

**Integrating morphological and molecular approaches for characterizing four species of *Dactylogyrus* (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) from Moroccan *Luciobarbus* species (Cyprinidae), with comments on their host specificity and phylogenetic relationships**

Eva Řehulková<sup>1</sup>, Imane Rahmouni<sup>2</sup>, Antoine Pariselle<sup>2,3</sup> and Andrea Šimková<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany and Zoology, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

<sup>2</sup> Laboratory of Biodiversity, Ecology and Genome, Faculty of Sciences, Mohammed V University in Rabat, Morocco

<sup>3</sup>ISEM, University of Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, Montpellier, France

Corresponding Author:

Eva Řehulková

Kotlářská 2, Brno, 61137, Czech Republic

Email address: evar@sci.muni.cz

**Abstract**

Cyprinid fishes are known to harbour highly host-specific gill-associated parasites of *Dactylogyrus*. On the basis of morphological resemblance, Moroccan species of *Dactylogyrus* have been classified into four morphological types, with *Dactylogyrus* spp. parasitizing species

**Commenté [D1]:** But you are reporting and dealing with *Dactylogyrus* species from cyprinids from other genera as well.

**Commenté [D2]:** The abstract should include only what you did and what you found.

23 of *Luciobarbus* representing the largest group. These are characterized by an attachment organ  
24 with a cross-shaped (five radial) ventral bar and the male copulatory organ containing an  
25 accessory piece with a distal portion directed backwards along the circle of the curved copulatory  
26 tube. High similarity in the morphology of sclerotized structures among these parasitic parasite  
27 species of *Dactylogyrus* makes identification difficult, even for specialists. In this paper, four  
28 previously known species of *Dactylogyrus* are characterized and/or illustrated under a reliable  
29 taxonomic framework integrating morphological and molecular evidence, and their phylogenetic  
30 relationships are investigated using molecular data. The species are as follows: *D. borjensis* from  
31 *Luciobarbus zayanensis*; *D. draaensis* from *Luciobarbus lepineyi*; *D. ksibii* from *Luciobarbus*  
32 *ksibi* and *Luciobarbus rabatensis*; and *D. marocanus* from *Carasobarbus fritschii*, *L. ksibi*, *L.*  
33 *zayanensis* and *Pterocapoeta maroccana*. Our results revealed intraspecific genetic variability  
34 among specimens of *D. ksibii* collected from two different hosts and geographically distant  
35 basins. Phylogenetic reconstruction showed that *Dactylogyrus* spp. parasitizing Moroccan  
36 cyprinids are representatives of three main lineages corresponding to morphological differences  
37 and host specificity. Our records of *D. marocanus* on *L. zayanensis* and *P. maroccana* increase  
38 the range of available host species i.e. eight species of four cyprinid genera representing two  
39 phylogenetic lineages (i.e. Barbinae and Torinae).

40

## 41 Introduction

42 Species of *Dactylogyrus* Diesing, 1850 are ectoparasitic flatworms (Monogenea,  
43 Platyhelminthes) occurring mainly on the gills of cyprinid fishes. With more than 900 nominal  
44 species (Gibson et al., 1996), *Dactylogyrus* represent the most speciose genus within of  
45 helminths. Recent studies on Northwest northwest African cyprinids have shown that the

**Commenté [D3]:** I don't know, but a lot of invertebrate groups are considered helminths. Are you sure about *Dactylogyrus* being the most speciose group?

46 biodiversity of this fish group is higher than previously estimated (e.g. Brahimi et al., 2018;  
47 Casal-López et al., 2015; Doadrio et al., 2016; Doadrio, Casal-López & Perea, 2016). In  
48 Morocco, 20 species belonging to four genera (i.e. *Carasobarbus* Karaman, 1971; *Labeobarbus*  
49 Rüppel, 1835; *Luciobarbus* Heckel, 1843 and *Pterocapoeta* Günther, 1902) are currently  
50 considered valid (Fricke, Eschmeyer & Van der Laan, 2020). Among these, *Luciobarbus* is the  
51 most diverse, with 15 species, some being only recently described (Brahimi et al., 2018; Casal-  
52 López et al., 2015; Doadrio et al., 2016; Doadrio, Casal-López & Perea, 2016). Descriptions of  
53 the new species may indicate that the diversity of their host-specific parasites, such as  
54 monogeneans of *Dactylogyrus*, is currently underestimated. To date, 17 *Dactylogyrus* spp.  
55 parasitizing 16 cyprinid species of three genera (*Carasobarbus*, *Labeobarbus* and *Luciobarbus*)  
56 have been recorded in Morocco. Of these, 11 species are restricted to a single host species, five  
57 occur on two to six species belonging to one host genus and one species parasitizes species of  
58 three host genera (El Gharbi et al., Birgi & Lambert, 1994; Rahmouni et al., 2017).  
59 In 2015, a survey was initiated to determine the diversity of *Dactylogyrus* species parasitizing  
60 Moroccan cyprinids. A total of 13 cyprinid species were examined and 13 species of  
61 *Dactylogyrus* were collected and prepared for morphological and molecular analysis. The first  
62 paper stemming from this investigation included descriptions of four new *Dactylogyrus* species  
63 infesting three species of northern Moroccan *Luciobarbus* (Rahmouni et al., 2017). This study  
64 also demonstrated that an integrated morphological and molecular approach to species  
65 identification/description can reveal the presence of morphologically indistinguishable, but  
66 genetically distinct, species (or cryptic species) within *Dactylogyrus*. Rahmouni et al. (2017)  
67 reported two cryptic species (*Dactylogyrus benhoussai* and *Dactylogyrus varius* forma vulgaris)  
68 parasitizing allopatric species of *Luciobarbus* (*L. yahyaoui* [syn. *L. moulouyensis*] and *L.*

69 *maghrebensis*, respectively). On the other hand, they also identified three morphologically  
70 distinct, but genetically identical, forms of *D. varius*, demonstrating the usefulness of molecular  
71 markers for documenting levels of intraspecific morphological variability or, in extreme cases, to  
72 demonstrate that two or more monogenean morphospecies (morphotypes) represent a single  
73 species.

74 More recently, Šimková et al. (2017) used 10 species of *Dactylogyrus* parasitizing Moroccan  
75 cyprinids to infer potential historical contacts between ~~northwest~~ Northwest African, European  
76 and Asian cyprinid faunas. Using phylogenetic reconstruction, they suggested that *Dactylogyrus*  
77 species infecting Moroccan species of *Carasobarbus* were phylogenetically closely related to  
78 some *Dactylogyrus* spp. parasitizing Iberian *Luciobarbus* spp. and shared a common ancestor  
79 with ~~southern and southeast~~ Southern and Southeast Asian Labeonini hosts, while *Dactylogyrus*  
80 species infecting Moroccan *Luciobarbus* spp. formed a large group together with *Dactylogyrus*  
81 ~~species~~ parasitizing European *Luciobarbus* (including some Iberian and two known Balkan  
82 species), *Barbus* and leuciscid hosts.

83 The present paper is a continuation of our research dealing with *Dactylogyrus* species from  
84 Moroccan cyprinids. Herein, an effort is made to characterize and/or illustrate four previously  
85 known species of *Dactylogyrus* under a reliable taxonomic framework integrating morphological  
86 and molecular evidence and their phylogenetic relationships investigated using molecular data.

87

## 88 **Materials & Methods**

### 89 **Fish sampling**

90 Two hundred specimens of six cyprinid species, namely *Carasobarbus fritschii* (Günther, 1874);  
91 *Luciobarbus ksibi* (Boulenger, 1905); *Luciobarbus lepineyi* (Pellegrin, 1939); *Luciobarbus*

**Commenté [D4]:** *Dactylogyrus* is a taxon (a genus), not a parasite. It's members are parasites however.

92 *rabatensis* Doadrio, Perea & Yahyaoui, 2015; *Luciobarbus zayanensis* Doadrio, Casal-López &  
93 Yahyaoui, 2016; and *Pterocapoeta maroccana* Günther, 1902, were captured by means of gill  
94 nets or electro-fishing from eight localities in Morocco (Fig. 1, Table 1). The scientific names  
95 and classification of fishes used are those provided in Fricke et al., Eschmeyer & Van der Laan  
96 (2020). Live fishes were kept in aerated holding tanks until processed for parasitological  
97 examination. The research was approved by the Ethics Committee of Masaryk University  
98 (approval number CZ01302). Field experiments were approved by the Haut Commissariat aux  
99 Eaux et Forêts et à la Lutte contre la Désertification (Ministère de l'Agriculture, de la Pêche  
100 Maritime, du Développement Rural et des Eaux et Forêts, Royaume du Maroc) (N° 62  
101 HCEFLCD/DLCPDN/CPC/PPC).

102

### 103 **Parasite collection and fixation**

104 Fishes were sacrificed by severing the spinal cord, after which the gill arches were removed via  
105 dorsal and ventral section and examined for monogeneans using a stereomicroscope. Specimens  
106 of *Dactylogyrus* were detached from the gills using fine needles and prepared following  
107 Řehulková (2018). Monogeneans, fixed with a mixture of glycerine and ammonium picrate  
108 (GAP) (Malmberg, 1957), were observed under an Olympus BX51 microscope equipped with  
109 phase contrast optics. Specimens were measured using ImageJ software (available at:  
110 <http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/>) following Rahmouni et al. (2017). Measurements, all in micrometers,  
111 are expressed as the mean followed in parentheses by the range and number (*n*) of structures  
112 measured. Numbering of hook pairs was adopted from Mizelle (1936). The male copulatory  
113 organ is henceforth abbreviated to MCO. Voucher specimens of monogeneans collected in the  
114 present study were deposited at the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris.

115

116 **DNA isolation, amplification and sequencing**

117 To guarantee identification of parasites collected for molecular analysis, specimens collected for  
118 DNA extraction were bisected into two parts, with one part transferred to an Eppendorf tube  
119 containing ethanol (95%) to preserve the DNA and the second half mounted in GAP for species  
120 identification. Individual parasites were dried using a vacuum centrifuge. Genomic DNA was  
121 extracted using the DNEasy extraction kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions,  
122 the extracted DNA being concentrated to a final volume of 80µl. Partial 18S rDNA and the entire  
123 ITS1 region were amplified using the primers S1 (5'-ATTCCGATAACGAACGAGACT-3') and  
124 IR8 (5'-GCTAGCTGCGTTCTTCATCGA-3'), which anneal to the 18S and 5.8S rDNA  
125 sections, respectively (Šimková et al., 2003). PCR was carried out on a 30 µl volume containing  
126 5 µl of DNA extract, 0.5 µM of each PCR primer, 1.5 U *Taq* DNA polymerase, 1 X PCR buffer,  
127 0.1 mg/ml BSA, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 200 µM dNTPs. Amplification was achieved using the  
128 following steps: initial denaturation for 2 min at 94°C followed by 39 cycles of 94° C for 1 min,  
129 53° C for 1 min and 72° C for 1 min 30 s, and a final extension at 72° C for 10 minutes. Partial  
130 28S rDNA was amplified using the following primers: forward C1 (5'-  
131 ACCCGCTGAATTTAAGCA-3') and reverse D2 (5'-TGGTCCGTGTTTCAAGAC-3')  
132 (Hassouna, Michot & Bachellerie, 1984). PCR products were checked on 1% agarose TBE gel  
133 stained with Good View (SBS Genetech), visualized under UV light and documented using  
134 GBox F3 Bio Imaging System (Syngene). Successful PCR products were subsequently purified  
135 using the Exo SAP-IT kit (E-coli). Sequencing was undertaken using the same primers as for  
136 PCR on an ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) using the Big Dye Terminator  
137 Cycle Sequencing kit version 3.1 (Applied Biosystems).

138

### 139 **Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis**

140 The DNA sequences obtained were analyzed using Sequencher software (Gene Codes Corp.).

141 All sequences were aligned using Clustal W multiple alignments (Thompson, Higgins & Gibson,

142 1994) running in BioEdit version 7.2.5 (Hall, 1999). Genetic distances for each molecular

143 marker (28S rDNA, 18S rDNA and ITS1), recorded as uncorrected p-distances between

144 sequences of the different Moroccan species redescribed herein, were calculated using MEGA 7

145 (Tamura et al., 2013). For phylogenetic analysis, nine *Dactylogyrus* spp. **strictly** parasitizing

146 Moroccan *Luciobarbus* and four species of *Dactylogyrus* parasitizing *C. fritschii* were included

147 in this study. Eleven *Dactylogyrus* species parasitizing European *Barbus* and *Luciobarbus*

148 species were also included in the phylogenetic analysis (see Table 2 for accession numbers). **The**

149 **midpoint method was used for rooting the phylogenetic tree.** The phylogenetic analysis was

150 performed using unambiguous alignment of combined sequences (28S rDNA, 18S rDNA and

151 ITS1), with gaps and ambiguously aligned regions removed from the alignment using GBlocks

152 version 0.91 (Talavera & Castresana, 2007). Phylogenetic analysis was performed using the

153 maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) approaches in RAxML (Stamatakis,

154 2014) and MrBayes version 3.2.6 (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist, 2001), respectively. JModelTest

155 version 2.1.10 (Guindon & Gascuel, 2003; Darriba et al., 2012) was employed to select the most

156 appropriate model of DNA evolution using the Akaike information criterion (AIC). GTR+ I + G

157 for 28S rDNA and ITS1, and TIM3ef + I + G for 18S rDNA, were selected as the best models of

158 DNA evolution. Support values for internal nodes were estimated using a bootstrap resampling

159 procedure with 1000 replicates (Felsenstein, 1985). The BI tree was constructed using four

160 Monte Carlo Markov chains (MCMC) running under **200 0000** generations, with sampling tree

**Commenté [D5]:** You don't know this for sure.

**Commenté [D6]:** What is this? If it means that the root was arbitrarily assigned such that the tree was "balanced", such is not the case in your Figure 6. More importantly, why even show an arbitrarily assigned root, as it misleading and very unlikely to be real. You should delete this statement and the root on Fig. 6, both of which suggest to your readers the erroneous idea that the basal relationships you show in the figure are real.

**Commenté [D7]:** Is this an error?

161 topologies every 100 generations. The first 30% of the trees were discarded as “burn-in”  
162 according to the standard deviation split frequency value (<0.01). The posterior probabilities of  
163 the phylogeny and its branches were determined for all trees left in the plateau phase with the  
164 best ML scores.

165

## 166 Results

167 Six Moroccan cyprinid fish species were examined for monogeneans: *C. fritschii* ( $n = 40$ ), *L.*  
168 *ksibi* ( $n = 15$ ), *L. lepineyi* ( $n = 113$ ), *L. rabatensis* ( $n = 9$ ), *L. zayanensis* ( $n = 15$ ) and *P.*  
169 *maroccana* ( $n = 3$ ). In each species, the gills were infected with one or more species of  
170 *Dactylogyrus*. Four previously described species of *Dactylogyrus* the genus were found (*D.*  
171 *maroccanus*, *D. ksibii*, *D. borjensis* and *D. draaensis*) and these were taxonomically evaluated  
172 using an integrated approach combining both morphological and molecular analysis, thereby  
173 making them available for phylogenetic and coevolutionary analysis.

174

175 Subclass: Polyonchoinea Bychowsky, 1937

176 Order: Dactylogyridea Bychowsky, 1937

177 Family: Dactylogyridae Bychowsky, 1933

178

179 *Dactylogyrus maroccanus* El Gharbi, Birgi & Lambert, 1994 (Fig. 2)

180 **Type host:** *Carasobarbus fritschii* (Günther, 1874) [syns. *Barbus* (*Labeobarbus*) *fritschii*; *B. (L.)*

181 *paytonii*].

182 **Type locality:** Boulaouane Oum Er’Rabia Basin, Morocco.

**Commenté [D8]:** You are here combining two very different classifications. The Monogenea (an order) has two subordinate taxa, the Monopisthocotylea and the Polyopisthocotylea. On the other hand, the Class Monogeneoidea has two subordinate taxa, the Heteronchoinea and Polyonchoinea. You cannot combine (and therefore confuse) the two classifications. I don't care which classification you choose to use, but combining them into some hybrid is not acceptable.

**Commenté [D9]:** By the way, many other synonyms. You might consider deleting such synonyms throughout the paper.



183 **Other previously recorded hosts:** *Carasobarbus harterti* (Günther, 1901) [syn. *Barbus*  
184 (*Labeobarbus*) *harteti*], *Labeobarbus reinii* (Günther, 1874) [syn. *Barbus* (*Labeobarbus*) *reinii*],  
185 *Luciobarbus ksibi* (Boulenger, 1905) [syn. *Barbus* (*Barbus*) *ksibi*], *Luciobarbus nasus* (Günther,  
186 1874) [syn. *Barbus* (*Barbus*) *nasus*], *Luciobarbus setivimensis* (Valenciennes, 1842) [*Barbus*  
187 (*Barbus*) *setivimensis*] (El Gharbi et al., Birgi & Lambert, 1994). Identification of *L.*  
188 *setivimensis* as host for *D. marocanus* is apparently erroneous (see Remarks for *D. ksibii*).

189 **Other previously recorded localities:** Oum Er'Rabia Basin (El Borj, Mechraa Ben Abbou);  
190 Tensift Basin, Zate River (Ait Ourir); Ksob Basin (Essaouira); Sebou Basin, Ouargha River  
191 (Ouazzane); Moulouya Basin (Mechraa Hammadi, Aklm); Morocco (El Gharbi et al., Birgi &  
192 Lambert, 1994).

193 **Present hosts and localities:** *Carasobarbus fritschii* (localities 1, 2, 3, 4, 7), *Luciobarbus ksibi*  
194 (localities 1, 3), *Luciobarbus zayanensis* Doadrio, Casal-López & Yahyaoui, 2016 (localities 4,  
195 5), *Pterocapoeta maroccana* Günther, 1902 (locality 4).

196 **Site on host:** Gill lamellae.

197 **Specimens deposited:** Seven vouchers and two hologenophores (HELxxx) in the Muséum  
198 d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris.

199 **Representative DNA sequences:** GenBank accession numbers KY629355 (28S rDNA),  
200 KY629333 (18S rDNA and ITS1).

201

202 **Description**

203 Description based on 10 specimens fixed in GAP. Body length 303 (263–348;  $n = 10$ ); greatest  
204 width 80 (73–90;  $n = 10$ ) at level of ovary. Anchors: total length 44 (41–50;  $n = 10$ ); length to  
205 notch 22 (19–23;  $n = 10$ ); inner root length 18 (15–20;  $n = 10$ ); outer root length 2 (1–3;  $n = 10$ );

**Commenté [D10]:** I assume from two different hosts. I note below that you sequenced specimens from 3 different hosts. What happened to the third hologenohore?

**Commenté [D11]:** I assume including the haptor. Right?

point length 10 (9–11;  $n = 10$ ). One pair of needles located near hooks of pair V. Dorsal bar 24 (21–27;  $n = 10$ ) long. Ventral bar reduced, 13 (12–15;  $n = 10$ ) long. Hook lengths: pair I = 19 (18–20;  $n = 10$ ); pair II = 19 (17–20;  $n = 10$ ); pair III = 22 (20–25;  $n = 10$ ); pair IV = 23 (21–26;  $n = 10$ ); pair V = 18 (16–19;  $n = 10$ ); pair VI = 19 (16–24;  $n = 10$ ); pair VII 18 (15–23;  $n = 10$ ). MCO comprising basally articulated copulatory tube and accessory piece; total straight length 44 (38–57;  $n = 10$ ); copulatory tube 47 (41–61;  $n = 10$ ) long. Vagina lightly sclerotized, 5 (4–7;  $n = 10$ ) long.

213

#### 214 Molecular characterization

215 The partial 28S rDNA sequence of *D. maroccanus* was 787 bp long, while the sequence including  
216 partial 18S rDNA, the entire ITS1 region and partial 5.8S rDNA was 972 bp long (478 bp  
217 corresponded to 18S rDNA, 483 bp corresponded to the ITS1 region and 11 bp corresponded to  
218 5.8S rDNA). Seven specimens from three host species (*C. fritschii*, *L. ksibi*, and *P. maroccana*)  
219 were sequenced, with no intraspecific genetic variability between specimens parasitizing  
220 different host species found. Pairwise genetic distances between *D. maroccanus* and other  
221 Moroccan *Dactylogyrus* spp. showed very high molecular divergence (Table 3).

222

#### 223 Remarks

224 *Dactylogyrus maroccanus* was originally described from the gills of six species belonging to three  
225 cyprinid genera (*Carasobarbus*, *Labeobarbus* and *Luciobarbus*) inhabiting five large river basins  
226 in Morocco (El Gharbi et al., Birgi & Lambert, 1994; see above). However, one of the host  
227 species recorded, *L. setivimensis*, was probably misidentified as the species only occurs naturally  
228 in coastal rivers in northeast Algeria (Soummam Basin) (Fricke et al., Eschmeyer & Van de Laan,

**Commenté [D12]:** These measurements should be deleted. They are arbitrary and cannot be repeated because they are based on soft points. For example, where is the dividing point between the anchor shaft and anchor point? Subjective!!

**Commenté [D13]:** Reduced from what?

**Commenté [D14]:** The MCO does not include the accessory piece. However, the copulatory complex includes both the MCO and accessory piece.

**Commenté [D15]:** What do you mean by "straight"? Did you measure along the curve(s) or was your measurement a straight-line distance between extreme points?

229 2020). *Dactylogyrus maroccanus* resembles several species belonging to the ‘pseudanchoratus’  
 230 species-group defined in Paperna (1979), in that it has anchors with a markedly elongated inner  
 231 root, short outer root and a proximally swollen shaft (an attachment point for the anchor  
 232 filament). It most closely resembles *Dactylogyrus longiphallus* Paperna, 1973 from African  
 233 cyprinids in that it possesses a J-shaped copulatory tube and a rod-shaped accessory piece  
 234 distally bifurcated to form two arms (one hook-shaped) that serve as a guide for the distal part of  
 235 the copulatory tube. In addition, the MCO is markedly large in relation to the size of the haptoral  
 236 structures in both species (compare with Plate XV, Figs. 1–11 in Paperna (1979)). However, *D.*  
 237 *maroccanus* is easily differentiated from other species belonging to the ‘pseudanchoratus’  
 238 species-group by the presence of the ventral bar. *Dactylogyrus maroccanus* demonstrates a  
 239 relatively low level of host specificity, having been recorded on eight host species of four genera  
 240 from Morocco, including *L. zayanensis* and *P. maroccana* reported in our study. During the  
 241 present survey, no morphological differences were found between specimens of this species  
 242 collected from *C. fritschii*, *L. ksibii*, *L. zayanensis* and *P. maroccana*.

243

244 *Dactylogyrus ksibii* El Gharbi, Birgi & Lambert, 1994 (Figs. 3A, B)

245 **Type host:** *Luciobarbus ksibi* (Boulenger, 1905) [syn. *Barbus* (*Barbus*) *ksibi*]

246 **Type locality:** Ksob Basin (Essaouira); Morocco.

247 **Other previously recorded hosts:** *Luciobarbus magniatlantis* (Pellegrin, 1919) [syn. *Barbus*  
 248 (*Barbus*) *magniatlantis*], *Luciobarbus setivimensis* (Valenciennes, 1842) [syn. *Barbus* (*Barbus*)  
 249 *setivimensis*] (El Gharbi et. al., Birgi & Lambert, 1994). Identification of *L. setivimensis* as host  
 250 for *D. ksibii* is apparently erroneous (see Remarks).

**Commenté [D16]:** See comments for the taxonomic summary of *D. maroccanus*. Same apply here as in the taxonomic accounts of the remaining species.

251 **Other previously recorded localities:** Oum Er’Rabia Basin (Borj, Bounual); Tensift Basin,  
 252 Ourika River (Ourika), Zate River (Ait Ourir); Mellah Basin (Khouribga); Bouregreg Basin,  
 253 Grou River (Moulay Bouazza), Boulahmayl River (Aguelmouss); Morocco (El Gharbi **et. al.**,  
 254 **Birgi & Lambert**, 1994).

255 **Present hosts and localities:** *Luciobarbus ksibi* (Boulenger, 1905) (localities 1, 3), *Luciobarbus*  
 256 *rabatensis* Doadrio, Perea & Yahyaoui, 2015 (locality 6).

257 **Site on host:** Gill lamellae.

258 **Specimens deposited:** Three vouchers and one hologenophore (HELxxx) (= *D. ksibii* 1 in our  
 259 analyses) from *L. ksibi* (Ksob River), one voucher and one hologenophore (HELxxx) (= *D. ksibii*  
 260 2 in our analyses) from *L. ksibi* (Oum Er’Rabia River), and one hologenophore (HELxxx) (= *D.*  
 261 *ksibii* 3 in our analyses) from *L. rabatensis* (Grou River) in the Muséum d’Histoire Naturelle,  
 262 Paris.

263 **Representative DNA sequences:** GenBank accession numbers MN973812 (28S rDNA),  
 264 MN974252 (18S rDNA and ITS1) for *D. ksibii* 1, MN973811 (28S rDNA), MN974251 (18S  
 265 rDNA and ITS1) for *D. ksibii* 2, and MN973817 (28S rDNA), MN974250 (18S rDNA and ITS1)  
 266 for *D. ksibii* 3.

267

268 **Description**

269 Description based on 20 specimens fixed in GAP. Body length 630 (580–670;  $n = 20$ ); greatest  
 270 width 128 (120–136;  $n = 20$ ) at level of ovary. One pair of anchors located dorsally: total length  
 271 50 (45–53;  $n = 20$ ); length to notch 43 (39–45;  $n = 20$ ); inner root 20 (17–22;  $n = 20$ ) long; outer  
 272 root 7 (5–8;  $n = 20$ ) long; shaft curved; point 10 (9–11;  $n = 20$ ) long. One pair of needles located  
 273 near hooks pair V. Dorsal bar broadly V-shaped, with slightly narrowed median part, 32 (29–35;

274  $n = 20$ ) long. Ventral bar cross-shaped, with five arms, 34 (32–36;  $n = 20$ ) long, 27 (24–30;  $n =$   
 275 20) wide. Hooks 7 pairs, similar in shape; each comprised of 2 subunits (proximal subunit  
 276 expanded); filamentous hook (FH) loop extending to near level of termination of shank inflation;  
 277 hook lengths: pair I = 26 (24–29;  $n = 20$ ); pair II = 25 (23–26;  $n = 20$ ); pair III = 31 (26–33;  $n =$   
 278 20); pair IV = 31 (29–33;  $n = 20$ ); pair V = 27 (25–30;  $n = 20$ ); pair VI = 29 (27–31;  $n = 20$ ); pair  
 279 VII = 28 (26–30;  $n = 20$ ). MCO comprising articulated copulatory tube and accessory piece; total  
 280 straight length 30 (27–33;  $n = 20$ ). Copulatory tube a loose coil following sinuous path; 76 (75–  
 281 77;  $n = 13$ ) long. Accessory piece proximally enclosing base of copulatory tube to form frill-  
 282 belted capsule; medial portion with three processes: primary process distally articulated to the  
 283 capsule by lightly sclerotized ligament; secondary process grooved, closely associated with  
 284 wedge-shaped tertiary process, serving as a guide for distal part of the tube; distal portion  
 285 recurved, elongated, following medial part of the copulatory tube. Vagina a wavy tube, with  
 286 enlarged funnel-shaped opening, 63 (57–70;  $n = 20$ ) long.

287

#### 288 **Molecular characterization**

289 The partial 28S rDNA sequence of *D. ksibii* was 792 bp long, while the sequence including  
 290 partial 18S rDNA, the entire ITS1 region and partial 5.8S rDNA was 978 bp long (478 bp  
 291 corresponded to 18S rDNA, 489 bp corresponded to the ITS1 region and 11 bp corresponded to  
 292 5.8S rDNA). Nine specimens of *D. ksibii* from three different rivers (Ksob River, Oum Er’Rabia  
 293 River and Grou River) were sequenced. Using molecular data, genetic variability was reported  
 294 between specimens of *D. ksibii* parasitizing (i) *L. ksibi* collected from two different regions  
 295 (Ksob River and Oum Er’Rabia River), and (ii) different host species (*L. ksibi* and *L. rabatensis*).

296 Pairwise distances calculated between *D. ksibii* and other *Dactylogyrus* species of Moroccan  
 297 *Luciobarbus* are shown in Table 3.  
 298  
 299 **Remarks**  
 300 El Gharbi ~~et. al., Birgi & Lambert~~ (1994) described *D. ksibii* from specimens collected on the  
 301 gills of *L. ksibi* from the Ksob and Oum Er’Rabia Rivers, *L. magniatlantis* from the Tensift River  
 302 (Tensift Basin) and *L. setivimensis* from the Boulahmayl (Bouregreg Basin) and Mellah (Mellah  
 303 Basin) Rivers. However, ~~host~~ identification of the latter ~~host~~ species is probably erroneous (for  
 304 the reason suggested above), with *L. rabatensis* apparently captured instead of *L. setivimensis* in  
 305 the Boulahmayl River (Bouregreg Basin). All cyprinid specimens collected from the Bouregreg  
 306 Basin during the present survey were identified as *L. rabatensis* (J. Vukic, pers. comm.). The  
 307 Bouregreg Basin represents the current distribution area of this endemic Moroccan species  
 308 (Casal-López et al., 2015). In the original description of *D. ksibii*, El Gharbi, et al. ~~Birgi &~~  
 309 ~~Lambert~~ (1994) showed four iconotypes for the MCO, without indicating which corresponded to  
 310 the specimens of *D. ksibii* found on the type host species and locality (i.e. *L. ksibi*, Ksob River).  
 311 In addition, these authors reported morphometric variation in the haptoral sclerites among  
 312 specimens of *D. ksibii* parasitizing different host species and occurring in different localities.  
 313 Rahmouni et al. (2017) suggested that these morphological differences in the haptoral sclerites  
 314 could indicate that *D. ksibii* represented a complex of several morphologically similar species. In  
 315 the present study, specimens morphologically identified as *D. ksibii* were found on the gills of *L.*  
 316 *ksibi* (= type host) and *L. rabatensis*. Subsequent DNA analysis revealed that the specimens of  
 317 *D. ksibii* consisted of three genotypes, one found on *L. ksibi* collected in the Ksob River, one on  
 318 the same host species but from the Oum Er’Rabia River and one on *L. rabatensis* from the Grou

319 River (Table 2). A posteriori analysis of the specimens associated with the three genotypes  
 320 revealed no morphological basis for splitting *D. ksibii* into two or three species (see Figs. 3A, B).  
 321 Given that the level of morphological variation observed corresponds more to intraspecific  
 322 variation, no new *Dactylogyrus* species are named at this time.  
 323 *Dactylogyrus ksibii* belongs to the group of congeners having a cross-shaped ventral bar (=   
 324 ‘carpathicus’ type; El Gharbi et al., Birgi & Lambert, 1994). The MCO of *D. ksibii* most closely  
 325 resembles that of *D. scorpius* Rahmouni, Řehulková & Šimková 2017, *D. benhoussai* Rahmouni,  
 326 Řehulková & Šimková 2017 and *D. varius* Rahmouni, Řehulková & Šimková 2017, all three  
 327 parasitizing species of Moroccan *Luciobarbus* and morphologically belonging to the ‘scorpius’  
 328 group (Rahmouni et al., 2017). *Dactylogyrus ksibii* differs from these three species by possessing  
 329 a longer copulatory tube (76 vs 45 in *D. scorpius*; 76 vs 67 in *D. benhoussai*; 76 vs 65 in *D.*  
 330 *varius*) and by details in the structure of the accessory piece. The accessory piece of *D. ksibii* is  
 331 characterized by a medial part with a primary process closely associated with the secondary  
 332 process (medial part with primary and secondary processes well defined/separated in *D.*  
 333 *scorpius*, *D. benhoussai* and *D. varius*) and by a comparatively robust distal part (distal part  
 334 smaller in *D. scorpius*, *D. benhoussai* and *D. varius*).  
 335  
 336 ***Dactylogyrus borjensis* El Gharbi, Birgi & Lambert, 1994** (Fig. 4)  
 337 **Type host:** *Luciobarbus zayanensis* Doadrio, Casal-López & Yahyaoui, 2016, previously  
 338 referred to as *Luciobarbus nasus* [syn. *Barbus (Barbus) nasus*] (see Remarks).  
 339 **Type locality:** Oum Er’Rabia Basin, El Borj; Morocco.

340 **Other previously recorded hosts:** *Luciobarbus magniatlantis* (Pellegrin, 1919), previously  
 341 referred to as *L. nasus* [syn. *B. (B.) nasus*] (El Gharbi et al., Birgi & Lambert, 1994) (see  
 342 Remarks).

343 **Other previously recorded locality:** Tensift Basin, Zate River (Ait Ourir); Morocco (El Gharbi  
 344 et al., Birgi & Lambert, 1994).

345 **Present host and localities:** *Luciobarbus zayanensis* Doadrio, Casal-López & Yahyaoui, 2016  
 346 (localities 4, 5).

347 **Specimens deposited:** Three vouchers and two hologenophores (HELxxx) from *L. zayanensis*  
 348 (El Borj) in the Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris.

349 **Site on host:** Gill lamellae.

350 **Representative DNA sequences:** GenBank accession numbers MN973819 (28S rDNA),  
 351 MN974257 (18S rDNA and ITS1).

352

353 **Description**

354 Description based on 24 specimens fixed in GAP. Body length 624 (540–683;  $n = 24$ ); greatest  
 355 width 123 (100–130;  $n = 24$ ) at level of ovary. One pair of anchors located dorsally: total length  
 356 47 (44–48;  $n = 24$ ); length to notch 41 (40–42;  $n = 24$ ); inner root 17 (15–19;  $n = 24$ ) long; outer  
 357 root 5 (4–6;  $n = 24$ ) long; shaft curved, slightly swollen medially; point 12 (11–13;  $n = 24$ ) long.  
 358 Anchor filament conspicuous. One pair of needles located near hooks of pair V. Dorsal bar  
 359 broadly V-shaped, with slightly rounded extremities, 36 (34–37;  $n = 24$ ) long. Ventral bar cross-  
 360 shaped, with five arms, 36 (34–38;  $n = 24$ ) long, 28 (26–31;  $n = 24$ ) wide. Hooks 7 pairs, similar  
 361 in shape; each comprised of 2 subunits (proximal subunit expanded); FH loop extending to near  
 362 level of termination of shank inflation; hook lengths: pair I = 25 (23–26;  $n = 24$ ); pair II = 26



363 (25–28;  $n = 24$ ); pair III = 31 (29–33;  $n = 24$ ); pair IV = 31 (29–34;  $n = 24$ ); pair V = 25 (23–27;  
364  $n = 24$ ); pair VI = 27 (26–30;  $n = 24$ ); pair VII = 28 (26–30;  $n = 24$ ). MCO complex, comprising  
365 articulated copulatory tube and accessory piece; total straight length 40 (36–42;  $n = 24$ ).  
366 Copulatory tube a loose coil following sinuous path; 111 (107–120;  $n = 12$ ) long. Accessory  
367 piece proximally enclosing base of copulatory tube to form frill-belted capsule; distal portion  
368 recurved, elongated, following medial part of copulatory tube; medial portion with three  
369 processes: primary process distally articulated to the capsule by lightly sclerotized ligaments;  
370 secondary process apically expanded into a wing like flap, closely associated with tertiary  
371 process serving as a guide for distal termination of the tube. Vagina a lightly sclerotized  
372 meandering tube, with disc-shaped opening, 92 (88–98;  $n = 24$ ) long.

373

#### 374 **Molecular characterization**

375 The sequence of partial 28S rDNA of *D. borjensis* was 792 bp long. The sequence including  
376 partial 18S rDNA, entire ITS1 region and partial 5.8S rDNA was 998 bp long (478 bp  
377 corresponded to 18S rDNA, 489 bp corresponded to ITS1 region, and 11 bp corresponded to  
378 5.8S rDNA). Three specimens of *D. borjensis* from the Oum Er’Rabia River were sequenced,  
379 and no intraspecific variation between the specimens of this species was noted. Pairwise  
380 distances between *D. borjensis* and the other *Dactylogyrus* species from Moroccan *Luciobarbus*  
381 are shown in Table 3.

382

#### 383 **Remarks**

384 Although the type specimens of *D. borjensis* ~~was were~~ not available (see Rahmouni et al., 2017).  
385 ~~-based~~ Based on the morphology of the haptoral and copulatory sclerites ~~shown showed~~ by El

386 Gharbi et al. Birgi & Lambert (1994), we consider our samples to be conspecific with this  
 387 species. The original description is adequate except that these authors depicted the accessory  
 388 piece of the MCO with the largest (secondary) medial process expanded into a tube through  
 389 which the distal (recurved) part passes. As in other species of *Dactylogyrus* belonging to the  
 390 “scorpius” group, the accessory piece is somewhat variable in this species, with the distal part  
 391 bending inward to the right or left side of the medial part. This variability is likely a result of the  
 392 compression used during preparation of individual worms for mounting. It appears likely that El  
 393 Gharbi et al. Birgi & Lambert (1994) misinterpreted the distal part of the accessory piece as  
 394 incorporated into the tubular part of the largest medial process, instead of lying under or above  
 395 this part. In the present specimens of *D. borjensis*, the distal part of the accessory piece is not  
 396 associated with any processes of the medial part. The largest process of the accessory piece is  
 397 terminally extended to form wing like flap usually rolled in the shape of a sleeve, which serves  
 398 as a guide for the distal part of the copulatory tube, and not for the distal part of the MCO  
 399 accessory piece as it is depicted in the original description of *D. borjensis*.  
 400 *Dactylogyrus borjensis* is most similar to *D. falsiphallus* Rahmouni, Řehulková & Šimková,  
 401 2017, described on the gills of *L. maghrebensis* from the Lahdar and Sebou rivers (Sebou Basin)  
 402 (Rahmouni et al., 2017), in the general morphology of the haptoral sclerites and MCO. It differs  
 403 from *D. falsiphallus* by having relatively robust and evenly sclerotized distal part of the  
 404 accessory piece (distal part reduced in its sclerotization into a long spike in *D. falsiphallus*). In  
 405 addition, the MCO is measurably smaller in *D. falsiphallus*.  
 406 El Gharbi et al. Birgi & Lambert (1994) listed *L. nasus* [syn. *B. (B.) nasus*] as the type host for  
 407 this species. According to Doadrio et al. Casal López & Perea (2016) the rheophilic *Luciobarbus*  
 408 populations traditionally assigned to *L. nasus* (Günther, 1874) and *L. magniatlantis* (Pellegrin,

1919) comprise three species, each endemic for different basins in Morocco. *Luciobarbus nasus* is restricted to the Ksob Basin, *L. magniatlantis* to the Tensift Basin, and **the** recently described *L. zayanensis* to the Oum Er’Rabia Basin. Since the last basin represents the type locality for *D. borjensis*, we consider *L. zayanensis* as the type host of this species. The additional host record of *D. borjensis* on **a population of *Luciobarbus* population species** previously referred to *L. nasus* from Tensift Basin (El Gharbi **et al., Birgi & Lambert,** 1994) should be attributed to *L. magniatlantis*.

***Dactylogyrus draaensis* El Gharbi, Birgi & Lambert, 1994** (Fig. 5)

**Type host:** *Luciobarbus pallaryi* (Pellegrin, 1919) [syn. *Barbus (Barbus) pallaryi*].

**Type locality:** Draa Basin, Dadès River, Ait Oudinar; Morocco.

**Other previously recorded locality:** Draa Basin, Tidili River, Iouine River; Morocco (El Gharbi **et. al., Birgi & Lambert,** 1994).

**Present host and locality:** *Luciobarbus lepineyi* (Pellegrin, 1939) (locality 8).

**Specimens deposited:** Vouchers and hologenophores (HELxxx) in the Muséum d’Histoire Naturelle, Paris.

**Site on host:** Gill lamellae.

**Representative DNA sequences:** GenBank accession numbers: MN973816 (28S rDNA), MN974258 (18S rDNA and ITS1).

**Description**

Description based on 30 specimens fixed in GAP. Body length 790 (645–901; *n* = 16); greatest width 120 (110–125; *n* = 30) at level of ovary. Anchors: total length 51 (48–55; *n* = 30); length

**Commenté [D17]:** Similarly, *Luciobarbus* is a taxon, not a host. Therefore, the population was of a species.

432 to notch 39 (36–42;  $n = 30$ ); inner root length 19 (17–21;  $n = 30$ ); outer root length 5 (3–6;  $n =$   
433 30); point length 12 (11–14;  $n = 30$ ). One pair of needles located near hooks of pair V. Dorsal  
434 bar 41 (34–45;  $n = 30$ ) long. Ventral bar cross-shaped, with five arms, 51 (37–56;  $n = 30$ ) long,  
435 36 (27–45;  $n = 30$ ) wide. Hook lengths: pair I = 29 (25–35;  $n = 27$ ); pair II = 29 (25–32;  $n = 29$ );  
436 pair III = 31 (29–35;  $n = 25$ ); pair IV = 31 (24–36;  $n = 29$ ); pair V = 28 (24–33;  $n = 29$ ); pair VI  
437 = 31 (27–38;  $n = 28$ ); pair VII = 31 (28–36;  $n = 25$ ). MCO complex, comprising articulated  
438 copulatory tube and accessory piece; total straight length 43 (40–52;  $n = 30$ ); copulatory tube  
439 116 (113–120;  $n = 25$ ) long. Vagina 54 (47–60;  $n = 30$ ) long.

440

#### 441 **Molecular characterization**

442 The partial 28S rDNA sequence of *D. draaensis* was 792 bp long. The sequence including partial  
443 18S rDNA, the entire ITS1 region and partial 5.8S rDNA was 998 bp long, of which 478 bp  
444 corresponded to 18S rDNA, 489 bp corresponded to the ITS1 region and 11 bp corresponded to  
445 5.8S rDNA. Three specimens of *D. draaensis* from the Zouala Oasis were sequenced, with no  
446 intraspecific variability between specimens of this species noted. Pairwise distances between *D.*  
447 *draaensis* and the other *Dactylogyrus* species of Moroccan *Luciobarbus* are shown in Table 3.

448

#### 449 **Remarks**

450 *Dactylogyrus draaensis* was originally described from the gills of *L. pallaryi* from two rivers in  
451 the Draa Basin (El Gharbi [et al.](#), [Birgi & Lambert](#), 1994). The species is characterized by  
452 possessing a sinuous copulatory tube with the distal part supported by two auricle-like processes  
453 rising from the accessory piece. During the present survey, specimens provisionally identified as  
454 *D. draaensis* were collected from *L. lepineyi* in the Zouala Oasis. The drawings provided by El

455 Gharbi et al. Birgi & Lambert (1994) were unclear regarding the morphology of the medial part  
456 of the accessory piece, hence we cannot state with certainty that our specimens are conspecific  
457 with those of *D. draaensis* from the Draa Basin. Assignment of a new species name to our  
458 specimens is not made at this time and will depend on re-collection of *D. draaensis* from the type  
459 host in the type locality for comparison with our specimens.

460

#### 461 **Phylogenetic reconstruction**

462 A concatenated sequence alignment (partial 28S, 18S rDNA and ITS1 combined) was used to  
463 construct a phylogenetic tree including 24 species, the alignment comprising 1458 aligned  
464 positions. Both phylogenetic analyses yielded similar tree topologies. The BI tree is presented in  
465 Figure 6, with bootstrap support values for ML and posterior probabilities for BI.

466 The phylogenetic reconstruction divided *Dactylogyrus* spp. parasitizing Moroccan cyprinids into  
467 three lineages. The first well-supported clade includes three species of *Dactylogyrus* (*D.*  
468 *kulindrii*, *D. volutus*, and *D. zatensis*) from Northwest African *C. fritschii* (Torinae) (Table 2).

469 These species all have ‘varicorhini’ type of the anchors and bars: the anchors possess shaft  
470 turned into a point with a sharp-stepped narrowing from the inner side of the anchor; dorsal bar  
471 is saddle-shaped with posterior groove, usually giving the impression of butterfly wings; and  
472 ventral bar is V- or omega-shaped (El Gharbi et al., Renaud & Lambert, 1992; Pugachev et al.,  
473 2009). A sister relationship between *D. volutus* and *D. kulindrii* is also supported by  
474 morphological similarities in their MCOs, i.e. the copulatory tube is relatively wide in diameter  
475 and a simple accessory piece possesses two projections guiding the distal portion of the  
476 copulatory tube. The second clade, with several subclades, comprises species of *Dactylogyrus*  
477 collected from European and Moroccan species of *Barbus* and *Luciobarbus* (Table 2).

**Commenté [D18]:** See comment above concerning the root of the tree. You should eliminate the root from your figure 6.

**Commenté [D19]:** You have three lineages based on where you placed the root, but if the root occurred between the clade of 3 and the remaining terminal taxa, you would have two (one clade with four species (including *D. maroccanus*) and one with remaining species). Further, you have no idea where the root might occur because you didn't use an outgroup. It just as likely could have occurred somewhere within the terminal clade (with many species). **Again, remove the root from your tree.**

Another point regarding your Figure 6. You have many branchings which lack significance. These should be collapsed.

478 *Dactylogyrus andalousiensis* El Gharbi, Renaud & Lambert, 1992 from Iberian *Luciobarbus*  
479 *sclateri* (Günther, 1868) (Portugal) was sister to a well-supported clade formed by nine species  
480 of *Dactylogyrus* parasitizing Moroccan *Luciobarbus*, all of which were characterized by i) a  
481 cross-shaped ventral bar with five extremities (= ‘carpathicus’ or ‘barbus’ type; see Pugachev et  
482 al., 2009), ii) an MCO possessing an accessory piece with the distal portion directed backwards  
483 along the circle of the curved copulatory tube (= ‘chondrostomi’ type; see Pugachev et al., 2009),  
484 a complex medial portion formed into ridge-shaped processes supporting the distal part of the  
485 copulatory tube and capsule-like proximal portion (= ‘scorpion’ subtype; Rahmouni et al., 2017).  
486 The basal position of *D. draensis* in relation to the other *Dactylogyrus* spp. from Moroccan  
487 *Luciobarbus* was well supported by PP resulting from BI analysis and moderately/weakly  
488 supported by BP resulting from ML analysis. *Dactylogyrus borjensis* is sister to the well-  
489 supported clade formed by two weakly supported assemblages represented by *D. benhoussai* and  
490 *D. varius* clustered together and *D. scorpius* clustered with three genotypes of *D. ksibii*. All four  
491 *Dactylogyrus* species are morphologically very similar, both in the haptoral structures and the  
492 MCOs. Finally, *D. marocanus* formed a separated lineage supported by differing morphology.

493

## 494 Discussion

495 Since the anatomical details of dactylogyrids are generally poorly known, discrimination  
496 between species of *Dactylogyrus* relies chiefly on the morphometric characteristics of sclerotized  
497 structures of the haptor and reproductive organs. In recent years, however, molecular  
498 phylogenetic analysis has revealed hidden genetic variation and/or cryptic species within this  
499 genus. Rahmouni et al. (2017) reported two cryptic species of *Dactylogyrus* (*D. benhoussai* and  
500 *D. varius*) on two species of Moroccan *Luciobarbus* species (*L. yahyaoui* and *L. maghrebensis*,

**Commenté [D20]:** The reason being that too many descriptions of dactylogyrids coming out (particularly out of Africa are "anchor & hook" description, with nearly total exclusion of internal anatomy. "Anchor & hook" descriptions were good enough during 1930s-1960s, but are insufficient these days.

**Commenté [D21]:** This is nice, but we know that molecules and organisms do not evolve in the same way. As a result, molecular phylogenies may not provide an accurate picture of what happened historically.

501 respectively), while Benovics et al. (2018) revealed potential cryptic species complexes within  
 502 three species of *Dactylogyrus* (*Dactylogyrus rutili* Glaeser, 1965, *Dactylogyrus dyki* Ergens &  
 503 Lucký, 1959 and *Dactylogyrus ergensi* Molnar, 1964) parasitizing Balkan cyprinids. As different  
 504 ribosomal DNA molecular markers show differing rates of evolution, they are appropriate for  
 505 evaluating genetic divergence at different levels (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist, 2001). In the present  
 506 study, we applied genetic markers widely used for monogeneans, i.e. 18S rDNA, 28S rDNA and  
 507 the ITS1 region (Cunningham, 1997; Meinilä et al. 2002; Ziętara & Lumme, 2002). Molecular  
 508 characterization of *Dactylogyrus* species in our study showed that specimens identified  
 509 morphologically as *D. ksibii*, collected from the gills of two different hosts (*L. ksibi* and *L.*  
 510 *rabatensis*) and geographically distant basins, exhibited a low level of intraspecific variability  
 511 (0.8% for the combined 18S rDNA and ITS1 sequences). Molecular divergence observed  
 512 between specimens of *D. ksibii* may be explained by the large geographical distances between  
 513 parasites and hosts precluding gene flow between isolated populations. Our findings indicate that  
 514 specimens of *D. ksibii* reported by El Gharbi et al., Birgi & Lambert (1994) from *Luciobarbus*  
 515 species inhabiting various river basins in Morocco need serious reinvestigation in the future  
 516 using morphological and molecular data.  
 517 Besides providing crucial taxonomic information on species, the sclerotized structures of the  
 518 haptor and reproductive organs are of particular interest in evolutionary studies focused on the  
 519 link between morphological and molecular interspecific similarities of *Dactylogyrus* spp. (e.g.  
 520 Benovics et al., Kičinjaová & Šimková, 2017; Benovics et al., 2018). In addition, as the  
 521 morphology of the attachment organ is usually viewed as the result of adaptive processes to the  
 522 host microenvironment, the morphological characteristics of the haptor may have the potential to  
 523 reflect the phylogeny and historical biogeographical routes of their hosts (e.g. Šimková et al.,

**Commenté [D22]:** It would be useful for you to provide hosts and localities for each of the three specimens of *D. ksibii* you sequenced. This would fit (and perhaps) support the rest of the sentence.

**Commenté [D23]:** Give a reference for this clause.

2006). On the basis of morphological resemblance, many *Dactylogyrus* species can be grouped into morphological types, often derived from a single structure (Pugachev et al., 2009). Some of these groups may be considered as phylogenetic units, members of one unit often parasitizing closely related hosts. However, it is relatively difficult to determine to what extent morphological features reflect a phylogenetic signal unless adaptive forces associated with the possibility of host switching cannot be excluded.

Based on the shape of the ventral bar, species of *Dactylogyrus* parasitizing *Luciobarbus* spp. are grouped into four morphological types, i.e. those with a rod-shaped, omega-shaped, inverted T-shaped or cross-shaped ventral bar (see Pugachev et al., 2009). All Moroccan species of *Dactylogyrus* that are host-specific to *Luciobarbus* spp. belong to the group with a cross-shaped ventral bar, where the anterior arm is widely bifurcated and the posterior arm is more or less split (= five radial type; ‘carpathicus’ or ‘barbus’ type in El Gharbi et al. Birgi & Lambert (1994) and Pugachev et al. (2009), respectively). *Dactylogyrus* spp. with this type of ventral bar have also been recorded on *Luciobarbus* spp. inhabiting the Balkan Peninsula (Řehulková et al., unpublished) and the region around the Caspian Sea (Pugachev et al., 2009), and on *Aulopyge huegelii* Benovics, Kičinjaová & Šimková, 2017 in the Balkans (Benovics et al., Kičinjaová & Šimková, 2017). The majority of *Dactylogyrus* species (except *D. andalousiensis* and *Dactylogyrus linstowoides*) reported on *Luciobarbus* spp. on the Iberian Peninsula have V- or omega-shaped ventral bars. Inasmuch as the haptor has to be evolutionary adapted as far as possible to the host microenvironment (e.g. Kearns, 1968; Šimková et al., 2001), the same morphological type of haptor in Moroccan and Balkan-Caspian *Dactylogyrus* spp. may suggest that *Luciobarbus* spp. inhabiting these regions share a common ancestor. This assumption is also supported by Tsigenopoulos et al. (2003) and Yang et al. (2015), who showed that most



547 *Luciobarbus* spp. from Northwest Africa are more closely related to *Luciobarbus* spp. from the  
548 Middle East than to those *Luciobarbus* from the Iberian Peninsula. In addition, on the basis of  
549 phylogenetic reconstruction, Šimková et al. (2017) demonstrated that species of *Dactylogyrus*  
550 parasitizing Northwest northwest African *Luciobarbus* have a European/west Asian origin. When  
551 looking at MCO morphology, the ‘Moroccan’ and ‘Balkan-Caspian’ species of *Dactylogyrus*  
552 with a cross-shaped ventral bar are characterized by different types of MCO, i.e. the  
553 ‘chondrostomi’ and ‘kulwieci’ types, respectively (Pugachev et al., 2009). However, the  
554 ‘chondrostomi’ group, characterized by an accessory piece with the distal portion directed  
555 backwards along the circle of the curved copulatory tube, is one of the most specious numerous  
556 groups within the Palearctic *Dactylogyrus* species exhibiting different types of ventral bars  
557 (Pugachev et al., 2009). On the basis of detailed morphology of the accessory piece, it would  
558 appear that Moroccan species of *Dactylogyrus* form another subgroup within this group, named  
559 by Rahmouni et al. (2017) as the ‘scorpius’ subgroup. This subgroup is characterized by an  
560 accessory piece with a complex medial portion formed into ridge-shaped processes supporting  
561 the distal part of the copulatory tube and a relatively massive capsule-like proximal portion. It  
562 would be interesting to further investigate the degree of relatedness between Moroccan and other  
563 *Dactylogyrus* spp. with the ‘chondrostomi’ type of MCO, with the intention of answering the  
564 question of whether the similarity between MCOs is a result of homoplasy or shows a  
565 phylogenetic signal.  
566 Concerning host specificity, *D. marocanus* exhibits an unusually broad host range that includes  
567 phylogenetically distant host species. Our records of *D. marocanus* on the gills of *L. zayanensis*  
568 and *P. maroccana* and increases the range of available host species such that the host range now  
569 includes eight species of four cyprinid genera representing two phylogenetic lineages, i.e. the

**Commenté [D24]:** What group? List it here rather than using the pronoun. The "chondrostomi group"? Be very careful with use of pronouns as it might be clear to you what you are referring to, but not so for your readers.

570 Torinae, including *Carasobarbus*, *Labeobarbus* and *Pterocapoeta*, and the Barbinae, including  
 571 *Luciobarbus*. El Gharbi et al., Birgi & Lambert (1994) suggested that *C. fritschii* [syn.  
 572 *Labeobarbus fritschii*] represents the original host of *D. marocanus*; however, Šimková et al.  
 573 (2017) showed that *D. marocanus* is closely related to *Dactylogyrus* spp. parasitizing West  
 574 African species assigned to *Labeo*, which suggests a host-switch from African labeonins  
 575 Labeoninae to *C. fritschii* and the other reported Northwest African cyprinid hosts. In terms of  
 576 morphology, *D. marocanus* is the only Moroccan *Dactylogyrus* species belonging to the  
 577 ‘pseudanchoratus’ group (El Gharbi et al., Birgi & Lambert, 1994), which includes *Dactylogyrus*  
 578 spp. reported on cyprinids (mostly species of *Labeo*) from the wider equatorial region in Africa  
 579 (Paperna, 1979) and, interestingly, *Dactylogyrus* spp. parasitizing *Garra rufa* (Labeoninae) in  
 580 Iran (Gussev et al., Jalali & Molnár, 1993; Pugachev et al., 2009). The molecular phylogeny of  
 581 labeonins provided by Tang et al. Getahun & Liu (2009) showed that the Asian *G. rufa* and  
 582 African *Garra* spp. formed two sister groups, and that this Afro-Asian clade was nested within a  
 583 larger clade containing all other Asian *Garra* spp. In addition, their study supports an East Asian  
 584 origin of labeonins, and in-to into-Africa dispersal events for the African species of *Garra* and  
 585 *Labeo*. If the phylogeny of highly host-specific parasites follows the phylogeny and historical  
 586 biogeography of their hosts, it would be interesting to analyze the phylogenetic relationship  
 587 between *Dactylogyrus* spp. parasitizing *G. rufa* and those of the ‘pseudanchoratus’ group  
 588 (including *D. marocanus*) parasitizing African labeonins. The close relationship between these  
 589 *Dactylogyrus* spp., supported by both morphological and molecular data, could point to their  
 590 common Asian origin.  
 591 Our phylogenetic analysis confirmed the results of Šimková et al. (2017), suggesting three  
 592 independent origins of *Dactylogyrus* spp. parasitizing Northwest African cyprinid fishes.

Commenté [D25]: Sister groups implies 2 taxa.

593 *Dactylogyrus* spp. from Moroccan *Luciobarbus* (Barbinae) hosts represent the largest  
594 (monophyletic) group in number of species. High similarity in morphology of the sclerotized  
595 structures in these parasites, together with high host specificity, could suggest rapid  
596 diversification following the geographical separation and diversification of *Luciobarbus* spp. The  
597 basal position of *D. andalusiensis* parasitizing Iberian *L. sclateri* in relation to the monophyletic  
598 group including *Dactylogyrus* spp. parasitizing Moroccan *Luciobarbus* spp. (Barbinae) confirms  
599 strongly supports (or strongly suggests that) a European origin for this group of parasites, as  
600 previously shown by Šimková et al. (2017). *Dactylogyrus* spp. parasitizing fishes of Moroccan  
601 Torinae (species of *Carasobarbus* and *Labeobarbus*) form the second largest group characterized  
602 by the ‘varicorhini’ morphological type of sclerotized structures (El Gharbi et al., Birgi &  
603 Lambert, 1994), probably originating from Asian cyprinids (Šimková et al., 2017). Mapping the  
604 evolutionary history of *D. marocanus* is difficult due to its low level of host specificity; however,  
605 morphological and molecular data suggest an affinity of this species to *Dactylogyrus* spp.  
606 parasitizing African labeonins (Šimková et al., 2017). The phylogenetic position of *Dactylogyrus*  
607 *guirensis* El Gharbi, Birgi et Lambert, 1994, the only Moroccan species of the ‘guirensis’  
608 morphological type (El Gharbi et al., Birgi & Lambert, 1994), remains unresolved as no  
609 molecular data are available for this species at this time.

610

## 611 Acknowledgements

612 We wish to thank Jasna Vukić from Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague, for fish  
613 collection and determination. We are also grateful to Professor Abdelaziz Benhoussa from  
614 Mohamed V University of Rabat for the help in the fish collection. Thanks are also due to Mária

**Commenté [D26]:** You haven't confirmed anything. You have supported the idea but you did not "prove" or "confirm" anything. There could easily be other explanations.

615 Lujza Červenka Kičinja and Tomáš Pakosta for their help with the fish examination, parasite  
616 collection and fixation. We kindly thank Kevin Roche for English revision of the final draft.

617

618 **References**

619 **Benovics M, Desdevises Y, Vukić J, Šanda R, Šimková A. 2018.** The phylogenetic  
620 relationships and species richness of host-specific *Dactylogyrus* parasites shaped by the  
621 biogeography of Balkan cyprinids. *Scientific Reports* **8**:1–18.

622 **Benovics M, Kičinjaová ML, Šimková A. 2017.** The phylogenetic position of the enigmatic  
623 Balkan *Aulopyge huegelii* (Teleostei: Cyprinidae) from the perspective of host-specific  
624 *Dactylogyrus* parasites (Monogenea), with a description of *Dactylogyrus omenti* n. sp. *Parasites*  
625 *& Vectors* **10**:1–13.

626 **Brahimi A, Libois R, Henrard A, Freyhof J. 2018.** *Luciobarbus lanigarensis* and *L.*  
627 *numidiensis*, two new species of barbels from the Mediterranean Sea basin in North Africa  
628 (Teleostei: Cyprinidae). *Zootaxa* **4433**:542–560.

629 **Casal-López M, Perea S, Yahyaoui A, Doadrio I. 2015.** Taxonomic review of the genus  
630 *Luciobarbus* Heckel, 1843 (Actinopterygii, Cyprinidae) from northwestern Morocco with the  
631 description of three new species. *Graellsia* **71**:e027.

632 **Cunningham CO. 1997.** Species variation within the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of  
633 *Gyrodactylus* (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) ribosomal RNA genes. *Journal of Parasitology*  
634 **83**:215–219.

635 **Darriba D, Taboala GL, Doallo R, Posada D. 2012.** JModelTest2: more models, new  
636 heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods* **9**:772.

637 **Doadrio I, Casal-López M, Perea S, Yahyaoui A. 2016.** Taxonomy of rheophilic *Luciobarbus*  
638 Heckel, 1842 (Actinopterygii, Cyprinidae) from Morocco. *Graellsia*, 72, e039.

639 **Doadrio I, Casal-López M, Perea S. 2016.** Taxonomic remarks on *Barbus moulouyensis*  
640 Pellegrin, 1924 (Actinopterygii, Cyprinidae) with the description of a new species of  
641 *Luciobarbus* Heckel, 1843 from Morocco. *Graellsia* 72:e054.

642 **El Gharbi S, Birgi E, Lambert A. 1994.** Monogènes Dactylogyridae parasites de Cyprinidae du  
643 genre *Barbus* d'Afrique du Nord. *Systematic Parasitology* 27:45–70.

644 **El Gharbi S, Renaud F, Lambert A. 1992.** Dactylogyrids (Platyhelminthes: Monogenea) of  
645 *Barbus* spp. (Teleostei: Cyprinidae) from the Iberian Peninsula. *Research and Reviews in*  
646 *Parasitology* 52:103–116.

647 **Felsenstein J. 1985.** Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap.  
648 *Evolution* 39:91–783.

649 **Fricke R, Eschmeyer WN, Van der Laan R. 2020.** Eschmeyer's Catalog of Fishes: genera,  
650 species, references. Available at  
651 <http://researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catalog/fishcatmain.asp> (accessed  
652 November 2019).

653 **Gibson DI, Timofeeva TA, Gerashev PI. 1996.** A catalogue of the nominal species of the  
654 monogenean genus *Dactylogyrus* Diesing, 1850 and their host genera. *Systematic Parasitology*  
655 35:3–48.

656 **Guindon S, Gascuel O. 2003.** A simple, fast and accurate algorithm to estimate large  
657 phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Systematic Biology* 27:1759–1767.

658 **Gussev AV, Jalali B, Molnár K. 1993.** *Systematic Parasitology* 25:221–228.

659 **Hall TA. 1999.** BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis  
660 program for windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* **41**:8–95.

661 **Hassouna N, Michot B, Bachellerie JP. 1984.** The complete nucleotide sequence of mouse 28S  
662 rRNA gene. Implications for the process of size increase of the large subunit rRNA in higher  
663 eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Research* **35**:63–83.

664 **ssHuelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F. 2001.** MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees.  
665 *Bioinformatics* **17**:754–755.

666 **Kearn GC. 1968.** The development of the adhesive organs of some diplectanid, tetraonchid and  
667 dactylogyrid gill parasites (Monogenea). *Parasitology* **58**:63–149.

668 **Malmberg G. 1957.** On the occurrence of *Gyrodactylus* on Swedish fishes. *Skifter Utgivna av*  
669 *Södra Sveriges Fiskeriförening, Årsskrift* **1956**:19–76 [in Swedish].

670 **Meinilä M, Kuusela J, Ziętara M, Lumme J. 2002.** Primers for amplifying ~820 bp of highly  
671 polymorphic mitochondrial COI gene of *Gyrodactylus salaris*. *Hereditas* **137**:72–74.

672 **Mizelle JD. 1936.** New species trematodes from the gills of Illinois fishes. *American Midland*  
673 *Naturalist* **17**:785–806.

674 **Paperna I. 1979.** Monogenea of inland water fish in Africa. *Annales du Musée Royal d’Afrique*  
675 *Centrale, Sciences Zoologiques* **26**:1–131.

676 **Pugachev ON, Gerashev PI, Gushev AV, Ergens R, Khotenowsky I. 2009.** Guide to  
677 Monogenoidea of freshwater fish of Palaearctic and Amur regions. Ledizione-Ledi Publishing,  
678 Milan.

679 **Rahmouni I, Řehulková E, Pariselle A, Berrada Rkhami O, Šimková A. 2017.** Four new  
680 species of *Dactylogyrus* (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) parasitizing the gills of northern  
681 Moroccan *Luciobarbus* (Cyprinidae): morphological and molecular characterization.

682 *Systematic Parasitology* **94**:575–591.

683 **Řehulková E.** 2018. Ectoparasitic helminths (Monogenea). In: Scholz T, Vanhove MPM, Smit  
684 N, et al. (eds). **A guide to the parasites of African freshwater fishes.** ABC Taxa. CEBioS,  
685 Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, 89–98.

686 **Stamatakis A.** 2014. Raxml version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large  
687 phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**:1312–1313.

688 **Šimková A, Benovics M, Rahmouni I, Vukić J.** 2017. Host-specific *Dactylogyrus* parasites  
689 revealing new insights on the historical biogeography of Northwest African and Iberian cyprinid  
690 fish. *Parasites & Vectors* **10**:1–16.

691 **Šimková A, Plaisance L, Matějusková I, Morand S, Verneau O.** 2003. Phylogenetic  
692 relationships of the Dactylogyridae Bychowsky, 1933 (Monogenea: Dactylogyridea): The need  
693 for the systematic revision of the Ancyrocephalinae Bychowsky, 1937. *Systematic Parasitology*  
694 **54**:1–11.

695 **Šimková A, Sasal P, Kadlec D, Gelnar, M.** 2001. Water temperature influencing dactylogyrid  
696 species communities in roach, *Rutilus rutilus*, in the Czech Republic. *Journal of Helminthology*  
697 **75**:373–383.

698 **Šimková A, Verneau O, Gelnar M, Morand S.** 2006. Specificity and specialization of  
699 congeneric monogeneans parasitizing cyprinid fish. *Evolution* **60**:1023–1037.

700 **Talavera G, Castresana J.** 2007. Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and  
701 ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. *Systematic Biology* **56**:564–577.

702 **Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipiski A, Kumar S.** 2013. MEGA6: Molecular  
703 evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**:2725–2729.

704 **Tang QY, Getahun A, Liu HZ. 2009.** Multiple in-to-Africa dispersals of labeonin fishes  
705 (Teleostei: Cyprinidae) revealed by molecular phylogenetic analysis. *Hydrobiologia* **632**:261-  
706 271.

707 **Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. 1994.** CLUSTAL W: Improving the sensitivity of  
708 progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap  
709 penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Research* **22**:4673–4680.

710 **Tsigenopoulos CS, Hellemans B, Chistiakov DA, Libertini A, Kotoulas G, Volckaert F.**  
711 **2003.** Eleven new microsatellites of the sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.). *Molecular Ecology*  
712 *Notes* **3**:352–354.

713 **Yang L, Sado T, Vincent Hirt M, Pasco-Viel E, Arunachalam M, Li J, Wang X, Freyhof J,**  
714 **Saitoh K, Simons AM, Miya M, He S, Mayden RL. 2015.** Phylogeny and polyploidy:  
715 resolving the classification of cyprinine fishes (Teleostei: Cypriniformes). *Molecular*  
716 *Phylogenetics and Evolution* **85**:97–116.

717 **Ziętara MS, Lumme J. 2002.** Speciation by host switch and adaptive radiation in a fish parasite  
718 genus *Gyrodactylus* (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae). *Evolution* **56**:2445–2458.