁵ Laboratory for Applications of Synchrotron Radiation, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Kaiserstr. 1, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

Corresponding Authors:

Marina Moser^{1,2}

Department of Entomology, State Museum of Natural History Stuttgart, Rosenstein 1, 70191 Stuttgart, Germany

Email address: marina.moser@smns-bw.de

Lars Krogmann^{1,2}

Department of Entomology, State Museum of Natural History Stuttgart, Rosenstein 1, 70191 Stuttgart, Germany

Email address: lars.krogmann@smns-bw.de

Abstract

Spalangiopelta is a small genus of chalcid wasps that has received little attention despite the widespread distribution of its extant species. The fossil record of the genus is restricted to a single species from Miocene Dominican amber. We describe two new fossil species, *Spalangiopelta darlingi* sp. n. and *Spalangiopelta semialba* sp. n. from Baltic amber. The species can be placed within the extant genus *Spalangiopelta* based on the distinctly raised hind margin of the mesopleuron. 3D models reconstructed from μ CT data were utilized to assist in the descriptions. Furthermore, we provide a key for the females of all currently known *Spalangiopelta* species. The phylogenetic placement of the fossils within the genus is analyzed using parsimony analysis based on morphological characters. Phylogenetic and functional relevance of two wing characters, admarginal setae and the hyaline break, are discussed. The newly described Baltic amber fossils significantly extend the minimum age of *Spalangiopelta* to the Upper Eocene.

Introduction

Ceinae is a small subfamily of Pteromalidae within the megadiverse Chalcidoidea. The subfamily contains three genera, two of which are monotypic (Mitroiu 2016): *Bohpa* Darling 1991, which is known only from South Africa, and *Cea* Walker 1837, which is found throughout the Western Palearctic region. The genus *Spalangiopelta* Masi 1922 comprises 14 extant species, eight of which are known only from the palearctic region, whereas the other six species are Nearctic or Neotropic species (Darling 1991, Mitroiu 2016). In addition, Darling (1996) described *Spalangiopelta georgei*, a fossil from Dominican amber.

Specimens of Ceinae are rarely represented in collections (Darling 1991). To date, the biology and life history strategies of members of the subfamily are virtually unknown with the exception of one host record: *Spalangiopelta alata* Bouček 1953 was observed emerging from a leaf mine of the herbivorous drosophilid *Scaptomyza flava* (Fallén 1823) (Diptera: Drosophilidae, originally cited as *Scaptomyza flaveola* Meigen 1830) on *Cakile maritima* Scopoli (Brassicaceae) (Bouček 1961). Besides, *Cea pulicaris* Walker 1837 was reared from *Phytomyza pauliloewii* Hendel 1920 (Diptera: Agromyzidae) on *Peucedanum oreoselinum* (L.) Moench (Apiaceae) (Bouček 1961). The morphology of the mesosoma, which displays either a distinctly arched or a flattened configuration led to the assumption that *Spalangiopelta* females are associated with leaf litter and duff habitats (Darling 1991). From these findings it would seem that leaf-mining Diptera living in confined habitats are the hosts of *Spalangiopelta*.

At the time of description, the Dominican amber fossil *S. georgei* was dated to the Oligocene based on an age estimate of 23 and 30 million years (Darling 1996; Grimaldi 1995). However, more recent estimates on the age of Dominican amber reach from 15 to 20 million years with the highest density of resin-producing trees in the Miocene 16 million years ago (Iturralde-Vinent & MacPhee 1996; Iturralde-Vinent 2001). This reduces the previously assumed minimum age of *Spalangiopelta* considerably.

Baltic amber was formed during the Eocene but there is still considerable debate concerning its exact age and origin. Equally, the botanical origin of Baltic amber has remained the subject of scientific debate for decades and was portentously dubbed “The Tertiary Baltic Amber Mystery” (Langenheim 2003). Conservative studies build upon an allochthonous redeposition of Baltic amber into layers of Blaue Erde (Blue Earth) and therefore deduce an age at least matching that of the surrounding layers. Following this assumption, estimates of the age of Baltic amber range from 35 to 55 million years (Poinar 1992 and references therein) with several authors giving a narrower range of 40 to 47 million years (Burleigh & Whalley 1983; Grimaldi 1995; Grimaldi 1996; Ritzkowski 1997). In contrast, another theory has emerged in recent years: Based on the hypothesis that locality and time of the formation of Baltic amber do not differ significantly from its deposition in marine sediments, an age for Baltic amber of 35 to 43 million years was inferred (Sadowski et al. 2017; Standke 2008).

The current study addresses two fossil specimens of *Spalangiopelta* (Chalcidoidea: Ceinae) from Eocene Baltic amber, which fall within the known range of extant Palearctic *Spalangiopelta* species. The study aims to meet the following objectives: (1) Taxonomic description of two fossil wasp specimens from Baltic amber, complemented by digital images, scientific illustrations and 3D models reconstructed from μ CT data. (2) Development of a morphological key to enable the identification of extant and fossil *Spalangiopelta* species. (3) Phylogenetic placement of the two fossil specimens based on the cladistic analysis of morphological characters. Being the oldest fossils of *Spalangiopelta* described so far, the two fossils extend the minimum age of *Spalangiopelta* to the upper Eocene and thus more than double the minimum age of the genus.

Materials & Methods

Specimen description and terminology

Both holotypes are deposited at the State Museum of Natural History Stuttgart (SMNS). The morphological terminology in this study follows that of the Hymenoptera Anatomy Ontology (Yoder et al. 2010) with some additional terms from Darling (1991). Terms relating to surface sculpturing are based on Harris (1979). The description format

largely follows Darling (1996), allowing comparison between the amber fossils of *Spalangiopelta*.

Morphological description and imaging

Observations and descriptions were compiled using a Leica M205C stereo microscope with a 7.8 to 160x magnification. The illustration of *Spalangiopelta darlingi* sp. n. was made with the same equipment with a camera lucida attached (Fig. 1). A MZ 16 APO Leica R microscope with an attached DXM 1200 Leica R camera was used for habitus imaging with subsequent stacking of images in Helicon focus version 7.6.1 (Helicon Soft Ltd, Kharkov, Ukraine). Stacking followed the pyramid approach (method C) with the smoothing parameter setting of 4 to reduce image artefacts. Detail images were taken with a Keyence VHX 5000 digital microscope. Exact measurements given in Table 2 are based on the 3D models. All images were processed and figures were assembled in Adobe Photoshop version CS5.1 (Adobe Systems Software Ireland Ltd, Dublin, Ireland).

μCT reconstruction

Synchrotron-based X-ray microtomography was performed at the imaging cluster of the KIT light source at Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany. We used a parallel polychromatic X-ray beam produced by a 1.5 T bending magnet that was spectrally filtered by 0.5 mm aluminium. A fast indirect detector system was employed, consisting of a 12 μm LSO:Tb scintillator (Cecilia et al. 2011), diffraction-limited optical microscope (Optique Peter) coupled with a 12bit pco.dimax high speed camera with 2016 x 2016 pixels. Scans were done by taking 3,000 projections at 70 fps and an optical magnification of 10X, resulting in an effective pixel size of 1.22 μm. Tomographic reconstruction was performed by the UFO framework (Vogelgesang et al. 2012). Reconstruction of the 3D surface model followed the methodology described by Ruthensteiner & Hess (2008) and van de Kamp et al. (2018). The tomogram images were imported into Amira 6.5.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, U.S.A.) and preliminary volume renderings were created, giving an overview of the fossils. The models were segmented with the segmentation editor in Amira by labelling every tenth slice. The labels served as input for subsequent semi-automatic segmentation using the software “Biomedisa” (Lösel et al. (in press)). For the final models, Biomedisa’s smoothed and filled segmentation results were chosen. The images of the 3D models presented in this study are screenshots of the surface models that were constructed as outlined above.

Phylogenetic analysis and taxon sampling

Phylogenetic analyses were carried out based on the character matrix of Darling (1996), which comprises 24 morphological characters. *Spalangiopelta rameli* Mitroiu, 2016 and

Spalangiopelta viridis Mitroiu, 2016 were added and character states were assigned as far as the respective species description allowed (Tab. 1). Altogether, the phylogenetic analysis in the present study includes 17 ingroup species (14 extant species and three fossil species), representing all known species of *Spalangiopelta* (Tab. 1). *C. pulicaris* was used as an outgroup. Character states were assigned to the respective *Spalangiopelta* species based on (re-)descriptions in the revision by Darling (1991) or the original descriptions (Darling 1995; Mitroiu 2006; Walker 1851).

Character 1: Hyaline break in parastigma. 0: absent, 1: present. The third character state ("partial") in Darling (1996) was removed, returning the character to a binary state.

Character 2: Length of ovipositor sheaths. 0: long, sheaths extended beyond apex of metasoma at least one-half length of hind tibia. 1: short, sheaths only slightly protruding, at most one-third length of hind tibia.

Character 3: Sculpture of midlobe of mesoscutum. 0: imbricate, 1: longitudinally striate, 2: transversely costulate, 3: alveolate. The third character state ("alveolate") was added to classify *Spalangiopelta darlingi* sp. n. adequately.

Character 4: Length of petiole. 0: transverse, length about one-half maximum width, 1: campanulate, slightly longer than wide, 2: elongate, length about twice maximum width, 3: subquadrate, 4: inconspicuous.

Character 5: Presence of short-winged females. 0: present, 1: absent. This character is classified as "present" in three species, *Spalangiopelta felonia* and *Spalangiopelta brachyptera*, as well as the outgroup *C. pulicaris*, as short-winged females were observed in these species. It should be pointed out that both macropterous and brachypterous females are known in *S. felonia* and *C. pulicaris*, whereas in *S. brachyptera* all females have extremely reduced fore wings, which at most reach the middle of the propodeum. Data were coded as "missing" for six species (*Spalangiopelta alboaculeata*, *Spalangiopelta hiko*, *Spalangiopelta laevis* and the fossil species *Spalangiopelta georgei*, *Spalangiopelta darlingi* sp. n. and *Spalangiopelta semialba* sp. n.) because as yet the number of specimens available is too low to assess with certainty if short-winged females are present in the six species in question.

Character 6: Shape of hind margin of fore wing. 0: Fore and hind margins of fore wing parallel, 1: Fore wing margins not parallel, hind margin rounded, 2: Hind margin narrowed toward apex.

Character 7: Admarginal setae along the marginal vein. 0: present, 1: absent. The number of admarginal setae varies within individuals of the same species, therefore this

169 character only codes for presence or absence of admarginal setae but not for the exact
170 number of setae (Darling 1991).

171 *Character 8:* Shape of funicular segments of antenna. 0: funicular segments very
172 long, length at least three times width, 1: quadrate, length subequal to width, 2:
173 elongate, length about twice width, antenna overall slender with funicular segments
174 cylindrical, 3: elongate, length about twice width, antenna overall robust with funicular
175 segments widest in the middle, slightly barrel-shaped.

176 *Character 9:* Scape shape. 0: linear, cylindrical, 1: expanded, appears more robust.
177 In the newly described fossil species, this character is reconstructible only through μ CT
178 scans as the scape is hardly visible under the microscope.

179 *Character 10:* Sculpture of petiole. 0: with longitudinal costae, 1: alveolate without
180 longitudinal costae, 2: smooth, without longitudinal costae.

181 *Character 11:* Size of metascutellum. 0: short, length much less than one-half length
182 of mesoscutellum along midline. 1: long, about one-half length of mesoscutellum along
183 midline, longer than frenum.

184 *Character 12:* Colour pattern of head. 0: concolourous with mesopleuron, 1:
185 bicoloured, dark with white colouration on gena. *Spalangiopelta semialba* sp.n. is best
186 described by character state 1 ("bicoloured") based on the bright colouration of the
187 genal space. This bicoloured pattern does not seem to be an artefact of fossilisation due
188 to the consistent presence on both sides of the head.

189 *Character 13:* Colour of fore coxa. 0: concolourous with mesopleuron, 1: white,
190 strongly contrasted with mesopleuron.

191 *Character 14:* Sculpture of sub-median areas of propodeum. 0: imbricate, sculpture
192 partly overlapping, appearing scaled, 1: finely areolate, divided into several small,
193 irregular spaces, 2: alveolate, 3: glabrous, smooth, without any sculpture.

194 *Character 15:* Median carina on propodeum. 0: absent, 1: present.

195 *Character 16:* Angle of marginal and submarginal vein. 0: parallel, 1: angled. The
196 outgroup *C. pulicaris* is the only species with character state 0, therefore this character
197 does not provide information on the relationships within the genus *Spalangiopelta*.

198 *Character 17:* Shape of forewing. 0: narrow, length greater than 3.5 times width, 1:
199 wide, length less than 3.5 times width.

Character 18: Length of stigmal vein. 0: very short, almost sessile, 1: longer, about one-half length of postmarginal vein, PM/SV = 1.8-3.5, 2: very long, almost as long as postmarginal vein, PM/SV = 1.3-1.5.

Character 19: Angle of stigmal vein. 0: 45 degree angle with marginal and postmarginal veins, 1: 35 degree angle with marginal and postmarginal veins, 2: 25 degree angle with marginal and postmarginal veins. The angle of the stigmal vein with the marginal and postmarginal veins appeared slightly wider than 45 degrees in *S. semialba* sp.n., however due to the rather poor preservation of the wing venation and the resulting difficulty in measuring the angle accurately, it is classified as character state 0 (“45 degree”).

Character 20: Size of radicle. 0: very long, about one-third scape length. 1: short, only about one-tenth scape length, 2: robust, about one-fifth scape length, 3: long, about one-fourth scape length. The robust appearance of the radicle in *S. albigena* and *S. laevis* is likely owed to their expanded, unusually robust scape (see Character 9).

Character 21: Configuration of notauli. 0: distinct and linear, 1: shallow, broadly concave at scutellum, 2: shallow and indicated as broad pit-shaped depressions at mesoscutellum.

Character 22: Malar sulcus. 0: absent. 1: present (distinct or indistinct). Note that the malar sulcus is present in 15 species of *Spalangiopecta*, yet in the key to the subfamilies of Pteromalidae Graham (1969) lists “Malar sulcus absent” as one of the defining characters of Ceinae.

Mesquite version 3.61 (Maddison & Maddison 2019) was used to assemble and modify the character matrix and for subsequent tree view and character tracing. Parsimony analyses were carried out in TNT version 1.5 (Goloboff et al. 2008; Goloboff & Catalano 2016) with RAM usage set to 500 Mbytes and maximum space for 10,000 trees in memory. The small size of the data set allowed for the use of traditional search and implicit enumeration in TNT with *C. pulicaris* designated as outgroup. Traditional search was conducted with 100,000 replications and tree bisection reconnection (TBR) with 1000 trees saved per replication. Initially, all characters were unweighted. A strict consensus tree was calculated of the trees retained from traditional search and implicit enumeration. For implied weighting, a succession of concavity functions ranging from $k = 1$ to $k = 12$ were tested. Consistency indices and retention indices were calculated with the TNT script “STATS”. Bremer support was calculated using PAUP version 4.0a166 (Swofford 2003).

Abbreviations

235 The following abbreviations are used in the text:

236 A1-A3 = anelli 1-3

237 C1-C3 = claval segment 1-3

238 F1-F5 = funicular segment 1-5

239 HH = head height

240 HW =  ad width

241 LOL = lateral ocellar line

242 MSC = mesoscutum

243 MSL = mesoscutellum

244 OOL = ocular-ocellar line

245 POL = posterior ocellar line

246 PM = postmarginal vein

247 SV = stigmal vein

248 T1-T7 = metasomal tergites 1-7

249 SMNS = Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde Stuttgart/ State Museum of Natural History
250 Stuttgart

251 **LSID registration**

252 The electronic version of this article in Portable Document Format (PDF) will represent a
253 published work according to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature
254 (ICZN), and hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively
255 published under that Code from the electronic edition alone. This published work and
256 the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online
257 registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be
258 resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by
259 appending the LSID to the prefix <http://zoobank.org/>. The LSID for this publication is:
260 [urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:F3A4D890-3480-41D2-B5A4-7C18E047C920](http://zoobank.org/pub:F3A4D890-3480-41D2-B5A4-7C18E047C920). The online
261 version of this work is archived and available from the following digital repositories:
262 PeerJ, PubMed Central and CLOCKSS.

Results

Key to females of extant and fossil *Spalangiopelta* species

1. Ovipositor sheaths only slightly extended beyond apex of metasoma, protruded distance less than 0.5 length of hind tibia2
- Ovipositor sheaths distinctly extended beyond apex of metasoma, protruded distance more than 0.5 length of hind tibia8
2. Fore wing with transparent region (“hyaline break”) in parastigma3
- Fore wing with large admarginal setae below marginal vein.....4
3. Notauli shallow and linear, broadly concave at mesoscutellum. Hind margin of fore wing parallel to fore margin. Length of metascutellum much less than half of mesoscutellum along midline*S. canadensis* Darling, 1991
- Notauli as broad, pit-like depressions. Fore wing rounded with hind margin not parallel to fore margin. Metascutellum longer than frenum, about half length of mesoscutellum along midline*S. alata* Bouček, 1953
4. Funicular segments of antenna robustly elongate, length about 2 times width5
- Funicular segments of antenna subquadrate6
5. Fore wing narrow, length greater than 3.4 times width. Sculpture of petiole alveolate*S. viridis* Mitroiu, 2016
- Fore wing wide, length 2.8 times maximum width. Petiole with longitudinal costae*S. rameli* Mitroiu, 2016
6. Malar sulcus distinct*S. felonia* Darling and Hanson, 1986
- Malar sulcus absent7
7. First funicular segment quadrate, length subequal to width. Petiole transverse. Females with extremely reduced fore wings and vestigial hind wings*S. brachyptera* Masi, 1922

- 291 — First funicular segment elongate, length about 1.5 width. Petiole subquadrate.
 292 **Ovipositor** distinctly white ***S. alboaculeata*** Darling, 1995
- 293 8. Wings completely hyaline, without any maculation. Known only from Baltic amber
 294 **9**
- 295 — Wings with maculation, at least faintly infusate marks **10**
- 296 9. Midlobe of mesoscutum coarsely alveolate. Head concolourous, genae not
 297 strongly contrasted ***Spalangiopelta darlingi*** Moser sp. n. †
- 298 — Midlobe of mesoscutum superficially imbricate. Colour pattern of head bicoloured,
 299 brown with white colouration on genae ***Spalangiopelta semialba*** Moser sp. n. †
- 300 10. Fore wing narrow, length greater than 3.5 width **11**
- 301 — Fore wing wide, length about 3 times maximum width **14**
- 302 11. Fore coxa white, strongly contrasted with mesopleuron. Malar sulcus **indistinct or**
 303 **absent** **12**
- 304 — Fore coxa brown to black, concolourous with mesopleuron. Malar sulcus distinct
 305 **13**
- 306 12. Petiole elongate, length about twice maximum width. Head concolourous. Hind
 307 margin of fore wing narrowed toward apex. Scape linear. Submedian areas of
 308 propodeum finely areolate. Malar sulcus absent ***S. ciliata*** Yoshimoto, 1997
- 309 — Petiole inconspicuous. White colouration posterior of genae. Hind and fore
 310 margin of fore wing parallel, with asetose region along hind margin of fore wing.
 311 Scape expanded. Submedian areas of propodeum glabrous. Malar sulcus
 312 **indistinct and located posteriorly** ***S. albigena*** Darling, 1991
- 313 13. Colour pattern of head bicoloured, brown with white colouration on genae. Fore
 314 wing with **hyaline break** **arastigma**. Scape expanded. Funicular segments of
 315 antenna subquadrate. Stigmal vein angle 35° ***S. laevis*** Darling, 1991
- 316 — Head concolourous, genae not strongly contrasted. Fore wing with **ad marginal**
 317 **setae**. Scape linear. Stigmal vein angle 25°. Fossil known from Dominican amber
 318 ***S. georgei*** † Darling, 1997
- 319 14. Median carina on propodeum distinct **15**
- 320 — Median carina on propodeum absent **16**

- 321 15. Petiole transverse, with longitudinal costae. Body colour dark blue-violet with
 322 iridescent reflections ***S. dudichi*** Erdös, 1955
- 323 — Petiole campanulate, slightly longer than wide, alveolate. Body colour iridescent
 324 blue-green ***S. hiko*** Darling, 1995
- 325 16. Malar sulcus indistinct. Funicular segments subquadrate. Petiole transverse with
 326 longitudinal costae. Body colour blue-green ***S. apotherisma*** Darling and Hanson,
 327 1986
- 328 — Malar sulcus distinct. Funicular segments slenderly elongate, length about twice
 329 width. Petiole campanulate, slightly longer than wide, smooth. Body colour dark
 330 blue-violet with iridescent reflections ***S. procera*** Graham, 1966

331

332 **Species description of *Spalangiopelta darlingi* sp. n.**

333 (*Fig. 1-3*)

334 LSID: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:91C3491D-167E-438E-A336-36534722525D

335 Holotype: ♀, “*Spalangiopelta darlingi* sp. n.”

336 Amber: Eocene Baltic amber, collection number “SMNS BB-2851”. Type repository:
 337 SMNS.

338 The specimen is located close to the edge of the amber piece. The left side of the
 339 specimen is well-preserved. On the right side, the metasoma is dented dorsally and
 340 therefore slightly deformed.

341 Exact provenance unknown. Specimen is from the Krylov collection, purchased by the
 342 SMNS in 2008.

343 Distribution: Known only from the holotype.

344 Etymology: The specific name is a patronym for Christopher D. Darling to honour his
 345 work on parasitoid Hymenoptera and without whose contributions the genus
 346 *Spalangiopelta* would lack half of its described species.

347 Diagnosis: *Spalangiopelta darlingi* is characterized by the distinct alveolate sculpture on
 348 the mesoscutum. It is the only species with the following combination of characters: long
 349 ovipositor sheaths (protruded beyond apex of metasoma more than 0.5 length of hind
 350 tibia), fore wing with six admarginal setae and no hyaline break, petiole transverse and

smooth (without longitudinal costae). *Spalangiopelta darlingi* is one of two known Eocene *Spalangiopelta* amber fossils. It can readily be distinguished from the other Baltic amber fossil *S. semialba* by the concolourous head and from the Dominican amber fossil *S. georgei* by the absence of a hyaline break in the anterior part of the marginal vein, the greater angle of the stigma vein with the anterior margin of the fore wing (45° rather than 25°) and by the perfectly hyaline wings.

Description: Female. Length, about 0.75 mm. Head and antennae concolourous dark brown with blue-green iridescent reflectic. Mesosoma, coxae and femora concolourous with head, hind tibia and tarsus slightly lighter. Fore wing long, extended beyond apex of metasoma 0.7 times body length, hyaline. Metasoma reddish-brown.

Head: In frontal view quadrate, HW/HH: 1.0. Sculpture of head weakly imbricate, except vertex finely reticulate. Black setae present along the parascrobal area and on the interorbital plane, vertex and ocular-ocellar areas devoid of pubescence. Malar sulcus distinct and located more posterior than in other species of *Spalangiopelta*, one-third eye height. OOL significantly smaller than PC, OOL about 0.5 POL.

Antenna: radicle very short, 0.14 scape length. Scape slightly arched, linear. A3 elongate, slightly wider than long, longer than A2. Length of F1 shorter than width; F2-F5 subequal in length, slightly elongate, length 1.2 times width, segments conical. Clava elongate, subequal in length to F1-F5 combined, equal in length on dorsal and ventral surface. C2 widest claval segment.

Mesosoma: With imbricate sculpture, except lateral part of pronotum strigate, mesoscutum distinctly alveolate, upper mesepimeron alveolate, lower mesepimeron finely striate. Notauli distinct. Mesoscutellum shorter than mesoscutum, MSL/MSL about 0.7. Frenum distinct. Metanotum about one-third MSL, distinctly longer than frenum. Propodeum extremely short, only about one-third length of mesoscutellum. Prepectus broadly triangular, with imbricate sculpture.

Fore wing: broad, length 2.6 times maximum width, about 0.7 times body length. Hind margin rounded. Apical setae rather short and dense, length 0.6 times stigmal vein, less than one-sixth maximum width of fore wing. With 6 admarginal setae. Parastigma without hyaline break. Basal vein distinctly pigmented. Submarginal vein 1.3 times marginal vein. Marginal vein of uniform thickness, length subequal to postmarginal. Postmarginal vein about twice stigmal, PM/SV = 2.1. Stigmal vein slender and linear, making an angle of about 43 degrees with the postmarginal vein. Stigma significantly enlarged, uncus long with 3 or 4 sensilla.

Hind wing: narrow, length 6.4 times maximum width, with length of setae on apical and posterior margins 0.85 times width of hind wing.

Metasoma: Petiole transverse and rather inconspicuous. Gaster mostly shiny with fine reticulate sculpture on tergites, dark setae present laterally. T2 short, as long as T3-T4 combined. Laterotergite small, less than one-half height of gaster, with imbricate sculpture superficial. Ovipositor long, sheath extended distinctly beyond apex of metasoma, protruding from metasoma about 0.8 length of hind tibia. Length equal to *S. apotherisma* Darling and Hanson, 1986 (0.7) and the amber fossil *S. georgei*, the two known species with the longest ovipositor based on the ratio of the ovipositor sheaths' length and length of the hind tibia.

Species description of *Spalangiopelta semialba* sp. n.

(Fig. 4-5)

LSID: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:10017E02-D18B-4731-94F6-3B5C2DBACE50

Holotype: ♀, "*Spalangiopelta semialba* sp. n."

Amber: Eocene Baltic amber, collection number "SMNS BB-2852". Type repository: SMNS.

The specimen is close to the surface of the amber piece. An air bubble on the left side of the specimen obscures the left mesopleuron, the propodeum and the anterior half of the metasoma. The preservation of the wings is rather poor with wing venation and pilosity hardly visible, only setae on margins are visible. Aside from the wasp, the amber piece contains three syninclusions, two mites (Acari) and one Nematocera (Diptera) (Fig. 6).

Exact provenance unknown. Specimen is from the Krylov collection, purchased by the SMNS in 2008.

Distribution: Known only from the holotype.

Etymology: The specific name refers to the bicoloured head with the strikingly bright genal space.

Diagnosis: *Spalangiopelta semialba* differs from all fossil and extant *Spalangiopelta* species by the combination of a distinct malar sulcus, an inconspicuous petiole, and a wide fore wing (length about 3.2 times width). It is one of two known Eocene *Spalangiopelta* amber fossils from Baltic amber and it differs from the other fossil species in having a bright genal space, giving the head a bicoloured colouration. It is the smallest known species of *Spalangiopelta* with a body size of 0.55 mm.

Description: Female. Length, about 0.55 mm, slender in habitus. Head dark reddish-brown with faint iridescent reflections, genal space brighter, antennae light brown. Mesosoma concolorous dark reddish-brown with faint iridescent reflections, coxae and femora brown, tarsi lighter. Fore wing long, extended beyond apex of metasoma 0.8 times body length, hyaline. Metasoma concolorous dark reddish-brown.

Head: In frontal view rectangular, HW/HH: 0.5. With very fine, superficially imbricate sculpture, except glabrous vertex and ocular-ocular areas. Line of black setae present along the parascrobal area and along the posterior vertex, lower face devoid of pubescence. Malar sulcus distinct and very long, over half eye height, malar sulcus 0.6 eye height. OOL significantly smaller than POL, POL about 0.5 POL.

Antenna: Radicle very short, about 0.15 scape length. Scape narrowly linear. F1 short, length 0.65 width, F2-F3 slightly broader than long, F4-F5 subquadrate. Clava extremely elongate, slightly longer than F1-F5 combined, segments almost cylindrical, equal in length on dorsal and ventral surface. C1 widest claval segment, wider than F1-4, subequal in width to F5.

Mesosoma: With imbricate sculpture. Notauli distinct. Mesoscutellum shorter than mesoscutum, MSL/MSL about 0.9. Frenum distinct (visible only in 3D reconstruction). Metanotum very long, about 0.6 MSL, about one-half length of mesoscutellum along midline, longer than frenum. Propodeum short, only about one-half length of MSL. Prepectus broadly triangular, with imbricate structure.

Fore wing: broad, length about 2.9 times maximum width, of intermediate length, extended to apex of metasoma, about 0.8 body length. Hind margin only slightly expanded. Apical setae sparse, as long as stigmal vein. Admarginal setae or hyaline break are not visible due to the poor state of preservation. Submarginal vein 1.7 times length of marginal vein. Marginal vein of uniform thickness, length subequal to postmarginal. Postmarginal vein long, PM/SV = 3.3. Stigmal vein wide and straight, making an angle of about 55 degrees with the marginal and postmarginal vein. Stigma slightly enlarged, uncus long and broad, with 3 or 4 sensilla.

Hind wing: very narrow, length almost 9 times maximum width, with length of setae on apical and posterior margins subequal to width of hind wing.

Metasoma: Petiole inconspicuous. Gaster shiny with finely reticulate sculpture, laterally with scattered dark setae. T2 short, only as long as T3. Size and sculpture of laterotergite not clearly visible, thus not conclusively assessable. Ovipositor long, sheaths extended distinctly beyond apex of metasoma, protruded distance subequal to length of hind tibia.

454

455 Phylogenetic analysis

456 In the unweighted phylogenetic analysis, both traditional search and implicit
 457 enumeration returned six trees that were equally parsimonious (length 58, consistency
 458 index 0.655; retention index 0.71, Appendix C). In the strict consensus tree calculated
 459 from the equally parsimonious trees, the more distal species (i.e. *S. albigena*, *S. laevis*,
 460 *S. canadensis*, *S. alata* and *S. georgei*) are well-resolved, whereas the basal part of the
 461 tree is largely unresolved (Fig. 7). *Spalangiopelta dudichi* and *S. apotherisma* form a
 462 clade in the strict consensus tree. In all trees, *S. laevis* and *S. albigena* as well as *S.*
 463 *canadensis* and *S. alata* are returned as the two most distal sister clades. This cluster is
 464 well-supported as indicated by the high bootstrap values in the strict consensus tree.
 465 The Dominican amber fossil *S. georgei* is returned as sister group to this well-supported
 466 distal clade. However, this placement is not well supported and it would also contrasts
 467 with the cladogram in Darling (1996), where *S. georgei* was returned as sister group to
 468 the most distal clade (*S. albigena* + *S. laevis*).

469 In the strict consensus tree, the Baltic amber fossil *S. darlingi* forms a polytomy with *S.*
 470 *procera*. Its exact placement is unresolved in that it is either returned as sister group to
 471 the *S. dudichi* + *S. apotherisma* clade or as sister taxon to *S. hiko*. *Spalangiopelta*
 472 *semialba*, the other Baltic amber fossil, forms a polytomy with *S. hiko*.

473 Phylogenetic analyses using implied weighting with concavity constant values lower
 474 than $K = 4$ return multiple trees of length 59 or 60, whereas concavity constant values
 475 higher than $K = 4$ produced three trees of length 58. Therefore, the preferred tree
 476 topology presented here is the result of implied weighting of characters with a concavity
 477 constant of $K = 4$ (Fig. 8). Tree topology largely corresponds to the strict consensus (Fig.
 478 7) but shows a better resolution along the base. *Spalangiopelta ciliata* is retrieved as
 479 sister group of the remaining *Spalangiopelta* species.

480 The implied weighting tree retained in this analysis largely corresponds to that of Darling
 481 (1996). However, there are three major differences in topology. (1) In the preferred tree
 482 topology of this study, *S. ciliata* constitutes the most basal species of *Spalangiopelta*.
 483 (2) *S. felonia* and *S. brachyptera* no longer cluster as a synapomorphic clade with *S.*
 484 *alboaculeata* as their sister group. Instead, they represent separate paraphyletic
 485 lineages. (3) The most distal part of the cladogram is represented by two
 486 synapomorphic clades, (*S. albigena* + *S. laevis*) and (*S. alata* + *S. canadensis*) with *S.*
 487 *georgei* as sister group to this most distal clade (Fig. 8).

488

The two Baltic amber fossils are closely related to each other and to the Western European *S. procera* as well as *S. hiko*, which has been found only in Japan (Fig. 8). The two new amber fossil species have little influence on the phylogeny. With *S. darlingi* and *S. semialba* removed from the phylogenetic analysis, topology changes only slightly. *S. georgei* becomes the sister group of the most distal clade of the two Caribbean species *S. laevis* + *S. albigena*, and *S. apotherisma* no longer forms a monophyletic clade with *S. dudichi*.

Discussion

Character evolution and functional morphology of the mesopleuron in *Spalangiopelta*

The distinct configuration of the hind margin of the mesopleuron, which is distinctly raised and partly covering the metapleuron, separates *Spalangiopelta* from the other genera in the subfamily Ceinae. This feature was hypothesized to facilitate flexible movement of the sclerites, thereby giving the mesosoma a more arched profile (Darling 1991). This character is present in both fossils, although it is more pronounced in *S. darlingi*. However, this character is not unique to the genus *Spalangiopelta*. In a comprehensive phylogenetic analysis of Chalcidoidea, this character was found in a range of taxa (character 111 “Mesepimeron relative to metapleural/propodeal complex” in Heraty et al. 2013). It was found in multiple species of Pteromalidae, Eulophidae, Eurytomidae and Tetracampidae and occasionally in Eriaporidae, Leucospidae and Perilampidae. Within the Pteromalidae examined by Heraty et al. (2013), this character is found in the subfamilies Cleonyminae, Leptofoeninae, Diparinae and Spalangiinae. Although these groups are morphologically distinct, a connection to forest habitats is common to many species within these subfamilies: The development of the majority of Cleonyminae and Leptofoeninae takes place inside wood (Vilhelmsen & Turrisi 2011). Diparinae are associated with leaf litter on the forest floor (Desjardins 2007). Some Spalangiinae specimens were found in forest habitats (Gibson 2009). Therefore, it seems reasonable to acknowledge the hypothesis that *Spalangiopelta* females are associated with leaf litter habitats (Darling 1991). In addition, the wing reduction in females of *S. brachyptera* and the continuous variation in wing length reported in *S. felonia*, which have been found in large numbers in Berlese funnel extractions of the needle mat in a Douglas fir forest (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco (Pinaceae)), place these *Spalangiopelta* species into spatially restricted forest floor habitats (Darling & Hanson 1986; Masi 1922). This reasoning is in line with the general rarity of *Spalangiopelta* specimens in collections. The presence of the raised mesopleuron in the newly described fossil species, as well as their overall morphological similarity to extant species, suggest that these species might have lived in similar forest habitats 35 to 43

million years ago. Further, a wooded habitat would increase the chance for a specimen to turn into an amber fossil.

Within Pteromalidae, *Macroglenes gramineus* (Haliday 1833), *Spalangia nigroaenea* Curtis 1839 and *Peckianus* sp. Bouček 1975 also share the characteristic configuration of the mesopleuron that partly overlaps the metapleuron. Except for the Australian *Collessina pachyneura* Bouček 1975 and the monotypic genus *Peckianus*, which is known only from Canada and Brazil, all other species listed above are associated with various Dipteran families as hosts (Noyes 2019 and references therein).

Character evolution and functional morphology of admarginal setae and the hyaline break in *Spalangiopelta*

The most striking character that unites the distal species in this cladogram is the presence of an unpigmented spot in the parastigma of the fore wing termed “hyaline break”, (character 1, Fig. 8). With the exception of *S. alata*, all distal species in the cladogram that possess a hyaline break in the fore wing are New World species. The presence or absence of a hyaline break and admarginal setae (character 7) within the genus *Spalangiopelta* has been a subject of discussion in other studies (Darling 1991, 1996). Every macropterous species of *Spalangiopelta* has either a hyaline break or admarginal setae close to the marginal vein on the underside of the fore wing. The only exceptions are *S. ciliata*, which has neither, and the Dominican amber fossil *S. georgei*, which has admarginal setae and a hyaline break (Darling 1996). Darling (1991) considers the absence of either character in *S. ciliata* the result of the regular above-ground activity of the species, which would explain why *S. ciliata* is found in Malaise traps regularly. Of the two fossils described here, *S. darlingi* has six admarginal setae whereas in *S. semialba*, the state of preservation prevents the reconstruction of this character with certainty. From the pattern in the phylogeny it cannot be inferred whether *S. semialba* had admarginal setae, a hyaline break or both (Fig. 8). If *S. semialba* had admarginal setae, this would imply that the distal clade ((*S. alata* + *S. canadensis*) + (*S. albigena* + *S. laevis*)) share a common ancestor that lost admarginal setae and developed a hyaline break. This process might have occurred roughly 15 to 20 million years ago considering the age of the Dominican amber fossil *S. georgei*, which might represent a transitional stage (Darling 1996). If like in *S. georgei* admarginal setae and a hyaline break were present in the Baltic amber fossil *S. semialba*, the process of losing admarginal setae and developing a hyaline break could be dated back much further.

Both wing characters have been speculated to help *Spalangiopelta* keep the wings folded back to facilitate movement in restricted habitats such as leaf litter (Darling 1991). In a study that placed Ceinae as sister group of Diparinae, Desjardins (2007)

was able to observe “many diparine specimens [...] in which the setae appear to hold the hind wing in place while they are folded against the body.”, thereby potentially verifying the hypothesis proposed several years earlier. The author acknowledges that the presence of admarginal setae in some species of Ceinae as well as Diparinae does not necessarily denote relatedness but could indicate homoplasy based on the similarity of the habitats of the hosts. The absence of admarginal setae in *S. ciliata*, which was resolved as sister group to all other *Spalangiopelta* species in the phylogenetic analysis, seems to confirm this view.

Based on the hypothesis that the hyaline break serves an identical purpose as the admarginal setae, Darling (1991) considered these wing characters mutually exclusive. However, there are several species of pteromalid wasps that share both wing characters, including *C. pachyneura*, *M. gramineus*, *S. nigroaenea*, *Pteromalus albipennis* Walker 1835 and an unidentified species of the genus *Peckianus* (characters 147 and 157 of Heraty et al. 2013). As both wing characters can be found not only in females but also in males of *Spalangiopelta*, it appears that neither character is associated directly with host location. Admarginal setae and a hyaline break do occur mutually in at least five species of Pteromalids. The results of the phylogenetic analysis presented here provide further evidence for the mutual presence of admarginal setae and a hyaline break in *S. georgei* and potentially *S. semialba*. They contradict the postulation proposed by Darling (1991) that the mutual exclusivity is the result of identical functions of both characters. As Darling (1991) stated, the hyaline break is “an example of a fenestra or bulla, a weakened area of a vein that usually marks an area where a fold or flexion line crosses the vein”. Fenestrae occur where a flexion line crosses a wing vein and are associated with complex changes of wing shape in flight (Danforth & Michener 1988; Wootton 1979). Therefore, we suggest that the hyaline break found in the distal clade of *Spalangiopelta* presented here might be an adaptation to flying rather than to moving in confined habitats.

Conclusions

We add two new species to the fossil record of Chalcidoidea (Hymenoptera) from Baltic amber and establish a minimum age of the genus *Spalangiopelta* of 35 to 43 million years (upper Eocene). Further, we present a cladogram within which we place the fossils based on the cladistic analysis of 22 morphological characters. The new identification key to the genus includes all extant and fossil females of *Spalangiopelta*.

The description is complemented by 3D models reconstructed from μ CT scans. The models allow for a pivotable view and thus eliminate physical barriers such as refraction or reflections that would otherwise obscure the view on specific parts of the inclusion

depending on the cut of the amber piece. Inclusions such as air bubbles or plant particles that conceal potentially important structures of the specimen can also be removed digitally. This method has proved an invaluable addition to classical techniques in the description of amber fossils.

Coding additional morphological characters and including genetic data could further improve the resolution of the phylogenetic analysis given here. Additional characters of males could elucidate aspects of the phylogenetic placement that have yet remained unclear such as the size of the metascutellum or the shape of the fore wing. To obtain these characters, molecular data could be utilized to decisively match females with males of *Spalangiopelta*. Increasing the number of *Spalangiopelta* specimens in collections could prove productive to assess the range of absolute measurements in certain characters within one species as well as to classify the variation in characters such as wing length in *S. felonia*. This increase could be achieved by targeted biodiversity assessments in promising habitats such as forest floors. In order to validate any hypotheses regarding the functional morphology of prominent structures such as the hyaline break, the admarginal setae and the raised mesopleuron, the observation of live specimens could yield presently unknown insights into functional morphology, behaviour and host range of the genus *Spalangiopelta*.

Acknowledgements

We thank Tanja Schweizer (SMNS) for technical assistance in the preparation of the amber pieces. We would also like to show our gratitude to Michael Haas and Milan Pallmann (both SMNS) for support in operating imaging hardware and software. We thank Marcus Zuber and Tomás Faragó (both KIT) for their assistance during the tomographic experiment. We acknowledge the KIT light source for provision of instruments at their beamlines and we would like to thank the Institute for Beam Physics and Technology (IBPT) for the operation of the storage ring, the Karlsruhe Research Accelerator (KARA).

References

Bouček, Z. (1961): Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Pteromaliden-fauna von Mitteleuropa mit Beschreibungen neuer Arten und Gattungen (Hymenoptera). Acta Entomologica Musei Nationalis Pragae 34.

- 634 Burleigh, R.; Whalley, P. (1983): On the relative geological ages of amber and copal. In
635 Journal of Natural History 17 (6), pp. 919–921. DOI: 10.1080/00222938300770721.
- 636 Cecilia, A.; Rack, A.; Douissard, P.-A.; Martin, T.; dos Santos Rolo, T.; Vagovič, P.;
637 Hamann, E.; van de Kamp, T.; Riedel, A.; Fiederle, M.; Baumbach, T. (2011): LPE
638 grown LSO:Tb scintillator films for high-resolution X-ray imaging applications at
639 synchrotron light sources. In: Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research
640 Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 648,
641 S321-S323. DOI: 10.1016/j.nima.2010.10.150.
- 642 Danforth, B.N.; Michener, C.D. (1988): Wing Folding in the Hymenoptera. In Annals of
643 the Entomological Society of America 81 (2), pp. 342–349. DOI: 10.1093/aesa/81.2.342.
- 644 Darling, D.C. (1991): Revision of the world species of *Spalangiopelta* (Hymenoptera:
645 Chalcidoidea: Pteromalidae: Ceinae). Toronto: Royal Ontario Museum.
- 646 Darling, D.C. (1995): New Palearctic Species of *Spalangiopelta* (Hymenoptera:
647 Chalcidoidea: Pteromalidae: Ceinae). In Can. Entomol. 127 (2), pp. 225–233. DOI:
648 10.4039/Ent127225-2.
- 649 Darling, D.C. (1996): A New Species of *Spalangiopelta* (Hymenoptera; Pteromalidae;
650 Ceinae) from Dominican Amber: Phylogenetic and Biogeographic Implications. In
651 Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society 69 (4), pp. 248–259.
- 652 Darling, D.C.; Hanson, P. E. (1986): Two new species of *Spalangiopelta* from Oregon
653 (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea), with a discussion of wing length variation.
- 654 Desjardins, C.A. (2007): Phylogenetics and classification of the world genera of
655 Diparinae (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). In Zootaxa 1647 (1), pp. 1–88. DOI:
656 10.11646/zootaxa.1647.1.1.
- 657 Desjardins, C.A.; Regier, J.C.; Mitter, C. (2007): Phylogeny of pteromalid parasitic
658 wasps (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae): initial evidence from four protein-coding nuclear
659 genes. In Molecular phylogenetics and evolution 45 (2), pp. 454–469. DOI:
660 10.1016/j.ympev.2007.08.004.
- 661 Gibson, G.A.P. (2009): Revision of New World Spalangiinae (Hymenoptera:
662 Pteromalidae). In Zootaxa 2259 (1), pp. 1–159.
- 663 Goloboff, P.A.; Farris, J.S.; Nixon, K.C. (2008): TNT, a free program for phylogenetic
664 analysis. In Cladistics 24 (5), pp. 774–786. DOI: 10.1111/j.1096-0031.2008.00217.x.
- 665 Goloboff, P.A.; Catalano, S.A. (2016): TNT version 1.5, including a full implementation
666 of phylogenetic morphometrics. In Cladistics 32 (3), pp. 221–238. DOI:
667 10.1111/cla.12160.

- 668 Graham, M.W.R.d.V. (1969): The Pteromalidae of North-Western Europe
669 (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea). London: Bulletin of the British museum (Natural history)
670 entomology.
- 671 Grimaldi, D.A. (1995): The Age of Dominican Amber. In Anderson, K.B.; Crelling, J.C.
672 (Eds.): Amber, Resinite, and Fossil Resins, vol. 617. Washington, DC: American
673 Chemical Society (ACS symposium series), pp. 203–217.
- 674 Grimaldi, D.A. (1996): Amber. Window to the past. New York, London: Harry N.
675 Abrams.
- 676 Harris, R. (1979): A glossary of surface sculpturing. California Department of Food and
677 Agriculture, Bureau of Entomology. In Occasional Papers in Entomology, California
678 Dept. of Food and Agriculture 28, pp. 1–31.
- 679 Heraty, J.M.; Burks, R.A.; Cruaud, A.; Gibson, G.A.P.; Liljeblad, J.; Munro, J.; Rasplus,
680 J.Y.; Delvare, G.; Janšta, P.; Gumovsky, A.; Juber, J.; Woolley, J.B.; Krogmann, L.;
681 Heydon, S.; Polaszek, A.; Schmidt, S.; Darling, D.C.; Gates, M.W.; Mottern, J.; Murray,
682 E.; Molin, A.D.; Triapitsyn, S.; Baur, H.; Pinto, J.D.; van Noort, S.; George, J.; Yoder, M.
683 (2013): A phylogenetic analysis of the megadiverse Chalcidoidea (Hymenoptera). In
684 Cladistics 29 (5), pp. 466–542. DOI: 10.1111/cla.12006.
- 685 Iturralde-Vinent, M.A. (2001): Geology of the amber-bearing deposits of the Greater
686 Antilles. In Caribbean Journal of Science 37 (3/4), pp. 141–167.
- 687 Iturralde-Vinent, M.A.; MacPhee, R. D. E. (1996): Age and Paleogeographical Origin of
688 Dominican Amber. In Science 273 (5283), pp. 1850–1852. DOI:
689 10.1126/science.273.5283.1850.
- 690 Langenheim, J.H. (2003): Plant resins. Chemistry, evolution, ecology, and ethnobotany.
691 Portland, Timber Press.
- 692 Lösel, P.; van de Kamp, T.; Jayme, A.; Ershov, A.; Faragó, T.; Tan Jerome, N.; Aedepu,
693 N.; Bremer, S.; Chilingaryan, S.A.; Heethoff, M.; Kopmann, A.; Odar, J.; Schmelzle, S.;
694 Zuber, M.; Wittbrodt, J.; Baumbach, T.; Heuveline, V. (in press): Introducing Biomedisa
695 as an open-source online platform for biomedical image segmentation. Nature
696 Communications.
- 697 Maddison, W.P. & Maddison, D.R. (2019): Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary
698 analysis. Version 3.61. <http://www.mesquiteproject.org>.
- 699 Mitroiu, M.-D. (2016): Review of world genera of Ceinae, with the description of two new
700 Palaearctic species of *Spalangiopelta* Masi (Hymenoptera, Chalcidoidea,
701 Pteromalidae). In EJT (251). DOI: 10.5852/ejt.2016.251.

702 Noyes, J.S. (2019): Universal Chalcidoidea Database. World Wide Web electronic
703 publication. <http://www.nhm.ac.uk/chalcidoids> (last accessed on 19 April 2020).

704 Poinar, G.O. (1992): Life in amber. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

705 Ritzkowski, S. (1997): K-Ar-Altersbestimmungen der bernsteinführenden Sedimente des
706 Samlandes (Paläogen, Bezirk Kaliningrad). Metalla, Sonderheft 66 zum Symposium
707 Neue Erkenntnisse zum Bernstein. In Metalla 66 (Sonderheft).

708 Ruthensteiner, B.; Hess, M. (2008): Embedding 3D models of biological specimens in
709 PDF publications. In Microscopy research and technique 71 (11), pp. 778–786. DOI:
710 10.1002/jemt.20618.

711 Sadowski, E.-M.; Schmidt, A.R.; Seyfullah, L.J.; Kunzmann, L. (2017): Conifers of the
712 "Baltic Amber Forest" and Their Palaeoecological Significance. In Stapfia (106), pp. 1–
713 73.

714 Standke, G. (2008): Bitterfelder Bernstein gleich Baltischer Bernstein? Eine geologische
715 Raum-Zeit-Betrachtung und genetische Schlußfolgerungen. In Rascher J. (Ed.):
716 Bitterfelder Bernstein versus baltischer Bernstein - Hypothesen, Fakten, Fragen. II.
717 Bitterfelder Bernsteinkolloquium; Tagungspublikation zum 24. Treffen des
718 Arbeitskreises Bergbaufolgen der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Geowissenschaften 25. -
719 27. September 2008 in Bitterfeld. Duderstadt: Mecke (Exkursionsführer und
720 Veröffentlichungen der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Geowissenschaften, 236), pp. 11–
721 33.

722 Swofford, D.L. (2003): PAUP*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and Other
723 Methods). Version 4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.

724 van de Kamp, T.; Schwermann, A.; dos Santos Rolo, R.; Lösel, R.D.; Engler, R.; Etter,
725 W.; Faragó, T.; Göttlicher, J.; Heuveline, V.; Kopmann, A.; Mähler, B.; Mörs, T.; Odar,
726 J.; Rust, J.; Jerome, N.T.; Vogelgesang, M.; Baumbach, T.; Krogmann, L. (2018):
727 Parasitoid biology preserved in mineralized fossils. In Nature communications 9 (1), p.
728 3325. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-018-05654-y.

729 Vilhelmsen, L.; Turrisi, G.F. (2011): Per arborem ad astra: morphological adaptations to
730 exploiting the woody habitat in the early evolution of Hymenoptera. In Arthropod
731 structure & development 40 (1), pp. 2–20. DOI: 10.1016/j.asd.2010.10.001.

732 Vogelgesang, M., Chilingaryan, S., dos Santos Rolo, T. & Kopmann, A. (2012): UFO: a
733 scalable GPU-based image processing framework for on-line monitoring. *Proc. HPCC-
734 ICES*, pp. 824-829.

- 735 Walker, F. (1851): Notes on Chalcidites, and descriptions of various new species. In
- 736 Taylor, R. (Ed.): The Annals and magazine of natural history; zoology, botany, and
- 737 geology: London, Taylor and Francis, Ltd, pp. 210–217.

- 738 Wootton, R. J. (1979): Function, homology and terminology in insect wings. In System
- 739 Entomol 4 (1), pp. 81–93. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-3113.1979.tb00614.x.

- 740 Yoder, M. J.; Mikó, I.; Seltsmann, K. C.; Bertone, M. A.; Deans, A. R. (2010): A gross
- 741 anatomy ontology for Hymenoptera. PloS one 5 (12), e15991. DOI:
- 742 10.1371/journal.pone.0015991.

Table 1(on next page)

Figure Captions

Figure captions & Table heads:

Figure 1. Habitus drawing of *Spalangiopelta darlingi* sp. n., holotype, female. Lateral left side.

Figure 2. *Spalangiopelta darlingi* sp. n., holotype, female. A. Lateral habitus of the left side. B. Lateral habitus of the right side. C. Detail image of wings. D. Detail image of head with antennae.

Figure 3. Digital surface models of 3D reconstruction of *Spalangiopelta darlingi* sp. n., holotype, female. A. Lateral habitus of the left side. B. Lateral habitus of the right side. C. Detail view of head and antennae. D. Dorsal view of metasoma.

Figure 4. *Spalangiopelta semialba* sp. n., holotype, female. A. Lateral habitus of the left side. B. Lateral habitus of the right side. C. Detail image of wings. D. Detail image of head with antennae.

Figure 5. Digital surface models of 3D reconstruction of *Spalangiopelta semialba* sp. n., holotype, female. **A.** Lateral habitus of the right side. **B.** Lateral habitus of the left side. **C.** Detail view of head and antennae. **D.** Dorsal view of posterior mesosoma and metasoma.

Figure 6. Syninclusions of *Spalangiopelta semialba* sp. n. in the amber piece “SMNS BB2852”. A. Diptera. B. Acari.

Figure 7. Equal weighting tree showing phylogenetic relationships between extant and fossil *Spalangiopelta* species based on female morphological characters. Strict consensus calculated from 6 trees (length 58, consistency index 0.655; retention index 0.71, 18 taxa, 22 characters). Only bootstrap values over 70 are shown. Bootstrap values represent absolute frequencies based on 1000 replicates.

Figure 8. Implied weighting tree (k=4) showing phylogenetic relationships between extant and fossil *Spalangiopelta* species based on female morphological characters. Tree length 58, 18 taxa, 22 characters. Resolution of the tree is based on implied weighting. Asterisks (*) annotate New World species. Insets map the distribution of admarginal setae and hyaline break.

Table 1. Data matrix for *Spalangiopelta* females and the outgroup *Cea pulicaris*. 0 = ancestral state, 1, 2, 3, 4 = derived states. ? = missing data, - = gaps. See text and Darling (1996) for description of characters and states.

40 **Table 2:** Amira measurements of structures of *Spalangiopelta darlingi* sp. n. and
 41 *Spalangiopelta semialba* sp. n. holotypes. All measurements are in μm .

Figure 1

Habitus drawing of *Spalangiopelta darlingi* sp. n., holotype, female.

Lateral left side.




Figure 2

Spalangiopelta darlingi sp. n., holotype, female.

A. Lateral habitus of the left side. B. Lateral habitus of the right side. C. Detail image of wings. D. Detail image of head with antennae.




Figure 3

Digital surface models of 3D reconstruction of *Spalangiopecta darlingi* sp. n., holotype, female.

A. Lateral habitus of the left side. B. Lateral habitus of the right side. C. Detail view of head and antennae. D. Dorsal view of metasoma.




Figure 4

Spalangiopelta semialba sp. n., holotype, female.

A. Lateral habitus of the left side. B. Lateral habitus of the right side. C. Detail image of wings. D. Detail image of head with antennae.





Figure 5

Digital surface models of 3D reconstruction of *Spalangiopelta semialba* sp. n., holotype, female.

A. Lateral habitus of the right side. **B.** Lateral habitus of the left side. **C.** Detail view of head and antennae. **D.** Dorsal view of posterior mesosoma and metasoma.


A



B



C



D



Figure 6

Syn-inclusions of *Spalangiopecta semialba* sp. n. in the amber piece "SMNS BB2852".

A. Diptera. B. Acari.



Figure 7

Equal weighting tree showing phylogenetic relationships between exant and fossil *Spalangiopelta* species based on female morphological characters.

Strict consensus calculated from 6 trees (length 58, consistency index 0.655; retention index 0.71, 18 taxa, 22 characters). Only bootstrap values over 70 are shown. Bootstrap values represent absolute frequencies based on 1000 replicates.




Figure 8

Implied weighting tree (k=4) showing phylogenetic relationships between extant and fossil *Spalangiopelta* species based on female morphological characters.

Tree length 58, 18 taxa, 22 characters. Resolution of the tree is based on implied weighting. Asterisks (*) annotate New World species. Insets map the distribution of admarginal setae and hyaline break.



Table 2 (on next page)

Data matrix for *Spalangiopelta* females and the outgroup *Cea pulicaris*.

0 = ancestral state, 1, 2, 3, 4 = derived states. ? = missing data, - = gaps. See text and Darling (1996) for description of characters and states.

1

Character	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Character number in Darling (1996)	1	2	3	4	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
<i>Cea pulicaris</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>S. alata</i>	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	3	0	1	1	0
<i>S. albigena</i>	1	0	2	4	1	0	1	1	1	2	1	1
<i>S. alboaculeata</i>	0	1	0	3	?	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
<i>S. apotherisma</i>	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
<i>S. brachyptera</i>	0	1	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	0	0	0
<i>S. canadensis</i>	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	3	0	1	1	0
<i>S. ciliata</i>	0	0	1	2	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
<i>S. dudichi</i>	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
<i>S. felonia</i>	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
<i>S. georgei</i>	1	0	0	4	?	1	0	2	0	2	1	0
<i>S. hiko</i>	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0
<i>S. laevis</i>	1	0	0	4	?	0	1	1	1	2	1	1
<i>S. procera</i>	0	0	0	1	?	1	0	2	0	2	0	0
<i>S. rameli</i>	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
<i>S. viridis</i>	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
<i>S. darlingi</i> sp. n.	0	0	3	0	?	1	0	1	0	2	1	0
<i>S. semialba</i> sp. n.	?	0	0	4	?	1	?	1	0	?	1	1

Table 3(on next page)

Amira measurements of structures of *Spalangiopelta darlingi* sp. n. and *Spalangiopelta semialba* sp. n., holotypes.

All measurements are in μm .

Measurement	<i>Spalangiopelta darlingi</i> sp. n.	<i>Spalangiopelta semialba</i> sp. n.
Total body length	742	550
Length of head	116	103
Length of mesosoma	253	231
Length of metasoma	342	220
T2	77	26
T3	21	28
T4	53	26
T5	64	30
T6	71	42
T7	55	48
T8	35	21
Ovipositor sheath length	134	154
Ovipositor length	111	90
HH	198	163
HW	195	86
Eye height	124	72
Eye breadth	117	69
POL	63	50
OOL	29	26
LOL	30	-
Malar sulcus	43	40
Distance between clypeus and radicle	32	30
Mandible length	49	-
Mandible height	19	-
Radicle	15	9
Scape	104	62
Pedicellus	39	42
Total length of anelli	12	10
F1	14	8
F2	21	10
F3	24	18
F4	25	24
F5	28	17
Clava (C1-C3)	105	79
Forewing length	550	449

Forewing breadth	215	156
Hindwing length	464	368
Hindwing breadth	72	41
Fore coxa length	94	50
Fore trochanter length	17	24
Fore femur length	135	103
Fore tibia length	110	92
Fore tibial spur length	18	-
Fore tarsus length	105	107
Mid coxa length	60	35
Mid trochanter length	22	26
Mid femur length	134	100
Mid tibia length	157	125
Mid tibial spur length	15	15
Mid tarsus length	102	123
Hind coxa length	116	-
Hind trochanter length	35	25
Hind femur length	139	106
Hind tibia length	176	147
Hind tibial spur length	13	-
Hind tarsus length	118	129
Prepectus height	77	36
Prepectus breadth	49	27