

1 Introduction

Plant mating systems have important consequences affecting, to a large extent, the demographic and genetic properties of plant populations and, in the long term, their evolutionary potential (Charlesworth & Charlesworth, 1979; Eckert et al. 2009). For the case of self-compatible plant populations, there is a wide variation in mating systems from predominant or complete selfing to complete outcrossing. However, intermediate (or mixed) mating systems, i.e., those that combine selfing and outcrossing strategies, are common in nature (Stebbins, 1957; Goodwillie, Kalisz & Eckert, 2005; Barrett, 2010).

Excluído: has

Excluído: mating systems display

Excluído: (i.e. mixed mating systems)

It is well documented that the transition from outcrossing to selfing is one of the most frequent evolutionary shifts in flowering plants (Stebbins, 1957; Barrett, 2010; Sicard & Lenhard, 2011). Evidence of this phenomenon comes from phylogenetic studies (Goodwillie, 1999; Foxe et al., 2009) that investigated inter-population differences, within species variation, and at different geographic scales (Duncan & Rausher, 2013; Wright, Kalisz & Slotte, 2013). The mating system transition from outcrossing to selfing may be explained by two main hypotheses.

Excluído: more

Excluído: evolutionary outcome spans

Excluído: , between populations

Excluído: ,

Excluído: of

Excluído: is

Excluído: predicted

One is the transmission advantage of selfers relative to outcrossers (3:2 genomes), that would promote the expansion of selfing modifiers in a population unless selection prevents this (Fisher, 1941). The second hypothesis considers that under unfavorable ecological conditions for cross-pollination, i.e., rarity or absence of potential mates and/or pollen vectors, natural selection would favor reproductive assurance through selfing (Baker, 1955; Stebbins, 1957; Schoen & Lloyd, 1992; Schoen, Morgan & Bataillon, 1996). Nevertheless, despite the potential advantage of selfing, it may restrict gene flow within and among populations producing high levels of inbreeding between individuals and increasing homozygosity (H_o), and thus the likelihood of inbreeding depression (δ) (Charlesworth & Charlesworth, 1987; Charlesworth & Wright, 2001;

Excluído: low abundance

35 Takebayashi & Morrell, 2001). Therefore, it is expected that the inbreeding coefficient (F) would
 36 covariate positively with the level of selfing in a population. In the long term, however,
 37 theoretical models and empirical evidence suggest that increasing levels of inbreeding promote
 38 selection against inbred individuals by purging the populations of lethal or deleterious alleles,
 39 thus reducing genetic load (Husband & Schemske, 1996; Takebayashi & Delph, 2000; Crnokrak
 40 & Barrett, 2002; Morran, Parmenter & Phillips, 2009). Hence, it is expected that populations with
 41 a long history of inbreeding suffer from low levels of inbreeding depression,
 42 Herkogamy, the spatial segregation of sex organs within the flower, is considered a main
 43 floral trait affecting selfing rates (Webb & Lloyd, 1986). In hermaphroditic flowers, the
 44 likelihood of selfing is reduced when the stigma surpasses the length of the anthers (i.e., approach
 45 herkogamy), because flower stigmas are prevented from receiving self-pollen; this opens the
 46 opportunity for outcross pollen to first contact stigmas instead (Lloyd & Webb, 1986; Webb &
 47 Lloyd, 1986). Contrastingly, when anthers and stigmas are placed at the same spatial level (i.e. no
 48 - herkogamy) or the stigmas are below the anthers (i.e. reverse herkogamy), autonomous or
 49 facilitated selfing is likely to occur (Lloyd, 1992). Reverse or nil herkogamy, might increase
 50 reproductive fitness (i.e., seed number) and be favored by selection, but it should also positively
 51 covariate with selfing rate. Natural selection, however, might oppositely favor approach
 52 herkogamy, increasing outcrossing rates (Motten & Stone, 2000; Elle & Hare, 2002; Herlihy &
 53 Eckert, 2007).
 54 Herkogamy is determined by genetic and ecological factors (Ashman & Majetic, 2006;
 55 Oopedal et al., 2017). Within populations, standing genetic variation in herkogamy would depend
 56 on the history of selection of individual lineages. If selective pressures exerted by the community
 57 of pollinators fluctuate in time, variation in herkogamy between lineages would be maintained,
 58 producing differences in selfing rate and variation in inbreeding among individual plants. When

Excluido: For these reasons

Excluido: predict

Excluido: would

Excluido: ,

Excluido: Populations

Excluido: would

Excluido: in the face of high levels of inbreeding

Excluido: ing

Excluido: preventing contact with its own pollen

Excluido: the

Excluido: On the other hand

Excluido: Nevertheless, r

Excluido: ,

Excluido: by

Excluido: increasing

Excluido: components of plant

Excluido: s

Excluido: would

Excluido: and be favored by selection

Excluido: Contrary, n

Excluido: would

Excluido: increase/maintain

Excluido: promoting higher

Excluido: between years

83 these associations are maintained through time, it would be reasonable to expect that selfing rate
84 (s), inbreeding coefficient (F) and herkogamy coevolve at the lineage level. Significant
85 associations between high outcrossing rate and approach herkogamy have been reported before
86 (Takebayashi, Wolf & Delph, 2006; de Vos et al., 2018; but see Chen et al., 2009; Brys &
87 Jacquemyn, 2012; Oøpedal, Armbruster & Pélabon, 2015; Toräng et al., 2017). However, few
88 studies have evaluated the within-population association between herkogamy and outcrossing
89 rate (Karron et al., 1997; Brunet & Eckert 1998; Medrano, Takebayashi & Delph, 2000; Herrera
90 & Barret, 2005) and none with inbreeding coefficient (F) at a lineage level.

Excluido: among populations

91 Annual, self-fertilizing, plant species may evolve in heterogeneous environments (e.g.
92 disturbed and/or arid habitats) where pollinator communities are unpredictable (Friedman &
93 Rubin, 2015). Under high environmental heterogeneity (i.e., in pollination service), daily and
94 seasonal fluctuations represent different opportunities for cross- or self-fertilization producing
95 variable selection on traits that affect the plants' mating system, such as herkogamy or the
96 inbreeding history of each maternal lineage (Schoen & Lloyd, 1992; Schoen, Morgan &
97 Bataillon, 1996; Morgan & Wilson, 2005; Eckert, Samis & Dart, 2006; Barrett, 2010; Shirk &
98 Hamrick, 2014; Pannell, 2015). However, it is not quite clear how strong and how frequent the
99 association between mating strategies, herkogamy and inbreeding history of lineages occur
100 within populations in short-lived species. Here, we assessed the extent of these associations in
101 each of two populations of the annual plant *Datura inoxia* Mill.

Excluido: would

102 *Datura inoxia* (Solanaceae) is an annual self-compatible plant that inhabits arid and semi-
103 arid lands in Mexico and Southern USA (i.e. The Chihuahuan desert). Characteristic of these
104 ecosystems is the high daily fluctuation of ambient temperature. *Datura inoxia* produces large,
105 nectar producing, tubular flowers that open at dusk and remain receptive one night only. Genetic
106 differentiation at neutral loci (i.e., genetic structure) and phenotypic differentiation in floral traits

109 related to plants' mating system have previously been documented among and within
110 populations, suggesting adaptive evolution (Jiménez-Lobato & Núñez-Farfán, 2012). Flowers are
111 commonly visited by honeybees and hawkmoths whose activity mainly depends on air
112 temperature (Barclay, 1959). The wide daily range of temperature in populations generates an
113 unpredictable pollinator activity that coupled with variation in herkogamy suggest correlated
114 selection on herkogamy, selfing rates and inbreeding history within populations.

115

116 **Materials and Methods**

117 *Species description and sampled populations*

118 *Datura innoxia* Mill. (Solanaceae) is a summer annual herbaceous plant native to Mexico and
119 southwestern USA (Barclay, 1959). It occurs in xeric environments, mainly along the
120 Chihuahuan desert (Barclay, 1959; Lockwood, 1973). The onset of flowering of *D. innoxia* is in
121 July and lasts up to September as others *Datura* species in this region (Bronstein et al., 2009).

122 *Datura innoxia* produces large, funnel-shaped, hermaphroditic and self-compatible white flowers
123 (Barclay, 1959). The flowers, that live one night only, open at dusk when both anthesis and
124 receptivity of stigma occur. During the flowering period, individuals can display from few up to
125 tens of flowers each night. Flower traits related to mating system, herkogamy and flower size,
126 display broad variation within and among populations (Jiménez-Lobato & Núñez-Farfán, 2012).

127 The species is pollinated by hawkmoths (*Manduca sexta*, *M. quinquemaculata*, and *Hyles lineata*)
128 (Grant, 1983) who forage on nectar, and by honeybees that collect pollen (Lockwood, 1973;
129 McCall et al. 2018; V. Jiménez-Lobato, pers. Obs.). Hawkmoths visit the flowers at dusk when
130 night temperatures reach more than 24°C (pers. Obs.). Honeybees visit the flowers in the

Comentado [SC1]: Which region? Chihuahuan desert?

Excluido: community of pollinators include

Excluido: ,

Excluido: , while h

134 afternoon, even if these are closed, and early in the morning, before flowers wilt and fall (McCall
135 et al., 2018).

Excluido: still

136 Two populations of *D. inoxia* were selected to assess the relationships between selfing
137 rate, herkogamy and inbreeding: which? A previous report indicates that these two populations
138 possess large individual variation in herkogamy and flower size-related traits (Jiménez-Lobato &
139 Núñez-Farfán, 2012). The Cañada de Moreno population (CM) is located in the State of
140 Querétaro (21° 17' 43" N?; 100° 31' 00" W?) in the Mexican Bajío at 1933 m a.s.l. During the
141 flowering period of *D. inoxia* (July to September), this locality has an average temperature of
142 18.8 °C, with a daily range from 7.4 °C to 30.8 °C, and a three-month total precipitation of 314

Excluido: coefficient (*F*) at the lineage level

Excluido: Collection of plant material (e.g., seeds) for experimental analyses, described below, was made under the permission SGPA-DGGFS-712-1596-17 (Subsecretaría de Gestión para la Protección Ambiental, Secretaría de Medio ambiente y Recursos Naturales, Mexico). P

Excluido: results

Excluido: ample

Excluido: in

143 mm. The Mapimí population (Map) is located at 1157 m a.s.l. in the Mexican Plateau in the
144 States of Coahuila and Durango (26° 41' 11" N?; 103° 44' 49" W?). This is a more xeric
145 environment, with a quarterly average temperature of 22.8 °C (range: 12.6 °C to 32.9 °C) and a
146 total three-month precipitation of 253 mm. Because flight activity of hawkmoths and honeybees
147 depends on ambient temperature, the wide fluctuation in daily temperature at both sites suggests a
148 heterogeneous and unpredictable pollinator service along the flowering season. Collection of seed

Excluido: second population,

Excluido: ,

Comentado [SC2]: Is there a reference for the climatic information?

Excluido: is

Excluido: ent

Formatado: Inglés (Americano)

149 material for experimental analyses was made under the permission SGPA-DGGFS-712-1596-17
150 (Subsecretaría de Gestión para la Protección Ambiental, Secretaría de Medio ambiente y
151 Recursos Naturales, Mexico).

Excluido: Within each population, in an area of 1 ha,

Excluido: 30

Excluido: reproductive

Comentado [SC3]: Randomly? The top flowers? Which criteria was chosen?

Excluido: In

Excluido: -

Excluido: length of

Excluido: - length of

Excluido: implies

Excluido: that the

Excluido: es

Excluido: while

Excluido: in

152 *Herkogamy* level variation within populations

153 Thirty plants in reproductive stage were randomly selected and tagged for sampling within each
154 population in an area of 1 ha. For each individual plant, 4-6 open flowers were selected to

155 measure herkogamy. Herkogamy was measured as the difference between pistil and stamens

156 length. Approach herkogamy was defined as pistil surpassing stamens in length, whereas reverse

herkogamy was the opposite trend. Absence of herkogamy occurred when pistil and stamens had similar lengths. To estimate phenotypic variation in herkogamy for each population, we calculated the within- and between-individual variances. Individual plants were considered a random effect. The residual variance is accounted by the intra-plant variation. The significance of individuals was evaluated by a likelihood-ratio χ^2 test (LRT) between a model that includes individuals as random effect (function lme) and one that does not (LRT1; function gls) (Zuur et al., 2009). Analyses were conducted with nlme package (Pinheiro et al., 2017) in R software (R Development Core Team, 2008).

Mating system parameters

To estimate mating system parameters from each marked plant in the field, five mature fruits derived from natural pollination were collected, labelled and bagged. In the laboratory, seeds of each fruit were separated and germinated in a greenhouse; seeds of each fruit within each family (maternal plant) were sowed separately. Germination per fruit, per family, was recorded for 30 days. To obtain an average estimate of germination rate per fruit, we recorded the final number of seeds germinated in each pot. Germination percentage was $\geq 90\%$ for all plants. Once seedlings emerged, at least five seedlings per fruit, and 25 seedlings per maternal plant (i.e., family) were collected for further genetic analysis. We collected leaf tissue from young plants, bagged, labelled, and stored in an ultra-freezer at -97°C . Finally, we analyzed 20 seedlings per each of 30 maternal families per population ($N = 600$).

DNA of seedlings was extracted following the Miniprep protocol (Doyle & Doyle, 1987). Five microsatellite nuclear loci developed for *D. stramonium* (Andraca, 2009) were amplified for each seedling. Further, we standardized one additional microsatellite locus for *D. inoxia* (F8: Rw: 5' -GGACAACATCTTTGCGACCC- 3') (Supplementary Information), in order to obtain a total

Excluido: occurs

Excluido: s

Excluido: overlap

Excluido: in

Excluido: obtained the variance component among and within

Excluido: In order t

Excluido: the

Excluido: glasshouse

Comentado [SC4]: Error rate?

Excluido: Seedlings'

215 of six polymorphic microsatellite loci per individual. Primers were labelled with PET, VIC, 6-
216 FAM, and NED dyes (Applied Biosystems) (PCR protocols are shown in Supplementary
217 Information).

218 Multiloci outcrossing (t_m) and selfing ($s = 1 - t_m$) rates, primary selfing rate (r) and
219 inbreeding coefficient (F) were estimated for each maternal family for each population. Mating
220 system parameters (t_m and s) at the family level were calculated with MLTR 3.2 (Ritland, 2002),
221 using the Expectation-Maximization method (EM) that allows presence of missing data and
222 undetected null alleles (Ritland & Jain, 1981). Standard errors and standard deviations were
223 estimated by bootstrapping, with 1000 replicates and re-sampling individuals at family level. The
224 frequency of null alleles per locus, per population, was assessed using Micro-Checker v.2.2.3
225 (Cock et al., 2004). Since one locus (G8) did not amplified for plants of Cañada de Moreno,
226 analyses were carried out with five loci, and six loci for Mapimí. Selfing rates (s) obtained from
227 molecular markers, after fertilization and germination, might not be completely independent from
228 inbreeding depression and thus may underestimate its true value (Lande, Schemske & Schultz,
229 1994). Primary selfing rate (r) is a better predictor of mating system because it assesses
230 separately the magnitude of inbreeding depression. Hence, r is referred to as the proportion of
231 selfed progeny at the time of fertilization (Lande, Schemske & Schultz, 1994). The primary
232 selfing rate (r) was calculated for each maternal family as: $r = s / [1 - \delta + s\delta]$, where s is the
233 selfing rate obtained from microsatellite loci, and δ is the cumulative inbreeding depression
234 obtained for each population (see below).

235 The inbreeding coefficient (F) may include components of inbreeding other than mating
236 system, such as biparental inbreeding or population substructure. Here, we use this parameter as a
237 proxy of the inbreeding history of each maternal family (i.e., adult cohort) (Latta & Ritland,
238 1994). F values were inferred per lineage from the loci amplified previously with GenePop v.4.2

Excluido: using

Excluido: software

Excluido: with an

Excluido: 's

Excluido: with GenePop v.4.2

244 (Rousset & Raymond, 1995; Rousset, 2008). **To investigate** whether inbreeding depression **was**
 245 associated with the selfing rate and herkogamy, we calculated inbreeding coefficients at
 246 equilibrium (F_e) (i.e., progeny cohort) at each lineage, assuming that adult F and t_m are constant
 247 among generations (Ritland, 1990). We then related these differences with selfing rate and
 248 herkogamy. Since F_e increases in relation to F due to self-fertilization, differences between F and
 249 F_e at each maternal lineage **should** indicate the presence of higher inbreeding depression. Once
 250 selection against inbred progeny occurred, F_e and F **will be** equal (Ritland, 1990; Shirk &
 251 Hamrick 2014). F_e **was** calculated as $F_e = (1-t_m) / (1+t_m)$, where t_m is the outcrossing rate
 252 calculated from MLTR for each maternal family (Ritland, 1990).

Excluído: In order to infer

Excluído: is

253 Multiloci outcrossing (t_m) and primary selfing rates (r) **were** highly and inversely
 254 correlated (CM: estimated = -1.00, $p = 0.000$, d.f. = 25; **Map:** estimated = -0.969, $p = 0.000$, d.f. =
 255 27), hence we present **here only** the analyses for primary selfing rates (r). Since r is a proportion
 256 with binomial distribution, correlation with herkogamy was **calculated** by a generalized linear
 257 model with a quasi-binomial distribution error (Crawly, 2013). F , F_e and their differences were
 258 associated to herkogamy and r with a generalized linear model with gaussian distribution error
 259 (Crawly, 2013). All analyses were implemented in R package (R Development Core Team 2008).

Excluído: would

Comentado [SC5]: I am not sure whether the tense formulation in English is here correct.

Excluído: 's

Excluído: ere

Excluído: are

Excluído: MAP

Excluído: only

Excluído: fitted

260 *Inbreeding depression*

261 To estimate inbreeding depression (δ), we collected 150 fruits from different individual plants in
 262 each population, including the maternal families previously analysed. From each fruit, we sowed
 263 ten seeds on separate pots under greenhouse conditions. When seeds germinated, only one
 264 seedling per fruit was randomly chosen and grown under controlled conditions until
 265 reproduction. For each population, 100 individuals were randomly chosen to act as pollen
 266 receptors (mothers) and 50 individuals as pollen donors (fathers). Two manual pollination

Excluído: the magnitude of

Excluído: d

278 treatments were applied to each maternal receptor: (1) cross-pollination (o), where two flowers
 279 were emasculated before anthesis and hand-fertilized with pollen of one donor randomly chosen
 280 from the same population; (2) self-pollination (s), where two flowers of each receptor plant were
 281 fertilized with self-pollen. After pollination, flowers in both treatments were bagged individually
 282 with a fine nylon mesh. Since many mother plants did not produce the four flowers needed for
 283 pollination treatment application, the final sample included mother plants that produced at least
 284 one fruit per treatment (CM: N = 77; Map: N = 41). Two components of fitness per pollination
 285 treatment were evaluated in each population: seed-set mean (i.e. number of seeds / number of
 286 ovules) and seed mass. Seed mass was obtained from a random sample of 30 seeds per fruit using
 287 an analytical balance (Adventurer OHAUS).

Excluido: . In the first treatment,

Excluido: . In the second,

Excluido: treatment

Excluido: of

Excluido: to apply both treatments

Excluido: ,

Excluido: ,

Excluido: the mean of

Excluido: ,

Excluido: the

Excluido: o

Excluido: in

288 Cumulative inbreeding depression coefficient (δ) was calculated for each population as:

289 $\delta = 1 - \frac{w_s}{w_o}$, where w_s and w_o are the mean fitness of progenies derived from self- or cross-

290 pollination, respectively. Average fitness of self- and out-cross progenies was calculated as the

291 product of seed-set and seed mass (Schemske & Lande, 1985), and it was used to estimate the

292 primary selfing rate (r) at each maternal lineage.

Excluido: i

Excluido: . This value

293

294 Results

295 Variation in herkogamy between populations of *Datura innoxia*

296 The average herkogamy in the Cañada de Moreno population (CM) was negative (mean = -4.72;

297 sd = 5.5 mm) with a range of 17.48 mm (from -13.83 to +3.65 mm). In this population 20 out of

298 27 individual plants (74%) had reverse or nil herkogamy and 7 (26%) showed approach

299 herkogamy (Fig. 1A). In the Mapimi (Map) population (N=29) mean herkogamy was positive

Excluido: population

Excluido: of

Excluido: In contrast i

317 and more variable than in Cañada de Moreno (Mean = 2.57 mm, sd = 11.15 mm; range =
318 44.8mm, from -20 to +24.8 mm) (Fig. 1B).

319 The proportion of variance in herkogamy explained by the among individual plants term
320 was higher in Map (77.32%) than in CM (50.62%), indicating higher intra-individual variation in
321 the latter population (Map: 22.68%; CM: 49.38%). Likelihood ratio χ^2 test (LRT) indicated a
322 significant variation between individual plants in each population (Map: LRT = 105.04, df = 2, P
323 <0.0001; CM: LRT = 38.56, df = 2, P <0.0001).

324 Mating system estimation and inbreeding coefficient (F)

325 The multi-loci outcrossing rate (t_m) was, on average, higher in Mapimí than in Cañada de Moreno
326 (0.682 vs 0.294) although high variation in this parameter was detected among lineages in each
327 population (Mapimí, from 0.022 up to 1; Cañada, from 0 up to 1). The distribution of t_m is
328 skewed to low values in Cañada de Moreno (ca. 60% of mother plants), but to high values in
329 Mapimí (50% of the families with $t_m > 0.8$) (Fig. 2). Primary selfing rate (r) was more than two-
330 fold higher in Cañada de Moreno than in Mapimí (0.716 vs. 0.353), varying from $r = 0$ to 1 and
331 from $r = 0$ to 0.978, respectively.

332 Inbreeding coefficients of adult cohorts (F) were negative in the two populations and
333 highly variable (Cañada: $F = -0.193$, from -1 to +0.75; Mapimí: $F = -0.085$, from -0.553 to +1).

334 Inbreeding coefficients at equilibrium (F_e) were higher in Cañada than Mapimí ($F_e = 0.626$ vs.
335 0.238) and highly variable in both populations (from 0 to 1). The differences between F and F_e
336 were much higher in Cañada Moreno than in Mapimí ($F_e - F = 0.819$ vs. 0.323). Cumulative
337 inbreeding depression was higher in Mapimí than in Cañada ($\delta = 0.25$ vs. 0.09).

338 Relationships between mating system parameters and herkogamy

Excluido: ,

Excluido: (

Comentado [SC6]: This sentence seems odd to me. Perhaps it needs reformulation. Is the variance in herkogamy among individual plants?

Comentado [SC7]: Please be consistent while referring to these populations.

Excluido: Mapimí

Excluido: Cañada de Moreno

Excluido: The l

Excluido: -

Excluido: , respectively

Excluido: was

Excluido: ,

Excluido: $F_e =$

Excluido: , respectively

Excluido: , again,

Excluido: was

Excluido: ($F_e - F = 0.819$)

Excluido: ($F_e - F =$

Excluido: estimated the average product of seed-set and seed mass

Excluido: $\delta =$

Excluido: , respectively

357 Linear correlations between primary selfing rate (r), inbreeding coefficient (F), inbreeding
 358 coefficient at equilibrium (F_e) and herkogamy within each population were only significant in the
 359 Mapimí population (Table 1, Fig. 3). In Mapimí, r and F_e were negatively associated with
 360 herkogamy (Table 1; Fig. 3B, F), indicating that individuals with nil or reverse herkogamy had
 361 progenies with higher selfing rate and inbreeding coefficient than plants with approach
 362 herkogamy. As expected, progenies' inbreeding coefficient (F_e) is positively correlated with
 363 primary selfing rate (r) at both populations (Table 1; Fig. 4C, D). In addition, selfing is also
 364 linked to the breeding history of each maternal lineage (F) only in Mapimí (Fig. 4A, B), but not
 365 with herkogamy in the two populations (Table 1; Fig. 3C, D). The difference $F_e - F$ is positively
 366 correlated with primary selfing rate (r) only in Cañada Moreno (Table 1; Fig. 4E). We did not
 367 find any indication of a significant correlation between $F_e - F$ and herkogamy (Table 1; Fig. 4G,
 368 H).

Excluído: The relationship

Excluído: was

Excluído: increases

Excluído: positively

Excluído: to

Formatado: Fonte: Não Itálico

Excluído: , and statistically unrelated to

Excluído: in the two populations

370 Discussion

371 In annual, short-lived, plant species inhabiting heterogeneous environments, the evolution of
 372 plant mating system in association with flower traits, such as herkogamy, depends on the
 373 variation of natural selection within populations, and on the opportunity to cross and self-fertilize
 374 every year (Shirk & Hamrick 2014). If some associations among traits and mating strategies are
 375 favored through time, it is expected to find that different lineages within populations would vary
 376 in their history of inbreeding. In this work we evaluated the association among herkogamy,
 377 mating system and inbreeding history at a lineage level within two populations of *Datura innoxia*,
 378 an annual/short live species distributed in arid and semiarid environments in Mexico and North
 379 America.

Excluído: fertilization

388 We found that herkogamy, selfing rates, inbreeding coefficients as well as their
 389 associations varied considerably within the two populations. In line with expectations, in one
 390 population (Mapimí), plants with more pronounced approach herkogamy showed, on average,
 391 higher outcrossing rates and less inbreeding coefficient of progenies, than those individuals that
 392 exhibited nil or reverse herkogamy. These results are in line with the hypothesis of adaptive
 393 herkogamy as a mechanism that prevents selfing in populations and avoids inbreeding between
 394 individuals (Web & Lloyd 1986; Lloyd 1992). Relationship between selfing/outcrossing rate and
 395 herkogamy has been found in other species of *Datura* such as *D. stramonium* (Motten &
 396 Antonovics, 1992; Motten & Stone, 2000) and *D. wrightii* (Elle & Hare, 2002), but also in other
 397 species like *Gilia achilleifolia* (Takebayashi & Morrell, 2001), *Clarkia temblorensis* (Holtsford
 398 & Ellstrand, 2006) and *Mimulus ringens* (Karron et al., 1997). However, we did not detect a
 399 significant association between inbreeding coefficients of adults' cohort with herkogamy. This
 400 suggests that the inbreeding history at each lineage not only depends on herkogamy; instead,
 401 purge of inbred individuals and/or biparental inbreeding may also come into play.

Excluido: considerable

Excluido: -

Excluido: ing

402 Fluctuation of environmental variables, especiallly, pollinator availability, as it occurs in
 403 Mapimí, can contribute to maintain variation in herkogamy within populations. Variation in
 404 herkogamy may be linked with plants' reproductive assurance when pollinators are scarce, or
 405 with high outcrossing rates when abundant (Kalisz, Vogler & Hanley, 2004; Goodwillie, Kalisz
 406 & Eckert, 2005; Chen et al., 2009). Since outcrossing rates in Mapimí are related to herkogamy,
 407 it is likely that episodes of differential (or even contrasting) selection on herkogamy among
 408 lineages every year, could have favored either outcrossing (approach herkogamy) or selfing (nil
 409 or reversal herkogamy). This phenotypic variance in herkogamy could explain the maintenance
 410 of the mixed mating system of *D. inoxia* in Mapimí.

Excluido: but mainly

Comentado [SC8]: Why per year? Perhaps fluctuations in climate are sporadic or in cycles of years.

Excluido: sometimes

416 On the other hand, the mating system of the population of Cañada de Moreno is
 417 predominantly selfing, and no association between selfing rates, herkogamy and inbreeding
 418 coefficients in the adult cohort were detected. Nevertheless, like the Mapimí population, there is a
 419 positive relationship between selfing rate with inbreeding coefficients in the progenies, and with
 420 the F vs. F_e difference. These results suggest inbreeding depression in selfing individuals and
 421 then genetic purging (Ritland, 1990). This result is supported by the contrasting average value of
 422 inbreeding depression in the two populations of *D. inoxia*. Theoretical models and experimental
 423 results have demonstrated that mutations of large effect causing inbreeding depression can be
 424 purged from one to another generation (Willis, 1999; Charlesworth & Willis, 2009). However,
 425 mild in effect and rare mutations which are responsible for inbreeding depression may be
 426 maintained in populations for multiple generations (Lande, Schemske & Schultz, 1994;
 427 Charlesworth & Willis 2009). The expression of mutations with mild deleterious effect in inbred
 428 individuals of *D. inoxia*, would explain the differences of inbreeding coefficients between
 429 progeny and adult generations and the relationship with selfing rate.

Excluido: of

Excluido: of

Excluido: and can

Excluido: (

430 Phenotypic variance in herkogamy results from genetic (Herlihy & Eckert, 2007),
 431 developmental (Vallejo-Marín & Barrett, 2009), or environmental factors, such as soil nutrients,
 432 as demonstrated in *Datura stramonium* (Camargo et al. 2017). However, the evolution of mating
 433 system, linked to floral traits like herkogamy, requires additive genetic variance. A revision of
 434 evidence points out to the potential evolution by selection of herkogamy owing to the presence of
 435 additive genetic variance (heritability) and genetic additive variation (evolvability) (see revision
 436 in Oøpedal et al., 2017). Our results, derived from the partition of phenotypic variance in
 437 herkogamy among individual plants and random variation (within individual variation or residual
 438 term), indicate a large amount of variance between individuals in each population, but notably

Excluido: , and

Excluido: m

445 more so in Mapimí (77.32%) than in Cañada de Moreno (50.62 %). Thus, there is a strong
446 indication that individual variation in average herkogamy in *D. inoxia* in Mapimí is genetically
447 based and potentially adaptive (see Jiménez-Lobato & Núñez-Farfán 2012). High within-
448 individual variation could be adaptive if, on average, high intra-individual variation is linked to
449 higher fitness (Herrera, 2009; Camargo et al. 2017). Otherwise, high intra-individual variation
450 can be maintained in highly heterogeneous environments (i.e., pollination likelihood by animals)
451 through time. In Cañada de Moreno **the** high within-plant variation in herkogamy could limit
452 selection on it, constraining an adaptive response in this population (Falconer & MacKay, 1996;
453 Lynch & Walsh, 1998).

Comentado [SC9]: Population or region?

454 The within-individual variation in plant traits, **and** particularly in flowers, can originate
455 during development or can be an elicited response to environmental variability (Herrera, 2009).

Excluído: but

Excluído: s

456 The stability of development or homeostasis has been associated with different levels of
457 heterozygosity, where heterozygous individuals are more stable than their homozygous (Lerner,
458 1954). However, there is not clear consensus on this hypothesis; some evidence points out **to** the
459 potential effect of inbreeding, the fixation of deleterious alleles and genetic drift influencing the
460 level of developmental stability of individuals (Clarke, 1993). To what extent inbreeding and
461 deleterious mutations are responsible of intra-individual variation in **the CM population** is not
462 known **yet**, but evidence suggests such kind of developmental variation as shown in one highly
463 inbred population of *D. stramonium* (Camargo et al. 2017)

Excluído: as

465 Conclusions

466 Association between herkogamy, mating system and inbreeding history at a lineage level is
467 expected to occur within populations in self-compatible, hermaphroditic plant species. Similarly,

Formatado: Recuo: Primeira linha: 0 cm

471 as environments do vary, particularly in pollination service by animals, variations in trait values
472 and their correlations are also expected. In *D. inoxia*, as in other species of *Datura*, approach
473 herkogamy is associated to outcrossing rates and to low levels of inbreeding in the progenies.
474 Results of this study show that populations of *D. inoxia* are diverging in mating system
475 characteristics with important genetic implications.

Excluido: consequences

477 Acknowledgments

478 We thank to members of the Ecological genetics and evolution lab members for field assistance,
479 particularly to Armando López Velázquez. Thanks to Rosalinda Tapia López for lab help and to
480 Rafael Torres and Adriana Pérez for greenhouse work assistance. Particular gratitude to Kiko
481 Herrera's family in Mapimí Biosphere Reserve and to Tito Galván and family in Cañada de
482 Moreno. This study is part of the Ph. D. Thesis of V. Jiménez-Lobato.

484 References

- 485 Andraca GG. 2009. Genética de poblaciones comparada entre *Datura stramonium* y su herbívoro
486 especialista *Lema trilineata*. B. Biol. National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM).
- 487 Ashman TL, Majetic CJ. 2006. Genetic constraints on floral evolution: A review and evaluation
488 of patterns. *Heredity* 96:343–352. DOI: 10.1038/sj.hdy.6800815.
- 489 Baker H. 1955. Self-compatible and establishment after “long distance” dispersal. *Evolution*
490 9:347–349.

492 Barclay SA. 1959. Studies in the genus *Datura* (Solanaceae). I. Taxonomy of Subgenus *Datura*.
 493 Harvard University, Cambridge MA, USA.

494 Barrett SCH. 2010. Understanding plant reproductive diversity. *Philosophical Transactions of*
 495 *the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 365:99–109. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2009.0199.

496 Bronstein JL, Huxman T, Horvath B, Farabee M, Davidowitz G. 2009. Reproductive biology of
 497 *Datura wrightii*: the benefits of a herbivorous pollinator. *Annals of Botany* 103:1435–1443.
 498 DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcp053.

499 Brunet J, Eckert CG. 1998. Effects of floral morphology and display on outcrossing in Blue
 500 Columbine, *Aquilegia caerulea* (Ranunculaceae). *Functional Ecology* 12:596–606. DOI:
 501 10.1046/j.1365-2435.1998.00231.x.

502 Brys R, Jacquemyn H. 2012. Effects of human-mediated pollinator impoverishment on floral
 503 traits and mating patterns in a short-lived herb: An experimental approach. *Functional*
 504 *Ecology* 26:189–197. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2011.01923.x.

505 Camargo ID, Nattero J, Careaga SA, Núñez-Farfán J. 2017. Flower-level developmental
 506 plasticity to nutrient availability in *Datura stramonium*: Implications for the mating system.
 507 *Annals of Botany* 120:603–615. DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcx093.

508 Charlesworth D, Charlesworth B. 1979. The evolutionary genetics of sexual systems in flowering
 509 plants. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London - Biological Sciences* 205:513–530.
 510 DOI: 10.1098/rspb.1979.0082.

511 Charlesworth D, Charlesworth B. 1987. Inbreeding Depression and its Evolutionary
 512 Consequences. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 18:237–268. DOI:

513 10.1146/annurev.es.18.110187.001321.
 514 Charlesworth D, Willis JH. 2009. The genetics of inbreeding depression. *Nature Reviews*
 515 *Genetics* 10:783–796. DOI: 10.1038/nrg2664.
 516 Charlesworth D, Wright SI. 2001. Breeding systems and genome evolution. *Current Opinion in*
 517 *Genetics & Development* 11:685–690.
 518 Chen XS, Martén-Rodríguez S, Li QJ, Fenster CB. 2009. Potential autonomous selfing in
 519 *Gesneria citrina* (Gesneriaceae), a specialized hummingbird pollinated species with variable
 520 expression of herkogamy. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* 51:973–978. DOI:
 521 10.1111/j.1744-7909.2009.00867.x.
 522 Clarke GM. 1993. The genetic basis of developmental stability. I. Relationships between
 523 stability, heterozygosity and genomic coadaptation. *Genetica* 89:15–23. DOI:
 524 10.1007/BF02424502.
 525 Cock O, Hutchinson WF, Willis D, Shipley P. 2004. Micro-Checker: Software for identifying
 526 and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4:535–
 527 538.
 528 Crawly MJ. 2013. *The R Book*. London: Wiley. DOI: 10.1016/0025-5408(96)80018-3.
 529 Crnokrak P, Barrett SCH. 2002. Perspective: purging the genetic load: a review of the
 530 experimental evidence. *Evolution* 56:2347–2358.
 531 Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. 1987. A rapid DNA isolation procedure from small quantities of fresh leaf
 532 tissues. *Phytochemical Bulletin* 19:11–15.

533 Duncan TM, Rausher MD. 2013. Evolution of the selfing syndrome in *Ipomoea*. *Frontiers in*
534 *Plant Science* 4:1–8. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00301.

535 Eckert CG, Kalisz S, Geber MA, Sargent R, Elle E, Cheptou P-O, Goodwillie C, Johnston MO,
536 Kelly JK, Moeller DA, Porcher E, Ree RH, Vallejo-Marín M, Winn AA. 2009. Plant mating
537 systems in a changing world. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 25:35–43. DOI:
538 10.1016/j.tree.2009.06.013

539 Eckert CG, Samis K, Dart S. 2006. Reproductive assurance and the evolution of of uniparental
540 reproduction in flowering plants. In: Harder LD, Barrett SCH eds. *The ecology and*
541 *evolution of flowers*. UK: Oxford University Press, 183–203.

542 Elle E, Hare D. 2002. Environmentally induced variation in floral traits affects the mating system
543 in *Datura wrightii*. *Functional Ecology* 16:79–88. DOI: 10.1046/j.0269-8463.2001.00599.x.

544 Falconer D, MacKay TF. 1996. *Introduction to quantitative genetics*. Pearson.

545 Fisher RA. 1941. Average excess and average effect of a gene substitution. *Annals of Eugenics*
546 *banner* 11:53–63.

547 Foxe JP, Slotte T, Stahl EA, Neuffer B, Hurka H, Wright SI. 2009. Recent speciation associated
548 with the evolution of selfing in *Capsella*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
549 106:5241–5245. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0807679106.

550 Friedman J, Rubin MJ. 2015. All in good time: Understanding annual and perennial strategies in
551 plants. *American Journal of Botany* 102: 497–499. DOI: 10.3732/ajb.1500062.

552 Goodwillie C. 1999. Multiple origins of self-compatibility in *Linanthus* section *Leptosiphon*
553 (Polemoniaceae): Phylogenetic evidence from internal-transcribed-spacer sequence data.

554 *Evolution* 53:1387–1395. DOI: doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1999.tb05403.x.

555 Goodwillie C, Kalisz S, Eckert CG. 2005. The evolutionary enigma of mixed mating systems in
556 plants: Occurrence, theoretical explanations, and empirical evidence. *Annual Review of*
557 *Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 36:47–79. DOI:
558 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.36.091704.175539.

559 Grant V. 1983. Behavior of hawkmoths on flowers of *Datura meteloides*. *Botanical Gazette*
560 144:280–284. DOI: 10.1086/337374.

561 Herlihy CR, Eckert CG. 2007. Evolutionary analysis of a key floral trait in *Aquilegia canadensis*
562 (Ranunculaceae): Genetic variation in herkogamy and its effect on the mating system.
563 *Evolution* 61:1661–1674. DOI: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00137.x.

564 Herrera CM. 2009. Fitness consequences of subindividual variability in organ traits for plants. In:
565 *Multiplicity in unity: Plant subindividual variation & interactions with animals*. The
566 University of Chicago Press, 265–310.

567 Holtsford TP, Ellstrand NC. 2006. Genetic and environmental variation in floral traits affecting
568 outcrossing rate in *Clarkia tembloriensis* (Onagraceae). *Evolution* 46:216–225. DOI:
569 10.2307/2409816.

570 Husband CB, Schemske DW. 1996. Evolution of the magnitude and timing of inbreeding.
571 *Evolution* 50:54–70.

572 Jiménez-Lobato V, Núñez-Farfán J. 2012. Population differentiation in floral characters of
573 *Datura inoxia* mill. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* 14:1015–1038.

574 Kalisz S, Vogler DW, Hanley KM. 2004. Context-dependent autonomous self-fertilization yields

575 reproductive assurance and mixed mating. *Nature* 430:884–887.

576 Karron JD, Jackson RT, Thumser NN, Schlicht SL. 1997. Outcrossing rates of individual
577 *Mimulus ringens* genets are correlated with anther-stigma separation. *Heredity* 79:365–370.
578 DOI: 10.1038/sj.hdy.6882300.

579 Lande R, Schemske DW, Schultz ST. 1994. High inbreeding depression, selective interference
580 among loci, and the threshold selfing rate for purging recessive lethal mutation. *Evolution*
581 48:965–978.

582 Latta R, Ritland K. 1994. The relationship between inbreeding depression and prior inbreeding
583 among populations of four *Mimulus* taxa. *Evolution* 48:806–817. DOI: 10.2307/2410488.

584 Lerner IM. 1954. *Genetic Homeostasis*. New York: Wiley.

585 Lloyd DG. 1992. Self- and Cross- fertilization in plants. II. The selection of selffertilization.
586 *International Journal of Plant Science* 153:370–380.

587 Lloyd DG, Webb CJ. 1986. The avoidance of interference between the presentation of pollen and
588 stigmas in angiosperms I. Dichogamy. *New Zealand Journal of Botany* 24:135–162. DOI:
589 10.1080/0028825x.1986.10409725.

590 Lockwood TE. 1973. Generic recognition of *Brugmansia*. *Botanical Museum Leaflets*:273–284.

591 Lynch M, Walsh B. 1998. *Genetics and analysis of quantitative traits*. Sinauer.

592 McCall AC, Richman S, Thomson E, Edgerton M, Jordan S, Bronstein JL. 2018. Do honeybees
593 act as pollen thieves or pollinators of *Datura wrightii*?. *Journal of Pollination Ecology*
594 24:164–171. DOI: dx.doi.org/10.26786/1920-7603%282018%2917

595 Medrano M, Herrera CM, Barret SCH. 2005. Herkogamy and mating patterns in the self-
596 compatible daffodil *Narcissus longispathus*. *Annals of Botany* 95:1105–1111.

597 Morgan MT, Wilson WG. 2005. Self-fertilization and escape from pollen limitation in variable
598 pollination environments. *Evolution* 59:1143–1148.

599 Morran LT, Parmenter MD, Phillips PC. 2009. Mutation load and rapid adaptation favour
600 outcrossing over self-fertilization. *Nature* 462:350–352. DOI: 10.1038/nature08496.

601 Motten AF, Antonovics J. 1992. Determinants of outcrossing rate in a predominantly self-
602 fertilizing weed, *Datura stramonium* (Solanaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 79:419–
603 427. DOI: 10.2307/2445154.

604 Motten AF, Stone JC. 2000. Heritability of stigma position and the effect of stigma-anther
605 separation on outcrossing in a predominantly self-fertilizing weed, *Datura stramonium*
606 (Solanaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 87:339–347. DOI: 10.2307/2656629.

607 Oopedal OH, Armbruster WS, Pélabon C. 2015. Inbreeding effects in a mixed-mating vine:
608 Effects of mating history, pollen competition and stress on the cost of in breeding. *AoB*
609 *PLANTS* 7:1–13. DOI: 10.1093/aobpla/plv133.

610 Oopedal ØH, Bolstad GH, Hansen TF, Armbruster WS, Pélabon C. 2017. The evolvability of
611 herkogamy: Quantifying the evolutionary potential of a composite trait. *Evolution* 71:1572–
612 1586. DOI: 10.1111/evo.13258.

613 Pannell JR. 2015. Evolution of the mating system in colonizing plants. *Molecular Ecology*
614 24:2018–2037. DOI: 10.1111/mec.13087.

615 Pinheiro J, Bates D, Sarkar D, Team R. 2017. nlme: Linear and nonlinear mixed effects models.

616 R package.

617 R Development Core Team. 2008. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

618 Ritland K. 1990. Inferences about inbreeding depression based on changes of the inbreeding
619 coefficient. *Evolution* 44:1230–1241. DOI: 10.2307/2409284.

620 Ritland K. 2002. Extensions of models for the estimation of mating systems using n independent
621 loci. *Heredity* 88:221–228. DOI: 10.1038/sj/hdy/6800029.

622 Ritland K, Jain S. 1981. A model for the estimation of outcrossing rate and gene frequencies
623 using n independent loci. *Heredity* 47:35–52. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.1981.57.

624 Rousset F. 2008. GENETPOP’007: A complete re-implementation of the GENETPOP software for
625 Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8:103–106. DOI: 10.1111/j.1471-
626 8286.2007.01931.x.

627 Rousset F, Raymond M. 1995. Testing heterozygote excess and deficiency. *Genetics* 140:1413–
628 1419. DOI: 10.1016/j.jped.2008.07.054.

629 Schemske DW, Lande R. 1985. The evolution of self-fertilization and inbreeding depression in
630 plants. II. Empirical observations. *Evolution* 39:41–52.

631 Schoen DJ, Lloyd DG. 1992. Self- and cross-fertilization in plants. III. Methods for studying
632 modes and functional aspects of self-fertilization. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*
633 153:381–393. DOI: 10.1086/297042.

634 Schoen DJ, Morgan MT, Bataillon T. 1996. How does self-pollination evolve? Inferences from
635 floral ecology and molecular genetic variation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*

636 *Society B: Biological Sciences* 351:1281–1290. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.1996.0111.

637 Shirk RY, Hamrick JL. 2014. High but variable outcrossing rates in the invasive *Geranium*
638 *carolinianum* (Geraniaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 101:1200–1206.

639 Sicard A, Lenhard M. 2011. The selfing syndrome: A model for studying the genetic and
640 evolutionary basis of morphological adaptation in plants. *Annals of Botany* 107:1433–1443.
641 DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcr023.

642 Stebbins LG. 1957. Self fertilization and population variability in the higher plants. *The*
643 *American Naturalist* XCI:337–354.

644 Takebayashi N, Delph LF. 2000. An association between a floral trait and inbreeding depression.
645 *Evolution* 54:840–846. DOI: 10.1111/j.0014-3820.2000.tb00084.x.

646 Takebayashi N, Morrell PL. 2001. Is self-fertilization an evolutionary dead end? Revisiting an
647 old hypothesis with genetic theories and a macroevolutionary approach. *American Journal*
648 *of Botany* 88:1143–1150. DOI: 10.2307/3558325.

649 Takebayashi N, Wolf DE, Delph LF. 2006. Effect of variation in herkogamy on outcrossing
650 within a population of *Gilia achilleifolia*. *Heredity* 96:159–165. DOI:
651 10.1038/sj.hdy.6800780.

652 Toräng P, Vikström L, Wunder J, Wötzel S, Coupland G, Ågren J. 2017. Evolution of the selfing
653 syndrome: Anther orientation and herkogamy together determine reproductive assurance in
654 a self-compatible plant. *Evolution* 71:2206–2218. DOI: 10.1111/evo.13308.

655 Vallejo-Marín M, Barrett SCH. 2009. Modification of flower architecture during early stages in
656 the evolution of self-fertilization. *Annals of Botany* 103:951–962. DOI:

657 10.1093/aob/mcp015.
 658 de Vos JM, Keller B, Zhang L-R, Nowak MD, Conti E. 2018. Mixed mating in homostylous
 659 species: Genetic and experimental evidence from an alpine plant with variable herkogamy,
 660 *Primula halleri* . *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 179:87–99. DOI: 10.1086/695527.
 661 Webb CJ, Lloyd DG. 1986. The avoidance of interference between the presentation of pollen and
 662 stigmas in angiosperms II. Herkogamy. *New Zealand Journal of Botany* 24:163–178. DOI:
 663 10.1080/0028825X.1986.10409726.
 664 Willis JH. 1999. The role of genes of large effect on inbreeding depression in *Mimulus guttatus*.
 665 *Evolution* 53:1678-1691. DOI: doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1999.tb05403.x.
 666 Wright SI, Kalisz S, Slotte T. 2013. Evolutionary consequences of self-fertilization in plants.
 667 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 280. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2013.0133.
 668 Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Walker NJ, Saveliev AA, Smith GM. 2009. *Mixed effects models and*
 669 *extensions in ecology with R*. Springer International Publishing.