

# **Radon and thoron exhalation rate, emanation factor and radioactivity risks of building materials of the Iberian Peninsula**

S. Frutos-Puerto<sup>1</sup>, E. Pinilla-Gil<sup>1</sup>, E. Andrade<sup>2,3</sup>, M. Reis<sup>2,3</sup>, M.J. Madruga<sup>2,3</sup>, C. Miró<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Analytical Chemistry, University of Extremadura, Av. de Elvas, s/n, 06006 Badajoz, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Centro de Ciências e Tecnologias Nucleares, Estrada Nacional 10, ao km 139,7, 2695-066 Bobadela LRS, Portugal

<sup>3</sup> Laboratório de Proteção e Segurança Radiológica, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Estrada Nacional 10, ao km 139,7, 2695-066 Bobadela LRS, Portugal

<sup>4</sup> Department of Applied Physics, University of Extremadura, Av. de la Universidad, s/n, 10005 Cáceres, Spain

Corresponding Author:

S. Frutos-Puerto<sup>1</sup>

Av. de Elvas, s/n, 06006 Badajoz, Spain

samfrutosp@unex.es

## Abstract

Radon ( $^{222}\text{Rn}$ ) and thoron ( $^{220}\text{Rn}$ ) are radioactive gases emanating from geological materials. Inhalation of these gases is closely related to an increase in the probability of lung cancer if the levels are high. The majority of studies focus on radon, and the thoron is normally ignored by its short half-life (55.6 s). However, also the thoron decay products can cause a significant increase in dose. In buildings with high radon levels, the main mechanism for entry of radon is the pressure-driven flow of soil gas through cracks in the floor. Both radon and thoron can also be released from building materials to the indoor atmosphere.

In this study, the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  and  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  exhalation rates (mass and surface) and the emanation coefficients of an extended variety of common building materials manufactured in the Iberian Peninsula (Portugal and Spain) but exported and used in all countries of the world were determined by using active measuring system. Radon and thoron emission from samples collected in the closed chamber was measured by an active method that uses a continuous radon/thoron monitor. The correlations between exhalation rates of these gases and their parent nuclide exhalation (radium/thorium) concentrations were examined. Finally, indoor radon and thoron and the annual effective dose were calculated from radon/thoron concentrations in the closed chamber. Zircon is the material with the highest concentration values of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  and  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and the exhalation and emanation rates. Also in the case of zircon and some granite, the annual effective dose was higher than the annual exposure limit for the general public of  $1\text{ mSv y}^{-1}$ , recommended by the European regulations.

**Keywords:** Radon; thoron; building materials; exhalation rate; annual effective dose.

## Introduction

Radon and thoron are significant contributors to the average dose from natural background sources of radiation. They represent approximately half of the estimated dose from exposure to all natural sources of ionizing radiation (*UNSCEAR, 2008*).

Inhalation of these radioactive gases and their decay products can cause health risks, especially in poorly ventilated areas. Long-term exposure to high levels of radon/thoron in home and working area increases the risk of developing lung cancer. Radon is the second leading cause of the increase in the probability of lung cancer after tobacco smoke (Torres-Durán et al., 2014).

After its formation, these two radioisotopes are susceptible to escape, firstly from the grains constituting the material (known as emanation), and secondly, from the surface of the material (known as exhalation). These parameters depend, among other factors, on the half-life, consequently affecting the accumulation rate of these gaseous radioisotopes in indoor environments, and therefore, to the exposure of the human body to radiation. For radon, the half-life is 3.8 days while for thoron, just 55.6 s so, due to this difference, the equivalent radiation dose from thoron and its progeny ( $^{212}\text{Pb}$  and  $^{212}\text{Bi}$ ) is estimated around of 10 % of that due to radon and its progeny ( $^{214}\text{Pb}$  and  $^{214}\text{Bi}$ ) in indoor environments (UNSCEAR, 2016)g.

These factors lead to a complicated thoron measurement technique that, together with the fact that its inhalation dose is not relevant in most cases, has made that the majority of the existing studies focus on the radon to the detriment of the thoron. Many of them also include measures of  $^{40}\text{K}$ ,  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  and  $^{232}\text{Th}$  along with risk indexes definitions trying to evaluate the radiological health hazards of these radionuclides (Turhan & Gündüz, 2008; De With, De Jong & Röttger, 2014; Kumar et al., 2015; Kayakökü, Karatepe & Doğru, 2016; Madruga et al., 2018) or the effective dose due to radon and its progeny (Sabiha-Javied, Tufail & Asghar, 2010).

Nevertheless, despite thoron indoor concentration is generally lower than for the radon, the  $^{212}\text{Pb}$  thoron progeny (half-life of 10.6 h) can accumulate to significant levels in breathable air, aggravating its inhalation risk (World Health Organization, 2009). Some studies have demonstrated that thoron concentrations can be comparable to radon and its progenies in some areas of elevated radiological risk. Furthermore, computational studies (de With & de Jong, 2011) taking into account factors such as the ventilation and air exchange, the building dimensions, dispersion and deposition, mitigation measures, and material properties indicates that thoron effective doses can reach the 35 % of the total contribution.

Therefore, these studies demonstrate the recent and growing interest that has emerged in recent decades by the study of thoron in building materials [16, 19, 36, 37] although no further studies have been reported yet focusing in the assessment of the thoron risk index in the building materials used in buildings.

Among the methods to measure both exhalation rate and emanation factor of radon and thoron isotopes in building materials, passive methods, that use solid-state nuclear track detector, accumulation chamber methods and active methods with radon/thoron monitors, can be found (Zhang et al., 2012).

In previous work, the gamma radiations emitted from  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$  for some of these materials were studied, as well as the radiological health hazards associated with the external gamma radiation (Madruga et al., 2018). In another study (Frutos-Puerto et al., 2018), a technique of measurement of thoron had been developed and applied to the analysis of exhalation of 5 materials. In the present work, expanded with more materials, we study the radon and thoron exhalation and emanation properties of an extended variety of common building materials used in the Iberian Peninsula (Portugal and Spain). The correlations between exhalation rates of these gases and their parent nuclide exhalation (radium/thorium) concentrations were examined. Furthermore, indoor radon/thoron and the annual effective dose were calculated from radon/thoron concentrations in the closed chamber. Measurements were carried out by an active method that uses a continuous radon/thoron monitor RTM1688-2 (SARAD GmbH, Dresden, Germany).

## Materials and methods

### *Materials and sample preparation*

Forty-one samples from quarries and suppliers of the most commonly used building materials manufactured in the Iberian Peninsula were collected. The mass of each sample ranged between 1 and 5 kg. Fig. 1 shows the geographical origin of the materials. The materials were divided into two classes: materials coming from natural sources, NM, naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORM) incorporating waste after industrial processing, PM (“Directive. 2013/59/Euratom of 5 December 2013,” 2014). Within each classification of materials are found:

#### Materials type NM:

- Concretes. Used in bulk amounts:
  - Conventional.
  - 100% of the natural aggregate becomes electrical furnace slags.
  - 100% of the natural aggregate becomes blast furnace slags.
  - Self-compacting.
  - High-resistance.
  - Mortars of resistance 5 and 7.5, respectively.
- Cements. Used in bulk amounts and superficial applications:
  - Type I Portland cement with less than 3% fly ash.

- White cement.
  - Cement glue.
  - Rapid cement.
  - Natural stones. Used as bulk and superficial products:
    - Marble.
    - Granite.
    - Slate.
  - Ceramic tiles as refractory and ceramic products to cover floors and walls, mainly:
    - Tiles
  - Raw materials of very different types and composition:
    - Wood collected from Eucalyptus and Castanea Sativa trees.
    - Aggregates as sand or clay bricks.
    - Zircon.
- Materials type PM:
- Industrial products resulting from the sulphate industry of the North of Spain:
    - Gypsum
    - Plastic cement

Sample preparation consisted into crushing and drying building materials in an oven for 48 h at 105°C, before its grounding and sieving (2 mm particle size).

### *Gamma spectroscopic analysis*

To carry out the  $\gamma$ -emissions measurements, the milled samples were dried and placed in 160 cm<sup>3</sup> cylindrical containers made of plastic or in 1000 cm<sup>3</sup> Marinelli beakers, both, hermetically sealed for 28 or more days. This period is sufficient for equilibrium to occur between the radioisotopes of <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>232</sup>Th initially contained in the material and their decay products.

To obtain the <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>226</sup>Ra content an HPGe semiconductor detector was employed according to the methodology followed by Madruga et al. (Madruga et al., 2018)

In summary, the activity concentration for <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>226</sup>Ra (*A*) was calculated by the following expression:

$$C = \frac{N}{t P \epsilon_f} \quad (1)$$

where  $N$  stands for net counts,  $t$  for data collection time,  $P$  for emission probability and  $\epsilon_f$  for the efficiency of the detector for the corresponding peak. Besides, uncertainty in the yield is also included since several  $\gamma$ -ray peaks were used for the calculation of  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  activity.

#### *Determination of massic exhalation rate and emanation factor*

Exhalation is the amount of radon (radon activity) as obtained from a given layer (geological material on the surface/surface exposure) mainly the outer thinner part of the crust and it is given in  $\text{Bq h}^{-1}$ , according to the Netherlands Standardization Institute (“Netherlands Standardization Institute. Dutch Standard: Radioactivity measurement. Determination method of the rate of the radon exhalation of dense building materials,” 2001). Exhalation can be related to the mass of the samples (massic radon/thoron exhalation, and its value is expressed  $\text{Bq/kg h}$ ). The method already referred and similar to that of other authors (Hassan et al., 2011) was employed to assess the massic exhalation of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  and  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  and it is schematized in Fig. 2.

The calculation of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  and  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  exhalation was carried out according to the expressions presented in the Miró et al. (Miro et al., 2014) from the formula of the temporal variation of the radon concentration  $C(t)$ , in  $\text{Bq/m}^3$ :

$$\frac{dc}{dt} = \frac{EM}{V} - \lambda C - \alpha C \quad (2)$$

where  $E$  ( $\text{Bq/kg}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ ) is the radon-specific exhalation rate,  $M$  (kg) the mass of the sample,  $V$  ( $\text{m}^3$ ) the air volume of the container,  $\lambda$  ( $1/\text{h}$ ) the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  or  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  decay constant and  $\alpha$  ( $\text{h}^{-1}$ ) the leakage rate from the container.

By solving Equation (2), the radon concentration growth as a function of time is given by:

$$C(t) = \frac{EM[1 - e^{-(\lambda+\alpha)t}]}{(\lambda + \alpha)V} + C_0 e^{-(\lambda+\alpha)t} \quad (3)$$

being  $C_0$  ( $\text{Bq m}^{-3}$ ) the radon concentration at  $t = 0$ .

The  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  exhalation ( $E_{Rn222}$ ) and  $\alpha$  numeric calculation are made by adjusting by least-squares of the  $C$  vs  $t$  experimental data to the mathematical function given by equation (3).

However, due to its short half-life, after the first cycle (2 hours) of measurements, the concentration of thoron in the container will reach its maximum value, remaining constant until the end of the measurements. So, from equation (3) the massic thoron exhalation,  $E_{Rn220}$ , can be

calculated from the expression (4), which do not consider  $\alpha$  value because it is much smaller than the thoron decay constant,  $\lambda_{Rn220}$ :

$$E_{Rn220} = \frac{C_{Rn220} \lambda_{Rn220} V}{M} \quad (4)$$

where  $C_{Rn220}$  (Bq m<sup>-3</sup>) is the average concentration of thoron in the container during the interval of measurement from the first cycle of 2 hours.

The emanation factor (amount of radon and thoron atoms that escape from the grains constituting the material into the interstitial space between the grains),  $\varepsilon_{Rn}$ , was calculated by the following equation for both radioisotopes (Stoulos, Manolopoulou & Papastefanou, 2003):

$$\varepsilon_{Rn} = \frac{E_{Rn}}{C_i \lambda_d} \quad (5)$$

where  $C_i$  is the <sup>226</sup>Ra or <sup>232</sup>Th content (Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>) of the sample for radon and thoron, respectively,  $\lambda_d$ , the decay constant and  $E_{Rn}$  the exhalation

Equation (5) is applicable for all measured building materials because the dimensions of the samples were chosen to be equal to the diffusion length of these gases for these materials, around 4 cm (Stoulos, Manolopoulou & Papastefanou, 2003).

#### *Determination of annual effective dose*

The <sup>222</sup>Rn/<sup>220</sup>Rn content accumulates in the surrounding air in a dwelling room, from building materials, depends on factors such as the room dimension, the parent element concentration, the subsequent exhalation directly from the soil and building materials in walls or soil (radon gain), the air exchange and the isotope radioactive decay. Therefore, attending to these factors, building materials may cause an excess in the indoor <sup>222</sup>Rn or <sup>220</sup>Rn activity concentrations, which is described by the following equation (Amin, 2015):

$$A_{Rn} = \frac{E_A S}{V_r \lambda_v} \quad (6)$$

where,  $A_{Rn}$ , is the <sup>222</sup>Rn or <sup>220</sup>Rn activity concentration (Bq m<sup>-3</sup>) in the air of the room;  $E_A$  is the surface exhalation rate (Bq m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>);  $S$  is the exhalation area (m<sup>2</sup>);  $V_r$  is the volume of the room (m<sup>3</sup>) and  $\lambda_v$  is the ventilation rate of the room (h<sup>-1</sup>). Ratio S/V is taken to be 2 and  $\lambda_v$ , 0.5 h<sup>-1</sup> (United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Ionising Radiation (UNSCEAR), Sources, Effects and Risks of Ionizing Radiation, Report to the General Assembly, 2016). Considering the

value of the sample emanation surface in the container ( $0.0078 \text{ m}^2$ ; circumference of  $5 \text{ cm}^2$ ), and the mass of the sample ( $M$ ), the surface exhalation rate ( $E_A$ ) for the building materials can be calculated, using the following equation:

$$E_A = E_{Rn} \frac{M}{0.0078} \quad (7)$$

This radon concentration model can then be used to determinate the annual effective doses of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  by equation (8), recommended by the United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (*United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Ionising Radiation (UNSCEAR), Sources, Effects and Risks of Ionizing Radiation, Report to the General Assembly, 2016*):

$$D_{Rn222} = A_{Rn222} F_e T_a CF_{Rn222} \quad (8)$$

where  $D_{Rn222}$  is the annual effective dose of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  ( $\text{Sv y}^{-1}$ );  $A_{Rn222}$  is the activity concentration for  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  ( $\text{Bq m}^{-3}$ );  $CF_{Rn222}$  is the dose conversion factor for  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  progeny ( $\text{Sv per Bq h m}^{-3}$ );  $F_e$  is the equilibrium factor for  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  and its progeny, and  $T_a$  is the annual work time. The standard parameters were estimated using the RP 122 publication of EC 2002 (European Commission, 2002). The values of  $CF_{Rn222}$  were assumed to be  $9 \times 10^{-9} \text{ Sv per Bq h m}^{-3}$  and the  $T_a$ ,  $7000 \text{ h y}^{-1}$ . The value of  $F_e$  was assumed to be 0.4 as reported in (*United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Ionising Radiation (UNSCEAR), Sources, Effects and Risks of Ionizing Radiation, Report to the General Assembly, 2008*).

Similarly, for  $^{220}\text{Rn}$ :

$$D_{Rn220} = A_{Rn220} F_e T_a CF_{Rn220} \quad (9)$$

where,  $D_{Rn220}$  is the annual effective dose of  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  ( $\text{Sv y}^{-1}$ );  $A_{Rn220}$  is the activity concentration for  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  ( $\text{Bq m}^{-3}$ );  $CF_{Rn220}$  is the dose conversion factor for  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  progeny ( $40 \times 10^{-9} \text{ Sv per Bq h m}^{-3}$ ) and  $T_a$  is the annual work time,  $7000 \text{ h y}^{-1}$  (European Commission, 2002).  $F_e$  is the equilibrium factor for  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  and its progeny, 0.1 (*United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Ionising Radiation (UNSCEAR), Sources, Effects and Risks of Ionizing Radiation, Report to the General Assembly, 2008*).

However, since the diffusion length of  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  is very short it is complex and ambiguous to calculate the internal exposure due to  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  exhaling from the building material. The indoor



thoron concentration in air depends on the distance from the wall [21, 23] as presented in the following equation:

$$A_{Rn220}(X) = \frac{E_{ARn220}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{Rn220} D_{eff}}} \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{Rn220}}{D_{eff}}} X\right) \quad (10)$$

where,  $A_{Rn220}(X)$  is the  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  concentration at a distance,  $X$ , from the wall.  $E_{ARn220}$  is the  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  estimated surface exhalation rate by equation (7),  $D_{eff}$  is the effective diffusion coefficient herein taken as  $1.8 \text{ m}^2\text{h}^{-1}$  [21],  $\lambda_{Rn220}$  is the decay constant of  $^{220}\text{Rn}$ ,  $45 \text{ h}^{-1}$ .

It is reasonable to assume that the human respiratory organs are not more than 40 cm distance from the wall. Therefore, the  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  concentration at the distance of 40 cm calculated by equation (10),  $A_{Rn220}$ , is used to determinate the annual effective doses of  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  with equation (9).

## Results and discussion

The results of activity concentration for  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $C_{Ra}$ , massic exhalation,  $E_{Rn222}$ , and emanation factor,  $\varepsilon_{Rn222}$ , for  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  are summarised in Table 1.

In all samples, activity concentration for radium was above the detection limit (DL) except for the wood sample. In general, these results are comparable to those measured on a worldwide scale [2, 45-48]. Thus, the values for radium content in building materials are less than the permissible value ( $370 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ ), which is acceptable as a safe limit (Group Experts of the OECD Nuclear Energy Agency, 1979). The only exception was in the radium concentration in zircon, the highest value for the mean concentration was  $2070 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ .

In many samples, the exhalation rate was lower than the DL (because of  $E_{Rn222} < \text{DL}$ ) with exception of all samples of slate, granite and zircon. The maximum value on average was obtained for zircon,  $429 \text{ mBq kg}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ , which is much higher than that found for the aggregate and the granites. The values of exhalation rates reported in Table 1 correspond well with the values reported by other authors [15, 50-53]. The variation in radon exhalation rates (one order of magnitude, in some cases) can be attributed to variations in radium concentrations, porosity, and surface crystallography. The emanation factor range from 0.2 % to 22.0 % for ceramic and aggregates respectively. These values are similar to the measured in worldwide scales [2, 15, 46, 49].

The results of activity concentration for  $^{232}\text{Th}$ ,  $C_{\text{Th}}$ , massic exhalation,  $E_{\text{Rn220}}$ , and emanation factor,  $\varepsilon_{\text{Rn220}}$ , for  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  are summarised in Table 2.

The highest mean value for  $^{232}\text{Th}$  activity concentration is shown by zircon ( $340 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ ), and the lowest mean value is obtained for wood ( $0.6 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ ). The mean values of the  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  massic exhalation rate range from 2.2 of the ceramic to  $169 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$  for zircon, respectively. The results show that the thoron exhalation rate is higher in zircon samples and lower in ceramic samples. This can presumably be explained by the different distributions of  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  parent element in the different types of samples. It should be noted how the difference between the values of exhalation rate in granites (range from 2.6 to  $144 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) reveal their different mineralogical composition. The emanation factor range from 0.3 % to 29 % for ceramic and wood, respectively.

The ranges of results of all these parameters are in good agreement with the values reports by other authors [29, 54].

A correlation study of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  mass exhalation rate concerning  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  content, as shown in Fig. 3a, showed a good linear correlation coefficient ( $R^2 = 0.9961$ ). These results show that the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  mass exhalation rate increases as the  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  content is higher in the samples. This good linear correlation has already been observed by other authors, some of them with values very close to 1 (Amin, 2015). As could be expected (Fig. 3b), no correlation ( $R^2 = 0.0109$ ) was found between the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  emanation factor and the  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  content.

A similar correlation of  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  mass exhalation rate with  $^{232}\text{Th}$  content is shown in Fig. 4a, which shows a more weak correlation between the two quantities ( $R^2 = 0.8336$ ). These results show that the  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  mass exhalation rate increases for samples with higher  $^{232}\text{Th}$  contents, as observed before for the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  exhalation rate and  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  contents.

Moreover, as could be expected (Fig. 4b), no correlation ( $R^2 = 0.0115$ ) was found between the  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  emanation factor and the  $^{232}\text{Th}$  content. Finally, no correlation ( $R^2 = 0.118$ ) was found between the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  emanation factor and the  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  emanation factor as shown in Fig. 4c.

The results obtained for indoor contribution, surface exhalation rate, activity concentration in the air of the room, and annual effective dose, for the different building materials had been shown in Tables 3 and 4 for  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  and  $^{220}\text{Rn}$ , respectively. Therefore, Table 3 shows that the mean values of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  surface exhalation rates varied from 9.2 to  $3206 \text{ mBq m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$  for ceramic and zircon, respectively. The  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  contribution of building materials to indoor  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  considering the model room mentioned above, range from 0.04 for ceramic samples to  $13 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$  for zircon. As a

result of this, the annual effective dose ranged from  $0.9 \mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$  for ceramic to  $323 \mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$  for zircon. These values are in agreement with the worldwide range [2, 55].

In the case of  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  (see Table 4), the surface exhalation rate average varied from 22 to  $1264 \text{ Bq m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$  for cement and zircon respectively. Its contribution of building materials to indoor  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  at 40 cm of the wall considering the model mentioned above, range from 2.0 for the cement to  $112 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$  for zircon. Mean values of the annual effective dose ranged from  $16 \mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$  for gypsum to  $1300 \mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$  for zircon. These values are similar to those found by other authors for building materials (Ujić et al., 2010). However, estimation of annual effective dose from indoor thoron indicated the mean value of zircon and some values of granites had been higher than the annual exposure limit for the general public of  $1 \text{ mSv y}^{-1}$ , recommended by European Directive 2013/59/Euratom [40].

## Conclusions

In this study, the radon and thoron exhalation and emanation properties of building materials commonly used in the Iberian Peninsula (Portugal and Spain) were measured by using an active method with a continuous radon/thoron monitor. The correlations between exhalation rates of these gases and their parent nuclide exhalation (radium/thorium) concentrations were examined. Finally, on the estimation of the indoor radon/thoron, the annual effective dose was calculated.

In general,  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  content in building materials is less than the permissible value,  $370 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ , except for zircon, which means the value was  $2100 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ . For this material, the maximum value on average of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  massic exhalation rate ( $429 \text{ mBq kg}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) was also obtained. The emanation factor  $^{222}\text{Rn}/^{226}\text{Ra}$  range from 0.2 % to 22.0 % for ceramic and aggregates, respectively. On average, the highest value for activity concentration of  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and massic  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  exhalation rate were showed by zircon,  $340 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$  and  $169 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ , respectively. The emanation factor of  $^{220}\text{Rn}/^{232}\text{Th}$  range from 0.3 % to 29 % for ceramic and wood, respectively. The correlation between the radon mass exhalation rate and the  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  contents as well as the correlation between the thoron mass exhalation rate and  $^{232}\text{Th}$  contents are in good agreement.

The mean values of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  surface exhalation rates varied from 9.2 to  $3206 \text{ mBq m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$  for ceramic and zircon, respectively. The  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  contribution of building materials to indoor  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  considering the model room mentioned above, range from 0.04 for ceramic samples to  $13 \text{ Bq m}^{-3}$

for zircon. So, the annual effective dose ranged from 0.9  $\mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$  for ceramic to 323  $\mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$  for zircon.

In the case of  $^{220}\text{Rn}$ , the surface exhalation rate average varied from 22 to 1264  $\text{Bq m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$  for cement and zircon respectively. Its contribution of building materials to indoor  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  at 40 cm of the wall, range from 2.0 for cement samples to 112  $\text{Bq m}^{-3}$  for zircon. Mean values of the annual effective dose ranged from 16  $\mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$  for gypsum to 1300  $\mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$  for zircon. Therefore, in the case of zircon and some granites, the annual effective dose was higher than the annual exposure limit for the general public of 1  $\text{mSv y}^{-1}$ , recommended by the ICRP.

## Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Junta de Extremadura, Spain (projects PRI IB16114), the Air Quality Surveillance Network of Extremadura (REPICA, project 1855999FD022) for financial support, and European Union Funds for Regional Development (FEDER).

The authors of the “Centro de Ciências e Tecnologias Nucleares” (C2TN) of “Instituto Superior Técnico” (IST) gratefully acknowledge the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) in Portugal for the support through the ID/Multi/04349/2013 project.

## References

- Amin RM. 2015. A study of radon emitted from building materials using solid state nuclear track detectors. *Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences* 8:516–522. DOI: 10.1016/j.jrras.2015.06.001.
- Andrade E, Miró C, Reis M, Santos M, Madruga MJ. 2017. Assessment of Radium Activity Concentration and Radon Exhalation Rates in Iberian Peninsula Building Materials. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*:1–5. DOI: 10.1093/rpd/ncx128.
- Bavarnegin E, Fathabadi N, Vahabi Moghaddam M, Vasheghani Farahani M, Moradi M, Babakhni A. 2013. Radon exhalation rate and natural radionuclide content in building materials of high background areas of Ramsar, Iran. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity* 117:36–40. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvrad.2011.12.022.
- Brenner DJ. 1994. ICRP (International Commission on Radiological Protection). Protection Against Radon-222 at Home and at Work (ICRP Publication N° 65). *Annals of the ICRP* 23. DOI: 10.1080/09553009414551371.
- Chen CJ, Lin YM. 1997. Assessment of building materials for compliance with regulations of ROC. *Environment International* 22:221–226. DOI: 10.1016/S0160-4120(96)00111-0.
- Chen J, Rahman NM, Atiya IA. 2010. Radon exhalation from building materials for decorative use. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity* 101:317–322. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvrad.2010.01.005.
- Chitra N, Danalakshmi B, Supriya D, Vijayalakshmi I, Sundar SB, Sivasubramanian K, Baskaran

- R, Jose MT. 2018. Study of Radon and Thoron exhalation from soil samples of different grain sizes. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes* 133:75–80. DOI: 10.1016/j.apradiso.2017.12.017.
- Directive. 2013/59/Euratom of 5 December 2013. 2014. *Official Journal of the European Union* 57:1–73. DOI: doi:10.3000/19770677.L\_2013.124.eng.
- Doi M, Fujimoto K, Kobayashi S, Yonehara H. 1994. Spatial Distribution of Thoron and Radon Concentrations in the Indoor Air of A Traditional Japanese Wooden House. *Health Physics* 66.
- European Commission. 2002. *Radiation protection 122 practical use of the concepts of clearance and exemption(Part II)*.
- Frutos-Puerto S, Pinilla-Gil E, Miró C, Andrade E, Reis M, José Madruga M. 2018. Exhalation Rate Study of Thoron in Some Building Materials of the Iberian Peninsula. *Proceedings* 2:1294. DOI: 10.3390/proceedings2201294.
- Group Experts of the OECD Nuclear Energy Agency. 1979. OECD (1979) Exposure to radiation from the natural radioactivity in building materials. :40.
- Hafez AF, Hussein AS, Rasheed NM. 2001. A study of radon and thoron release from Egyptian building materials using polymeric nuclear track detectors. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes* 54:291–298. DOI: 10.1016/S0969-8043(00)00281-5.
- Hassan NM, Hosoda M, Iwaoka K, Sorimachi A, Janik M, Kranrod C, Sahoo SK, Ishikawa T, Yonehara H, Fukushima M, Tokonami S. 2011. Simultaneous Measurment of Radon and Thoron Released from Building Materials Used in Japan. *Progress in Nuclear Sciencie and Technology* 1:404–407.
- Iwaoka K, Hosoda M, Suwankot N, Omori Y, Ishikawa T, Yonehara H, Tokonami S. 2015. Natural radioactivity and radon exhalation rates in man-made tiles used as building materials in Japan. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry* 167:135–138. DOI: 10.1093/rpd/ncv230.
- Jónás J, Sas Z, Vaupotic J, Kocsis E, Somlai J, Kovács T. 2016. Thoron emanation and exhalation of Slovenian soils determined by a PIC detector-equipped radon monitor. *Nukleonika* 61:379–384. DOI: 10.1515/nuka-2016-0063.
- Kanse SD, Sahoo BK, Sapra BK, Gaware JJ, Mayya YS. 2013. Powder sandwich technique: A novel method for determining the thoron emanation potential of powders bearing high<sup>224</sup>Ra content. *Radiation Measurements* 48:82–87. DOI: 10.1016/j.radmeas.2012.10.014.
- Kayakökü H, Karatepe Ş, Doğru M. 2016. Measurements of radioactivity and dose assessments in some building materials in Bitlis, Turkey. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes* 115:172–179. DOI: 10.1016/j.apradiso.2016.06.020.
- Kudo H, Tokonami S, Omori Y, Ishikawa T, Iwaoka K, Sahoo SK, Akata N, Hosoda M, Wanabongse P, Pornnumpa C, Sun Q, Li X, Akiba S. 2015. Comparative dosimetry for radon and thoron in high background radiation areas in China. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry* 167:155–159. DOI: 10.1093/rpd/ncv235.
- Kumar A, Chauhan RP, Joshi M, Prajith R, Sahoo BK. 2015. Estimation of radionuclides content and radon–thoron exhalation from commonly used building materials in India. *Environmental Earth Sciences* 74:1539–1546. DOI: 10.1007/s12665-015-4146-8.
- López-Coto I, Mas JL, Vargas A, Bolívar JP. 2014. Studying radon exhalation rates variability from phosphogypsum piles in the SW of Spain. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 280:464–471. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.07.025.
- Madruga MJ, Miró C, Reis M, Silva L. 2018. Radiation Exposure From Natural Radionuclides in Building Materials. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*:1–9. DOI: 10.1093/rpd/ncy256.
- Maged AF, Ashraf FA. 2005. Radon exhalation rate of some building materials used in Egypt.

- Environmental Geochemistry and Health* 27:485–489. DOI: 10.1007/s10653-005-5332-5.
- Magnoni M, Chiaberto E, Prandstatter A, Serena E, Tripodi R. 2018. Thoron exhalation rate in stony materials: A simplified approach. *Construction and Building Materials* 173:520–524. DOI: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.04.053.
- Mehta V, Singh PS, Chauhan PR, Mudahar GS. 2015. Study of indoor radon, thoron, their progeny concentration and radon exhalation rate in the environs of Mohali, Punjab, Northern India. *Aerosol and Air Quality Research* 15:1380–1389. DOI: 10.4209/aaqr.2014.08.0161.
- Milić G, Jakupi B, Tokonami S, Trajković R, Ishikawa T, Čeliković I, Ujić P, Čuknić O, Yarmoshenko I, Kosanović K, Adrović F, Sahoo SK, Veselinović N, Žunić ZS. 2010. The concentrations and exposure doses of radon and thoron in residences of the rural areas of Kosovo and Metohija. *Radiation Measurements* 45:118–121. DOI: 10.1016/j.radmeas.2009.10.052.
- Miro C, Andrade E, Reis M, Madruga MJ. 2014. Development of a couple of methods for measuring radon exhalation from building materials commonly used in the Iberian Peninsula. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry* 160:177–180. DOI: 10.1093/rpd/ncu063.
- Misdaq MA, Amghar A. 2005. Radon and thoron emanation from various marble materials: Impact on the workers. *Radiation Measurements* 39:421–430. DOI: 10.1016/j.radmeas.2004.06.011.
- Netherlands Standardization Institute. Dutch Standard: Radioactivity measurement. Determination method of the rate of the radon exhalation of dense building materials. 2001. :NEN 5699:2001.
- Perna AFN, Paschuk SA, Corrêa JN, Narloch DC, Barreto RC, Del Claro F, Denyak V. 2018. Exhalation rate of radon-222 from concrete and cement mortar. *Nukleonika* 63:65–72. DOI: 10.2478/nuka-2018-0008.
- Petropoulos NP, Anagnostakis MJ, Simopoulos SE. 2001. Building materials radon exhalation rate: ERRICCA intercomparison exercise results. *Science of the Total Environment* 272:109–118. DOI: 10.1016/S0048-9697(01)00674-X.
- Porstendörfer J. 1994. Properties and behaviour of radon and thoron and their decay products in the air. *Journal of Aerosol Science* 25:219–263. DOI: 10.1016/0021-8502(94)90077-9.
- Prajith R, Rout RP, Kumbhar D, Mishra R, Sahoo BK, Sapra BK. 2019. Measurements of radon (222Rn) and thoron (220Rn) exhalations and their decay product concentrations at Indian Stations in Antarctica. *Environmental Earth Sciences* 78:35. DOI: 10.1007/s12665-018-8029-7.
- Rawat A, Jojo PJ, Khan AJ, Tyagi RK, Prasad R. 1991. Radon exhalation rate in building materials. *International Journal of Radiation Applications and Instrumentation. Part* 19:391–394. DOI: 10.1016/1359-0189(91)90223-5.
- Righi S, Bruzzi L. 2006. Natural radioactivity and radon exhalation in building materials used in Italian dwellings. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity* 88:158–170. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvrad.2006.01.009.
- Saad AF, Al-Awami HH, Hussein NA. 2014. Radon exhalation from building materials used in Libya. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry* 101:15–19. DOI: 10.1016/j.radphyschem.2014.03.030.
- Sabiha-Javied, Tufail M, Asghar M. 2010. Hazard of NORM from phosphorite of Pakistan. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 176:426–433. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.11.047.
- Semwal P, Singh K, Agarwal TK, Joshi M, Pant P, Kandari T, Ramola RC. 2018. Measurement of 222Rn and 220Rn exhalation rate from soil samples of Kumaun Hills, India. *Acta Geophysica* 66:1203–1211. DOI: 10.1007/s11600-018-0124-3.

- Sharma N, Virk HS. 2001. Exhalation rate study of radon/thoron in some building materials. *Radiation Measurements* 34:467–469. DOI: 10.1016/S1350-4487(01)00208-6.
- Sola P, Srinuttrakul W, Laoharojanaphand S, Suwankot N. 2014. Estimation of indoor radon and the annual effective dose from building materials by ionization chamber measurement. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry* 302:1531–1535. DOI: 10.1007/s10967-014-3716-7.
- Stoulos S, Manolopoulou M, Papastefanou C. 2003. Assessment of natural radiation exposure and radon exhalation from building materials in Greece. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity* 69:225–240. DOI: 10.1016/S0265-931X(03)00081-X.
- Torres-Durán M, Barros-Dios JM, Fernández-Villar A, Ruano-Ravina A. 2014. Residential radon and lung cancer in never smokers. A systematic review. *Cancer Letters* 345:21–26. DOI: 10.1016/j.canlet.2013.12.010.
- Turhan Ş, Gündüz L. 2008. Determination of specific activity of  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$  for assessment of radiation hazards from Turkish pumice samples. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity* 99:332–342. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvrad.2007.08.022.
- Turhan S, T. Termici A, Kurnaz A, Altikulak A, Goren E, Karatasli M, Kirisik R, Hancerliogullari A. 2018. Natural radiation exposure and radon exhalation rate of building materials used in turkey. *Nuclear Technology & Radiation Protection* 33:159–166.
- Ujić P, Čeliković I, Kandić A, Vukanac I, Durašević M, Dragosavac D, Žunić ZS. 2010. Internal exposure from building materials exhaling  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  and  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  as compared to external exposure due to their natural radioactivity content. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes* 68:201–206. DOI: 10.1016/j.apradiso.2009.10.003.
- United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Ionising Radiation (UNSCEAR), Sources, Effects and Risks of Ionizing Radiation, Report to the General Assembly. 1988.
- United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Ionising Radiation (UNSCEAR), Sources, Effects and Risks of Ionizing Radiation, Report to the General Assembly. 1993.
- United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Ionising Radiation (UNSCEAR), Sources, Effects and Risks of Ionizing Radiation, Report to the General Assembly. 2008. New York.
- United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Ionising Radiation (UNSCEAR), Sources, Effects and Risks of Ionizing Radiation, Report to the General Assembly. 2016. New York.
- de With G, de Jong P. 2011. CFD modelling of thoron and thoron progeny in the indoor environment. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry* 145:138–144.
- De With G, De Jong P, Röttger A. 2014. Measurement of thoron exhalation rates from building materials. *Health Physics* 107:206–212. DOI: 10.1097/HP.0000000000000105.
- de With G, Smetsters RCGM, Slaper H, de Jong P. 2018. Thoron exposure in Dutch dwellings – An overview. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity* 183:73–81. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvrad.2017.12.014.
- World Health Organization. 1988. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of the Carcinogenic Risks to Humans.
- World Health Organization. 2009. Indoor Radon a Public Health Perspective. Geneva: WHO Press.
- Zhang L, Lei X, Guo Q, Wang S, Ma X, Shi Z. 2012. Accurate measurement of the radon exhalation rate of building materials using the closed chamber method. *Journal of Radiological Protection* 32:315–323. DOI: 10.1088/0952-4746/32/3/315.